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(II)
Subject: RE: Richardson

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disburse tax payment until the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. I will monitor the account for the removal of the foreclosure stop.

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

---

From: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 9:57 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; Julie.Mathis@wamu.net  
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

---

From: Springs, Sonya E.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:27 PM  
To: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Subject: FW: Richardson

Michelle,

I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior  
Phone (843)771-3706  
Fax (843)771-4728  
Email: Sonya.Springes@wamu.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."

Please refer to tax notes dated 06-26-08. I will not be able to disburse tax payment for the delinquent taxes until the foreclosure stop =7 is removed. I will monitor account for the removal of the stop.

From: Pound, Robert A.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: Springs, Sonya E.; Morris, Linda  
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.  
Subject: KL: Richardson

JPMC - 002110  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.003999
I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.

Robert Pound

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:08 PM
To: Morris, Linda
Cc: Pound, Robert A.; Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson
Responsible Business: High

Louise,

There is already a NON123 task open and it’s assigned to Linda Morris.

Linda,

Can you go ahead and research this loan? Then e-mail a response to the all parties the e-mail below.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>
Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone: (943)755-3705
Fax: (943) 673-4728
Mailstop: F3C0211
sonya Springs@wamu.net

*The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message.*

From: Kirby, Louise L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 12:02 PM
To: Lopez, Christina; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Sonya,

Please assign this account to someone on the non/esc team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christina.

Thanks.

LOUISE KIRBY
LOCe Collection Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(943) 673-4737 (phone)
(943) 673-4720 (fax)

From: Lopez, Christina
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Kirby, Louise L.
Cc: Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Louise,

Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn’t appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/08. It
appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Lopez, Christine
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.; Richardson

Chirsy,
This fc sale was rescinded…what should I do to get this moving? Do you know if the fc dept should remove their stops?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Tel: Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 849 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender to reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Savannah.
Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/3/07, we cannot disburse tax if the man code is "w" with disbursement stop 1.

So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments.

Also there is a foreclosure code 7—conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.

LOUISE KIRBY
LC Collection Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 673-4737 (phone)
(843) 673-4720 (fax)

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:53 AM
To: Kirby, Louise L.
Cc: Richardson

Louise,
Management is requesting we get this Mod out asap. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Wonda) to help me set up the escrow - property taxes are delinquent. There is a note on the loan from 5/20/08 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the mancode. Now that the mancode is W - can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completion so I can let management know?

Thanks,
Hi Wonza,

There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes $2109.81 + $405.49. This loan went to foreclosure and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if these taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:37:34 AM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
CC: Thom, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: FW [REDACTED] Richardson

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 540 6074

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:19 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
CC: Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: [REDACTED] Richardson

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For internal use only

---

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:50 AM
To: Thom, Ann
CC: Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: [REDACTED] Richardson

Yes, you are correct.

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 540 6978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Mathis, Julie A.
CC: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: [REDACTED] Richardson

---

JPMC - 002114
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003803
Importance: High

Ok, I need to understand something. We already talked to Richardson and told her the terms of the Modification as I understood. Then we realized the taxes/insurance were not paid by Red Rock and now need to pay 2-3 weeks later and get back to her with new figures? Is that the case?

I need to understand this because it is my impression that Richardson thinks she has a new deal. . . . . . please clarify.

*********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:16 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Subject: RE: ???? Richardson

Ann

Once the taxes are disbursed and the escrow is set up I will work up the Modification terms. We will contact the mortgagor to verify the terms and have the documents sent.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownershiip Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

*********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:47 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

What is going on with the Mod?

*********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only
Hi Michelle,

Please see below, the foreclosure stop has been removed. Please disburse the tax payment so we can proceed with the Mod.

Thanks

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free: 866-0266-8937
Direct fax: 469-549-5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

--------

*******Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150
For Internal Use Only

--------

*******Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150
For Internal Use Only
Ann, do you know how we can have the FC stop 7 removed?

I sent an email to Chrissy 8/26/08 but didn't hear anything back. Do you know how/when the FC stop 7 will be removed? That is preventing us from getting the taxes disbursed and escrow set up.

<< Message: RE: 0729942433 Richardson >>
Thank you,
Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 449 849 9978
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Are we ok with this one?

Thanks!

Julia

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disburse tax payment until the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. I will monitor the account for the removal of the foreclosure stop.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-353-3850 ext. 4726
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile)>>
Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-860-353-3860 ext. 4726  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Subject: RE: Richardson

Michelle,

I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs  
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior  
Phone: (845)673-3706  
Fax: (845)673-3708  
Mailstop FSC0211

Sonya.Springs@wamu.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."

Please refer to tax notes dated 06-26-08. I will not be able to disburse tax payment for the delinquent taxes until the foreclosure stop #7 is removed. I will monitor account for the removal of the stop.

From: Pound, Robert A.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:33 PM  
To: Springs, Sonya E.; Morris, Linda  
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.

Robert Pound

From: Springs, Sonya E.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:08 PM  
To: Morris, Linda  
Cc: Pound, Robert A.; Kirby, Louise L.  
Subject: RE: Richardson  
Importance: High

Louise,  
There is already a NON123 task open and its assigned to Linda Morris.

Linda,  
Can you go ahead and research this loan? Then e-mail a response to the all parties the e-mail below.

Thanks,
Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone: (843) 673-3706
Fax: (843) 673-4728
Mailstop FSC0211
sonya.springs@wamu.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."

From: Kirby, Louise L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 12:02 PM
To: Lopez, Christeline; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Sonya_springs@wamu.net
Subject: RE: [ ] Richardson

Sonya,
Please assign this account to someone on the non/esc team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christina.

Thanks,

LOUISE KIRBY
LS Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 673-4737 (phone)
(843) 673-4728 (fax)

From: Lopez, Christeline
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: [ ] Richardson

Louise,

Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn't appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/08. It appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Lopez, Christeline
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: [ ] Richardson

Chriissyy,
This fc sale was rescinded...what should I do to get this moving? Do you know if the fc dept should remove their stops?

Thanks,
Savannah Rogers  
Homeownership Preservation  
Washington Mutual  
Tel: Free 866 926 8937  
Dir: Fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Kirby, Louise L.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:50 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Subject: FW: Richardson

Savannah,  
Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/3/07, we cannot disburse tax if the man code is "W" with disbursement step 1.

So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments.

Also there is a foreclosure code 7—conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.

LOUISE KIRBY  
LS Section Manager II  
Tax Mitigation  
(643) 973-4737 (phone)  
(643) 973-4720 (fax)

From: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:08 AM  
To: Kirby, Louise L.  
Subject: FW: Richardson

Louise,
Management is requesting we get this Mod out ASAP. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Wonza) to help me set up the escrow - property taxes are delinquent. There is a note on the loan from 5/20/08 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the man code. Now that the man code is W - can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completing so I can let management know?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers  
Homeownership Preservation  
Washington Mutual  
Tel: Free 866 926 8937  
Dir: Fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 9:38 AM  
To: Johnson, Vonza L.  
Cc: Wanga S; Mathis, Julie A.  
Subject: FW: Richardson

Hi Vonza,
There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes $8100.81 + $405.40. This loan went to foreclosure.
which has been rescinded and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if those taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 460 610 8978

This communication may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:19:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
CC: Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: REDACTED

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

Yes, you are correct.

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8927
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: REDACTED

Ok, I need to understand something. We already talked to Richardson and told her the terms of the Modification as I understood. I then we realized taxes/insurance were not paid by Red Rock and now need to pay 2-3 weeks later and get back to her with new figures? Is that the case?

I need to understand this because it is my impression that Richardson thinks she has a new deal........please clarify.

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Ann,

Once the taxes are disbursed and the escrow is set up I will work up the modification terms. We will contact the mortgagor to verify the terms and have the documents sent.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 410 849 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:47 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

What is going on with the Modi?

***********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For internal use only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Thom Ann, Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: FW: Richardson

Hi Michelle,

Please see below, the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. Please disburse the tax payment so we can proceed with the Modi.

Thanks

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 409 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:39 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: Richardson
**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Bolton, Elizabeth A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:37 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: RE: Richardson

Done.

Thank you,

Both

Can you change back to presale stop code

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 12:31 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Rogers, Savannah L.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: FW: Richardson
Importance: High

Ann, do you know how we can have the FC stop 7 removed?

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 10:57 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: KI: Richardson

I sent an email to Chrissy 6/26/08 but didn't hear anything back. Do you know how/when the fc stop 7 will be removed? That is preventing us from getting the taxes disbursed and escrow set up.

<< Message: RE: Richardson >>
Thank you,
Savannah Rogers  
Homeownership Preservation  
Washington Mutual  
Toll Free 866 926 8937  
Direct Fax 469 649 2978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received this in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Mathis, Julie A.  
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 9:30 PM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.  
Subject: FW: Richardson

Are we ok with this one?

Thanks!

Julie

From: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 11:03 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lope, Christina; Haywood, Oriksa S.; Mathis, Julie A.  
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disburse tax payment until the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. I will monitor the account for the removal of the foreclosure stop.

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-800-353-3850 ext. 4720  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 9:57 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lope, Christina; Haywood, Oriksa S.; 'Julie.Mathis@wamu.net'  
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-800-353-3850 ext. 4720  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Springs, Sonya E.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:27 PM  
To: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Subject: FW: Richardson

Michelle,
I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone (843)733-3708
Fax (864)873-4728
Marketing F5C0211

sonya Springs@yami.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."

Please refer to tax notes dated 06-26-08. I will not be able to disburse tax payment for the delinquent taxes until the foreclosure stop is removed. I will monitor account for the removal of the stop.

From: Pound, Robert A.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:23 PM
To: Springs, Sonya E.; Morris, Linda
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: Re: [RD] Richardson

I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.

Robert Pound

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:08 PM
To: Morris, Linda
Cc: Pound, Robert A.; Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: [RD] Klett Richardson
Importance: High

Louise,

There is already a NON123 task open and its assigned to Linda Morris.

Linda,

Can you go ahead and research this loan? Then e-mail a response to all parties the e-mail below.

thanks,

Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone (843)733-3708
Fax (864)873-4728
Marketing F5C0211

sonya Springs@yahoo.com

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."
To: Lopez, Christina; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Spring, Seryl E.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Bonya,
Please assign this account to someone on the non/esc team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christina.

Thanks.

LOUISE KIRBY
LS Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 673-4737 (phone)
(843) 673-4728 (fax)

From: Lopez, Christina
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Louise,

Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn’t appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/08. It appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Lopez, Christina
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Chriisy,
This fc sale was rescinded...what should I do to get this moving? Do you know if the fc dept should remove their stops?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 419 549 5978
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Kirby, Louise L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:30 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Savannah,
Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/3/07, we cannot disburse tax if the man code is ‘w’ with disbursement stop 1.
So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments.

Also there is a foreclosure code 7—conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.

LOUISE KIRBY
LS Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 5/2/08 (phone)
(843) 472-4728 (fax)

From: Rogers, Savannah L
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 6:35 AM
To: Kirby, Lynda L; Richardson
Subject: FW: High

Louise,

Management is requesting we get this Mod out asap. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Wonza) to help me set up the escrow—proper taxes are delinquent. There is a note on the loan from 5/20/08 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the mancode. Now that the mancode is W—can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completion so I can let management know?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866-906-9037
Direct fax 469-549-5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Hi Wonza,

There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes. $109.31 + $405.49. This loan went to fc sale which has been rescinded and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if those taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866-906-9037
Direct fax 469-549-5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
Yes, you are correct.

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Tell Free 866-926-8937
Direct fax 425-549-5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Ok, I need to understand something. We already talked to Richardson and told her the terms of the Modification as I understand. Then we realized taxes/insurance were not paid by Rent Risk and now need to pay 2-3 weeks later and got back to her with new figures? Is that the case?

I need to understand this because it is my impression that Richardson thinks she has a new deal.........please clarify.

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only
Ann,

Once the taxes are disbursed and the escrow is set up I will work up the Modification terms. We will contact the mortgagee to verify the terms and have the documents sent.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:10 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

What is going on with the Mod?

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Thom, Ann; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: FW: Richardson

Hi Michelle,

Please see below, the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. Please disburse the tax payment so we can proceed with the Mod.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 6:39 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: FW: Richardson

JPMC - 002130
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.000819
**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Buxton, Elizabeth A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:37 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Subject: RE: Richardson

Done.

Thank you,
Beth

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 1:01 PM
To: Buxton_Elizabeth A.
Subject: FW: Richardson
Importance: High

Can you change back to pre-sale step code

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 12:31 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Cc: Rogers, Savannah L.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: FW: Richardson
Importance: High

Ann, do you know how we can have the FC stop 7 removed?

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 10:57 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

I sent an email to Chrissy 5/25/08 but didn't hear anything back. Do you know how/when the FC stop 7 will be removed? That is preventing us from getting the taxes disbursed and escrow set up.

<< Message: RE: Richardson >>

Thank you,
Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 419 649 8978
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 9:20 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Real_Rogers_5
Subject: FW: Richardson

Are we ok with this one?

Thanks!

Julie

From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 11:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disburse tax payment until the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. I will monitor the account for the removal of the foreclosure stop.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-253-3860 ext. 4726
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 9:57 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; 'Julie.Mathis@wamu.net'
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:27 PM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Subject: FW: Richardson

Michelle,

I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.
Thanks,
Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone (543)673-3706
Fax (543) 673-4728
Mailstop FSC0211
SonyaSprings@Wamu.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual’s prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), a copy, review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."

Please refer to tax notes dated 06-26-08. I will not be able to disburse tax payment for the delinquent taxes until the foreclosure stop -7 is removed. I will monitor account for the removal of the stop.

From: Pound, Robert A.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:03 PM
To: Springs, Sonya E.; Morris, Linda
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.; Richardson
Subject: RE: [ SonyaSprings@Wamu.net ]

I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.

Robert Pound

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:00 PM
To: Morris, Linda
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.; Richardson
Subject: [ SonyaSprings@Wamu.net ]

Linda,

There is already a NCN123 task open and its assigned to Linda Morris.

Can you go ahead and research this loan? Then e-mail a response to the all parties the e-mail below.

Thanks,
Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone (543)673-3706
Fax (543) 673-4728
Mailstop FSC0211
SonyaSprings@Wamu.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual’s prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), a copy, review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."
Subject: RE: Richardson

Sonya,

Please assign this account to someone on the non/esc team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christine.

Thanks.

LOUISE KIRBY
LG Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 873-4737 (phone)
(843) 873-4726 (fax)

From: Lopez, Christina
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Louise,

Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn’t appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/08. It appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Lopez, Christina
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Chrissy,

This fc sale was rescinded ..what should I do to get this moving? Do you know if the fc dept should remove their stops?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8957
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Kirby, Louise L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:50 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Savannah,

Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/3/07, we cannot disburse tax if the main code is "w" with disbursement stop 1

So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments.
Also there is a foreclosure code 7—conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.

LOUISE KIRBY
LS Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 673-4737 (phone)
(843) 673-4726 (fax)

From: Rogers, Savannah L
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:35 AM
To: Kirby, Louise L
Subject: [Fax] - Richardson
Importance: High

Louise,

Management is requesting we get this Mod out asap. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Wanza) to help me set up the escrow —property taxes are delinquent. There is a note on the loan from 5/20/08 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the manicode. Now that the manicode is W - can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completion so I can let management know?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Hi Wanza,

There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes $8109.81 + $405.49. This loan went to fc sale which has been rescinded and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if those taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, July 2, 2008 10:01 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Richardson foreclosure -- Red Rock settlement
Attach: DOCSSSEA[ ] Modifications suggested by Sheridan.doc
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 6:21 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Subject: REDACTED Modifications suggested by Sheridan
Martin T. McGuinn  
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.  
600 B Street, Ste. 1950  
San Diego, CA 92101  
mmcguinn@km.com  
Direct Dial: (619) 525-1850  
Direct Fax: (619) 525-1825  

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.  
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.  
The electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1850 or (619) 865-9000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT

This Settlement and Release Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into as of June 18, 2008, by and between Washington Mutual Bank, a federally chartered savings association ("WaMu"), California Reconveyance Company ("CRC"), James York ("York") and Red Rock Mortgage Inc. ("Red Rock"). York and Red Rock shall hereafter be collectively referred to as Red Rock. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "WaMu" shall also refer to all predecessors, successors, assigns and investors of WaMu, including, without limitation, any person or entity taking assignment of the Note and Deed of Trust originated by WaMu as described below, except as specifically identified herein. WaMu, CRC, York and Red Rock are at times referred to in this Agreement individually as a "Party" and, collectively, as the "Parties."

RECITALS

A. On or about January 4, 2007, WaMu made a loan to Laura Richardson, ("Richardson") evidenced by a promissory note in the sum of $535,001.00 (the "Note").

B. On or about January 4, 2007, Richardson executed and delivered to WaMu a Deed of Trust (the "WaMu Deed of Trust") securing the real property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818 ("the Property"). On or about January 10, 2007, the WaMu Deed of Trust was recorded in the County Recorder's Office for Sacramento County, California at Book 2007/0110, Page 1818.

C. Richardson became delinquent on the Note and on December 14, 2007, WaMu caused CRC to record a Notice of Default against the Property at Book 2007/1214, Page 308. Thereafter, CRC recorded a Notice of Sale on March 19, 2008 setting the original sale date for the Property on April 7, 2008 at Book 2008/0319, Page 352. CRC conducted a foreclosure sale on May 7, 2008, and Red Rock was the highest bidder at the sale. On or about May 19, 2008, Red Rock recorded a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, which was recorded, at Book 2008/0519, Page 0487 in the Official Records of the Sacramento County Recorder.

D. Prior to the foreclosure sale through which Red Rock claims title to the Property, WaMu claims it had agreed in writing to provide Richardson with a postponement of the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. Red Rock acknowledges that it received a copy of said letter after the foreclosure sale. WaMu and CRC contend that as a result of the purported agreement to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008, CRC did not have the requisite legal authority to record the foreclosure sale on May 7, 2008, and that the sale to Red Rock was invalid and could be rescinded. On June 2, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Rescission of Trustee's Deed Upon Sale at Book 2008/0602, Page 0655 in the Official Records of the Sacramento County Recorder.

E. Red Rock disagrees that CRC had the right to rescind the foreclosure sale conducted on May 7, 2008. Red Rock claims the foreclosure sale was final and that it was a bona fide purchaser for value and that it was not aware of the postponement issue.
until after May 7, 2008. On June 12, 2008, Red Rock filed a lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court under cause number 34-2008-00013081-CU-08-G06 (the “Action”) seeking, among other things, to quiet title to the Property in Red Rock’s name.

F. The parties wish to resolve their differences and avoid further litigation over the nature and extent of WaMu’s and CRC’s rights to rescind the foreclosure sale conducted on May 7, 2008, to confirm title to the Property in favor of Richardson subject to the lien of WaMu, and to reimburse Red Rock for its out of pocket expenses related to the Property and incurred in the Action and any related claims for loss arising over the quieting of title to the Property and any claims Red Rock may have against WaMu, CRC, or their agents or employees related to the rescission of the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale to Red Rock, and any dispute over title to the Property prior, during and after the foreclosure sale conducted on May 7, 2008.

G. The Parties desire to rescind the effect of the foreclosure sale through this Agreement whereby WaMu will pay a settlement to Red Rock and Red Rock will execute and deliver to WaMu a quitclaim deed from Red Rock to Richardson. Red Rock further agrees that by executing this Agreement, it is disclaiming any interest in the Property.

H. The Parties desire to clarify and confirm how the title to the Property will be held, resolve their differences, and confirm their understanding of how the foreclosure sale will be rescinded. The parties are entering into this Agreement in order to avoid the costs and uncertainty of litigation and settle all alleged claims Red Rock has against WaMu or CRC, known and unknown arising from the May 7, 2008 foreclosure sale and the rescission of the foreclosure sale and restoration of the viability of the Note and WaMu’s Deed of Trust against the Property.

AGREEMENT

The Parties, on behalf of themselves and on behalf of their respective principals, officers, directors, investors, parents, affiliates, employees, trustees, attorneys, agents, predecessors and successors, and on behalf of all other nonparties, in consideration of the promises set forth below, agree as follows:

1. OBLIGATIONS OF WaMu AND CRC.

1.1. WaMu agrees to pay the sum of $100,000.00 in certified funds to Red Rock upon the execution of the Agreement by all Parties. The sum of $100,000.00 includes sums to reimburse Red Rock for any out of pocket expenses it has incurred related to the Property, any interest on the funds paid by Red Rock at the foreclosure sale on May 7, 2008, Red Rock’s anticipated profits on the resale of the Property and any legal fees incurred by Red Rock prior to and after the Action was filed.

1.2. WaMu, contemporaneously with the payment of the sum of $100,000.00, shall also return the sum of $588,000.01 paid by Red Rock at the foreclosure sale conducted on May 7, 2008.
1.3. WeMu and CRC agree to execute this Agreement and be bound by the terms of the Agreement.

2. Obligations of Red Rock and YORK under this Agreement.

2.1. Red Rock agrees to be bound by the terms of this Agreement and execute the Agreement.

2.2. Red Rock agrees to accept the sum of $100,000.00, plus the return of the $388,000.01 paid at the foreclosure sale on May 7, 2008.

2.3. Upon execution of the Agreement, Red Rock also agrees to contemporaneously execute a quitclaim deed in recordable form for the Property to Richardson in the form attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

2.4. Red Rock warrants and represents that it has not encumbered or transferred the Property to any third party after May 7, 2008 to, and including, the date of the execution of this Agreement.

2.5. Red Rock shall immediately file a dismissal of the Action with prejudice.

2.6. Red Rocks will deliver with this signatures to this Agreement all sets of the keys to the Property in its possession or control.

3. Release of All Claims.

3.1. Up on execution of this Agreement by the Parties, and performance of all acts required to be done by each of them under this Agreement, the Parties release, each other and all who acted in concert or participation with them, their officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, investors, predecessors, successors, assigns, parents, affiliates and all others (the “Related Parties”) from and against all claims, causes of action, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, expenses, and attorneys’ fees of whatever kind or nature, whether known or unknown, whether accrued or not yet accrued, based on, arising out of, or relating to the foreclosure sale of May 7, 2008, the delivery of the Trustee’s Deed from CRC to the Red Rock on or about May 19, 2008, all claims alleged in the Action, and any claims of loss or reimbursement of expenses or legal fees, including interest on said sums, arising out of or relating to the foreclosure sale of May 7, 2008, and the rescission of the Trustees Deed Upon Sale by CRC.

3.2. In consideration of this Agreement, the Parties further waive and will not assert against the Related Parties, any claim, counterclaim, defense, offset, action, or cause of action under common law or any federal or state statute, rule, or regulation, that Red Rock may have prior to the date of this Agreement, based on, arising out of, or relating to the foreclosure sale of May 7, 2008, and the delivery of the Trustee’s Deed from CRC to Red Rock on or about May 19, 2008, including, but not limited to, all claims alleged in the Action and any claims of loss or reimbursement of expenses or legal fees, including
interest on said sums, arising out of or relating to the purchase of the Property by Red Rock at the May 7, 2008 foreclosure sale and the rescission of the Trustees Deed Upon Sale by CRC.

4. **Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542.**

4.1. It is the intention of the Parties to this Agreement that this Agreement shall be effective as a full and complete release of each and every claim that the Parties may have against each other and the Released Parties based on, arising out of, or relating to the foreclosure sale of May 7, 2008, the delivery of the Deed from CRC to the Red Rock on or about May 19, 2008, including, but not limited to, all claims alleged in the Action and any claims of loss or reimbursement of expenses or legal fees, including interest on said sums, arising out of or relating to the purchase of the Property by Red Rock and the rescission of the Trustees Deed Upon Sale by CRC, with the exception of obligations created under this Agreement.

4.2. The Parties acknowledge each of them has been advised, and is familiar with, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

4.3. The Parties waive any right, claim, or benefit which each of them now has or may have under Civil Code Section 1542 to the full extent that each of them may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits in connection with the claims released in the Agreement.

5. **Time of the Essence.** The Parties agree time is of the essence in the Agreement.

6. **Assumption of Risk.** Each Party expressly elects to assume all risks arising prior to this Agreement based on, arising out of, or relating to the foreclosure sale of May 7, 2008 and the delivery of the Deed from CRC to the Red Rock on or about May 19, 2008, including, but not limited to, all claims alleged in the Action and any claims of loss or reimbursement of expenses or legal fees, including interest on said sums, arising out of or relating to the purchase of the Property by Red Rock at the foreclosure sale of May 7, 2008 and the rescission of the Trustees Deed Upon Sale by CRC.

6.1. Each Party fully understands that the facts upon which this Agreement is executed may be found hereafter to be other than or different from the facts now
believed by them and their attorneys (if any) to be true, and expressly accept to assume
the risks of such possible differences and facts and agree that the Agreement shall remain
effective notwithstanding any such difference in facts.

7. **Governing Law.** This Agreement is made and entered into in the State of
California and shall be enforced and governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. **No Admission of Liability.** This Agreement is entered into solely as a
settlement and compromise of disputed claims; this Agreement shall not be deemed to
constitute an admission of guilt or liability by any Party.

9. **Drafting Ambiguities.** The terms of this Agreement are contractual in nature
and are not merely recitals. This Agreement shall not be construed in favor of or against
any Party to this Agreement, but shall be construed as if all Parties prepared the
Agreement. If any term, provision, covenant, or condition to this Agreement is determined
by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remainder of
the provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect and shall in no way be
affected, impaired, or invalidated, unless such invalid, void or unenforceable provision is
determined to be material to this Agreement.

10. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement, and all prior or contemporaneous
agreements, understandings, representations and statements, whether oral or written, and
whether by a Party or a Party’s legal counsel, are merged herein. No modification, waiver,
amendment, discharge, or change of this Agreement shall be valid unless the same is in
writing and signed by all Parties hereto. This Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement
between the Parties as to the subject matter contained in this Agreement.

11. **Warranty of No Sale or Assignment.** All Parties to this Agreement represent,
agree, and warrant to each other that each has not sold, assigned, or otherwise
transferred in any manner to any person or entity, any rights, duties, obligations, claims,
causes of action or other interests which are the subject matter of this Agreement, either in
whole or in part, and each agrees to indemnify and hold harmless each other from and
against all claims of every nature whatsoever which are based on or arise out of or in any
way relate to any such sale, assignment, or transfer.

12. **Execution of Other Documents.** The Parties agree to execute and deliver
any and all further documents and shall do all acts which may be necessary and
appropriate to fully implement the provisions of this Agreement within the time required by
this Agreement.

13. **Enforcement of the Agreement.** In the event that any Party brings any action
or proceeding against the other for the recovery of any sum due pursuant to this
Agreement, or due to any provision of this Agreement, or for any other relief, declaratory or
otherwise, including appeal, the prevailing Party in each such action or proceeding shall
recover its reasonable attorneys’ fees and all costs from other Party to the action or
proceeding, and that the right to reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs shall be enforceable
whether or not the actual proceeding is prosecuted to final judgment.
14. Authority to Execute Agreement. Each individual signing this Agreement warrants and represents that the individual has full authority to execute the Agreement on behalf of the Party on whose behalf the individual signs.

15. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and the counterparts shall constitute one and the same document.

16. Costs and Attorneys' Fees. The Parties agree that they shall be responsible for their own respective costs and attorneys' fees in connection with the drafting and negotiation of this Agreement, except as the parties may otherwise agree in a separate writing.

17. Venue. Should any dispute arise between the Parties as to the meaning and interpretation of this Agreement or should any of the parties be required to take legal action to enforce the terms of this Agreement, venue for any dispute shall be in Sacramento County, California.

18. Attorney Review. The Parties hereby represent and warrant and in executing this Agreement, that they have relied upon legal advice from an attorney of their choice; that the terms of this Agreement have been read and its consequences have been completely explained to them by that attorney; and that they fully understand the terms of this Agreement. The Parties further represent and warrant that in executing this Agreement, they have not relied on any inducements, promises or representations made by the other party or any person serving another party.

19. Survival of Agreement. Any and all executory provisions under the Agreement and the documents referred to herein shall survive consummation of the Agreement and shall continue in full force and effect until fully performed and satisfied.

20. Notices. All notices under the Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed effective on the date of delivery (if delivered personally and a receipt obtained therefore), or on the third calendar day after mailing if mailed by first-class mail, registered or certified, postage prepaid, and shall be addressed as follows or as may be amended by written communication pursuant to this paragraph:

Washington Mutual Bank
California Reconveyance Company
Attn: Paul Battaglia
1001 Second Avenue, WaMuT 3501
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 500-4281
Facsimile: (206) 377-2754

Red Rock Mortgage Inc.
21. Other Agreements with WaMu or CRC. Nothing contained herein shall affect, amend or modify any provision of any checking account, savings account, loan agreement, deed of trust, mortgage or any other contract or agreement between Red Rock or York and WaMu or CRC except as expressly set forth herein.

22. Taxes. WaMu makes no representation or warranty as to effect of this Agreement upon Red Rock's liabilities pursuant to federal, state or local tax laws, including, but not limited to, real property taxes or regulations. Red Rock acknowledges that any and all tax consequences of this Agreement are Red Rock's sole responsibility.

23. Confidentiality. This Agreement and its terms as recited herein are and shall be treated as strictly confidential so that no Party, nor his, her, or its counsel may disclose the fact or the terms of, or the negotiation or circumstances surrounding the negotiation of, this Agreement to anyone, except with respect to any disclosure necessary to record any document with the Sacramento County Recorder, to an insurer for purposes of obtaining insurance; or to a tax preparer/accountant for tax or financial reporting purposes (however, upon disclosure for such tax or financial reporting purposes, the person making the disclosure shall advise the person to whom disclosure is made of this confidentiality provision). A Party may disclose the fact and terms of this Agreement in order to satisfy disclosure or reporting requirements imposed by law or to enforce the terms of this Agreement. In response to any inquiry by a non-party to this Agreement concerning the Agreement, the terms of this Agreement, the negotiation or circumstances surrounding the negotiation of this Agreement, whether such inquiry is formal, in a deposition, in any proceeding, or otherwise, a Party or counsel may respond only that the Action was resolved to the satisfaction of all Parties. Furthermore, the Parties and their respective counsel agree that they will not disclose with or disclose to any non-party to this Agreement the facts, circumstances and documents relating, giving rise to or alleged in the Action, except as may be required to be provided in connection with testimony under oath by judicial process, or as required by law. Breach or the threatened breach of this confidentiality clause shall entitle the non-breaching party to seek any remedy available under the law, including injunctive relief and/or damages. Any other provision of this paragraph notwithstanding, Red Rock is authorized to (i) file a dismissal with prejudice in the Sacramento Superior Court, such as it is a part of the record in the Action and available for public inspection; and (ii) disclose the amount received and all other terms of this settlement in connection with reporting and accounting requirements under the Internal Revenue Code.
I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND FULLY UNDERSTAND THE ENTIRE AGREEMENT

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK

By: ______________________________

Its: ______________________________

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY

By: ______________________________

Its: ______________________________

Deborah Brignac, Vice President

RED ROCK MORTGAGE INC.

By: ______________________________

James York, President

_________________________________

James York
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, July 2, 2008 9:58 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Brignac, Deborah P. <deborah.brignac@wamu.net>
Cc: Martin T. McGuinn [REDACTED]
Subject: Richardson foreclosure - Red Rock settlement
Attach: [REDACTED] Modifications suggested by Sheridan doc

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Martin T. McGuinn [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 6:21 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Stevens, Lawrence W. [REDACTED]
Subject: DOCSSEA[REDACTED] Modifications suggested by Sheridan

[REDACTED]
Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcqiuin
Direct Dial: (619) 525-7...
Direct Fax: (619) 525-7...

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1856 or (619) 525-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
From:  Brignac, Deborah P.
Sent:  Wednesday, July 02, 2008 9:58:24 PM
To:  Betteslie, Paul J.
Subject:  Out of Office AutoReply: Richardson foreclosure – Red Rock settlement

I will be out of the office Friday, 7/3. If you require immediate assistance please contact Huey-Jen Chiu at (818) 775- or huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net. Thank you.

NOTE: Please direct all DIL, Senior Lien Monitoring and TDUS inquiries to crreferrals@wamu.net. Thank you.
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Wednesday, July 2, 2008 9:21 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Cc: Stevens, Lawrence W. <lawrence.stevens@wamu.net>
Subject: DOCSSSEA\_\_v2-Modifications suggested by Sheridan
Attach: DOCSSSEA\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_v2 Modifications suggested by Sheridan.doc
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 5:37 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <donald.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Scanned Documents

Attach: SCAN_20080624_22305220.pdf

Paul:

--- Original Message ---
From: Tom Sheridan
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 2:31 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Scanned Documents

--- Original Message ---
From: Tom Sheridan
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 2:55 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Cc: Robin Kopenhagen
Subject: FW: Scanned Documents

Marty,

Here is the itemized cost breakdown detailing my client's costs in relation to the Curtis Drive property. Please let me know when you expect to deliver a draft of the Settlement Agreement. Thanks.

Tom Sheridan
If the above relates to a tax matter, pursuant to IRS rules, we must advise you of the following: The advice contained in this communication was not intended or written to be used and cannot be used for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed by the Internal Revenue Service. Under IRS Rules, a taxpayer may rely on our advice to avoid penalties only if the advice is reflected in a more formal tax opinion that conforms to new IRS standards. Please contact us if you would like to discuss the preparation of a legal opinion that conforms to these rules.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact our office at (916) 920-5286. 06070603:20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-May</td>
<td>Newberry Lock</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-May</td>
<td>Scott - Labor</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-May</td>
<td>Home Depot</td>
<td>170.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-May</td>
<td>Juan Martinez - Labor</td>
<td>280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-May</td>
<td>Ramirez - Labor</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-May</td>
<td>Artistic Tile</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-May</td>
<td>Matt Wullen - Labor</td>
<td>1,083.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-May</td>
<td>Daniel Clayborne - Labor</td>
<td>1,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-May</td>
<td>Scott Plumbing</td>
<td>475.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-May</td>
<td>Merio Landscape</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-May</td>
<td>Robert Pittman Cabinets</td>
<td>1,725.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-May</td>
<td>Artistic Tile</td>
<td>2,745.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/p</td>
<td>Belsten Meyer Asset Mgmt, Inc.</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/p</td>
<td>Rmnl - Fabricated</td>
<td>190.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** 14,978.58
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 4:58:56 PM
To: Betteshie, Paul J.
Subject: Out of Office AutoReply

Richardson

I will be out of the office Wednesday, June 25th. I will return on Monday, July 7th. If you need immediate attention, please contact Nicol Peters for short sale matters and Pam Beal on modification matters.

Thank you,

Julie Mathis
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 4:58:54 PM
To: Bellegio, Paul J. 
Subject: Out of Office AutoReply

I will be out of the office Wednesday, June 25th with limited access to email. If you need immediate assistant, please contact Sue Oakley at suan.oakley@wamu.net

Thank you
Ann
FYI

From: Savannah L.
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 9:29 AM
To: Johnson, Wouza L.
Cc: Haywood, Orlinda S., Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: Richardson
Importance: High

Hi Wouza,

There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes $8169.81 + $465.49. This loan went to foreclosure which has been rescinded and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if those taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866-926-8937
Direct Fax 469-549-5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
From: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 1:53:34 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

No, we haven't opened a file on it. I will send it up.

Lawrence W. Stevens
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank Legal Department
201 Mission Street, 12th Floor
Mail Stop CSSF 112
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-278-4655 (Phone)
415-278-6612 (Fax)
lawrence.stevens@wamu.net

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 10:52 AM
To: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Go ahead and forward it to me. Do you have a file open on this? Curious why the bill went to you.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 10:50 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

Paul-- I received Marty McCauley's bill on this matter. Do you want me to sign off on it or forward to you? Thanks.

Lawrence W. Stevens
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank Legal Department
201 Mission Street, 12th Floor
Mail Stop CSSF 112
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-278-4655 (Phone)
415-278-6612 (Fax)
lawrence.stevens@wamu.net

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Go ahead and forward it to me. Do you have a file open on this? Curious why the bill went to you.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 10:50 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

Paul- I received Marty McGuinn’s bill on this matter. Do you want me to sign off on it, or forward to you? Thanks.

Lawrence W. Stevens
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank Legal Department
201 Mission Street, 12th Floor
Mail Stop CSSF-112
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-278-4555 (Phone)
415-278-6012 (Fax)
lawrence.stevens@wamu.net

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 1:49:56 PM
To: Bettaglie, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

Paul– I received Marty McGuinn's bill on this matter. Do you want me to sign off on it, or forward to you? Thanks.

Lawrence W. Stevens
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank Legal Department
201 Mission Street, 12th Floor
Mail Stop CSSF112
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-278-4595 (Phone)
415-278-6012 (Fax)
lawrence.stevens@wamu.net

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 6:35 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
Wamu
1301 Second Avenue WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206-500-8932 direct | 206-226 [cell]
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 3:13 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 2:16 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
Wamu
FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow.
Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706-7---

Thanks, Laura
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36 PM  
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,

I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886-1. I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706

Thanks,
Laura

Original Message
From: "Thorn, Ann"<ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM  
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager 
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 6:13 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 2:16 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 2nd Avenue | WMC50 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.2121 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 11:58 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow. Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706_77_77_77_77_.

Thanks, Laura

--- Forwarded Message ---
From: Laura Richardson [mailto:--[email protected]--]
To: "Thorn, Ann" <[email protected]>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,
I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886_77_77_77_77_77_77_. I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706_77_77_77_77_77_77_.

Thanks,
Laura
---- Original Message ----
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 5:16 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 11:58 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 8:41 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thu 06/19/2008 06:38 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow.
Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706 7
Thanks, Laura

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Laura Richardson
To: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,
I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886 7 I
sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to.
Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open
items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but
I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested
deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call
tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706 7

Thanks,
Laura

----- Original Message -----
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644
From: Warner, Anita M. <anita.warner@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 2:43 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: Congresswoman Richardson
Attach: e6fa7ddeb5a7370e362e3ef86732307.htm

<<...>>
Group seeks ethics probe of Calif. congresswoman

NEW YORK (Associated Press) - A watchdog group asked the House Ethics Committee to investigate U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson over her history of defaulting on home loans and other issues.

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington wants the committee to determine whether the Long Beach Democrat received what amounted to an improper gift when Washington Mutual Inc. rescinded the foreclosure sale of one of her homes last month.

Richardson's spokesman dismissed the group's complaint, which was made Wednesday, as "mean-spirited" and said the congresswoman already had initiated a meeting with a committee attorney.

The real estate investor who bought the Sacramento house at auction has sued Richardson and the savings and loan alleging the sale was proper. The sale is officially recorded in Sacramento County.

The buyer, James York, said Richardson received special treatment from Washington Mutual because she's a congresswoman. Richardson has denied that, saying the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $335,500 in January 2007 was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with Washington Mutual.
She had not paid the mortgage or property taxes on the house when it was sold in May.

Richardson also had two other homes in her Southern California district that have fallen into default six times.

The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson also has left car repair bills unpaid and failed to disclose certain financial details—including a loan from a strip club owner—when she served on the Long Beach City Council.

Moreover, even while falling behind in home payments last year, Richardson was lending herself $77,500 to finance her campaign in the contested special election congressional primary that she won in June 2007.

She then won the 37th Congressional District seat outright last August and is running unopposed for re-election in November.

"By failing to pay her debts, filing incorrect financial disclosure forms and funneling money that should have gone to pay her mortgage and property taxes to her congressional campaign, Rep. Richardson has signaled that legal and financial obligations can be ignored in the pursuit of political power," said Melanie Sloan, executive director of the ethics watchdog group.

Richardson has defended herself by saying her personal finances became a mess as she was jumping from one elective office to the next.

"The congresswoman has been very open and forthcoming about her personal financial challenges. Like many Americans facing difficulties during this economic downturn, she's confident that she will meet all of her financial obligations," Richardson spokesperson William Marshall said in a statement Wednesday evening.

"This complaint is mean-spirited. It rehashes old news and doesn't serve any purpose other than kicking a person while they're down. The fact is that Congresswoman Richardson just last week met with a House Ethics counsel and was advised that her congressional financial disclosure statement is in full accordance with what is required."

Richardson went from Long Beach City Council to the state Assembly in 2006 and a year later won the Los Angeles-area congressional seat formerly held by the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson bought the 3-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home in January 2007 as she was entering the state Legislature, four months before Millender-McDonald died of cancer.

A Washington Mutual spokeswoman did not immediately return a message. The company has declined to comment on the matter, saying Richardson had not granted permission for the company to discuss her case.

Under its own rules, the House Ethics Committee can initiate investigations on its own, when a member of Congress files a complaint or when a member of Congress certifies a complaint submitted by an outside group.

The complaint by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington is not backed by a member of Congress, so the committee has no obligation to open an investigation. A spokesman for the House Ethics Committee declined comment.
Under House rules, loans are not considered gifts if they are received by lawmakers "on terms generally available to the public." The citizens group says that if Washington Mutual gave Richardson favorable treatment, it would violate that rule.

The House ethics manual begins by stating that a lawmaker "shall conduct himself at all times in a manner which shall reflect creditably on the House of Representatives." The citizens group says Richardson did not live up to that standard.
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Subject: Accepted; Updated; Richardson Update
Location: 555-505

Start: 6/19/2008 1:00 PM
End: 6/19/2008 1:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Baptista, Gar Lan S
Resources: 988-505
Subject: Updated Richardson Update
Location: 555-395

Start: 6/19/2006 1:00 PM
End: 6/19/2006 1:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Gaudio, Sara C.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Potashnick, Barbara A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Tijuana

Resources: 555-395

When: Thursday, June 10, 2006, 10:00 AM-10:30 AM (GMT -8:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.

---

***changing time to accommodate schedules***
Subject: Accepted: Richardson Update
Location: 555-385
Start: 6/19/2006 12:00 PM
End: 6/19/2006 12:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Resources: 988-595
Subject: Updated Richardson Update
Location: Room 3

Start: 6/19/2008 1:00 PM
End: 6/19/2008 1:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Baptista, Giri Ann S.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Potashnick, Barbara A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.

Resources: 055-395

***changing time to accommodate schedules***
Subject: Richardson Update
Location: [Redacted]

Start: 6/19/2006 12:00 PM
End: 6/19/2006 12:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Baptista, Gigi Ann S.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Potashnick, Barbara A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.

Resources: 555-5951 [Redacted]

When: Thursday, June 19, 2006 9:00 AM - 9:30 AM (GMT -08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana
Where: 555-5951 [Redacted]
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 7:40 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: REDACTED
Attach: ~m9154.pdf

REDACTED

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcuquin[@]@[email protected]
Direct Dial: (619) 525-3313
Direct Fax: (619) 525-3314

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1660 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B
Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Tom Sheridan
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:32 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: New fax received from , 2 page(s).

Marly,

Here is the letter I received from Laura Richardson's counsel. I am planning on informing her that the case has been amicably resolved and that her client will be dismissed without prejudice upon the execution of a formal settlement agreement. If your client would rather you speak to her, or speak with her directly that is fine too. Let me know. Thanks.

Fax re: Laura Richardson et al adv Red Rock Mortgage

---
From: Tom Shendel; Cheryl Lingen; Darlene Tiantow
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 2:47 PM
To: Tom Sheridan; Cheryl Lingen; Darlene Tiantow
Subject: FW: New fax received from , 2 page(s).

You have received a new fax from
The image contains 2 page(s).

Network Fax

Tel:
Fax:
Email: netfax@wkleaw.com

IF THE ABOVE RELATES TO A TAX MATTER THEN, PURSUANT TO IRS RULES, WE MUST ADVISE YOU OF THE FOLLOWING: THE ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION WAS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED AND CANNOT BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. Under IRS Rules, a taxpayer may rely on our advice to avoid penalties only if the advice is reflected in a more formal tax opinion that conforms to new IRS standards. Please contact us if you would like to discuss the preparation of a legal opinion that conforms to these rules.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact our office at (915) 920-5226.
Meredith L. Caliman, Esq.
3850 Carson Street, Suite 120
Torrance, California 90503
(310) 540-5701
Fax (310) 540-5702

TELECOPY
PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

DATE: June 18, 2008
TO: Carl P. Blaine, Esq.  Tel: (916) 920-2745  Fax: (916) 920-2745
   Thomas B. Sheridan, Esq.
FROM: MEREDITH L. CALIMAN, ESQ.

CLIENT/MATTER: Laura Richardson et al. v. Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.

NO. OF PAGES: 2  OPERATOR:

IF NOT RECEIVED PROPERLY, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY AT (310) 540-5701.
June 18, 2008

VIA FAX (916) 920-

Carl P. Blaine, Esq.
Thomas B. Sheridan, Esq.
Wagner Kirkman Blaine Klomprens & Yoamans LLP
10640 Mather Blvd., Suite 200
Mather, CA 95655

Re: Laura Richardson et al adv Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
Sacramento Superior Court Case No. Unknown

Dear Sirs:

This office will be assisting Laura Richardson with respect to the above lawsuit. You should serve this office with any papers related to the lawsuit that are directed towards Ms. Richardson, including the summons and complaint.

Very truly yours,

MEREDITH L. CALIMAN

MLC:wp.laurarichardsso
Subject: FW: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

REDACTED

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101

Direct Dial: (619) 525-1
Direct Fax: (619) 525-1

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Tom Sheridan
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:15 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Cc: Robin Klonopins
Subject: Re: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

Martin,

I spoke to my client. His offer is 75,000 plus 15,000 in costs, subject to an itemized statement, and 7,000 in attorneys' fees for a total of 100,000. I think this is the end of the road for him, so I hope we can make this work. Please let me know as soon as possible, I am under a lot of pressure to get this complaint served if we are not going to settle. Thanks.
----- Original Message -----  
From: Martin T. McGuinn <MMequina@kirbymac.com>  
To: Tom Sheridan  
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:16 PM  
Subject: Re: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento  

I don't think he will want to take the time to do a breakdown until we have a tentative agreement on a number. Can you get me a number subject to a cost breakdown?

----- Original Message -----  
From: Martin T. McGuinn  
To: Tom Sheridan  
Sent: Tue Jun 17 15:18:55 2008  
Subject: Re: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento  

Yes, our counter is $65,000 plus $15,000 for expenses subject to verification and a cap of $10,000 for attorneys fees for a total of $80,000.00.

Martin T. McGuinn  
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.  
600 B Street, Ste. 1930  
San Diego, CA 92101  

immigration.dict 

Direct Dial: (619) 523-1692  
Direct Fax: (619) 523-1693  

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipients. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 523-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1930, San Diego, CA 92101.
Sert: Tue Jun 17 15:07 24 2008
Subject: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

Tom:

Can you get a break down of the $15,000 in expenses. You indicated yesterday Mr. York would be providing a list of the expenses but I have not received it yet. My client is asking me for this information. Thanks.

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.

600 B Street, Ste 1950

San Diego, CA 92101

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1559 or (619) 585-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

Tom Sheridan
Attorney at Law

106-10 Mather Blvd, Suite 200
Mather, CA 95655

Tel: (916) 920
IF THE ABOVE RELATES TO A TAX MATTER THEN, PURSUANT TO IRS RULES, WE MUST ADVISE YOU OF THE FOLLOWING: THE ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION WAS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED AND CANNOT BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. Under IRS Rules, a taxpayer may rely on our advice to avoid penalties only if the advice is reflected in a more formal tax opinion that conforms to IRS standards. Please contact us if you would like to discuss the preparation of a legal opinion that conforms to these rules.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact our office at (914) 920-5288.
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 8:13 PM
To: Berens, John <john.berens@wamu.net>; Klein, Jason C. <jason.klein@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Dan <dan.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: Laura Richardson - Red Rock Counteroffer

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 4:42 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Cook, Dan
Subject: FW: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Tom Sheridan
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 4:13 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Cc: Robin Klampanes
Subject: Re: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

Martin,

I spoke to my client. His offer is 75,000 plus 15,000 in costs, subject to an itemized statement, and 10,000 in attorney’s fees for a total of 100,000. I think this is the end of the road for him, so I hope we can make this work. Please let me know as soon as possible. I am under a lot of pressure to get this complaint served if we are not going to settle. Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Tues Jun 17 15:35 2008
Subject: RE: 3675 Curtis Street Sacramento

Yes, our counter is $50,000 plus $10,000 for expenses subject to verification and a cap of $10,000 for attorney’s fees for a total of $90,000.

Martin T. McGuinn

Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101


THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
From: Tom Sheridan
Send: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:16 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: Re: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

I don't think he will want to take the time to do a breakdown until we have a tentative agreement on a number. Can you get me a number subject to a cost breakdown?

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Martin T. McGuinn
To: Tom Sheridan
Send: Tue Jun 17 15:07:24 2008
Subject: 3622 Curtis Street Sacramento

Tom:

Can you get a breakdown of the $15,000 in expenses. You indicated yesterday Mr. York would be providing a list of the expenses but I have not received it yet. My client is asking me for this information. Thanks.

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.

600 B Street, Ste. 1950

San Diego, CA 92101

Unlisted Direct: (619) 525-7771

Direct Fax: (619) 525-7771

In Compliance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11);
THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1650 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

Tom Sheridan
Attorney at Law

10640 Mather Blvd. Suite 200

Mather, CA 95655
IF THE ABOVE RELATES TO A TAX MATTER THEN, PURSUANT TO IRS RULES, WE MUST ADVISE YOU OF THE FOLLOWING: THE ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION WAS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED AND CANNOT BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. Under IRS Rules, a taxpayer may rely on our advice to avoid penalties only if the advice is reflected in a more formal tax opinion that conforms to new IRS standards. Please contact us if you would like to discuss the preparation of a legal opinion that conforms to these rules.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact our office at (312) 920-5286. 00070603:20
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:21 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Follow-up on Loss Mit
Attach: Scan001.PDF

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice-President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 12:15 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-880-5044

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14 PM
To: 'Laura Richardson'
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5844

For Internal Use Only
June 17, 2008

Re: Washington Mutual Loan Number:
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Your e-mail to Julie Mathis dated June 12, 2008 was forwarded to me. You indicated in your e-mail that you would be working on your Homeownership Preservation package over the June 14-15 weekend. I therefore expected to receive your updated financial information sometime on Monday. It is now Tuesday, and I still have not received anything from you, nor have you called to explain your delay.

As you know, in April, 2008, you contacted WaMu asking for the amount necessary to reinstate your loan. We sent you the reinstatement figures on April 17, 2008, along with a cover letter stating that we would postpone the foreclosure proceedings to June 4, 2008. Your property then went to foreclosure sale on May 7, 2008, where it was sold to the high bidder Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. At the time of the foreclosure sale, you were in default on your loan and there was not any loan workout or modification agreement in place between you and WaMu, as your prior request for a loan modification was denied.

Due in part to your comments to me that you fully intended to pay your obligation to WaMu, we honored our commitment to postpone the foreclosure sale by instructing the foreclosure trustee to record a Notice of Recission of the foreclosure sale, thereby restoring legal title to the Property in your name.

Following the Notice of Recission, we’ve had several telephone conversations where you reiterated your desire to fulfill your loan obligation. On May 28, 2008, Wendy Woodcock and I had a telephone conversation with you where we told you that you would have to send in updated financial information for review. We sent you the required forms on June 6, 2008, but they have not yet been completed and returned for our review.

Based on your most recent assurance that you would be working on providing the financial information over the June 14-15 weekend, we expect to have all of the information that we’ve requested by the end of the day on Friday, June 20, 2008. If we do not have all of the information requested, we will reschedule the foreclosure sale of your property.

Sincerely,

Ann Thorn
Subject: Accepted: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-736[...]. Participant passcode is[...].
Start: 6/19/2006 3:30 PM
End: 6/19/2006 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-736[...]. Participant passcode is[...].
Subject: Accepted, FW: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-726- [ ] Participant passcode is [ ]

Start: 9/19/2008 3:30 PM
End: 9/19/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-726- [ ] Participant passcode is [ ]
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 3:03:08 PM
To: Oakley, Susan B.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser

We need to do this at 3:30 your time. My view of calendars showed this to be the time most invitees were available, and we need to have this call today. John Berens has accepted, so the business side should be covered with his participation.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Oakley, Susan B.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 12:00 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser

Any chance of changing the time to 4:00? She has a Well's meeting at 3:30 that has already been rescheduled a couple times to accommodate so she could be there.

Sue Robert
Executive Assistant to Ann Thom
Washington Mutual
7255 Baymeadows Way JAXA2035
Jacksonville, Fl. 32255
(904) 886-9694

Please note - Effective June 23, 2008 New Number will be (904) 462-

----Original Appointment-----
From: Samuel, Susan L. On Behalf Of Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 2:54 PM
To: Oakley, Susan B.
Subject: FW: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
When: Monday, June 16, 2008 3:30 PM-4:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: Dial in at 1-866-736- Participant passcode is

Ann, would you please attend on behalf of Steve Champney?

Thank you,
Susan Samuel
Assistant to Steven Champney
904-886-

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 7:44 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
When: Monday, June 15, 2020 3:30 PM-4:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
Where: Dial in at 1-866-735- [REDACTED]. Participant passcode is [REDACTED]

Seattle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-734- Participant passcode is[REDACTED]
Start: 6/19/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/19/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul J.; Berens, John; Chamoney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Stevens, Lawrence W.; Klein, Jason C.; Parker, Gary L.

Resources: Dial in at 1-866-734- Participant passcode is[REDACTED]

Seattle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
From: Oakley, Susan B.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 2:59:42 PM
To: Biega, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser

Any chance of changing the time to 4:00? She has a Well's meeting at 3:30 that has already been rescheduled a couple times to accommodate so she could be there.

Sue Robert
Executive Assistant to Ann Thorn
Washington Mutual
7255 Baymeadows Way JAXA 2035
Jacksonville, FL 32255
(904) 886-4425

Please note - Effective June 23, 2008 New Number will be (904) 462-

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Samuel, Susan L. On Behalf Of Biega, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 2:54 PM
To: Oakley, Susan B.
Subject: FW: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Where: Dial in at 1-866-736-9
Participant passcode 462-

Ann, would you please attend on behalf of Steve Champney?

Thank you,
Susan Samuel
Assistant To Steven Champney
904-886-

From: Biega, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 2:44 PM
To: Biega, Paul J.
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Where: Dial in at 1-866-736-9 Participant passcode 462-

Gentle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
Subject: Declined: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-736-9999 Participant passcode is 2857
Start: 6/18/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/18/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-736-9999 Participant passcode is 2857

Paul, Steve Champney will not be able to attend this afternoon.
Subject: Accepted: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-855-756-— Participant passcode ——
Start: 9/18/2008 3:30 PM
End: 9/18/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul J
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-736-— Participant passcode ——
Subject: Accepted: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-726-#### Participant passcode is ####
Start: 9/19/2006 3:30 PM
End: 9/19/2006 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul J.
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-726-#### Participant passcode is ####
Subject: Accepted: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-735... Participant passcode [redacted]
Start: 6/19/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/19/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-735... Participant passcode [redacted]
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-736-4650 Participant passcode is [REDACTED]

Start: 6/16/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/16/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul J.; Berens, John; Chamoney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Stevens, Lawrence W.; Klein, Jason C.; Parker, Gary L.

Resources: Dial in at 1-866-736-4650 Participant passcode is [REDACTED]

When: Monday, June 16, 2008 12:30 PM (GMT -08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: Dial in at 1-866-736-4650 Participant passcode is [REDACTED]

Seattle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
Gentlemen:

Marty

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1550
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcg@kirbymcguinn.com
Direct Dial: (619) 525-
Direct Fax: (619) 525-

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 695-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1550, San Diego, CA 92101.
CARL P. BLAINE (State Bar # 65229)
Email: chlaune

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN (State Bar #249306)
Email: sheriand

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE
KLOMPARENS & YOUMANS LLP
10640 Mather Blvd., Suite 200
Mather, California 95655
 Telephone: (916) 920-5786
 Facsimile: (916) 920-8608

Attorneys for Plaintiff
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK,
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY,
LAURA RICHARDSON, and all persons
unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right,
title, estate, lien, or interest in the property
described in the complaint adverse to Plaintiff's
title, or any cloud on Plaintiff's title, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive, Defendants.

Plaintiff, RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. ("Plaintiff") is, and at all times herein
mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California

2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK ("WAMU") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a
federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States and doing
business in the state of California.

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY ("CRC") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

4. The real property that is the subject of this action, 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California, Assessor's Parcel No. 013-0263-001-0000 ("Subject Property") is located in Sacramento County, California.

5. The Defendants named herein as "all persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property described in the Complaint adverse to plaintiff's title, or any cloud on plaintiff's title thereto" ("Unknown Defendants") are unknown to Plaintiff. These Unknown Defendants, and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property, and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

6. Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 1-10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 11-20, inclusive, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property, and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on or about January 10, 2007, a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") was recorded against the Subject Property to secure a loan in the amount $535,001.00 made by WAMU to LAURA RICHARDSON. CRC is the trustee under the Deed of Trust. (Attached hereto as Exhibit A, incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust.)
9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at some point prior to December 17, 2007, Defendant RICHARDSON defaulted on the payments due on the loan secured by the Deed of Trust.

10. On December 17, 2007, CRC recorded a Notice of Default on the Subject Property. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Default.) The Notice of Default provides that, as of December 13, 2007, the amount of the default was $18,356.40.

11. On March 19, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Trustee's sale on the Subject Property, advertising the Trustee's Sale of the Subject Property to the general public. (Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Trustee's Sale.) Pursuant to the Notice of Trustee's Sale, the unpaid balance and other charges was approximately $378,384.52.

12. The Notice of Trustee's Sale provides that the sale was to occur on April 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., at the main entrance to the Sacramento County Courthouse, 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale was postponed to May 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., to be held at the same location.

14. In accordance with the Notice of Trustee's Sale and the duly noticed postponement thereof, on May 7, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at the trustee's sale to bid on the Subject Property. Plaintiff secured a cashier's check in order to qualify to bid at the sale.

15. At the noticed time and place, CRC conducted the trustee's sale of the Subject Property. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that Defendants complied with all statutory requirements in conducting the trustee's sale of the Subject Property.

16. Plaintiff bid at the trustee's sale. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the trustee's sale with a bid of $388,000.01.

17. Plaintiff timely delivered a cashier's check in the amount of $388,000.01 to CRC. CRC accepted the cashier's check from Plaintiff. (Attached hereto as Exhibit D & E.
respectively, and incorporated herein by reference, are true and correct copies of the receipt of
funds issued to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's cashier's check stub.)

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale of the Subject
Property was final and no further acts were required to consummate the sale.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about May 9,
2008, Defendants issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, to be recorded in Sacramento County
granting and conveying title and interest in the Subject Property to the Plaintiff. The Trustee's
Deed Upon Sale was recorded on May 19, 2008. (Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and
incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Trustee's Deed upon sale.)

20. Immediately after Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property, Plaintiff
immediately began improving the property and preparing to sell it, as Plaintiff is in the
business of buying and selling real estate. Among other things, Plaintiff painted select
portions of the residence, refurbished the flooring inside the residence, and performed general
clean-up and landscaping in and around the Subject Property at its expense. Plaintiff is
informed and believes and thereon alleges that the improvements made by Plaintiff enhanced
the value of the Subject Property.

21. On or about May 28, 2008, WAMU contacted Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff
that it wished to rescind the sale. Plaintiff informed WAMU that Plaintiff was the highest
bidder at the Trustee's Sale and that it had paid the full consideration in the manner specified
by the Notice of Trustee's Sale. Plaintiff also informed WAMU that Plaintiff had been issued
the Trustee's Deed, and recorded it, and had no intention of rescinding.

22. On or about June 2, 2008, Defendants recorded a Notice of Rescission at the
Sacramento County Recorder's Office. (Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein
by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission.)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Slender of Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Dues 1-10, inclusive)

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.

24. On or about May 7, 2008, Plaintiff became the fee owner of the Subject Property based upon its purchase of the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about June 2, 2008, Defendants willfully, wrongfully, without justification, and without privilege caused to be recorded a Notice of Rescission.

26. The Notice of Rescission was false and continues to cause doubt to be cast on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

27. The recording of the Notice of Rescission directly impairs the vendibility of the property on the open market. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants recording of the Notice of Rescission.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, in doing the things herein alleged, WAMU and CRC acted with malice, oppression, and/or fraud as defined under Civ. Code § 3294(c), in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

29. The recording of the Notice of Rescission made it necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys and to bring this action. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred. The exact amount of such damages is not known to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known, or on proof thereof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

[Cancellation of Cloud on Title]

30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Defendants claim an interest in the Subject Property which is adverse to the Plaintiff. Defendants' interest in the Subject Property is purportedly based on the Notice of
Recession, recorded on June 2, 2008, which states that the sale is rescinded.

32. The Notice of Recession is invalid and void because the Defendant has no further rights to the Subject Property after the Trustee Sale was completed and the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale was issued and recorded.

33. Defendants’ claim to the Subject Property clouds the Plaintiff’s title, depreciates the property’s market value, and prevents Plaintiff from enjoying the use of the Subject Property.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

[Quiet Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive)

34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

35. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the fee simple title to the Subject Property.

36. The basis of Plaintiff’s title is that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property; Plaintiff tendered the full amount of its bid to the trustee; the trustee accepted Plaintiff’s tender; and, the trustee issued a Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale to Plaintiff, which was subsequently recorded, granting Plaintiff fee simple title to the Subject Property.

37. Plaintiff is seeking to quiet title against the claims of WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive, as follows: a Notice of Recession was recorded in Sacramento County California, Book 20080602, Page 0885, by CRC and WAMU purportedly rescinding the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale issued to Plaintiff which would have the effect of restoring the state of title to the Subject Property to the status quo prior to the recordation of the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale; the claims of all the Unknown Defendants and Does 11-20, inclusive, whether or not the claim or cloud is known to Plaintiff. The claims of Defendants are without any right whatsoever and such Defendants have no right, title, estate, lien, or interest whatever in the Subject Property or any part thereof.
38. Plaintiff seeks to quiet title to the Subject Property as of May 7, 2008, which is the date Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property at the Trustee’s Sale.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**[Unjust Enrichment]**

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, and DOES 1-10, inclusive)

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. Plaintiff has completed numerous improvements to the Subject Property, which have significantly increased its value. If Defendants are permitted to rescind the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale, Defendants will be unjustly enriched by their retention of the increased value of the Subject Property.

**PRAYER**

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For general damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;
2. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
3. For the Notice of Rescission to be delivered to the clerk for the court for cancellation and that it be declared void;
4. For a judgment that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the Subject Property and that Defendants have no interest in the Subject Property adverse to Plaintiff;
5. For restitution;
6. For attorneys’ fees and costs incurred herein; and
7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

**DATED:** 6/1/2008

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE
KLOMPARENS & YOUMANS LLP

By:

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN
Attorneys for RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
EXHIBIT A
DEED OF TRUST

DEFINITIONS
Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated January 4, 2007, together with all Riders to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is Laura Richardson, An Unmarried Woman

Borrower's address is 717 E Vernon St, Long Beach, CA 90805.

(C) "Lender" is Washington Mutual Bank

Lender is a federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States.
Lender's address is 1400 South Douglass Road, Suite 100, Anaheim, CA 92805

Lender is the beneficiary under the Security Instrument.

(D) "Trustee" is California Reconveyance Company, a California corporation

(E) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated January 4, 2007.

The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Five Hundred Thirty Five Thousand One and No/100 Dollars (U.S. $535,001.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular periodic payments and to pay the debt in full not later than February 1, 2007.

(F) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

(G) "Lease" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(H) "Riders" means all riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following riders are to be executed by Borrower (check box as applicable):

- Adjustable Rate Rider
- Condominium Rider
- Second Home Rider
- Balloon Rider
- Planned Unit Development Rider
- 1-4 Family Rider
- VA Rider
- Biweekly Payment Rider
- Other(s) [specify]

(I) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable opinions.

(J) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(K) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.

(L) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(M) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveniences in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.

(N) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.

(O) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(P) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Sections 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulations, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Parts 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard
to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

O) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY
This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (a) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (b) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County of SACRAMENTO:

Legal Description Attached Hereto And Made A Part Hereof

Exhibit A

 parcel ID Number:
3622 West Curtis Drive
Sacramento, California 95818
("Property Address").

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all casements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property."

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seized of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS, BORROWER and LENDER covenants and agree as follows.

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S.
currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer’s check or cashier’s check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment of the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payment due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note, (b) principal due under the Note, (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, such payments can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) household payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premiums for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5, and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premium in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items when Lender waives Borrower’s obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower’s obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be
in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a condition and agreement contained in this Security instrument, as the phrase "condition and agreement" is used in Section 3. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an instrument whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess Funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can become priority over this Security instrument, leasehold rentals or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3. Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contests the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can become priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the
lent. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

2. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remapping or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard, or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the then current rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee. Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, no coverage required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any moneys or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjustors, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with
the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purposes. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repair or restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower's obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any persons or entities acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under the Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assuming the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender's actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable
attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or its rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building to other unsafe conditions or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage insurance in effect if, for any reason, the Mortgage insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender required) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirements for Mortgage insurance end in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchased the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, any other insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.
(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has - if any - with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.

11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Foreclosure. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not released. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, rescinate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Foreclosure By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender.

[Signature]
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to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify or amend any documents of the same secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any payment made by the Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender’s acceptance of payment from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amount less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signalers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower’s obligations and liability shall be joint and several. Borrower Covenant and agrees that Borrower’s obligations and liability shall be joint and several. Borrower and any other Borrower shall not be liable to Borrower’s obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 28) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower’s default, for the purpose of protecting Lender’s interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument including, but not limited to, attorneys’ fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender shall not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower’s notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address of Borrower to Lender shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower’s change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower’s change of address, then Borrower shall report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Borrower’s address stated herein unless Borrower has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.
16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding nouns or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a deed for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 of this Security Instrument, in which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

19. Borrower’s Right to Reinstatement After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) each other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower’s right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any defaults of any other covenants or agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d) takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer’s check or cashier’s check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Escrowed Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information.
requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is
serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations
to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not
assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an
individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this
Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by
reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such
notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the
other party herein a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If
Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time
period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and
opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to
Borrower pursuant to Section 15 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective
action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those
substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the
following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides
and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials;
(b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that
relate to health, safety, or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response
action, remedial action, or removal action as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental
Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental
Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous
Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do,
or allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental
Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a
Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding
two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of
Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to
maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit
or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any
Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any
Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharging, release or threat of
release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a
Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by
any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any removal or other remediation
of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary
remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on
Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.
NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 20 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to contest after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument without further demand and may invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute a written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold. Trustee shall cause this notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender or Trustee shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the other persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and to any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Lender may charge such person or persons a reasonable fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law. If the fee charged does not exceed the fee set by Applicable Law, the fee is conclusively presumed to be reasonable.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder by an instrument executed and acknowledged by Lender and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the county in which the Property is located. The instrument shall contain the name of the original Lender, Trustee and Borrower, the book and page where this Security Instrument is recorded and the name and address of the successor trustee. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, powers and duties conferred upon the Trustee herein and by Applicable Law. This procedure for substitution of trustee shall govern in the exclusion of all other provisions for substitution.

25. Statement of Obligation Fee. Lender may collect a fee not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by Applicable Law for furnishing the statement of obligation as provided by Section 2943 of the Civil Code of California.
BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesses:

[Signatures and Seals]

Laury Richardson

(Borrower)

(Borrower)

(Borrower)

(Borrower)
State of California
County of Sacramento

On January 4, 2007 before me, Nikki Davis a notary public
personally appeared

Laura Richardson

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

NIKKI DAVIS
COMM. #15920769
Notary Public- California
SACRAMENTO COUNTY

(Seal)
Exhibit "A"
Legal Description

Lot 259 as shown on the official "Plat of South Curt's Oaks Subdivision No. 6", filed in the office of the County Recorder of Sacramento County, February 19, 1927 in Book 19 of Maps, Map No. 19.
FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made on this 4th day of January, 2007, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to Washington Mutual Bank ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:
3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

[Property Address]

THE NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE FROM THE INITIAL FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN THE MONTHLY PAYMENT. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

A. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of 8.800%. The Note provides for a change in the initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and for changes in the monthly payments, as follows:

4. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

(A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate Borrower will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the first day of February, 2009, and the interest rate Borrower will pay may change on that day every 6th month thereafter. Each date on which Borrower's interest rate could change is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, Borrower's interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for six months U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in The Wall Street Journal. The most recent index figure available as of the date 45 days before the Change Date is called the "Current Index."

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give Borrower notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes
Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate Borrower’s new interest rate by adding Four and 99/100 percentage points (4.990 %) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be Borrower’s new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that Borrower is expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at Borrower’s new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of Borrower’s monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes
The interest rate Borrower is required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.600 % or less than 8.800 %. Thereafter, Borrower’s interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than One percentage point (1.000 %) from the rate of interest Borrower has been paying for the preceding months. Borrower’s interest rate will never be greater than 14.800 % or less than 8.800 %.

(E) Effective Date of Changes
Borrower’s new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. Borrower will pay the amount of Borrower’s new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes
The Note Holder will deliver or mail to Borrower a notice of any changes in Borrower’s interest rate and the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment before the effective date of any change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to the Borrower and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question Borrower may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER
Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument is amended to read as follows:

(A) Until Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument provides as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.
(B) When Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall then instead provide as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a deed for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
4140623 (0609)
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9290 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 11 06 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311
888-692-9992
(818)775-2288 (Fax)

Sacramento County Recording
Craig A Kramer, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20071214 PAGE 0358

SOMETHING/4/2-1

Space above this line for recorder's use only

Trustee Sale No. 7223976A Loan No. [ ] Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,366.40 as of December 13, 2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or mortgagee may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagee may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the first paragraph of this notice, unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
To find out the amount you must pay, or to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY, JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256, (877) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 2007010, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 013-0363-001 Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 08/31/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCYCMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: December 13, 2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary,
By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

Marilyn L. Aguas
EXHIBIT C
NOTICE OF TRUSTEE’S SALE

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 01/24/2007. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER.

On 04/07/2008 at 01:30 PM, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY as the duly appointed Trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1010, Instrument 20070110, and official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, executed by: LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as Beneficiary, will sell at public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, cashier’s check drawn by a state or national bank, a cashier’s check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a cashier’s check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state. Sale will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all real, personal, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to the Deed of Trust. The sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale.

PRICE OF SALE: AT THE MAIN ENTRANCE TO THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 720 9TH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA

Legal Description: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 16

Amount of unpaid balance and other charges: $578,284.52 (estimated)
Street address and other common designation of the real property: 3099 WEST CURTIS DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95819

APN Number: 013-0383-001-0000

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein. The property hereinafter described is being sold "as is"

DATE: 03-17-2009

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee
(714) 283-7880 or www.fidbfa.com
(714) 273-1985 or www.proconvest.com

DESIGNATED TRUSTEE, VICE PRESIDENT
8200 OAKDALE AVE
MAILSTOP N11013
CHATSWORTH, CA 91311

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
EXHIBIT D
## RECEIPT OF FUNDS AND INSTRUCTIONS

**T.S. NO.** 723397 CA  | **PRIORITY NO.** 327385  | **DATE** 5-7-08  

**TRUSTEE:** California Reconveyance Co.  

**ADDRESS:** 9200 Oakdale Ave  | P.O. Box 110612  

**CITY:** Chatsworth  | **STATE:** CA  | **ZIP:** 91311  

**PHONE NO.**  | **CONTACT:** Deborah Brignac

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHECK NO.</th>
<th>NAME OF BANK</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04235906471</td>
<td>Wells Fargo BK</td>
<td>$388,000.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OF ANY CASH RECEIVED:**  

**SUCCESSFUL BID:** $388,000.01  

**TRANSFER TAX:** $  

**RECORDING FEES:** $  

**REFUND PAYABLE TO:** N/A  

**RECEIVED BY:**  

**BUYERS SIGNATURE:**  

**BUYERS NAME:** Jim York  

**DRIVERS LICENSE NO.:**  

**TITLE TO PROPERTY TO BE VESTED AS:** Red Rock Mortgage

**ADDRESS:** 5600 American River Dr. #135  

**CITY:** Sacramento  | **STATE:** CA  | **ZIP:** 95817  

**PHONE NO.**  

**JPMC - 002255**  

**CONFIDENTIAL**  

**CSOG.RICH.002744**
EXHIBIT E
CASHIER'S CHECK

PAY TO THE ORDER OF ***CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY***

***Three hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars and 01 cent***

SERIAL # 022254
ACCOUNT #

May 07, 2008

**$388,000.01**

NON-NEGOTIABLE

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.
440 CAPITOL MALL
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
FOR INQUIRIES CALL (440) 591-7122

NOTICE TO PURCHASER - IF THIS INSTRUMENT IS LOST, STOLEN OR DESTROYED, YOU MAY REQUEST CANCELLATION AND REIMBURSEMENT AS A CONSEQUENCE OF CANCELLATION AND REIMBURSEMENT; WELLS FARGO BANK MAY IMPOSE A FEE AND REQUIRES AN INSURANCE AGREEMENT AND RISCO

Purchaser Copy

3-09: 70060331
EXHIBIT F
TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE

The undersigned grantor declares:
1) The Grantee herein was not the foreclosing beneficiary.
2) The amount of the unpaid debt together with costs was $574,023.87
3) The amount paid by the grantee at the trustee sale was $338,400.01
4) The documentary transfer tax is $27,35
5) Said property is in SACRAMENTO

and CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee or substituted Trustee under the Deed of Trust hereinafter described, does hereby grant and convey, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. (herein called Grantee), all of its right, title and interest in and to that certain property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of California, described as follows: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL “PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6”, FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

RECITALS:
This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1518. Instrument of official records of SACRAMENTO County, California, and after fulfillment of the conditions specified in said Deed of Trust authorizing this conveyance.

Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and such default still existed at the time of sale.

All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of a Sale have been complied with.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee's Sale and in exercise of its powers under said
Deed of Trust, sold the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being
the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being
$388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary
of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee's Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, "Notary Public" personally appeared KARIME
ARIA, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s)
is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the
same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument
the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature [Signature]

IRMA GARCIA TORRES
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires Aug 17, 2008

JPMC - 002260
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003749
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/07/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under
   naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary,
   securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $559,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA,
   described as follows:
   LOT 258 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL “PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6TH,” FILED IN THE OFFICE

   APN: [Redacted]
   Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth
   in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of
   SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the
   highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $300,000.00.

5. The Trustee’s Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had
   previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee’s sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore
   null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the
   status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee’s Sale.

NOW THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE’S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON
SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON
SALE DATED 05/08/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND
RECORDED 05/19/2008 IN BOOK 20080519, PAGE 0457, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY
RESCIND AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereeto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY Colleen Irby, Assistant Secretary

BY Karina Anae, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5/29/08 before me, SIERRIE HERESADURA, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature (Seal)
Excellent. Sorry I didn’t use outlook calendaring. The technology is hard.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-509-
Fax: 206-377-

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Klein, Jason C.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:45 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Stevens, Lawrence W.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Larry should join us.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:44 PM
To: Klein, Jason C.; Martin T. McGuire; Cook, Don; Parker, Gary L.
Subject: Laura Richardson

I’ve spoken to Jason and Marty and left a message with Gary. We’d like to have a 4:00 call to discuss the Laura Richardson matter.

Gary, can you come to our floor and you, Don and I will grab a conference room.

Jason and Marty, please dial in to my conference number.

Number: 866-736-
Participant Code: -

Thanks.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-509-
Fax: 206-377-

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
From: Parker, Gary L.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 3:45:50 PM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Klein, Jason C.; Martin T. McGuinn; Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

I'll meet you on your floor at 4:00.

Gary Parker
Legal Department
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Ave.
Seattle, WA 98101
206.300-1 direct, 206.377- Fax
gary.parker@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-------------------------------------------------------------------
From: Bettaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:44 PM
To: Klein, Jason C.; Martin T. McGuinn; Cook, Don; Parker, Gary L.
Subject: Laura Richardson

I've spoken to Jason and Marty and left a message with Gary. We'd like to have a 4:00 call to discuss the Laura Richardson matter.

Gary, can you come to our floor and you, Don and I will grab a conference room.

Jason and Marty, please dial in to my conference number.

Number: 866-736- Participant Code: 1

Thanks.

Paul J. Bettaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500- Fax: 206-377-

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
Larry should join us.

I've spoken to Jason and Marty and left a message with Gary. We'd like to have a 4:00 call to discuss the Laura Richardson matter.

Gary, can you come to our floor and you, Don and I will grab a conference room.

Jason and Marty, please dial into my conference number.

Number: 866-736-
Participant Code: -

Thanks.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3301
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-
Fax: 206-377-

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 3:44:10 PM
To: Klein, Jason C.; Martin T. McGurren; Cook, Don; Parker, Gary L.
Subject: Laura Richardson

I've spoken to Jason and Marty and left a message with Gary. We'd like to have a 4:00 call to discuss the Laura Richardson matter.

Gary, can you come to our floor and you, Don and I will grab a conference room.

Jason and Marty, please dial in to my conference number.

Number: 866-736-

Participant Code: [__] __-__

Thanks.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-
Fax: 206-277-

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
FYI. Washington Post story.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500- — —
Fax: 206-377- — —

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----------------------------------------------

From: Gagli, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 8:30 AM
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.
Cc: Elias, Alan

Subject: Congresswoman’s Financial Report: This Won’t Be Pretty.

FYI, below. It appears that the House members’ annual financial reports are scheduled to be released on Monday, which may include specific information about Ms. Richardson’s home loan issues.

Just so you are aware, I communicated to Mary Ann that I couldn’t discuss Ms. Richardson’s loan situation (not foreclosure sale).

I’ll let you know if I receive any media calls today.

Congresswoman’s Financial Report: This Won’t Be Pretty.
Mary Ann Akers And Paul Kene
12 June 2008
The Washington Post

We may learn more about Rep. Laura Richardson’s (D-Calif) home foreclosure problems Monday, when House members’ annual financial reports are scheduled to be released.

Richardson filed her report on time rather than seeking an extension, her spokesman, William Marshall, tells On the Hill. But Marshall says he can “neither confirm nor deny” whether the disclosure report will reflect the full extent of the beleaguered congresswoman’s financial woes, which include foreclosure on one home and loan defaults on two others.

Marshall declined to answer questions about how Richardson, who won a special election last August, wound up in such dire straits. She lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure after failing to make payments, at the same time that she reportedly owed Sacramento County some $9,000 in property taxes and defaulted on loans six times on two other California homes.

In the meantime, however, Richardson rose in one year from Long Beach councilwoman to state assemblywoman to a member of the U.S. Congress.
According to the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson also failed to pay hundreds of dollars worth of car repairs to one mechanic, then ultimately abandoned the car at another auto body shop.

Yesterday, the story took a new twist. The Los Angeles Times reported that the home Richardson lost in foreclosure could be returned to her, because the lender, Washington Mutual, filed a letter of rescission of the foreclosure sale and asked the new owner for the keys back.

"They took the property back, and they didn't even send back the money," the new owner, real estate investor James York, told the Times. "It's clear what's happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs," he said. "You don't have to be smart to understand what's happening."

Richardson isn't saying anything. Referring to the congresswoman's lender, her spokesman told us, "This is about Washington Mutual." He urged us to call Washington Mutual for comment. But a Washington Mutual spokeswoman told us she couldn't comment on the foreclosure sale because Congresswoman Richardson "has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

The left-leaning watchdog group Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington calls Richardson a "deadbeat congresswoman."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WHCAO | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.0277 | direct | 206.220.4658 | cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 5:56 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn <[mailto:Martin.T.McGuinn@wamu.com]>
Subject: FW: Loan No 002270 - Richardson
Attach: Check.pdf

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2781

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 2:43 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Loan No 002270 - Richardson

REDACTED

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans

California Reconveyance Company
9200 Oakdale Avenue, N110012
Chatsworth, CA 91311

619-773- direct
huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 2:05 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: RE: Loan No 002270 - Richardson
REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2704

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jan
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2008 1:37 PM
To: mmcguinty@kirbymac.com
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.; Brignac, Deborah P.
Subject: RE: Loan No. 12345 - Richardson

REDACTED

Huey-Jan Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans
California Reconveyance Company
9200 Oakdale Avenue, N110612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jan.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jan
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 4:45 PM
To: mmcguinty@kirbymac.com
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Loan No. 12345 - Richardson

Check has been sent out via FedEx, 7610-7974-6682 along with a conformed copy of the recision of trust deed, which I am attaching to this email.
PAY TO THE ORDER OF Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. $391,190.05

Three Hundred Ninety-One Thousand One Hundred Ninety and 05/100 Dollars

Debora Byrns

CALIFORNIA RECOVERY COMPANY

Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
Invalid Sale

Union Bank

CALIFORNIA RECOVERY COMPANY

Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
Invalid Sale

Union Bank
From: Chiu, Huey-Jen <huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 5:43 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Loan No.  graphene - Richardson
Attach: Check.pdf

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 2:05 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: RE: Loan No. graphene - Richardson

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 1:37 PM
To: mmguinn@kirbymac.com
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.; Strigler, Deborah P.
Subject: RE: Loan No. graphene - Richardson

Good afternoon,
Huay-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans

California Reconveyance Company
9200 Oakdale Avenue, N110612
Chatsworth, CA 91311

818-775-2340 direct
huay-ju.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Chiu, Huay-Jen
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 4:45 PM
To: mungwin@kirbyman.com
Cc: Bettaglia, Paul
Subject: Loan No. [REDACTED] Richardson
PAY TO THE ORDER OF Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.

Three Hundred Ninety-One Thousand One Hundred Ninety and 05/100 Dollars

MEMO

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY

Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
Invalid Sale

Union Bank

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY

Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
Invalid Sale

Union Bank
From: Chiu, Huey-Jen <huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 4:37 PM
To: mmcmguirn @wamu.net
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Brignac, Deborah P. <deborah.brignac@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Loan No. 321-33 - Richardson
Attach: Document.pdf

REDACTED

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconnaissance Company
Home Loans

California Reconnaissance Company
9200 Oakdale Avenue, N10612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 4:45 PM
To: mmcmguirn@kirbymac.com
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Loan No. 321-33 - Richardson

REDACTED
June 10, 2008

Via Federal Express

Huey-Jen Chiu
Vice President
California Reconveyance Company
9200 Oakdale Ave.
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Re: James York and Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
   Trustee Sale No. J-______
   Loan No. L-______
   Property Address: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA

Dear Mr. Chiu,

I am returning your check in the sum of $319,109.05. By returning this check, I am also rejecting your offer to rescind the foreclosure sale. Please refer any questions or comments to Tom Sheridan at Wagner Kirkman et al, telephone number (916) 920-5286, to whom any litigation matters relating to this has been referred.

Donna T. Parkinson

Very truly yours,

PARKINSON PHINNEY

[Signature]

By Donna T. Parkinson
DTP: tb
Enclosures

Cc: Jim York
    Tom Sheridan
    Robin Klomprennt
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 4:47:42 PM
To: Bettiglio, Paul J.
Subject: http://thedistrictweekly.com/daily/staff-infection/briefing/congresswoman-laura-richardson-gets-her-house-back

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC43 | Seattle WA 98101
206 500 7 Correct 206 224 1 Cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 4:31:57 PM
To: Bettegli, Paul J.
Subject: Out of Office AutoReply: Laura Richardson

Thank you for your email. I am out of the office the week of June 9th returning June 16th. I will have sporatic access to email during this week. Should you need immediate attention please call Seattle, Erik Strom at 206-500[---]or erik.strom@wamu.net. I will respond to your email when I return. Thank you. Jan
Subject: Accepted | Updated: Richardson Update
Location: 877-709 | passcode: 0000
Start: 6/5/2008 7:00 PM
End: 6/5/2008 8:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-709 | passcode: 0000
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From: Martin T. McGaig [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2008 1:32 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: Melendrez v D&I Investment Inc 05 WL 704130 BFP is not defined by CC 2924 Yates rejected pdf:002 MTM to Parkinson Enclosing Rescission 6-2-08 pdf:003 Sheridan to MTM dtd 6-3-08.pdf

[redacted]
Westlaw.

2005 WI 704130
2005 WI 704130 (Cal.App. 6 Dist.)
(Cite as: 2005 WI 704130 (Cal.App. 6 Dist.))

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

Court of Appeal, Sixth District, California.
Miguel Melendez et al., Plaintiffs and
Appellants,

v.

D & T Investment, Inc., Defendant and
Respondent.

No. H027698.
March 29, 2005.

Santa Cruz County Superior Court, Superior Court No.
CV141720, Hon. Robert B. Atch.

Maryam S. Karsan, Richard S. Timon, Timon &
Walsh, Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Appellants.

Edward C. McDonald, Jr., McDonald Law Offices,
Benjamin R. Levinson, Law Office of Benjamin R.
Levinson, Pariso, Kelly, Dunn W., Shereshefsky, A,:
Adelson, Hess & Kelly, Robert J. Barlow, Barlow Law
Offices, Attorneys for Defendant/Respondent.

PRESIM, J.

*1 Miguel and Maria Melendez (Borrowers) lost their
Watsonville home to foreclosure in July 2001 over a
nonjudicial foreclosure sale. They made a third,
subsequent payment, but court held the foreclosure
sale took place on July 25, 2001, and Borrowers
were foreclosed.

Borrowers sued Defendant Trustee (Trustee) for
breach of contract, misrepresentation, and
violating the terms of their second loan note.

The trial court ruled that Borrowers had no
prima facie case. The court granted Trustee’s
motion for summary judgment. Borrowers Appeal.

FACTS

1. Borrowers’ Loan And Default

In or about June 1987, Borrowers executed a
Note and Deed of Trust in favor of Great Western
Savings, FSB, (Lender). The Note granted a security
interest in residential property located at 61 White
Street, Watsonville, California (Property). Borrowers
defaulted on the loan, and Lender initiated foreclosure
proceedings in January 2001. [Fn2]

*2 After a three-day bench trial, the court
concluded that

the trustee’s sale was valid. It decided that the sale did
not violate the representation agreement between
Borrowers and Lender. It held further that Borrower was a
bona fide purchaser for value (BFP) of the property at
the sale.

Borrowers appeal. They argue that the trustee’s sale
was invalid because it took place notwithstanding a
repayment agreement under which Lender agreed to
postpone the sale. Borrowers contend further that the
court erred by applying the wrong legal standard in
reaching the conclusion that Borrower was a BFP. They
assert that the correct standard required significant
consideration of the fact that Borrower was experienced
in foreclosure sales.

We review case law suggesting that an experienced
foreclosure buyer who acquires property at significantly
less than its fair market value cannot be a BFP and
reject that conclusion. Accordingly, we hold that the
trial court properly determined that Borrower was a BFP.

The conclusion flowing directly from the fact that
Borrower was a BFP was that the trustee’s sale was
unavailable to Borrower in the absence of fraud. Since
there was no evidence of fraud chargeable to Borrower, we
conclude that the court properly rejected Borrower’s
request to void the trustee’s sale. We thus affirm the
judgment.

FN2 All dates are 2001 unless otherwise
indicated.

On January 26, Lender, through the trustee, California

Reconveyance Company (Trustee), recorded a notice of default. Borrowers received a copy of the notice. The default notice indicated that Borrowers had defaulted with respect to monthly payments commencing in November 2000, and that the amount due under the loan as of January 24 was $4,200.08.

Lender, through Trustee, recorded a notice of trustee's sale, dated April 27; the notice set the sale date for May 22. Borrowers received a copy of the sale notice as well. The trustee's sale was postponed initially from May 22 to June 4; it was postponed a second time to July 16. [FN3]

\[FN3\] The Trustee's certificate of postponement indicated that the sale was postponed from June 4 to July 16. The complaint, however, noted, consistent with testimony by Lender's representatives and Lender's records—that the sale was postponed from June 4 to July 14. Since July 14 was a Saturday and it is undisputed—on the records of the transaction—that the trustee's sale took place on July 16, it appears that the Trustee postponed the sale from June 4 to July 14 because July 14 was a Saturday.

**II. Repayment (Security) Agreement**

After receiving the notice of sale in early May, Miguel Melendez contacted Lender to make payment arrangements concerning the default. [FN4] Miguel understood from his telephone conversation with Lender's representative, Mary Garcia, that he and his wife, Maria, needed to make three payments, namely, (1) $5,000 before the sale date, (2) a payment at the end of June, and (3) a payment at the end of July. According to Miguel's testimony, Garcia said that, if the $5,000 payment was made before the sale date, Lender would "cancel" the sale. [FN5] Miguel understood further that, if either of the payments due in June or July were missed, Lender would "start" the sale again.

\[FN4\] For convenience and clarity, we refer to Mr. and Mrs. Melendez by their first names. We mean no disrespect in doing so.

\[FN5\] The written repayment agreement contradicted Miguel's understanding from the telephone conversation with Garcia that the sale would be "canceled." It provided that the trustee's sale would not proceed on May 22, if Borrowers made all of the required payments, and that the sale would be postponed from time to time if timely payments were made. In addition, Garcia testified that she merely "looked at the preliminary information," and that the repayment plan was later "set up" (i.e., written agreement was prepared) by another employee, Robb Carter. Garcia testified that the repayment plan that she discussed with Miguel involved a postponement of the May 22 trustee's sale as long as Borrowers made the initial payment.

Thereafter on May 3, Lender faxed a letter (Repayment Agreement, or Agreement) to Borrowers at Maria's store. Miguel was in Greenfield at the time and asked Maria to sign the Repayment Agreement on his behalf and return it by fax to Lender. Maria signed it for herself and on her husband's behalf, and returned it to Lender by fax on May 3.

The typeface of the Repayment Agreement faxed on May 3 was indecipherably unclear. Patricia Friedberg (Lender's vice president) testified that it was faxed to Borrowers not only on May 3, but also on May 22, May 23, and May 29. Miguel testified that he was able to read "part of" the Agreement but did not attempt to obtain a better copy. Maria—who does not read English—did not read the agreement and was not concerned about its legibility.

The Repayment Agreement [FN6] provided: "This letter, when signed by you, dated and returned to Washington Mutual as indicated below, will be your agreement with Washington Mutual as to the repayment arrangement negotiated on 03-18-2001 [illegible] the outstanding delinquency on the above-referenced loan. The following are the terms of the repayment plan which were agreed upon:

\[FN6\] Our quotation of portions of the Agreement is based upon our review of the
The letter stated that all payments were to be made "in CERTIFIED FUNDS ONLY," and that they were required to be received in our office on or before the due dates indicated, not mailed on those dates. Any grace period afforded by your loan documents is not applicable to the terms of this repayment arrangement."

On the issue of postponement of the trustee’s sale, the Agreement provided: "Washington Mutual shall not proceed with the Trustee’s Sale on 02/22/01 provided that you make all payments required under the terms of this Agreement and all other payments required under the subject promissory note ... The Trustee’s Sale shall initially be postponed to a date following the next payment due under this Agreement. Subsequent postponements will occur after each timely payment is received under the terms of this repayment arrangement..." Should timely payments not be received as described in this arrangement, we reserve the right to immediately proceed with the scheduled Trustee’s Sale without further notice.

III. Alleged Modification Of Repayment Agreement

*3 On or about May 18, Borrowers made the $5,000 payment by cashier’s check under the Agreement. Several days later, Miguel spoke to Lender’s foreclosure specialist, Claudia Hernandez, who asked Miguel to fax her a copy of the cashier’s check. Miguel testified that during this conversation, he asked whether he could make the second payment (due at the end of June) when he made the third payment at the end of July. Hernandez told him that combining these two payments at the end of July would be acceptable.

Hernandez testified that he had a telephone conversation with Miguel on or about May 21. [FN2] Hernandez told Miguel that he needed a copy of the cashier’s check for the initial payment under the Repayment Agreement in order to postpone the sale. Miguel told Hernandez that he had a copy of the $5,000 cashier’s check he had used to make the initial payment and that he had signed the Agreement. [FN8] At Hernandez’s request, on May 22, Miguel faxed a copy of the cashier’s check and a copy of the second page of the Agreement. Hernandez forwarded these documents to Lender’s collections department. Based upon receipt of the documents, Hernandez postponed the trustee’s sale [FN8].

[FN2] Miguel called Hernandez after Mario had previously called Lender on May 21 to determine the status of the loan. In the prior call, Hernandez told Mario that Borrowers were on a repayment plan with a payment of $5,000 due, and he asked Mario to have her husband call him.

[FN8] The notes entered on the computer by Hernandez concerning this May 21 telephone call with Miguel read: "Revd call fr bwr (husband). I advised bwr he has copy of 1st check. Told bwr to use this one. Gave bwr fax nos. & asked bwr to fax copy to my atty. Christ." (Capitalization omitted.)

[FN9] Hernandez made two entries for May 22 into the computer. The first entry read: "Cld bwr & advd bwr 2nd nst payable signed stip. Bwr faxed page w/signature on it. Will file tomorrow

According to Hernandez, Miguel and he did not discuss the June payment due under the Agreement, and Miguel did not ask to defer that payment to the end of July. Hernandez made a note concerning any such modification request. [FN10] Since Hernandez was not responsible for repayment agreements, had Miguel made such a request, he would have referred it to the collections department.

FN10. This is borne out by the notes Hernandez recorded contemporaneously with his telephone conversations with Miguel on May 21 and May 22. (Doc. fn. 8 and 9, ante.)

After the telephone conversation with Hernandez, Borrowers received a letter from Lender dated May 24. The letter noted that there had been a change in the May 3 Repayment Agreement, namely, that the "plan 01" payment was due May 30. The letter indicated that the "plan 02" and "plan 03" payments were still due on June 29 and July 30, respectively. After Miguel read the May 24 letter, he was not concerned that it contained a different agreement than the one he thought he had with Hernandez. Miguel did not contact Lender to advise that the May 24 letter did not accurately set forth his understanding of the Repayment Agreement. Lender's representative (rehearsal) confirmed that borrowers were not subject to the statement in this May 3 letter that the second payment was due under the Agreement on June 29.

IV. Foreclosure

The trustee's sale took place on July 16. There were approximately four bidders (including Buyer) interested in the Property. Following the auctioneer's announcement of Lender's opening bid of approximately $76,000, there were no number of bids by the four prospective buyers. The Property was sold to Buyer as the highest bidder, it paid $197,100.

Buyer's principal, Dominic Ip, is a licensed real estate broker. Ip testified that in the five years before September 2001, he--either individually or through his real estate business--had bought approximately 15 properties at foreclosure sales. Approximately 50 percent of Buyer's sales resulted from brokerage deals transacted on behalf of others (i.e., not buyer's personal investment transactions).

Prior to the trustee's sale, Ip conducted some research regarding the first lien against the Property, along with the Property, and run comparable sales with Multiple Listing Service to determine the Property's value. Ip did not contact Trustee of Lender prior to the sale. [FN11] Similarly, Ip did not contact Borrowers, and he had no knowledge of any repayment agreement between Lender and Borrowers.

[FN11] Ip, however, did call the number listed on the sale notice from which potential bidders (or, for that matter, the trustees) could determine by voice mail whether the sale was postponed, and, if not, the amount of the opening bid in the sale.

The trustee's deed (recorded July 20) conveyed the Property to Buyer. [FN12] The deed noted that a default as indicated in the default notice had occurred and existed at the time of the sale. It also contained a recital that "[p]ursuant to the requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with," Borrowers became aware of the trustee's sale after they saw an eviction notice posted on the front door of their residence in late July.

FN12. The trustee's deed conveyed the Property to "Royal Realty, a California corporation." Buyer was listed as "Royal Realty," an unknown entity. Buyer, however, filed its answer to the complaint (as well as a cross-complaint) as "D & I Investment, Inc., doing business as Royal Realty." Ip confirmed
at trial that D & I Investment, Inc. is a corporation that does business as Royal Realty. Thus, our references throughout this opinion to Buyer are to D & I Investment, Inc., dba Royal Realty.

V. Value Of Property

Miguel testifies that he believed that the value of the Property was approximately $375,000 as of July 2001. The parties apparently agree based upon the testimony of their respective expert witnesses that the fair market value of the Property was between $317,000 and $380,000.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 14, Borrowers filed suit seeking, among other things, to set aside the trustee’s sale and to cancel the trustee’s deed. [FN13] Borrowers named Lender, Buyer, and Trustee as defendants.

[FN13] The record reflects that Buyer filed an action for unlawful detainer against Borrowers, case No. CV141741. Borrowers sought an order consolidating their action with Buyer’s unlawful detainer action. The court denied that motion but ordered that trial of Borrowers’ action proceed prior to the unlawful detainer action.

Borrowers filed a first amended complaint (complaint) on February 10, 2003, alleging seven causes of action. Borrowers alleged that the trustee’s sale under which Buyer took title to the Property was invalid because it was conducted in violation of an alleged repayment agreement between Borrowers and [redacted] and in violation of the Lender’s own internal procedures. The complaint asserted equitable and legal claims, including claims to cancel trustee’s deed, to quiet title, and for wrongful foreclosure.

Prior to trial, Borrowers, Lender, and Trustee entered into a partial settlement. The settlement included the dismissal of the wrongful foreclosure claim, and a stipulation that Lender and Trustee would remain as nominal defendants as to the equitable claims to cancel the trustee’s deed and to quiet title. [FN14]

[FN14] The settlement stipulation included a recital that on June 11, 2003, the court granted summary adjudication as to three causes of action, namely, claims for cancellation of deed based upon deprivation of due process, breach of fiduciary duty (against Trustee), and breach of implied good faith covenant. (The settlement also included a dismissal of the claim for attorney fees.) While the court’s summary adjudication order was not made part of the record on appeal, there appears to be no dispute that the trial proceeded between Borrowers and Buyer on only the cause of action for cancellation of trustee’s deed, and to quiet title. Lender and Trustee remained defendants as necessary parties; they, however, did not actively participate in the trial.

The case proceeded to a court trial on October 20, 2003. After a three-day trial, the court concluded that the trustee’s sale was valid and found for defendants. On December 23, 2003, the court entered a modified statement of decision and judgment. [FN15]

[FN15] Buyer filed a cross-complaint against Lender and Trustee, alleging, in essence, a contingent claim for damages, i.e., that if Borrowers prevailed in the action, it would be as a result of the negligence of cross-defendants, and that Buyer would be damaged thereby. The judgment therefore includes a recital that because Borrowers did not prevail on their complaint, the cross-complaint was dismissed without leave.

Borrowers filed a notice of appeal on February 20, 2004. The appeal from the judgment was filed timely (Cal. Rules of Court rule 2A(X)) and is a proper subject for appellate review.

DISCUSSION

1. Issues On Appeal

Borrowers challenge the court’s entry of judgment against them, asserting the following:
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The court, in its modified statement of decision, concluded that Buyer, a bona fide purchaser of the real property for value without notice of any adverse interest or of any irregularity in the sale proceedings, was a BFP. (As we will discuss in part II. A. post, this determination is central to the Borrowers' challenge of the trustee's sale.) The court applied an incorrect standard. They cited Estate of Yates (1987) 25 Cal. App. 4th 811 [Yates], as the test to determine whether Buyer was a BFP. The court found that the Borrowers were entitled to have Buyer's sale confirmed because Buyer was a BFP and the court determined that Buyer's interest in the property was superior to that of a BFP. (Yates) 25 Cal. App. 4th 811 [Yates].

Because we deem it dispositive of this appeal, we begin by addressing the first contention. In doing so, we: (1) summarize the parties' contentions; (2) briefly review the statutory scheme concerning nonjudicial foreclosure; (3) identify the proper standard for determining whether a buyer at a foreclosure sale is a BFP; (4) determine whether there was substantial evidence supporting the court's conclusion herein that Buyer was a BFP; (5) discuss the legal implications of Buyer's status as a BFP under the statutory provisions of section 2924; and (6) decide the legal effect--disregarding section 2924--of Buyer as a BFP acquiring the property at the trustee's sale. [FN16]

FN16. We discuss in part III. A. post, Borrowers' second contention that the foreclosure was invalid because it violated the Requirements Agreement. Finding no merit to this contention, we need not address Borrowers' remaining claims of error.

II. Buyer's Status As a Bona Fide Purchaser

A. Contentions of the Parties

The court, in its modified statement of decision, concluded that Buyer, a bona fide purchaser of the real property for value without notice of any adverse interest or of any irregularity in the sale proceedings, was a BFP. (As we will discuss in part II. A. post, this determination is central to the Borrowers' challenge of the trustee's sale.) The court applied an incorrect standard. They cited Estate of Yates (1987) 25 Cal. App. 4th 811 [Yates], as the test to determine whether Buyer was a BFP. The court found that the Borrowers were entitled to have Buyer's sale confirmed because Buyer was a BFP and the court determined that Buyer's interest in the property was superior to that of a BFP. (Yates) 25 Cal. App. 4th 811 [Yates].

Because we deem it dispositive of this appeal, we begin by addressing the first contention. In doing so, we: (1) summarize the parties' contentions; (2) briefly review the statutory scheme concerning nonjudicial foreclosure; (3) identify the proper standard for determining whether a buyer at a foreclosure sale is a BFP; (4) determine whether there was substantial evidence supporting the court's conclusion herein that Buyer was a BFP; (5) discuss the legal implications of Buyer's status as a BFP under the statutory provisions of section 2924; and (6) decide the legal effect--disregarding section 2924--of Buyer as a BFP acquiring the property at the trustee's sale. [FN16]

FN16. We discuss in part III. A. post, Borrowers' second contention that the foreclosure was invalid because it violated the Requirements Agreement. Finding no merit to this contention, we need not address Borrowers' remaining claims of error.

II. Buyer's Status As a Bona Fide Purchaser

A. Contentions of the Parties

The court, in its modified statement of decision, concluded that Buyer, a bona fide purchaser of the real property for value without notice of any adverse interest or of any irregularity in the sale proceedings, was a BFP. (As we will discuss in part II. A. post, this determination is central to the Borrowers' challenge of the trustee's sale.) The court applied an incorrect standard. They cited Estate of Yates (1987) 25 Cal. App. 4th 811 [Yates], as the test to determine whether Buyer was a BFP. The court found that the Borrowers were entitled to have Buyer's sale confirmed because Buyer was a BFP and the court determined that Buyer's interest in the property was superior to that of a BFP. (Yates) 25 Cal. App. 4th 811 [Yates].

Because we deem it dispositive of this appeal, we begin by addressing the first contention. In doing so, we: (1) summarize the parties' contentions; (2) briefly review the statutory scheme concerning nonjudicial foreclosure; (3) identify the proper standard for determining whether a buyer at a foreclosure sale is a BFP; (4) determine whether there was substantial evidence supporting the court's conclusion herein that Buyer was a BFP; (5) discuss the legal implications of Buyer's status as a BFP under the statutory provisions of section 2924; and (6) decide the legal effect--disregarding section 2924--of Buyer as a BFP acquiring the property at the trustee's sale. [FN16]

FN16. We discuss in part III. A. post, Borrowers' second contention that the foreclosure was invalid because it violated the Requirements Agreement. Finding no merit to this contention, we need not address Borrowers' remaining claims of error.
The manner in which the sale must be conducted is governed by section 2929.4, subdivision (a), which requires, inter alia, that the property be sold at public auction to the highest bidder in the county where the property is located. "As a general rule, the purchaser at a nonjudicial foreclosure sale receives title under a trustee's deed free and clear of any right, title, or interest of the trustee." [Citation.] A properly conducted nonjudicial foreclosure sale constitutes a final adjudication of the rights of the borrower and lender. [Citation.] Once the trustee's sale is completed, the trustee has no further rights of redemption. [Citation.] (Mellott v. Smith, supra, 25 Cal.App.4th at p. 851.)

C. Definition of bona fide purchaser

Under section 2929, there is a conclusive presumption [FN17] in favor of a bona fide purchaser who receives a trustee's deed containing a recital that the trustee has fulfilled its statutory notice requirements. Section 2929 reads in relevant part: "A recital in the deed executed pursuant to the power of sale of a mortgage or deed of trust and recorded with the notice of default or the personal delivery of the notice of default or the posting of copies of the notice of default or the publication of a copy thereof shall constitute prima facie evidence of compliance with these requirements and conclusive evidence thereof in favor of bona fide purchasers and encumbrancers for value and without notice." [FN18]


FN18. Buyer emphasizes the importance of this conclusive presumption in disposing of this case on appeal. The potential application of this statutory presumption to this case is discussed in part II B, post.

Section 2924 does not contain a definition of "bona fide purchaser" to guide us in evaluating whether the conclusive presumption of the statute is to be applied to a particular nonjudicial sale in which the buyer has received a trustee's deed containing the requisite recital. In the context of applying the presumption, we have recently held that a BFP is one who pays value for the property without notice of any adverse interest or of any irregularity in the sale proceedings. [Citation.]

(Vanrefer v. Ynoa, supra, 135 Cal.App.4th at p. 442.)

This definition of a BFP in the context of section 2924 is consistent with decisions defining the term under California's conveyancing statutes, including sections 1007 [FN19] and 1214. [FN20] Thus, "a bona fide purchaser for value who acquires his interest in real property without notice of another's asserted rights in the property takes the property free of such unknown rights." [Citation.] (Hochstein v. Romero (1990) 219 Cal.App.3d 447, 451; see also Marriage of Upton (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 429, 437; Reiser v. Daniel (1989) 211 Cal.App.3d 683, 690-693.) "The elements of bona fide purchaser are payment of value, in good faith, and without actual or constructive notice of another's rights." [Citation.]

(Fair v. United California Bank (1976) 20 Cal.3d 696, 702; First Fidelity Thrift & Loan Assn. v. Alliance Bank (1978) 80 Cal.App.3d 1435, 1443 (First Fidelity).) The same elements exist to determine whether a party who takes or purchases a lien is a bona fide encumbrancer. (Cain v. United California Bank (1976) 20 Cal.3d 696, 702; First Fidelity Thrift & Loan Assn. v. Alliance Bank (1978) 80 Cal.App.3d 1435, 1443 (First Fidelity).)

FN19. "Every grant of an estate in real property is conclusively against the grantor, also against everyone subsequently claiming under him, except a purchaser or encumbrancer who, in good faith and for a valuable consideration, acquires a title or lien by an instrument that is first duly recorded." (§ 1007.)

FN20. "Every conveyance of real property or an estate therein, other than a lease for a term not exceeding one year, is void us
against any subsequent purchaser or mortgagee of the same property, or any part thereof, in good faith and for a valuable consideration, whose conveyance is first duly recorded, and as against any judgment affecting the title, unless the conveyance shall have been duly recorded prior to the record of notice of action." (§ 1214.)

*7 Thus, the two elements of being a BFP are that the buyer (1) purchase the property in good faith for value, and (2) have no knowledge or notice of the unrecorded rights of another. (14 Powell on Real Property (1996) Recording Acts and Priorities, § 82.01[2], pp. 82-12.) The first element does not require that the buyer’s consideration be the fair market value of the property (or anything approximating it). (Id. at § 82.02[2], pp. 82.77 to 82.78.) Instead, the buyer need only pay a substantial sum of value in exchange for the property. (See Horace v. Kilburn (1959) 33 Cal.2d 651, 656-66 [rejecting contention that “[I]t must give ‘adequate consideration’ sufficient to obtain specific performance of a contract.”]) Thus, while the “adequate” or amount of the consideration given by a subsequent purchaser or encumbrancer may reflect upon his “good faith,” it does not preclude the consideration from being “valuable.” The objective of the recording statutes protecting bona fide purchasers and encumbrancers is to protect persons who have invested substantial sums of money or property, or who have performed valuable services, in reliance on an honest belief that they have a good title or lien. (5 Miller & Starr, Cal. Real Estate (3d ed. 2000) Recording Principles § 11:52, p. 140, fin. omitted; see also 14 Powell on Real Property (1999) Transfer by Deed, § 81 A.07 [“[b]efore BFP “acquires an interest in land and makes an investment in the land, that party is entitled to have his or her expectations protected.””])

The second element required to establish BFP status is that the buyer have neither knowledge nor notice of the competing claim. (Triple A Management Co. v. Prisone (1990) 2 Cal.App.4th 520, 524.) The rationale for this requirement is that “[t]he recording laws were not enacted to protect those whose ignorance of the title is culpable and intentional.... Their purpose is to protect those who honestly believe they are acquiring a good title, and who invest some substantial sum in reliance on that belief” (Bouch v. Feanor (1975) 2 Cal.3d 299, 302-303.) “A person generally has ‘notice’ of a particular fact if that person has knowledge of circumstances which, upon reasonable inquiry, would lead to that particular fact.” (Citations.)

Borrowers here, however, contend that an entirely different standard applies to determine whether Buyer was a BFP at the foreclosure sale. Citing the Miller & Starr treatise and Yates v. supra, 25 Cal.App.4th 511, Borrowers claim that a purchaser at a judicial foreclosure sale is not bona fide if the purchaser “(1) [is] a speculator who frequently purchases at foreclosure sales, and (2) pays substantially less than the value of the property.” (Wells Fargo & Co. v. supra.)

In Yates, the trustee—knowing that the borrower had defaulted and that the public administrator was administering the estate—failed to give the estate any notice of the declarant’s default (Yates, supra, 25 Cal.App.4th at p. 516.) While the property had been valued at $120,000 the year before foreclosure (Id. at p. 515), the buyer of the property—who purchased it from the successful bidder at the trustee’s sale—at $12,000, and took title subject to an existing $20,000 trust deed. (Id. at p. 517.) Based upon the complete absence of notice to the administrator and a sale at a grossly inadequate price(i.e., approximately one-quarter of its value), the court set aside the trustee’s sale. (Id. at pp. 522-523.)

*8 The appellate court in Yates affirmed, concluding that “[there was] sufficient evidence in the record to support the probate court’s implied conclusion that [the buyer] was not a bona fide purchaser.” (Yates, supra, 25 Cal.App.4th at p. 523.) This evidence included: (1) the fact that the buyer had purchased between 300 and 400 properties in foreclosure over 16 years, (2) the buyer’s testimony that “[t]here was a lot of juice [capital] in the property,” and (3) the fact that there was a “gross inadequacy of the sales price.” (Id.)

Nowhere did the court in Yates hold that the fact the buyer is experienced in purchasing foreclosure properties necessarily disqualifies him or her from
being a BFP under section 2924. To the contrary, the most that can be said is that the appellate court in *Jones* held that there was sufficient evidence to support the trial court's conclusion that the buyer had notice of the sale's irregularity (i.e., neglecting the second BFP prong). Its conclusion was based in part upon the buyer's vast foreclosure experience (i.e., 300 to 500 foreclosure purchases). [FN231]

FN231. Likewise, Borrowers' reliance upon the Miller & Starr treatise is unavailing. *Tater* was the only authority cited by the authors of the treatise in support of the view that "a speculator who frequently purchases at foreclosure sales [and] who pays substantially less than the value of the property at a foreclosure sale is not a bona fide purchaser." (4 Miller & Starr Cal. Real Property (3d ed. 2003) Deeds of Trust § 10:210, p. 673, fn. omitted.)

Further, we see no reasoned basis for a blanket rule that would preclude a buyer from being a BFP simply because he or she has experience in foreclosure sales and purchases the property for less than fair market value. The law is designed to protect outside buyers [FN222] who, in part, with value and have no knowledge or notice of a defect. In this respect, the presumption of section 2924 affords protection to the novice and experienced foreclosure buyer alike, and "was clearly designed to provide incentives to the public at large to attend the sales in order to obtain a better price at the sale." (Homestead Savings v. Harney (1991) 230 Cal.App.3d 424, 434.) A holding that an experienced foreclosure buyer perchance cannot receive the benefits of the law as a BFP if he or she buys property for substantially less than its value would chill participation at trustee's sales by this entire class of buyers, and, ultimately, could have the unintended effect of reducing sales prices at foreclosure.

FN222. A beneficiary who acquires the property at a foreclosure sale through a credit bid may not qualify as a BFP. (See *Fasold v. Omega* (1986) 240 Cal.App.3d 902, 917; see also *Miller & Starr Cal. Real Property (2d ed. 2003) Deeds of Trust § 10:211, pp. 680-681.)

We conclude therefore that the proper standard to determine whether a buyer is a foreclosure sale is whether the buyer (1) purchased the property for value, and (2) had no knowledge or notice of the asserted rights of another. [FN231] Applying this test, we now review the court's determination here that Buyer was, in fact, a BFP. [FN231]

FN233. Of course, in evaluating whether a buyer at a trustee's sale is a BFP, the buyer's foreclosure sale experience may be considered in making the factual determination of whether he or she had knowledge or notice of the underlying claim.

FN234. Our conclusion here is not inconsistent with our holding in *Nguyen*, where we indicated--sitting *Tater*--that "[t]here is authority suggesting that an experienced foreclosure buyer may not qualify as a bona fide purchaser." (Nguyen, supra, 105 Cal.App.4th at p. 442.) In *Nguyen*, however, we did not reach the question of whether the buyer was a BFP. (Because of the absence of any potential grounds for invalidating the foreclosure sale in *Nguyen*, we noted that we did not need to address the issue of whether the sale was governed by a rebuttable or conclusive presumption under section 2924. (Nguyen, supra, at p. 444, fn. 7.)

1. Conrct's Conclusion That Buyer Was a BFP

We note preliminarily that the issue of whether a buyer is a BFP is a question of fact. (Triple A Management, supra, 69 Cal.App.4th 250, 256; *Jasinski v. Underwood* (1990) 183 Cal.App.3d 304, 311.) Accordingly, we will reverse a trial court's determination on this question only if it is not supported by substantial evidence. (Triple A Management, supra, at p. 539; *Hochstein v. Romano*, supra, 219 Cal.App.3d 437, 451.) Moreover, this factual determination is based upon the circumstances that existed at the time of the buyer's acquisition, information learned after the acquisition does not affect the buyer's BFP status. (Romano v. Daniel, supra, 211 Cal.App.3d 682, 690.)

As we have concluded, a purchaser at foreclosure is a BFP if he or she (1) purchases the property in good faith and for value, and (2) has no knowledge or notice of the asserted rights claimed by another. The evidence was undisputed that Buyer acquired the Property for value. It paid $197,100 at the sale, after engaging in competitive bidding with three rivals. Thus purchase price was more than double the initial bid made by Lender. There was no evidence that Buyer acquired the Property in bad faith. The fact that it paid less than the Property's fair market value—giving rise to Borrowers' contention that the consideration was "inadequate"—is of no legal consequence. (See Horton v. Kehoe, supra, 53 Cal.2d 69, 63-66.) Indeed, "it is common knowledge that at forced sales such as a trustee's sale the full potential value of the property being sold is rarely realized." (Quint v. Ontario Sav. & Loan Assn. (1975) 28 Cal.App.3d 866, 876; see also Alliance Mortgage Co. v. Rotbell (1995) 10 Cal.4th 1226, 1236.) "[A] property's price at trustee's sale is not deemed the equivalent of the property's fair market value." (Italics added.)

There was also substantial evidence supporting the second BFP requirement: (i.e., absence of knowledge or notice of the competing claim). Buyer had no knowledge of the Repayment Agreement in fact; he had no notice of Borrowers' assertion of any right to repurchase. Further, at the time of the trustee's sale, Buyer had no notice of the Trustee's notice of nondisclosure—in other words, their claim that the Repayment Agreement, as modified, required postponement of the trustee's sale). While we acknowledge that Buyer had significant foreclosure experience, we reject Borrowers' suggestion that this experience compels the conclusion that notice of the Repayment Agreement should be "imputed" to Buyer. There is no authority that would support such a theory of imputed notice under the facts of this case. (See, e.g., Berman v. Risher (1955) 13 Cal.2d 208, 215 [refusing to act as trustee of securities based on claim, information, that sale postponement not properly announced, where BFP was unaware of alleged fraud to trustee]; Keene v. First Thrift of Los Angeles (1951) 104 Cal.App.2d 536, 539 [rejecting trustee's challenge to transaction, where BFP had no knowledge of any postponement agreement or other transactions between trustor and beneficiary].)

In this instance, therefore—appling the proper BFP test—there was substantial evidence supporting the court's conclusion that Buyer was a BFP. Indeed, there was little, if any, evidence supporting a contrary conclusion. We will now address the legal implications of the court's finding that Buyer was a BFP.

II. Effect of Buyer's BFP Status Under Section 2924

As noted above, section 2924 provides that, where the trustee delivers a deed to the buyer at the foreclosure sale, and the deed states that all procedural requirements for the default notice and sale notice have been satisfied, there is a statutory rebuttable presumption that such notice requirements have been fulfilled. In a BFP, this presumption is conclusive (§ 2924). However, in this case, Borrowers contend that section 2924's conclusive presumption language precludes any attack by Borrowers on the trustee's sale. We disagree.

The alleged irregularity in the trustee's sale of which Borrowers complain was that the sale violated the terms of their Repayment Agreement with Lender. As such, Borrowers contend that Trustee violated its statutory obligation of postponing the sale upon agreement of the beneficiary and trustor, as required under section 2924, subdivision (a)(2). (JEM 251) Borrowers' challenge.

to the trustee's sale does not involve a claim concerning whether the trustee followed all statutory procedures with respect to the default and sale notices; there is no dispute that the trustee followed the statutory procedures for the default and sale notices. We therefore hold that the conclusive presumption for BFP's under section 2924 does not apply to bar Borrower's attempt to set aside the trustee's sale. [FN 256]FN 255. "The trustee shall prosecute the sale upon the order of any court of competent jurisdiction, or by staged by operation of law, or by the mutual agreement, whether oral or in writing, of any trustee and any beneficiary or any mortgagee and any mortgagor." (§ 2924, subd. (c)(2).)

FN 256. Section 799.4's conclusive presumption language for BFP's applies only to challenges to statutory compliance with respect to default and sale notices. In reaching this conclusion, we recognize that there is dictum that suggests that the conclusive presumption under section 2924 applies across the board to any claimed irregularities in the trustee's sale. The court in Moeller held that the presumption under section 2924 applied to the trustee's "sale has been conducted regularly and properly,

and that, as against a BFP, the presumption operates to prevent the trustee from "attacking the validity of the sale." (Moeller, supra, 25 Cal.App.4th 852, 851.) Cases following Moeller have similarly described the conclusive presumption-applicable under section 2924 where the buyer is a BFP who has received a trustee's document, predating any attack on the trustee's sale (See Residential Capital v. Cal-Western Reconveyance Corp. (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 807, 811 [Residential Capital] [once trustee's deed is delivered, "there was a conclusive presumption of validity under section 2924"]; 6. Angola, Inc. v. Stewart-Weight Mortgage Inc. (2001) 85 Cal.App.4th 1279, 1286 [same]; Angel v. Superior Court (1997) 13 Cal.App.4th 699, 700 [same].) To the extent that Buyer may construe these cases as describing section 2924's presumption as precluding any attack on the foreclosure sale as to a BFP--irrespective of whether the challenge relates to the trustee's compliance with procedural requirements concerning the default and sale notices--we decline to follow such interpretation. (See J Millar & Starr, Cal. Real Estate, supra, Deeds of Trust § 10.211, p. 681 [section 2924 "presumption only applicable to the propriety of the required notices, but it does not apply to other requirements of the foreclosure process"].)

V. Effect of Buyer's BFP Status Generally

We have concluded that the conclusive presumption under section 2924 did not prejudice Borrower's action to set aside the trustee's sale. We must consider further whether the trial court's conclusion that Buyer was a BFP-supported by substantial evidence—nevertheless rendered the sale invulnerable to Borrower's challenge. We answer this question in the affirmative.

We begin with the general proposition that the trustee cannot set aside a foreclosure sale to a BFP "broad on irregularities in the foreclosure-sale process, except in the case of fraud." (4 Miller & Starr, Cal. Real Estate, supra, Deeds of Trust § 10.211, p. 683.) This rule is founded upon BFP principles, generally, that "a bona fide purchaser is not chargeable with the fraud of its predecessors and shall not be entitled to a quiet title adversely to any equity arising between the original parties. [Citations.]" (Stults v. Brown (1943) 21 Cal.2d 688, 675.) The general unsuitability of foreclosures promotes its own policy: "[1] that a property conveyance sale be a final adjudication of the rights of the creditor and debtor [citations] and [2] that the certainty of the title of a bona fide purchaser be protected." (Moeller, supra, 25 Cal.App.4th 852, 832.) In this respect, Stunts v. Ontario Sav. & Loan Assn. (1976) 11 Cal.App.3d 547 (Stunts) is instructive.

In Stunts, the trustee challenged the foreclosure sale on the grounds that (1) he had no notice of the sale, and (2) the beneficiary and trustee had both actual and constructive knowledge that he was suffering from a
legal disability at the time of the sale; namely, after the court, pursuant to former Penal Code section 1368, [1368] suspended separate criminal proceedings pending against the trustee, he was committed to a mental facility by a criminal court after finding that he was "unable to assist counsel in his defense." (Strutt, supra, 11 Cal.App.3d at p. 852.) The appellate court affirmed the trial court's dismissal of the action after summary judgment in favor of the buyer. [854] See also Strutt v. Ontario Sav. & Loan Assn., supra, 28 Cal.App.3d 856, 872. In subsequent appeal involving trustee, beneficiary, and trustee, court acknowledged its prior holding in Strutt that trustee's sale could not be set aside because he was HIV+)

FN27. "If at any time during the pendency of an action and prior to the trial a doubt arises as to the sanity of the defendant, the court must order the question as to the sanity to be determined by a trial by the court without a jury, or by a jury, if a trial by jury is demanded, and, from the time of such order, all proceedings in the criminal prosecution shall be suspended until the determination of the sanity of the defendant has been determined, and the trial in the criminal prosecution may be discharged or resumed, according to the discretion of the court until the determination of the issue of insanity." (Former Pen.Code, § 1368, amended by Stats.1974, ch. 1511, § 3, p. 3317.)

* * *

In concluding that the trustee's attempt to set aside the sale should be rejected, the court in Strutt reasoned: "Viewing the situation most favorably to plaintiff, the most that can be said is that, knowing of his alleged legal disability, [the beneficiary and trustee] may have been guilty of some fraud or imposition upon plaintiff" in processing with the foreclosure and trustee's sale and that, as between plaintiff and those defendants, the trustee's sale may have been voidable. It would not follow, however, that the subsequent sale to Tier would be invalid, for it is well established that a subsequent good faith purchaser is entitled to protection and without notice of the fraud or imposition is not chargeable with the fraud or imposition of his predecessor and takes title free of any equity of the person thus defrauded or imposed upon."

(Citations.) (Strutt, supra, 11 Cal.App.3d at p. 854; see also Federacion v. Coronado (1957) 152 Cal.App.2d 430, 433-434.) In this instance, Borrower's theory was that the trustee's sale violated the Repayment Agreement; they claim the Agreement was orally modified to permit them to make the June payment at the end of July. Thus, applying the reasoning in Strutt, the most that can be said is that, in Borrower's view, there was some potential fraud or imposition because the lender failed to instruct Trustee to postpone the foreclosure sale to a date after the second and third payments were due (i.e., after July 30). There was no evidence that Borrower knew about the Repayment Agreement itself, let alone its alleged modification. Further, there were no facts sufficient to put Borrower on notice of the Agreement or its alleged modification. Borrower was not chargeable with any alleged fraud or imposition, and there was simply no basis for setting aside the trustee's sale. Accordingly, adopting the court's finding that Bank was a HIP, we must affirm the judgment against Borrower.

III. Absence Of Prejudicial Procedural Irregularity

Assuming, arguendo, that Borrower's challenge of the trustee's sale could survive the legal implications of the court's finding that Bank was a HIP -- which we have found it cannot -- we evaluate whether the court's judgment was nevertheless proper. We conclude that it was.

A nonjudicial foreclosure sale is accompanied by a common law presumption that it "was conducted regularly and fairly." (Brown v. Buseck (1972) 8 Cal.App.3d 290, 294; see also Stevens v. Massasoit Assurance Assoc., Inc. (1936) 2 Cal.2d 493, 497.) This presumption may only be rebutted by substantial evidence of prejudicial procedural irregularity. (69 Angell, Inc. v. Stewart-Wright Mortgage, Inc. (Super. Ct. 1985) 1270, 1286.) The "mere inadequacy of
price, absent some procedural irregularity that contributed to the inadequacy of price or otherwise injured the trustee, is insufficient to set aside a nonjudicial foreclosure sale. [Citations.] (And see also Sanborn v. Shumaker (1924) 195 Cal. 122, 129 [gross inadequacy in price must be coupled with unfairness or advantage "resulting in such gross inadequacy and consequent injury" to borrower.] It is the burden of the party challenging the trustee's sale to prove such irregularity and thereby overcome the presumption of the sale's regularity. (Hanes v. Colting (1990) 225 Cal. App. 3d 1164, 1171.)

12 Of considerable significance as well is whether a trustee's deed has been prepared and delivered to the buyer. Thus, courts have sustained a number of nonjudicial sale challenges where the actions have been brought before the trustee or the trustee's deed to the buyer. (See, e.g., Residential Capital, supra, 188 Cal.App.4th 807 [trustee refused to issue trustee's deed after it learned that sale had occurred despite postponement agreement between beneficiary and trustee]; Angell v. Superior Court, supra, 73 Cal.App.4th 691 [after sale but before delivery of trustee's deed, trustee discovered material misunderstandings in default and sale notices concerning amount due]; Hillsbaur v. Tournament Publ Co. (1985) 156 Cal.App.3d 312 [trust deed not issued after sale where trustee learned of its failure to grant postponement of sale required by statute]; Little v. City Service Corp. (1967) 180 Cal.App.2d 153 [Little] [trustee discovered failure to provide statutory sale notices to trustee, junior lienor, and judgment creditor before issuing deed].) These cases—which include ones that Borrowers cite in support of their claims of error—often emphasize that the absence of delivery of a trustee's deed made possible the attack on the trustee's sale. (FN28) In contrast, Trustee here prepared and delivered a trustee's deed to Buyer.

FN28. For instance in Residential Capital, the court specifically recognized that "[i]t might be a different case had the trustee's deed been issued" to the buyer. (Residential Capital, supra, 188 Cal.App.4th at p. 822; see also Atchley v. Supra, 24 Cal.App.4th 822, 832 [noting that trustee may abort sale to bona fide purchaser where foreclosure defect discovered before delivery of trustee's deed].)
likewise, in Sutherland, the beneficiary obtained summary judgment in its dispute with the trustee over the terms of a repayment agreement. (Sutherland, supra, 53 Cal.App.4th 299, 309.) The trustee—whose condominium was severely damaged by the Northridge earthquake in 1994 (id. at pp. 304–305)—obtained from the beneficiary a three-month "stop" to bar mortgage payments. (Id. at p. 305.) The beneficiary contended that the repayment agreement required the trustee to "catch up" by making three monthly payments at the end of the three-month moratorium. (Id. at pp. 307, 310.) The trustee, however, understood that she was not required to make a "catch up" payment, and that the entire term of the loan would be extended by three months. (Ibid.) The appellate court reversed, concluding that summary judgment was inappropriate in light of the disputed facts as to the terms of the repayment agreement. (Id. at p. 310.313.)

Again, Sutherland is distinguishable and offers no aid to Borrowers here. The court there did not conclude that the trustee was entitled to prevail; it merely held that summary judgment was improper. (Sutherland, supra, 53 Cal.App.4th at p. 311, fn. 7.) Furthermore, Sutherland did not involve a foreclosure sale at all. It was an action by the trustee for declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent the beneficiary from resuming a default that the trustee claimed was contrary to the parties' agreement. (Id. at pp. 308–309.) Therefore, it did not present the issues central to the instant dispute, namely, whether trustees could invalidate a foreclosure sale and convert a trustee's deed to a buyer who was a BFP, on the basis that the foreclosure allegedly violated the terms of their Repayment Agreement with the beneficiary.

*14 The trial court here was well within its power to reject Borrowers' contention that the Repayment Agreement was orally modified; its conclusion was based upon substantial evidence. As a result, even apart from the fact that the trustee's sale was unassailable because Buyer was a BFP, we reject Borrowers' challenge to the court's conclusion that there was no procedural irregularity that overcame the presumption that the foreclosure was regular and fairly conducted. (See Ciemandte v. Whitehead (1994) 230 Cal.App.2d 264, 268.) Acknowledging trial court's discretion to
Borrowers also claim that the trustee’s sale should be set aside because: (1) Lender did not follow its own internal procedures in
dealing with trustees in foreclosure proceedings (e.g., it failed to notify Borrowers of the postponed sale date); and (2) Lender did
not properly credit Borrowers’ first payment made in May under the Agreement, and this accounting failure resulted in Lender
incorrectly noting a default under the Agreement that led to the ultimate foreclosure in July. We reject these contentions as well.

As to the first claim, there is no authority for the proposition that a beneficiary’s failure to follow its own internal procedures—where
such failure is not a breach of its contractual or statutory obligations—is a procedural irregularity that makes a trustee’s sale void or
voidable. Lender was under no obligation to inform Borrowers of the postponed date(s) of the trustee’s sale. Indeed, it is apparent that
Borrowers could have easily learned this information by contacting Trustee. (See Taylor v. Swaggart, 56 Cal. App. 4th at p. 664 [“It bears
the responsibility to remain informed about the status of their property that has been put into foreclosure before their bankruptcy.”])

We likewise reject the second contention. Given the trial court’s factual finding that the Repayment Agreement was not modified,
Lender unquestionably had the right to foreclose on July 10, since Borrowers breached the Agreement by failing to make the
second payment on or before June 29, 2001.

DISPOSITION
The judgment is affirmed.

WR CONCUR: RUSHING, P.J., and ELLIA, J.
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June 2, 2008

Donna Parkinson, Esq.
Parkinson & Phaneys LLC
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Fl.
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Clients: James York and Red Rock Mortgage Inc
(collectively “Red Rock”)
Our Clients: Washington Mutual Bank (“WaMu”) and California
Reconveyance Company (“CRC”)
Real Property: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA (the “Property”)
Borrower: Laura Richardson
Our File No.: WAS002-93

Dear Ms. Parkinson:

WaMu has considered Red Rock’s counter offer to its recent settlement proposal and has
rejected it. In accordance with Civil Code section 1058.5 (b) and the case authority contained in our
prior letter, enclosed please find an unrecorded copy of the Notice of Rescission of the Trustee’s
Deed Upon Sale. I will send a copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission as soon as I receive it. The
foreclosure trustee, California Reconveyance Company, is mailing to you separately a check in the
sum of $391,190.06, which includes refund of Red Rock’s purchase funds of $388,001.01, plus 10%
interest thereon through June 6, 2008 totaling $3,189.05. I will email to you the tracking number for
the overnight delivery package containing the check, once I have that information, so you can track
the progress of the delivery.

Mr. York previously advised me that he had incurred expenses related to the Property.
WaMu will reimburse Mr. York for his expenses if you will kindly provide an itemization of the
expenses and receipts for the expenditures.

Please advise your client and his agents, contractors or employees, to remove themselves and
their equipment from the premises and to lock the front door when they have vacated the Property.
Please notify me in writing when the equipment has been removed and the premises are locked, so
that WaMu can notify the borrowers that the locks need to be changed. If you are willing to send the
keys to our client, I will provide you with a billing number for overnight delivery service so you can

Martin T. McGuigan
Voice: 619.536
Fax: 809.525
E-Mail: mcmguigan

Leonard Ackerman
Dean T. Kirby, Jr.
Jana Logan
Kenneth G. Noonigan
Cheryl Edwards Tannenberg

KIRBY &
McGUINN
A REAL ESTATE FIRM
return the keys at our expense.

If there is anything you wish to discuss regarding the transition and the removal of your client's equipment from the Property, please contact me so we can work together and avoid any miscommunication.

Thank you in advance for your continuing professional courtesy and cooperation in this matter. Should you have any questions, or concerns not addressed in this letter, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Martin T. McGuinn

cc: Paul Battaglia (via email)
    Deborah Brignac (via email)
    Donald Cook (via email)
    Jan Owen (via email)
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/30/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, and Recorded 01/10/2007; Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $535,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:
LOT 250 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

A.P.N: 077-061-000 0000
Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $388,000.01.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee's sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE'S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/09/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND RECORDED 05/19/2008 IN BOOK 20080518, PAGE 0487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/30/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY

Hana Konupek, Assistant Secretary

BY

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY

Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 6/2/08 before me, CARLA DODD, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, HANA KONUPEK AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature ______________________ (Seal)
June 3, 2008

Martin T. McGuinn, Esq. 
Kirby & McGuinn 
600 B Street, Suite 1950 
San Diego, California 92101 

Re: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 

Dear Mr. McGuinn:

This office is substituting in as litigation counsel for Red Rocks Mortgage, Inc. and James York with respect to the above-referenced property. In that capacity, I am in receipt of your letters dated May 28, 2008, and June 2, 2008, regarding same. We disagree that your client is entitled to rescind the trustor’s deed for the above-referenced property due to an alleged unexecuted forbearance agreement.

In support of its position, your client relies on an extrapolated reading of Bank of America, N.A. v. La Jolla Group II (2005) 129 Cal.App.4th 706 and Residential Capital LLC v. Cal Western Reconveyance Corp. (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 697. We believe these cases are inapposite and distinguishable from the facts of this case. The Melendez v. D & I Investment Inc. 127 Cal.App.4th 1238 case, on the other hand, is on point and absolutely supports our position that, as a bona fide purchaser, our client’s title to the property is unchallengeable.

In La Jolla, the trustors (debtors) defaulted on their loan. A Notice of Default, an Election to Sell under Deed of Trust, and a Notice of Trustee’s Sale were recorded. Four days before the sale, the trustees tendered payment at a branch of the beneficiary. A branch employee accepted the payment and reinstated the loan. However, the beneficiary never notified the trustee that the loan had been reinstated, and the foreclosure sale proceeded as planned. La Jolla Group II (the buyer at the sale) purchased the property. The trustee issued a Trustee’s Deed, which was promptly recorded. Five days after the deed was recorded, the trustee realized he made a mistake and that the sale should not have gone forward. The trustee recorded a notice of rescission of the Trustee’s Deed and tendered a refund check for the amount paid. When La Jolla Group II refused to accept tender, the trustee filed an action against it seeking cancellation of the Trustee’s Deed.
Applying these facts, La Jolla held that the sale was void. It based its holding on Bisco v. Scc (1959) 175 Cal.App.2d 714, which had held that "the tender and acceptance of a payment sufficient to cure a default on a loan secured by a deed of trust reinstated the loan and deprived the trustee of the power to foreclose." La Jolla, supra, 129 Cal.App.4th at 711. Citing Bisco, La Jolla approved of the proposition that, "[s]peaking generally, the acceptance of payment of a delinquent installment of principal or interest cures that particular default and precludes a foreclosure sale based upon such preexisting delinquency." Id. Applying Bisco to the facts of its case, La Jolla held that "[i]f, after default, the trustor and beneficiary enter into an agreement to cure the default and reinstate the loan, no contractual basis remains for exercising the power of sale."[emphasis added] Id. at 712. Taking these holdings together, in order for the "La Jolla" exception to apply, there must be (1) a tender (2) of a payment sufficient to cure a default, (3) acceptance of that payment, and (4) reinstatement of the loan. Here, all four of these elements are missing. The holding of La Jolla, therefore, does not apply.

Residential Capital is clearly inapposite as no Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was issued or recorded. Moreover, in that case, the court stated that if a Trustee's Deed had been issued it might have been a different case. Residential Capital, supra, at 715. Accordingly, your clients' assertion that these cases, collectively, stand for the proposition that, under our facts, the trustee's deed is rescindable is without merit.

Your failure to attempt to distinguish the Melendrez is understandable.

In Melendrez, the borrowers lost their home through non-judicial foreclosure whereby an experienced third-party bought the property at the Trustee's Sale. The borrowers used to cancel the sale contending that, inter alia, it was invalid because it took place notwithstanding a repayment agreement between the lender and the borrowers.

The court began its discussion by explaining the comprehensive framework of the regulations attendant to non-judicial foreclosure sales pursuant to a power of sale contained in the deed of trust. The court reiterated the tri-fold purposes of the statutory scheme, to wit:

(i) to provide the creditor/beneficiary with a quick, inexpensive and efficient remedy against the defaulting debtor/trustor; (ii) to protect the debtor/trustor from wrongful loss of the property; and (iii) to ensure that a properly conducted sale is final between the parties and conclusive as to a bona fide purchaser.

"A properly conducted non-judicial foreclosure sale constitutes a final adjudication of the rights of the borrower and lender. Once the trustee's sale is completed, the trustor has no further rights of redemption." (Melendrez, at 1249-1250.)
The court then defined a "bona fide purchaser": "A bona fide purchaser is one who pays value for the property without notice of any adverse interest or of any irregularity in the sale proceedings." (Nguyen (2003) 105 Cal.App.4th 428, 442.) (Melendrez, at p. 1250.) The court specifically held that this definition of a bona fide purchaser in the context of Civil Code section 2924 is consonant with decisions defining the term under California’s recording statutes. Therefore, "a bona fide purchaser for value who acquires his interest in real property without notice of another’s asserted rights in the property takes the property free of such unknown rights." (Id.)

The court found that Buyer was a purchaser for value. In addition, the court found that, like here, the buyer had no knowledge of the alleged repayment agreement and had no contact whatsoever with either the lender or the borrowers. In sum, the buyer had no knowledge of the borrower’s asserted right and the court refused to impute notice of the alleged repayment agreement to the buyer lacking any authority upon which to do so. (Melendrez, at pp. 1254 and 1255.)

The court then averted to the presumption set forth in Civil Code section 2924: "Where the trustee delivers a deed to the buyer at the foreclosure sale, and the deed recites that all procedural requirements for the default notice and sale notice have been satisfied, there is a statutory rebuttable presumption that such notice requirements have been fulfilled; as to a RFP, this presumption is conclusive." (Melendrez, at p. 1255.) The court in Melendrez went on to say:

In this instance, Borrowers’ theory was that the trustee’s sale violated the Repayment Agreement; ..., the most that can be said is that, in Borrowers’ view, there was some potential fraud or imposition because Lender failed to instruct Trustee to postpone the foreclosure sale to a date after the second and third payments were due (i.e., after July 30). There was no evidence that Buyer knew about the Repayment Agreement itself, let alone its alleged modification. Further, there were no facts sufficient to put Buyer on notice of the Agreement or its alleged modification. Buyer was not chargeable with any alleged fraud or imposition, and there was simply no basis for setting aside the trustee’s sale. Accordingly, adopting the court’s finding that Buyer was a RFP, we must affirm the judgment against Borrowers. (Melendrez, supra, at 1258.)

Based on the above-quoted language from the Melendrez case, the responsible party for the failure to notify the trustee of the alleged forbearance agreement falls squarely on the shoulders of your client.

To reiterate, the distinction made clear from the La Jolla and Melendrez cases is the reinstatement of the loan in La Jolla, which rendered the power of sale provision in the deed of
Mr. McGuinn  
June 3, 2008  
Page 4

trust ineffective. Such is not the case here. Rather, here, there was a mere alleged agreement to postpone the sale; there was no reinstatement. Accordingly, the Melendrez case is directly on point.

In further support of my client's position, I would direct your attention to In Re Tydings-Monsour (2000) 2006 Bankr. Lexis 2455, a 9th Circuit Bankruptcy Court case applying California law, where the court employed identical reasoning in finding that the La Jolla case created only a narrow exception in instances where there is, inter alia, a reinstatement of the loan.

Accordingly, even assuming the facts are as you state them, meaning that there was a forbearance agreement, your client still loses as a matter of law because the loan was not reinstated; therefore, the power of sale provision contained in the deed of trust was not ineffective; thus, the sale is valid and cannot be unilaterally rescinded as against an undisputed bona fide purchaser.

Based upon the above-reasoning, I would imploré your client not to record the Notice of Rescission attached to your letter of yesterday's date. If such Notice is recorded, my client will have no choice but to file an action for slander of title wherein we will seek all remedies available under California law, which include attorneys' fees.

I would hope your client does not make a bad situation worse by forcing my client into litigation. Rather, I would urge you to make an offer to my client that adequately reflects the reality of this situation. I look forward to your response.

Very truly yours,

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE  
KLOMPAREN & YOUNG, LLP

THOMAS R. SHERIDAN

Cc: Client  
Donna Parkinson

--

1 The copy enclosed with your May 28, 2008, letter is unsigned, not on letterhead, and does not include enclosure.
THE ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION WAS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED AND CANNOT BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.

Date: June 3, 2008

Fax No. (619) 525-

To: Martin T. McGuinn
Company: Kirby & McGuinn
From: Thomas B. Sheridan

Number of pages being transmitted including the cover page: 5

Message/Document(s): Correspondence re 3622 W. Curtis Dr., Sacramento, CA

Please call Cheryl Ewing at (916) 920-1 if all pages are not received.

ORIGINAL will follow by: Other:

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.
From: Chiu, Huey-Jen <huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2008 7:45 PM
To: mmguinn
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: Loan No. Richardson
Attach: Document pdf
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WRITTEN TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
3241 CONCOURSE AVENUE
SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO, CA 95824

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A FULL,
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY
RECORER ON June 2, 2008
AS DOCUMENT NO: BK: 200806002 / PG: 885
BY: s/ Khundy Yee
FIDELITY NATIONAL DEFAULT SOLUTIONS

Truster Sale No. 7239570A, Loan No. 
Title Order No. M724814

NOTICE OF RESCISSON OF TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on the 2nd day of June, 2008, with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed mortgagee under
   that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/01/2001, and Recorded 02/19/2001, Book 20010110, Page 1910, Instrument No.
   LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNEMPLOYED WOMAN, as Trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary.

   securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $633,000.00.

2. That the Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA,
   described as follows:

   LOT 258 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "FLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NO.
   6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY,
   FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 10 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

   AND NO. 6 (LOT 258) RESUBDIVISION

   SITING: 5222 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95812

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a Default, as set forth
   in a Notice of Default and Election to Sale, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of
   SACRAMENTO, California.

4. That on 06/19/2008, at 09:10 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the
   highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $388,000.00.

5. That the Trustee’s Sale on 06/19/2008 is hereby rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had
   previously agreed to participate the foreclosure sale on June 4, 2008. The Trustee’s sale of 06/19/2008 is therefore null
   and void, and of no force and effect.

6. That the purpose of this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the
   absolute quantum that existed prior to the Trustee’s Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby rescinds the Trustee’s Sale and purported
Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale and hereby advises all persons, wherever and whatsoever
located, that the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale dated 06/19/2008, from CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE
COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., AND RECORDED RECORDED IN BOOK 200806002, PAGE 885, OF
OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER
FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.

JPMC - 002307
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003796
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereunto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: ____________

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustor

By: ____________________________
   Assistant Secretary

By: ____________________________
   Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

By: ____________________________
   Vice President - Chief Financial Officer

By: ____________________________
   Vice President

STATE: CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: LOS ANGELES

ON this ______ day of ______, ______, before me, SERGIO BARRERA, Notary Public, personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGHAM, HELEN CHEUNG, CHERI JENICHER, and KARINA ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the persons whose signatures are subscribed to this within instrument and acknowledged to me that they believe, excepted the same at Washington Mutual Bank's authorized agents, and that by their signatures hereto they have assented to the instrument, the persons, or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature: ________________________

[Seal]

This instrument is being recorded by the Trustee, Investors Trust, and Trust as an instrument, which may be filed and recorded in the manner of an instrument upon the Real Estates Records.
From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 5:50:20 PM
To: Berleigh, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson Loan

Attachments: Richardson.doc

Thank you.

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Recoveyance Company
Home Loans

California Recoveyance Company
5200 Oakdale Avenue, N116512
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
June 3, 2008

Donna Parkinson, Esq.
Parkinson & Phinney, LLC
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Clients: James York and Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.
   Our Trustee Sale No. 723397CA
   Loan No. [Redacted]
   Property Address: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA

Dear Ms. Parkinson:

Per letter sent to your office, dated June 2, 2008, from Martin T. McGuinn, Washington Mutual Bank’s counsel, please find enclosed check no. for $391,190.06 payable to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. The amount is for the refund for the purchase funds of $388,001.01, plus $3,189.05, which is the 10% interest through June 6, 2008.

I am also enclosing a confirmed copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission of Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale document.

Sincerely,

Huey-Jen Chiu
Vice President
(818) 775-2340
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 11:30:44 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

Attachments: Document.pdf

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 10:20 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson Rescission Notice

Document.pdf

(1 MB)

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans
California Reconveyance Company
9210 Oakdale Avenue, N110512
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 9:37 AM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel

JPMC - 002311
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003800
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 7:46 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Brignac, Deborah P.
Cc: Martin T. McGuinn; Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Richardson Rescission Notice

REDACTED

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans
California Reconveyance Company
6210 Oakdale Avenue, M108612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@wm.com

The communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 5:24 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen; Brignac, Deborah P.
Cc: Martin T. McGuinn; Cook, Don
Subject: Richardson Rescission Notice

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

JPMC - 002312
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.003801
NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/30/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as Trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $535,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:
LOT 250 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18
APN: 3222 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $388,000.01.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee’s sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee’s Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE’S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/09/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC AND RECORDED 05/10/2008 IN BOOK 20080510, PAGE 2487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

By
Colleen Irby, Assistant Secretary

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

By
Deborah Brignac, Vice President

By
Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5/29/08 before me, MERRIL HERRADURA, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose names(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

(Seal)

Commission # 1392714
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires June 3, 2013

JPJC - 002315
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.003804
From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 5:24 PM  
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen; Brignac, Deborah P.  
Cc: 'Martin T. McGuinn'; Cook, Don  
Subject: Richardson Rescission Notice

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/30/2006 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 2007110, Page 1818, instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as Trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $535,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:

LOT 250 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

A.P.N. 4222 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $388,000.01.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee's sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE'S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/09/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC AND RECORDED 05/10/2008 IN BOOK 20080510, PAGE 3087, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY Colleen Iriby, Assistant Secretary

BY Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5-29-2008 before me, MERRIL HERRADURA, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRIBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature [Signature]

(Seal)

Commission # 1392714
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires: Nov 2, 2013
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>

Sent: RE: Questions from the Daily Breeze

Paul J. Batsoglou
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2794

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 11:27 AM
To: Cook, Don, Batsoglou, Paul J., Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Questions from the Daily Breeze

All:
FYI, below

REDACTED

- Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC-301 Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct 206-224-271 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
---Original Message---
From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Guagli, Sara C.
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson's privacy rights, can WaMu unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Mon May 26 16:47:09 2008
Subject: follow-up

Please call for some follow-up questions on general policies that may relate to the Laura Richardson situation, but do not require you to divulge her personal information.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Breeze
310-549-5-5
Subject: Richardson Update
Location: 577-7081 passcode: 7834

Start: 9/2/2008 12:30 PM
End: 6/2/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.;

Resources: 577-7081 passcode: 7834
From: Brignac, Deborah P.
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 8:24:24 PM
To: Bettaglio, Paul J.
Subject: Out of Office AutoReply: Richardson Rescission Notice

I will be out of the office Friday, 5/30. If you require immediate assistance please contact Huey-Jen Chiu at (315) 775-

NOTE: Please direct all DIL, Senior Lien Monitoring and TDUS inquiries to croreferrals@wamu.net. Thank you.
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 3:36 PM
To: Lyman, Daryl W. <daryl.lyman@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson
Attach: 001 MTM to Parkinson re rescission dtd 5-28-08.pdf

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-1261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:34 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcg@km.com
Direct Dial: (619) 525-525
Direct Fax: (619) 525-

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) named as recipients. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmitted in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1899 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Ward
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:09 AM
To: donn[e][email]
Subject: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

JPMC - 003242
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003813
Good morning, Ms. Parkinson. Attached is Mr. McGuinn’s letter of today’s date regarding the above matter.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398
Direct Fax: (619) 398

This message is from a debt collector.
Any information obtained may be used for that purpose.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 325-1850 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Suite 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
May 28, 2008

VIA EMAILONLY
donna_Donna Parkinson, Esq.
Parkinson & Phinney LLC
400 Capitol Mall, 11th FL
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Clients: James York and Red Rocks Mortgage Inc. (collectively, "Red Rocks")

Our Clients: Washington Mutual Bank ("WaMu") and California Reconveyance Company ("CRC")

Real Property: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA (the "Property")

Borrower: Laura Richardson

Our File No.: WAS002-93

Dear Ms. Parkinson:

This letter is being sent to you pursuant to California Code of Procedure section 1152 and Federal Rules of Evidence, rule 406. The contents of this letter may not be used in any pleading or constitute an admission of liability on the part of our client. The facts as we understand them to be are that Red Rocks was the high bidder at a foreclosure conducted by CRC on May 7, 2008. That foreclosure sale occurred despite an agreement between WaMu and Ms. Richardson to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. That postponement agreement was confirmed in writing by letter dated April 17, 2008, a copy of which is attached hereto.

As you know, California law permits a trustee to rescind a foreclosure sale where the borrower and the lender had an agreement to postpone the sale. California Civil Code section 1058.5(b) permits a trustee with the consent of either the beneficiary or the successful bidder to rescind a foreclosure sale due to the filing of a bankruptcy by the owner or otherwise.

The extent of a trustee’s right to rescind a foreclosure sale has been the subject of litigation in several courts of appeal. Residential Capital LLC v. Cal Western Reconveyance Corp. (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 897, 822 held: "The right of the trustee to postpone the foreclosure sale by agreement with the beneficiary is as important to the protection of the trustee’s property from wrongful foreclosure as are the notice requirements.” The Residential Capital court enunciated two important
principles: First, it reaffirmed the holding in *Little v. CFS Servicing Corp.* (1987) 88 Cal.App.3d 1354 that the only recovery permitted to a third party bidder is the return of its capital paid at the sale, plus interest; and second, it held that the failure to postpone the sale at the request of the borrower when there was an agreement to do so was a substantial irregularity to a foreclosure sale permitting the trustee to rescind.

Although the TDUS was not delivered to the bidder prior to rescission in the Residential Capital case, later case law supported the beneficiary’s and the trustee’s right to rescind after the TDUS had been delivered and recorded. In *Bank of America v La Jolla Group II* (2005) 129 Cal.App.4th 706, 712 the court held: “But La Jolla has not relied on this presumption and, if it had, we would have concluded that the presumption was rebutted by the fact that the trustee and beneficiary entered into an agreement to cure the default and reinstate the loan before the foreclosure sale. In sum, the foreclosure sale was invalid.” In *Bank of America*, the bidder contended that once the trustee’s deed upon sale has been delivered with all the recitals of statutory compliance and the recital of authority under the power of sale “the deed cannot be ‘void’ as a matter of law.” The court rejected that argument by noting: “We know of no authority for this proposition.”

It is clear in this case that, based on the written agreement to postpone, WaMu has the right to rescind the sale. When the sale is rescinded, in addition to return of the funds paid at the sale ($388,000.01), Red Rocks is entitled to interest on the sales proceeds, plus reimbursement of any funds expended on the Property since the sale. Reasonable interest in this case totals approximately $2,500. As well, prior to your being retained by Red Rocks, Mr. York told me that he has expended approximately $10,000-15,000 in repairs to the Property.

In an effort to resolve this matter amicably, WaMu is willing to offer Red Rocks a small premium on its investment and pay a total of $25,000 to Red Rocks in exchange for a release of all right, title and interest that Red Rocks has in the Property. That amounts to an approximate $10,000 return on a one-month investment—a return that is not legally mandated. The payment is conditioned upon the parties executing a settlement agreement releasing each other from any liability or claims arising out of or relating to the May 7, 2008 foreclosure sale, including a Civil Code section 1542 waiver, and Red Rocks will provide a W-4 to WaMu to allow it to issue the check. We are prepared to immediately document the settlement. Please advise if this offer is acceptable to Red Rocks.

Thank you in advance for your continuing professional courtesy and cooperation in this matter. Should you have any questions, or wish to discuss the case further, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Martin T. McGuinn

cc: Paul Battaglia (via email)
    Jan Owen (via email)
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson
717 East Vernon Street
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number ____________________________
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified funds to us at the below address:

Washington Mutual
Default Cash Processing
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732-__________

Sincerely,

Allison Dolan
Default Specialist II – ERT
Washington Mutual Home Loans

JPMC - 002328
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003817
In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so. . . .

Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-885-5544

For internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:08:10 PM  
To:  
Subject: Richardson

In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so.........

Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
201-995-2544

For Internal Use Only
Subject: Richardson Update

Location: 877-708-2222

Start: 5/28/2008 6:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 7:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don

Resources: 877-708-2222

When: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 7:00 PM - 8:00 PM (GMT-07:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-708-2222

---

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 10:08 AM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so...........

Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:08:10 PM  
To: Gauji, Gare C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.  
Subject: Richardson  

In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so........

Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
904-995-2544  

For Internal Use Only
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:18:31 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Gaugl, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Ann, I will try and get a call in today- how late for you this pm tonite? That being said- I am having a tendency to agree with the new thought process. Thanks, Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-853-8361
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 10:06 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so........

Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
Subject: Updated Richardson update
Location: 077-708 [passcode]

Start: 5/30/2008 3:30 PM
End: 5/30/2008 4:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; GM QSM DTE; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Oakley, Susan B.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Thorn, Ann

Resources: 077-708 [passcode]
Subject: Richardson update
Location: 877-709 [passcode]

Start: 5/29/2008 1:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don; Baptista, Gedi Ann S.

Resources: 877-709 [passcode]

When: Thursday, May 29, 2008 10:00 AM, 11:00 AM (GMT-05:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-709 [passcode]

Confidential
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 8:20 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 5:05 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Laura Richardson

Paul when you get a chance please get me Legal Dept. billing no.

Marty

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcguinn
Direct Dial: (619) 525-525
Direct Fax: (619) 525-525

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1850 or (619) 885-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
Paul when you get a chance please get me Legal Dept. billing no.

Marty

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1550
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcguinn@kirbymcguinn.com
Direct Dial: (619) 525-...
Direct Fax: (619) 525-...

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1050 or (619) 805-4XXX, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1550, San Diego, CA 92030.
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 5:34:11 PM
To: Wilson-Aguilar, Jason
Subject: RE: Richardson

Now?

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

______________________________________________________________

From: Wilson-Aguilar, Jason
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:34 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

Can you let me know when you have a sec?

Jason Wilson-Aguilar
Vice President and Counsel
Home Loans and Consumer Lending Division
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.4365 direct, 206.377.2786 fax
jason.wilson-aguilar@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Beth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:36 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Consent

I'll let him know.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorician
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-
Direct Fax: (619) 398-

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, dissemination, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1959 or (619) 885-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Suite 1850, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:54 AM
To: Beth Ward
Subject: RE: Consent

I'll be calling Marty shortly.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the content. Thank You.

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:34 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Consent
Okay. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorjian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398
Direct Fax: (619) 398

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, destruction, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1859 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Bottaglio, Paul J. [mailto:paul.bottaglio@womu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:32 AM
To: Beth Ward
Subject: Re: Consent

This is how it was sent to us.

Paul J. Bottaglio
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Bottaglio, Paul J.
Subject: FW: Consent

Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscaped and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorjian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-7777
Direct Fax: (619) 398-7777

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If
you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified
that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error,
please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 626-1862 or (619) 666-4930, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B
Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:Paul.Battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in
error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying
or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

From: RichardsonMC, Laura
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RP: Consent

Ann,
Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the
"attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser in

JPMC - 003341
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003830
Institute rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 362-706.

Thank you,

Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wamu.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: Richardson, Laura
Cc: Woodside, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to institute the rescission of a foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-880-5644

For Internal Use Only
I’ll be calling Marty shortly.

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

Okay. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward  
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn  
& Kenneth C. Noorrigian  
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.  
600 B Street, Suite 1950  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Direct Line: (619) 398-1-1  
Direct Fax: (619) 398-1

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.  
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.  
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 325-1209 or (619) 600-4800, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
This is how it was sent to us.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:16 AM
To: battaglia, paul j.
Subject: FW: Consent

Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscaped and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorjian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-
Direct Fax: (619) 398-

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the named recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 398-5225 or (619) 398-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:17 AM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Geugli, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter:

From: RichardsonMC, Laura
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 502-736-

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wam.u.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: RichardsonMC, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-3644

For Internal Use Only  

JPMC - 002345
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.000834
Okay. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorljan
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-
Direct Fax: (619) 398-

In Compliance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(11)
THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 535-1959 or (619) 885-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B
Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

---

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:32 AM
To: Beth Ward
Subject: RE: Consent

This is how it was sent to us.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: FW: Consent
Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscaped and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-
Direct Fax: (619) 398-

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 526-1559 or (619) 665-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. (mailto:paull.battaglia@wamu.net)
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin I. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

From: RichardsonML, Laura
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 562-706- 

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wamu.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: RichardsonMC, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-8644

For Internal Use Only
This is how it was sent to us.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-1400
Direct Fax: (619) 398-1400

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1859 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent
Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1101 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784  

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please return to the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 5:12 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter:

From: RichardsonMC, Laura  
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM  
To: Thorn, Ann  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)  
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 502-790-

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----  
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wamu.net]  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM  
To: RichardsonMC, Laura  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
Thank you, however, it is still coming out landscaped across the page.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorlagian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-
Direct Fax: (619) 398-

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any viewing, retransmission, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 535-1859 or (619) 885-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1060, San Diego, CA 92101.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WM C 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Beth Ward [mailto:bethward@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:23 AM
To: Paul J. Battaglia
Subject: RE: Consent

Here it is.

From: Beth Ward [mailto:bethward@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Paul J. Battaglia
Subject: FW: Consent
Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscaped and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-2221
Direct Fax: (619) 398-3550

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin L. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaul, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

From: RichardsonML, Laura
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well, Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 562-706 [redacted].

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wamu.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: RichardsonMC, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-885-5844

For Internal Use Only
From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:25:17 PM  
To: Strakal, Tammy R.  
Subject: RE: TeamConnect File

Add Leah. Don Cook as Senior VP.

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Strakal, Tammy R.  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 8:50 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Subject: RE: TeamConnect File

Opened file [File Name]. Which paralegal should I add? Also do you know who we're using for the SVP?

Tammy

From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 8:56 AM  
To: Strakal, Tammy R.  
Subject: TeamConnect file

Tammy, can you open a TeamConnect file for me on

Borrower: Laura Richardson  
Loan: [Loan Number]

Category: Alleged wrongful foreclosure

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
Here it is.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigan
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-_____
Direct Fax: (619) 398-_____

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, duplication, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 352-1650 or (619) 865-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Suite 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscape and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.
Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gauhl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

From: Richardson, Laura
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Richardson, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.
I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 562-761-2545.

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:amm.thorn@wamu.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: Richardson, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
Authorizes Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") to send the attached April 17, 2008 letter to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.

TO RELEASE OF PERSONAL, NON-PUBLIC INFORMATION
AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT

[Signature]

Executed this 23rd day of May, 2008, at [Location]. [State]

[Address]

[City, State, Zip]

[Loan Number]

[Loan Officer]
Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscaped and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorajian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-1
Direct Fax: (619) 398-1

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 398-1 or (615) 398-1, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM  
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

---

From: RichardsonMC, Laura  
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM  
To: Thorn, Ann  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)  
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation. I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 562-781-9572.

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

Original Message
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wamu.net]  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM  
To: RichardsonMC, Laura  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
949-980-3648  
For Internal Use Only

JPMC - 002362  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.003851
AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT
TO RELEASE OF PERSONAL, NON-PUBLIC INFORMATION

Borrower Name: JAYA RICHARDSON

Loan Number: 123456789

Property Address: 3422 West (City), (State) 90306

I authorize Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") to send the attached April 17, 2006 letter to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.

Executed this 24th day of May, 2006, at (City), (State)

(Signature)
From: Strakal, Tammy R.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 11:50:25 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: TeamConnect File

Opened file 2008 002 785 104233. Which paralegal should I add? Also do you know who we're using for the SVP?

Tammy

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 8:30 AM
To: Strakal, Tammy R.
Subject: TeamConnect File

Tammy, can you open a TeamConnect file for me on

Borrower: Laura Richardson
Loan: 
Category: Alleged wrongful foreclosure.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 11:30:02 AM
To: Brakel, Tammy R.
Subject: TeamConnect File

Tammy, can you open a TeamConnect file for me on

Borrower: Laura Richardson
Loan: [ ] [ ] [ ]

Category: Alleged wrongful foreclosure.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

From: RichardsonMC, Laura  
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM  
To: Thorn, Ann  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)  
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the “attached April 17, 2008” letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 562-70[REDACTED]

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----  
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:ann.thorn@wamu.net]  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM  
To: RichardsonMC, Laura  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Subject: Consent
Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thore

Ann Thore, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 10:42 AM
To: Martin T. McGainn
Subject: FW: Laura Richardson

---

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

---

From: Gough, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 7:00 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Owen, Jen L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elies, Alan; Cook, Don
Subject: AP: Laura Richardson

Hi team -
FYI, below.

---

From: Verdin, Tom
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 7:02 PM
To: Gough, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Sara, here's our first take on the Laura Richardson story tonight. Subsequent versions will have greater detail. Please let me know if Wamu wants to issue an updated statement.

Tom

1. BC-CA-Congresswoman's House
   1. URGENT
   1. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says home sale 'improper'
   1. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman claims sale of her Sacramento house shouldn't have happened
   1. evtsf99
   1. By ERICA WERNER
   1. Associated Press Writer
   1. 05-23-2008 18:36
   1. WASHINGTON (AP) - California Congresswoman Laura Richardson claims the foreclosure auction of her Sacramento house was improper even contrary to a written agreement she had with her lender.

JPMC - 002369
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003858
In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage. Her house was sold at auction earlier this month.

Richardson said that sale never should have happened and that she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off.

She insisted that she is not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.

In fact, Richardson said the experience has allowed her to relate to the thousands of other Americans—including many in her Los Angeles-area district—confronted with foreclosures. She even wants to testify before Congress about it.

---

From: Verdin, Tom
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 6:27 PM
To: sara.gapj@wamu.net
Subject: Laura Richardson

Sara, thank you for calling us back earlier this evening.

As it happens, our reporter in Washington who covers California's congressional delegation was on the phone with Laura Richardson while you and I were talking. In brief, Richardson is blaming WaMu. She claims she did not know her Sacramento house was being sold at auction and says WaMu told her no action would be taken on it until sometime next month.

She also claims to have documentation to support this claim but has yet to provide it to us.

We'll be moving a story based on Richardson’s comments shortly.

Because AP did not have her statement when we spoke previously, I wanted to give WaMu an opportunity to respond.

We'll be sending our story in takes (individual bites will move before the final writethru), and I can send you those so you can see exactly what Richardson claims.

Regards,

Tom

Tom Verdin
Correspondent
Sacramento bureau
916-445-9555

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly
prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1899 and delete this email. Thank you.

[IP US DISC]
mdk dec6c6d2e366439f0cf467d0a4938
FYI.

“We finally [saw] a notice on the front door about two and a half months ago,” Burgos said. “It was a bank repossession notice.”

http://cbs5.com/business/congresswoman/home.default,2730339.html

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue I WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct I 206.224.1727 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:57 PM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2ad Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-4261
Fax: 206-377-3787

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

----Original Message----
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:13 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson
-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:09 PM
To: Owen; Jan L.; Hapgood; Gert; Ann S.; Cook; Don; Haung; Paul J.; Thom; Ann; Woodcock; Wendy A.
Subject: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue, Suite 601
Seattle, WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct 206-228-3772 cell sara.gauga@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Champney, Steven D.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 8:57:20 PM
To: Beletoglou, Paul J.
Subject: Out of Office AutoReply: Laura Richardson - Attorney Client Privileged Communication

Thank you for contacting me. I am presently out of office 5/22 - 5/27, so if this is an urgent matter or an emergency please contact my assistant Susan Samuel at 904-886-____ or susan.samuel@wamu.net, otherwise I will respond once I've returned. Thank you and have a WaMu day!
Hi Paul,
Adrian is our Corp Comm division exec. Could you please share the update with him?

Adrian Rodriguez
adrian.rodriguez@wm.com

Geri Ann S. Baptista, VP
Corporate Communications
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC2102
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2875 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
geriann.baptista@wm.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject:  richardson call
Start:  5/23/2006 1:00 PM
End:  5/23/2006 2:00 PM
Show Time As:  Busy
Recurrence:  (none)
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 8:52:44 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
CC: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Prepared Response to Richardson re Comm

This is fine. Thanks.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:43 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
CC: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Prepared Response to Richardson re Comm
Sensitivity: Confidential

Sorry, an addition at the bottom:

Congresswoman Richardson:

We appreciate your concern over media attention surrounding your loan situation. Please be aware that WaMu does not disclose our customers' personal financial information without written authorization from the customer.

If we are asked by the media to verify or discuss aspects of your loan situation outside of what is available in public records, we require your written consent to do so. Attached is our standard media consent form for your signature, which can be faxed to XXX-XXX-XXXX.

Please be aware that you are solely responsible for the content and accuracy of the statements you make about your personal accounts and personal financial information, and as a practical and policy matter, we cannot advise you on the statements you make.

Thank you,
Sara Gaugl

Geri Ann S. Baptista - VP, WaMu Corporate Communications
206.500.2875 direct | 206.612.1291 x 6714 cellular | 206.377.2023 fax | geniann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Hi Paul,

Congresswoman Richardson:

We appreciate your concern over media attention surrounding your loan situation. Please be aware that WaMu does not disclose our customers' personal financial information without written authorization from the customer.

If we are asked by the media to verify or discuss aspects of your loan situation outside of what is available in public records, we require your written consent to do so. Attached is our standard media consent form for your signature, which can be faxed to XXX.XXX.XXXX.

Please be aware that you are solely responsible for the content and accuracy of the statements you make about your personal accounts and personal financial information.

Thank you,
Sara Gaugl
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 5:50 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Subject: Richardson
Attach: 20080522144304877.pdf

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

----Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 11:43 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject:

This E-mail was sent from "MFDWMC3411" (LD245).

Sent Date: 05.22.2008 14:43:54 (-0400)
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson
717 East Vernon Street
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified funds to us at the below address:

Washington Mutual
Default Cash Processing
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732

Sincerely,

Allison Dolan
Default Specialist II – ERT
Washington Mutual Home Loans
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 4:29:13 PM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: REDACTED

Attachments: Richardson reinstatement.doc

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson
717 East Vernon Street
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number [Redacted]
   Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified funds to us at the below address.

Washington Mutual
Default Cash Processing
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732- [Redacted]

Sincerely,

Allison Dulan
Default Specialist II – ERT
Washington Mutual Home Loans
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:34 PM
To: Gauhl, Sara C. <sara.gauhl@wamu.net>
Subject: AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT TO RELEASE OF PERSONAL (14) doc
Attach: AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT TO RELEASE OF PERSONAL (14) doc

<<...>>
AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT
TO RELEASE OF PERSONAL, NON-PUBLIC INFORMATION

Borrower Name________________________

Loan Number _________________________

Address ______________________________

I authorize Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") to discuss with third-parties the facts and circumstances pertaining to my loan with Washington Mutual (Loan #______) below. I understand that by doing so, I am authorizing Washington Mutual to disclose to third-parties personal, non-public information concerning me and my loan, including but not limited to information regarding any communications to refinance or modify my loan.

Executed this _____ day of ______, 2000, at __________, [State]

______________________________
(Signature)
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 1:45 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: More info re: York

REDACTED

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1859 or (619) 685-4000 and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Rm. 1490, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 10:36 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: More info re: York
Importance: High

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
From: Good, Sara C.

Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:03 AM

To: Battaglia, Paul J.

Cc: Owen, Jan L.

Subject: More Info re: York

Importance: High

Money, Finance & Business See other Money, Finance & Business Articles

Title: Congressman defaults on (mortgage) loan Source: Long Beach (Calif.) Press-Telegram URL: http://www.presstelegram.com Published: May 22, 2008 Author: (unknown) Post Date: 2008-05-22 12:35:46 by gic11733 Comments

Rep. Laura Richardson lost her Sacramento home in a foreclosure auction two weeks ago, and left behind nearly $9,000 in unpaid property taxes.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, appears to have made only a few payments on the house, which she bought in January 2007 for $335,000.

After buying the home, Richardson hardly had time to live in it. Three months later, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died and Richardson - then a freshman member of the state Assembly - launched a campaign to replace her in Congress.

Richardson won the election, pouring in $77,500 in personal loans to her own campaign. Around the same time, she stopped making payments on the Sacramento house. The bank issued a default notice in December, and the home was sold at a public auction on May 7 for $388,000.

Richardson declined Wednesday to be interviewed about the foreclosure, which was first revealed in Capitol Weekly, a Sacramento-based publication. But in a statement, Richardson denied that the home was in foreclosure and said it had not been seized by the bank.

"I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement - without special provisions," Richardson said in the statement. "I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations on the property.

That would come as a surprise to James York, the Sacramento real estate broker who bought Richardson's house at auction. York specializes in buying and selling foreclosed homes, and said he eventually intends to resell Richardson's home, which overlooks a park in an upscale neighborhood. York produced a trusted's deed confirming that his company, Red Rock Mortgage Inc., owns the house.

York said the house was relatively clean when he bought it, at least compared with other foreclosed homes, though the garage was "full of trash to the ceiling." Workers have been cleaning it out and tending to the yard, which had been left unmown for months.

When he bought the house at 3622 W. Curtis Drive, York assumed responsibility for Richardson's unpaid property tax bill of $8,950.79.

"Tell Laura I'd be happy to have her pay my property tax," York said.
The real loser in the deal was Washington Mutual Bank, which issued Richardson a $535,000 loan with no money down in January 2007. By the time the default notice was issued, Richardson was underwater on the loan. She owed about $575,000, including $18,000 in missed payments.

Washington Mutual ended up writing off nearly $200,000 of that debt to get rid of the home.

"They took a beating," York said.

The previous owner of the home, Sharon Helmar, said the neighbors were "appalled" that Richardson was not maintaining the lawn. Another neighbor said that until recently the grass was about a foot high.

"It's kind of heartbreaking to see something you've worked on for 30 years be left and not taken care of," Helmar said. "You would have thought someone like that would have been a little more responsible."

The home is only a few minutes' drive from the Capitol. It is about 1,600 square feet, and has three bedrooms and 1/2 baths. It sits on a corner facing Curtis Park.

"It's your typical 1920s house," she said. "Hardwood floors, wallpaper. It's not huge, but it served us for a lot of years."

The neighborhood is known as affluent and politically liberal. A mayoral candidate lives nearby, and a former state senator lives three doors down.

"It is a very stable neighborhood," said Charlene Singley, the Realtor who sold the home to Richardson. "It has been affected by the downturn, however, because it is in the older, more established area of Sacramento, and it is close to the downtown urban core. It is one of the few neighborhoods that has not been hit as hard."

Singley was surprised to hear that the home had gone into foreclosure.

Although Richardson did not pay her property taxes or the balance of her loan, she has begun repaying the loans that she issued to her own political campaign.

Richardson, a former Long Beach city councilwoman, still owns a home in Long Beach.

One of Richardson's first votes upon arriving in Congress last fall was on the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007. The bill helped homeowners by preventing the federal government from charging income tax on debt forgiven in a foreclosure, such as the $200,000 forgiven in Richardson's foreclosure.

Joining 385 of her colleagues, Richardson voted aye.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMCA | Seattle WA 98101

206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.8020 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
AFFIDAVIT OF Mailing

Date: 03/17/2008

Mailing: 104CA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

The deponent, whose signature appears below, states that he is over the age of eighteen (18) years; is employed in Riverside County, California, acting on behalf of California Reconveyance Company; is not a party to the within action; and that on March 17, 2008, he personally served the Notice, of which the annexed is a true copy, by depositing in the United States Mail a copy of such Notice in a sealed envelope, sent First Class, with postage prepaid, such envelope being addressed to the person(s) named at the addresses below.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

X

Affiant: Valdemar Butello
AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

Date: 03/17/2006
REF. No.: 3031
Mailing: 104CA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  )
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  )

The declarant, whose signature appears below, states that he is over the age of eighteen (18) years; is employed in Riverside County, California; acting on behalf of California Reconveyance Company; is not a party to the within action; and that on March 17, 2006, he personally served the Notice, of which the annexed is a true copy, by depositing in the United States Mail a copy of such Notice in a sealed envelope, sent Certified Mail, with postage prepaid, such envelope being addressed to the person(s) named at the addresses below.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

X
Affiant Valdemar Bollitz

TSN NUMBER
LAURA RICHARDSON
3622 W. CURTIS DR
SACRAMENTO, CA 95818-4462

TSN NUMBER
LAURA RICHARDSON
3622 W. CURTIS DR
SACRAMENTO, CA 95818-4462

TSN NUMBER
LAURA RICHARDSON
717 E VERNON ST
LONG BEACH, CA 90806-2726
The following copy of the original of which was filed for record on 12/14/2007 in the office of the County Recorder of the County set forth below is sent to you in as much as an examination of the title of the trust property shows you may have an interest in the Trustee's Sale Proceedings.

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, Trustee

Space above this line for recorder's use only

Trustee Sale No. 723297CA Loan No. [ ] Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of recording appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,356.40 as of 12/13/2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgagee, the beneficiary or mortgagee may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagee may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the above paragraph of this notice, unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
Trustee Sale No. 723397CA  Loan No. 3033  Title Order No. M721884

To find out the amount you must pay, to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY JACKSONVILLE FL 32256 (877) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustee, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: SITUS: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, , SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 Including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 00/01/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: 12/13/2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary,
By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 12/28/2007

Type of Mailing: 10 Day

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

I, IMELDA SERRANO

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9206 Oakdale Avenue N 110012 Chatsworth, CA 91311, I am over the age of eighteen years: On 12/28/2007 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth postmark attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVK SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 5675 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3 $ 1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

12/28/07

(Declarant)
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 12/28/2007

Type of Mailing: 10 Day

Trustee's Sale No.: 723397CA

1. MELDA SERRANO

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Olddale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/28/2007 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notices, a true and correct copy of which is herewith attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6976</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6987</td>
<td>Certified LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6994</td>
<td>Certified LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$1.23 $7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature]

(Date) (Declarant)

JPMC - 002395
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003884
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 01/10/2008

Type of Mailings: 1 Month

Trustee’s Sale No. 723397CA

Loan No.:

I, LINDA YELNICKER, declare: I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9230 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 01/10/2008 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth postmark, a true and correct copy of which is hereunto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressees, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3422 W CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON STREET LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date

(Declarant)
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Type of Mailing: 1 Month

Trustee's Sale No. 722397CA

Date: 01/10/2008

I, LINDA YELNICKER,

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Otsidale Avenue, N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 01/10/2008 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notion, a true and correct copy of which is hereto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0302 7742</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0302 7759</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0302 7766</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON STREET LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$1.23 $ 7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature]

(Date)

(Declare)
From: Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 12:26 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Article: Calif. congresswoman’s home threatened with repo

FYI

Wendy Woodcock, VP
Division Manager
Homeownership Preservation Dept.
904 886-1309 ph
904 886-1325 fax

From: Jenne', Kevin M.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 12:21 PM
To: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: FW: Article: Calif. congresswoman’s home threatened with repo

Wendy, how are you? I’m forwarding this to you because you got Brad’s out of office. Again, probably not news to you, but wanted to make sure.

From: Jenne', Kevin M.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 9:19 AM
To: Champney, Steven D.; Kaliner, Brad; Johnson, Renee T.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Wals, Michael; Scharf, Will D.
Subject: Article: Calif. congresswoman’s home threatened with repo

You probably already know all about this, but I never want to assume. There’s some confusion about whether her home has been sold or not, and Wamu hasn’t responded about it as of this report.

**Calif. congresswoman’s home threatened with repo**

By Don Thompson, Associated Press Writer

Calif. congresswoman’s home threatened with repossession after she fails to pay mortgage

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) -- Rep. Laura Richardson has an unusual perspective on the housing foreclosure bills moving through Congress. One of her own homes was threatened with repossession after she failed to pay the mortgage.

Richardson, a Southern California Democrat, bought a two-story home in a leafy, upper-middle-class neighborhood of Sacramento in January 2007, just months after winning a seat in the state Assembly.

She bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home in the state capital for $535,500. The bill collectors started knocking soon after, according to records reviewed Wednesday by The Associated Press.

The city utility department placed a lien on her property in June 2007 for $154 in unpaid bills, according to documents at the Sacramento County recorder’s office. In December, she received a default notice on the mortgage from the collection agency of Washington Mutual Inc., her lender. At that point, she owed $10,356.

At the time, she had left the Legislature after a quick rise from the Long Beach City Council and moved to Washington after winning a special election to fill a vacant congressional seat. Richardson on Wednesday blamed the frequent job-shifting for financial problems related to the Sacramento property.
A default notice in March this year put the "unpaid balance and other expenses" at $578,384 and said her 1,539-square-foot house would be auctioned at a trustee sale.

County records show the property was sold to a company called Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento for $388,000 — although the county assessor's office continues to list Richardson as the owner. No listing could be found for Red Rock.

That sale was officially recorded Monday, according to the records. But Richards said the home was not in foreclosure and had not been seized.

"I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement — with no special provisions," Richardson said in a statement Wednesday. "I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property."

Richardson's chief of staff, Kimberly Parker, told the AP that the mortgage on the home had been sold but that the house had not. The collection agency referred inquiries to Washington Mutual, which did not return a call.

A real estate agent's lock box hung Wednesday from the front door of the 1926-vintage house.

Records at a Sacramento County tax office also show Richardson is delinquent in paying $8,950 in property taxes.

Richardson moved from the Long Beach City Council to her Assembly seat in 2005, and the next year won a special election to represent a heavily Democratic congressional district that includes Long Beach.

Congressional records show Richardson did not cast votes May 8 on three bills related to the Foreclosure Prevention Act. In her statement, she said she was away from Washington because of her father's funeral.

"I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable," Richardson said in her statement.

Associated Press writer Erica Werner in Washington and Samantha Young and Rich Pedroncelli in Sacramento contributed to this report.

Kevin Jenné | FVP, Market Research Manager
Research & Customer Insight
Washington Mutual
V: 206.500.2615 | E: kevin.jenne@wm.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 11:50:40 AM  
To: Gugli, Gare C.  
Subject: FW: Richardson  
Attachments: Document.pdf

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-590-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 9:39 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

Document.pdf  
(1 MB)

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President  
Department Manager  
California Reconveyance Company  
Home Loans  
California Reconveyance Company  
9210 Valdosta Avenue, Suite 10512  
Chatsworth, CA 91311  
818-775-2340 direct  
huey-chen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 8:22 AM  
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen  
Subject: RE: Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784  

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chu, Huey-Jen  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:00 PM  
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

REDACTED

Huey-Jen Chu, Vice President  
Department Manager  
California Receivance Company  
Home Loans  
California Receivance Company  
9200 Oakdale Avenue, N106512  
Chatsworth, CA 91311  
818-775-2340 direct  
huey-jen.chu@wamu.net  

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Bettaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:37 PM  
To: Chu, Huey-Jen  
Subject: Richardson

REDACTED

Paul J. Bettaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-4201
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:  
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

MAIL TAX STATEMENTS TO:  
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.  
3500 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE #135  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95864

TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

APN: [Redacted]  T.R.A. No. [Redacted]

The undersigned grantor declares:

1) The Grantee herein was not the foreclosing beneficiary.
2) The amount of the unpaid debt together with costs was $574,023.87
3) The amount paid by the grantee at the trustee sale was $388,000.01
4) The documentary transfer tax is $[Redacted]
5) Said property is in SACRAMENTO

and CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee or substituted Trustee under the Deed of Trust hereinafter described, does hereby grant and convey, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. (herein called Grantee), all of its right, title and interest in and to that certain property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of California, described as follows: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 16

Situs: 3522 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

RECITALS:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records of SACRAMENTO County, California, and after fulfillment of the conditions specified in said Deed of Trust authorizing this conveyance.

Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and such default still existed at the time of sale.

All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of a Sale have been complied with.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee's Sale and in exercise of its powers under said Deed of Trust, sold the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being $388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee's Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, "Notary Public" personally appeared KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature [Signature] (Seal)
From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 11:38:43 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Attachments: Document.pdf

REDACTED

Document.pdf
(1 MB)

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans
Califonia Reconveyance Company
9210 Oakdale Avenue, N410012
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.ch-aj@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 8:22 AM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: RE: Richardson

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:00 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

REDACTED
Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Receivables Company
Home Loans
California Receivables Company
9200 Oakdale Avenue, N10612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:37 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-4261
Fax: 206 377 2781

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
WHENRecordedMailTo:
REDROCKMORTGAGE,INC.

MAILTAXSTATEMENTSTO:
REDROCKMORTGAGE,INC.
3500AMERICANRIVERDRIVE#135
SACRAMENTO,CA95864

TRUSTEE'SDEEDUPONSALE

Theundersignedgrantordeclares:
1)TheGranteehereinwasnottheforeclosingbeneficiary.
2)Theamountoftheunpaiddebttogetherwithcostswas$574,023.87
3)Theamountpaidbythegranteeatthetrusteesalewas$388,000.01
4)Thedoctoruntarytransferis$574,023.87
5)SaidpropertyisinSACRAMENTO

andCALIFORNIARECONVEYANCECOMPANY(herincalledTrustee),asthedulyappointed
TrusteeorsubstitutedTrusteeundertheDeedofTrusthereinafterdescribed,dosherebygrantand
convey,withoutcovenantorwarranty,expressorimplied, tore RedRockMortgage,Inc.(herincalled
Grantee),allofitsright,titledinterestinandtocertainpropertysituatedintheCountyof
SACRAMENTO,StateofCalifornia,describedasfollows:LOT259ASHOWNONTHEOFFICIAL
"PLATOFSOUTHCURTISOAKS SUBDIVISION NOV.,6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
COUNTYRECORDEROSACRAMENTOCOUNTY,FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS,
MAP NO. 107

Situs:3522WESTCURTISSDRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA95818

RECGTALS:

ThisconveyanceismadeinaccordancewiththepowersconferredupontheTrusteebythecertainDeedof
Trustdated01/04/2007andexecutedbyLAURARICHARDSON,ANUNMARRIEDWOMAN, asthe
Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records of
SACRAMENTOCOUNTY,California,andafterfulfillmentoftheconditionsspecifiedinthesaidDeedof
Trustauthorizingthisconveyance.

DefaultoccurredassetforsinhanaNoticeofDefaultandElectiontosellwhichwasrecordedinthe
OfficeoftherecorderofsaidCounty,andsuchdefaultstellsexistedatthetim eofsale.

AllrequirementsoflawregardingthemailingofcopiesofnoticsorthepublicationofacopyoftheNotice
ofDefaultorthepersonaldeliveryofthecopyoftheNoticeofDefaultandthepostingand
publicationofcopiesoftheNoticesofSalehavebeencompliedwith.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee’s Sale and in exercise of its powers under said Deed of Trust, sold the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being $388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee’s Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, “Notary Public” personally appeared KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature ______________ (Seal)

[Stamp]

Notary Public - California
NvComt Expiry Aug 17, 2002
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:10:22 PM
To: Bettiglie, Paul J.
Subject: Draft Message to DS

REDACTED

***********
Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis – Capitol Weekly
By Anthony York
Tuesday, May 20, 2008

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento’s Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $355,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson’s Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how few candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson’s decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento’s crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Jeznita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson’s district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropesa, D-Long Beach.
While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 – money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $555,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 –$40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said.
"She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she bested Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for homeowners whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass, which would direct $7.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $387,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction.
According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.

*****
CONGRESSIONAL OFFICE OF LAURA RICHARDSON
For Immediate Release
May 21, 2008
The story published in the Capitol Weekly regarding residential property that I own in Sacramento requires clarification.
Within a 12-month period last year (2007-2008), I was a member of Long Beach City Council, the District Director for California 25. Gov. Cruz Bustamante, a member of the California State Legislature, and, now a member of Congress. While the transitioning has impacted me personally, the residential property in Sacramento California is NOT in foreclosure and has NOT been served by the bank.
I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement -- with no special provisions. I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property.
On two housing bills that were cited by the Capitol Weekly, the allegation is that I recused myself from these votes. I did not. I was absent from Washington, D.C., and my duties in the House of Representatives due to the untimely death of my father and his subsequent funeral in California.
I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable.

Sara Geugi
Home Loans Public Relations
WAMU
1310 1st Avenue N.W. Suite 200
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct I 206.224.5934 cell
sara.geugi@wamu.org

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Congressman Richardson Update
Location: 577-709
Start: 5/22/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/22/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; GM OSM DTE; Kallner, Brad

Resources: 577-709

passcode
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:14:07 PM
To: Gesell, Gary C.
Subject: FW: Richardson
Attachments: Document.pdf

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98104
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or discussing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:00 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

REDACTED

Huey-Jen Chu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loans
California Reconveyance Company
9200 Oakdale Avenue, Suite 10612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
019-777-2240 direct
huey-jen.chu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or discussing the contents. Thank you.
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:37 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: Richardson

[Redacted]

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9200 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 310612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
800 892-8902
(818)775-2258 (Fax)

The following copy of the original of which was filed for
record on 12/14/2007 in the office of the County Recorder
of the County set forth below is sent to you in as much as
an examination of the title of the trust property shows
you may have an interest in the Trustee's Sale
Proceedings.

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, Trustee

Trustee Sale No. 723397CA  Loan No. [ ] Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR
PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the
legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus
permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account,
which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale
date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of
recording appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,356.40 as of 12/13/2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and
taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on
the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as
required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or mortgagor may insist that
you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or
mortgagor may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence
that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagor will give you a written itemization of the
entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account,
even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time
payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagor may mutually agree in writing
prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-
month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the
default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to
cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the above paragraph of this notice, unless
the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your
creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying
the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
To find out the amount you must pay, to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7901 BAYMEADOWS WAY JACKSONVILLE FL 32256 (677) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PONT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustee, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 00-00-00-00-00 Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, , SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 Including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 00/01/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: 12/13/2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary,
By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 12/28/2007

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

I, IMELDA SERRANO

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9208 Oakdale Avenue N 110012 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/28/2007 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth postmark, a true and correct copy of which is hereunto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 5677 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$ 1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

12/28/07

(Declarant)
DECLARATION OF Mailing

Type of Mailing: 10 Day

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

Loan No.

Date: 12/28/2007

1. MELIDA SERRANO
That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Oakdale Avenue N 118612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/28/2007 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notice, a true and correct copy of which is hereunto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Address, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6970</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3022 WEST CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6987</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6994</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3 $1.23 $7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature]

Date: 12/28/2007

(Joanna)

JPMC - 002418
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003907
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 01/10/2008

Trustee’s Sale No. 723397CA

1. LINDA YELNICKER

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9230 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 01/10/2008 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth office, a true and correct copy of which is hereunto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON STREET LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3 $ 1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

\[\text{Date}\]

(Declarant)

JPMC - 002419
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.009008
DECLARATION OF MAILING  
Date: 07/10/2008  
Type of Mailing: 1 Month  
Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA  
Loan No. [Redacted]

1. LINDA VELNICKER
That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Oksoddle Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311, I am over the age of eighteen years; On 07/10/2008 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notice, a true and correct copy of which is hereunto attached and made a part hereof, addressed to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7179 2993 5780 0302 7742  | Certified  
LAURA RICHARDSON  
3622 WEST CURTIS DR  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95816  | $0.41 | $2.65 |
| 7179 2993 5780 0302 7759  | Certified  
LAURA RICHARDSON  
3622 W CURTIS DRIVE  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95816  | $0.41 | $2.65 |
| 7179 2993 5780 0302 7766  | Certified  
LAURA RICHARDSON  
717 E VERNON STREET  
LONG BEACH, CA 90806  | $0.41 | $2.65 |

Number of pieces: 3  $1.23  $7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date 1/10/28

(Declaraet)
From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 7:59:43 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Attachments: Document.pdf

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-501-4261
Fax: 206-577-2744

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9200 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 310612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
800 892-6902
(818)775-2258 (Fax)

The following copy of the original of which was filed for
record on 12/14/2007 in the office of the County Recorder
of the County set forth below is sent to you in as much as
an examination of the title of the trust property shows
you may have an interest in the Trustee's Sale
Proceedings.

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, Trustee

---

Trustee Sale No. 723397CA Loan No. ______ Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR
PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the
legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus
permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account,
which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale
date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of
recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,356.40 as of 12/13/2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and
taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgagee. If you fail to make future payments on
the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as
required in the note and deed of trust or mortgagee, the beneficiary or mortgagee may insist that
you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or
mortgagee may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence
that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of the
entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account,
even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time
payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually agree in writing
prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-
month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the
default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to
cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the above paragraph of this notice, unless
the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your
creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying
the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
To find out the amount you must pay, to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY JACKSONVILLE FL 32256 (977) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustee, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument 026561 in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 123_123_123_123 Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

Including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 00/01/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: 12/13/2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary, By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY. authorized agent of CRC

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
# DECLARATION OF MAILING

**Type of Mailing:** 10 Day  
**Trustee's Sale No.:** 723397CA  
**Loan No.,** [blank]  

**Date:** 12/28/2007  

I, **IMELDA SERRANO**, an officer, agent, or employee of **CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY** whose business address is 9206 Oakdale Avenue N 1190412 Chatsworth, CA 91311, am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/28/2007 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth, in the State of California, a true and correct copy of which is hereto attached and made a part hereof, addressed to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1st Class         | LAURA RICHARDSON  
3622 WEST CURTIS DR  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 | $ 0.41 |
| 1st Class         | LAURA RICHARDSON  
5679 W CURTIS DR  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 | $ 0.41 |
| 1st Class         | LAURA RICHARDSON  
717 E VERNON ST  
LONG BEACH, CA 90806 | $ 0.41 |

**Number of pieces:** 3  
**$ 1.23**

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

*Signature*

12/28/07  
*(Declarant)*
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Type of Mailing: 10 Day

Trustee's Sale No. 72397CA

Date: 12/28/2007

1. IMELDA SERRANO

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/29/2007 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notice(s) a true and correct copy of which is heretofore attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6970</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3022 WEST CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6987</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3022 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6994</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$ 1.23 $ 7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct

[Signature]

(Date) (Declaraant)

JPMC - 002425
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003914
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 01/10/2003

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

1. LINDA YELNICKER

I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9230 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 01/10/2003 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth, a true and correct copy of which is hereunto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON STREET LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$ 1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Date)

(Declara...)

JPMC - 002426
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003915
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Type of Mailing: 1 Month

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

Date: 01/10/2008

LINDA YELNICKER
That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 01/10/2008 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth postmarked attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 3780 0302 7742</td>
<td>Certified LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 3780 0302 7759</td>
<td>Certified LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 3780 0302 7766</td>
<td>Certified LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON STREET LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of piece(s): 3

$ 1.23 $ 7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

1/10/28

(Date)

JPMC - 002427
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003916
From: Gaugl, Sara C.  <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:14 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.  <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

---Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 12:34 PM
To: Cook, Don
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/foreclosure

----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Kallner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Bercas, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Brad
Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WAMU loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at
auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jim Oren has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WAMU.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is 3069.

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gould
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue
WMC#11 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct
1.800.859.1111 cell
sara.gould@wamurt.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Oren, Jan L. 
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM 
To: Riley, Olivia; Gould, Sara C.; Caroux, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: For: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am in meeting and will call in a minute.

-------------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kevin Gould <KGould@CalBankers.com>
To: FSIR Meeting Group <FSIRMeetingGroups@CalBankers.com>
Sent: Wed May 21 08 01:35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento’s Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson’s Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elected to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

JPMC - 0802429
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.000918
Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign accounts, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $335,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 17, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $270,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helman, who sold the house to Richardson. "She didn't move the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to buy out leads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helman and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmans, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helman said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmans wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a house that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she bested Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government tutelages for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $387,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin
reporting herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $387,384.
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-736- [REDACTED] Participant passcode [REDACTED]
Start: 6/19/2006 3:30 PM
End: 6/19/2006 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Organizer
Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul J.; Berens, John; Chamoney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Stevens, Lawrence W.; Klein, Jason C.; Parker, Gary L.
Optional Attendees: Thom, Ann

Dial in Number: 866-736- [REDACTED] Participant Code: [REDACTED]

Seattle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
Subject: Updated Richardson Update
Location: 077-709 [passcode]

Start: 6/5/2008 7:00 PM
End: 6/5/2008 8:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; GM GSM DTE

Resources: 077-709 [passcode]
From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 11:57:01 AM  
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.  
Subject: RE: York on his litigation position

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 8:56 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.  
Subject: York on his litigation position


Odds against Richardson reclaiming house  

LAW: Congresswoman faces battle to get back home sold at auction, attorneys say.  
By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer  
Article Launched: 05/27/2008 10:48:49 PM PDT  

Rep. Laura Richardson will face an uphill fight as she tries to reclaim her Sacramento house, which was sold in a foreclosure auction three weeks ago.

Richardson, a first-year Democrat, said last week that she is working with her lender to try to rescind the auction on the grounds that it was held in error.

A real estate broker, James York, bought the house May 7 for $388,000. Richardson argues that she had previously made an agreement with her lender, Washington Mutual, to reinstate her loan, which should make the auction invalid.

But several attorneys said Tuesday that the law in such cases is stacked in favor of the buyer at a foreclosure auction. Only in rare cases can an auction be overturned.

"It’s gonna be very, very hard - even if the sale itself was improper - to get the sale reversed," said Richard Timan, an attorney who has handled similar cases. "People who don’t make payments are not beloved by judges."

York said Tuesday that he plans on hanging on to the house and would be surprised if Washington Mutual sues to get it back.

"I’m not too worried about it," he said. "Once you get the deed, it’s pretty much a done deal."

In California, two appellate decisions from 2005 deal with such cases.

In Melendez v. D&I Investment Inc., the court held that a foreclosure sale was valid, even though the Melendez family, of Watsonville, believed it had agreed to a repayment plan and had begun making payments.

"The Melendez family had the same claim as the congresswoman does," said Timan, the plaintiff’s attorney on the case,
"which is that they had actually worked out a deal with the bank, and the bank hadn't documented it properly."

But all that mattered to the appellate court in that case was that D&I Investment was a "bona fide purchaser" - meaning, essentially, that the company bought the house in a fair auction, without committing fraud. The auction was upheld.

The other case, Bank of America v. La Jolla Group II, offers a ray of hope for Richardson. In that case, the Solesio family of Fresno fell behind on a loan with Bank of America. Before an auction was held, the family paid the entire arrearage on the loan. But the auction was erroneously held anyway, and the La Jolla Group II purchased the property.

The court voided the auction.

"Now it opens up the door for almost any sale to be challenged," said Susan Moore, who argued the case for the La Jolla Group II. "The trend seems to be moving more towards favoring the homeowner."

Richardson - who has also defaulted on her two other homes in Long Beach and San Pedro - described her agreement with Washington Mutual as a loan modification, and she said she had begun making payments on it.

Glenn Weissler, who argued for Bank of America, noted that his case was limited to a reinstatement in which the entire arrearage was paid. He said he was unaware of a precedent that dealt directly with Richardson's circumstances.

gene.maddaus@dailybreaze.com <mailto:gene.maddaus@dailybreaze.com>
Odds against Richardson reclaiming house

Rep. Laura Richardson will face an uphill fight as she tries to reclaim her Sacramento house, which was sold in a foreclosure auction three weeks ago.

Richardson, a first-year Democrat, said last week that she is working with her lender to try to rescind the auction on the grounds that it was held in error.

A real estate broker, James York, bought the house May 7 for $388,000. Richardson argues that she had previously made an agreement with her lender, Washington Mutual, to reinstate her loan, which should make the auction invalid.

But several attorneys said Tuesday that the law in such cases is stacked in favor of the buyer at a foreclosure auction. Only in rare cases can an auction be overturned.

"It's gonna be very, very hard - even if the sale itself was improper - to get the sale reversed," said Richard Timan, an attorney who has handled similar cases. "People who don't make payments are not beloved by judges."

York said Tuesday that he plans on hanging on to the house and would be surprised if Washington Mutual sues to get it back.

"I'm not too worried about it," he said. "Once you get the deed, it's pretty much a done deal."

In California, two appellate decisions from 2005 dealt with such cases.

In Melendrez v. D&I Investment Inc., the court held that a foreclosure sale was valid, even though the Melendrez family, of Watsonville, believed it had agreed to a repayment plan and had begun making payments.

"The Melendrez family had the same claim as the congresswoman does," said Timan, the plaintiff's attorney on the case, "which is that they had actually worked out a deal with the bank, and the bank hadn't documented it properly."

But all that mattered to the appellate court in that case was that D&I Investment was a "bona fide purchaser" - meaning, essentially, that the company bought the house in a fair auction, without committing fraud. The auction was upheld.

The other case, Bank of America v. La Jolla Group II, offers a ray of hope for Richardson. In that case, the Salesia family of Fresno fell behind on a loan with Bank of America. Before an auction was held, the family paid the entire arrearage on the loan. But the auction was erroneously held anyway, and the La Jolla Group II purchased the property.

The court voided the auction.

"Now it opens up the door for almost any sale to be challenged," said Susan Moore, who argued the case for the La Jolla Group II. "The trend seems to be moving more towards favoring the homeowner."

Richardson - who has also defaulted on her two other homes in Long Beach and San Pedro - described her agreement with Washington Mutual as a loan modification, and she said she had begun making payments on it.

Glenn Wechsler, who argued for Bank of America, noted that his case was limited to a reinstatement in which the entire arrearage was paid. He said he was unaware of a precedent that deals directly with Richardson's circumstances.

---

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 11:56:09 AM
To: Gattagno, Paul J.; Cauquil, Cara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: York on his litigation position

<http://www.prospectogram.com/nwseca/0909230>

Odds against Richardson reclaiming house

LAW: Congresswoman faces battle to get back house sold at auction, attorneys say.

By Genevieve Johnson, Staff Writer

Article Launched: 05/27/2008 10:48:49 PM PDT

Rep. Laura Richardson will face an uphill fight as she tries to reclaim her Sacramento house, which was sold in a foreclosure auction three weeks ago.

Richardson, a first-year Democrat, said last week that she is working with her lender to try to rescind the auction on the grounds that it was held in error.

A real estate broker, James York, bought the house May 7 for $388,000. Richardson argues that she had previously made an agreement with her lender, Washington Mutual, to reinstate her loan, which should make the auction invalid.

But several attorneys said Tuesday that the law in such cases is stacked in favor of the buyer at a foreclosure auction. Only in rare cases can an auction be overturned.

"It's gonna be very, very hard - even if the sale itself was improper - to get the sale reversed," said Richard Timan, an attorney who has handled similar cases. "People who don't make payments are not beloved by judges."

York said Tuesday that he plans on hanging on to the house and would be surprised if Washington Mutual sues to get it back.

"I'm not too worried about it," he said. "Once you get the deed, it's pretty much a done deal."

In California, two appellate decisions from 2005 dealt with such cases.

In Melendrez v. D&I Investment Inc., the court held that a foreclosure sale was valid, even though the Melendrez family, of Watsonville, believed it had agreed to a repayment plan and had begun making payments.

"The Melendrez family had the same claim as the congresswoman does," said Timan, the plaintiff's attorney on the case, "which is that they had actually worked out a deal with the bank, and the bank hadn't documented it properly."

But all that mattered to the appellate court in that case was that D&I Investment was a "bona fide purchaser" - meaning, essentially, that the company bought the house in a fair auction, without committing fraud. The auction was upheld.

The other case, Bank of America v. La Jolla Group II, offers a ray of hope for Richardson. In that case, the Salesia family of Fresno fell behind on a loan with Bank of America. Before an auction was held, the family paid the entire arrearage on the loan. But the auction was erroneously held anyway, and the La Jolla Group II purchased the property.

The court voided the auction.

"Now it opens up the door for almost any sale to be challenged," said Susan Moore, who argued the case for the La Jolla Group II. "The trend seems to be moving more towards favoring the homeowner."

Richardson - who has also defaulted on her two other homes in Long Beach and San Pedro - described her agreement with Washington Mutual as a loan modification, and she said she had begun making payments on it.

Glenn Wechsler, who argued for Bank of America, noted that his case was limited to a reinstatement in which the entire arrearage was paid. He said he was unaware of a precedent that deals directly with Richardson's circumstances.
Good morning, Paul. Is it possible you can resend this in Adobe. The letter is coming out landscaped and I cannot tweak it to portrait. Thanks very much.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-
Direct Fax: (619) 398-

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1859 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 630 B Street, Ste. 1900, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:45 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

———

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

———

From: RichardsonMC., Laura [mailto:laura.richardsonmc@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate rescission of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached at anytime on my cell at 562-706-2219

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann [mailto:Ann.thorn@wamu.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: RichardsonMC, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and return back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
505-886-3044

For Internal Use Only

Attachments.
200805270915411110.pdf (132321 Bytes)
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 10:45:24 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: Consent

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 6:17 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter.

From: RichardsonMC, Laura
Sent: Fri, 06/06/2008 09:40 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Wendy attached the prior letter today and attached the consent form for the April 17th letter. I am on a freight train now and will get these to you shortly. Please let the building manager know to forward this to the person you indicated.

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann | emailto:an.thorn@wamu.net |
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: Richardson, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchasers to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

Attachments:
- TR Auth Consent.jpg (573.35 Bytes)
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:48 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

FYI. Laura Richardson says she will work on the loss mit materials this weekend.

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 08101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

---

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:07 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.  
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
804-880-5644

For Internal Use Only

---

From: Mathis, Julie A.  
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:05 PM  
To: Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Subject: FW: LOSS MIT

Please see below.

Thanks,  

Julie
From: Laura Richardson

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:20 AM

To: Mathis, Julie A.

Subject: Re: Loss Mit

Please advise Ann Thorne that I will work on this during the weekend. I apologize for the delay I did not recognize your email / name. I was looking for something from Ann or Ms. Woodcock, so I missed it.

I will be in touch.

Laura

----- Original Message ----
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: laurarith@wamu.net
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM
Subject: Loss Mit

<<Borrower Assistance Form 5.08.pdf>>

Thank you,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
904 865-1305
904 865-1325 fax
From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 4:27:58 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.
CC: Thom, Ann
Subject: RE: Final Review: Richardson Response

REDACTED

Geri Ann S. Baptista – VP, WeMu Corporate Communications
206.600.3875 direct | 206.600.3823 fax | geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:45 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Cc: Thom, Ann
Subject: RE: Final Review: Richardson Response

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1101 2nd Avenue, WMC 3901
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:37 PM
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Cc: Thom, Ann
Subject: Final Review: Richardson Response

REDACTED
Questions:

- Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson's privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

- Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

- If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

  - Sara

Sara Gage
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMU40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2322 direct | 206.226.5281 cell
sara.gage@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 4:22 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Boulton, Elizabeth A. <elizabeth.boulton@wamu.net>
Cc: Chiu, Huey-Jen <huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net>; Brignac, Deborah P. <deborah.brignac@wamu.net>; MMguinn@kirbymac.com; Graves, Tracy A. <tracy.graves@wamu.net>; Hawk, Amanda L. <amanda.hawk@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: Re: Laura Richardson Foreclosure Rescission

U guys are the best. Thank u. Sorry I have been sitting by the pool all day without you!!!

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
To: Thorn, Ann; Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Cc: Chiu, Huey-Jen; Brignac, Deborah P.; MMguinn@kirbymac.com; Graves, Tracy A.; Hawk, Amanda L.; Cook, Don

Subject: RE: Laura Richardson Foreclosure Rescission

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1501 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 12:39 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Cc: Chiu, Huey-Jen; Brignac, Deborah P.; MMguinn@kirbymac.com; Graves, Tracy A.
Subject: Re: Laura Richardson Foreclosure Rescission
Beth get with amanda hawk or guy to get this cut today.

------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

---- Original Message ----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
To: Thorn, Ann; Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Cc: Chu, Huey-Jen; Benjamin, Deborah P.; Martin T. McGuinn <MMcGuinn@kirbymac.com>
Sent: Fri May 30 12:17:19 2008
Subject: Laura Richardson Foreclosure Rescission

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1201 2nd Avenue, WMC 3K01
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 4:26 AM
To: Chu, Huey-Jen
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Recession

REDACTED
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 7:50 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: follow-up

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
Wamu
1301 Second Avenue I WMCB11 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct I 206.228.5389 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 4:47 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: follow-up

Please call for some follow-up questions on general policies that may relate to the Laura Richardson situation, but do not require you to divulge her personal information.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Business
310-544.5968
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:44:40 PM
To: Bettaglio, Paul J.
Subject: FW: Richardson/CNN

Paul, for your information.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 6:42 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D., Cook, Don; Adams, Carolyn; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Richardson/CNN

All:
So you are aware, I just received a call from a producer with CNN re: Congresswoman Richardson's "debacle."
Apparently, CNN's Campbell Brown plans to discuss the Congresswoman's situation during tomorrow's 9 p.m Eastern broadcast.

The producer said she's been tasked with cross-checking the accuracy of Ms. Richardson's statements with WaMu, at which point I informed her that we have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation.

At that point, she informed me that CNN has talked with a forensic accountant. Based on his/her analysis of Ms. Richardson's financial situation, the accountant has "determined" that the Congresswoman probably shouldn't have qualified for the (WaMu) loan in the first place.

I'll keep you informed as I learn more. I expect to receive an update from CNN tomorrow.

Best,
Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.7388 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Update on Congresswoman Richardson
Location: 077-708 [ ] passcode [ ]

Start: 5/23/2008 2:30 PM
End: 5/23/2008 3:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Battagia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.

Resources: 077-708 [ ] passcode [ ]
Embedded below is Congresswoman Laura Richardson's statement to the media for your reference:

CONGRESSWOMAN LAURA RICHARDSON

For Immediate Release
May 21, 2008

The story published in the Capitol Weekly regarding residential property that I own in Sacramento requires clarification.
Within a 12-month period last year (2007-2008), I was a member of Long Beach City Council, the District Director for California Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante, a member of the California State Legislature, and now a member of Congress. While the transition has impacted me personally, the residential property in Sacramento California is not in foreclosure and has NOT been seized by the bank.

I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement -- with no special provisions. I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property.

On two housing bills that were cited by the Capitol Weekly, the allegation is that I recused myself from these votes. I did not. I was absent from Washington, D.C., and my duties in the House of Representatives due to the untimely death of my father and his subsequent funeral in California.

I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1201 Second Avenue / WMCA10 [Seattle WA 98101
206.503.2922 direct 1 206.270.5029 [call
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Parker, Gary L. <gary.parker@wamu.net>
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 2:08 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: SFGate: Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

FYI--

Gary Parker
Legal Department
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Ave.
Seattle, WA 98101
206.502.4355 direct, 206.377.2840 fax
gary.parker@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message----
From: Stedl, Challey
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 11:06 AM
To: Guerard, Scott; Parker, Gary L.
Subject: SFGate: Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

This may interest you, if you haven’t already seen it. Challey

******************************************************************************
This article was sent to you by someone who found it on SFGate.
The original article can be found on SFGate.com here:
http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/08/15/dta/104912D91.DTL
******************************************************************************

Friday, August 15, 2008 (AP)

Calif. congresswoman’s house a public nuisance

(Sacramento, CA) -- Sacramento county officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn’t fix it up.

The long-behaved Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the home. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn’t received proper notice.

The county’s code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents.

Inspectors even for other suits to police about a suspicious person there.

A spokesman for Richardson, William Marshall Jr., said the city sent the notice to the man who previously bought the house from the bank, not Richardson. He says Richardson will maintain the property once “full possession has been returned” to her.

Copyright 2008 AP
From: Gaupl, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 1:39:14 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann
Subject: Latest media coverage on Richardson

FYI, only. Gene Maddaus is at it again.

**Rep's got expensive wheels**

By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer

Article Launched: 06/29/2008

Taxpayers pay for Rep. Laura Richardson's car lease.

When she arrived in Congress last fall, Rep. Laura Richardson sought out a vehicle that would match her newfound status.

She settled on a 2007 Lincoln Town Car - the choice of many representatives who lease their vehicles at taxpayers' expense. But hers was distinct: at $1,300 a month, it was the most expensive car in the House of Representatives.

Richardson, a Democrat who represents Carson, has since become known for defaulting on two home loans and losing a third house - in an upscale neighborhood in Sacramento - at a foreclosure auction.

But her history with vehicles has been similarly fraught. When she was a councilwoman in Long Beach, she crashed her BMW, abandoned it at a body shop, failed to pay a prior repair bill, and then racked up 30,000 miles on a city-owned hybrid in one year - apparently violating a policy against personal use of city cars.

In her brief stint in the Assembly, she leased a 2002 Lincoln LS for $304 a month - all but $36 of it paid for by the state. So Richardson was already well versed in the use of government vehicles when she got to Washington.

A protégé of Rep. Maxine Waters - she calls Waters "Big Mama" and herself "Little Mama" - Richardson has worked to cultivate an image of success since arriving in Congress last fall. That effort evidently included a major upgrade of her publicly funded car.

About 130 representatives leased cars last year, according to a report compiled earlier this year by taxpayers for Common Sense. Most were in the range of $400 to $800 per month.

Richardson's 2007 lease costs show up on a more recent congressional spending report, because she did not pay the bill until February. According to the report, her first bill was for $1,289, and covered a one-month period from mid-October to mid-November. She then paid a prorated amount of $2,305 for the 45-day period from mid-November to the end of the year.

That makes her lease $300 more expensive than the costliest car in the Taxpayers for Common Sense report. As of last winter, the newest member of Congress had far and away the most expensive car in the House of Representatives.

"A $1,300 lease is a gold-plated lease," said Keith Ashdown, chief investigator for the watchdog group. "Because it's federal money and not their personal money, they're not looking for the best value."

Rep. Diane Watson, D-Los Angeles, also leases a 2007 Lincoln Town Car, but she pays only $888 per month for it.

According to a former staff member, Richardson insisted that her Lincoln be specially customized, which may explain the high cost.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, initially stated that Richardson is paying only $940 per month for her Town Car, but gave no documentation of that. After he was presented with the expense report showing the $1,300 lease amount, he declined to answer further questions.

"No comment," he said.

Richardson got the car from a local Enterprise Rent-a-Car office for her use when she needed to travel around her congressional district. The cost would not have been affected by her personal credit history, because it was paid for by the federal government. The price tag also did not include insurance, which Richardson agreed to pay for on her own.

At 6:30 p.m. April 3, a staff member was driving the car on the San Diego (405) Freeway, when he got into a crash. According to a California Highway Patrol report, the staffer, Henry Rogers, reported being struck in the rear by a white sport utility vehicle. The impact caused him to spin out and hit the center median and left major damage to the left rear of the Town Car.

The CHP report gives no information about the insurance on the car, other than to say that it was a "rental." But Richardson's subsequent actions suggest that Rogers may not have been listed as an eligible driver on her insurance policy.

According to the former staffer, after the crash Richardson required her district employees to buy additional insurance so they could legally drive the Lincoln. The former staff member did not want to be identified out of fear of jeopardizing future
employment prospects.

Another former staffer said Rogers was not forced to pay for the damage to the car, but did not know how the issue was resolved.

Rogers referred questions about the crash to Richardson's spokesman, who did not return a call about the crash on Friday.

Richardson did not report the crash to Enterprise, but it is not clear that she was required to, given that she was self-insured.

Records also indicate that Richardson owes $83 for illegally parking the Town Car in Long Beach. The ticket was issued Jan. 23, in the amount of $40, but has since doubled because it was not paid on time.

Richardson also owes $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento home, which she is trying to get back. The new owner of the home has sued her and her lender, Washington Mutual, after the bank moved to rescind the foreclosure sale.

"She's got bigger problems than what she's leasing," said Ashdown of the Taxpayers for Common Sense.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2222 direct | 206.2281 | cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hi – I understand that Ms. Richardson qualified for and agreed to a loan modification, but we’re awaiting signed paperwork.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WFMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMCO | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.7230 cell
sara.gaugl@wmfu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 9:05 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Richardson
Sensitivity: Confidential

Hi Sara,

A fundraiser for Richardson, any update on the loan matter?

Geri Ann

A House Seat Won, a House Lost, and a House Leader Divided

By: Jeffrey H. Birnbaum

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/06/23/AR2008062301797_pf.html?
nav=rss_opinion/columns

Every once in a while, events move fast in the nation’s capital.

Last week, http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/related/topic/Story+Hoyer?tid=newsline, through a spokeswoman, said it might be a good idea for the ethics committee to look into some financial missteps by Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) that have been highlighted in the press.

This week -- tomorrow, in fact -- Hoyer is scheduled to host a fundraising reception for Richardson to help pay her campaign’s substantial debt.

Whoo! Richardson was elected to the House last August in a special election to replace the late Democratic Rep. http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/related/topic/Juanita+Millender+McDonald?tid=newsline.

Subsequently, Richardson lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure after falling to make payments. She also reportedly owed http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/related/topic/Sacramento+County?tid=newsline about $9,000 in property taxes and defaulted on loans six times on two other California homes.

On top of that, according to the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson failed to pay an auto mechanic for hundreds of dollars’ worth of car repairs and then abandoned the car at another body shop.

None of this was detailed -- as some of it probably should have been, according to critics -- on Richardson’s legally mandated financial disclosure forms.

"Mr. Hoyer has always said the ethics committee should look at anything that's raised in the public sphere," Hoyer spokeswoman Stacey Farnen Bernards said last week. "That gives people the confidence that the House is policing itself."

But Hoyer's policy didn't deter him from going ahead with the fundraiser to help his beleaguered colleague pay off the $330,000 she owed as of last month. The event is scheduled from 5:30 to 7 tomorrow evening, in rented space at a private residence on http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/related/topic/Capitol+Hill?tid=informline. Hoyer's invitation seeks contributions of between $1,000 and $5,000 from political action committees, which are pools of money filled by individuals and used by corporations, industries and labor unions to donate to the coffers of lawmakers they wish to assist. Probably a lot of PACs will pay up. Pleasing the House's majority leader is something political action committees are usually eager to do.

But help for Richardson?

CREW's executive director, http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/related/topic/Melanie+Sloan?tid=informline, thinks Hoyer's effort takes him in the wrong direction. "I'd prefer to see a member file an ethics complaint against Richardson rather than help retire her campaign debt," Sloan said in an e-mail. Richardson's office did not return a telephone call seeking comment. Hoyer is not deterred and defends his decision to help Richardson. "There is no allegation of wrongdoing," Bernards said. "Mr. Hoyer feels comfortable supporting Representative Richardson as a fellow House Democrat."

Geri Ann S. Baptista, VP
Corporate Communications
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2875 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 5:41 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gauld, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thu 06/19/2008 06:38 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow. Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706-7171.
Thanks, Laura

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Laura Richardson
To: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,
I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886-4869. I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but
I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706.

Thanks,
Laura

----- Original Message -----
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson <laurenr@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-856-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thu 06/19/2008 06:49 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: For: Follow-up on Loss Mit

FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow. Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706[REDACTED]

Thanks, Laura

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Laura Richardson <Laura.Richardson@wamu.net>
To: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,
I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886[REDACTED] I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706[REDACTED]

Thanks,
Laura

----- Original Message -----
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn
Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 2:58 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

--- REDACTED ---

Ann Thor, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

--- REDACTED ---

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 8:41 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A., Battaglia, Paul J., Gaugl, Sara C., Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

--- REDACTED ---

From: Laura Richardson [mailto:laurarichardson55assembly@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thu 06/19/2008 06:38 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Follow-up on Loss Mit

FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow.
Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706______
Thanks, Laura

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Laura Richardson
To: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,
I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886-3304. I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706-3304.

Thanks,
Laura

----- Original Message ----
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson <laura Richardson@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thom

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 10:25 AM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-4261
Fax: 206 377 2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 5:41 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thu 06/19/2008 06:38 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Follow-up on Loss Mit

FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow.
Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706.

Thanks, Laura
----- Forwarded Message ----- 
From: Laura Richardson <laurenr@amu.net>
To: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@amu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,

I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886-####. I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-#### or 562-706-####.

Thanks,

Laura

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@amu.net>
To: Laura Richardson <laurenr@amu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 8:41 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Follow-up on Loss Mit

REDACTED

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thu 06/19/2008 06:38 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Follow-up on Loss Mit

FYI... I am faxing you the document as well with pending clarifying questions and hope to hear from someone tomorrow.
Again, I can be reached at 202-225-7924 or cell 562-706-

Thanks, Laura

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 7:36:01 PM
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ann,
I just sent the WaMu borrower assistance form via fax to 904-886-
I sent three emails to you yesterday and none of them were replied to. Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss a few open items. Further, I have a couple questions regarding the assistance form but I wanted to complete it as best as I could today to meet your requested deadline just advised of yesterday for Friday. I look forward to your call tomorrow at either 202-225-7924 or 562-706-

Thanks,
Laura

----- Original Message -----

JPIC - 002467
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003956
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: [Recipients]
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
I will only be available for the first 15 minutes or so.....Fitch is here today

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Wed 06/18/2008 07:10 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Potashnick, Barbara A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Richardson Update

When: Thursday, June 19, 2008 9:00 AM-9:30 AM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 988-590[41] [poq] [2] [2] [2]

<~><~><~><~><~><~>
I also got this ..........

Ann,

Late yesterday, Red Rock Mortgage, sent a certified letter to my district office in Torrance where my office staff unknowingly signed for which included "Notice of Pendency of Action" papers.

Who in your operation/office should I follow-up with? Please advise at your earliest convenience.

Laura Richardson
REDACTED
FYI----
My notice of delay AND NO REFERENCE TO TIME DEADLINES.
Laura Richardson

----- Original Message ----
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:20 AM
Subject: Re: Loss Mit

Please advise Ann Thorne that I will work on this during the weekend. I apologize for the delay I did not recognize your email/ name. I was looking for something from Ann or Ms. Woodcock, so I missed it.

I will be in touch.

Laura

----- Original Message ----
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: laurarichardson
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>, "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM
Subject: Loss Mit

Thank you,
Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
504-886-1305
904-886-1325 fax
I will schedule a call this week. Thanks, J

-----------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thorn, Ann
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-880-6644

For Internal Use Only

-----------------------

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:30 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Loss Mit

Ann,

FYI---
NO REFERENCE TO TIME DEADLINES.
Laura Richardson

----- Forwarded Message ----- 
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: laurarrichardson
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>, "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM
Subject: Loss Mit
Thank you,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
504-886-1375
904-886-1375 fax
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:33 AM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Richardson [REDACTED]

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1111 2nd Avenue, WMC 3301
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2384

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 7:30 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

From: Laura Richardson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Re: Loss Mit

FYI---
My notice of delay AND NO REFERENCE TO TIME DEADLINES.
Laura Richardson

---- Original Message ----
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:05:34 PM
Subject: RE: Loss Mit

Thanks, I will let them both know.

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
504.886.1305
504.886.1329 fax

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:20 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Loss Mit

Please advise Ann Thorne that I will work on this during the weekend. I apologize for the delay I did not recognize your email / name. I was looking for something from Ann or Ms. Woodcock, so I missed it.

I will be in touch.

Laura

----- Original Message ----
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: laurachardson
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM
Subject: Loss Mit

<<Borrower Assistance Form 5.08.pdf>>

Thank you,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
504.886.1305
504.886.1329 fax
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:31 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Loss Mit
Attach: Borrower Assistance Form 5.08.pdf

Ann Thorn, FUP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:30 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Loss Mit

Ann,
FYI——
NO REFERENCE TO TIME DEADLINES
Laura Richardson

----- Forwarded Message ----
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: laurarichardson@wamu.net
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>, "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM
Subject: Loss Mit

<--Borrower Assistance Form 5.08.pdf-->

Thank you,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
904 886-1305
904 886-1325 fax
You can type your answers right into this form and fax or mail it in. Use the instructions on the next page.

1. To help us locate your loan, please provide your name(s).

   Borrower Name:
   Co-borrower Name:

2. What are your current phone numbers?

   ( ) ( )
   Borrower Home Phone: Co-borrower Home Phone:

   ( ) ( )
   Borrower Work Phone: Co-borrower Work Phone:

   ( ) ( )
   Borrower Mobile Phone: Co-borrower Mobile Phone:

3. Do you have your WaMu loan number?
   Yes: No

4. What is the address of your property?

   Street Address: Apartment Number:
   City: State: Zip:

5. Do you (or your co-borrower) have a different mailing address?
   Yes: No

6. Please enter any additional mailing addresses.

   Borrower Street Address: Apartment Number:
   City: State: Zip:

   Co-borrower Street Address: Apartment Number:
   City: State: Zip:

7. How many people live at your address?
   □ 1   □ 2   □ 3   □ 4   □ 5   □ 6 or more

8. How many of the people living at this address are dependents?
   □ 1   □ 2   □ 3   □ 4   □ 5   □ 6 or more

9. What is the reason you are having trouble with your home loan payments?

10. Would you prefer to keep your home or sell it?
    □ Keep my home   □ Sell it

11. If you want to sell, is it listed for sale?
    □ Currently listed   □ Was listed previously   □ Was never listed

12. Do you have any other loans on the home?
    Yes: No

13. If you have other loans on the home, approximately how much do you owe on all other loans combined?
14. Have you already spoken to a debt counseling service?
   □ Yes    □ No

15. How many people do you own?
   □ 1    □ 2    □ 3    □ 4 or more

16. Please enter the amount you pay for the items below each month, and total them in the last row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSE</th>
<th>EXPENSE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Home Loans, Rent or Leases</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Loans(s)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Insurance</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Expenses</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care, Child Support or Alimony</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food &amp; Miscellaneous Spending Money</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Please enter your income details below and total them in the last row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOME</th>
<th>HOUSING</th>
<th>ONSHORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Wages</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income (unemployment, child support, etc.)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$ U  $</td>
<td>$ U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. Please enter how much money you have in the assets below, and total them in the last row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSET</th>
<th>ASSET</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Checking Account(s)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings or Money Market Account(s)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocks, Bonds &amp; CDs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement Account(s)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Equity</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Real Estate Equity</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cars (with no loan payments)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$ U</td>
<td>$ U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I (we) agree that the financial information provided is an accurate statement of my (our) financial status. I (we) understand and acknowledge that any action taken by the lender of my (our) home loan on my (our) behalf will be made in strict reliance on the financial information provided. My (our) signature(s) below grants the lender of my (our) home loan the authority to confirm the information I (we) have disclosed in this financial statement, to verify that it is accurate by ordering a credit report and to contact my (our) real estate agent and/or credit counseling representative (if applicable). By signing below, I (we) hereby agree that if I (we) should hereafter agree to a repayment plan for my (our) home loan, refinance my (our) home loan, or pay off my (our) home loan in full, then by doing so and without the necessity of any further action on my (our) part, I (we) hereby expressly withdraw this request for a loan workout. In that event, I (we) hereby request that you take no further action to process this request for a workout.

****

**X**

Walter

Date

Co-borrower

Date

**DON'T FORGET! DID YOU...**

☐ Fully complete all questions?
    Remember: If you have a co-borrower, we need his or her information, too.

☐ Sign and date this form?

☐ Include copies of your:
    - Checking account statement(s)
    - Savings account statement(s)
    - Income history,
      - if you are self-employed—past six months' profit and loss statements and most recent Federal tax return
      - if you receive regular paychecks—two most recent pay stubs

☐ Copy the completed form for yourself?

**GREAT!**

Now, either fax or mail your completed forms to WaMu.

Fax 904-886-1328 or 904-886-1339

Mail: WaMu Home Ownship Preservation

7935 S. Beauford Ave., Jacksonville, FL 32216
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:30 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Re: Loss Mit

FYI---
My notice of delay AND NO REFERENCE TO TIME DEADLINES.
Laura Richardson

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson <Laura Richardson>
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:05:34 PM
Subject: RE: Loss Mit

Thanks, I will let them both know.

Julio

Julia Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Ownership Reposition
Washington Mutual
504 886-1325
904 886-1325 fax

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:20 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: Re: Loss Mit

Please advise Ann Thorne that I will work on this during the weekend. I apologize for the delay I did not recognize your email / name. I was looking for something from Ann or Ms Woodcock, so I missed it.
I will be in touch.

Laura

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net> 
To: laurachardson1 <laurachardson1@wamu.net> 
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net> 
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM 
Subject: Loss Mit

<<Borrower Assistance Form 5.08.pdf>>

Thank you,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual

JPMC - 082482
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.003971
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:26 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Gaugh, Sara C. <sara.gaugh@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Here is her response to the letter:

Ann Thorn, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Re: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Ms. Thorn,
Due to the numerous issues that have surrounded my agreement and the improper sale of my property, I am working with my original broker to complete the application accurately. I am limited to his availability therefore it is taking longer than I expected. I advised Ms. Mathis of my delay via email and was told you would be notified.

I was quite surprised with your attached letter that requires submittal by Friday which I do not believe was expressed before. If you recall, it was I, that suggested we begin the process prior to the completion of the recision not WAMU.

I will meet your deadline; however, in the future clear indications of time deadlines is needed.

Laura Richardson

----- Original Message -----
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Laura Richardson
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 5:14:14 PM
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 8:52:24 PM
To: Elise, Alan; Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Owen, Jen L.
Subject: LA Times Blog, Richardson

This should be interesting....


Ask the congresswoman: Your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson
I'm overdue for a session of "Ask the blogger," so here goes. Today, something completely different: instead of your questions for me, I'd like to hear your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson, the triple-default Democrat from Long Beach.
I sent her office a list of questions in late May (you can see my questions by clicking at the bottom of this post) and haven't heard back, so I'm giving up and turning to you: submit your best (serious) questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson. I'll sort through them and send them along to her congressional office. Fire away.

(Sent by e-mail on June 16 to Kimberly Parker, chief of staff to U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson)

Kimberly: I'm still interested in answers to the questions below, which I first submitted to the congresswoman's office on May 22:

Questions from Peter Viles at the Los Angeles Times:
What kind of mortgage did the congresswoman take on the Sacramento home? (Adjustable Rate Mortgage, Option ARM, 30-year-fixed, etc.)
What was the amount of the loan and the interest rate?
Who was the original lender?
Did she take out one loan or more than one loan?
Did she make a down payment? If so, how much?
A published report quotes the seller of the house saying that Ms. Richardson received $15,000 from the seller for closing costs. Is this accurate?
What were her monthly payments on the mortgage?
How many payments did she make on the mortgage?
When did she begin missing payments on the mortgage, and why?
When and how did she contact the lender to discuss a loan modification?
Did she contact the lender herself, or did a member of her staff contact the lender?
Documents indicate the amount she owes on the loan was eventually greater than the initial value of the loan itself. Can you explain why the amount she owes increased?
When did she and the lender agree to a loan modification? And what is the exact nature of the loan modification? What is the new interest rate, the new principal amount, and the new monthly payment?
How many payments has the congresswoman made on the loan modification?

Thanks,
Peter Viles

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.4822 direct | 206.222.1111 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:15 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Follow-up on Loss Mit
Attach: Scan001 PDF

Paul, per our conversation.

Sara/Jan, fyi

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:14 PM
To: 'Laura Richardson'
Subject: Follow-up on Loss Mit

Congresswoman,

Please see attached.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
June 17, 2008

Re: Washington Mutual Loan Number: [mask]
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Your e-mail to Julie Mathis dated June 12, 2008 was forwarded to me. You indicated in your e-mail that you would be working on your Homeownership Preservation package over the June 14-15 weekend. I therefore expected to receive your updated financial information sometime on Monday. It is now Tuesday, and I still have not received anything from you, nor have you called to explain your delay.

As you know, in April, 2008, you contacted WaMu asking for the amount necessary to reinstate your loan. We sent you the reinstatement figures on April 17, 2008, along with a cover letter stating that we would postpone the foreclosure proceedings to June 4, 2008. Your property then went to foreclosure sale on May 7, 2008, where it was sold to the high bidder Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. At the time of the foreclosure sale, you were in default on your loan and there was not any loan workout or modification agreement in place between you and WaMu, as your prior request for a loan modification was denied.

Due in part to your comments to me that you fully intended to pay your obligation to WaMu, we honored our commitment to postpone the foreclosure sale by instructing the foreclosure trustee to record a Notice of Recission of the foreclosure sale, thereby restoring legal title to the Property in your name.

Following the Notice of Recission, we've had several telephone conversations where you reiterated your desire to fulfill your loan obligation. On May 28, 2008, Wendy Woodcock and I had a telephone conversation with you where we told you that you would have to send in updated financial information for review. We sent you the required forms on June 6, 2008, but they have not yet been completed and returned for our review.

Based on your most recent assurance that you would be working on providing the financial information over the June 14-15 weekend, we expect to have all of the information that we've requested by the end of the day on Friday, June 20, 2008. If we do not have all of the information requested, we will reschedule the foreclosure sale of your property.

Sincerely,

Ann Thorn
So, do we think having our calls again are in order? I can set them up- let me know. Thanks, Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
501 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-6661
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 1:56 PM 
To: Strom, Erik E.; Owen, Jan L.  
Cc: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Subject: Richardson Disclosure  
Sensitivity: Confidential  

June 16, 2008, 3:34 pm

Disclosure Offers No Insight on Lawmaker’s Home Woes

Sarah Lueck reports on Congress.

Trackback URL: http://blogs.wjw.com/wsj/wra/2008/06/16/disclosure-offers-no-insight-on-lawmakers-home-woes-trackback/

House members’ annual financial-disclosure forms were released Monday, but the one submitted by California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson provided no information about her recent mortgage woes. Multiple accounts have been reported recently on Richardson’s financial troubles, which includes a foreclosure on one of her California homes and defaults on two others.

On the section labeled “Liabilities,” Richardson’s form says only “NA.” There’s no mention of the balance of 557,304 that Richardson reportedly owes on a Sacramento home she purchased in January 2007. It recently was sold at auction to a mortgage lender for $388,000, according to the Associated Press.

Members of Congress are not required to report mortgages on their residences, unless the property is the source of rental or investment income. They are, however, required to disclose a mortgage debt or other type of loan when it exceeds the purchase price of the item.

Richardson’s form is dated May 19 and covers calendar year 2007. She is among dozens of lawmakers who requested extra time to file information on their assets, debts, travel and income—possibly a sign that more details are on the way. Her new deadline is Monday, June 16. A spokesman didn’t immediately return calls for comment.

Richardson told the AP in a May interview that her financial trouble was partly the result of using her own money for her recent run for her House seat. She said she renegotiated her loan and will pay it off, along with nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes. “I’m a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months,” Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."
Richardson's loan was subprime – Press Telegram (Long Beach)
By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer

Rep. Laura Richardson took out a subprime loan to buy her Sacramento house, suggesting she probably was a poor credit risk even before her recent string of loan defaults.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, has defaulted on all three of her homes, and lost the one in Sacramento in a foreclosure auction. She is now engaged in a legal battle with the new owner of the home, after her lender, Washington Mutual Bank, tried to rescind the sale.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc., filed a lawsuit on Thursday against Richardson and Washington Mutual, contesting the foreclosure rescission.

Included in the suit was Richardson's deed of trust, which contains the terms of her home loan. The no-money-down loan was issued for $535,000 in January 2007. It was adjustable after two years, with an introductory rate of 8.8 percent.

"That's a subprime loan," said Jon Nastro, a real estate broker in nearby Elk Grove. "Those are the ones we're taking back now."

Richardson previously declined to disclose her loan terms.

The average prime mortgage rate at the time was 6.22 percent, according to Freddie Mac.

Without further information, it is difficult to tell whether Richardson's subprime loan terms were the result of a poor credit history, lack of income documentation, or both.

Richardson was an assemblywoman at the time the loan was issued, with an annual salary of $113,000. She also collected $28,365 in per diem for living expenses in Sacramento during the nine months she served in the Legislature. She now earns $189,300 as a member of Congress and rents an apartment in Washington, D.C.

Before her election in 2006, Richardson earned $80,000 as a legislative director for L.t. Gov. Cruz Bustamante and $28,000 as a Long Beach city councilwoman, according to a congressional financial disclosure form.

Richardson also owns two homes in San Pedro and Long Beach. In 2006, she took out an equity loan against the Long Beach home to finance her run for the Legislature.

Richardson has defaulted at least eight times on the three properties since 2004 - six times in the last 14 months. She had also failed to pay smaller debts to a mechanic and a printing shop until reporters began inquiring about them.

Richardson's staffers were unavailable for comment on Friday.
Subject: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit

San Jose Mercury News and AP stories (AP story picked up by some online syndication outlets).

http://www.mercurynews.com/breakingnews/ci_9579869?nclck_chk=1

Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS Associated Press Writer
Article Launched: 06/13/2008 05:46:18 PM PDT

SACRAMENTO—A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.

York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought the foreclosed home in an upper-middle class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $398,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.

In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.

The Associated Press obtained a copy of his lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June.

She bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York’s lawsuit.

Richardson’s spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual’s trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It’s not a difficult case. It’s a valid sale.

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2005, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she went from the city council to the state Assembly to Congress. She said she used her own money to finance her campaigns and fell behind in mortgage and property tax payments.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,600-square-foot home at $579,384.

Richardson’s financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totaling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

JPMC - 002490
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.0003979
The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs and campaign tires.

The congresswoman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento home and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

In the notice of rescission filed as an exhibit in York's lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges it had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008.

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, restoring the floors, landscaping and general clean-up that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified.

Richardson makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1928, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation's mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it—making it more difficult to sell if it is eventually returned to him.

"If I had known it was this congresswoman's house, I probably never would have bought it," York said in the telephone interview.

Associated Press Writer Erica Werner in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.

http://ap.google.com/article/ALeIaM5gJ2YkWhu_mqDGQ86m1x0tih6oU2gQD919J2OG0

Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS – 19 hours ago

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — An investor who bought a congresswoman's foreclosed home filed a lawsuit against the legislator and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York had purchased the home at auction in May for $388,000 after Rep. Laura Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments. He claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to later back out of the sale.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," York said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

His lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court seeks to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, bought the house in January 2007 for $635,000. She previously told The Associated Press that it was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to delay action.
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The lawsuit was served to the defendants Friday.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not seen it and declined to comment. A spokesperson for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.
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Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure

By Jared Allen
Posted: 06/13/08 11:50 AM [ET]

Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house back in order.

Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.

Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson's official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed.

However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.

"Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standard, to having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law," Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. "And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis..."

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month.

In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson has defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new $440,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $359,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice — in September 2007 and again in January — and owing $367,426 on an original loan of $359,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson's Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress, who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson's 2007 financial disclosure statement — which she filed in February — she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.
By the end of 2007 — which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period — Richardson had accumulated $8575,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $535,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the item, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists, "N/A."

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the "bank screwed up."

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson's Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $388,000, is now claiming that Richardson's lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson. York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

"They took the property back, and they didn't even send back the money," York was quoted in the Times. "It's clear what's happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs."
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Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults

By Erica Werner The Associated Press

http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_8504107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2005 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had
the opportunity.
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Richardson's loan was subprime – Press Telegram (Long Beach)
By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer

Rep. Laura Richardson took out a subprime loan to buy her Sacramento house, suggesting she probably was a poor credit risk even before her recent string of loan defaults.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, has defaulted on all three of her homes, and lost the one in Sacramento in a foreclosure auction. She is now engaged in a legal battle with the new owner of the house, after her lender, Washington Mutual Bank, filed to rescind the sale.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc., filed a lawsuit on Thursday against Richardson and Washington Mutual, contesting the foreclosure rescission.

Included in the suit was Richardson's deed of trust, which contains the terms of her home loan. The no-money-down loan was issued for $535,000 in January 2007. It was adjustable after two years, with an introductory rate of 8.8 percent.

"That's a subprime loan," said Jon Nastro, a real estate broker in nearby Elk Grove. "Those are the ones we're taking back now."

Richardson previously declined to disclose her loan terms.

The average prime mortgage rate at the time was 6.22 percent, according to Freddie Mac.

Without further information, it is difficult to tell whether Richardson's subprime loan terms were the result of a poor credit history, lack of income documentation, or both.

Richardson was an assemblywoman at the time the loan was issued, with an annual salary of $113,000. She also collected $25,365 in per diem for living expenses in Sacramento during the nine months she served in the Legislature. She now earns $195,300 as a member of Congress and rents an apartment in Washington, D.C.

Before her election in 2006, Richardson earned $80,000 as a legislative director for Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante and $28,000 as a Long Beach city councilwoman, according to a congressional financial disclosure form.

Richardson also owns two homes in San Pedro and Long Beach. In 2006, she took out an equity loan against the Long Beach home to finance her run for the Legislature.

Richardson has defaulted at least eight times on the three properties since 2004 - six times in the last 14 months. She had also failed to pay smaller debts to a mechanic and a printing shop until reporters began inquiring about them.

Richardson's staffers were unavailable for comment on Friday.
San Jose Mercury News and AP stories (AP story picked up by some online syndication outlets).

http://www.mercurynews.com/breakingnews/ci_9579969?nclck.check=1

Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS Associated Press Writer  
Article Posted: 06/13/2006 05:40:16 PM PDT

SACRAMENTO—A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.

York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought the foreclosed home in an upper-middle class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $388,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.

In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.

The Associated Press obtained a copy of his lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June.

She bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York's lawsuit.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual's trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2005, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she went from the city council to the state Assembly to Congress. She said she used her own money to finance her campaigns and fell behind in mortgage and property tax payments.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,600-square-foot home at $578,384.

Richardson's financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totaling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs
and campaign fliers.

The congresswoman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento home and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

In the notice of recision filed as an exhibit in York's lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges it "had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008."

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, refinishing the floors, landscaping and general clean-up that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified.

Richardson makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation's mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it—making it more difficult to sell if it is eventually returned to him.

"If I had known it was this congresswoman's house, I probably never would have bought it," York said in the telephone interview.

Associated Press Writer Erica Worton in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.

http://ap.google.com/article/ALeqM5qJl2vKwhu_mgDQ86mrf40hhG0U2yQD918J2OG0

Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

BY JULIE I WILLIAMS — 18 hours ago

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — An investor who bought a congresswoman's foreclosed home filed a lawsuit against the legislator and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York had purchased the home at auction in May for $385,000 after Rep. Laura Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments. He claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to later back out of the sale.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," York said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

His lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court seeks to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000. She previously told The Associated Press that it was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to delay action.
The lawsuit was served to the defendants Friday.

Richardson’s spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not seen it and declined to comment. A spokesperson for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.


Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure

By Jared Allen
Posted: 06/13/08 11:50 AM [ET]

Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house in order.

Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.

Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson’s official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed.

However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.

"Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standard, to having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law," Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. "And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well."

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month.

In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson has defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new $440,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $359,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice — in September 2007 and again in January — and owing $397,430 on an original loan of $359,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson’s Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson’s 2007 financial disclosure statement — which she filed in February — she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.
By the end of 2007 — which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period — Richardson had accumulated $575,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $535,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the item, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists, “N/A.”

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the “bank screwed up.”

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson’s Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $388,000, is now claiming that Richardson’s lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson.

York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

“They took the property back, and they didn’t even send back the money,” York was quoted in the Times. “It’s clear what’s happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs.”
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Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults

By Erica Werner The Associated Press

http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_85044107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2005 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had
the opportunity.
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Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS Associated Press Writer
Article Launched: 06/13/2008 03:46:18 PM PDT

SACRAMENTO—A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.

York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought the foreclosed home in an upper-middle class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $300,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.

In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.

The Associated Press obtained a copy of the lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and that the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June.

She bought the house in January 2007 for $355,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York's lawsuit.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual's trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.
Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she went from the city council to the state Assembly to Congress. She said she used her own money to finance her campaigns and fell behind in mortgage and property tax payments.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,600-square-foot home at $578,384.

Richardson's financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totaling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs and campaign fees.

The congresswoman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento home and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

In the notice of recision filed as an exhibit in York's lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges it "had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008."

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, restoring the floors, landscaping and general clean-up that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified.

Richardson makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation's mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it—making it more difficult to sell if it is eventually returned to him.

"If I had known it was this congresswoman's house, I probably never would have bought it," York said in the telephone interview.

Associated Press Writer Erica Werner in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.

http://ap.google.com/article/ALeJgM5gJiz2vKwhu_mcDs86mxf10hi6oU2gQD819J2OG0

**Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure**

By JULIET WILLIAMS – 18 hours ago

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — An investor who bought a congresswoman's foreclosed home filed a lawsuit against the legislator and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York had purchased the home at auction in May for $388,000 after Rep. Laura Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments. He claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to later back out of the sale.
"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," York said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

His lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court seeks to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000. She previously told The Associated Press that it was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to delay action.

The lawsuit was served to the defendants Friday.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not seen it and declined to comment. A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.
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Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure

By Jared Allen
Posted: 06/19/08 11:50 AM [ET]

Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house back in order.

Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.

Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson's official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed.

However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.

"Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standard. To having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law," Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. "And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress may be as well."

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month.

In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson has defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new $440,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $350,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice — in September 2007 and again in January — and owing $367,436 on an original loan of $350,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to
reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson’s Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson’s 2007 financial disclosure statement — which she filed in February — she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.

By the end of 2007 — which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period — Richardson had accumulated $575,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $535,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the item, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists, “N/A.”

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the “bank screwed up.”

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson’s Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $988,000, is now claiming that Richardson’s lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson. York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

“They took the property back, and they didn’t even send back the money,” York was quoted in the Times. “It’s clear what’s happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs.”
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Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults

By Erica Werner The Associated Press

http://www.dailypilot.com/la-9554107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.
Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had the opportunity.
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Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure

By Jared Allen
Posted: 06/13/08 11:50 AM [ET]

Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house back in order.

Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.

Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson’s official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed.

However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.

“Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standard, to having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law,” Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. “And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress may be are as well.”

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month.

In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson has defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new $446,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $359,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice — in September 2007 and again in January — and owing $367,436 on an original loan of $339,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson’s Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson’s 2007 financial disclosure statement — which she filed in February — she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.

By the end of 2007 — which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period — Richardson had accumulated $875,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $332,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the item, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists, “N.A.”

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had
spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the "bank screwed up."

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson's Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $368,000, is now claiming that Richardson's lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson. York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

"They took the property back, and they didn't even send back the money," York was quoted in the Times. "It's clear what's happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs."
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Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults
by Erica Werner The Associated Press
http://www.dailybreeze.com/cl_9554107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.
But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said. "Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However, the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had the opportunity.
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 Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults
By Erica Werner The Associated Press
http://www.dailybreeze.com/ct_0664107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson’s multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach. But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress may not as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure of her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters...
she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had the opportunity.
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I was on a project for Steve all day and just picked up my messages.

Congresswoman left me a message that she has not been home for two weeks and she is going home this weekend and will be turning in her financials to me some time early next week.

Ann Thorn, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-8644

For Internal Use Only

From: Gaufl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 11:30 AM
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.
Cc: Elias, Alan
Subject: Congresswoman’s Financial Report: This Won’t Be Pretty.

FYI, below. It appears that the House members’ annual financial reports are scheduled to be released on Monday, which may include specific information about Ms. Richardson’s home loan issues.

Just so you are aware, I communicated to Mary Ann that I couldn’t discuss Ms. Richardson’s loan situation (not foreclosure sale).

I'll let you know if I receive any media calls today.

Congresswoman’s Financial Report: This Won’t Be Pretty.
Mary Ann Akers And Paul Karelis
12 June 2008
The Washington Post

We may learn more about Rep. Laura Richardson’s (D-Calif.) home foreclosure problems Monday, when House members’ annual financial reports are scheduled to be released.

Richardson filed her report on time rather than seeking an extension, her spokesman, William Marshall, tells On the Hill. But Marshall says he can “neither confirm nor deny” whether the disclosure report will reflect the full extent of the beleaguered congresswoman’s financial woes, which include foreclosure on one home and loan defaults on two others.

Marshall declined to answer questions about how Richardson, who won a special election last August, wound up in such dire straits. She lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure after failing to make payments, at the same time that she reportedly owed Sacramento County some $9,000 in property taxes and defaulted on loans six times on two other California homes.

In the meantime, however, Richardson rose in one year from Long Beach councilwomen to state assemblywoman to a member of the U.S. Congress.
According to the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson also failed to pay hundreds of dollars worth of car repairs to one mechanic, then ultimately abandoned the car at another auto body shop.

Yesterday, the story took a new twist. The Los Angeles Times reported that the home Richardson lost in foreclosure could be returned to her, because the lender, Washington Mutual, filed a letter of rescission of the foreclosure sale and asked the new owner for the keys back.

"They took the property back, and they didn't even send back the money," the new owner, real estate investor James York, told the Times. "It's clear what's happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs," he said. "You don't have to be smart to understand what's happening."

Richardson isn't saying anything. Referring to the congresswoman's lender, her spokesman told us, "This is about Washington Mutual." He urged us to call Washington Mutual for comment. But a Washington Mutual spokeswoman told us she couldn't comment on the foreclosure sale because Congresswoman Richardson "has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

The left-leaning watchdog group Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington calls Richardson a "deadbeat congresswoman."
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From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:07 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

---

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:05 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: FW: Loss Mit

Please see below.

Thanks,

Julie

---

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 10:20 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: Re: Loss Mit

Please advise Ann Thorne that I will work on this during the weekend. I apologize for the delay I did not recognize your email/ name. I was looking for something from Ann or Ms. Woodcock, so I missed it.

I will be in touch.

Laura

--- Original Message ---
From: "Mathis, Julie A." <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
To: laura.richardson@wamu.net
Cc: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; "Woodcock, Wendy A." <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 6, 2008 12:10:05 PM
Subject: Loss Mit
<<Borrower Assistance Form 5.08.pdf>>

Thank you,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
904 660-133b
604 660-1925 fax
FYI, below. It appears that the House members’ annual financial reports are scheduled to be released on Monday, which may include specific information about Mr. Richardson’s home loan issues. Just so you are aware, I communicated to Mary Ann that I couldn’t discuss Ms. Richardson’s loan situation (not foreclosure sale).

I’ll let you know if I receive any media calls today.

Congresswoman’s Financial Report: This Won’t Be Pretty.
Mary Ann Akers And Paul Kane
12 June 2006
The Washington Post

We may learn more about Rep. Laura Richardson’s (D-Calif.) home foreclosure problems Monday, when House members’ annual financial reports are scheduled to be released.

Richardson filed her report on time rather than seeking an extension, her spokesman, William Marshall, tells On the Hill. But Marshall says he can “neither confirm nor deny” whether the disclosure report will reflect the full extent of the beleaguered congresswoman’s financial woes, which include foreclosure on one home and loan defaults on two others.

Marshall declined to answer questions about how Richardson, who won a special election last August, wound up in such dire straits. She lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure after failing to make payments, at the same time that she reportedly owed Sacramento County some $9,000 in property taxes and cotedaulted on loans six times on two other California homes.

In the meantime, however, Richardson rose in one year from Long Beach councilwoman to state assemblywoman to a member of the U.S. Congress.

According to the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson also failed to pay hundreds of dollars worth of car repairs to one mechanic; then ultimately abandoned the car at another auto body shop.

Yesterday, the story took a new twist. The Los Angeles Times reported that the home Richardson lost in foreclosure could be returned to her, because the lender, Washington Mutual, filed a letter of rescission of the foreclosure sale and asked the new owner for the keys back.

“They took the property back, and they didn’t even send back the money,” the new owner, real estate investor James York, told the Times. “It’s clear what’s happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs,” he said. “You don’t have to be smart to understand what’s happening.”

Richardson isn’t saying anything. Referring to the congresswoman’s lender, her spokesman told us, “This is about Washington Mutual.” He urged us to call Washington Mutual for comment. But a Washington Mutual spokeswoman told us she couldn’t comment on the foreclosure sale because Congresswoman Richardson “has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan.”

The left-leaning watchdog group Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington calls Richardson a “deadbeat congresswoman.”

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
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From: Gaupl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 9:52:24 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Batteagle, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Richardson Media Update

All:
In advance of Ann’s discussion tomorrow with Ms. Richardson, I wanted to make you aware of the blog posting embedded below (thanks for forwarding, Paul). Unfortunately, some reporters are jumping to the conclusion that Ms. Richardson was able to secure a loan modification agreement with Wamu, despite her current financial standing.

Ann, please let us know how things go tomorrow (if you two are able to connect), and whether Ms. Richardson is expected to qualify for a workout based on the financial information provided. If we hear from Ms. Richardson, I will schedule a call tomorrow mid-day so that we can quickly touch base and discuss next steps.

Best,
Sara

Richardson Update: This Workout Smells – Calculated Risk (Blog)
by Tente
June 10, 2008
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/

Our scererer readers (I know we have them) will remember California Congresswoman Laura Richardson (D-Speculator), who is facing foreclosure proceedings on three homes. The uproar began with the foreclosure of sale her unoccupied "second home" in Sacramento, which Richardson claimed was an "error" on Wamu's part, since (she claimed) she had worked out a last-minute modification agreement with Wamu the week before the sale.

According to the Daily Breeze, Wamu has filed paperwork to rescind the foreclosure sale, and the man who bought the home is not happy:

The real estate broker who bought Rep. Laura Richardson's house at a foreclosure sale last month is accusing her of receiving preferential treatment because her lender has issued a notice to rescind the sale.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage, said he would file a lawsuit against Richardson and her lender, Washington Mutual, by the end of the week, and has every intention of keeping the house.

"I'm just amazed they've done this," York said. "They never would have done this for anybody else."

York bought the Sacramento home at a foreclosure auction on May 7 for $386,000. Richardson had not been making payments on the property for nearly a year, and had also gone into default on her two other houses in Long Beach and San Pedro.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, has said that the auction should never have been held, because she had worked out a loan modification agreement with her lender beforehand and had begun making payments.

Richardson continues to refuse to authorize Wamu to release any information on her case, although frankly I'm not sure if I were Wamu I'd want to talk about it. This smells terrible, indeed. Perhaps reporters could simply ask some general questions of Wamu about its foreclosure workout policies. Like:

1. How often are modifications or repayment plans offered to owners of vacant investment properties with no or negative equity that have never been listed or rented?
2. How often are modifications offered to borrowers with two other properties currently in foreclosure?
3. How often are modifications arranged in the week before the scheduled trustee's sale, following nearly a year of no contact?
4. Does Wamu's policy on modifications make any reference to requiring a "commitment to homeownership" on the borrower's part? How, normally, is that established?
5. Does Wamu's policy on modifications make any reference to establishing that the borrower does not display a "desire for debt obligations"? How, normally, is that established?

If, for instance, we had some evidence that stalling creditors and getting the taxpayers to subsidize her financial imprudence was, like, a pattern of Richardson's long before the house payments went into default, would that, like, indicate that her mortgage problems may not have much to do with "extenuating circumstances"?
WeMu may not be able to read a credit report, but the Press Telegram ferreted this out:
In 2005, when she was still on the Long Beach City Council, she left one mechanic in a lurch with an unpaid bill, then later had her badly damaged BMW towed to an auto body shop but didn’t pay for any work and abandoned the car there, owners of the businesses said this week.

The next day, Richardson began using a city-owned vehicle – putting almost 31,000 miles on it in about a year – and continued driving the car five days after she had left the council to serve in the state Assembly, city records show.

Labroche said he spent months leaving messages on Richardson’s cell phone voice mail, then he got a collection agency involved, but still the bill went unpaid.

In December 2005, Lillegard filed for a mechanic’s lien on Richardson’s car to pay the towing, storage and administrative costs, he said. Lillegard said the lien was finalized in February 2006 and he sold the car to a junkyard, though a few days later – too late – Richardson sent him money to put toward the bill.

So in January of 2007, WeMu gave Richardson a 100% loan to purchase a second home, when her credit report would have shown recent derogations related to the car repair bills, plus the payments on two other homes, on a State Assembly salary that I can’t quite see being equal to her existing debts, let alone a new house payment. In other words, she got your basic subprime loan that relied on nothing other than a fervent belief in endless house price appreciation – in January of 2007. Or else she got a loan because she’s a VIP.

I continue to want to know why WeMu is bailing out a deadbeat and a speculator at the expense of a good-faith buyer of a foreclosure property, and waiving operational capacity on a deal like this instead of working with struggling owner-occupants who might actually pay back the modified loan. I will leave it to the citizens of California to explain why you want this woman anywhere near fiscal, budgetary, or housing policy power.

(Hat tip to Brian!)

Sara Gougi
Home Loans Public Relations
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FYI regarding the Calculated Risk blog and Laura Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 08101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784
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Good morning.

Embedded below is the article we were expecting from Gene Maddaus. York claims that we've given Ms. Richardson preferential treatment and as reported in the article, plans to file a lawsuit against Richardson and WaMu by the end of the week.

Broker alleges loan favoritism – Press-Telegram (Long Beach)
By Gene Maddaus Staff Writer
June 9, 2008

The real estate broker who bought Rep. Laura Richardson's house at a foreclosure sale last month is accusing her of receiving preferential treatment because her lender has issued a notice to rescind the sale.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage, said he would file a lawsuit against Richardson and her lender, Washington Mutual, by the end of the week, and his every intention of keeping the house.

"I'm just amazed they've done this," York said. "They never would have done this for anybody else."

York bought the Sacramento home at a foreclosure auction on May 7 for $388,000.

Richardson had not been making payments on the property for nearly a year, and had also gone into default on her two other houses in Long Beach and San Pedro.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, has said that the auction should never have been held, because she had worked out a loan modification agreement with her lender beforehand and had begun making payments.

Richardson left nearly $9,000 in unpaid property taxes on the home, which she bought in January 2007 for $355,000, shortly after being elected to the Assembly.

Washington Mutual has declined to comment on the specifics of Richardson's case, because she has not waived her privacy rights.

In a statement, spokeswoman Sara Gaulg said the company is "committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness."

Washington Mutual filed a notice of rescission of the foreclosure sale on June 2.

That puts the bank squarely at odds with York, who has already put money into cleaning up the house and preparing it for resale.

"They owe me the property," York said. "The sale was a good sale."

York said an ordinary person would be unlikely to get the kind of consideration that Richardson has received from her bank.

"They wouldn't even get a phone call back," he said. "They would laugh at somebody who would call and say, 'We had some kind of agreement.' They wouldn't give you 10 cents worth of time."
Leo Nordine, a Hermosa Beach real estate broker who specializes in foreclosed homes, agreed that the rescission was out of the ordinary.

"It's extremely unusual," he said.

"Unless (the borrower) filed bankruptcy beforehand, they'd never do it."

Richardson's staff did not return a call on Monday.

Dustin Hobbs, a spokesman for the California Mortgage Bankers Association, said that while foreclosure rescissions are rarely publicized, they are becoming more common as the rate of foreclosures increases.

"Generally it is going to result in a legal battle," he said.

"Basically you're saying, 'We're willing to fight for our borrower,'" Hobbs said a lender would be unlikely to go to bat for a borrower who has shown no ability to make future payments. But if the foreclosure was the result of a temporary hardship or a paperwork mix-up, the lender has every incentive to restore the loan.

"Lenders are concerned about keeping borrowers in homes no matter who they are," he said.
On my.........how is she still in office?

FYI, below. The latest Richardson update:

37th District Rep. Laura Richardson left car bills unpaid – Long Beach Press-Telegram

By Paul Eakins, Staff Writer

LONG BEACH - Car trouble takes on a new meaning when it comes to financially distressed Congresswoman Laura Richardson.

In 2005, when she was still on the Long Beach City Council, she left one mechanic in a lurch with an unpaid bill, then later had her badly damaged BMW towed to an auto body shop but didn’t pay for any work and abandoned the car there, owners of the businesses said this week.

The next day, Richardson began using a city-owned vehicle - putting almost 31,000 miles on it in about a year - and continued driving the car five days after she had left the council to serve in the state Assembly, city records show.

Richardson, 46, didn’t return phone calls seeking comment this week.

These are just the newest revelations of Richardson’s ongoing financial problems and instances of her unpaid debts.

Last month, it was reported that Richardson’s Sacramento home, where she had lived during her brief Assembly stint before moving on to Congress, had fallen into foreclosure and been sold at auction. Further investigation revealed she had defaulted on that house and two others in Long Beach and San Pedro a total of eight times since 2004.

Meanwhile, Richardson was lending money to her campaigns as she embarked on an unprecedented rise to power from council to Assembly to Congress in one year. On Tuesday, she won the Democratic nomination to serve her first full, two-year term in Congress, and she is unchallenged in the November general election.

Unpaid and abandoned

Richardson’s car problems all started with a shimmy.

In October 2005, her 1999 four-door 740iL BMW had an odd vibration in the front, so she took it to Signal Hill Foreign Auto Service, according to Leo Labrecque, the shop owner.
Mechanics there fixed the car and replaced some worn parts, but when Richardson picked up her vehicle, she said she didn’t have the money to pay the $735 bill, Labreche said. Because Richardson was a council member, Labreche let her take the car, assuming that she was good for the money, he said.

"She had picked the car up and was going to come back and pay the bill, and she never did," Labreche said.

Labreche said he spent months leaving messages on Richardson’s cell phone voice mail, then he got a collection agency involved, but still the bill went unpaid.

"I couldn’t get through to her, and then when the collection agency couldn’t do anything, I thought, ‘There’s nothing I’m going to be able to do,’” Labreche said.

But on Tuesday, after the Press-Telegram requested an interview with Richardson to discuss the 2 1/2-year-old unpaid bill, she went to the auto shop and paid Labreche, he said.

Similarly, Richardson last week paid off a $150 printing bill owed to a local company following published reports about the debt.

Richardson also settled a bill Tuesday with another mechanic, Alvin’s Auto Body in Signal Hill, only this time she came out ahead, in a sense.

About one month after Richardson had taken her BMW to Labreche for work, she got into a car accident that tore up the front left corner of her car, leaving it undriveable.

She initially had it towed back to Labreche for repairs, even though she still owed him money. But Labreche doesn’t do auto body work.

So the car was sent to Alvin’s Auto Body, which received the BMW on Nov. 17, 2005, according to owner Bob Lillegard.

But Lillegard never heard from Richardson or her insurance company, he said.

"I’d call her office, and they’d say she was too busy," Lillegard said. "I couldn’t get through to her."

The BMW’s extensive damage would have cost about $9,000 to repair, Lillegard said, which he suggested might have been more than the car was worth. The "Kelley Blue Book" Web site says a 1999 740iL BMW with standard options and 100,000 miles has a retail value of about $15,000 today.

In December 2005, Lillegard filed for a mechanic’s lien on Richardson’s car to pay the towing, storage and administrative costs, he said. Lillegard said the lien was finalized in February 2006 and he sold the car to a junkyard, though a few days later - too late - Richardson sent him money to put toward the bill.

The junkyard bought the BMW for $3,500, so Lillegard took the $2,100 he said was owed him, and when Richardson went to the body shop on Tuesday, he paid her the difference, he said.

A city ride

After the accident that led to Richardson abandoning her car, she apparently was without transportation.

So, on Nov. 18, one day after her BMW was towed to Lillegard’s shop, she checked out a city-owned Toyota Prius to use for her council business, according to city Fleet Services Bureau records cited by city spokeswoman Meredith Reynolds.
Reynolds said that the Prius was issued to Richardson that day, and that it was returned more than a year later, a few days after Richardson had ended her council tenure.

In a letter acquired by the Press-Telegram from then-City Manager Jerry Miller to Richardson dated Dec. 5, 2006, Miller asks Richardson to return her city vehicle, identified as a Prius.

According to the letter, Richardson's last day as a council member was Dec. 3, 2006. She was sworn into the Assembly the following day.

City Fleet Services records show that Richardson turned in the car on Dec. 8, 2006, Reynolds said.

During the one year and almost three weeks that Richardson had the Prius, she drove it 30,920 miles, Reynolds said. That amounts to an average of more than 80 miles per day, or about 2,400 miles per month, for Richardson's part-time council job in a 50-square-mile city.

By comparison, the only other two council members who used city vehicles during part or all of the same time period averaged 900 miles per month in one case and less than 400 miles per month in the other, according to figures provided by Reynolds.

Council members can either use their own vehicles for council business and receive a monthly car allowance from the city, or they may use city vehicles. However, city policy doesn't allow city vehicles to be used for personal use.

Richardson has never been shy about using city cars.

In 2001 and 2002, she had the highest vehicle expenses of any council member, in part by putting nearly 7,000 personal miles on her car in 2002. At the time, she and other council members told the Press-Telegram that they hadn't been aware of the no-personal-use rule.

In 2003, Richardson had been using a gas-guzzling Ford Expedition owned by the city, but switched to a Toyota Solara to save money. She told the Press-Telegram then that she soon would stop using a city vehicle altogether and would switch to a monthly car allowance.

She scrapped that effort in 2005 when she left her BMW at the auto body shop and again got behind the wheel of a city car.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101

206.500.3832 direct | 206.224.3713 cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
FYI, below. The latest Richardson update.....

37th District Rep. Laura Richardson left car bills unpaid – Long Beach Press-Telegram
By Paul Eakin, Staff Writer

LONG BEACH - Car trouble takes on a new meaning when it comes to financially distressed Congresswoman Laura Richardson.

In 2005, when she was still on the Long Beach City Council, she left one mechanic in a lurch with an unpaid bill, then later had her badly damaged BMW towed to an auto body shop but didn't pay for any work and abandoned the car there; owners of the businesses said this week.

The next day, Richardson began using a city-owned vehicle - putting almost 31,000 miles on it in about a year - and continued driving the car five days after she had left the council to serve in the state Assembly, city records show.

Richardson, 46, didn't return phone calls seeking comment this week.

These are just the newest revelations of Richardson's ongoing financial problems and instances of her unpaid debts.

Last month, it was reported that Richardson's Sacramento home, where she had lived during her brief Assembly stint before moving on to Congress, had fallen into foreclosure and been sold at auction. Further investigation revealed she had defaulted on that house and two others in Long Beach and San Pedro a total of eight times since 2004.

Meanwhile, Richardson was lending money to her campaigns as she embarked on an unprecedented rise to power from council to Assembly to Congress in one year. On Tuesday, she won the Democratic nomination to serve her first full, two-year term in Congress, and she is unchallenged in the November general election.

Unpaid and abandoned

Richardson's car problems all started with a shimmy.

In October 2005, her 1999 four-door 740iL BMW had an odd vibration in the front, so she took it to Signal Hill Foreign Auto Service, according to Leo Labrecque, the shop owner.

Mechanics there fixed the car and replaced some worn parts, but when Richardson picked up her vehicle, she said she didn't have the money to pay the $735 bill, Labrecque said. Because Richardson was a council member, Labrecque let her take the car, assuming that she was good for the money, he said.

"She had picked the car up and was going to come back and pay the bill, and she never did," Labrecque said.

Labrecque said he spent months leaving messages on Richardson's cell phone voice mail, then he got a collection agency involved, but still the bill went unpaid.

"I couldn't get through to her, and then when the collection agency couldn't do anything, I thought, 'There's nothing I'm going to be able to do,'" Labrecque said.

But on Tuesday, after the Press-Telegram requested an interview with Richardson to discuss the 2 1/2-year-old unpaid bill, she went to the auto shop and paid Labrecque, he said.

Similarly, Richardson last week paid off a $150 printing bill owed to a local company following published reports about the debt.

Richardson also settled a bill Tuesday with another mechanic, Alvin's Auto Body in Signal Hill, only this time she came out ahead, in a sense.

About one month after Richardson had taken her BMW to Labrecque for work, she got into a car accident that tore up the front left corner of her car, leaving it undriveable.
She initially had it towed back to Labreche for repairs, even though she still owed him money. But Labreche
doesn’t do auto body work.

So the car was sent to Alvin’s Auto Body, which received the BMW on Nov. 17, 2005, according to owner Bob
Lillegard.

But Lillegard never heard from Richardson or her insurance company, he said.

"I’d call her office, and they’d say she was too busy," Lillegard said. "I couldn’t get through to her.

The BMW’s extensive damage would have cost about $9,000 to repair, Lillegard said, which he suggested
might have been more than the car was worth. The "Kelley Blue Book" Web site says a 1999 740iL BMW with
standard options and 100,000 miles has a retail value of about $15,000 today.

In December 2005, Lillegard filed for a mechanic’s lien on Richardson’s car to pay the towing, storage and
administrative costs, he said. Lillegard said the lien was finalized in February 2006 and he sold the car to a
junkyard, though a few days later - too late - Richardson sent him money to put toward the bill.

The junkyard bought the BMW for $3,500, so Lillegard took the $2,100 he said was owed him, and when
Richardson went to the body shop on Tuesday, he paid her the difference, he said.

A city ride

After the accident that led to Richardson abandoning her car, she apparently was without transportation.

So, on Nov. 18, one day after her BMW was towed to Lillegard’s shop, she checked out a city-owned Toyota
Prius to use for her council business, according to city Fleet Services Bureau records cited by city
spokeswoman Meredith Reynolds.

Reynolds said that the Prius was issued to Richardson that day, and that it was returned more than a year later, a
few days after Richardson had ended her council tenure.

In a letter acquired by the Press-Telegram from then-City Manager Jerry Miller to Richardson dated Dec. 5,
2006, Miller asks Richardson to return her city vehicle, identified as a Prius.

According to the letter, Richardson’s last day as a council member was Dec. 3, 2006. She was sworn into the
Assembly the following day.

City Fleet Services records show that Richardson turned in the car on Dec. 8, 2006, Reynolds said.

During the one year and almost three weeks that Richardson had the Prius, she drove it 30,924 miles, Reynolds
said. That amounts to an average of more than 80 miles per day, or about 2,400 miles per month, for
Richardson’s part-time council job in a 50-square-mile city.

By comparison, the only other two council members who used city vehicles during part or all of the same time
period averaged 500 miles per month in one case and less than 400 miles per month in the other, according to
figures provided by Reynolds.

Council members can either use their own vehicles for council business and receive a monthly car allowance
from the city, or they may use city vehicles. However, city policy doesn’t allow city vehicles to be used for
personal use.

Richardson has never been shy about using city cars.

In 2001 and 2002, she had the highest vehicle expenses of any council member, in part by putting nearly 7,000
personal miles on her car in 2002. At the time, she and other council members told the Press-Telegram that they
hadn’t been aware of the no-personal-use rule.

In 2003, Richardson had been using a gas-guzzling Ford Expedition owned by the city, but switched to a
Toyota Solara to save money. She told the Press-Telegram then that she soon would stop using a city vehicle
altogether and would switch to a monthly car allowance.

She scrapped that effort in 2005 when she left her BMW at the auto body shop and again got behind the wheel
of a city car.
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She was not prepared to discuss financials today. We sent her the financial package via email and she said she will have it done by Tuesday or Wednesday.

Moving onto Evander......

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
FYI, below re: Wells Fargo loan.
http://www.prestelegram.com/ci_9366009
Richardson, of Long Beach, was able to bring her payments up to date on the Long Beach home relatively quickly, but the San Pedro property lingered in the foreclosure process for almost eight months, and still has a pending auction date. County records indicate that the San Pedro home went into default in September 2007, at which point Richardson was behind on her payments by $12,410.71, and had made no payments since June. A notice of trustee sale was issued on April 17, and an auction was scheduled for May 14 on the courthouse steps in Norwalk. The outstanding loan balance was $367,436, on an original 2005 loan of $359,000. However, the auction was put on hold. Richardson produced records from Wells Fargo Bank, which holds the note on her San Pedro home. That document, dated March 21, indicated that Richardson had qualified for a loan modification that would prevent the foreclosure from going forward. Cal Western Reconveyance Corp., which was responsible for collecting the debt, confirmed that a hold had been placed on the auction, and the auction date had been postponed to July 14, pending a workout of the loan. http://thehill.com/reading-the-news/mortgage-non-disclosure-is-trouble-for-richardson-2008-06-02.html In September of that year, Richardson also let her San Pedro home slip into default when she fell $12,410 behind on her payments.

In January 2008 Richardson defaulted on the San Pedro home a second time, and in April — with Richardson owing $367,436 on an original loan of $359,000 — Wells Fargo Bank issued a notice of trustee sale of the home. Records indicate that the home is still scheduled to be sold at a July 14 auction.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.3822 direct | 206.328.1252 | FAX
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Updated Richardson Update
Location: 877-709 passcode
Start: 5/2008 7:00 PM
End: 6/5/2008 8:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.

Resources: 877-709 passcode

When: Thursday, June 5, 2008 4:20 PM - 5:00 PM (GMT -08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-709 passcode
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2008 5:41:39 PM
To: Owen, Jon L; Batton, Paul J.; Geugl, Barry C.
Subject: FW: xlsx
Attachments:

Fyi

Did we hear from 3rd party today. I have not had a chance to call Richardson, but will first thing in the morning.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For internal Use Only

From: Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2008 5:31 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Meno, Jorge G.
Subject: [ Edited ] xlsx
Importance: 

Ann, here is the Richardson reinstatement which includes CRC's $9.00 outstanding costs.
Congresswoman Richardson won her re-election bid yesterday.

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Thorn, Ann
To: Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaulg, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: MMguirn
Subject: Re: Richardson Update.

Over the phone is how we do it & let us as well as getting it via a financial package with all the other info that is needed to close the deal. Let me get with Lora Mit today and we can get back to everyone.

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Tue 06/03/2008 07:52 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaulg, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: MMguirn
Subject: Re: Richardson Update.

If we get financials over the phone how does she verify the info we took over the phone as accurate? How do we do this normally?

Thanks.

---------- Original Message ----------
From: Thorn, Ann
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaulg, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: MMguirn
Sent: Tue Jun 03 17:49 25 2008
Subject: Re: Richardson Update.
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elia, Alan; Owen, Jon L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: "Martin J. McGinn"
Sent: Jun-03 16:54:18 2006
Subject: Richardson Update

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Thank You.

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:37 PM
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elia, Alan; Owen, Jon L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Final Review - Richardson Response

REDACTED
Sara Gugli
Home, Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1.800.228.WA11
sara.gugli@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2008 6:40 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>; Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Cc: McGuinty

Subject: RE: Richardson Update.

Over the phone is how we do it a lot as well as getting it via a financial package with all the other info that is needed to close the deal. Let me get with Losa Mit today and we can get back to everyone.

From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Tue 06/03/2008 02:52 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: McGuinty

Subject: Re: Richardson Update.

If we get financials over the phone how does she verify the info we took over the phone as accurate? How do we do this normally?
Thanks, J

--------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thorn, Ann
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: McGuinty

Sent: Tue Jun 03 17:49:25 2008
Subject: Re: Richardson Update.

REDACTED

--------------

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: Martin T. McGuinty

Sent: Tue Jun 03 16:34:18 2008
Subject: Richardson Update.

REDACTED
REDACTED

Paul J. Bartaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Gauap, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2008 12:37 PM
To: Cook, Dom; Bartaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alon; Owen, Jan L.; Bapistsa, Geri Ann S
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Final Review - Richardson Response

REDACTED

JPMC - 002540
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004033
Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1701 Victor Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.8713 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamn.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2008 8:52 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamn.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamn.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamn.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamn.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamn.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamn.net>; Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamn.net>
Cc: MMeguin

Subject: Re: Richardson Update.

If we get financials over the phone how does she verify the info we took over the phone as accurate? How do we do this normally?

Thanks, J

-----------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thorn, Ann
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: MMeguin

Sent: Tue Jun 03 17:49:23 2008
Subject: Re: Richardson Update.

REDACTED

-----------------------------

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: Martin T. McGrann <MMeguin

Sent: Tue Jun 03 10:54:18 2008
Subject: Richardson Update.

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMF 3EG1
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784
From: Gaujil, Sara C.

Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:37 PM

To: Cook, Don; Battaglio, Paul J.; Eliae, Alan; Owan, Jim L.; Baptista, Gari Anne S.

Cc: Thorn, Ann

Subject: Final Review. Richardson Response

---

Sara Gaujil
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 7:54:18 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Ellias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann B.; Thom, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
CC: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: Richardson Update.

Attachments: Document.pdf

REDACTED

Document.pdf
(256 KB)

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:37 PM
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Ellias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann B.
CC: Thom, Ann
Subject: Final Review: Richardson Response

REDACTED
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/03/2008, with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California corporation, is the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 05/03/2008, and Recorded 05/15/2008, in Book 2081, Page 13, of the Official Records of the County Recorder of Sacramento County, California.

2. That the Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:


3. That the Beneficiary did not receive notice of Default as required pursuant to the terms of the Deed of Trust.

4. That on 05/03/2008, at 11:30 AM, the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale, who bid the amount of $165,000.00.

5. That the Trustee's Sale on 05/03/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary has rescinded the Deed of Trust and has paid all costs and charges to date 06/14/2008. The Beneficiary's right to foreclose shall be null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. That the express purpose for the Notice of Rescission is to return the property to the Beneficiary and to rescind the Trustee's Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby rescinds the Trustee's Sale and hereby advises all persons, wherever and whatsoever located, that the DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/03/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., AND RECORDED RESCUED IN BOOK 2081, PAGE 13, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCUED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereunto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: September 22, 2009

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustor

By __________________________

By __________________________

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, F.A.

By __________________________

By __________________________

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On this day before me, SERGIO BERRADA, Notary Public, personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HENRY J. O'BRIEN, COLIN HULKIN AND KARINE ARAIA, who, pursuant to an order of reclassification evidencing their authority, subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same at Washington Mutual Bank, F.A. in the capacity indicated in the instrument, the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which they purport to act, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature __________________________

Acknowledgement

This instrument was signed by the person(s) and is admitted to probate and is of full force and effect as an instrument of trust or trust and testamentary will upon the due execution of the same according to the law of this state.
Thanks, Ann. I realize you are gone but appreciate the forwarded email. J

Jan Lynn Owen  
First Vice President  
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager  
601 K Street Suite 110  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-553-4961  
916-325-4717 fax  
jan.owen@wamu.net

----- Original Message -----  
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.  
Subject: RE: Richardson Rescission Notice  
FYI

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
904-886-5644  
For Internal Use Only

----- Original Message -----  
From: Laura Richardson  
To: Thorn, Ann  
Subject: Re: Richardson Rescission Notice  

Thank you for the email...today is election day and I will follow up with you tomorrow.

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>  
To: Laura Richardson  
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2008 8:08:10 AM  
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

Congresswoman Richardson, as requested attached is the copy of the rescission notice that should be filed today or tomorrow.
Thank you

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

<<Document.pdf>>
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2008 4:29 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

--- Original Message ---
From: "Thorn, Ann" <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
To: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2008 8:06:10 AM
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

Congresswoman Richardson, as requested attached is the copy of the rescission notice that should be filed today or tomorrow.

Thank you

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-866-5644

For Internal Use Only

<<Document.pdf>>
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 11:08:00 AM
To: Cook, Don; Geugli, Sara C.; Owen, Jen L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don
Subject: Richardson

I just wanted to let you know that I have sent a copy of the rescission to Congresswoman this morning. Once it is recorded, we will get the Loss Mit package out.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-885-5644

For Internal Use Only
Looks fine to me, Sara.

AE

Questions:

- Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? Without violating Congressman Dave Reichert’s privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

- Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

- If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so, what was the outcome?

- Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMCHQ | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.223.2233 dial

JPMC - 002353
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004046
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Battaglia, Paul J.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 3:44:32 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Ellas, Alen; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Cori Ann S.
CC: Thomson, Ann
Subject: RE: Final Review: Richardson Response

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

---

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:57 PM
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Ellas, Alen; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Cori Ann S.
Cc: Thomson, Ann
Subject: Final Review: Richardson Response

Questions:

- Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

- Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

---

JPMC - 0023555
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004048
• If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

- Sara

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | MMC 40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.3822 direct | 206.228.1242 cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Questions:

- Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson's privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

- Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

- Is it possible that the loan was foreclosed improperly, and if so, is WaMu planning to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened in the past and if so what was the outcome?

- Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.600.2832 direct | 206.328.3333 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 1:56:05 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.
CC: Elias, Alan; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don
Subject: Additional Richardson Coverage

Jan -
As discussed on this morning’s call, embedded below are links to articles that include comments made by both Mathews and Davis.

http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/laland/2008/06/richardson-oth.html

http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/laland/2008/05/richardson-oppo.html


http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/a/2008/05/31/politics/p105208D12.DTL&type=politics

Additionally, here are a few statements Congresswoman Richardson issued on Saturday rather than interviewing for the LA Times article:

- "As I noted in my statements earlier this week, due to multiple job changes, divorce, illness/death, and nine campaigns over the last ten years, these major life-changing moments have come at great personal expense and at challenging financial strain," Richardson said in a statement Saturday.

- "Instead of politicizing a personal housing crisis (two personal properties that are current and the third that is being challenged by my lender questioning the validity of its sale), I have been transparent with this matter and share with my constituents the anguish that the housing industry is in a severe crisis," Richardson said.

- (Other commentary) Richardson has acknowledged using her money to finance her campaigns and falling behind in mortgage payments. She claimed her Sacramento house was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge, contending she had renegotiated her loan to pay it off.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 3rd Avenue | WMCH-1 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.2757 cell
sara.gauga@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 1:28:33 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Gaugl, Brea C.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

Attachments: Document.pdf

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 10:29 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson Rescission Notice

Good morning,

Per your request.

DOCUMENT.pdf
(1 MB)

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reoceansy Company
Home Loans
California Reoceansy Company
5200 Oakdale Avenue, N116612
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 9:37 AM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: FW: Richardson Rescission Notice

Huey, can you send me a copy of the signed rescission notice that was sent to the title company for recording? Thanks.

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 7:46 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Brignac, Deborah P.
Cc: Martin T. McGuinn; Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Richardson Rescission Notice

REDACTED

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President
Department Manager
California Reconveyance Company
Home Loan
California Reconveyance Company
5200 Oakdale Avenue, #110912
Chatsworth, CA 91311
818-775-2340 direct
huey-jen.chiu@swsnu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 5:24 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen; Brignac, Deborah P.
Cc: Martin T. McGuinn; Cook, Don
Subject: Richardson Rescission Notice

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261

JPMC - 002560
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004053
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/30/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as Trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $535,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:
   LOT 250 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18
   A.P.N. [REDACTED]
   Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $388,000.01.

5. The Trustee’s Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary. as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee’s sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee’s Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE’S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/09/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND RECORDED 05/10/2008 IN BOOK 20080510, PAGE 0487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY

Colleen Irby, Assistant Secretary

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY

Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY

Huey-Jay Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5-29-2008 before me, MERRIL HERRADURA, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

(Seal)

Commission # 1392ug
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires July 3, 2013

JPMC - 002563
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004056
Perfect! And not a single mention of WalMu -- just the way this should be reported instead of taking the hint to cry and blame us for her irresponsible behavior.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugl, Sara C. 
To: Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don 
Sent: Sun Jun 01 11:27:31 2008 
Subject: RE: Richardson Statement (Draft)

Hi, Jan,
The Los Angeles Times published the article embedded below, which includes more comments made by York. It appears that Richardson initially agreed to be interviewed for the story, but then backed out.

I will forward additional coverage to you, which mostly focuses on commentary from her opponents (mainly Mathews).

Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure continues a pattern of financial straits

UPS, DOWNS: Rep. Laura Richardson moved from city council to Congress in a year but also lost a home to foreclosure.

By Jeff Gottlieb, Los Angeles Times Staff Writer

May 31, 2008

When news surfaced that Rep. Laura Richardson had lost her home through foreclosure, the Long Beach Democrat blamed the problem on her year-round rocket-ship rise from city councilwoman to Assembly member to congresswoman and the crumbling real estate market.

"I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable," she said in a news release.

But while the foreclosure of the two-story Sacramento home she bought shortly after being elected to the Assembly in 2005 may have been the first time she lost a house, it was not the first time Richardson had fallen behind on her payments. It continued a pattern started eight years ago.

Since then, the homes she still owns in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and Long Beach have fallen into default six times. The amount she owed ranged from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

"She has this habit of missing payments and then trying to catch up instead of doing it monthly," said Verle Saylor, who sold Richardson the Long Beach house and carried a second mortgage.

The defaults come at a quick pace lately, five in the last 13 months and the most recent March 28. The five defaults totaled nearly $71,000. During much of that time, Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and
Assembly total of $177,500.

Although candidates sometimes use their home equity to help finance campaigns, experts couldn’t remember anyone losing a house over it. "It’s very surprising a member of Congress would allow it to happen," said Bob Stern, president of the nonpartisan Center for Governmental Studies in Los Angeles. "It’s also very embarrassing. That’s an understatement."

News of Richardson’s troubles with the Sacramento house was first reported this month by Capitol Weekly.

Not only has Richardson missed house payments, but she is behind on her property taxes, a lien was placed on her Sacramento house because of an unpaid utility bill, and she angered her neighbors by not keeping up her home.

Many state legislators and members of Congress have the added expense of needing two places to live, one in their district and one in Sacramento or Washington, D.C. California lawmakers receive at least a per diem of $170 to defray the costs, in addition to their salary. Legislators in both capitals often will share a house or apartment in order to keep the costs down.

Despite her financial problems, a subject that has become a favorite in the political blogosphere, Richardson has few worries about Tuesday’s Democratic primary, where she faces two relative unknowns. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic — it went 74% for John Kerry in the last presidential election — that no Republicans are running for the seat.

"She has a couple years to let the buyer and settle whatever problems are still active," said Gary Jacobson, a UC San Diego political science professor and expert on congressional elections. "And assuming she does so, she’ll probably be all right."

After telling a Times reporter she would be interviewed, Richardson declined the next day and instead offered two prepared statements.

"Earlier this year, I was notified that the mortgages on properties that I own were in default," she said. "At that time I began continuous and ongoing discussions with the lenders to reestablish and modify these loans and to reinstate my ownership of the properties. Since those discussions were initiated, I was not notified of any pre-emptive sales of any of the properties."

She might want to tell that to James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage, who bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento house at a public auction for $388,000 on May 7. He recorded the deed May 15 and has had a crew at the house fixing it up.

Richardson, who bought the house in early 2007 for $335,000, owed about $9,000 in property taxes. She owes Sacramento $1,540.03 after the city utility department put a lien on the house for an unpaid bill.

Asked about the congresswoman’s statement that she knew nothing about the sale, York said that’s an excuse he hears all the time. "She doesn’t know what happened, but she’s an educated woman who hasn’t made her payments for 12 months and she doesn’t know why she lost her house? That’s the joke."

Neighbors in the upper middle-class Harris Park neighborhood said they were glad to see Richardson leave because she had let the house fall into disarray.

"I don’t care who it is, that’s irresponsible to let it go like that," said Sean Padovan, a retired Sacramento police sergeant who lives three doors away. "This is our neighborhood. It becomes personal when it’s a few houses down and you’re junking up the neighborhood."

Padovan, 61, said that when the grass grew nearly a foot high, he knocked on her door. "I finally went down there and said, ‘Would you mind if I mowed your lawn for you?’ She said, ‘I’ve been awful busy. Sure.' "

Padovan said his lawn mower could barely make it through the grass.

Richardson’s two-story craftsman-style house in Long Beach’s historic Sunrise Boulevard district, where neighbors say she stays on weekends back from Washington, also has fallen into disrepair. The beige paint is peeling, a garage window is broken, and the grass has turned brown.

Richardson bought the four-bedroom, two-bath house for $135,000 so she could run for an open seat on the Long Beach City Council. Before that, she lived in the San Pedro house.

Richardson won the council election in 2000 and worked for then-Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante until she won the Assembly seat in 2006,
leading her campaign $100,000, which eventually was paid back to her.

She barely had time to get a good meal in Sacramento, although she did have time to buy a house, before Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died in April 2007. In August, Richardson won a special election for the seat, this time leading her campaign $77,500.

"She obviously extremely wanted to win this race, and this was the way she invested in it," said Stern from the Center for Governmental Studies.

Many blogs have pounced on the Richardson controversy. LA cityzn.com called it "One of the more bizarre political scandals in recent years."

Calitics.com said, "It seems like she’s engaging in what amounts to a pyramid scheme – buying new houses with little money down, and at the same time lending her campaigns for state Assembly and Congress tens of thousands of dollars. So the money that would be used to pay off the loan is paying for her political upward mobility."

Since she has moved on to Congress, Richardson doesn’t have a mortgage to worry about there. She’s renting.

--------------

From: Owen, Jan L.  
Sent: Sat 09/21/2013 8:14 AM  
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don  
Subject: Ric Richardson Statement (Draft)

I like it!  Short and to the point!  I have not seen any print media today, have any of you?  Off by the way - In Paul’s thoughts, J

------------

From: Gaugl, Sara C.  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don  
Subject: Richardson Statement (Draft)

Good evening,

As an update to my note from earlier this morning, Gene Ruddick is in the process of writing yet another story on Mrs. Richardson’s financial situation. His questions are attached below and his deadline is 5 p.m. on Monday.

Here is a first cut at a draft (and brief) response to Gene’s questions. Looking forward to your thoughts/edit/revisions.

My preference continues to be that we attempt to limit WahiLo’s inclusion in the coverage to the extent possible. However, given that the Notice of Receivership has been sent for recording, it is likely that we’ll receive additional media inquiries related to that action on Monday or Tuesday. And at that point, I think we should be prepared to expand our current statement (as appropriate).

Response:

We are not in a position to discuss the specifics of Mrs. Richardson’s loan situation as we have not received any authorization from her to do so.

More broadly, if a loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will honor commitments made to the customer and take appropriate measures to rectify the situation.

As a matter of policy, we don’t comment on litigation. However, as you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

JPMC - 002566  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.004059
Best,

Sara

Sara Gugli
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 481 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.228.6064 cell
sara.gugli@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message----
From: Gene Maddams
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Gugli, Sara C.
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson's privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene
Hi Jan,

The Los Angeles Times published the article embedded below, which includes more comments made by York. It appears that Richardson initially agreed to be interviewed for the story, but then backed out.

I will forward additional coverage to you, which mostly focuses on commentary from her opponents (mainly Mathews).

**Rep. Laura Richardson’s foreclosure continues a pattern of financial straits**

By Jeff Gottlieb, Los Angeles Times Staff Writer
May 31, 2008

When news surfaced that Rep. Laura Richardson had lost her home through foreclosure, the Long Beach Democrat blamed the problem on her year-long rocket-ship rise from city councilwoman to Assembly member to congresswoman and the crumbling real estate market.

"I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable," she said in a news release.

But while the foreclosure of the two-story Sacramento home she bought shortly after being elected to the Assembly in 2006 may have been the first time she lost a house, it was not the first time Richardson had fallen behind on her payments. It continued a pattern started eight years ago.

Since then, the homes she still owns in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and Long Beach have fallen into default six times. The amount she owed ranged from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

"She has this habit of missing payments and then trying to catch up instead of doing it monthly," said Verla Saylor, who sold Richardson the Long Beach house and carried a second mortgage.

The defaults have come at a quick pace lately, five in the last 13 months and the most recent March 28. The five defaults totaled nearly $91,000. During much of that time, Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

Although candidates sometimes use their home equity to help finance campaigns, experts couldn’t remember anyone losing a house over it. "It’s very surprising a member of Congress would allow it to happen," said Bob Stern, president of the nonpartisan Center for Governmental Studies in Los Angeles. "It’s also very embarrassing. That’s an understatement."

News of Richardson’s troubles with the Sacramento house was first reported this month by Capitol Weekly.

Not only has Richardson missed house payments, but she is behind on her property taxes, a lien was placed on her Sacramento house because of an unpaid utility bill, and she angered her neighbors by not keeping up her home.

Many state legislators and members of Congress have the added expense of having to pay two places to live, one in their district and one in Sacramento or Washington, D.C. California lawmakers receive a per diem of $170 to defray the costs, in addition to their salary. Legislators in both capitals often will share a house or apartment in order to keep the costs down.

Despite her payment problems, a subject that has become a favorite in the political blogosphere, Richardson has few worries about Tuesday’s Democratic primary, where she faces two relative unknowns. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic — it went 74% for John Kerry in the last presidential election — that no Republicans are running for the seat.

"She has a couple years to let it be forgotten and settle whatever problems are still alive," said Gary Jacobson, a UC San Diego political science professor and expert on congressional elections. "And assuming she does so, she’ll probably be all right."
After telling a Times reporter she would be interviewed, Richardson declined the next day and instead offered two prepared statements.

"Earlier this year, I was notified that the mortgages on properties that I own were in default," she said. "At that time, I began continuous and ongoing discussions with the lenders to reinstate and modify these loans and to reinstate my ownership of the properties. Since those discussions were initiated, I was not notified of any preemptive sales of any of the properties."

She might want to tell that to Jamee York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage, who bought the three bedroom, 1 1/2 bath Sacramento house at a public auction for $388,000 on May 7. He recorded the deed May 19 and has had a crew at the house fixing it up.

Richardson, who bought the house in early 2007 for $535,000, owed about $9,000 in property taxes. She owes Sacramento $154.33 after the city utilities department put a lien on the house for an unpaid bill.

Asked about the congresswoman's statement that she knew nothing about the sale, York said that's an excuse he hears all the time: "She doesn't know what happened, but she's an educated woman who hasn't made her payments for 12 months and she doesn't know why she lost her house? That's the joke."

Neighbors in the upper middle-class Curtis Park neighborhood said they were glad to see Richardson leave because she had let the house fall into disarray.

"I don't care who it is, that's irresponsible to let it go like that," said Sean Padovan, a retired Sacramento police sergeant who lives three doors away. "This is our neighborhood. It becomes personal when it's a few houses down and you're junking up the neighborhood."

Padovan, 61, said that when the grass grew nearly a foot high, he knocked on her door. "I finally went down there and said, 'Would you mind if I mowed your lawn for you?'" she said. "I've been awful busy. Sure."

Padovan said his hand mower could barely make it through the grass.

Richardson's two-story craftsman-style house in Long Beach's historic Sunrise Boulevard district, where neighbors say she stays on weekends back from Washington, also has fallen into disrepair. The beige paint is peeling, a garage window is broken, and the grass has turned brown.

Richardson bought the four-bedroom, two-bath house for $135,000 so she could run for an open seat on the Long Beach City Council. Before that, she lived in the San Pedro house.

Richardson won the council election in 2000 and worked for then-Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante until she won the Assembly seat in 2006, lending her campaign $100,000, which eventually was paid back to her.

She barely had time to get a good meal in Sacramento, although she did have time to buy a house, before Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died in April 2007. In August, Richardson won a special election for the seat, this time lending her campaign $77,500.

"She obviously extremly wanted to win this race, and this was the way she invested in it," said Stem from the Center for Governmental Studies.

Many blogs have pounced on the Richardson controversy. LA.cityzine.com called it "One of the more bizarre political scandals in recent years."

Calitics.com said, "It seems like she's engaging in what amounts to a pyramid scheme -- buying new homes with little money down, and at the same time lending her campaigns for state Assembly and Congress tens of thousands of dollars. So the money that would be used to pay off the loan is paying for her political upward mobility."

Since she has moved on to Congress, Richardson doesn't have a mortgage to worry about there. She's renting.

From: Owen, Jon L.
Sent: Sat 05/31/2008 8:14 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don
Subject: Re: Richardson Statement (Draft)
I like it! Short and to the point! I have not seen any print media today, have any of you? Oh by the way - hi Paul! Thanks, J

--------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugi, Sara C.  
To: Haithanga, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don  
Subject: Richardson Statement (Draft)

Good evening.
As an update to my note from earlier this morning, Gene Maddams is in the process of writing yet another story on Ms. Richardson's financial situation. His questions are outlined below and his deadline is 5 p.m. on Monday.

Here is a first cut at a draft (and brief) response to Gene's questions. Looking forward to your thoughts/edit/revsions.

My preference continues to be that we attempt to limit WaMu's inclusion in the coverage to the extent possible. However, given that the Notice of Reconciliation has been sent for recording, it is likely that we'll receive additional media inquiries related to that action on Monday or Tuesday. And at that point, I think we should be prepared to expand our current statement (as appropriate).

Response:
We aren't in a position to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation as we have not received any authorization from her to do so.

More broadly, if a loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will honor commitments made to the customer and take appropriate steps to rectify the situation.

As a matter of policy, we don't comment on litigation. However, as you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WAMC 101 Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct 1 206-228-2377 cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message----- 
From: Gene Maddams  
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 10:28 AM  
To: Gaugi, Sara C.  
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed
prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s privacy rights, can WaMu unequivocally state that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene
I like it! Short and to the point! I have not seen any print media today, have any of you? Oh by the way - hi Paul! Thanks, J

-------- Original Message --------
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don
Subject: Richardson Statement (Draft)

Good evening.

As an update to my note from earlier this morning, Gene Maddox is in the process of writing yet another story on Ms. Richardson's financial situation. The questions are outlined below and the deadline is 5 p.m. on Monday.

Here is a first cut at a draft (and brief) response to Gene's questions. Looking forward to your thoughts/edit/revisions.

My preference continues to be that we attempt to limit WaMu's inclusion in the coverage to the extent possible. However, given that the Notice of Receivership has been sent for recording, it is likely that we'll receive additional media inquiries related to that action on Monday or Tuesday. And at that point, I think we should be prepared to expand our current statement (as appropriate).

Response:
We aren't in a position to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation as we have not received any authorization from her to do so.

More broadly, if a loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will honor commitments made to the customer and take appropriate measures to rectify the situation.

As a matter of policy, we don't comment on litigation. However, as you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue
WMC#011
Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct
206-500-2287 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
----Original Message----
From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Gagli, Sara C.
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. I am aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct this problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene
Good evening.

As an update to my note from earlier this morning, Gene Maddams is in the process of writing yet another story on Ms. Richardson’s financial situation. His questions are outlined below and his deadline is 5 p.m. on Monday.

Here is a first cut at a draft (and brief) response to Gene’s questions. Looking forward to your thoughts/edits/revisions.

My preference continues to be that we attempt to limit WaMu’s inclusion in the coverage to the extent possible. However, given that the Notice of Redemption has been sent for recording, it is likely that we will receive additional media inquiries related to that action on Monday or Tuesday. And at that point, I think we should be prepared to expand our current statement (as appropriate).

Response:
We aren’t in a position to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson’s loan situation as we have not received any authorization from her to do so.

More broadly, if a loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will honor commitments made to the customer and take appropriate measures to rectify the situation.

As a matter of policy, we don’t comment on litigation. However, as you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to cancel a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaupl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC401 | Seattle WA 98101
206.300.2822 direct | 206.224.1351 cell
sara.gaupl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gene Maddams
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Gaupl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?
3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson's privacy rights, can Wabba state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed Wabba to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene
Subject: Accepted Richardson Update
Location: 077-700 [ ] passcode [ ]

Start: 9/2/2008 12:30 PM
End: 9/2/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 077-700 [ ] passcode [ ]
Subject: Richardson Update
Location: 577-709 passcode: [redacted]

Start: 6/2/2008 12:30 PM
End: 6/2/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.;

Resources: 577-709 passcode: [redacted]

When: Monday, June 22, 2008 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada), Tijuana.
Where: 577-709 passcode: [redacted]
Subject: Accepted, Updated: Richardson update
Location: 877-709

Start: 5/30/2008 3:30 PM
End: 5/30/2008 4:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-709

Legal can join from 12:30 - 1:00.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 2:27 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Subject: Questions from the Daily Breeze

All:
FYI, below.

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1701 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the statute of frauds or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene

----- Original Message -----
From: Gene Maddaus
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thu, May 29 10:47:09 MDT
Subject: follow-up

JPMC - 002379
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004072
Please call for some follow-up questions on general policies that may relate to the Laura Richardson situation, but do not require you to divulge her personal information.

Gene Moddeus
Daily Breeze
310 543-7874
Subject: Updated Richardson update
Location: 977-709[passcode]
Start: 5/30/2008 3:30 PM
End: 5/30/2008 4:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; GM QSM DTE; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Oakley, Susan B.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Thorn, Ann

Resources: 977-709[passcode]
When: Friday, May 30, 2008 12:30 PM to 1:30 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 977-709[passcode]

---
All:

At an update, here's another article written by Gene Maddaus, which posted earlier today.

Gene contacted me a moment ago with follow-up questions - I’ll update you after I am able to connect with him.

Best,

Sara

Representative had history of missed loan payments

By Gene Maddaus Staff Writer

Article Launched: 05/29/2008

Rep. Laura Richardson, whose housing woes have been national news for the past week, defaulted a total of eight times on three properties since 2004, a thorough review of county records indicates.

Records show she has defaulted five times on her primary residence in Long Beach - including three in the last year, as she diverted her private resources into her campaign for Congress.

Richardson’s housing troubles are more extensive than previously reported, and include two defaults from 2004, when she was a Long Beach city councilwoman.

Her habit of missing payments caught up with her earlier this month, when her Sacramento home was sold at a foreclosure auction. Richardson has said she will try to reacquire that property, but the real estate broker who bought it is refusing to give it back, and the law appears to favor his position.

The newly discovered property records indicate that Richardson was already behind on her payments on her Long Beach house before Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died in April 2007. The veteran congresswoman’s death opened up the seat for Richardson.

Richardson received a default notice on May 10, 2007, indicating she was $12,326.78 behind on her Long Beach home. She had not made a payment since January, when she bought her Sacramento home with no money down for $335,000.

The loan against the Long Beach property was issued the previous summer, when Richardson refinanced for a sum of $446,246.

The original loan, issued in 1999, was for $100,000.

Richardson took $100,000 of the proceeds from the refinancing, and lent it to her 2006 Assembly campaign. After winning the election, she raised some money to pay back the loan, but then turned around and got $77,500 into her congressional campaign.

Richardson made a payment on the Long Beach property in May, and the default was rescinded. But she immediately stopped making payments again and a second default notice, for $15,101, was issued in October.

Once again, Richardson made a payment on the arrearage but stopped making further payments. The loan defaulted again in March, at which point she owed $19,921.74.

The default notice was withdrawn again a few days later, and Richardson says she is now current on the Long Beach loan.

Richardson also defaulted twice on the original loan on the Long Beach property in 2004, in the wake of her divorce. She was $8,376.49 behind on her payments in March 2004. She caught up, but quickly fell behind again and by September owed $5,815.73. That arrearage was later repaid, and Richardson was able to stay current on the house until 2007.

Last summer and fall, Richardson defaulted twice on her San Pedro property. The first time, in September 2007, she owed $12,410.71. She made a payment and was able to get that notice rescinded, but stopped making payments again, and a new default notice was issued in January.

That default led to a notice that an auction would be held on May 14. Before it got to that point, Richardson said she was able to negotiate a loan modification, and that auction has been put on hold until July.

Richardson has also stiff-armed smaller creditors.
In August 2007, she walked into Sir Speedy Printing in Signal Hill, and made a rush order for 380 invitations announcing her election to Congress.

The print shop dropped other orders and staffers put in overtime to meet the request. The order was filled on time the next day, but Richardson never paid the $150 invoice, said the print shop's owner, Allen McLean.

McLean said he and his wife call about once a month to try to collect the debt, but are always told by staffers that Richardson doesn't have the money.

"It's just irritating," he said. "She's not just doing this to the big guys. She's doing it to the small guys, too."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.350.2822 direct | 206.228.5227 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Accepted, Updated: Richardson update
Location: 377-704, passcode

Start: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 377-704, passcode
Subject: Updated Richardson update
Location: 977-701passcode

Start: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Battaglia, Paul J.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; GM QSM DTE; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Oakley, Susan B.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Thorn, Ann

Resources: 977-701passcode
Subject: Accepted; Updated: Richardson update
Location: 877-795 [passcode]
Start: 5/29/2008 1:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-795 [passcode]
Subject: Updated: Richardson update
Location: 077-708 [passcode]

Start: 5/29/2003 1:00 PM
End: 5/29/2003 2:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Gaugl, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don; GM QSM DTE; Baptista, Geri Ann S., Thorn, Ann; Thorn, Ann; Oakley, Susan B.

Resources: 077-709 [passcode]
I am booked right now until 5 EST so anytime after that is fine with me.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644
For Internal Use Only

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
601 Il Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-4961 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644
For Internal Use Only
Subject: Accepted: Richardson Update
Location: 877-708
Start: 5/28/2008 6:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 7:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-708
From: Martin T. McGuinn

Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:18 PM

To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>, Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>

Cc: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>

Subject: FW: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Attach: Donna Parkinson

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1650 or (619) 665-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1900, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Donna Parkinson

Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:50 AM

To: Beth Ward; Martin T. McGuinn

Subject: RE: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Mr. York respectfully declines the offer of $25,000 over his costs. He will accept $80,000 over his costs at this time. This counter offer will remain open until the close of business on Friday, April 30.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) are confidential and privileged.

They are intended for the sole use of the addressee(s). If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact our office at (916) 449-1444.

IF THE ABOVE RELATES TO A TAX MATTER THEN, PURSUANT TO IRS RULES, WE MUST ADVISE YOU OF THE FOLLOWING: THE ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION WAS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED AND CANNOT BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. Under IRS Rules, a taxpayer may rely on our advice to avoid penalties only if the
advice is reflected in a more formal tax opinion that conforms to new IRS standards.

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:09 AM
To: Donna Parkinson
Subject: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Good morning, Ms. Parkinson. Attached is Mr. McGuinn’s letter of today’s date regarding the above matter.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398
Direct Fax: (619) 398

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This alertness message contains privileged and confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addresses(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 653-1655 or (619) 655-4000 and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P C., 600 B Street, Suite 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
BEGIN:VCARD
VERSION 2.1
FN: Donna Parkinson
EMAIL;mailto:donnparkinson@donna
REV: 
END:VCARD
Subject: Accepted Richardson update
Location: 577-708
Start: 5/29/2008 1:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jane
Resources: 577-708
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:34 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Cc: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson
Attach: 001 MTM to Parkinson re rescission did 5 28 08.pdf

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmguinn <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Direct Dial: (619) 525-7241
Direct Fax: (619) 525-7240

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1650 or (619) 665-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:09 AM
To: Donna
Subject: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Good morning, Ms. Parkinson. Attached is Mr. McGuinn's letter of today's date regarding the above matter.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Nourigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398-2911
Direct Fax: (619) 398-2912

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1650 or (619) 665-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
May 28, 2008

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Donna Parkinson, Esq.
Parkinson & Phinney LLC
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Fl.
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Clients: James York and Red Rocks Mortgage Inc.
(collectively, "Red Rocks")

Our Clients: Washington Mutual Bank ("WaMu") and California
Reconveyance Company ("CRC")

Real Property: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA (the "Property")

Borrower: Laura Richardson

Our File No.: WAS002-93

Dear Ms. Parkinson:

This letter is being sent to you pursuant to California Code of Procedure section 1152 and Federal Rules of Evidence, rule 406. The content of this letter may not be used in any pleading or constitute an admission of liability on the part of our client. The facts as we understand them to be are that Red Rocks was the high bidder at a foreclosure conducted by CRC on May 7, 2008. That foreclosure sale occurred despite an agreement between WaMu and Ms. Richardson to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. That postponement agreement was confirmed in writing by letter dated April 17, 2008, a copy of which is attached hereto.

As you know, California law permits a trustee to rescind a foreclosure sale where the borrower and the lender had an agreement to postpone the sale. California Civil Code section 1058.5(b) permits a trustee with the consent of either the beneficiary or the successful bidder to rescind a foreclosure sale due to the filing of a bankruptcy by the owner or otherwise.

The extent of a trustee's right to rescind a foreclosure sale has been the subject of litigation in several courts of appeal. Residential Capital LLC v. Cal Western Reconveyance Corp. (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 897, 822 held: "The right of the trustor to postpone the foreclosure sale by agreement with the beneficiary is as important to the protection of the trustor's property from wrongful foreclosure as are the notice requirements." The Residential Capital court enumerated two important
principles: First, it reaffirmed the holding in Little v. CFS Servicing Corp. (1987) 188 Cal.App.3d 1354 that the only recovery permitted to a third party bidder is the return of its capital paid at the sale, plus interest; and second, it held that the failure to postpone the sale at the request of the borrower when there was an agreement to do so was a substantial irregularity to a foreclosure sale permitting the trustee to rescind.

Although the TDUS was not delivered to the bidder prior to rescission in the Residential Capital case, later case law supported the beneficiary’s and the trustee’s right to rescind after the TDUS had been delivered and recorded. In Bank of America v La Jolla Group II (2005) 129 Cal.App.4th 706, 712 the court held: “But La Jolla has not relied on this presumption and, if it had, we would have concluded that the presumption was rebutted by the fact that the trustor and beneficiary entered into an agreement to cure the default and reinstate the loan before the foreclosure sale. In sum, the foreclosure sale was invalid.” In Bank of America, the bidder contended that once the trustor’s deed upon sale has been delivered with all the recitals of statutory compliance and the recital of authority under the power of sale “the deed cannot be ‘void’ as a matter of law.” The court rejected that argument by noting: “We know of no authority for this proposition.”

It is clear in this case that, based on the written agreement to postpone, WaMu has the right to rescind the sale. When the sale is rescinded, in addition to return of the funds paid at the sale ($388,000.01), Red Rocks is entitled to interest on the sales proceeds, plus reimbursement of any funds expended on the Property since the sale. Reasonable interest in this case totals approximately $2,500. As well, prior to your being retained by Red Rocks, Mr. York told me that he has expended approximately $10,000-15,000 in repairs to the Property.

In an effort to resolve this matter amicably, WaMu is willing to offer Red Rocks a small premium on its investment and pay a total of $25,000 to Red Rocks in exchange for a release of all right, title and interest that Red Rocks has in the Property. That amounts to an approximate $10,000 return on a one-month investment—a return that is not legally mandated. The payment is conditioned upon the parties executing a settlement agreement releasing each other from any liability or claims arising out of or relating to the May 7, 2008 foreclosure sale, including a Civil Code section 1542 waiver, and Red Rocks will provide a W-4 to WaMu to allow it to issue the check. We are prepared to immediately document the settlement. Please advise if this offer is acceptable to Red Rocks.

Thank you in advance for your continuing professional courtesy and cooperation in this matter. Should you have any questions, or wish to discuss the case further, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Martin T. McGuinn

cc: Paul Battaglia (via email)
Jan Owen (via email)
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson
717 East Vernon Street
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number

Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/MAY HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified funds to us at the below address:

Washington Mutual
Default Cash Processing
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732-8817.

Sincerely,

Allison Dolan
Default Specialist II – ERT
Washington Mutual Home Loans
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 10:18 AM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Re: Update

Good morning, Ann. From a media perspective, there has been additional coverage over the weekend (same time as Friday). And as you are aware, the Congresswoman has chosen to not sign the media consent form that would allow us to discuss her loan situation publicly.

- Sara

-------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thorn, Ann  
To: Battaglia, Paul J; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.  
Sent: Tue May 27 06:38:53 2008  
Subject: Update  

John Durau is looking for an update on the Richardson file this morning. Has there been anything new since the press information Friday night?

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
916-880-5644  

For Internal Use Only
John Berens is looking for an update on the Richardson file this morning. Has there been anything new since the press information Friday night?

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-666-3644

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 9:17 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Consent
Attach: LR Auth Consent.jpg

Here is the consent form for the April 17th letter:

From: RichardsonMC, Laura
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 09:48 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.; RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: Consent

Ann,

Well... Attached you will find my scanned authorization to release the "attached April 17, 2008" letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate conclusion of the sale on May 7, 2008. Please advise me at your earliest convenience what next steps are required of me to resolve this situation.

I can be reached anytime on my cell at 362-7064.

Thank you,
Laura Richardson

----Original Message----
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:01 PM
To: RichardsonMC, Laura
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the resumption of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,
Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-890-3044

For Internal Use Only
I will limit my comments.....

FYI, below.

Sara Gaulg
Home: | WAMU Public Relations

1301 Second Avenue | WMC 401 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.1892 cell
sara.gaulg@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: RichardsonMC, Laura
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 8:34 PM
To: Gaulg, Sara C.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Cc: RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: WAMU Consent Form Attached

Sara,

Thank you for your response. At this point I think the communication with the media has been adequate. We covered print, ap and television outlets today. I provided the letter of April 17th and my consent to release that letter which addresses the questions we received. If any further information is needed I will contact you. If I can be of assistance or clarify any questions feel free to contact me on my cell phone @ 952-705-?

Sincerely,
Laura Richardson
From: Gaujl, Sara C. <sara.gaujl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 10:08 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>; Ovon, Jan L.
<jan.owen@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan
<alan.elias@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: AP: Laura Richardson

Hi team -
FYI, below.

From: Verdin, Tom
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 7:02 PM
To: Gaujl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Sara, here's our first take on the Laura Richardson story tonight. Subsequent versions will have greater detail. Please let me know if Wamu wants to issue an updated statement.

Tom

1. DC-CA—Congresswoman's house
2. URGENT
3. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says sale 'improper'
4. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman claims sale of her Sacramento house shouldn't have happened
6. ewtottotav1
7. By ERICA WERNER
8. Associated Press Writer
9. 05-23-2008 18:38
10. WASHINGTON (AP) — California Congresswoman Laura Richardson claims the foreclosure auction of her Sacramento house was improper and contrary to a written agreement she had with her lender.

1. In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage. Her house was sold at auction earlier this month.

2. Richardson said that sale never should have happened and that she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off.
3. She insisted that she is not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.
4. In fact, Richardson said the experience has allowed her to relate to the thousands of other Americans, including many in her Los Angeles-area district, confronted with foreclosures. She even wants to testify before Congress about it.

From: Verdin, Tom
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 6:27 PM
To: sara.gaujl@wamu.net
Subject: Laura Richardson

Sara, thank you for calling us back earlier this evening.
As it happens, our reporter in Washington who covers California's congressional delegation was on the phone with Laura Richardson while you and I were talking. In brief, Richardson is blaming WaMu. She claims she did not know her Sacramento house was being sold at auction and says WaMu told her no action would be taken on it until sometime next month.

She also claims to have documentation to support this claim but has yet to provide it to us.

We'll be moving a story based on Richardson's comments shortly.

Because AP did not have her statement when we spoke previously, I wanted to give WaMu an opportunity to respond.

We'll be sending our story in takes (individual bites will move before the final writeup), and I can send you those so you can see exactly what Richardson claims.

Regards,

Tom

Tom Verdin
Correspondent
Sacramento, CA 916-448

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metatile) >>

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at 1 212 621 1800 and delete this email. Thank you.

[IP_US_DISC]
msg dccc6edc42339438f0c4e7c9a49c8

JPMC - 002664
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004097
Call-in number: (866) 723-

Sara Gaugl
Hame Leae Public Relatiae

WAMU
1201 Second Avenue | WAMU 900 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2828 direct | 206.228. | cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject:</th>
<th>Accepted Update on Richardson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>$77-709_1$ passcode: $\square$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start:</td>
<td>5/27/2006 12:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End:</td>
<td>5/27/2006 1:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show Time As:</td>
<td>Busy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence:</td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Attendees:</td>
<td>Owen, Jim L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources:</td>
<td>$877-709_1$ passcode: $\square$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject: Update on Richardson
Location: 0777-708 passcode [redacted]

Start: 5/27/2006 12:00 PM
End: 5/27/2006 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Organizer

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Update on Richardson
Location: 077-708, laasscode

Start: 5/27/2008 12:00 PM
End: 5/27/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don; Bautista, Paul J.

Resources: 077-709, passcode

When: Tuesday, May 27, 2008, 9:00 AM to 10:00 AM (GMT-06:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada), Tijuana.
Where: 077-709, passcode

...
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 2:20 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>;
Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: Re: Consent

----- Original Message -----  
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
To: Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Re: Consent

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3801  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-590-1261  
Fax: 206-377-2784  

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 11:04 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.  
Subject: Re: Consent

I know we are talking shortly, but I have not gotten a response from her. Do you think I should resend or call?  

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
904-886-5644  

For Internal Use Only

----- Original Message -----  
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:02 PM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the email just sent for the letter consent.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:01 PM
To: Laura richardson;
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 2:16 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Consent

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 11:04 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Consent

I know we are talking shortly, but I have not gotten a response from her. Do you think I should resend or call?

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

Original Message:
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:02 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the email just sent for the letter consent

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For Internal Use Only
-----Original Message-----

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:01 PM
To: Laura richardson
Cc: Woodstock, Wendy A.
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and scan back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-8644

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>

Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 2:04 PM

To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>

Subject: RF: Consent

I know we are talking shortly, but I have not gotten a response from her. Do you think I should resend or call?

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
916-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:02 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: FW: Consent

Here is the email just sent for the letter consent!

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
916-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:01 PM
To: [ Lauri richardson] [ Wondeeck, Wendy A]
Cc: [ Lauri richardson]
Subject: Consent

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and send back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
916-886-5644

For Internal Use Only

JPMC - 002613
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004106
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 10:13 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
    Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Woodcock, Wendy A.
    <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>; Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri
    Ann S. <geriannabaptista@wamu.net>
Cc: Rodriguez, Adrian <adrian.rodriguez@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Subject: Update York Comment Re: Sale

All,

[REDACTED]

Buyer of Rep. Laura Richardson's house: She "walked away."

The Wall Street Journal quotes the buyer of U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson's Sacramento house as saying she walked away from the house, and can have it back if she wants it -- for the same price she paid for it in 2007.

Richardson (pictured) has denied a published report that her house went into foreclosure, saying she worked with her lender to renegotiate her mortgage.

But James York, the Sacramento broker listed on public documents as the new buyer of the home, tells the Journal's "Developments" blog the congresswoman walked away from the mortgage: "She's walked away from the property," he said. "I would be happy to resell her the home for the $535,000."

That would represent a tidy profit for York, who reportedly bought the Richardson house at auction for $388,000.

Richardson's office has not answered questions about the Sacramento home. In a statement Wednesday, the Democrat from Long Beach said the house "is not in foreclosure" and that she had reached an agreement with her lender on a loan modification.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue | WNCO | Seattle WA 98101
206.502.8766 | direct | 206.226.3910 | toll
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Accepted Update on Congresswoman Richardson
Location: 877-709 passcode
Start: 5/23/2008 2:30 PM
End: 5/23/2008 3:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Owen Jan L.
Resources: 877-709 passcode
Subject: Update on Congresswoman Richardson
Location: 077-709 [passcode]
Start: 5/23/2008 2:30 PM
End: 5/23/2008 3:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded
Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Resources: 077-709 [passcode]
When: Friday, May 23, 2008 11:30 AM - 12:30 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 077-709 [passcode]
From: Gauhl, Sara C. <sara.gauhl@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 7:37 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Cell #

Congresswoman Richardson:

We appreciate your concern over media attention surrounding your loan situation. Please be aware that WaMu does not disclose our customers' personal financial information without written authorization from the customer.

If we are asked by the media to verify or discuss aspects of your loan situation outside of what is available in public records, we require your written consent to do so. Attached is our standard media consent form for your signature, which can be faxed to XXX.XXX.XXXX.

Thank you,

Sara Gauhl

Sara Gauhl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.2222 call
sara.gauhl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---------------------------------------------------------

From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 4:30 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Gauhl, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Cell #

877-709-

---

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
601 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916.553.4961
cell
916.325.4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

---------------------------------------------------------

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 4:29 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Cell #

What is call in #

-----------------------------

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Thu 05/22/2008 05:40 PM
To: Thom, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Re: Cell #

I just saw the response: REDACTED

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thom, Ann
To: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Thu May 22 15:15:36 2008
Subject: Cell #

I will be on the call at 7:30, but leaving to head home soon. Call me on my cell once I am logged off 414-418-2337 if you need me.

Ann Thom, EVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-880-5644

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:02 PM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>  
Subject: FW: Consent  
Attach: 20080522144304877.pdf; Consent.doc

Here is the email just sent for the letter consent:

Ann, Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
904-885-5644  

For Internal Use Only  

-----Original Message-----  
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:04 PM  
To: [email_address]  
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Subject: Consent  

Congresswoman Richardson, per our conversation, attached is the consent form needed to be signed by you in order to release the attached letter to the third party purchaser to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale. If you can please sign and send back to my attention, I would appreciate it.

Thank you,

Ann Thorn

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
904-885-5644  

For Internal Use Only
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson  
717 East Vernon Street  
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number  
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified funds to us at the below address:

Washington Mutual  
Default Cash Processing  
7255 Baymeadows Way  
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732-8817.

Sincerely,

Allison Dolan  
Default Specialist II – ERT  
Washington Mutual Home Loans
AUTHORIZATION AND CONSENT
TO RELEASE OF PERSONAL, NON-PUBLIC INFORMATION

___________________________
 Borrower Name

___________________________
 Loan Number

___________________________
 Address

I authorize Washington Mutual Bank ("Washington Mutual") to send the attached April 17, 2008 letter to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc.

Executed this _____ day of ________, 2008, at ____________. [State]

___________________________
(Signature)
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 5:34 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Gaspard, Scott <scott.gaspard@wamu.net>; Watson, Alison <alison.watson@wamu.net>; Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Debate intensifies over Richardson default

This is the reporter who wrote the first article. Thanks, Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
601 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
510-853-4261
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

---

From: Capitol Weekly
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 7:30 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Debate intensifies over Richardson default

Debate intensifies over Richardson default

A Long Beach congresswoman who walked away from a $335,000 mortgage in Sacramento said in a written statement distributed by her office that she owns the home, but financial documents show the home was sold at public auction and has been in the possession of the buyer for weeks.

© Capitol Weekly. All Rights Reserved.
You have opted to receive E-Alerts from Capitol Weekly.
If you would like to discontinue receiving these messages you may unsubscribe here.

Forward this message to a friend » | View as a web page »
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 4:40 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Communications regarding Richardson Loan

Sara, need you to call the Congresswoman

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-866-5644

For Internal Use Only

From: Richardson, Laura
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 4:47 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Communications regarding Richardson Loan
Importance: High

Ann/Wendy,

Could you please forward A&AP your communications contact? Channel 9 and 2 (CBS) has shown up to film at the district office and we have received numerous calls from other affiliates; therefore a response is required.

Sincerely,

Laura Richardson
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 1:53 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Cc: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Whether to advise York re status of Borrower

Paul:

[REDACTED]

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcuinn<REDACTED>
Direct Dial: (619) 525<REDACTED>
Direct Fax: (619) 525<REDACTED>

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1650 or (619) 685-4600, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

From: Battaglia, Paul J. [<mailto:paul.battaglia@wamu.net>]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 10:36 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn
Subject: FW: More info re: York
Importance: High

[REDACTED]

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

**NOTICE:** This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

---

**From:** Gough, Sara C.

**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2008 10:33 AM

**To:** Battaglia, Paul J.

**Cc:** Owen, Jan L.

**Subject:** More info re: York

**Importance:** High

**Money, Finance & Business** See other Money, Finance & Business Articles

**Title:** Congresswoman defaults on (mortgage) loan

Source: Long Beach (Calif) Press-Telegram URL. Source: http://www.presstelegram.com Published: May 22, 2008 Author: (unknown) Post Date: 2008-05-22 12:05:46 by gele1731 Comments

**Rep. Laura Richardson lost her Sacramento home in a foreclosure auction two weeks ago, and left behind nearly $9,000 in unpaid property taxes.**

Richardson, D-Long Beach, appears to have made only a few payments on the house, which she bought in January 2007 for $515,000.

After buying the home, Richardson hardly had time to live in it. Three months later, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died and Richardson - then a freshman member of the state Assembly - launched a campaign to replace her in Congress.

Richardson won the election, pouring in $77,500 in personal loans to her own campaign. Around the same time, she stopped making payments on the Sacramento house. The bank issued a default notice in December, and the home was sold at a public auction on May 7 for $388,000.

Richardson declined Wednesday to be interviewed about the foreclosure, which was first revealed in Capitol Weekly, a Sacramento-based publication. But in a statement, Richardson denied that the home was in foreclosure and said it had not been seized by the bank.

"I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement - with no special provisions," Richardson said in the statement. "I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations on the property."

That would come as a surprise to James York, the Sacramento real estate broker who bought Richardson's house at auction. York specializes in buying and selling foreclosed homes, and said he eventually intends to revell Richardson's house, which overlooks a park in an upscale neighborhood. York produced a trustee's deed confirming that his company, Red Rock Mortgage Inc., owns the house.

York said the house was relatively clean when he found it, at least compared with other foreclosed homes,
though the garage was "full of trash to the ceiling." Workers have been cleaning it out and tending to the yard, which had been left unmowed for months.

When he bought the house at 3622 W. Curtis Drive, York assumed responsibility for Richardson's unpaid property tax bill of $8,950.79.

"Tell Laura I'd be happy to have her pay my property tax," York said.

The real loser in the deal was Washington Mutual Bank, which issued Richardson a $535,000 loan with no money down in January 2007. By the time the default notice was issued, Richardson was underwater on the loan. She owed about $375,000, including $18,000 in missed payments.

Washington Mutual ended up writing off nearly $200,000 of that debt to get rid of the home.

"They took a beating," York said.

The previous owner of the home, Sharon Helmar, said the neighbors were "appalled" that Richardson was not maintaining the lawn. Another neighbor said that until recently the grass was about a foot high.

"It's kind of heartbreaking to see something you've worked on for 30 years be left and not taken care of," Helmar said. "You would have thought someone like that would have been a little more responsible."

The home is only a few minutes' drive from the Capitol. It is about 1,600 square feet, and has three bedrooms and 1 1/2 baths. It sits on a corner facing Curtis Park.

"It's a typical 1920s house," she said. "Hardwood floors, wallpaper. It's not huge, but it served us for a lot of years."

The neighborhood is known as affluent and politically liberal. A mayoral candidate lives nearby, and a former state senator lives three doors down.

"It is a very stable neighborhood," said Charlene Singley, the Realtor who sold the home to Richardson. "It has been affected by the downturn, however, because it is in the older, more established area of Sacramento, and it is close to the downtown urban core. It is one of the few neighborhoods that has not been hit as hard."

Singley was surprised to hear that the home had gone into foreclosure.

Although Richardson did not pay her property taxes or the balance of her loan, she has begun repaying the loans that she issued to her own political campaign.

Richardson, a former Long Beach city councilwoman, still owns a home in Long Beach.

One of Richardson's first votes upon arriving in Congress last fall was on the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007. The bill helped homeowners by preventing the federal government from charging income tax on debt forgiven in a foreclosure, such as the $200,000 forgiven in Richardson's foreclosure.

Joining 385 of her colleagues, Richardson voted aye.

Sara Gauhl
Home Loans Public Relations
WedMu
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Money, Finance & Business
See other Money, Finance & Business Articles
Title: Congresswoman defaults on (mortgage) loan
Source: Long Beach (Calif.) Press-Telegram
Author: (unknown)
Rep. Laura Richardson lost her Sacramento home in a foreclosure auction two weeks ago, and left behind nearly $9,000 in unpaid property taxes.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, appears to have made only a few payments on the house, which she bought in January 2007 for $535,000.

After buying the home, Richardson hardly had time to live in it. Three months later, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died and Richardson - then a freshman member of the state Assembly - launched a campaign to replace her in Congress.

Richardson won the election, pouring in $77,500 in personal loans to her own campaign. Around the same time, she stopped making payments on the Sacramento house. The bank issued a default notice in December, and the home was sold at a public auction on May 7 for $88,000.

Richardson declined Wednesday to be interviewed about the foreclosure, which was first revealed in Capitol Weekly, a Sacramento-based publication. But in a statement, Richardson denied that the home was in foreclosure and said it had not been seized by the bank.

"I have worked with my leader to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement - with no special provisions," Richardson said in the statement. "I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations on the property."

That would come as a surprise to James York, the Sacramento real estate broker who bought Richardson's house at auction. York specializes in buying and selling foreclosed homes, and said he eventually intends to resell Richardson's home, which overlooks a park in an upscale neighborhood.

York produced a trustee's deed confirming that his company, Red Rock Mortgage Inc., owns the house.

York said the house was relatively clean when he found it, at least compared with other foreclosed homes, though the garage was "full of trash to the ceiling." Workers have been cleaning it out and tending to the yard, which had been left unmowed for months.

When he bought the house at $69,990, York assumed responsibility for Richardson's unpaid property tax bill of $8,950.

"Tell Laura I'd be happy to have her pay my property tax," York said.

The real loser in the deal was Washington Mutual Bank, which issued Richardson a $535,000 loan with no money down in January 2007. By the time the default notice was issued, Richardson was underwater on the loan. She owed about $575,000, including $18,000 in missed payments.

Washington Mutual ended up writing off nearly $200,000 of that debt to get rid of the home.

"They took a beating," York said.

The previous owner of the home, Sharon Helmar, said the neighbors were "appalled" that Richardson was not maintaining the lawn. Another neighbor said that until recently the grass was about a foot high.

"It's kind of heartbreaking to see something you've worked on for 30 years be left and not taken care of," Helmar said. "You would have thought someone like that would have been a little more responsible."

The home is only a few minutes' drive from the Capitol. It is about 1,600 square feet, and has three bedrooms and 11/2 baths. It sits on a corner facing Curtis Park.

"It's your typical 1920s house," she said. "Hardwood floors, wallpaper. It's not huge, but it served us for a lot of years."

The neighborhood is known as affluent and politically liberal. A mayor candidate lives nearby, and a former state senator lives three doors down.

"It is a very stable neighborhood," said Charlene Singley, the Realtor who sold the home to Richardson. "It has been affected by the downturn, however, because it is in the older, more established area of Sacramento, and it is close to the downtown urban core. It is one of the few neighborhoods that has not been hit as hard."

Singly was surprised to hear that the home had gone into foreclosure.

Although Richardson did not pay her property taxes or the balance of her loan, she has begun repaying the loans that she issued to her own political campaign.

Richardson, a former Long Beach city councilwoman, still owns a home in Long Beach.

One of Richardson's first votes upon arriving in Congress last fall was on the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007. The bill helped homeowners by preventing the federal government from charging income tax on debt forgiven in a foreclosure, such as the $200,000 forgiven in Richardson's foreclosure.

Joining 385 of her colleagues, Richardson voted aye.

Sara Gaugi
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Congress has turned its attention to solving the foreclosure crisis even as one of its members has lost a home in a foreclosure auction.

The Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson was sold in a public auction two weeks ago for $288,000. The Southern California Democrat bought the house for $355,000 with no money down in January 2007 and owed nearly $575,000 to Washington Mutual when the mortgage was sold earlier this month at a significant loss to Red Rock Mortgage Inc.

Rep. Richardson, a former Long Beach city council member, bought the home after winning a seat in California’s state assembly. She maintains her primary residence, a four-bedroom home, in her Long Beach district. Months later, Rep. Richardson ran in a hard-fought election for the congressional seat, which was vacated when the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald died of cancer. To fund that campaign, Rep. Richardson lent herself more than $75,000 and stopped making payments on the Sacramento home around the same time.

The story was first reported by the Capitol Weekly, a Sacramento publication.

Rep. Richardson said in a statement Wednesday that the home is not in foreclosure and had not been seized by the bank.

“I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement — with no special provisions. I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property.”

But James York, the Sacramento broker who bought Richardson’s house at auction, told the Long Beach Press-Telegram that he planned to resell the home. The three-bedroom, 1.5-bathroom house sits on a 1,600 square foot parcel.

Richardson didn’t vote on the housing rescue deal that passed the House of Representatives two weeks ago and in a statement attributed her absence to her father’s funeral. But Richardson did vote last fall in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act, which passed and prevents the federal government from charging income tax on debt forgiven as a consequence of foreclosure.

Nick Timiraos

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1201 Second Avenue / WMCP 104 Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2832 direct 206.228.2333 cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

JPMC - 002638
CONFIDENTIAL
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From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 2:19 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Subject: Richardson/AP Article

Good evening, Paul.

REDACTED

Jan - please note that Richardson's chief of staff told the AP that the mortgage on the home had been sold but that the house had not, though county records appear to indicate the property was sold to a third-party.

Calif. congresswoman's home threatened with repo
By DON THOMPSON

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — Rep. Laura Richardson has an unusual perspective on the housing foreclosure bills moving through Congress: One of her own homes was threatened with repossession after she failed to pay the mortgage.

Richardson, a Southern California Democrat, bought a two-story home in a leafy, upper-middle-class neighborhood of Sacramento in January 2007, just months after winning a seat in the state Assembly.

She bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home in the state capital for $535,500. The bill collectors started knocking soon after, according to records reviewed Wednesday by The Associated Press.

The city utility department placed a lien on her property in June 2007 for $154 in unpaid bills, according to documents at the Sacramento County recorder's office. In December, she received a default notice on the mortgage from the collection agency of Washington Mutual Inc., her lender. At that point, she owed $18,356.

At the time, she had left the Legislature after a quick rise from the Long Beach City Council and moved to Washington after winning a special election to fill a vacant congressional seat. Richardson on Wednesday blamed the frequent job-shifting for financial problems related to the Sacramento property.

A default notice in March this year put the "unpaid balance and other expenses" at $578,384 and said her 1,639-square-foot house would be auctioned at a trustee sale.

County records show the property was sold to a company called Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento for $388,000 — although the county assessor's office continues to list Richardson as the owner. No listing could be found for Red Rock.

That sale was officially recorded Monday, according to the records. But Richards said the home was not in foreclosure and had not been seized.

"I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement — with no special provisions," Richardson said in a statement Wednesday. "I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property."

JPMC - 002639
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004132
Richardson's chief of staff, Kimberly Parker, told the AP that the mortgage on the home had been sold but that the house had not. The collection agency referred inquiries to Washington Mutual, which did not return a call.

A real estate agent's lock box hung Wednesday from the front door of the 1926-vintage house.

Records at a Sacramento County tax office also show Richardson is delinquent in paying $8,950 in property taxes.

Richardson moved from the Long Beach City Council to her Assembly seat in 2006, and the next year won a special election to represent a heavily Democratic congressional district that includes Long Beach.

Congressional records show Richardson did not cast votes May 8 on three bills related to the Foreclosure Prevention Act. In her statement, she said she was away from Washington because of her father's funeral.

"I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable," Richardson said in her statement.

Sara Gazug
Home Loans Public Relations
WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2022 direct | 206.224.1207 cell
sara.gazug@wamu.org

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Accepted: Congresswoman Richardson Update
Location: 877-709 [passcode]

Start: 5/22/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/22/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan
Resources: 877-709 [passcode]
Subject: Congresswoman Richardson Update
Location: 877-7098passcode

Start: 5/22/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/22/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaul, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cock, Don; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; GM, CSNL, LTE; Kalnierz, Brad

Resources: 877-7098passcode

When: Thursday, May 22, 2008 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-06:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-7098passcode
Here are the Collections notes regarding the 10/07 repayment plan we offered.

Wendy Woodcock, VP
Division Manager
Homeownership Preservation Dept
904 886-1306 ph
904 886-1326 fax

Ward Woodcock, VP
Division Manager
Homeownership Preservation Dept.
Washington Mutual
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256
904 886-1309 direct
904 886-1326 fax
wendy.woodcock@wamu.net

WaMu – Simpler banking and more smiles.

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
COL 101307 19C LETTER SENT  CL497 Repayment Plan-Pgs 1 & 2
COL 101307 19C MADE REPAY PLAN
COL 101307 19C BORR #1 CALLD JIT AUTII/AGRD FEE
CURTAILMENT/INCOME12015.00 1103
COL 101307 19C LETTER SENT  AG JIT DBT INIT 1564723145
COL 101307 19C JIT DRAFT INITIATE 1564723145  12015.00 1103
SER 101307 19C JIT DEBIT INITIATED 1564723145  AEGIS
COL 101307 19C RFED CHANGED JOBS./FINAN ISSUES...
COL 101307 19C OWNER OCC  VRFD HP/BP/S#

COL 101307 SBT CHANG PLAN 01: 03 PYMTS, TOT 24450.00, END 12/25/07
COL 101307 SBT CHANG PLAN 01: 04 PYMTS, TOT 30675.00, END 01/25/08
COL 101307 SBT CHANG PLAN 01: 05 PYMTS, TOT 36900.00, END 02/25/08
COL 101307 SBT CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/25/08
COL 101307 @RS INCOMING CALI, TALKED TO LAURA RICHARDSON BITB INTERVIEW CONDUCTED BY U242360, REFER TO INTERVIEW NUM BER 423436

COL 101307 @RS CURTAILMENT/INCOME
COL 101307 @RS S/W BORR #1 RPA APPROVED CURTAILMENT/INCOME
COL 101307 @RS FINANCIAL INFORMATION AS ON 10/13/2007: MONTHLY INCOME = $13,000.00 MONTHLY EXPENSES = $11,002.98(IN CLUDES MORTGAGE)
COL 101307 19C GAVE STATUS
COL 101307 19C SW BRW ADV OF TAD.../FRCI ETC /STTD WAS OUT OF CNT.../HAD RPA.../ADV BROKEN.../
COL 101307 19C SW BRW TK JIT IAO (2000.00+15.00PF ON 1031 AS DWPM FR RPA...

COL 101307 AYA ANS MACH-NO MSG
COL 101307 19C CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/31/08
COL 101307 19C CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/25/08
COL 101307 19C CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/25/08
COL 101307 19C CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/25/08
COL 101307 19C CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/25/08
COL 101307 19C CHANG PLAN 01: 06 PYMTS, TOT 43083.64, END 03/25/08
COL 101307 SBT ADDED PLAN 01: 01 PYMTS, TOT 22197.42, END 10/20/07
COL 101307 SBT CHANG PLAN 01: 01 PYMTS, TOT 12000.00, END 10/26/07
COL 101307 SBT CHANG PLAN 01: 02 PYMTS, TOT 18225.00, END 11/25/07

COL 113007 *** BROKEN-PLAN PAID LESS
COL 111907 *** SCORE 229  111707 AGT E908 DAYS DEL 110 RISK E SER 110507 SJU REVWD FITV RPT; MTGR ON REPAY PLAN. NO ACTION TAKEN
COL 110507 *** VACANT SECURED CONDITION ON 110107 FNFS
COL 110507 *** SCORE 229  110307 AGT E908 DAYS DEL 096 RISK E SER 102307 D09 FROM MEMI:
TITANIUM RESULTS: ALL ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT H/O VIA VISITS AN PHONE HAVE BEEN EXHAUSTED AND THERE HAS BEEN NO RESPONSE T MSGS LEFT/RFD UNKNOWN/
RFD-UNKNOWN/OCCUP-UNKNOWN/EXT-5/INT-N/A/
OVERALL-5 NIGH-STABLE/NOT LISTED/VALUE-560000.00
SER 102307 DOP FROM MEME:
TITANIUM RESULTS: ALL ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT H/O VIA VISITS AN PHONE HAVE BEEN EXHAUSTED AND THERE HAS BEEN NO RESPONSE T MSGS LEFT/RFD UNKNOWN/
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:17 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

-----Original Message-----
From: Kallner, Brad
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 2:16 PM
To: Gaugh, Sara C.
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Herson, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alina; Scheck, Tasha A.; Smith, Kimberly
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

I have checked with Kim, we tried to work a modification, unfortunately she had a deficit monthly of over $2,100. We placed the original foreclosure sale date on hold for 30 days in April. The loan went to sale on 5/7/08; third party outbid.

Sara, please feel free to give Kim or I a call if you need to discuss.

Brad

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugh, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:24 PM
To: Kallner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Herson, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alina
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

Brad -
Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WaMu loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filled with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment; however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WaMu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is 6327210633.

Many thanks,
Sara
Sara Gough
Home Loans Public Relations

WebSite
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct 1 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 cell sara gough@wamu net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Riley, Olivia, Gough, Sara C.; Gaspar, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: Fw: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am in a meeting and will call in a minute.


-----Original Message-----
From: Kevin Gould 
To: FSR Meeting Group
Sent: Wed May  21 08 01 35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $555,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $60,000 in unpaid loans and taxes.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Jami Mills-McCandless succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Lompoc.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she financed her Congressional campaign $60,000 -- money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

JPMC - 002647
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004140
Richardson’s opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza’s Congressional committee still shows nearly $230,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor’s office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee’s sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson’s loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

“The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her,” said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. “She didn’t mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our hands whenever we came back to the neighborhood.”

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park house to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the home for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a house that clearly she never really wanted to leave. “It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves,” she said. “She should have known what she could afford and not go in. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that.”

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she beat Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $27 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $12 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late June 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 10, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.
Rosie Alvarez
Executive Response Team
Customer Relations Manager
Washington Mutual Bank
(800) 225-5497 Opt. 1 Ext. 467
Fax (206) 965-3082

"I long to accomplish great and noble tasks, but it is my chief duty to accomplish humble tasks as though they were great and noble. The world is moved along, not only by the mighty sheaves of its heroes, but also by the aggregate of the tiny pushes of each honest worker." Helen Keller
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From: Banks, Donna R.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 2:07 PM
To: Alvarez, Rosalva R.
Subject:

Donna Banks
Default Specialist II
Washington Mutual Bank
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson  
717 East Vernon Street  
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE:  Washington Mutual Loan Number  
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified funds to us at the below address.

Washington Mutual  
Default Cash Processing  
7255 Baymeadows Way  
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732-8343.

Sincerely,

Allison Delan  
Default Specialist II – ERT  
Washington Mutual Home Loans
From: Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:40:02 PM  
To: Betterglo, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.  
Subject: Foreclosure Notes re: Richardson  

Attachments: [File attachment]

Wendy Woodcock  
VP  
Homeownership Preservation Dept.  
Washington Mutual  
7255 Baymeadows Way  
Jacksonville, FL 32256  
904.898.1309 direct  
904.886.1325 fax  
wendy.woodcock@wamu.net

WaMu – Simpler banking and more smiles.
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FOR 040408 @VU LOSS MITIGATION WORKOUT HOLD OPENED: 4/4/2008
FOR 040408 @VU RYAN PACUBAS (CONT) - OR 60 DAYS TO ALLOW THE
BORROWER TO REINSTATE THE LOAN. SHE IS A PROMINENT P
OLITICAL FIGURE. STATUS: ACTIVE, AWAITING APPROVAL
HOLD START: 4/4/2008
FOR 040408 @VU RYAN PACUBAS SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING EVE

NT: USER HAS PLACED THE FILE ON HOLD. HOLD REASON:
LOSS MITIGATION WORKOUT HOLD COMMENTS: DESTINY W
HITEHEAD, FIDELITY CLOSED BY: N.A. REVIEWED BY:
N.A REVIEWED: N.A PROJECTED END: N.A. DAYS 0
PEN: 0 COMMENTS: FROM: DOLAN, ALLISON A. MAILTO
DOLAN.DOLAN@WAMU.NET SENT: THURSDAY, APRIL 03,
2008 5:03 PM TO: HOLDFCL@FNFS.NET SUBJECT: DTE**
LAURA RICHARDS@LOAN 45751976 importance: HIGH
PLEASE PLACE THE ABOVE LOAN ON FORECLOSURE HOLD F

FOR 040408 @VU RYAN PACUBAS SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING EVE

NT: USER HAS APPROVED THE HOLD. HOLD TYPE: LOSS MI

FOR 040408 @VU TIGITATION WORKOUT STATUS: ACTIVE, APPROVED
FOR 040408 @VU RYAN PACUBAS SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING EVE

NT: USER HAS ENDED THE ISSUE ASSOCIATED WITH THIS
LOAN. ISSUE TYPE: HOLD FC. COMMENTS: HOLD FC.
FOR 040408 @VU RYAN PACUBAS USER HAS COMPLETED THE SALE
SCHEDULE
ED FOR DATA FORM WITH THE FOLLOWING ENTRIES: PREV
IOUS SALE DATE: 4/7/2008 SALE POSTPONEMENT REAS
ON: LOSS MITIGATION
FOR 040408 @VU RYAN PACUBAS SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING EVE

NT: USER HAS APPROVED THE ISSUE. ISSUE TYPE: HOLD
FC STATUS: ACTIVE, APPROVED
FOR 040408 @VU PATTI KELLY A FEES AND COSTS REQUEST HAS BEEN
PLETED FOR THIS LOAN BY PATTI KELLY
FOR 040408 @VU PATTI KELLY A FEES AND COSTS REQUEST HAS BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS LOAN BY PATTI KELLY.

FOR 040408 @VU SIERRIE HERRADURA A FEES AND COSTS RESPONSE COMMENCEMENT HAS BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS LOAN BY SIERRIE HERRADURA.

--- FOR MORE ---

FOR 040408 @VU RADURA
FOR 040408 @VU SIERRIE HERRADURA FEES AND COSTS RESPONSE: GOOD

THROUGH 4/7/2008 FEES: 0.00 COSTS: 36.00 COMMENT: CRC FEES/COST OUTSTANDING GT 4/06/08 $ 35.00

FOR 040408 @VU DESTINY WHITEHEAD SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING ESTATE

G EVENT: USER HAS CREATED A PROCESS-LEVEL ISSUE FOR THIS LOAN ISSUE TYPE: HOLD FC ISSUE COMMENTS: FROM DOLAN, ALLISON A. MAILTO: ALLISON.DOLAN@WAMU. NET SENT: THURSDAY, APRIL 03, 2008 5:03 PM TO: HOLD@C1@FNFS. NET SUBJECT: DTE** LAURA RICHARDSON LOAN 4_23_78 _ IMPORTANCE: HIGH PLEASE PLACE THE ABOVE LOAN ON FORECLOSURE HOLD FOR 60 DAYS TO ALLOW THE BORROWER TO REINSTATE THE LOAN. SHE IS A PROMINENT POLITICAL FIGURE. STATUS: ACTIVE

FOR 040408 @VU PETER RHODEN SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING EVENT

G EVENT: USER HAS ENDED THE ISSUE ASSOCIATED WITH THIS LOAN. ISSUE TYPE: REINSTATEMENT QUOTE REQUEST COMPLETED FOR A FEES AND COSTS REQUEST HAS BEEN UPLOADED INTO NIE. FOR 040408 @VU PETER RHODEN USER HAS COMPLETED THE F101 REINSTATED DATA FORM WITH THE FOLLOWING ENTRIES: GOOD THROUGH DATE: 04/07/08 SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: 4/7/2008

FOR 040408 @VU ALLISON DOLAN SYSTEM UPDATED FOR THE FOLLOWING EVENT

G EVENT: USER HAS CREATED A PROCESS-LEVEL ISSUE FOR THIS LOAN ISSUE TYPE: REINSTATEMENT QUOTE REQUEST. ISSUE COMMENTS: PLEASE EMAIL ME A REINSTATEMENT AMOUNT WITH FEES AND COSTS TO ME ASAP AT ALLISON.DOLAN@WAMU.
N@WAMU.NET. THIS IS FOR AN EXECUTIVE ISSUE. THAN
K.S. STATUS: ACTIVE
COL 040408 MED F/U ON ACCT FILE HAS BEEN SUBMITTED FOR HOLD
JANICE01349
Paul and Don -

Gene Meddous posted an update to his earlier articles about an hour ago - see below. The story, which focuses on the validity of the foreclosure sale, includes numerous comments made by Jannie York re: his current position.

In part, he says he's going to hang on to the house and would be surprised if WaMu sues to get it back. He then goes on to say that in this particular case, there isn't anything for WaMu to gain on the backside except for a congresswoman's good will.

Ann: You should also be aware that Richardson described her agreement with WaMu as a loan modification, and said she had begun making payments on it. I know that you've already discussed this with her directly, but wanted to give you a heads up.

- Sara

Richardson faces fight for house

SOUTH BAY: Odds seem to be against the congresswoman getting her auctioned home back.

By Gene Meddous
05/27/2008 11:38:22 PM EDT

South Bay Rep. Laura Richardson will face an uphill fight as she tries to reclaim her Sacramento house, which was sold in a foreclosure auction three weeks ago.

Richardson, a first-year Democrat, said last week that she is working with her lender to try to reach an agreement on the grounds that it was held in error.

A real estate broker, James York, bought the house May 7 for $398,000. Richardson argues that she had previously made an agreement with her lender, Washington Mutual, to reinstate her loan, which should make the auction invalid.

But several attorneys said Tuesday that the law in such cases is stacked in favor of the buyer at a foreclosure auction. Only in rare cases can an auction be overturned.

"It's gonna be very, very hard -- even if the sale itself was improper -- to get the sale reversed," said Richard Timan, an attorney who has handled similar cases. "People who don't make payments are not beloved by judges."

York said Tuesday that he plans on hanging on to the house and would be surprised if Washington Mutual sues to get it back.

"I'm not too worried about it," he said. "Once you get the deed, it's pretty much a done deal."

In California, two appellate decisions from 2005 deal with such cases.

In Melendez v. E&I Investment Inc., the court held that a foreclosure sale was valid, even though the Melendez family, of Watsonville, believed it had agreed to a repayment plan and had begun making payments.

"The Melendez family had the same claim as the congresswoman does," said Timan, the
plaintiff’s attorney on the case, “Which is that they had actually worked out a deal with the bank, and the bank hadn’t documented it properly.”

But all that mattered to the appellate court in that case was that Duf Investment was a “bona fide purchaser” - meaning, essentially, that the company bought the house in a fair auction, without committing fraud. The auction was upheld.

The other case, Bank of America v. La Jolla Group II, offers a ray of hope for Richardson. In that case, the Selesia family of Fresno fell behind on a loan with Bank of America, before an auction was held, the family paid the entire arrearage on the loan. But the auction was erroneously held anyway, and the La Jolla Group II purchased the property.

The court voided the auction.

"Now it opens up the door for almost any sale to be challenged," said Susan Moore, who argued the case for the La Jolla Group II. "The trend seems to be moving more towards favoring the homeowner."

Richardson, who has also defaulted on her two other homes in Long Beach and San Pedro, describes her agreement with Washington Mutual as a loan modification, and she said she had begun making payments on it.

Glenn Wechsler, who argued for Bank of America, noted that his case was limited to a reinstatement in which the entire arrearage was paid. He said he was unaware of a precedent that dealt directly with Richardson’s circumstances.

But he said that often borrowers think they have an agreement to stop a foreclosure, when they don’t. Assuming York is a bona fide purchaser, a judge will start from the "conclusive presumption" that the foreclosure sale was valid, Wechsler said.

York said the Bank of America case does not apply because Richardson did not pay off the entire arrearage.

"I know that for a fact," he said. "If I knew that she sent the money in on time, I would probably be thinking something different. But I know she didn’t send it."

Additionally, he said he did not see why Washington Mutual would want to sue him to get the loan back.

"When the market was sky high, we would end up in lawsuits because there was equity in all the homes, and there’s actually something to gain," he said. "In this case, there isn’t anything to gain on the backside, except for a congresswoman’s good will."

Without a reinstatement, Richardson will be unable to repay the $40,000 in back taxes on the property.

As it stands, York has assumed responsibility for Richardson’s tax bill.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.226.2121 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaupl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 10:24:02 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Ekble, Allen; Owen, Jen L.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.
CC: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.
Subject: Update: Congresswoman obtains spokesperson

All,
FYI - it appears that Congresswoman Richardson has now engaged a spokesperson -- William Marshall. Among other things, he states that Ms. Richardson is awaiting clarification from WaMu as to whether she had secured new loan terms in time, but that she is still in fact the owner of her Sacramento home (though public records state otherwise).

I’ll continue to let you know of any other new developments as reported by the media

- Sara

Rep. Richardson defaulted on three Calif. home loans – The Hill
By Jared Allen
05/27/08

The tale of Rep. Laura Richardson’s (D) personal housing crisis got even more captivating Tuesday as her office said the freshman lawmaker defaulted on loans she took out for not just one, but three, California homes.

The news of one of Richardson’s properties recently being sold at auction captured widespread attention last week in the wake of the nation’s housing crisis. But that was only part of the story.

Richardson’s office said Tuesday she has caught up on her payments and renegotiated the terms of loans she took out to purchase homes in San Pedro and Long Beach, Calif. Her office confirmed that the lawmaker defaulted on both of those homes and was facing foreclosure when she went months without making payments.

A third home that Richardson borrowed heavily to move into in Sacramento was sold at auction earlier this month — at a $150,000 loss to the bank that issued her the $535,000 loan.

William Marshall, a spokesman for Richardson, said on Tuesday that she was still awaiting clarification from her lender, Washington Mutual, as to whether she had secured new loan terms in time and was still, in fact, the owner of the home.

Richardson told The Associated Press on Friday that she was surprised to learn that the home was sold at the May 7 auction. She claimed that the sale never should have happened because she had renegotiated her loan to pay it off.

Even as that was happening, ethics watchdogs were crying foul over Richardson’s personal finances and questioning how she was able to lend her campaign to Congress $77,500 in the midst of multiple home loan defaults.

Federal Election Commission (FEC) reports show that Richardson loaned her campaign a total of $77,500 — in three installments — between June and July of 2007.

Richardson’s year-end FEC filing showed that her campaign still had $331,000 worth of debt but $116,000 cash-on-hand.

Marshall was unable to immediately answer questions about whether Richardson borrowed against any of her home equity — from homes she defaulted on — to finance her House campaign.

But he did not dispute reports that Richardson had done the very same thing the year prior when she borrowed $100,000 against her Long Beach home — whose mortgage she later defaulted on — to loan to her campaign for the California General Assembly. She repaid that personal loan in its entirety, according to Los Angeles media outlets.

Melanie Sloan, executive director of the Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, criticized Richardson for falling deeper into debt while choosing to spend more than $77,500 of her own money on her campaign. She suggested that Richardson’s actions only demonstrated her belief that winning a seat in Congress is more important than practicing personal fiscal responsibility.

Sloan added Richardson should not be in the situation she is while making a congressional salary when homeowners
around the country making $50,000 or less are struggling to pay their debts.

"Truthfully, it's appalling," Sloan said.

Meredith McGehee, policy director for the Campaign Legal Center, said it would be reasonable for the FEC to look into the timing of the loan against the timeline of Richardson's home loan defaults.

"In situations like this it's very important for whoever loaned her the money to demonstrate that they treated her equitably, not favorably," McGehee said. "Otherwise, you're getting into a situation of a corporate underwriting of a campaign."

Richardson's FEC reports do not spell out the terms of her personal loans to her campaign.

Richardson originally came under scrutiny last week when it was learned that a home she owned in Sacramento was sold at auction after it went into foreclosure.

As well as her $535,000 home in Sacramento, a home that Richardson owned in San Pedro — which she borrowed $339,000 to obtain — went into default in September 2007 when she became more than $12,000 behind in her payments.

In addition, Richardson's primary residence in Long Beach went into default just two months ago after she failed to make a payment for four months and owed nearly $20,000 on the property.

Marshall declined to give details of the new loans that he said Richardson was able to secure.

Richardson last week told reporters in California that her experience makes her particularly well-suited to help Congress legislate a solution to the nation's housing crisis, saying she hoped to testify before congressional committees on the issue.

And when Congress returns following the Memorial Day recess, reconciling the House and Senate versions of the legislation designed to help the housing market rebound will be on the top of the agenda.

Richardson did not vote on the version of the Foreclosure Prevention Act that passed the House, but said she missed the vote only because she was attending her father's funeral.

Richardson was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won a California General Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she purchased the home in Sacramento. She won the congressional seat the following year in the special election to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald (D).

Sara Gauji
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC 40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.300.2822 direct | 206.224.2747 mobile
sara.gauji@wamunet.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2008 1:29 AM
To: Schneider, David C. <david.schneider@wamu.net>; Berens, John <john.berens@wamu.net>; Champney, Steven D. <steven.champney@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Confidential: Richardson Update

Best,
Sara

**REDACTED**

Congresswoman Richardson had defaulted on 3 homes, records show
By Gene Madia, Staff Writer
05/23/2008

Rep. Laura Richardson, who lost her Sacramento home in a recent foreclosure auction, has also defaulted on two other properties in Long Beach and San Pedro, records show.

Richardson, of Long Beach, was able to bring her payments up to date on the Long Beach home relatively quickly, but the San Pedro property lingered in the foreclosure process for almost eight months, and still has a pending auction date.

In her first interview since the news broke Tuesday that her Sacramento home had been foreclosed, Richardson blamed the foreclosure on a miscommunication by her lender. She did not apologize for failing to make payments on three separate homes and expressed no regret for failing to pay nearly $9,000 in property taxes.

In her only admission of fault, she said she could have acted more quickly to correct the situation.

"I should have moved forward in an earlier fashion," she said. "I acknowledge that I intend never to conduct business in that fashion again."

Aked how she planned to reimburse the state for her unpaid property taxes, Richardson said. "I have financial obligations, and I will fulfill those financial obligations. There will be no debts to the state of California."

In an hour-and-a-half interview in the offices of the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson declined to answer numerous detailed questions about her finances. For example, she refused to discuss the mortgage terms on the Sacramento house, refused to say how many payments she had made, and refused to say when she learned that the mortgage was in default.

A notice of default was issued in December, but Richardson offered no evidence that she had taken any remedial action before April. By then, the auction had already been scheduled for one month.

The home, which Richardson bought in January 2000 for $595,000, sold at auction on May 7 to a real estate investor for $398,000. The lender, Washington Mutual Bank, took a loss of nearly $200,000 on the deal, and the buyer, James York, agreed to pay her property tax...
bill.

Richardson said that she was not aware the home had sold until she was contacted by reporters this week. She produced correspondence from Washington Mutual Home Loans, dated April 17, which indicated that her loan was reinstated and the auction would be put on hold until June 4.

She produced an e-mail, dated Thursday, indicating that she was trying to work with the lender to have the foreclosure rescinded.

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual Bank, Sara Gaugl, declined to comment on the matter.

"We have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation," Gaugl said.

County records indicate that the San Pedro home went into default in September 2007, at which point Richardson was behind on her payments by $12,410.71, and had made no payments since June.

A notice of trustee sale was issued on April 17, and an auction was scheduled for May 14 on the courthouse steps in Norwalk. The outstanding loan balance was $267,426, on an original 2005 loan of $358,000.

However, the auction was put on hold.

Richardson produced records from Wells Fargo Bank, which holds the note on her San Pedro home. That document, dated March 21, indicated that Richardson had qualified for a loan modification which would prevent the foreclosure from going forward.

Cal Western Reconveyance Corp., which was responsible for collecting the debt, confirmed that a hold had been placed on the auction, and the auction date had been postponed to July 14, pending a workout of the loan.

Again, Richardson produced no document to confirm that she took any remedial action on the San Pedro property before March.

The Long Beach home, which is Richardson's primary address, went into default on March 25.

Richardson had not made a payment on the house since November, and owed $19,921.74 on the property. Three days later, the default was rescinded, indicating that Richardson had arranged to make the payments.

While Richardson did not apologize for her actions, she did attempt to explain them.

In 2006, Richardson was a Long Beach councilwoman and a staffer for Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante. She was elected to the Assembly in 2006 and then to Congress in 2007, to fill a seat vacated by the death of Rep. Jovita Miliender McDonald.

Richardson loaned her Assembly campaign $100,000 in the summer of 2006, borrowing against the equity in her Long Beach home. After her election, she raised enough money to pay herself back, but immediately had to plow $77,500 in loans to her congressional campaign.

"I am not financially wealthy," she said. "I am not a millionaire. Based upon what I was going through, changing four jobs in less than one year, I think any American would understand what that does in terms of a person's financial stability."

As a member of Congress, Richardson makes $169,300 a year. As a member of the Assembly, she made about $116,000, plus a per diem for living expenses in Sacramento.

When it was pointed out that the average American makes far less than that, Richardson responded, "The average American is not responsible for maintaining several households."

JPMC - 002661
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004154
Richardson said she did not make an effort to sell the Sacramento home, even after she was elected to Congress, and still hoped to rent it out.

Richardson attempted to link her situation to the plight of others facing foreclosure, and said the experience would help make her a better advocate on foreclosure issues.

"I think this is what many Americans are unfortunately facing right now," she said. "I am concerned that I can take what I have learned from this to help somebody else. Many people are one step away from issues that are life-changing moments. When a person moves across the country, that is a life changing moment."

Richardson noted that unlike the state Legislature, the U.S. Congress does not provide for living expenses.

"On the federal level, there is no per diem," she said. "They don't pay for you to move."

Richardson is renting an apartment in the Washington, D.C., area. She declined to disclose or discuss her credit score.

She has begun to pay down her congressional campaign debt, and repaid herself $18,000 of the $77,500 in personal loans. She still owes $220,000 to her campaign consultant - which is unusual for a successful campaign - and about $330,000 overall.

Richardson said she would advise anyone in her circumstance "to seek assistance immediately" and to maintain contact with their lenders.

She said she ultimately hopes to testify about her situation in front of the Senate, and will write to the President to urge him to sign a package of foreclosure legislation.

"We need to put a better process in place, so a person's home is not being sold up underneath them," she said. "We have to improve the way we respond to this crisis."

---

From: ougui, Sara C.
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 6:28 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.
Cc: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Ellas, Allen; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Confidential: Richardson Update

David, John and Steve:

So you are aware, earlier this evening Congresswoman Richardson talked with a number of media outlets including the Associated Press. As outlined in the AP article embedded below, she's claiming that the foreclosure auction of her Sacramento home was "improper" and contrary to a written agreement she had with WAMU. She also said that we've taken initial steps to rescind the foreclosure action given that she had an "agreement."

Legal, EA PA., Corp. Comm., Servicing and GIR will stay closely aligned as this situation continues to evolve. After discussing this afternoon, we unfortunately are still limited on what we can disclose about Ms. Richardson's loan situation (outside of public record) given that she's won't provide her consent. We have and will continue to emphasize that fact to the media, and will point them back to the public record, which indicates a history of default.

We'll continue to update you on new developments, however in the interim, please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Sara

**********
*Please note that this article is in draft form - the reporter provided it to me in advance of publication.

AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says home sale 'improper'
By EPICA WERNER Associated Press Writer

WASHINGTON (AP) - Rep. Laura Richardson claimed Friday that her Sacramento home was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with her lender.

She said that she is like any other American suffering in the mortgage crisis and wants to testify to Congress about her experience as lawmakers draft a foreclosure-prevention bill.

In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday night, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage, allowing the house to be sold at auction.

Richardson, elected in a special election last August, acknowledged turmoil in her life in the months after an incumbent's death in April opened up the Los Angeles-area House seat.

She used her money to finance her campaign and fell behind in mortgage payments. But Richardson said that makes her like other Americans who have to deal with a sudden death or birth that throws a wrench into their finances.

Now, Richardson said, she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off and promised to fully comply with all its terms. She also said she will pay nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

She insisted she's not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.

'I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months,' Richardson told the AP. 'I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had.'

Richardson was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won a California state Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in the special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

The problem is that the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $335,500 in January 2007 was sold at auction earlier this month to a Sacramento mortgage lender, who paid $386,000. The sale was officially recorded on Monday, according to documents on file with the Sacramento County Recorder's Office.

A default notice sent to Richardson in March put her unpaid balance at $378,580.

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives Clerk.

Although others struggling with mortgages make far less, Richardson said it was "very misleading" to compare her earnings to the national median household income of around $50,000. The reason: lawmakers are required to maintain two residencies while other people don't have to, she said.

Others also don't have to depend on winning an election to ensure their livelihood, she said.

Richardson provided AP with an April letter that appears to be from Washington Mutual Home Loans telling her there was a hold on foreclosure sales on her property until June 4 of this year.

She said she got another letter asking for payments May 2 and paid them, but did not know
the sale was going to happen five days later.

Richardson also provided an e-mail dated Thursday she said was from Washington Mutual that appeared to acknowledge an agreement "to facilitate the recission of foreclosure sale."

She did not provide documentation of the structure of her new loan.

A Washington Mutual spokeswoman, Sara Gaugl, told AP earlier in the day that the company had "not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to rescind her loan situation."

Washington Mutual did not respond to a later inquiry seeking comment on Richardson's claims.

Meanwhile, the current owner of the property told AP that his ownership of the house is not in doubt.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento, declined to discuss any possible negotiations that might be ongoing.

"I've taken possession on the home," York said. "I've been working on it, fixing it up. It had been vacant. It was in clean and in better repair than most foreclosures."

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure.

Richardson was absent earlier this month for votes on the Foreclosure Prevention Act, which she said was because of her father's funeral, but she could have another opportunity to vote on the foreclosure package as the House is expected to bring it back up in June once agreement is reached in the Senate.

In most cases, congressional ethics rules don't prevent lawmakers from voting on legislation that might affect or help them economically. Such votes are essentially impossible to avoid.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lendees have the tools with proper timing to resolve these," she said.

Melanie Sloan, executive director of the Washington-based Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics, criticized Richardson for falling deeper into debt while choosing to spend more than $75,000 of her own money or her campaign — suggesting that it's more important to win a seat in Congress than to be fiscally responsible, a point Richardson disputed.

Sloan also said Richardson should not be in the situation she is while making a congressional salary, when homeowners around the country making $50,000 or less are struggling to pay their debts.

"Truthfully, it's appalling," Sloan said.

Sara Gaugl
Washington Mutual Public Relations
1301 Second Avenue 1 WRC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.228.5512 cell
sara.gaugler@wmuu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 11:48 PM
To: Schneider, David C. <david.schneider@wamu.net>; Berens, John <john.berens@wamu.net>; Champney, Steven D. <steven.champney@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Confidential: Richardson Update

David, John and Steve,

So you are aware, earlier this evening Congresswoman Richardson talked with a number of media outlets including the Associated Press. As outlined in the AP article embedded below, she’s claiming that the foreclosure auction of her Sacramento home was “improper” and contrary to a written agreement she had with WaMu. She also said that we’ve taken initial steps to rescind the foreclosure auction given that she had an “agreement.”

Legal, PR, Corp Comm, Surviving and G&I will stay closely aligned as this situation continues to evolve. After discussing this afternoon, we unfortunately are still limited on what we can disclose about Ms. Richardson’s loan situation (outside of public record) given that she won’t provide her consent. We have and will continue to emphasize that fact to the media, and will point them back to the public record, which indicates a history of defaults.

We’ll continue to update you on new developments, however in the interim, please let us know if you have any questions.

Best,
Sara

********

*Please note that this article is in draft form - the reporter provided it to me in advance of publication.

**AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says home sale ‘improper’**

By ERICA WERNER Associated Press Writer

WASHINGTON (AP) — Rep. Laura Richardson claimed Friday that her Sacramento home was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with her lender.

She said that she is like any other American suffering in the mortgage crisis and wants to testify to Congress about her experience as lawmakers craft a foreclosure-prevention bill.

In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday night, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage, allowing the house to be sold at auction.

Richardson, elected in a special election last August, acknowledged turmoil in her life in the months after an incumbent’s death in April opened up the Los Angeles-area House seat.

She used her money to finance her campaign and fell behind in mortgage payments. But Richardson said that makes her like other Americans who have to deal with a sudden death or birth that throws a wrench into their finances.

Now, Richardson said, she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off and promised to fully comply with all its terms. She also said she will pay nearly $1,000 in delinquent property taxes.

She insisted she’s not getting special terms because she’s a congresswoman.

“I’m Laura Richardson. I’m an American, I’m a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months,” Richardson told the AP. “I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had.”

Richardson was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won a California state Assembly seat in November 2006. She retired the three-bedroom, 1½-bath Sacramento house. She won the congressional seat the next year in the special election to replace the late Junior Millender-McDonald.
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The problem is that the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $535,500 in January 2007 was sold at auction earlier this month to a Sacramento mortgage lender, who paid $388,000. The sale was officially recorded on Monday, according to documents on file with the Sacramento County Recorder’s Office.

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 a year as a member of Congress and was paid $133,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

Although others struggling with mortgages make far less, Richardson said it was “very misleading” to compare her earnings to the national median household income of around $50,000. The reason: Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don’t have to, she said.

Others also don’t have to depend on winning an election to ensure their livelihood, she said.

Richardson provided AP with an April letter that appears to be from Washington Mutual Home Loans telling her there was a hold on foreclosure sales on her property until June 4 of this year.

She said she got another letter asking for payment May 2 and paid them, but did not know the sale was going to happen five days later.

Richardson also provided an e-mail dated Thursday she said was from Washington Mutual that appeared to acknowledge an agreement “to facilitate the recission of foreclosure sale.”

She did not provide documentation of the structure of her new loan.

A Washington Mutual spokeswoman, Sara Gugli, told AP earlier in the day that the company had “not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation.”

Washington Mutual did not respond to a later inquiry seeking comment on Richardson’s claims.

Meanwhile, the current owner of the property told AP that his ownership of the house is not in doubt.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento, declined to discuss any possible negotiations that might be ongoing.

“I’ve taken possession on the house,” York said. “I’ve been working on it, fixing it up. It had been vacant. It was in cleaner and in better repair than most foreclosures.”

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento’s Curtis Park, a desirable, upper-middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure.

Richardson was absent earlier this month for votes on the Foreclosure Prevention Act, which she said was because of her father’s funeral. But she could have another opportunity to vote on the foreclosure package as the House is expected to bring it back up in June once agreement is reached in the Senate.

In most cases, congressional ethics rules don’t prevent lawmakers from voting on legislation that might affect or help them economically. Such votes are essentially impossible to avoid.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don’t experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

“We have to ensure that lenders and lesees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this,” she said.

Melanie Sloan, executive director of the Washington-based Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics, criticized Richardson for falling deeper into debt while choosing to spend more than $75,000 of her own money on her campaign, suggesting that it’s more important to win a seat in Congress than to be fiscally responsible, a point Richardson disputed.

Sloan also said Richardson should not be in the situation she is while making a congressional salary, when homeowners around the country making $50,000 or less are struggling to pay their debts.
“Truthfully, it’s appalling,” Sota said.

Sara Googli
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.224-5211 cell
sara.googli@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
David,

As a follow-up to Paul's message, Capitol Weekly broke this story today (full-text article embedded below for your reference). This "news" has since been reported by a LA Times blogger, and we know that the AP and Washington Post have picked up on the story as well. Additional coverage is expected tomorrow.

-Sara

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,630-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $60,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $80,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $335,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 10, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — $40,000
more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $247,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mack, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she headed overseas in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $75 billion in government funds to help an estimated 50,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial tack. Records show that Richardson spent $527,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $20,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.

Sara Gauci
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.300.2587 cell | 206.224.9889 work
sara.gauci@wamu.net
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This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:16 PM
To: Schneider, David C.
Cc: Severson, John; Champney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

David,

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784
NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 7:38 PM
To: Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>; Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Cc: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: Email from Richardson

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WPN240 | Seattle WA 98101
705.600.7877 direct | 705.787.7878 fax
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:27 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: WaMu Statement

Ann,
Could you forward this letter to Sara? I am not sure I have the correct spelling of her name or her email address.

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave.
Seattle, Washington 98101

Dear Sara Gaugl:

Over the last several weeks, I have read the following quotes from you in various newspapers:

"I'm unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

And:

"More broadly, if the loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation."

JPMC - 002673
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It is my understanding that a statement has been released on behalf of Washington Mutual. Despite efforts by those assisting me in this process, no one on my staff has received a copy of the release.

Could you please e-mail a copy of the release regarding the property at 3622 Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA, to my e-mail address: lauraredman189@msn.com at your earliest convenience.

Further, I did sign a consent form to release the document from WaMu that acknowledged terms and that no further action would occur prior to June 6th. I hereby consent again the release of that document and WaMu explanations as to why a repossession is being sought and allowable in this case. Please forward a copy of that explanation to me as well at lauraredman189@msn.com.

Sincerely,

Laura Richardson

Cc: Ann Thorn
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-739-[REDACTED] Participant passcode [REDACTED]
Start: 6/19/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/19/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Stevens, Lawrence W.; Klein, Jason C.; Parker, Gary L.
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-739-[REDACTED] Participant passcode [REDACTED]

When: Monday, June 16, 2008 12:30 PM (GMT -07:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada), Tijuana.
Where: Dial in at 1-866-739-[REDACTED] Participant passcode [REDACTED]

Seattle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-800-734-2601

Start: 6/16/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/16/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Berens, John; Chapmney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Stevens, Lawrence W.; Klein, Jason C.; Parker, Gary L.

Resources: Dial in at 1-800-734-2601

When: Monday, June 16, 2008, 12:30 PM (GMT -8:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: Dial in at 1-800-734-2601

*REDACTED*

Seattle Participants meet in small conference room on 34.
2. Mortgage Hardball: Day 2

By Frank Shinker

Published: August 23, 2006

UPDATE ON MORTGAGE HARDBALL

Ken and Scott *JL* are watching the deck 10 door. As I dropped by yesterday, they have us offer on that home for an appraiser, which will allow them to pay off their front mortgage to Washington Mutual and a second mortgage to Wells Fargo, but the buyers do not have enough to cover $150,000 in closing costs, and they say others won’t help. Thus, they say Wamu has invested in the home. What a mess.

Your notables to close today. I don’t know what, though, if the 20k won’t come up with the $150,000, then the bank won’t agree to roll those costs.

Both lenders directed by Scott and Ken for navigation coming out of it.

Wells Fargo, as usual, in a mess, has the most to lose if the sale falls through. Ken predicts it will fall, after first saying no deal is more likely to happen. A sure to more likely be “No sale,” says Ken, though nothing is confirmed.

The mess, it seems, that if the buyer loan isn’t funded by the closing of this house today, the buyers will now have to wait and probably lose.

The offer is only the house $117,135. Together with the loan fee, the home goes to owners, $90 off the nice deal and probably entirely will take less that 50k. Wamu will just receive 70k, which falls way under $275,000 the FHA put down on the home “Deals,” Wynn says.

Stay tuned.

3. Richardson secures $400 property bill

By Frank Shinker

Published: August 23, 2006

Richardson secures $400 property bill

At the November council meeting on November 4, Richardson’s public relations officer, Kim Atwood, introduced a bill to the city council that would allow the city to charge a fee for the use of city-owned property.

The city council must decide whether or not to allow the city to charge fees for the use of city-owned property. If approved, the bill would allow the city to charge a flat fee of $400 for the use of city-owned property.

The council is expected to vote on the bill during its next meeting. If approved, the city would have the authority to charge fees for the use of city-owned property.

The city council is scheduled to meet on December 8 to discuss the bill. The council will also consider other issues, such as the budget and capital improvement plans.

Stay tuned.
Robertson bought the home in January 2000 after being elected to the Assembly. But after she won a seat in Congress in a few months, analysts noticed that the property was left vacant and had been poorly maintained.

Law enforcement officers were called to the home on July 22 because its garage door was open, suggesting a possible break-in. The police called code enforcement officials, who brought in a contractor to board up the windows.

Dale Robertson’s office also found that trash had piled up in the yard, which might attract rodents, as well as junk and trash in the yard.

In early November, the mechanics went out to the site. But a week or two later, the family of the next-door neighbor, who also lived in the property, said she had noticed the property was being cleaned up.

The buyer paid the buyer’s fees for an attorney to look into the property on July 22. But Robertson said there was no evidence available to the buyer that the property was for sale on the open market. There was no record of the buyer’s company, she said, and there was no record of the buyer’s sale of the property.

Robertson would not sell the property to the buyer, she said, but there were arguments about the sale of the property. Robertson and the buyer’s company had an agreement that the property was for sale on the open market. But Robertson said she would not sell the property to the buyer.

In a recent statement, Washington Metropolitan Redevelopment said that in addition to the sale of the property, Robertson would be able to buy the property on the open market. But Robertson said there was no record of the buyer or the buyer’s company. Robertson said she would not sell the property to the buyer.

Robertson said that in addition to the sale of the property, Robertson would be able to buy the property on the open market. But Robertson said there was no record of the buyer or the buyer’s company. Robertson said she would not sell the property to the buyer. Robertson said that in addition to the sale of the property, Robertson would be able to buy the property on the open market. But Robertson said there was no record of the buyer or the buyer’s company. Robertson said she would not sell the property to the buyer.
If you have any issues with your loan, do all you can to make all the minimum payment and go to a disciplined, aggressive, accelerated plan. If you get out of negative territory and start paying down the principal, you might even have some options when you want to refinance.

*** Please do not distribute Breaking News content. License restrictions limit the dissemination of this copyrighted material. Thank you. ***
From: Gaupl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 6:50:53 PM
To: Bohmeister, David C.; Berens, John
CC: Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Here is the latest article from The Daily Breeze, which is an extension of the story that appeared earlier this morning.

- Sara

Richardson escapes $400 property bill - The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus
August 21, 2008
In a statement, Rep. Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Richardson bought the home in January 2007 upon being elected to the Assembly. But after she won a seat in Congress a few months later, neighbors noticed that property was left vacant and had been poorly maintained.

Sacramento police were called to the home on July 21 because the garage door was open, suggesting a possible break-in. The police called code enforcement officials, who brought in a contractor to board up the door.

Code enforcement officers also found rotting food on the ground, which might attract rodents, as well as junk and debris in the yard.

In such cases, the homeowner gets a bill from the city. Ron O'Connor, the code enforcement operations manager, said the city would also charge $250 for night service, plus the cost of the contractor, bringing the total to perhaps $400.

Apparently relying on county property records, the city sent a notice of a "public nuisance" to Red Rock Mortgage, the real estate firm that bought the property at auction.

Richardson did not learn about the notice until last week.

James York, the owner of Red Rock Mortgage, sued Richardson and Washington Mutual after the auction was rescinded, arguing that he was the rightful owner of the property. As part of the suit, York filed a notice of pending litigation on the property, which clouded Richardson's title.

York later settled the lawsuit for an undisclosed sum. On July 11, 10 days before the apparent break-in, York's attorney signed notices to withdraw the lawsuit and the notice of litigation from the property, thereby relinquishing York's claim on it.

O'Connor said it was unclear from information available to him who owned the property on July 21.

But Richardson had the title to the property once the sale was rescinded on June 2. Were it otherwise, she would have had to sue York to get the house back - not vice versa.
In order to resolve the ownership of the property, O'Connor said he would have to spend $100 for a title search, which would take three weeks.

"It's not worth it," he said. "I'm just going to get rid of it."

O'Connor said that Richardson would still be sent a notice to comply with the code violations, but would not be required to pay for the hold-up.

In another development, Washington Mutual paid Richardson's outstanding property tax bill of $9,000 on July 31. No public records explain why the bank would do that, though it is possible that the tax bill was added to Richardson's loan balance in a refinancing.

---

From: Gaul, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 11:44 AM
To: Schneider, David L.; Berens, John
Cc: Cook, Don; Eady, Alan
Subject: Recent Coverage re: Richardson Property

********

Rep. won't be billed for house problem – The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Rep. Richardson’s Sacramento home declared 'public nuisance' – Los Angeles Times
Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn’t fix it up.
Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thorson, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2000. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of those descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $386,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 19 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of redistription of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice -- known as a vacant building ordinance violation -- was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."
The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York’s company.

O’Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O’Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

“I can’t make myself go by there. It hurts too much,” said Sharon Helmar, who said the house to Richardson after living there for 33 years. “We took good care of it, and it’s a lovely house.”

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.350.2822 direct | 206.228.1564 cell | sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 2:44:17 PM
To: Behnester, David C.; Berens, John
CC: Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
Subject: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

REDACTED

- Sara

........

Rep. won't be billed for house problem – The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Rep. Richardson's Sacramento home declared 'public nuisance' – Los Angeles Times
Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomesen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.
Ron O’Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson’s office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2000. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $6,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of those descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $386,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 18 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of recision of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice — known as a vacant building ordinance violation — was posted on Richardson’s house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York’s company.

O’Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner. Return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O’Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.
Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go by there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the house to Richardson after living there for 30 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2022 direct I 206.220.9422 cell I sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2009 9:19:39 PM
To: O'Her, Laura; O'Connor, Kathleen G.
CC: Davis, Deborah S.
Subject: congressional ethics letter.pdf - Privileged and Confidential
Attachments: congressional ethics letter.pdf
Mr. James Yerk
York & Associates Real Estate
3600 American River Drive #155
Sacramento, CA 95864

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Dear Mr. Yerk,

This Request for Information is pursuant to a Preliminary Review authorized by the Board of the Office of Congressional Ethics (OCE) on February 27, 2009. You have been identified as a person with information relevant to this Review. The review initiated on April 3, 2009.

In accordance with Rule 7(D) and 7(E) of the Office of Congressional Ethics' Rules for the Conduct of Investigations ("OCE Rules"), a preliminary report must be completed and delivered to the Board within 30 days of the initiation of a Review. That report will be prepared for the Board and it will evaluate the matter based on the information available at the end of that 30 days. Your timely cooperation is appreciated and will assist the Board in reaching an informed and accurate decision.

Please provide the following information:

(1) The names and contact information of all representatives of Washington Mutual and/or California Reconveyance Company that you dealt with regarding your purchase of residential property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818, on May 7, 2008.

(2) An itemized list of all improvements, including the dollar value of all improvements, you, or anyone on your behalf, made to the residential property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818.

(3) A copy of the notice of rescission of sale, dated June 2, 2008, for the residential property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818.
(4) The names and contact information of all representatives of Washington Mutual and/or California Reconveyance Company that you dealt with regarding the rescission of sale of the residential property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818.

(5) All correspondence, including: letters, emails; and notes from telephone conversations or in-person meetings, between you and representatives of Washington Mutual and/or California Reconveyance Company regarding the rescission of sale of the residential property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818.

(6) A copy of the lawsuit you filed with the Sacramento Superior Court on June 12, 2008, regarding the rescission of the sale of the residential property located at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California 95818.

(7) All correspondence, including: letters, emails; and notes from telephone conversations or in-person meetings, between you and representatives of Washington Mutual and/or California Reconveyance Company regarding the lawsuit you filed with the Sacramento Superior Court on June 12, 2008.

(8) A copy of the terms of settlement of the lawsuit.

OCE may make additional information requests, as warranted by the facts and circumstances of this Review. In addition, we will review any additional information you feel is relevant that we have not requested.

Please note that under House Resolution 895 of the 110th Congress, as amended by House Resolution 5 of the 111th Congress, and OCE Rule 7, the Board may draw a negative inference from any refusal to cooperate and may include a statement to that effect in any referral to the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct.

If you have any questions regarding this request or require any assistance in the production of the information requested, please do not hesitate to contact Elizabeth Horton, Investigative Counsel, at (202) 225-9739.

Very respectfully,

Leo Wise
Staff Director and Chief Counsel
** ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT **

Please sign the following and return to the OCE by facsimile at (202) 226-0997:

I hereby acknowledge receipt of a Request for Information in Review No. 4126. By so signing, I merely acknowledge receipt of this document.

Member or Designee's Signature:

Member or Designee's Name: ________________________________

Date: ______________________________________

JPMC - 002690
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004183
From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2009 12:27 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: FDIC claim: not litigation

Ann, it is good to hear from you. I hope all is well with you.

[REDACTED]

From: Orenstein, Jane [mailto:jane.orenstein@wamu.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2009 9:17 AM
To: Pruitt, James
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: RE: FDIC claim: not litigation

You can direct her to me – I will have the claims agent send her a claims notice if she hasn’t already received one.

Jane

Jane A. Orenstein
Counsel, FDIC Legal Division
200 300 5804 (at Wamu Center - no voicemail)
281 917 [mobile w/voicemail]

[REDACTED]

The email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipients and may contain information that is confidential, proprietary, legally privileged, or otherwise protected by law from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, copying, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, you should notify the sender immediately by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Pruitt, James [mailto:James.Pruitt@wamu.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2009 9:14 AM
To: Orenstein, Jane
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: FDIC claim: not litigation

A borrower seeking damages for pre-receivership actions of Wamu has not filed suit but is pushing to recover her losses. Who should I direct her to? Thanks.

James E. Pruitt III
Assistant General Counsel
Legal Department
JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA

1201 Second Avenue, WMC3001

Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.4246 office

206.377.3025 fax

james.prohm@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2009 12:11 PM
To: Pruitt, James <james.pruitt@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Loan Modification Docs for Laura Richardson

Hi Don, hope all is going well with you. It has been a while since I have talked with you.

Thanks

Ann Thorn
Foreclosure Manager
office: 904-462-2150
cell: 414-418-7-3-3

For Internal Use Only

From: Daysha McArthur
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 6:50 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Loan Modification Docs for Laura Richardson

Good afternoon Ann,

Laura spoke with Autumn Born in Loss Mitigation briefly last week. During their conversation Autumn said she was not aware of the damage to the home or that the photos were sent. Were you able to get the package we sent to Autumn?

Also, can you fax or email me the current loan modification docs you have for her home? The loan number is [REDACTED].

Thank you for your assistance.
Hi Don, hope all is going well with you. It has been a while since I have talked with you.

Thanks

Ann Thorn
Foreclosure Manager
office: 904-462-2150
cell: 414-418-

For Internal Use Only

Good afternoon Ann,

Laura spoke with Autumn Born in Loss Mitigation briefly last week. During their conversation Autumn said she was not aware of the damage to the home or that the photos were sent. Were you able to get the package we sent to Autumn?

Also, can you fax or email me the current loan modification docs for her home? The loan number is

Thank you for your assistance.
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 4:03 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>, Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 12:53 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J; Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

[REDACTED]

-Sara

-----Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 11:24 AM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Media inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

[REDACTED]
---Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 9:35 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

REWANCED

- Sara

---Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, August 20, 2008 6:56 AM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

REWANCED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-300-1261
Fax: 206-317-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Thank You.

---Original Message-----
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 7:20 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

REWANCED

---Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 5:07 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann
Cc: Elias, Alan
Subject: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

Hi, Paul,

[REDACTED]

Best,

Sara

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
-----Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Thorn, Amy; Guagli, Sara C.
Subject: Fw: Laura Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----
From: Beth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 9:58 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn,
Kenneth C. Noegeman
& C. Colin Cusano
McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 598
Direct Fax: 619

In Compliance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e)(1): THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

The electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 555-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

-----Original Message-----
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 9:42 AM
To: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beth Ward
Some city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The Long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the home. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents.

Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

A spokesman for Richardson, William Marshall Jr., says the city sent the notice to the man who previously bought the house from the bank, not Richardson. He says Richardson will maintain the property once "full possession has been returned" to her.

---

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: 206-590-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

----Original Message----
From: Parker, Gary L.
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 11:08 AM
To: Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: F W: 8th dist. Calif congressman's house a public nuisance

Fyi--

Gary Parker
Legal Department
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Ave.
Seattle, WA, 98101
206-500-4355 direct, 206-377-2840 fax
gary.parker@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

JPMC - 002700
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004193
--- Original Message ---
From: Sladd, Charley
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 11:06 AM
To: Gaspard, Scott; Parker, Gary L.
Subject: SF Gate: Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

This may interest you, if you haven't already seen it: Charley

-------------------------------------------------------------
This article was sent to you by someone who found it on SF Gate.
The original article can be found on SF Gate here: http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/nia/2008/08/15/state/nia1040/20991.17111.
-------------------------------------------------------------

Friday, August 15, 2008 (AP)

Calif: congresswoman's house a public nuisance

(SF) 16:26 PDT Sacramento, CA (AP) --
Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The Long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the house in Washington Mutual said it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents.

Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

A spokesman for Richardson, William Marshall Jr., says the city sent the notice to the man who previously bought the house from the bank, not Richardson. He says Richardson will maintain the property once "full possession has been returned" to her.

-------------------------------------------------------------
Copyright 2008 AP
---Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 5:29 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C., Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 5:07 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann
Cc: Elias, Alan
Subject: Media Inquiry Re: Richardson Loan

Hi, Paul,
Best,

Sara

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:28 AM
To: Thorn, Ann; Giauque, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----
From: Roth Ward
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 9:38 AM
To: Martin T. McGuinn, Woodcock, Wench A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn,
Kenneth C. Neoriogian & C. Cohn Costo
Koby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 E Street, Suite 1560
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398

JPMC - 002703
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004196
In Compliance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11). THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

-----Original Message-----
From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 9:43 AM
To: Woodward, Wendy A.; Battingia, Paul J.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beth Ward
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101

In Compliance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11). THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE. This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.

-----Original Message-----
From: Woodward, Wendy A.
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 4:41 AM
To: Battingia, Paul J.; Martin T. McGuinn; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson
Importance: High
Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn’t fix it up.

The Long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the house. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn’t received proper notice.

The city’s code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents.

Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

A spokesman for Richardson, William Marshall Jr., says the city sent the notice to the man who previously bought the house from the bank, not Richardson. He says Richardson will maintain the property once full possession has been returned to her. ---

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1201 3rd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

--- Original Message ---
From: Parker, Gary L.
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 11:08 AM
To: Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: W: St Gate: Calif. congresswoman’s house a public nuisance

Fyi--
Gary Parker
Legal Department
Washington Mutual
1101 Second Ave.
Seattle, WA 98101
206.300.4355 direct, 206.377.2840 fax
gary.parker@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Sted, Charley
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 11:06 AM
To: Gaspard, Scott; Parker, Gary L.
Subject: SFGate: Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

This may interest you, if you haven't already seen it, Charley

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/n/a/2008/8/15/articlen10/4012091.DTL

Friday, August 15, 2008 (AP)
Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

(08-15) 16:26 PDT Sacramento, CA (AP) --
Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep.
Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The La Jolla Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the house.
Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents.

Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.
A spokesman for Richardson, William Marshall Jr., says the city sent the notice to the man who previously bought the house from the bank, not Richardson. He says Richardson will maintain the property once "full possession has been returned" to her.

Copyright 2008 AP
From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 9:28 PM
To: Parker, Gary L. <gary.parker@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Email from Richardson

-----Original Message-----
From: Parker, Gary L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 6:22 PM
To: Cook, Don
Subject: Re: Email from Richardson

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----  
From: Cook, Don
To: Parker, Gary L.
Subject: FW: Email from Richardson

From: Gagl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:38 PM
To: Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Baptista, Gei; Aro S.
Subject: Email from Richardson
Importance: High
Home Loans Public Relations

WahMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC #101 Seattle WA 08101
206.500.2822 direct 206.228(7777) cell
sara.gaugh@wahmu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:27 PM
To: Gaugh, Sara C.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: WahMu Statement

Ann,

Could you forward this letter to Sara? I am not sure I have the correct spelling of her name or her email address.

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave.
Seattle, Washington 98101

Dear Sara Gaugh,

Over the last several weeks, I have read the following quotes from you in various newspapers:

"I’m unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson’s loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

And:
"More broadly, if the loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation."

It is my understanding that a statement has been released on behalf of Washington Mutual. Despite efforts by those assisting me in this process, no one on my staff has received a copy of the release.

Could you please e-mail a copy of the release regarding the property at 3622 Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA, to my e-mail address: [redacted] at your earliest convenience.

Further, I did sign a consent form to release the document from WaMu that acknowledged terms and that no further action would occur prior to June 6th. Thereby consent again the release of that document and WaMu explanations as to why a repossession is better sought and allowable in this case. Please forward a copy of that explanation to me as well at [redacted].

Sincerely,

Laurn Richardson

Cc: Ann Thorn
From: Lauren Richardson [mailto:laurenrichardson]
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:27 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Walmu Statement

Ann,

Could you forward this letter to Sara? I am not sure I have the correct spelling of her name or her email address.

Washington Mutual

1301 2nd Ave.

Seattle, Washington 98101

Dear Sara Gaugi,

Over the last several weeks, I have read the following quotes from you in various newspapers:

"I am unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

And:

"More broadly, if the loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation."

It is my understanding that a statement has been released on behalf of Washington Mutual. Despite efforts by those assisting me in this process, no one on my staff has received a copy of the release.
Could you please e-mail a copy of the release regarding the property at 3622 Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA, to my e-mail address: harriardson@...  

Further, I did sign a consent form to release the document from WaMC that acknowledged terms and that no further action would occur prior to June 6th. I hereby consent again the release of that document and WaMa explanations as to why a reciprocal is being sought and allowable in this case. Please forward a copy of that explanation to me as well at harriardson@...  

Sincerely,  

Laura Richardson  

Cc: Ann Thorn
From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 8:10 PM
To: Parker, Gary L. <gary.parker@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Email from Richardson

REDACTED

From: Gaul, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:38 PM
To: Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Baptist, Geri Ann S.
Subject: Email from Richardson
Importance: High

REDACTED

Sara Gaulg
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1201 Second Avenue | WPMO | Seattle WA 98101
206.506.2822 direct | 206.327.2011 FAX
sara.gaulg@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:27 PM
To: Gaul, Sara C.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: WaMu Statement

Ann,
Could you forward this letter to Sara? I am not sure I have the correct spelling of her name or her email address.

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave.
Seattle, Washington 98101

Dear Sara Gaulg,

Over the last several weeks, I have read the following quotes from you in various newspapers:
"I'm unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

And:

"More broadly, if the loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation."

It is my understanding that a statement has been released on behalf of Washington Mutual. Despite efforts by those assisting me in this process, no one on my staff has received a copy of the release.

Could you please e-mail a copy of the release regarding the property @ 3822 Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA, to my e-mail address, laurachardson@... at your earliest convenience.

Further, I did sign a consent form to release the document from WaMU that acknowledged terms and that no further action would occur prior to June 6th. I hereby consent again the release of that document and WaMu explanations as to why a recession in lending would not be allowable in this case. Please forward a copy of that explanation to me as well at laurachardson@... at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Laura Richardson

Cc: Ann Thorn
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 7:46 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Notice of Pendency of Action

Looping you two in on this email as well...

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC49 | Seattle WA 98101
206.506.2822 direct | 206.224(cell)
33630
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 4:41 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Bartragle, Paul L.; Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Notice of Pendency of Action

I also got this ........

From: Laura Richardson
Sent: Wed 06/18/2008 06:31 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Notice of Pendency of Action

Ann,

Late yesterday, Red Rock Mortgage, sent a certified letter to my district office in Torrance where my office and unknowingly signed for which included "Notice of Pendency of Action" papers.

Who in your operation/office should I follow-up with? Please advise at your earliest convenience.

Laura Richardson
Sara, will you be forwarding this on to David?

-----Original Message-----
From: Strom, Erik J.
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 9:49 AM
Subject: FW: FYI

FYI. this could have some ramifications for our situation with Rep. Richardson.

Erik Strom

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Watson, Alason
To: Strom, Erik J.
Sent: Mon Jul 16 16:09:40 2008
Subject: FW: FYI

Per my last e-mail...

________________________________________
From: Scott Talbott
Sent: Mon 06/16/2008 9:20 AM
To: solomon[m].Watson, Alason; daniel.marchek
Subject: FYI

“Friends of Angelo” Allegations?

Dear Colleague:

With all of the recent turmoil we have been experiencing in our mortgage market, I am concerned about allegations of preferential treatment afforded to some individuals in Congress regarding their mortgages. Although these reports are still merely allegations, it is disconcerting to think Members of Congress might be knowingly or unknowingly receiving preferential treatment while millions of hardworking Americans struggle to repay their mortgage debts and cope with $4/gallon gasoline and soaring food prices.

While some might disagree regarding what the proper response of the federal government to this mortgage market turmoil should be, I think we all can agree that it would be wrong for Members of Congress to get special treatment on their mortgages simply because they are elected office holders. That’s why next week I will be sending a letter to the Speaker and the appropriate chairman with oversight requesting hearings on this issue. We must ensure that no Member is inappropriately benefiting from their position.

Of course, it is important to note that at this time these are merely allegations of preferential treatment, but that should not absolve us of our responsibility to ensure that Members of Congress do not receive special treatment while average Americans are scrambling and saving to keep their homes.

For more information, please contact Ed Sisk in my office at [Contact Information]

JPIC - 002716
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004209
Sincerely,

JED HENSARLING
Member of Congress

Scott Talbott
Senior Vice President of Government Affairs THE FINANCIAL SERVICES ROUNDTABLE
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: 202 289-1
Cell: 202 295
Fax: 202 654
E-mail: scott.talbott@fsroundtable.org
Website: www.fsroundtable.org <http://www.fsroundtable.org>
Fyi...this could have some ramifications for our situation with Rep. Richardson.

Erik Strom

----- Original Message -----  
From: Watson, Alison  
To: Strom, Erik E.  
Sent: Mon Jun 16 16:09:40 2008  
Subject: FW: FYI  

Per my last e-mail...

From: Scott Talbott  
Sent: Mon 06/16/2008 9:20 AM  
To: mushroom@wamu.net, Alison, daniel, on behalf of [Email redacted]  
Subject: FYI

Friends of Angelo: Allegations?

Dear Colleague:

With all of the recent turmoil we have been experiencing in our mortgage market, I am concerned about allegations of preferential treatment afforded to some individuals in Congress regarding their mortgages. Although these reports are still merely allegations, it is disconcerting to think Members of Congress might be knowingly or unknowingly receiving preferential treatment while millions of hardworking Americans struggle to repay their mortgage debts and cope with $4/gallon gasoline and soaring food prices.

While some might disagree regarding what the proper response of the federal government to this mortgage market turmoil should be, I think we all can agree that it would be wrong for Members of Congress to get special treatment on their mortgages simply because they are elected official holders. That’s why next week I will be sending a letter to the Speaker and the appropriate chairman with oversight requesting hearings on this issue. We must ensure that no Member is inappropriately benefiting from their position.

Of course, it is important to note that at this time these are merely allegations of preferential treatment, but that should not absolve us of our responsibility to ensure that Members of Congress do not receive special treatment while average Americans are scrapping and saving to keep their homes.

For more information, please contact Bob Shako in my office at [Email redacted]

Sincerely,

JEB HENSARLING
Member of Congress

Scott Talbott
Senior Vice President of Government Affairs
From: System Administrator
Sent: Saturday, June 14, 2008 8:40:12 PM
To: Cook, Don
Subject: Undeliverable: Re: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit

Your message did not reach some or all of the intended recipients.

Subject: Re: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit
Sent: 6/14/2008 6:40 PM

The following recipient(s) could not be reached:

'Carey.Brennan@wamu.net' on 6/14/2008 6:40 PM
The format of the e-mail address is incorrect. Check the address, look up the recipient in the Address Book, or contact the recipient directly to find out the correct address.
<exmsea021.us.wamu.net #5,1,3>
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Saturday, June 14, 2008 6:40 PM
To: Potashnick, Barbara A. <barbara.potashnick@wamu.net>
Cc: 'Carey Brennan@wamu.net'
Subject: Re: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Potashnick, Barbara A. 
To: Cook, Don 
Cc: Brennan, Carey
Sent: Sat Jun 14 14:46:13 2008
Subject: FW: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Baptista, Gen Ann S. 
Sent: Saturday, June 14, 2008 2:23 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Strom, Erik E.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Potashnick, Barbara A.
Subject: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit
Sensitivity: Confidential
San Jose Mercury News and AP stories (AP story picked up by some online syndication outlets).
http://www.mercurynews.com/breakingnews/ci_9579869?nclck_check=1
http://www.mercurynews.com/breakingnews/ci_9579869?nclck_check=1>
Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure
By JULIET WILLIAMS Associated Press Writer
Article Launched: 06/13/2008 05:40:18 PM PDT
SACRAMENTO—A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.
York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought the foreclosed home in an upper-middle class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $368,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.
In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.
The Associated Press obtained a copy of his lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June. She bought the house in January 2007 for $635,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could...
vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York’s lawsuit. Richardson’s spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual’s trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Coagl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she went from the city council to the state Assembly to Congress. She said she used her own money to finance her campaigns and fell behind in mortgage and property tax payments.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,600-square-foot home at $578,364.

Richardson’s financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totaling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs and campaign fliers. The congresswoman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento home and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

In the notice of recision filed as an exhibit in York’s lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges it "had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008."

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, restoring the floors, landscaping and general clean-up that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified. Richardson makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress.

She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento’s Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation’s mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it—making it more difficult to sell it if it is eventually returned to him.
"If I had known it was this congresswoman's house, I probably never would have bought it," York said in the telephone interview.

Associated Press Writer Erica Werner in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.

http://ap.google.com/article/AleqM5gjI2vKwhu_mgDQ86mfxohh6oU2gQD919J2OG0
Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure
By JULIET WILLIAMS — 16 hours ago
SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — An investor who bought a congresswoman's foreclosed home filed a lawsuit against the legislator and her bank for rescinding the sale.
James York had purchased the home at auction in May for $388,000 after Rep. Laura Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments. He claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to later back out of the sale.
"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," York said, "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."
His lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court seeks to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs.
Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000. She previously told The Associated Press that it was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to delay action.
The lawsuit was served to the defendants Friday.
Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not seen it and declined to comment. A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gauqi, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Fri 06/13/2008 10:13 AM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Strom, Erik E.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann
Subject: Richardson liability report and comment to senior Democrat
Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure
By Jared Allen
Posted: 06/13/08 11:50 AM [ET]
Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house back in order.
Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.
Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson's official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed. However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.
"Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standard, to
having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law.” Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. “And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well.”

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month. In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson has defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new $446,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $359,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice — in September 2007 and again in January — and owing $367,436 on an original loan of $359,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson’s Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson’s 2007 financial disclosure statement — which she filed in February — she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.

By the end of 2007 — which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period — Richardson had accumulated $575,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $535,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the item, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists, “N/A.”

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the “bank screwed up.”

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson's Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $388,000, is now claiming that Richardson's lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson. York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

"They took the property back, and they didn't even send back the money," York was quoted in the Times. "It's clear what's happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs."

Geri Ann S. Baptista - VP, WeMu Corporate Communications
206.500.2875 direct | 206.612.3366 fax | geriann.baptista@wamu.net
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 2:15 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Strom, Erik E.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook,
Don: Thorn, Ann
Subject: RE: Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults
Sensitivity: Confidential
Thanks for forwarding, Geri Ann.
Team, FYI below.
Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations
WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228 cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Baptistia, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 1:20 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Strom, Erik E.
Subject: Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults
Sensitivity: Confidential

Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults
By Erica Werner The Associated Press
http://www.dailybreeze.com/cl_9564107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."
Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress may be as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had
endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had the opportunity.

Geri Ann S. Baptista, VP
Corporate Communications
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2875 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
REDACTED

Sent on my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: Potashnick, Barbara A.
To: Cook, Don
Cc: Brennan, Carey
Sent: Sat Jun 14 14:46:13 2008
Subject: FW: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit

REDACTED

From: Baptista, Geri Ana S.
Sent: Saturday, June 14, 2008 2:23 PM
To: Gugli, Sara C.; StETER: Erik E.; Owen, Jan L.; Bastaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Thom, Ann; Potashnick, Barbara A.

Subject: Coverage on Richardson Lawsuit

SAN JOSE MERCURY News and AP stories (AP story picked up by some online syndication outlets).


Buyer sees Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS Associated Press Writer

Article Published: 06/13/2008 05:40:18 PM PDT

SACRAMENTO, A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc., and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.

York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought theforeclosed home in an upper-middle-class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $388,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.

In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.
The Associated Press obtained a copy of his lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June.

She bought the house in January 2007 for $555,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York's lawsuit.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual's trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid case."

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gansh, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1,125-square-foot Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Diane Millender-McDonald.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she was on the city council and the Assembly, when she had a nervous breakdown and sought treatment for bipolar disorder.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she was on the city council and the Assembly, when she paid only $1,000 a month in rent to live on the city council and the Assembly, when she paid only $1,000 a month in rent to live on the city council and the Assembly, when she paid only $1,000 a month in rent to live on the city council and the Assembly, when she paid only $1,000 a month in rent to live on the city council and the Assembly, when she paid only $1,000 a month in rent to live on the city council and the Assembly, when she paid only $1,000 a month in rent to live on the city council and the Assembly, when

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,000-square-foot home at $578,584.

Richardson's financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Los Angeles Times has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totalling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs and campaign fliers.

The congressman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento house and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $6,000 in delinquent property taxes.

In the notice of rescission filed as an exhibit in York's lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges that "it had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008."

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, restoring the floors, landscaping and general clean-up that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified.

Richardson makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper-middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which
subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation’s mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it, making it more difficult to sell if it is eventually returned to him.

"If I had known it was this congresswoman’s house, I probably never would have bought it," York said in the telephone interview.

Associated Press Writer Erica Werner in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.

http://ap.google.com/article/A1.eOOFs5jI2tKvWhA_mgDqQ8&mfcxobl6dU2gQD9197tOOG0
<http://ap.google.com/article/A1.eOOFs5jI2tKvWhA_mgDqQ8&mfcxobl6dU2gQD9197tOOG0>

Beyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS □ 18 hours ago

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — An investor who bought a congresswoman’s foreclosed home filed a lawsuit against the legislator and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York had purchased the home at auction in May for $388,000 after Rep. Laura Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments. He claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to later back out of the sale.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," York said. "It’s not a difficult case. It’s a valid sale."

His lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court seeks to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000. She previously told The Associated Press that it was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to delay action.

The lawsuit was served to the defendants Friday.

Richardson’s spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not seen it and declined to comment. A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gualt, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Fri 06/13/2008 10:13 AM
To: Gualt, Sara C.; Strom, Erik E.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Thom, Ann
Subject: Richardson bankruptcy report and comment to senior Democrat


Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure

By Jared Allen


Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure
Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house in order.

Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.

Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson’s official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed.

However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.

“Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards, by having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law,” Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. “And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress may be as well.”

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month.

In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson had defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new $440,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $359,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice in September 2007 and again in January 2011 and owing $367,456 on an original loan of $350,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson’s Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson’s 2007 financial disclosure statement, which she filed in February, she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.

By the end of 2007, which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period, Richardson had accumulated $755,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $335,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the items, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists “$0.”

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the L bank screwed up.

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson’s Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $388,000, is now claiming that Richardson’s lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson.

York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

“They took the property back, and they didn’t even send back the money,” York was quoted in the Times. “It’s clear what’s happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs.”
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Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults

By Erica Werner The Associated Press


WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Janeia Milender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.
"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress may be are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson earlier won her Democratic primary in June and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchase of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass said she had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to the state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had the opportunity.
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Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By: JULIET WILLIAMS Associated Press Writer
Article Published: 06/13/2008 05:40:18 PM PDT

SACRAMENTO - A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.

York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought the foreclosed home in an upper-middle class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $338,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.

In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.

The Associated Press obtained a copy of his lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June.

She bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York's lawsuit.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual's trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."
A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she went from the city council to the state Assembly to Congress. She said she used her own money to finance her campaigns and fell behind in mortgage and property taxes payments.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,600-square-foot home at $578,284.

Richardson’s financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totaling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs and campaign there.

The congresswoman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento home and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

In the notice of rescission filed as an exhibit in York’s lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges it "had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008."

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, restoring the floors, landscaping and general clean-up that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified.

Richardson makes nearly $170,000 a year as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento’s Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation’s mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it—making it more difficult to sell if it is eventually returned to him.

“If I had known it was this congresswoman’s house, I probably never would have bought it,” York said in the telephone interview.

Associated Press Writer Erica Warner in Washington, D.C., contributed to this report.
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Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS – 18 hours ago
SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — An investor who bought a congresswoman's foreclosed home filed a lawsuit against the legislator and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York had purchased the home at auction in May for $388,000 after Rep. Laura Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments. He claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to later back out of the sale.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," York said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

His lawsuit in Sacramento County Superior Court seeks to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, bought the house in January 2007 for $536,000. She previously told The Associated Press that it was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to delay action.

The lawsuit was served to the defendants Friday.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not seen it and declined to comment. A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gaugl, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

From: Boppito, Cori Ann S.
Sent: Fri 06/13/2008 10:13 AM
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Richardson mum in the face of mounting pressure

By Jared Allen
Posted: 06/13/08 11:50 AM [ET]

Embattled Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) remained mum on Friday, following more news about her personal housing crisis and a warning from House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) to put her fiscal house back in order.

Richardson, who has dodged repeated calls for information regarding her multiple defaults on three home mortgages and her financial disclosure reports, failed to report a heavily indebted mortgage on her initial 2007 financial statement.

Official financial reports for House members will be released on Monday, and it remains to be seen if Richardson's official report will have been amended from the one she previously filed.

However, Pelosi issued a warning to Richardson on Thursday that she risks whatever repercussions may come from failing to disclose her assets and liabilities to the letter of the law.

"Every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standard, to having the fullest disclosure of his or her assets, as is required by law," Pelosi said at her weekly news conference. "And many people in our country are caught in a foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well."

After falling too far behind on mortgage payments on a home she purchased in Sacramento in January 2007, Richardson was forced to watch the home sold at auction last month.

In the aftermath, it was revealed that Richardson has defaulted on mortgages for three California homes since 1999.

According to records pulled from Los Angeles and Sacramento counties, Richardson has defaulted five separate times on her primary residence in Long Beach, a home she purchased in 1999 and refinanced in the summer of 2006 for a new
$446,250 mortgage.

The prior summer, Richardson took out a loan from Wells Fargo, in the amount of $359,000, to purchase a second home in San Pedro.

After defaulting twice — in September 2007 and again in January — and owing $367,436 on an original loan of $359,000, Richardson received notice from her lender in April that her San Pedro home was going to be sold at auction. According to reports, that auction is scheduled for July 14.

But it is Richardson’s Sacramento home that has garnered the most attention, and places her in the most immediate risk as a member of Congress who is bound by federal law to disclose certain assets and liabilities.

According to Richardson’s 2007 financial disclosure statement — which she filed in February — she failed to report her Sacramento home mortgage as a liability even though she owed $40,000 more than she paid for the home, which was purchased in January of that year.

By the end of 2007 — which marks the end of the 2007 financial disclosure reporting period — Richardson had accumulated $575,000 in total debt after failing to make payments on her original $535,000 mortgage, according to Sacramento County records.

Financial disclosure laws require members of Congress to report home mortgages as liabilities if indebtedness exceeds the purchase prices of the item, and congressional ethics and finance experts have said that, on a plain reading of the law, Richardson was required to disclose such an indebted mortgage as a liability.

Under the section of the report for liabilities, Richardson simply lists, “N/A.”

A senior House Democrat close to leadership on Thursday afternoon said he had spoken to Richardson about the matter and she had assured him that, with regard to her Sacramento home, the “bank screwed up.”

On Wednesday the Los Angeles Times reported that James York, the real estate investor who bought Richardson’s Sacramento home at a May 7 auction for $396,000, is now claiming that Richardson’s lender, Washington Mutual, reclaimed the property on behalf of Richardson. York had recorded the deed on May 19 and had begun renovations, the Times reported.

“They took the property back, and they didn’t even send back the money,” York was quoted in the Times. “It’s clear what’s happening is Ms. Richardson is abusing her political power and using it for her own political needs.”
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Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults

By Erica Wemer The Associated Press

http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_9564107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

But every lawmaker must make "the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue
over the issue.

Richardson’s spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson’s congressional bid but Bass told reporters she’d had no idea about Richardson’s financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she’d hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn’t had the opportunity.
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Attorneys for Plaintiff
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK,
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY,
LAURA RICHARDSON, and all persons
unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right,
title, estate, lien, or interest in the property
described in the complaint adverse to Plaintiff's
title, or any cloud on Plaintiff's title, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,
Defendants.

Plaintiff, RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. alleges as follows:
1. Plaintiff RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. ("Plaintiff") is, and at all times herein
mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California
2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK ("WAMU") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a
federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States and doing
business in the state of California.
3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY ("CRC") is, and at all times herein
mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

4. The real property that is the subject of this action, 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California, Assessor's Parcel No. [redacted] ("Subject Property") is located in Sacramento County, California.

5. The Defendants named herein as "all persons unknown, claiming any legal or
equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property described in the Complaint adverse
to plaintiff's title, or any cloud on plaintiff's title thereto" ("Unknown Defendants") are
unknown to Plaintiff. These Unknown Defendants, and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property, and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

6. Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious
names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and
capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and
capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 1-10,
inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that
Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. Plaintiff is
further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 11-20, inclusive, claim some right,
title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and
their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on or about
January 10, 2007, a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") was recorded against the Subject Property
to secure a loan in the amount $535,001.00 made by WAMU to LAURA RICHARDSON.
CRC is the trustee under the Deed of Trust. (Attached hereto as Exhibit A, incorporated herein
by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust.)
9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at some point prior to December 17, 2007, Defendant RICHARDSON defaulted on the payments due on the loan secured by the Deed of Trust.

10. On December 17, 2007, CRC recorded a Notice of Default on the Subject Property. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Default.) The Notice of Default provides that, as of December 13, 2007, the amount of the default was $18,356.40.

11. On March 19, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Trustee’s sale on the Subject Property, advertising the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property to the general public. (Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Trustee’s Sale.) Pursuant to the Notice of Trustee’s Sale, the unpaid balance and other charges was approximately $378,384.52.

12. The Notice of Trustee’s Sale provides that the sale was to occur on April 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., at the main entrance to the Sacramento County Courthouse, 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale was postponed to May 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., to be held at the same location.

14. In accordance with the Notice of Trustee’s Sale and the duly noticed postponement thereof, on May 7, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at the trustee’s sale to bid on the Subject Property. Plaintiff secured a cashier’s check in order to qualify to bid at the sale.

15. At the noticed time and place, CRC conducted the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that Defendants compiled with all statutory requirements in conducting the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property.

16. Plaintiff bid at the trustee’s sale. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the trustee’s sale with a bid of $388,000.01.

17. Plaintiff timely delivered a cashier’s check in the amount of $388,000.01 to CRC. CRC accepted the cashier’s check from Plaintiff. (Attached hereto as Exhibit D & E,
respectively, and incorporated here by reference, are true and correct copies of the receipt of
funds issued to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's cashier's check stub.)

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that the sale of the Subject
Property was final and no further acts were required to consummate the sale.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about May 9,
2008, Defendants issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, to be recorded in Sacramento County
granting and conveying title and interest in the Subject Property to the Plaintiff. The Trustee's
Deed Upon Sale was recorded on May 19, 2008. (Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and
incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Trustee's Deed upon sale.)

20. Immediately after Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property, Plaintiff
immediately began improving the property and preparing to sell it, as Plaintiff is in the
business of buying and selling real estate. Among other things, Plaintiff painted select
portions of the residence, refurbished the flooring inside the residence, and performed general
clean-up and landscaping in and around the Subject Property at its expense. Plaintiff is
informed and believes thereon alleges that the improvements made by Plaintiff enhanced
the value of the Subject Property.

21. On or about May 28, 2008, WAMU contacted Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff
that it wished to rescind the sale. Plaintiff informed WAMU that Plaintiff was the highest
bidder at the Trustee's Sale and that it had paid the full consideration in the manner specified
by the Notice of Trustee's Sale. Plaintiff also informed WAMU that Plaintiff had been issued
the Trustee's Deed, and recorded it, and had no intention of rescinding.

22. On or about June 2, 2008, Defendants recorded a Notice of Rescission at the
Sacramento County Recorder's Office. (Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein
by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission.)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Slater of Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Dues 1-10, inclusive)

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraph 1 through 22 above.

24. On or about May 7, 2008, Plaintiff became the fee owner of the Subject Property based upon its purchase of the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about June 2, 2008, Defendants willfully, wrongfully, without justification, and without privilege caused to be recorded a Notice of Rescission.

26. The Notice of Rescission was false and continues to cause doubt to be cast on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

27. The recording of the Notice of Rescission directly impairs the vendibility of the property on the open market. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants recording of the Notice of Rescission.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, in doing the things herein alleged, WAMU and CRC acted with malice, oppression, and/or fraud as defined under Civ. Code § 3294(e), in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

29. The recording of the Notice of Rescission made it necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys and to bring this action. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred. The exact amount of such damages is not known to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known, or on proof thereof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Cancellation of Cloud on Title)

30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Defendants claim an interest in the Subject Property which is adverse to the Plaintiff. Defendants' interest in the Subject Property is purportedly based on the Notice of
Recession, recorded on June 2, 2008, which states that the sale is rescinded.

32. The Notice of Recession is invalid and void because the Defendant has no further rights to the Subject Property after the Trustee Sale was completed and the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was issued and recorded.

33. Defendants' claim to the Subject Property clouds the Plaintiff's title, depreciates the property's market value, and prevents Plaintiff from enjoying the use of the Subject Property.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive)

34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

35. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the fee simple title to the Subject Property.

36. The basis of Plaintiff's title is that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the Trustee's Sale of the Subject Property; Plaintiff tendered the full amount of its bid to the trustee; the trustee accepted Plaintiff's tender, and, the trustee issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale to Plaintiff, which was subsequently recorded, granting Plaintiff fee simple title to the Subject Property.

37. Plaintiff is seeking to quiet title against the claims of WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive, as follows: a Notice of Recession was recorded in Sacramento County California, Book 20080692, Page 0885, by CRC and WAMU purportedly rescinding the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale issued to Plaintiff which would have the affect of restoring the state of title to the Subject Property to the status quo prior to the recordation of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale; the claims of all the Unknown Defendants and Does 11-20, inclusive, whether or not the claim or cloud is known to Plaintiff. The claims of Defendants are without any right whatsoever and such Defendants have no right, title, estate, lien, or interest whatever in the Subject Property or any part thereof.
38. Plaintiff seeks to quiet title to the Subject Property as of May 7, 2008, which is the date Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

[Unjust Enrichment]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, and DOES 1-10, inclusive)

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. Plaintiff has completed numerous improvements to the Subject Property, which have significantly increased its value. If Defendants are permitted to rescind the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, Defendants will be unjustly enriched by their retention of the increased value of the Subject Property.

**PRAYER**

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For general damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;
2. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
3. For the Notice of Rescission to be delivered to the clerk for the court for cancellation and that it be declared void;
4. For a judgment that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the Subject Property and that Defendants have no interest in the Subject Property adverse to Plaintiff;
5. For restitution;
6. For attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein; and
7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DATED: **6/11/2008**

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE KLOMPARENS & YOUmans LLP

By: ___________________________

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN
Attorneys for RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
DEED OF TRUST

DEFINITIONS
Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain words used in this document are defined in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated January 4, 2007, together with all riders to this document.

(B) "Borrower" means Laura Richardson, An Unmarried Woman

Borrower's address is 717 E Vernon St, Long Beach, CA 90806.

(C) "Lender" means Washington Mutual Bank

Lender is a Federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States...
Lender's address is 1400 South Douglass Road, Suite 100, Anaheim, CA 92805

Lender is the beneficiary under the Security Instrument.

(D) "Trustee" is California Reconveyance Company, a California corporation

(E) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated January 4, 2007. The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Five Hundred Thirty Five Thousand One and No/100 Dollars (U.S. $535,001.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than February 1, 2007.

(F) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

(G) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(H) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower (check box as applicable):

- Adjustable Rate Rider
- Balloon Rider
- VA Rider
- Condominium Rider
- Platted Unit Development Rider
- Biweekly Payment Rider
- Second Home Rider
- 1-4 Family Rider
- Other(s) [specify]

(I) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable opinions.

(J) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(K) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transfers, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.

(L) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(M) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by a third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveniences in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of or omissions as to the value and/or condition of the Property.

(N) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.

(O) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(P) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Sections 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard...
to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(C) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower’s obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY
This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower’s covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County of SACRAMENTO:

Legal Description Attached Hereto And Made A Part Hereof

Exhibit A

[Details of property description]

Parcel ID Number: [ID Number]

which currently has the address of

3622 West Curtis Drive
Sacramento, California 95818

("Property Address").

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the “Property.”

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully in receipt of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT contains uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S.

[Signature]
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currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment of the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payment due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note; (b) principal due under the Note; (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, such payments can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) household payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premium for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5, and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items under Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be
in writing. In the event of any waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement is used in Section 1. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, payment in a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, at Lender is an institution whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Borrower shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold rentals or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them under the method provided in Section 3.

Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) removes the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded, or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the
lent. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reports and/or services used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

2. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term 'extended coverage,' and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amount (including deductible levels) and for the period that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remapping or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower. Borrower's equity in the Property, or in the case of the Property against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amount disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by the Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Prime rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies. All insurance policies shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, or continues required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss and not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not impaired. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may commence proceedings for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of installments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interests or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be impaired, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with
the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insurable as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing on the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is subsequently determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is in the best interest of Borrower, Lender shall promptly repair the Property if damaged in a manner which further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purpose. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repair and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower's obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable inspections and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of, or prior to, such an inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any person or entity acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material misrepresentations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assuming the value of the Property, and ensuring and/or repairing the Property. Lender's action can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable
attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and its rights under the Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other undeveloped conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender requires Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage Insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender requires) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage Insurance in effect, or if provide a non-refundable loss reserve. Until Lender's requirement for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note. Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchased the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurer evaluates the total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, any mortgagee, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "capitive reinsurer." Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.
(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has - if any - with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premium that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.

11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not released. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, terminate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Forfeiture By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender.

[Signature]
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to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amendment or modification of the same secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any act, demand made by the Lender, Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender’s acceptance of payment from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amount less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or prejudice the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower’s obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a “co-signer”); (b) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer’s interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (c) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (d) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer’s consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower’s obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower’s rights and interests under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower’s obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower’s default, for the purpose of protecting Lender’s interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys’ fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial payment without a prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower’s acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower’s notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall continue to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower’s change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower’s change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender’s address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.
16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be
governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights
and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of
Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it
might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In
the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable
Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be
given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include
corresponding nouns, words or phrases of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall mean and
include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to
take any action.

17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18,
"Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to,
those beneficial interests transferred in a deed for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or
escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.
If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is
not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior
written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security
Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by
Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall
provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15
within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay
these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this
Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions,
Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time
prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in
this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of
Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those
conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which were due under this Security
Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any defaults of any other covenants or
agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to,
reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the
purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d)
takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and
rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security
Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and
expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c)
certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon
an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Escrow
Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby
shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not
apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in
the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to
Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects
Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan
servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be
one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan
Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the
new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA
requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is
serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations
to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not
assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an
individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party’s actions pursuant to this
Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by
reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such
notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the
other party herein a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If
Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time
period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and
opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to
Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective
action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those
substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the
following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides
and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials;
(b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that
relate to health, safety, or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response
action, remedial action, or removal action as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental
Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental
Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous
Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do,
nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental
Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a
Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding
two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of
Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to
maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit
or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any
Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any
Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of
release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a
Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower knows, or is notified by
any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any removal or other remediation
of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary
remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on
Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.
NGN-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 20 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument without further demand and may invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute a written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold. Trustee shall cause this notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender or Trustee shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the other persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and to any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Lender may charge such person or persons a reasonable fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law. If the fee charged does not exceed the fee set by Applicable Law, the fee is conclusively presumed to be reasonable.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder by an instrument executed and acknowledged by Lender and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the county in which the Property is located. The instrument shall contain the name of the original Lender, Trustee and Borrower, the book and page where this Security Instrument is recorded and the name and address of the successor trustee. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, powers and duties conferred upon the Trustee herein and by Applicable Law. This procedure for substitution of trustee shall govern in the exclusion of all other provisions for substitution.

25. Statement of Obligation Fee. Lender may collect a fee not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by Applicable Law for furnishing the statement of obligation as provided by Section 2943 of the Civil Code of California.
BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesses:

[Signature]

Borrower

[Signature]

Borrower

[Signature]

Borrower

[Signature]

Borrower
State of California
County of Sacramento

On January 4, 2007 before me, Nikki Davis a notary public, personally appeared

Laura Richardson

, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) were subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

NIKKI DAVIS
COMM. #1520799
Notary Public-California
SACRAMENTO COUNTY

(Seal)
Exhibit "A"
Legal Description

Lot 259 as shown on the official "Plat of South Curtis Oaks Subdivision No. 6", filed in the office of
the County Recorder of Sacramento County, February 10, 1927 in Book 19 of Maps, Map No. 18

Aprn: [Diagram]
FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made on this 4th day of January 2007, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to Washington Mutual Bank ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:
3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

[Property Address]

THE NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE FROM THE INITIAL FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN THE MONTHLY PAYMENT. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

A. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of 8.300%. The Note provides for a change in the initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and for changes in the monthly payments, as follows:

4. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

(A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate Borrower will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the first day of February, 2009, and the interest rate Borrower will pay may change on that day every 6th month thereafter. Each date on which Borrower's interest rate could change is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, Borrower's interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for six-month U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in The Wall Street Journal. The most recent index figure available as of the date 45 days before the Change Date is called the "Current Index."

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
41400223 (2009)
If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new Index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give Borrower notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes
Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate Borrower’s new interest rate by adding Four and 99/100 percentage points (4.990%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be Borrower’s new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that Borrower is expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at Borrower’s new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of Borrower’s monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes
The interest rate Borrower is required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.600% or less than 8.800%. Thereafter, Borrower’s interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than One percentage point(1% (1.000%)) from the rate of interest Borrower has been paying for the preceding months. Borrower’s interest rate will never be greater than 14.800% or less than 8.800%.

(E) Effective Date of Changes
Borrower’s new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. Borrower will pay the amount of Borrower’s new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes
The Note Holder will deliver or mail to Borrower a notice of any changes in Borrower’s interest rate and the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment before the effective date of any change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to the Borrower and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question Borrower may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER
Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument is amended to read as follows:

(A) Until Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument provides as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay those sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.
(B) When Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall then instead provide as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or assignee agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferor to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
4140623 (0609)
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9290 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop N 11 06 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311
660-667-1513
(818)775-2268 (Fax)

Sacramento County Recording
Craig A Kramer, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20071214 PAGE 0358
Check Number: 9109
Fri. Dec 14, 2007 8:41:18 AM
Pd. 5 17 AM
File: 2006127112
TR/4/741-2

Space above this line for recorder's use only

Trustee Sale No. 72238764
Loan No. 20071285
Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN
YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may
have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due
payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for
reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for
the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice
of default may be recorded (which date of recording appears on this notice).

This amount is $183,868.00 as of December 13, 2007 and will increase until your account
becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance
and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future
payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay
other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or
mortgagor may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing.

In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagor may require as a condition to reinstatement that you
provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard
insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagor will give you a written itemization of
the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your
account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at
the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagor may mutually
agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the
end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional
time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a
schedule of payments in order to cure your default: or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the first paragraph of this notice,
unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and
your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property
by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.

Description: Sacramento, CA Document - Book:Page 20071214.358 Page: 1 of 2
Order: 1 Comments: 0
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Trustee Sale No.: [BLANK] Loan No.: [BLANK] Case No.: [BLANK]

To find out the amount you must pay, or to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY, JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256, (877) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RE CONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustor, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: [BLANK] Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficiary interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 08/31/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: December 13, 2007

CALIFORNIA RE CONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary, By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

Marilyn L. Aquas

CALIFORNIA RE CONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
EXHIBIT C
NOTICE OF TRUSTEE’S SALE

YOU ARE IN DE U T ER M A R S H A R E DATE D 01/04/2007. IF YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER.

On 04/07/2008 at 01:30 PM, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY as the duly appointed Trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 200700110, Page 1510, Instrument 200700110, in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, executed by: AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustee, WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary, will sell at public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, cashier's check drawn by a state or national bank, a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank, the property described in section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state. The property will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to the Deed of Trust. The sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NO. 6" FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 16.

Amount of unpaid balance and other charges: $578,384.52 (estimated)
Street address and other common designation of the real property: 6022 WEST CURTIS DRIVE
APN Number: 06-06-00-006-0069-0

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein. The property hereinafter described is being sold "as is".

DATE: 05-17-2009

California Reconveyance Company, as Trustee
(714) 243-7660 or www.csa.sbc.com
(714) 573-1965 or www.profinder.com

California Reconveyance Company is a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
EXHIBIT D
RECEIPT OF FUNDS AND INSTRUCTIONS

T.S. NO. [Blank]  PRIORITY NO. 827385  DATE 5-7-08

TRUSTEE  California Reo Recovery Co.

ADDRESS  9200 Oakdale Ave  #110612

CITY  Chula Vista  STATE  CA  ZIP  91911

PHONE NO.  714-792-6902  CONTACT  Deborah Brignac

CHECK NO.  04235 908 471  NAME OF BANK  Wells Fargo BK  AMOUNT  $385,000.01

TOTAL OF ANY CASH RECEIVED $  

SUCCESSFUL BID $385,000.01  TOTAL RECEIVED $385,000.01

TRANSFER TAX $  AMOUNT REQUIRED $385,000.01

RECORDING FEES $  REFUND AMOUNT $

REFUND PAYABLE TO [Blank]

RECEIVED BY [Blank]  BUYERS SIGNATURE [Signature]

BUYERS NAME Jim Yune  DRIVERS LICENSE NO. [Blank]

TITLE TO PROPERTY TO BE VESTED AS FOLLOWS Red Rock Mortgage

ADDRESS 2800 American River Dr. # 135

CITY  Sacramento  STATE  CA  ZIP  958

PHONE NO.  [Blank]

JPMC - 002773  CONFIDENTIAL  CSOG.RICH.004266
EXHIBIT E
CASHIER'S CHECK

PAY TO THE ORDER OF

***CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY***

***Three hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars and 01 cent***

SERIAL #: 0023306815
ACCOUNT #: 434

May 07, 2008

**$388,000.01**

NON-NEGOTIABLE

Purchaser Copy

NOTICE TO PURCHASER - IF THIS INSTRUMENT IS LOST, STOLEN OR DESTROYED, YOU MAY REQUEST CANCELLATION AND REISSUANCE. AS A CONDITION TO CANCELLATION AND REISSUANCE, WELLS FARGO BANK MAY IMPOSE A FEE AND REQUIRE AN INSURANCE AGREEMENT AND RISK.
TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

APN: ........................................... T.R.A. No. ...........................................

The undersigned grantor declares:

1) The Grantee herein was not the foreclosing beneficiary.
2) The amount of the unpaid debt together with costs was $774,023.87
3) The amount paid by the grantee at the trustee sale was $388,000.01
4) The documentary transfer tax is $27,355
5) Said property is in SACRAMENTO

and CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee or substituted Trustee under the Deed of Trust hereinafter described, does hereby grant and convey, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. (herein called Grantee), all of its right, title and interest in and to that certain property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of California, described as follows: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NO. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 10.

Site: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

RECITALS:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1518, Instrument of official records of SACRAMENTO County, California, and after fulfillment of the conditions specified in said Deed of Trust authorizing this conveyance.

Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and such default still existed at the time of sale.

All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of a Sale have been complied with.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee's Sale and in exercise of its powers under said
Deed of Trust, sold the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being
the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being
$388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary
of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee’s Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, "Notary Public" personally appeared KARIME
ARIA, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s)
are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the
same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument
the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature ________________________ (Seal)

IRMA GARCIA TORRES
Commission # 1508392
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires Aug 17, 2009
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9200 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 11 05 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Sacramento County Recording
Frederick B. Garcia, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20080602 PAGE 0885
Check Number 365288
Monday, Jun 02, 2008 10:45:44 AM
Tel: 916.202.5101 x 23
NCY/84823

NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE
This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/07/2008 with respect to the following facts

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/01/2007 and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as Trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as Beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $539,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:
   LOT 25 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6" FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1977 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

   A P N: 05-05-00-18-00
   Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $300,000.00.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary has previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee's sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE Undersigned HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE’S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/08/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND RECORDED 05/19/2008 IN BOOK 20080519, PAGE 0487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCIND AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY
Colleen Irby, Assistant Secretary

BY
Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY
Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY
Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 05/29/08 before me, SIERRIE HERBADURA, "Notary Public", personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by he/she/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

(Seal)

This document filed for recording by Public Notice with Trustee as an accommodation only. It has not been examined as to its execution or as to its effect upon the title.
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Subject: Accepted; Updated: Richardson, Update
Location: 877-700 passcode 1234

Start: 9/5/2008 7:00 PM
End: 6/5/2008 8:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-700 passcode 1234
Subject: Updated Richardson Update
Location: 977-709 [ ] passcode [ ]

Start: 9/5/2008 7:00 PM
End: 6/5/2008 9:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.;

Resources: 977-709 [ ] passcode [ ]

When: Thursday, June 05, 2008 4:00 PM-5:00 PM (GMT -06:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 977-709 [ ] passcode [ ]

---
From: Cook, Don  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 8:05:11 PM  
To: Berene, John; Chemnay, Steven D.  
Subject: F W Richardson Update.  
Attachments: Document.pdf

John and Steve, for your information.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 4:54 PM  
To: Cook, Sara C.; Cook, Eddy Ellery, Alan; Caves, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wictory A.  
Cc: 'Martin T. McGuirk'  
Subject: Richardson Update

Paul J. Battaglia  
First Vice President and Senior Counsel  
Washington Mutual Bank  
1301 2{}^{nd} Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-4261  
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 12:37 PM  
To: Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Cc: Thorn, Ann  
Subject: Final Review: Richardson Response
NOTICE OF RESSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 06/29/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under their Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2001, and Recorded 02/10/2001, Book 2011141, Page 1910, Instrument 903421, as Trustee for WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Trustee for FIDELITY NATIONAL DECREASE SOLUTIONS, as Trustee for Loan No. 723870CA, Loan No. 723870CA, Notice No. M723870CA, has rescinded their Deed of Trust pursuant to the notice provisions of the Trust Agreement.

2. The Trustee, Pursuant to the deed of trust, the Beneficiary, did declare a default as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sale, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of Sacramento County, Sacramento, California.

3. On 06/29/2008, at 1:15 PM, the property was put up for sale to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, LLC, being the highest bidder of such sale, who bid the amount of $785,000.00.

4. The Trustee's Sale on 06/29/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary and the Trustee agree to rescind the Trustee's Sale to 06/4/2008. The Trustee's Sale of 06/29/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

5. The express purpose for the Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lienholders to the状态 quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's Sale.
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereunto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: September

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

By

[Signature]

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

By

[Signature]

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ON this 22nd day of September, 2021, before me, SERGIO HERRERA, a Notary Public in and for the State of California, personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGANOS, HELEN JEN CHER, COLLEEN HULL AND KARINE ARENAS, who, being first duly sworn, did subscribe to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that they appeared and subscribed the same as individuals, and that they executed and signed the same in the capacity of which they are appointed, and that the contents of the same is true and correct.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

[Signature] (Seal)

[Stamp]

This instrument was executed by the undersigned on the date and time notarized as set forth above.

[Stamp]
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Subject: Richardson Update
Location: 877-709[passcode]
Start: 9/2/2008 12:30 PM
End: 6/2/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Gaul, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Baggio, Paul J.; Thom, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; GM OSM DTE
Resources: 877-709[passcode]

When: Monday, June 22, 2008 9:30 AM to 10:00 AM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-709[passcode]
Subject: Accepted, Updated: Richardson update
Location: 877-708 [passcode]
Start: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-708 [passcode]

Subject: Accepted Richardson update
Location: 877-708
Start: 5/29/2008 1:00 PM
End: 5/29/2008 2:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Owen Jan L
Resources: 877-708 passes code
Subject: Richardson Update
Location: 877-709 [passcode]

Start: 5/28/2008 6:00 PM
End: 5/30/2008 7:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Thorn, Ann: Battaglia, Paul J.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don

Resources: 877-709 [passcode]

When: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 3:00 PM-4:00 PM (GMT-06:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-709 [passcode]

--.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-

<<Richardson>>

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 10:08 AM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so.........

Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-565-5544

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 1:08:10 PM
To: Gaugi, Gare C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: Richardson

In addition to the email I received last night, I got a phone call last night leaving me a message to please contact Congresswoman Richardson.

Any change in how you would like me to respond/handle? I have not done anything at this point in time.

Seems that the new opinion coming out of John Berens office is that we should not rescind the sale unless she comes up with the reinstatement funds in which we gave her the 60 days to do so.......... Just wanted to pass this along.

Let me know...

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-705-2944

For Internal Use Only
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Am, my apologies. I accidentally left you off the cc line. Please see below — Richardson makes a number of claims...

- Sara

---

From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@washnet>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 11:52 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <am.thorn@washnet>
Cc: Cook, Dan <d.w.cook@washnet>
Subject: FW: Confidential: Richardson Update

David, John and Steve:

So you are aware, earlier this evening Congresswoman Richardson talked with a number of media outlets including the Associated Press. As outlined in the AP article embedded below, she’s claiming that the foreclosure auction of her Sacramento home was “improper” and contrary to a written agreement she had with WaMu. She also said that we’ve taken initial steps to rescind the foreclosure action given that she had an “agreement.”

Legal, HI PR, Corp Comm, Servicing and IR will stay closely aligned as this situation continues to evolve. After discussing this afternoon, we unfortunately are still limited on what we can disclose about Ms. Richardson’s loan situation (outside of public record) given that she’s won’t provide her consent. We have and will continue to emphasize that fact to the media, and will point them back to the public record, which indicates a history of default.

We’ll continue to update you on new developments, however in the interim, please let us know if you have any questions.

Best,

Sara

************

*Please note that this article is in draft form - the reporter provided it to me in advance of publication.

**AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says home sale ‘improper’**

By TRUCA WERNER Associated Press Writer

WASHINGTON (AP) - Rep. Laura Richardson claimed Friday that her Sacramento home was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with her lender.

She said that she is like any other American suffering in the mortgage crisis and wants to testify to Congress about her experience as lawmakers craft a foreclosure-prevention bill.

In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday night, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage, allowing the house to be sold at auction.

Richardson, elected in a special election last August, acknowledged turmoil in her life in the months after an incumbent's death in April opened up the Los Angeles-area House seat.

She used her money to finance her campaign and fell behind in mortgage payments. But Richardson said that makes her like other Americans who have to deal with a sudden death or births that throw a wrench into their finances.

Now, Richardson said, she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off and promised to fully comply with all its terms. She also said she will pay nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.
She insisted she's not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American, I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Richardson was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won a California state Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1½-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in the special election to replace the late Janice Hahn-Walsh-McDonald.

The problem is that the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $535,500 in January 2007 was sold at auction earlier this month to a Sacramento mortgage lender, who paid $388,000. The sale was officially recorded on Monday, according to documents on file with the Sacramento County Recorder's Office.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance at $578,384.

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

Although others struggling with mortgages make far less, Richardson said it was "very misleading" to compare her earnings to the national median household income of around $50,000. The reason: Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to, she said.

Others also don't have to depend on winning an election to ensure their livelihood, she said.

Richardson provided AP with an April letter that appears to be from Washington Mutual Home Loans telling her there was a hold on foreclosure sales on her property until June 4 of this year.

She said she got another letter asking for payments May 2 and paid them, but did not know the sale was going to happen five days later.

Richardson also provided an e-mail dated Thursday she said was from Washington Mutual that appeared to acknowledge an agreement to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale.

She did not provide documentation of the structure of her new loan.

A Washington Mutual spokeswoman, Sara Ongal, told AP earlier in the day that the company had "not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation."

Washington Mutual did not respond to a later inquiry seeking comment on Richardson's claims.

Meanwhile, the current owner of the property told AP that his ownership of the house is not in doubt.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento, declined to discuss any possible negotiations that might be ongoing.

"The tenant possession on the home," York said. "I've been working on it, fighting it up. It had been vacant. It was in cleaner and in better repair than most foreclosures."

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper-middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure.

Richardson was absent earlier this month for votes on the Foreclosure Prevention Act, which she said was because of her father's funeral. But she could have had another opportunity to vote on the foreclosure package as the House is expected to bring it back up in June once agreement is reached in the Senate.

In most cases, congressional ethics rules don't prevent lawmakers from voting on legislation that might affect or help them economically. Such votes are essentially impossible to avoid.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property
can be sold.

"We have to ensure that leaders and legislators have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

Melanie Sloan, executive director of the Washington-based Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics, criticized Richardson for falling deeper into debt while choosing to spend more than $75,000 of her own money on her campaign, suggesting that it's more important to win a seat in Congress than to be financially responsible, a point Richardson disputed.

Sloan also said Richardson should not be in the situation she is while making a congressional salary, when homeowners around the country making $50,000 or less are struggling to pay their debts.

"Plainly, it's appalling," Sloan said.

Sara Guagli
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 40.1 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.3277 internal
sara.guagli@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 5:49 PM
To: Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Cc: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Your advice re: Richardson

http://cbs5.com/business/congresswoman/home_default.2.73/0339.html

*"We finally [saw] a notice on the front door about two and a half months ago," Burgess said. "It was a bank repossession notice."

FYI.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loan Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.228.2207 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 2:31 PM
To: Elias, Alan
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: Your advice re: Richardson

Hi, Alan,

I would appreciate your advice/perspective.

At this point, Ms. Richardson has not signed any of the consent forms we have provided to her (including the media consent form).

I haven't seen any additional public statements made by her, however according to a reporter, she's now claiming that WaMu did not properly notify her that she was in default. This, of course, is not accurate.

Since she's decided to take more of an "accusatory" tone toward WaMu, I feel now may be the time to enter into the fray and begin communicating to reporters that we have not been authorized by Ms. Richardson to discuss her loan situation, and direct them to the public record if further pressed.

The alternative is to continue to keep a low profile and essentially not comment at all, as coverage and public sentiment continues to center around (1) the facts of her default and foreclosure, and (2) the inconsistencies in her statements and the public record.

Thoughts?

Sara Gaugl

3439
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue, 1
WMC #401
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct
206.224.1285 FAX
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:57 PM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-1201
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

-----Original Message-----
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:13 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:09 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations
WoMa
1301 Second Avenue | WMC 401 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2823 direct | 206.224.7896 cell | sarangali@warren.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Accepted: Update on Richardson
Location: 877-708 (passcode)
Start: 5/27/2006 12:00 PM
End: 5/27/2006 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-708 (passcode)
Hi, Alan.

I would appreciate your advice/perspective.

At this point, Ms. Richardson has not signed any of the consent forms we have provided to her (including the media consent form).

I haven’t seen any additional public statements made by her, however according to a reporter, she’s now claiming that WaMu did not properly notify her that she was in default. This, of course, is not accurate.

Since she’s decided to take more of an “accusatory” tone toward WaMu, I feel now may be the time to enter into the fray and begin communicating to reporters that we have not been authorized by Ms. Richardson to discuss her loan situation, and direct them to the public record if further pressed.

The alternative is to continue to keep a low profile and essentially not comment at all, as coverage and public sentiment continues to center around (1) the facts of her default and foreclosure, and (2) the inconsistencies in her statements and the public record.

Thoughts?

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue
WMC #111
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.228.

sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message-----
From: Battaglio, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:57 PM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorne, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

[Redacted]
NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message-----
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:12 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C., Owens, Jan L., Baptista, Gerti Ann S., Battaglia, Paul J., Thor, Ann, Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:09 PM
To: Owens, Jan L.; Baptista, Gerti Ann S.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thor, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue
WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.506.2822 direct 206.222.0306 cell sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Update on Richardson
Location: 577-709 [passcode]

Start: 5/27/2008 12:00 PM
End: 5/27/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Gaugl, Sara C.; Baptista, Geni Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.

Resources: 577-709 [passcode]

When: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM (GMT-07:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada), Tijuana.
Where: 577-709 [passcode]
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Debate intensifies over Richardson home default

By Anthony York (published Thursday, May 22, 2008)

A Long Beach congresswoman who fell behind in her payments on a $535,000 mortgage in Sacramento said in a written statement that she owns the home, but financial documents show the house was sold at public auction and has been in the possession of the buyer for weeks.

The auction for Rep. Laura Richardson's house, in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood, took place on May 7. The transaction was detailed in public records filed with the county.

Richardson, a Democrat, a former Assembly member who was elected to the House last year, bought the 1,600-square-foot, three-bedroom house in January 2007, but soon fell behind in the payments.

The story of Richardson's Sacramento home is more than a tale of a real
While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees, including nearly $9,000 in property taxes.

Richardson's decision to allow the loan to slide into default was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 - money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined requests over several days to discuss her real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.
Wednesday evening, she challenged Capitol Weekly's story about "the residential property that I own in Sacramento," and said that it had not been subjected to foreclosure. She also said that she renegotiated a loan in connection with the transaction, but did not provide details.

"I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement -- with no special provisions. I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property," she said.

But financial records on file with the county show that Richardson does not own the home. The house was sold on May 7 at a public foreclosure auction for $388,001.

That auction originally had been scheduled for April 7, but was delayed a month, said James York, a Sacramento real estate broker who purchased the house from the trustee, the California Reconveyance Company. That transaction was officially recorded on May 9, and the deed transfer and sale were recorded on May 19. Documents associated with the transaction can be viewed here.

"It was a foreclosure auction. I took possession of the house as of May 7," said York, who has conducted numerous similar purchases, according to county records. (York is not related to the author of this article).
York, whose firm specializes in foreclosure sales, said that Richardson did not participate in the transaction, that the house had been vacant for some time and that he paid the funds to California Reconveyance, which handles foreclosure property and is owned by Washington Mutual, the original lender.

The sale forced the bank to "take a $200,000 write-off," York noted. In an earlier interview with the Daily Breeze of Torrance, which reported a detailed account of the transaction, he said the bank "took a beating."

Tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home. Since she was able to buy the house without a down payment, the entire amount was borrowed. At the time, the housing market was beginning to turn, but the severest impacts had not yet been felt. No-interest "jumbo" loans, those more than $417,000, were still available from some lenders.

Richardson received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, 2008, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction on April 7. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.

The March 19 notice of trustee's sale also described the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, held by Washington Mutual, at more than $578,000 -- $10,000 more than the original mortgage. Tax records show $8,950 in unpaid property taxes.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her congressional district. Real estate records show that she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon
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Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson, "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she bested Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson did not vote. She also did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure. Richardson said she missed the votes because of the death of her father.

Richardson did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her congressional campaign committee since declaring her congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

<< Back
I'm sure you have seen this - do you need anything from us?

AP
California congresswoman's home threatened with repossession after she fails to pay mortgage
Thursday May 22, 11:49 am ET
By Don Thompson, Associated Press Writer

Calif. congresswoman's home threatened with repossession after she fails to pay mortgage

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) -- Rep. Laura Richardson has an unusual perspective on the housing foreclosure bills moving through Congress: One of her own homes was threatened with repossession after she failed to pay the mortgage.

Richardson, a Southern California Democrat, bought a two-story home in a leafy, upper-middle-class neighborhood of Sacramento in January 2007, just months after winning a seat in the state Assembly.

She bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home in the state capital for $535,500. The bill collectors started knocking soon after, according to records reviewed Wednesday by The Associated Press.

The city utility department placed a lien on her property in June 2007 for $154 in unpaid bills, according to documents at the Sacramento County recorder's office. In December, she received a default notice on the mortgage from the collection agency of Washington Mutual Inc., her lender. At that point, she owed $18,356.

(more posted on yahoo.com)
From: Cook, Don  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:46:12 PM  
To: Gaugi, Sara C.  
Subject: RE: (866) 723-8060

The loan to which she refers must be with a different lender.

From: Gaugi, Sara C.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:43 PM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Kallmyer, Brad; Cook, Don; Woodcock, Wendy A.  
Subject: RE: (866) 723-8060  
Importance: High

Embedded below is Congresswoman Laura Richardson's statement to the media for your reference.

CONGRESSWOMAN LAURA RICHARDSON

For Immediate Release
May 21, 2008

The story published in the Capitol Weekly regarding residential property that I own in Sacramento requires clarification. Within a 12-month period last year (2007-2008), I was a member of Long Beach City Council, the District Director for California Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante, a member of the California State Legislature, and, now a member of Congress. While the transitioning has impacted me personally, the residential property in Sacramento California is not in foreclosure and has NOT been seized by the bank.

I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement -- with no special provisions. I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property.

On two housing bills that were voted by the Capitol Weekly, the allegation is that I recused myself from these votes. I did not. I was absent from Washington, D.C. and my duties in the House of Representatives due to the untimely death of my father and his subsequent funeral in California.

I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable.

Sara Gaugi  
Home Loans Public Relations  

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Congresswoman Richardson Update
Location: 577-7091 [password]

Start: 5/22/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/22/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cock, Don; Baptista, Geri Ann; GM GSM DTE; Kallner, Brad

Resources: 577-7091 [password]
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 3:34 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

---Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Kallner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D., Herceg, John, Owen, Jan L., Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Brad -
Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her Wamu loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment; however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with Wamu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson's situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is [REDACTED].

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct I 206.224.3311 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
--- Original Message ---
From: Owens, Jan L.  
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugh, Sara C.; Garwood, Scott; Watson, Alison 
Subject: Fos: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am in meeting and will call in a minute.

---------------------

--- Original Message ---
From: Kevin Gould <KGould@CalBankers.com>
To: FSIR Meeting Group <FSIRMeetingGroups@CalBankers.com>
Sent: Wed, May 21, 08 01:35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is just one tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how many candidates will go to great lengths to protect their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Jane Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $600,000 in money that has since been paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows a nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County recorder's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $335,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 -- $40,000 more than the original mortgage.
The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the house lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

“The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her,” said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. “She didn’t mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood.”

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. “It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves,” she said. “She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that.”

While Richardson walked away from her home, she faced Congress in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has sided herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab, and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $87,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $387,384.
Subject: Updated Richardson Update
Location: 555-595
Start: 6/19/2006 1:00 PM
End: 6/19/2006 1:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Gauj, Sara C.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don; Potashnick, Barbara A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Amy; Dahm, Jan L.
Resources: 555-595

***changing time to accommodate schedules***
Subject: Laura Richardson - Counteroffer from foreclosure purchaser
Location: Dial in at 1-866-736-7110. Participant passcode is ______

Start: 6/16/2008 3:30 PM
End: 6/16/2008 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Berens, John; Chamoney, Steven D.; Cook, Don; Stevens, Lawrence W.; Klein, Jason C.; Parker, Gary L.
Resources: Dial in at 1-866-736-7110. Participant passcode is ______

When: Monday, June 16, 2008 12:30 PM (GMT -08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: Dial in at 1-866-736-7110. Participant passcode is ______

*REDACTED*

Dial in Number: 866-736-7110
Participant Code: ______

Seattle participants meet in small conference room on 34.
Subject: Update on Congresswoman Richardson
Location: 077-709 [passcode]

Start: 5/23/2008 2:30 PM
End: 5/23/2008 3:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Resources: 077-709 [passcode]
Good morning, Erik.

Many thanks for forwarding. Would you please ensure that David is aware of this as well (if he isn’t already)?

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Louas Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC #11 Seattle WA 98101
206.509.2822 direct 1 206.228.1911 call
sara.gaugl@wmu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Strom, Erik E.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 9:49 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don
Subject: Fw: FYI

FYI...this could have some ramifications for our situation with Rep. Richardson.

Erik Stroms

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Watson, Alison
To: Strom, Erik E.
Sent: Mon Jun 16 09:49:14 2008
Subject: Fw: FYI

Per my last e-mail...
‘Friends of Angels’ Allegations?

Dear Colleague:

With all of the recent turmoil we have been experiencing in our mortgage market, I am concerned about allegations of preferential treatment afforded to some individuals in Congress regarding their mortgages. Although these reports are still merely allegations, it is disconcerting to think Members of Congress might be knowingly or unknowingly receiving preferential treatment while millions of hard-working Americans struggle to repay their mortgage debts and cope with skyrocketing gasoline and soaring food prices.

While some might disagree regarding what the proper response of the federal government to this mortgage market turmoil should be, I think we all can agree that it would be wrong for Members of Congress to receive special treatment on their mortgages simply because they are elected office holders. That’s why next week I will be sending a letter to the Speaker and the appropriate chairman with oversight requesting hearings on this issue. We must ensure that no Member is improperly benefiting from their position.

Of course, it is important to note that at this time these are merely allegations of preferential treatment, but that should not absolve us of our responsibility to ensure that Members of Congress do not receive special treatment while average Americans are scrambling and saving to keep their homes.

For more information, please contact Ed Stake in my office at [email]

Sincerely,

JHH HENSARLING
Member of Congress

Scott Tullott
Senior Vice President of Government Affairs
THE FINANCIAL SERVICES ROUNDS
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: 202-299-7171
Fax: 202-285-71
Email: scott[ chapel] financialservices rounds.org
Website: www.financialservices rounds.org <http://www.financialservices rounds.org>
From: Adams, Carolyn
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 7:12:45 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
CC: Cook, Don; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Adams, Carolyn
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 1:17 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Cook, Don; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: P: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

REDACTED

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:40 PM
To: Adams, Carolyn
Cc: Cook, Don; Hyde, Arlene M.; Champney, Steven D.; Ellas, Alan
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.200.2022 direct | 206.200.2207 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Schneider, David C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:46 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

REDACTED

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:31 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Bradco, John; Champney, Steven D.
Cc: Thom, Ann; Owen, Jan L.; Ellas, Alan
Subject: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

All:
As expected, coverage on Congreewomen Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting.
embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

**UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? -- Calculated Risk**

by Tantia

http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * * *

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and landees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

* * * * * * *

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and then covered by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the house at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from leaking into blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments. Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story—I'm not holding my breath on that—we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that WaMu screwed this up—thus it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth Blogs, that Richardson's other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few
details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP:

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home—running for the suddenly available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.—is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capitol. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.); many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in a neighborhood like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $335,500, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 1/00% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty darn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3123.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1 50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3793.13.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American, I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanya. I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what your plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007—five months after purchase—you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn't budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We're going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I knew a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see squat to do with why this loan failed.
Quite honestly, if WaMu did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I'd really like to know what went through the Loan Mt Department's collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson's salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after all? Where's she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she's a Congresswoman?

I'm quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a "hardship letter" that didn't pass muster with me. I feel obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn't afford, defaulted on it, and now wants WaMu to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that's . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land. She likes the Realtors, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of Realtors flew her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, REALTOR Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson's script read: "I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog."

Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she's blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.328.1717 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Many thanks.

---

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.350.2822 direct | 206.224.7676 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Adams, Carolyn
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 3:09 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Cook, Don; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

Thank you, Carolyn.

---

From: Adams, Carolyn
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 3:01 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Cook, Don; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

---

From: Adams, Carolyn
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:40 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Cook, Don; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog
Hi, Carolyn.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2424 direct | 206.224.7438 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

Can you get me the information on the original loan? Today please.

You can have this done by the channel that originated the deal.

db

All:
As expected, coverage on Congresswoman Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? – Calculated Risk
by Tanta
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * * *

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while hereofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she says a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lendees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

* * * * * *

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and thence covered by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments. Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to sell its side of the story— I'm not holding my breath on that—we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that WaMu screwed this up—that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson's other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session.

In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.
According to the AP:

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home--running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.--is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capitol. She needs to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.); many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $555,500, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $363,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.60% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty darn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3,123.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3,793.13.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.00.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanta. I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what your plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007--five months after purchase--you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn't budget for the lights.

But what are we going to get? We're going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I know a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see squat to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if WalMart did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I'd really like to know what went through the Los-Angeles Department's collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson's salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that? Where's she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she's a Congresswoman?
I'm quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a "hardship letter" that didn't pass muster with me. I feel obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn't afford, defaulted on it, and now wants WAFA to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that's . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land, she likes the REALTORS, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of REALTORS flew her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, Realtor Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson's script read: "I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog."

--Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she's blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugl
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Hi, Carolyn
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Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugi
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Can you get me the information on the original loan? Today please.

You can have this done by the channel that originated the deal.
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---

- Sara

**UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? — Calculated Risk**

by Tanta
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the *Daily Breeze* kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * *

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.
"We have to ensure that lenders and lenders have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

******

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capital Weekly and picked up by the Wall Street Journal and then covered by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments. Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story—"I'm not holding my breath on that—we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that WuMo screwed this up—that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth Blogs, that Richardson's other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this am I most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP, Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home—running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.—is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capitol. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.; many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she's required to "purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $335,500, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.095 interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty darn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3123.53. Assuming taxes and
insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3793.15.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I’ll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn’t know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I’m just trying to figure out what went through this woman’s mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There’s no point trying to figure out what went through the lender’s mind at the time. There just isn’t.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I’m Laura Richardson, I’m an American, I’m a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I am, too. I’m an American, I’m a single woman, and I say you’re full of it. You need to show us what your plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007—five months after purchase—you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn’t budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We’re going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about unkinking with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I knew a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see equal to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if Wall St did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I’d really like to know what went through the Los Altos Department’s collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson’s salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that? Where’s she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she’s a Congresswoman?

I’m quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a "hardship letter" that didn’t pass muster with me. I feel obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculate who bought a home she obviously couldn’t afford, defaulted on it, and now wants Wall St to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that’s . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land, She likes the Realtors, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of Realtors flew her to Las Vegas in November to help smear in the new president of the association, Realtor Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson’s script read: "I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog."

---Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she’s blaming the lender.
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To: Adams, Carolyn
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Hi, Carolyn.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
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From: Schneider, David C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:46 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

Can you get me the information on the original loan? Today please.

You can have this done by the channel that originated the deal.

dc

From: Gaudy, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:31 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

All:
As expected, coverage on Congresswoman Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? – Calculated Risk
by Tanta
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * * *

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—and kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lendees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

* * * * * *

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and thence covered by a number of blogs, with the story line being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second
home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments. Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story--I'm not holding my breath on that--we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that Wamu screwed this up--that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson's other home--her primary residence--was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The numbers in the published reports seem to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP, Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home--running for the suddenly available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.--is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she also had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capitol. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.), many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $535,500, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $345,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.00% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty dam good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3123.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3703.13.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out
this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson, I'm an American, I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that's . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angela.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Wilt at L.A. Land, She likes the Realtors, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of Realtors flew her to Las Vegas in November to help her make up in the new president of the association, Realtor Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson's speech read: "I might be one of the seven new members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When we needed help to win tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog.

--Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she's blaming the lender.
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Can you get me the information on the original loan? Today please.

You can have this done by the channel that originated the deal.

ds

All:
As expected, coverage on Congresswoman Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key
articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

**UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? – Calculated Risk**

by Tanta

http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * * *

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and leenaders have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

* * * * * * *

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and thence covered by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments.

Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story--I'm not holding my breath on that--we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that WaMu screwed this up—that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the I, A, Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson's other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of
2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007, the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP, Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home—running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.—is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capitol. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.), much less do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's priciest neighborhoods for $335,500, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.00% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty darn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $312.50. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $779.30.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I'll guesstimate it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the leader's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:
"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanya. I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what your plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007—five months after purchase—you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn't budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We're going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I knew a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see equal to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if WaMu did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I'd really like to know what went through the
Loss Mit Department’s collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson’s salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that? Where’s she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she’s a Congresswoman?

I’m quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a “hardship letter” that didn’t pass muster with me. I feel obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn’t afford, defaulted on it, and now wants WaMu to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that’s . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land, she likes the REALTORS, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of REALTORS flew her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, REALTOR Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson’s script read: “I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog.”

--Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she’s blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.0111 fax
sragaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hi, Carolyn.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue / WMC401
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2852 direct 206.228.3211 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Schneider, David C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:46 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subject: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

Can you get me the information on the original loan? Today please.

You can have this done by the channel that originated the deal.

ds

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:31 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Owon, Jon L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

All:
As expected, coverage on Congresswoman Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? — Calculated Risk
by Tanya
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html
This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

********

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lenders have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

********

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and thence covered by a number of blogs, with the story line being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments. Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story—she's not holding my breath on that—we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that WaMu screwed this up—that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson's other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP: Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she...
served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home--running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.--is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from her County to the state capitol. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capital (of California or the U.S.): many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $535,000, with no down payment and with $135,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.0% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty darn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3323.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3703.13. The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). Assume the per diem is non taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. This gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 35% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanta. I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what you plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007--five months after purchase--you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn't budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We're going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I knew a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see squat to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if WaMu did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I'd really like to know what went through the Loss Mit Department's collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson's salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that?

Where's she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she's a Congresswoman?

I'm quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a "hardship letter" that didn't pass muster with me. I feel
obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn’t afford, defaulted on it, and now wants WaMu to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that’s . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land, she likes the REALTORS, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of REALTORS flew her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, REALTOR Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson’s script read: “I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog.”

--Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she’s blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.650.3822 direct | 206.282.FAX Scope
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 8:56 PM
To: Klein, Jason C. <jason.klein@wamu.net>, Stevens, Lawrence W. <lawrence.stevens@wamu.net>
Cc: Potashnick, Barbara A. <barbara.potashnick@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08 pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional
Attach: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08 pdf

Here you go...

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:42 PM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: 001 Complaint filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional

Gentlemen:

[Redacted]

Marty

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcguinn[@]kirbymcguinn.com
Direct Dial: (619) 525-...
Direct Fax: (619) 525-...

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1858 or (619) 585-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK,
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY,
LAURA RICHARDSON, and all persons
unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right,
title, estate, lien, or interest in the property
described in the complaint adverse to Plaintiff’s
title, or any cloud on Plaintiff’s title, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. ("Plaintiff") is, and at all times herein
mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California

2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK ("WAMU") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a
federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States and doing
business in the State of California.

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY ("CRC") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

4. The real property that is the subject of this action, 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California, Assessor's Parcel No. [redacted] ("Subject Property") is located in Sacramento County, California.

5. The Defendants named herein as "all persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property described in the Complaint adverse to plaintiff's title, or any cloud on plaintiff's title thereto" ("Unknown Defendants") are unknown to Plaintiff. These Unknown Defendants, and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

6. Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 1-10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 11-20, inclusive, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on or about January 10, 2007, a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") was recorded against the Subject Property to secure a loan in the amount $535,001.00 made by WAMU to LAURA RICHARDSON. CRC is the trustee under the Deed of Trust. (Attached hereto as Exhibit A, incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust.)
9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at some point prior to December 17, 2007, Defendant RICHARDSON defaulted on the payments due on the loan secured by the Deed of Trust.

10. On December 17, 2007, CRC recorded a Notice of Default on the Subject Property. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Default.) The Notice of Default provides that, as of December 13, 2007, the amount of the default was $18,356.40.

11. On March 19, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Trustee’s sale on the Subject Property, advertising the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property to the general public. (Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Trustee’s Sale.) Pursuant to the Notice of Trustee’s Sale, the unpaid balance and other charges was approximately $378,384.52.

12. The Notice of Trustee’s Sale provides that the sale was to occur on April 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., at the main entrance to the Sacramento County Courthouse, 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale was postponed to May 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., to be held at the same location.

14. In accordance with the Notice of Trustee’s Sale and the duly noticed postponement thereof, on May 7, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at the trustee’s sale to bid on the Subject Property. Plaintiff secured a cashier’s check in order to qualify to bid at the sale.

15. At the noticed time and place, CRC conducted the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that Defendants complied with all statutory requirements in conducting the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property.

16. Plaintiff bid at the trustee’s sale. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the trustee’s sale with a bid of $388,000.01.

17. Plaintiff timely delivered a cashier’s check in the amount of $388,000.01 to CRC. CRC accepted the cashier’s check from Plaintiff. (Attached hereto as Exhibit D & E, [00000 55 / 0007194 (DOC 1)].)
respectively, and incorporated hereon by reference, are true and correct copies of the receipt of
funds issued to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's cashier's check stub.)

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale of the Subject
Property was final and no further acts were required to consummate the sale.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about May 9,
2008, Defendants issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, to be recorded in Sacramento County
granting and conveying title and interest in the Subject Property to the Plaintiff. The Trustee's
Deed Upon Sale was recorded on May 19, 2008. (Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and
incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Trustee's Deed upon sale.)

20. Immediately after Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property, Plaintiff
immediately began improving the property and preparing to sell it, as Plaintiff is in the
business of buying and selling real estate. Among other things, Plaintiff painted select
portions of the residence, refurbished the flooring inside the residence, and performed general
clean-up and landscaping in and around the Subject Property at its expense. Plaintiff is
informed and believes and thereon alleges that the improvements made by Plaintiff enhanced
the value of the Subject Property.

21. On or about May 28, 2008, WAMU contacted Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff
that it wished to rescind the sale. Plaintiff informed WAMU that Plaintiff was the highest
bidder at the Trustee's Sale and that it had paid the full consideration in the manner specified
by the Notice of Trustee's Sale. Plaintiff also informed WAMU that Plaintiff had been issued
the Trustee's Deed, and recorded it, and had no intention of rescinding.

22. On or about June 2, 2008, Defendants recorded a Notice of Rescission at the
Sacramento County Recorder's Office. (Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein
by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission.)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Slandering of Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Does 1-10, inclusive)

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.

24. On or about May 7, 2008, Plaintiff became the fee owner of the Subject Property based upon its purchase of the Subject Property at the Trustee’s Sale.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about June 2, 2008, Defendants willfully, wrongfully, without justification, and without privilege caused to be recorded a Notice of Rescission.

26. The Notice of Rescission was false and continues to cause doubt to be cast on Plaintiff’s title to the Subject Property.

27. The recording of the Notice of Rescission directly impairs the vendibility of the property on the open market. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants recording the Notice of Rescission.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, in doing the things herein alleged, WAMU and CRC acted with malice, oppression, and/or fraud as defined under Civ. Code § 3294(c), in conscious disregard of Plaintiff’s rights, thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

29. The recording of the Notice of Rescission made it necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys and to bring this action. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney’s fees and costs incurred. The exact amount of such damages is not known to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known, or on proof thereof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

[Cancellation of Cloud on Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Does 1-10, inclusive)

30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Defendants claim an interest in the Subject Property which is adverse to the Plaintiff. Defendants’ interest in the Subject Property is purportedly based on the Notice of

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
Recession, recorded on June 2, 2008, which states that the sale is rescinded.

32. The Notice of Recession is invalid and void because the Defendant has no further rights to the Subject Property after the Trustee Sale was completed and the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale was issued and recorded.

33. Defendants’ claim to the Subject Property clouds the Plaintiff’s title, depreciates the property’s market value, and prevents Plaintiff from enjoying the use of the Subject Property.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

[Quiet Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive)

34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

35. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the fee simple title to the Subject Property.

36. The basis of Plaintiff’s title is that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property; Plaintiff tendered the full amount of its bid to the trustee; the trustee accepted Plaintiff’s tender; and, the trustee issued a Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale to Plaintiff, which was subsequently recorded, granting Plaintiff fee simple title to the Subject Property.

37. Plaintiff is seeking to quiet title against the claims of WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive, as follows: a Notice of Recession was recorded in Sacramento County California, Book 20080602, Page 0885, by CRC and WAMU purportedly rescinding the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale issued to Plaintiff which would have the affect of restoring the status of title to the Subject Property to the status quo prior to the recordation of the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale; the claims of all the Unknown Defendants and Does 11-20, inclusive, whether or not the claim or cloud is known to Plaintiff. The claims of Defendants are without any right whatsoever and such Defendants have no right, title, estate, lien, or interest whatever in the Subject Property or any part thereof.

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION; QUITE TITLE; UNJUST ENRICHMENT
38. Plaintiff seeks to quiet title to the Subject Property as of May 7, 2008, which is the date Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Unjust Enrichment]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, and DOES 1-10, inclusive)

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. Plaintiff has completed numerous improvements to the Subject Property, which have significantly increased its value. If Defendants are permitted to rescind the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, Defendants will be unjustly enriched by their retention of the increased value of the Subject Property.

PRAYER

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follow:

1. For general damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;

2. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;

3. For the Notice of Rescission to be delivered to the clerk for the court for cancellation and that it be declared void;

4. For a judgment that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the Subject Property and that Defendants have no interest in the Subject Property adverse to Plaintiff;

5. For restitution;

6. For attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein; and

7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DATED: 6/11/2008

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE
KLOMPARENS & YOUMANS LLP

By: _______________________
  THOMAS B. SHERIDAN
  Attorneys for RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.
EXHIBIT A
This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson’s foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today’s additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

********

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I’m not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don’t experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

“We have to ensure that lenders and lenders have the tools with proper timing to resolve this,” she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

********

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and then by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson “walked away” from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The “walk away” part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn’t stop the phrase “walk away” from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments. Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story—I’m not holding my breath on that—we’re unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It’s possible that WaMu screwed this up—that it accepted payments on a work out plan with the understanding that foreclosure was “on hold” and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It’s possible that Richardson’s version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I’m not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn’t find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the LA Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson’s other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceeding as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, I’ll get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson’s district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP:
Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she
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served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home—running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.—is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L. A. County to the state capital. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (or California or the U.S.): many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $555,900, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.00% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty damn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3123.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3703.13.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 35% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four deployment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanta. I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what you plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007—five months after purchase—you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. Didn't budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We're going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I knew a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see squat to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if WaMu did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I'd really like to know what went through the Los Mit Department's collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson's salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that?

Where's she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she's a Congresswoman?

I'm quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a "handicap letter" that didn't pass muster with me. I feel
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obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn't afford, defaulted on it, and now wants Wamu to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that's . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land, she likes the Realtors and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of Realtors flew her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, Realtor Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson's script read, "I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog."

--Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she's blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.560.2822 direct | 206.224.1700 cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net
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Attorneys for Plaintiff
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK,
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY,
LAURA RICHARDSON, and all persons
unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right,
title, estate, lien, or interest in the property
described in the complaint adverse to Plaintiff’s
title, or any cloud on Plaintiff’s title, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. ("Plaintiff") is, and at all times herein
   mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California

2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
   WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK ("WAMU") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a
   federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States and doing
   business in the state of California.

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY ("CRC") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

4 The real property that is the subject of this action, 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California, Assessor's Parcel No.[Redacted] ("Subject Property") is located in Sacramento County, California.

5 The Defendants named herein as "all persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property described in the Complaint adverse to plaintiff's title, or any cloud on plaintiff's title thereto" ("Unknown Defendants") are unknown to Plaintiff. These Unknown Defendants, and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property, and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

6 Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 1-10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 11-20, inclusive, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property, and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

7 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

8 Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on or about January 10, 2007, a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") was recorded against the Subject Property to secure a loan in the amount $535,001.00 made by WAMU to LAURA RICHARDSON. CRC is the trustee under the Deed of Trust. (Attached hereto as Exhibit A, incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust.)
9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at some point prior to December 17, 2007, Defendant RICHARDSON defaulted on the payments due on the loan secured by the Deed of Trust.

10. On December 17, 2007, CRC recorded a Notice of Default on the Subject Property. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Default.) The Notice of Default provides that, as of December 13, 2007, the amount of the default was $18,356.40.

11. On March 19, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Trustee's sale on the Subject Property, advertising the Trustee's Sale of the Subject Property to the general public. (Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Trustee's Sale.) Pursuant to the Notice of Trustee's Sale, the unpaid balance and other charges was approximately $378,384.52.

12. The Notice of Trustee's Sale provides that the sale was to occur on April 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., at the main entrance to the Sacramento County Courthouse, 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale was postponed to May 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., to be held at the same location.

14. In accordance with the Notice of Trustee's Sale and the duly noticed postponement thereof, on May 7, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at the trustee's sale to bid on the Subject Property. Plaintiff secured a cashier's check in order to qualify to bid at the sale.

15. At the noticed time and place, CRC conducted the trustee's sale of the Subject Property. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that Defendants compiled with all statutory requirements in conducting the trustee's sale of the Subject Property.

16. Plaintiff bid at the trustee's sale. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the trustee's sale with a bid of $388,000.01.

17. Plaintiff timely delivered a cashier's check in the amount of $388,000.01 to CRC. CRC accepted the cashier's check from Plaintiff. (Attached hereto as Exhibit D & E.)
respectively, and incorporated hereinafter by reference, are true and correct copies of the receipt of funds issued to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s cashier’s check stub.)

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale of the Subject Property was final and no further acts were required to consummate the sale.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about May 9, 2008, Defendants issued a Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale, to be recorded in Sacramento County granting and conveying title and interest in the Subject Property to the Plaintiff. The Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale was recorded on May 19, 2008. (Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Trustee’s Deed upon sale.)

20. Immediately after Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property, Plaintiff immediately began improving the property and preparing to sell it, as Plaintiff is in the business of buying and selling real estate. Among other things, Plaintiff painted select portions of the residence, refurbished the flooring inside the residence, and performed general clean-up and landscaping in and around the Subject Property at its expense. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the improvements made by Plaintiff enhanced the value of the Subject Property.

21. On or about May 28, 2008, WAMU contacted Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff that it wished to rescind the sale. Plaintiff informed WAMU that Plaintiff was the highest bidder at the Trustee’s Sale and that it had paid the full consideration in the manner specified by the Notice of Trustee’s Sale. Plaintiff also informed WAMU that Plaintiff had been issued the Trustee’s Deed, and recorded it, and had no intention of rescinding.

22. On or about June 2, 2008, Defendants recorded a Notice of Rescission at the Sacramento County Recorder’s Office. (Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission.)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Slander of Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Does 1-10, inclusive)

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the above-entitled action, and prays for such further relief as may be just and proper.
forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.

24. On or about May 7, 2008, Plaintiff became the fee owner of the Subject Property based upon its purchase of the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about June 2, 2008, Defendants willfully, wrongfully, without justification, and without privilege caused to be recorded a Notice of Rescission.

26. The Notice of Rescission was false and continues to cause doubt to be cast on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

27. The recording of the Notice of Rescission directly impairs the vendibility of the property on the open market. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants recording the Notice of Rescission.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, in doing the things herein alleged, WAMU and CRC acted with malice, oppression, and/or fraud as defined under Civ. Code § 3294(c), in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

29. The recording of the Notice of Rescission made it necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys and to bring this action. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred. The exact amount of such damages is not known to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known, or on proof thereof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Cancellation of Cloud on Title)

30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Defendants claim an interest in the Subject Property which is adverse to the Plaintiff. Defendants' interest in the Subject Property is purportedly based on the Notice of
Recession, recorded on June 2, 2008, which states that the sale is rescinded.

32. The Notice of Recession is invalid and void because the Defendant has no further rights to the Subject Property after the Trustee Sale was completed and the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale was issued and recorded.

33. Defendants’ claim to the Subject Property clouds the Plaintiff’s title, depreciates the property’s market value, and prevents Plaintiff from enjoying the use of the Subject Property.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive)

34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

35. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the fee simple title to the Subject Property.

36. The basis of Plaintiff’s title is that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property; Plaintiff tendered the full amount of its bid to the trustee; the trustee accepted Plaintiff's tender; and, the trustee issued a Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale to Plaintiff, which was subsequently recorded, granting Plaintiff fee simple title to the Subject Property.

37. Plaintiff is seeking to quiet title against the claims of WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive, as follows: a Notice of Recession was recorded in Sacramento County California, Book 20080602, Page 0885, by CRC and WAMU purportedly rescinding the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale issued to Plaintiff which would have the affect of restoring the state of title to the Subject Property to the status quo prior to the recordation of the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale; the claims of all the Unknown Defendants and Does 11-20, inclusive, whether or not the claim or cloud is known to Plaintiff. The claims of Defendants are without any right whatsoever and such Defendants have no right, title, estate, lien, or interest whatever in the Subject Property or any part thereof.
38. Plaintiff seeks to quiet title to the Subject Property as of May 7, 2008, which is
the date Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property at the Trustee’s Sale.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Unjust Enrichment]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, and DOES 1-10, inclusive)

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. Plaintiff has completed numerous improvements to the Subject Property, which
have significantly increased its value. If Defendants are permitted to rescind the Trustee’s
Deed Upon Sale, Defendants will be unjustly enriched by their retention of the increased value
of the Subject Property.

PRAYER

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follow:

1. For general damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;

2. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;

3. For the Notice of Rescission to be delivered to the clerk for the court for
cancellation and that it be declared void;

4. For a judgment that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the Subject Property
and that Defendants have no interest in the Subject Property adverse to
Plaintiff;

5. For restitution;

6. For attorneys’ fees and costs incurred herein; and

7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DATED: 6/11/2008

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE
KLOMPARENS & YOUHAMS LLP

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN
Attorneys for RED ROCK MORTGAGE,
INC.
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COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
EXHIBIT A
DEED OF TRUST

DEFINITIONS

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 1, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated January 4, 2007 together with all riders to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is Laura Richardson, An Unmarried Woman

Borrower's address is 717 E Vernon St, Long Beach, CA 90806.

(C) "Lender" is Washington Mutual Bank

Lender is a federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States.
Lender's address is 1400 South Douglass Road, Suite 100, Anaheim, CA 92805

Lender is the beneficiary under the Security Instrument.

(D) "Trustee" is California Reconveyance Company, a California corporation

(E) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower dated January 4, 2007. The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Five Hundred Thirty Five Thousand One and No/100 Dollars (U.S. $535,001.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than February 1, 2007.

(F) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property." The "Property" is (check one or more boxes as applicable):

- Adjustable Rate Rider
- Condominium Rider
- Second Home Rider
- Balloon Rider
- Platted Unit Development Rider
- 1-4 Family Rider
- VA Rider
- Biweekly Payment Rider
- Other(s) [specify]

(G) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(H) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower.

(I) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable opinions.

(J) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(K) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.

(L) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(M) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conversion in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.

(N) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.

(O) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(P) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Sections 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Parts 3500), as it might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard
to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(C) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County of SACRAMENTO: [Legal Description Attached Hereto and Made A Part Hereof]

Exhibit 'A'

Parcel ID Number: [ ] which currently has the address of
3622 West Curtis Drive
Sacramento, California 95818
("Property Address").

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property."

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seized of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT contains uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. BORROWER and LENDER covenants and agree as follows.

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 1. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S. 
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currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment if the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment as applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payments to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payment due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note; (b) principal due under the Note; (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payment if, and to the extent that, such payments can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Escrow Items") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) household payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premium for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5, and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items under Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver only be
in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower’s obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase “covenants and agreements” is used in Section 9. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current rates and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an instrument whose deposits are insured) or by any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such charges. Unless an agreement is made in writing of Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Borrower shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA. Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA. Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to the Borrower the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold rentals or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3.

Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) consulate the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender’s opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded, or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the
Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

4. Property Insurance. Should Borrower fail to maintain the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remapping or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amount disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. Those amounts shall bear interest at the Prime rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, no coverage required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progressive payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with...
the excess, if any, paid to borrower. Such Insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower’s rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower’s rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies insuring the Property, including such rights as are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower’s principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower’s principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower’s control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purposes. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repair and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower’s obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agents may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower’s Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any person or entity acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower’s knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower’s occupancy of the Property or Borrower’s principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender’s Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender’s interest in the Property and/or rights under the Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enjoin laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender’s interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or maintaining the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender’s actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable
attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate buildings to other unsafe violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest on earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender required) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage Insurance in effect, or in provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirements for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchased the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, any mortgage insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed “ captive reinsurance.” Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.
(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has, if any, with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or

termination.

11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby

assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of
the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not released.
During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds
until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to
Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the
repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is
completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such
Miscellaneous Proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such
Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would
be released, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument,
whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be
applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous
Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with
the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market
value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or
greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial
taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums
secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds
multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the
partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property
immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market
value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the
amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless
Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums
secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the
Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages,
Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the time the notice is given, Lender is authorized
to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the
sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party
that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in
regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in
Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's
interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if
acceleration has occurred, resume as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be
dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material
impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of
any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property
are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be
applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for
payment or modification of acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender
to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify or amend any of the terms of this Security Instrument by reason of any act done or omitted by the Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payments from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"); (b) in co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (c) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (d) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forgive or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and interests under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.
16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be
governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights
and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of
Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it
might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In
the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable
Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be
given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include
the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to
take any action.

17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in
the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial
interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or earnest
agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.
If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is
not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior
written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security
Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by
Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Notice of Acceleration. The notice shall provide
a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15
within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay
these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this
Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions,
Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any
time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in
this Security Instrument; (b) any other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of
Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions
are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security
Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any defaults of any other covenants or
agreements, (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to,
reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the
purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d)
takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and
rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security
Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and
expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c)
certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon
an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Escrowed
Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby
shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply
in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in
the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to
Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects
Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan
servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be
one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan
Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the
new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA
requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined in any judicial action (as either an individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party herein a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Condition of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any remedial action is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.
NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument without further demand and may invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute a written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold. Trustee shall cause this notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender or Trustee shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the other persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and to any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Lender may charge such person or persons a reasonable fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law. If the fee charged does not exceed the fee set by Applicable Law, the fee is conclusively presumed to be reasonable.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder by an instrument executed and acknowledged by Lender and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the county in which the Property is located. The instruments shall contain the name of the original Lender, Trustee and Borrower, the book and page where this Security Instrument is recorded and the name and address of the successor trustee. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, powers and duties conferred upon the Trustee herein and by Applicable Law. This procedure for substitution of trustee shall govern in the exclusion of all other provisions for substitution.

25. Statement of Obligation Fee. Lender may collect a fee not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by Applicable Law for furnishing the statement of obligation as provided by Section 2943 of the Civil Code of California.
BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesses:

__________________________
Lauren Richardson  
Borrower  

__________________________
Borrower  

__________________________
Borrower  

__________________________
Borrower  

__________________________
Borrower  

__________________________
State of California
County of Sacramento

On January 4, 2007 before me, Nikki Davis, a notary public, personally appeared

Laura Richardson

I, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) were subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which he personally acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

NIKKI DAVIS
COMM. #1520769
Notary Public-California
SACRAMENTO COUNTY

(Seal)
Exhibit "A"
Legal Description

Lot 259 as shown on the official Plat of South Curt's Oaks Subdivision No. 6, filed in the office of the County Recorder of Sacramento County, February 10, 1927 in Book 19 of Maps, Map No. 19.
FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made as of the 4th day of January, 2007, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower’s Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to Washington Mutual Bank ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at: 3522 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

[Property Address]

THE NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE FROM THE INITIAL FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN THE MONTHLY PAYMENT. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER’S INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

4. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for a change in the initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and for changes in the monthly payments, as follows:

4. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

(A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate Borrower will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the first day of February, 2009, and the interest rate Borrower will pay may change on that day every 6th month thereafter. Each date on which Borrower’s interest rate could change is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, Borrower’s interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for six months U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in The Wall Street Journal. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before the Change Date is called the "Current Index."
If the index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give Borrower notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes

Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate Borrower’s new interest rate by adding Four and 99/100 percentage points (4.990%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be Borrower’s new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that Borrower is expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at Borrower’s new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of Borrower’s monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate Borrower is required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.600% or less than 8.800%. Thereafter, Borrower’s interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than One percentage point (1.000%) from the rate of interest Borrower has been paying for the preceding month. Borrower’s interest rate will never be greater than 14.800% or less than 8.800%.

(E) Effective Date of Changes

Borrower’s new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. Borrower will pay the amount of Borrower’s new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes

The Note Holder will deliver or mail to Borrower a notice of any changes in Borrower’s interest rate and the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment before the effective date of any change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to the Borrower and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question Borrower may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument is amended to read as follows:

(A) Until Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument provides as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
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(B) When Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall then instead provide as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, “interest in the Property” means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or security agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower to a future date to a purchaser. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this provision shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender’s security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender’s consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

[Signature]
(Borrower)

[Signature]
(Borrower)

[Signature]
(Borrower)

[Signature]
(Borrower)

[Seal]

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
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EXHIBIT B
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9290 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 11 06 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311
888-989-0300
(818)775-2258 (Fax)

Sacramento County Recording
Craig A Kramer, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20071214 PAGE 0358
Check Number 9109
Fri: Day, DEC 14, 2007 8:41:18 AM
711 Ps 217 AM
oh-2007/12/17
TM1/71/1-2

Space above this line for recorder's use only

Trustee Sale No. 722376A Loan No. Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN
YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION,
and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due
payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for
reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for
the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice
of default may be recorded (which date of recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,366.40 as of December 13, 2007 and will increase until your account
becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance
and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future
payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay
other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or
mortgagor may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing.
In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagee may require as a condition to reinstatement that you
provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard
insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of
the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your
account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at
the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually
agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the
end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional
time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a
schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the first paragraph of this notice,
unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and
your credit permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property
by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
Trustee Sale No.72337726 Loan No. Title Order No. M721854

To find out the amount you must pay, or to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY, JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256, (877) 928-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 013-0363-001 Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust in security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 08/31/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPounds, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: December 13, 2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary,
By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

Marilyn L. Aquas

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 09/04/2007. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER.

On 04/07/2008 at 01:30 PM, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY as the duly appointed Trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070010, Page 1910, Instrument 23, and official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary, will sell at public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, a cashier's check drawn by a state or national bank, a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state. Sale will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the following described property under and pursuant to the Deed of Trust. The sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale.

PLACE OF SALE: AT THE MAIN ENTRANCE TO THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 720 9TH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA

Pursuant to the official plat of record and/or description of said property, as shown on the following official plat:

LOT 299 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NO. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 16

Amount of unpaid balance and other charges: $578,364.52 (estimated)

Street address and other common designation of the real property: 3922 WEST CURTIS DRIVE

APN Number: 01-00-00-01-01-01-00

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein. The property hereinafter described is being sold "as is"

DATE: 03-17-2009

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

(714) 248-7840 or www.itleitl.com
(714) 573-1983 or www.proformations.com

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
## RECEIPT OF FUNDS AND INSTRUCTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T.S. NO.</th>
<th>PRIORITY NO.</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Trustee</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>377-335</td>
<td>6-7-08</td>
<td>California Recovery Co.</td>
<td>9200 Oakdale Ave, #110612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>STATE ZIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA 91311</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NO.</td>
<td>CONTACT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800-792-6902</td>
<td>Deborah Brignac</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHECK NO.</th>
<th>NAME OF BANK</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00235906975</td>
<td>Wells Fargo BK</td>
<td>$388,000.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF ANY CASH RECEIVED $        
SUCCESSFUL BID $388,000.01 
TOTAL RECEIVED $388,000.01 
TRANSFER TAX $0 
AMOUNT REQUIRED $388,000.01 
RECORDING FEES $0 
REFUND AMOUNT $0 
REFUND PAYABLE TO N/A 
RECEIVED BY N/A 
BUYERS SIGNATURE N/A 
BUYERS NAME Jim York 
DRIVERS LICENSE NO. N/A 
TITLE TO PROPERTY TO BE VESTED AS FOLLOWS Red Rock Mortgage 

ADDRESS 3600 American River Dr., #135 
CITY Sacramento 
STATE CA ZIP 95835 
PHONE NO. 0 

JPMC - 002884 
CONFIDENTIAL 
CSOC.RICH.004377
EXHIBIT E
CASHIER'S CHECK

Purchaser
JAMES L YORK
Account #
CA0917254

PAY TO THE ORDER OF
***CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY***

***Three hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars and 01 cent***

SERIAL: 002336875
ACCOUNT: 00000

May 07, 2008

**$388,000.01**

NON-NEGOTIABLE

Purchaser Copy

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.
400 CAPITOL MALL
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
FOR INQUIRIES CALL (440) 591-2122

NOTICE TO PURCHASER: IF THIS INSTRUMENT IS LOST, STOLEN OR DESTROYED, YOU MAY REQUEST CANCELLATION AND REISSUANCE. AS A CONSIDERATION FOR CANCELLATION AND REISSUANCE, WELLS FARGO BANK MAY IMPOSE A FEE AND REQUISITE AN INDEMNITY AGREEMENT AND BOND.

3-06

JPMC - 002886
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004379
TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

APN: 5262 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818
T.R.A. No.

The undersigned grantor declares:

1) The Grantor herein was not the foreclosing beneficiary.
2) The amount of the unpaid debt together with costs was $574,023.87
3) The amount paid by the grantee at the trustee sale was $388,000.00
4) The documentary transfer tax is $27,385
5) Said property is in SACRAMENTO

and CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee or substituted Trustee under the Deed of Trust hereinafter described, does hereby grant and convey, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. (herein called Grantee), all of its right, title and interest in and to that certain property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of California, described as follows: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 10.

Situs: 5262 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

RECEITALS:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1518, Instrument of official records of SACRAMENTO County, California, and after fulfillment of the conditions specified in said Deed of Trust authorizing this conveyance.

Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and such default still existed at the time of sale.

All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of a Sale have been complied with.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee's Sale and in exercise of its powers under said Deed of Trust, said the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being $388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee's Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

[Signature] Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, "Notary Public" personally appeared KARIME ARIAS, who swore to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

[Signature] (Seal)

IRMA GARCIA TORRES
Commissions # 166749
Notary Public, California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires Aug 17, 2009
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EXHIBIT G
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9200 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 11 05 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311

SACRAMENTO COUNTY RECORDING
Frederick B. Garcia, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20080520 PAGE 0885
Check Number 365266
Monday, JUN 02, 2008 10:45:44 AM
Tel: 916-831-0219
Rev: 0009415923
MCY/62/1-2

Trustee Sale No 723397CA  Loan No - Title Order No M721884

NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/07/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $535,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:

LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

APN: 01-06-00-002-2939-000

Situs: 5622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $300,000.00.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee's sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE'S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/08/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND RECORDED 05/19/2008 IN BOOK 20080519, PAGE 0487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY
Colleen Irby, Assistant Secretary

BY
Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY
Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY
Huey J. Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5/29/08 before me, SIERRIE HERRADURA, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature (Seal)

This document filed for recording by Public Notary Code Institute and Trust as an accommodation only. It has not been examined as to its execution or as to its effect upon the title.

SIERRIE HERRADURA
Commission No. 1292714
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires May 3, 2012
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From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 9:20 PM
To: Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>; Parker, Gary L. <gary.parker@wamu.net>
Cc: Berens, John <john.berens@wamu.net>; Champney, Steven D. <steven.champney@wamu.net>; Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Brennan, Carey <carey.brennan@wamu.net>; Potashnick, Barbara A. <barbara.potashnick@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional
Attach: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf

---

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:42 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional

---

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 625-1600 or (619) 665-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1650, San Diego, CA 92101.
CARL P. BLAINE (State Bar # 65229)
Email: cblaine@...

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN (State Bar #249306)
Email: ts@...

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE
KLOMPARENS & YOUMANS LLP
10640 Mather Blvd., Suite 200
Mather, California 95655
Telephone: (916) 920-5286
Facsimile: (916) 920-8608

Attorneys for Plaintiff
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK,
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY,
LAURA RICHARDSON, and all persons
unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right,
title, estate, lien, or interest in the property
described in the complaint adverse to Plaintiff’s
title, or any cloud on Plaintiff’s title, and DOES 1
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. ("Plaintiff") is, and at all times herein
mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California

2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK ("WAMU") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a
federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States and doing
business in the state of California.

3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY ("CRC") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

4. The real property that is the subject of this action, 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California, Assessor's Parcel No. [redacted] ("Subject Property") is located in Sacramento County, California.

5. The Defendants named herein as "all persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property described in the Complaint adverse to plaintiff's title, or any cloud on plaintiff's title thereto" ("Unknown Defendants") are unknown to Plaintiff. These Unknown Defendants, and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

6. Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 1-10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 11-20, inclusive, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on or about January 10, 2007, a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") was recorded against the Subject Property to secure a loan in the amount $535,001.00 made by WAMU to LAURA RICHARDSON. CRC is the trustee under the Deed of Trust. (Attached hereto as Exhibit A, incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust.)
9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at some point prior to December 17, 2007, Defendant RICHARDSON defaulted on the payments due on the loan secured by the Deed of Trust.

10. On December 17, 2007, CRC recorded a Notice of Default on the Subject Property. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Default.) The Notice of Default provides that, as of December 13, 2007, the amount of the default was $18,356.40.

11. On March 19, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Trustee’s sale on the Subject Property, advertising the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property to the general public. (Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Trustee’s Sale.) Pursuant to the Notice of Trustee’s Sale, the unpaid balance and other charges was approximately $378,384.52.

12. The Notice of Trustee’s Sale provides that the sale was to occur on April 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., at the main entrance to the Sacramento County Courthouse, 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale was postponed to May 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., to be held at the same location.

14. In accordance with the Notice of Trustee’s Sale and the duty noticed postponement thereof, on May 7, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at the trustee’s sale to bid on the Subject Property. Plaintiff secured a cashier’s check in order to qualify to bid at the sale.

15. At the noticed time and place, CRC conducted the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that Defendants complied with all statutory requirements in conducting the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property.

16. Plaintiff bid at the trustee’s sale. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the trustee’s sale with a bid of $388,000.01.

17. Plaintiff timely delivered a cashier’s check in the amount of $388,000.01 to CRC. CRC accepted the cashier’s check from Plaintiff. (Attached hereto as Exhibit D & E, COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE; CANCELLATION; QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHEMENT
respectively, and incorporated hereinafter by reference, are true and correct copies of the receipts of
funds issued to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's cashier's check stub.)

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale of the Subject
Property was final and no further acts were required to consummate the sale.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about May 9,
2008, Defendants issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, to be recorded in Sacramento County
granting and conveying title and interest in the Subject Property to the Plaintiff. The Trustee's
Deed Upon Sale was recorded on May 19, 2008. (Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and
incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Trustee's Deed upon sale.)

20. Immediately after Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property, Plaintiff
immediately began improving the property and preparing to sell it, as Plaintiff is in the
business of buying and selling real estate. Among other things, Plaintiff painted select
portions of the residence, refurbished the flooring inside the residence, and performed general
clean-up and landscaping in and around the Subject Property at its expense. Plaintiff is
informed and believes and thereon alleges that the improvements made by Plaintiff enhanced
the value of the Subject Property.

21. On or about May 28, 2008, WAMU contacted Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff
that it wished to rescind the sale. Plaintiff informed WAMU that Plaintiff was the highest
bidder at the Trustee's Sale and that it had paid the full consideration in the manner specified
by the Notice of Trustee's Sale. Plaintiff also informed WAMU that Plaintiff had been issued
the Trustee's Deed, and recorded it, and had no intention of rescinding.

22. On or about June 2, 2008, Defendants recorded a Notice of Rescission at the
Sacramento County Recorder's Office. (Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein
by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission.)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Slander of Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Does 1-10, inclusive)

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in, and incorporated by reference to, the preceding paragraphs of this complaint.
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24. On or about May 7, 2008, Plaintiff became the fee owner of the Subject Property based upon its purchase of the Subject Property at the Trustee’s Sale.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about June 2, 2008, Defendants willfully, wrongfully, without justification, and without privilege caused to be recorded a Notice of Rescission.

26. The Notice of Rescission was false and continues to cause doubt to be cast on Plaintiff’s title to the Subject Property.

27. The recording of the Notice of Rescission directly impairs the vendibility of the property on the open market. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants recording of the Notice of Rescission.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, in doing the things herein alleged, WAMU and CRC acted with malice, oppression, and/or fraud as defined under Civ. Code § 3294(c), in conscious disregard of Plaintiff’s rights, thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

29. The recording of the Notice of Rescission made it necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys and to bring this action. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney’s fees and costs incurred. The exact amount of such damages is not known to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known, or on proof thereof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

[Cancellation of Cloud on Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Does 1-10, inclusive)

30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Defendants claim an interest in the Subject Property which is adverse to the Plaintiff. Defendants’ interest in the Subject Property is purportedly based on the Notice of
Rescission, recorded on June 2, 2008, which states that the sale is rescinded.

32. The Notice of Rescission is invalid and void because the Defendant has no further rights to the Subject Property after the Trustee Sale was completed and the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale was issued and recorded.

33. Defendants’ claim to the Subject Property clouds the Plaintiff’s title, depreciates the property’s market value, and prevents Plaintiff from enjoying the use of the Subject Property.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

[Quiet Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive)

34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

35. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the fee simple title to the Subject Property.

36. The basis of Plaintiff’s title is that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property; Plaintiff tendered the full amount of its bid to the trustee; the trustee accepted Plaintiff’s tender; and, the trustee issued a Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale to Plaintiff, which was subsequently recorded, granting Plaintiff fee simple title to the Subject Property.

37. Plaintiff is seeking to quiet title against the claims of WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive, as follows: a Notice of Rescission was recorded in Sacramento County California, Book 20080602, Page 0885, by CRC and WAMU purportedly rescinding the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale issued to Plaintiff which would have the affect of restoring the state of title to the Subject Property to the status quo prior to the recordation of the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale; the claims of all the Unknown Defendants and Does 11-20, inclusive, whether or not the claim or cloud is known to Plaintiff. The claims of Defendants have no right, title, estate, lien, or interest whatever in the Subject Property or any part thereof.
38. Plaintiff seeks to quiet title to the Subject Property as of May 7, 2008, which is
the date Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Unjust Enrichment]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, and DOES 1-10, inclusive)

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. Plaintiff has completed numerous improvements to the Subject Property, which
have significantly increased its value. If Defendants are permitted to rescind the Trustee's
Deed Upon Sale, Defendants will be unjustly enriched by their retention of the increased value
of the Subject Property.

PRAYER

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follow:

1. For general damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;

2. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;

3. For the Notice of Rescission to be delivered to the clerk for the court for
cancellation and that it be declared void;

4. For a judgment that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the Subject Property
and that Defendants have no interest in the Subject Property adverse to
Plaintiff;

5. For restitution;

6. For attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein; and

7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DATED: 6/11/2008

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE
KLOMPARENS & YOUAMNS LLP

By:

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN
Attorneys for RED ROCK MORTGAGE,
INC.
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EXHIBIT A
DEED OF TRUST

DEFINITIONS
Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated January 4, 2007, together with all Rider(s) to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is Laura Richardson, An Unmarried Woman

Borrower’s address is 717 E Vernon St, Long Beach, CA 90806

(C) "Lender" is Washington Mutual Bank

Lender is a federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States.
Lender's address is 1400 South Douglass Road, Suite 100, Anaheim, CA 92805

Lender is the beneficiary under the Security Instrument.

(D) "Trustee" is California Reconveyance Company, a California corporation

(E) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated January 4, 2007
The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Five Hundred Thirty Five Thousand One and No/100 Dollars (U.S. $535,001.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than February 1, 2007.

(F) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

(G) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(H) "Riders" means all riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower [check box as applicable]

- Adjustable Rate Rider
- Condominium Rider
- Second Home Rider
- Balloon Rider
- Planned Unit Development Rider
- 1-4 Family Rider
- VA Rider
- Biweekly Payment Rider
- Other(s) [specify]

(I) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.

(J) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(K) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.

(L) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(M) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conversion in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.

(N) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.

(O) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(P) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Sections 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R., Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard...
TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property."

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seized of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. BORROWER and LENDER covenants and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be in the form of (check one):

Exhibit A

Legal Description Attached Hereto And Made A Part Hereof

Parcel ID Number: 3622 West Curtis Drive
Sacramento, California 95818

which currently has the address of

("Property Address").
currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment if the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unpaid funds. Lender may hold such unpaid funds until Borrower makes payments to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payment due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note, (b) principal due under the Note, (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, such payments can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) household payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premium for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5, and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items under Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be
in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 3. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an instrument whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement in writing of Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA. Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3. Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees to paying the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) converts the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the
lent. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

5. Property Insurance. Should Borrower fail to maintain the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards included, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remapping or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type of amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amount disbursed by Lender under the Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional insured. Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, the insurer required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional insured. Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may authorize proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the repair or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with
the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower’s rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amount unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower’s rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower’s principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower’s principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower’s control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purpose. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repair and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower’s obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower’s Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the loan application process, Borrower or any person or entity acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower’s knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower’s occupancy of the Property or Borrower’s principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender’s Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender’s interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender’s interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assuming the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender’s action can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable
attorneys' fees to protect its interests in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building to other unsafe conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

16. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage insurance previously in effect, from another mortgage insurance selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender required) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirement for Mortgage insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 16 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchased the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.
(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has - if any - with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.

11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures shall be hereby assumed by and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not released. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspections shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be released, the Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, recreate it as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed within a time that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeitures that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender.
to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amendments of the sums secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any demand made by the Lender or any Successor in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payment from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or prejudice the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers, Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"); (b) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (c) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (d) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and interests under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument until Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 29) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall continue notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Borrower shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Borrower's notice address stated herein unless Borrower has designated another address by notice to Lender. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.
16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be
governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights
and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of
Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it
might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In
the event that any provision of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable
Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can
be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding
masculine words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to
take any action.

17. Borrower’s Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18,
"interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited
to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or
executory agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.
If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower
is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior
written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security
Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by
Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall
provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15
within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay
these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this
Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any
time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in
this Security Instrument; (b) each other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of
Borrower’s right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those
conditions are that Borrower: (a) pay Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security
Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cure any defaults of any other covenants or
agreements, (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited
to, reasonable attorneys’ fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the
purpose of protecting Lender’s interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d)
takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender’s interest in the Property and
rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower’s obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security
Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstate ment sums and
expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c)
certified check, bank check, treasurer’s check or cashier’s check, provided any such check is drawn upon
an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; (d) Escrowed
Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby
shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not
apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in
the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to
Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects
Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan
servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be
one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan
Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the
new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA
requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is
serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations
to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not
assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an
individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party’s actions pursuant to this
Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by
reason of, this Security Instrument, until such borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such
notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the
other party herein a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If
Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time
period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and
opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to
Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective
action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those
substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the
following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic
pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive
materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that
relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response
action, remedial action, or removal action as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental
Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental
Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous
Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do,
not allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental
Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a
Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding
two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of
Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to
maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit
or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any
Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any
Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of
release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a
Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified
by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any remedial action or
remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary
remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on
Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.
NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 20 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assure the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument without further demand and may invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute a written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold. Trustee shall cause this notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender or Trustee shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the other persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and to any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Lender may charge each person or persons a reasonable fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law. If the fee charged does not exceed the fee set by Applicable Law, the fee is conclusively presumed to be reasonable.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee named hereunder by an instrument executed and acknowledged by Lender and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the county in which the Property is located. The instrument shall contain the name of the original Lender, Trustee and Borrower, the book and page where this Security Instrument is recorded and the name and address of the successor trustee. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, powers and duties conferred upon the Trustee herein and by Applicable Law. This procedure for substitution of trustee shall govern in the exclusion of all other provisions for substitution.

25. Statement of Obligation Fee. Lender may collect a fee not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by Applicable Law for furnishing the statement of obligation as provided by Section 2943 of the Civil Code of California.
BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesses:

[Signatures and seals]

[Signature]
Laurea Richardson
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower
State of California
County of Sacramento

On January 4, 2007 before me, NIKKI DAVIS a notary public
personally appeared

Laure Richardson

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

NIKKI DAVIS
COMM. #15920769
Notary Public-Colorado
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
Exhibit "A"
Legal Description

Lot 250 as shown on the official "Plat of South Curt's Oaks Subdivision No. 6", filed in the office of the County Recorder of Sacramento County, February 10, 1927 in Book 19 of Maps, Map No. 18.
FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made on this 4th day of January 2007, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed an amendment and supplement to the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to Washington Mutual Bank ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at: 3522 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

[Property Address]

THE NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE FROM THE INITIAL FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN THE MONTHLY PAYMENT. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

1. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of 8.800%. The Note provides for a change in the initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and for changes in the monthly payments, as follows:

4. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

(A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate Borrower will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the first day of February, 2009, and the interest rate Borrower will pay may change on that day every 6th month thereafter. Each date on which Borrower's interest rate could change is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, Borrower's interest rate will be based on an index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for six months U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in The Wall Street Journal. The most recent index figure available as of the date 45 days before the Change Date is called the "Current Index."

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
4140623 (US09)
If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give Borrower notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes

Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate Borrower’s new interest rate by adding

Four and 59/100 percentage points (4.590 %) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be Borrower’s new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that Borrower is expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at Borrower’s new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of Borrower’s monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate Borrower is required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.000 % or less than 8.800 %. Thereafter, Borrower’s interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than One percentage point (1.000 %) from the rate of interest Borrower has been paying for the preceding months. Borrower’s interest rate will never be greater than 14.800 % or less than 8.800 %.

(E) Effective Date of Changes

Borrower’s new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. Borrower will pay the amount of Borrower’s new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes

The Note Holder will deliver or mail to Borrower a notice of any changes in Borrower’s interest rate and the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment before the effective date of any change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to the Borrower and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any questions Borrower may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument is amended to read as follows:

(A) Until Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument provides as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
(B) When Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall then instead provide as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, “Interest in the Property” means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a deed for deed, contract for deed, instalment sales contract or other agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower to a future date to a purchaser. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intent of the transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender’s security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender’s consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Signature]
Borrower

[Sign Original Only]
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IMPORTANT NOTICE
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,366.40 as of December 13, 2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or mortgagee may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagee may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the first paragraph of this notice, unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
To find out the amount you must pay, or to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY, JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256, (877) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustee, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 1 Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 including the note(s) for the sum of $535,004.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust in security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 08/31/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: December 13, 2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary,
By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

Marilyn I. Aquas

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 09/24/2007. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER.

On 04/07/2008 at 01:30 PM, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY as the duly appointed Trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust Recorded 01/15/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1710, instrument 44242, of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as Beneficiary, will sell at public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, a cashier's check drawn by a state or national bank, a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank specified in section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state. Sale will be held by the duly appointed Trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed by and now held by the Trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to the Deed of Trust. The sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale.

PLACE OF SALE: AT THE MAIN ENTRANCE TO THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 720 9TH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA

Legal Description: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 16

Amount of unpaid balance and other charges: $578,364.52 (estimated)
Street address and other common designation of the real property: 3922 WEST CURTIS DRIVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95819
APN Number: [ ]

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein. The property hereinafter described is being sold "as is"

DATE: 03-17-2009

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee
(714) 243-7640 or www.tidelake.com
(714) 573-1965 or www.pro-tide.com

DESIGNATED TRUSTEE/VICE PRESIDENT
8200 OAKDALE AVE
MAILSTOP N10512
CHACOWORTH, CA 91311

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
EXHIBIT D
**RECEIPT OF FUNDS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

**T.S. NO.**

**PRIORITY NO.** 327335  **DATE** 5-7-08

**TRUSTEE** California Recimmers, Co.

**ADDRESS** 9200 Oakdale Ave  #110612

**CITY** Chatsworth  **STATE** CA  **ZIP** 91311

**PHONE NO.** 800-792-6902  **CONTACT** Deborah Brignac

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHECK NO.</th>
<th>NAME OF BANK</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000003906477</td>
<td>Wells Fargo BK</td>
<td>$388,000.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OF ANY CASH RECEIVED**

**SUCCESSFUL BID** $388,000.01  **TOTAL RECEIVED** $388,000.01

**TRANSFER TAX** $  **AMOUNT REQUIRED** $388,000.01

**RECORDING FEES** $  **REFUND AMOUNT** $  

**REFUND PAYABLE TO** N/A

**RECEIVED BY**  

**BUYERS NAME** Jim York  **DRIVERS LICENSE NO.** 

**TITLE TO PROPERTY TO BE VESTED AS FOLLOWS** Red Rock Mortgage

**ADDRESS** 3600 American River Dr. #135

**CITY** Sacramento  **STATE** CA  **ZIP** 958

**PHONE NO.**

---

JPMC - 002927
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004420
CASHIER'S CHECK

PAYER TO THE ORDER OF ***CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY***

***Three hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars and 01 cent***

**$388,000.01**

May 07, 2008

NON-NEGOTIABLE

Purchaser Copy
EXHIBIT F
TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

The undersigned grantor declares:

1) The Grantee herein was not the foreclosing beneficiary.
2) The amount of the unpaid debt together with costs was $574,023.87
3) The amount paid by the grantee at the trustee sale was $388,000.01
4) The documentary transfer tax is 5/27.35
5) Said property is in SACRAMENTO

and CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee or substituted Trustee under the Deed of Trust hereinafter described, does hereby grant and convey, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, to Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. (herein called Grantor), all of its right, title and interest in and to that certain property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of California, described as follows: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 8", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 10.

Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

RECOLALS:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1518, Instrument of official records of SACRAMENTO County, California, and after fulfillment of the conditions specified in said Deed of Trust authorizing this conveyance.

Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and such default still existed at the time of sale.

All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of a Sale have been complied with.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee's Sale and in exercise of its powers under said Deed of Trust, sold the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being $388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee's Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, "Notary Public" personally appeared KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature (Seal)
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9200 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 11 05 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Sacramento County Recording
Frederick B. Garcia, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20080602 PAGE 0885
Check Number 360389
Monday, June 02, 2008 10:45:44 AM
Tel: 916.28
No.: 0000418923
NCY/62/1-2

Notice of Rescission of Trustee's Deed Upon Sale

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/07/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee, under the Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818,Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $559,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:

LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6TH" FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

APN: 0624-0250-05

Situs: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $300,000.00.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee's sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE'S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/08/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND RECORDED 05/19/2008 IN BOOK 20080519, PAGE 0487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCINDED AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY

Colleen Roy, Assistant Secretary

BY

Karine Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY

Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5/29/08 before me, SIERRIE HERRADURA, "Notary Public" personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN ROY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature (Seal)

This document filed for recording by Public Notary. Take information as an accommodation only. It has not been examined as to its execution or as to its effect upon the law.
Many thanks to all for your quick review and input. I plan to contact Gene in about 15 minutes or so.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
HOME LOANS PUBLIC RELATIONS
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2875 direct | 206.222.4322 cell
sara.gaugligmail.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

I'm fine with those as well.

Geri Ann S. Baptista – VP, WaMu Corporate Communications
206.500.2875 direct | 206.512.8566 cell | 206.377.2023 fax | geriann.baptista@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Questions:

- Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

- Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason, and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a borrower in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

- If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

- Sara
Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.7246 fax
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Accepted Richardson Update
Location: 877-709
Start: 9/2/2008 12:30 PM
End: 9/2/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.
Resources: 877-709

I am on other conference calls until 2:00 p.m.
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 2:40:04 PM
To: Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Updated: Richardson update

Liza- I am not sure we can reschedule however it is just an update- Monday will be a bigger meeting and we will work with everyone's schedules. Thanks, J

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-853-4561
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Russo, Elizabeth M. On Behalf Of Cook, Don
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 11:25 AM
To: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Declined: Updated: Richardson update

When: Friday, May 30, 2008 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM (GMT-07:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.

Jan - can we please reschedule this meeting? Don has another meeting that will run until 1:00 (and then another one starting at 1:00 that he can't miss), so he won't be able to attend. Sorry for any confusion.

Thanks,
Liza Russo
Legal Assistant
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Ibreport.com <mail@ibreport.com>
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Thank you for your email. My question doesn’t relate to Mr. Richardson’s loan situation. I am inquiring regarding WaMu’s lending practices during that period.

Under what circumstances did WaMu make no-money-down purchase money home loans in late 2006 and early 2007, and under what circumstances, if any, does it do so now?

Please advise and thank you.

S/ William Pearl, publisher
LongBeachReport.com
mail@ibreport.com
Thanks for forwarding, Debbie. I’m currently on the phone with servicing and our attorneys with regard to this situation.

Geri Ann, if you’d like to join the call, here’s the conference call number. We’re just starting now.

(503) 723-1234

Jan, FYI below.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WHC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.1234 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1901 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.377.2000 direct, 206.377.2073 fax
debbie.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:08:59 PM
To: Cook, Don; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Gaugl, Berenice C.
Subject: FW

Attachments: Richardson reinstatement.doc

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
601 K Street Suite 110
Bancroft, CA 95614
516-363-0167
916-255-4717 fax
jan.owen@wenu.net

From: Alvarez, Rosalva R.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 2:08 PM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW

Rosie Alvarez
Executive Response Team
Customer Relations Manager
Washington Mutual Bank
(800) 225-5497 Opt. 1 EXT. 467
Fax (206) 946-3062

"I long to accomplish great and noble tasks, but it is my chief duty to accomplish humble tasks as though they were great and noble. The world is moved along, not only by the mighty slaughters of its heroes, but also by the aggregate of the tiny pushers of each honest worker." Helen Keller

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Banks, Donna R.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 2:07 PM
To: Alvarez, Rosalva R.
Subject:
Donna Banks
Default Specialist II
Washington Mutual Bank
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson
717 East Vernon Street
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number [redacted]
    Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO
CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON
YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY
INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your
reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified
funds to us at the below address.

Washington Mutual
Default Cash Processing
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire
June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732- [redacted]

Sincerely,

Allison Dolan
Default Specialist II – ERT
Washington Mutual Home Loans
Yes. We will be in and out for periods of time, but generally around. My home telephone number is (206) 533-2271 and my cell phone number is (206) 687-2271. It is probably best to reach me on my cell phone unless it is before 10:00 in the morning or after 8:00 at night. Would any particular time be convenient for you?

--------------------------------

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Schneider, David C. 
To: Cook, Don 
Subject: Re: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional - Attorney-Client Privileged Communication

REDACTED

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Cook, Don 
To: Schneider, David C. 
Cc: Bremer, Carey 
Subject: Fw: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional - Attorney-Client Privileged Communication

REDACTED

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Martin T. McGuinn 
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:42 PM 
To: Blauza, Paul J. 
Cc: Cook, Don 
Subject: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional

REDACTED
Marty

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1930
San Diego, CA 92101

Direct Dial: (619) 525-...
Direct Fax: (619) 525-...

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1659 or (619) 685-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1930, San Diego, CA 92101.
From: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 10:43 PM
To: Schneider, David C. <david.schneider@wamu.net>
Cc: Brennan, Carey <carey.brennan@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08 pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional - Attorney-Client
Privileged Communication
Attach: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf

---

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 5:42 PM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: 001 Complaint Filed 6-12-08.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Professional

---

This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1850 or (619) 695-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A.P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
CARL P. BLAINE (State Bar # 65229)  
Email: cblaine@tualatinlaw.com  
THOMAS B. SHERIDAN (State Bar #249306)  
Email: tshe@wagnerkirkman.com  
WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE  
KLOMparens & YOUNTANS LLP  
10640 Mather Blvd., Suite 200  
Mather, California 95655  
Telephone: (916) 920-5286  
Facsimile: (916) 920-8608  

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR:
1. SLANDER OF TITLE  
2. CANCELLATION  
3. QUIET TITLE  
4. UNJUST ENRICHMENT

Plaintiff, RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. alleges as follows:
1. Plaintiff RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. ("Plaintiff") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.
2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK ("WAMU") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States and doing business in the state of California.
3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY ("CRC") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

4. The real property that is the subject of this action, 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, California, Assessor's Parcel No. [insert number] ("Subject Property") is located in Sacramento County, California.

5. The Defendants named herein as "all persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the property described in the Complaint adverse to plaintiff's title, or any cloud on plaintiff's title thereto" ("Unknown Defendants") are unknown to Plaintiff. These Unknown Defendants, and each of them, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

6. Defendants DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 1-10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does 11-20, inclusive, claim some right, title, estate, lien, or interest adverse to Plaintiff's interest in title to the Subject Property; and their claims, and each of them, constitute a cloud on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that on or about January 10, 2007, a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") was recorded against the Subject Property by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust.)

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE; CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at some point prior to December 17, 2007, Defendant RICHARDSON defaulted on the payments due on the loan secured by the Deed of Trust.

10. On December 17, 2007, CRC recorded a Notice of Default on the Subject Property. (Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Default.) The Notice of Default provides that, as of December 13, 2007, the amount of the default was $18,356.40.

11. On March 19, 2008, CRC recorded a Notice of Trustee’s sale on the Subject Property, advertising the Trustee’s Sale of the Subject Property to the general public. (Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Trustee’s Sale.) Pursuant to the Notice of Trustee’s Sale, the unpaid balance and other charges was approximately $378,384.52.

12. The Notice of Trustee’s Sale provides that the sale was to occur on April 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., at the main entrance to the Sacramento County Courthouse, 720 9th Street, Sacramento, California.

13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale was postponed to May 7, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., to be held at the same location.

14. In accordance with the Notice of Trustee’s Sale and the duly noticed postponement thereof, on May 7, 2008, Plaintiff appeared at the trustee’s sale to bid on the Subject Property. Plaintiff secured a cashier’s check in order to qualify to bid at the sale.

15. At the noticed time and place, CRC conducted the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property. Plaintiff is informed and believes thereon alleges that Defendants complied with all statutory requirements in conducting the trustee’s sale of the Subject Property.

16. Plaintiff bid at the trustee’s sale. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the trustee’s sale with a bid of $388,000.01.

17. Plaintiff timely delivered a cashier’s check in the amount of $388,000.01 to CRC. CRC accepted the cashier’s check from Plaintiff. (Attached hereto as Exhibit D & E, CONSULTANT REPORT.)
respectively, and incorporated here by reference, are true and correct copies of the receipt of
funds issued to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's cashier's check stub.)

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the sale of the Subject
Property was final and no further acts were required to consummate the sale.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about May 9,
2008, Defendants issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, to be recorded in Sacramento County
granting and conveying title and interest in the Subject Property to the Plaintiff. The Trustee's
Deed Upon Sale was recorded on May 19, 2008. (Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and
incorporated herein by reference, is a true and correct copy of the Trustee's Deed upon sale.)

20. Immediately after Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property, Plaintiff
immediately began improving the property and preparing to sell it, as Plaintiff is in the
business of buying and selling real estate. Among other things, Plaintiff painted select
portions of the residence, refurbished the flooring inside the residence, and performed general
clean-up and landscaping in and around the Subject Property at its expense. Plaintiff is
informed and believes and thereon alleges that the improvements made by Plaintiff enhanced
the value of the Subject Property.

21. On or about May 28, 2008, WAMU contacted Plaintiff and informed Plaintiff
that it wished to rescind the sale. Plaintiff informed WAMU that Plaintiff was the highest
bidder at the Trustee's Sale and that it had paid the full consideration in the manner specified
by the Notice of Trustee's Sale. Plaintiff also informed WAMU that Plaintiff had been issued
the Trustee's Deed, and recorded it, and had no intention of rescinding.

22. On or about June 2, 2008, Defendants recorded a Notice of Rescission at the
Sacramento County Recorder's Office. (Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein
by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the recorded Notice of Rescission.)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Slander of Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, CRC and Does 1-10, inclusive)

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set
forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 above.

24. On our about May 7, 2008, Plaintiff became the fee owner of the Subject Property based upon its purchase of the Subject Property at the Trustee's Sale.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, on or about June 2, 2008, Defendants willfully, wrongfully, without justification, and without privilege caused to be recorded a Notice of Rescission.

26. The Notice of Rescission was false and continues to cause doubt to be cast on Plaintiff's title to the Subject Property.

27. The recording of the Notice of Rescission directly impairs the vendibility of the property on the open market. Accordingly, Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants recording of the Notice of Rescission.

28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, in doing the things herein alleged, WAMU and CRC acted with malice, oppression, and/or fraud as defined under Civ. Code § 3294(c), in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, thereby warranting an assessment of punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish Defendants and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct.

29. The recording of the Notice of Rescission made it necessary for Plaintiff to retain attorneys and to bring this action. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees and costs incurred. The exact amount of such damages is not known to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will move to amend this complaint to state such amount when the same becomes known, or on proof thereof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

[Cancellation of Cloud on Title]

30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above.

31. Defendants claim an interest in the Subject Property which is adverse to the Plaintiff. Defendants' interest in the Subject Property is purportedly based on the Notice of
Recession, recorded on June 2, 2008, which states that the sale is rescinded.

32. The Notice of Recession is invalid and void because the Defendant has no further rights to the Subject Property after the Trustee Sale was completed and the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was issued and recorded.

33. Defendants' claim to the Subject Property clouds the Plaintiff's title, depreciates the property's market value, and prevents Plaintiff from enjoying the use of the Subject Property.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

[Quiet Title]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive)

34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

35. Plaintiff is the sole owner of the fee simple title to the Subject Property.

36. The basis of Plaintiff's title is that Plaintiff was the high bidder at the Trustee's Sale of the Subject Property; Plaintiff tendered the full amount of its bid to the trustee; the trustee accepted Plaintiff's tender; and, the trustee issued a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale to Plaintiff, which was subsequently recorded, granting Plaintiff fee simple title to the Subject Property.

37. Plaintiff is seeking to quiet title against the claims of WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS, and DOES 11-20, inclusive, as follows: a Notice of Recession was recorded in Sacramento County California, Book 20080602, Page 0885, by CRC and WAMU purportedly rescinding the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale issued to Plaintiff which would have the effect of restoring the state of title to the Subject Property to the status quo prior to the recordation of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale; the claims of all the Unknown Defendants and Does 11-20, inclusive, whether or not the claim or cloud is known to Plaintiff. The claims of Defendants are without any right whatsoever and such Defendants have no right, title, estate, lien, or interest whatever in the Subject Property or any part thereof.
38. Plaintiff seeks to quiet title to the Subject Property as of May 7, 2008, which is the date Plaintiff purchased the Subject Property at the Trustee’s Sale.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Unjust Enrichment]

(Against Defendants WAMU, LAURA RICHARDSON, and DOES 1-10, inclusive)

39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 above.

40. Plaintiff has completed numerous improvements to the Subject Property, which have significantly increased its value. If Defendants are permitted to rescind the Trustee’s Deed Upon Sale, Defendants will be unjustly enriched by their retention of the increased value of the Subject Property.

PRAYER

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants as follow:

1. For general damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;
2. For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
3. For the Notice of Rescission to be delivered to the clerk for the court for cancellation and that it be declared void;
4. For a judgment that Plaintiff is the owner in fee simple of the Subject Property and that Defendants have no interest in the Subject Property adverse to Plaintiff;
5. For restitution;
6. For attorneys’ fees and costs incurred herein; and
7. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

DATED: 6/11/2008

WAGNER KIRKMAN BLAINE KLOMPARENS & YOUmans LLP

By:

THOMAS B. SHERIDAN
Attorneys for RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC

COMPLAINT FOR SLANDER OF TITLE, CANCELLATION, QUIET TITLE, UNJUST ENRICHMENT
DEED OF TRUST

DEFINITIONS
Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated January 4, 2007, together with all Rider(s) to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is Laura Richardson, An Unmarried Woman

Borrower's address is 717 E Vernon St, Long Beach, CA 90806

(C) "Lender" is Washington Mutual Bank

Lender is a federal association organized and existing under the laws of the United States.
Lender's address is 1400 South Douglass Road, Suite 100, Anaheim, CA 92805

Lender is the beneficiary under the Security Instrument.

(D) "Trustee" is California Reconveyance Company, a California corporation

(E) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated January 4, 2007.

The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Five Hundred Thirty Five Thousand One and No/100 Dollars (U.S. $535,001.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than February 1, 2007.

(F) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

(G) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(H) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower (check box as applicable):

- Adjustable Rate Rider
- Condominium Rider
- Second Home Rider
- Balloon Rider
- Planned Unit Development Rider
- 1-4 Family Rider
- VA Rider
- Biweekly Payment Rider
- Other(s) [specify]

(I) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.

(J) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(K) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephones, telephone instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.

(L) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(M) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by or held in trust by any third party for damages to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.

(N) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.

(O) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(P) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Sections 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulations, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Parts 3500), as they may be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard
to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(2) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY
This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County of SACRAMENTO:

Legal Description Attached Hereto And Made A Part Hereof

Exhibit A

 Parcel ID Number: 1
3622 West Curtis Drive
Sacramento (*Property Address*), which currently has the address of
(City), California 95818 (Zip Code)

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property."

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seized of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT contains uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. BORROWER and LENDER covenants and agree as follows.

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S.
currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment if the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payment due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note, (b) principal due under the Note, (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, such payments can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) household payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premium for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5, and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items under Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be
in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 3. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA. Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA. Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3. Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contacts the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded, or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the
Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or recording service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

2. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification, and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges such each time remapping or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower. Borrower's equity in the Property, or the covenants of the Property, against any risk, hazard, or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amount disbursed by Lender under the Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, the covenants required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee and Borrower further agrees to generally assign rights to insurance proceeds to the holder of the Note up to the amount of the outstanding loan balance.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss as though made promptly by Borrower. Unless the Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with
the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender: (a) Borrower’s rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower’s rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, unless such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower’s principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower’s principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower’s control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purpose. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repair and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower’s obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property if it has reasonable cause. Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower’s Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any person or entity acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower’s knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower’s occupancy of the Property or Borrower’s principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender’s Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender’s interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender’s interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assuming the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender’s actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable
attorneys' fees to protect its interests in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its security position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other undue violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requiring payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage insurance in effect; if, for any reason, the Mortgage insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender required) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance. If Lender required Mortgage insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage insurance in effect, or in a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirement for Mortgage insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchased the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage insurance, and they will not enable Borrower to any refund.
(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has - if any - with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.

11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Procedural Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not released. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspections shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing, Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be impaired, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the time the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not due. The Opposing Party means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds. Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, rescind as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed without a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Forfeiture By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender
to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify any provision of this Security Instrument by reason of any default made by the Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payment from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amount less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or prejudice the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"); (b) in co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (c) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (d) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and as approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and remedies under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charge. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall continue notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.
16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding neuter words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a deed for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contracts or equity agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser. All or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

19. Borrower's Right to Reinstatement After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any defects in any other covenants or agreements, (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and (d) takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assume that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Escrowed Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unannounced to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information.
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requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party’s actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party herein a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action, as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any removal or other remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for any Environmental Cleanup.
NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower’s breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a suit action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument without further demand and may invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute a written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender’s election to cause the Property to be sold. Trustee shall cause this notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender or Trustee shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the other persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and to any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee’s deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee’s deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee’s and attorneys’ fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Lender may charge such person or persons a reasonable fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law. If the fee charged does not exceed the fee set by Applicable Law, the fee is conclusively presumed to be reasonable.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder by an instrument executed and acknowledged by Lender and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the county in which the Property is located. The instrument shall contain the name of the original Lender, Trustee and Borrower, the book and page where this Security Instrument is recorded and the name and address of the successor trustee. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, powers and duties conferred upon the Trustee herein and by Applicable Law. This procedure for substitution of trustee shall govern in the exclusion of all other provisions for substitution.

25. Statement of Obligation Fee. Lender may collect a fee not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by Applicable Law for furnishing the statement of obligation as provided by Section 2943 of the Civil Code of California.
BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesses:

__________________________________________  (Seal)  
Laurel Richardson  
-Borrower

__________________________________________  (Seal)  
-Borrower

__________________________________________  (Seal)  
-Borrower

__________________________________________  (Seal)  
-Borrower

__________________________________________  (Seal)  
-Borrower

__________________________________________  (Seal)  
-Borrower
State of California
County of Sacramento

On January 4, 2009 before me, NIKKI DAVIS a Notary Public
personally appeared

Laura Richardson

, personally known to me
(or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) were subscribed to
the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their
authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity
upon behalf of which he/she/they acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

NIKKI DAVIS
COMM. #1920769
Notary Public-California
SACRAMENTO COUNTY

(Seal)
Exhibit "A"
Legal Description

Lot 299 as shown on the official "Plat of South Curt's Oaks Subdivision No. 8", filed in the office of the County Recorder of Sacramento County, February 10, 1927 in Book 19 of Maps, Map No. 19

April 19:31:17
FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made on this 4th day of January, 2007, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to Washington Mutual Bank ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

[Property Address]

THE NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE FROM THE INITIAL FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN THE MONTHLY PAYMENT. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

4. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of 8.800%. The Note provides for a change in the initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and for changes in the monthly payments, as follows:

(A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate Borrower will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the first day of February, 2009, and the interest rate Borrower will pay may change on that day every 6th month thereafter. Each date on which Borrower's interest rate could change is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, Borrower's interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for six months U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in The Wall Street Journal. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before the Change Date is called the "Current Index."

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Libor
If the index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give Borrower notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes

Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate Borrower’s new interest rate by adding 4% and 59/100 percentage points (4.990%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be Borrower’s new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that Borrower is expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at Borrower’s new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of Borrower’s monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate Borrower is required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 16.000% or less than 8.800%. Thereafter, Borrower’s interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than one percentage point (1.000%) from the rate of interest Borrower has been paying for the preceding months. Borrower’s interest rate will never be greater than 14.800% or less than 8.800%.

(E) Effective Date of Changes

Borrower’s new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. Borrower will pay the amount of Borrower’s new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes

The Note Holder will deliver or mail to Borrower a notice of any changes in Borrower’s interest rate and the amount of Borrower’s monthly payment before the effective date of any change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to the Borrower and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question Borrower may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument is amended to read as follows:

(A) Until Borrower’s initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate, under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument provides as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender’s prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay those sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber

4140623 (0598)
(B) When Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall then instead provide as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred by deed for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or other agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser. If all or any part of the Property or any interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

[Signing}

Borrower

[ SEAL ]

[ SEAL ]

[ SEAL ]

[ SEAL ]

Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider - Liber
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EXHIBIT B
IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,366.40 as of December 13, 2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or mortgagee may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagee may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the first paragraph of this notice, unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.

**Description:** Sacramento, CA Document - Book: Page 20071214 Page: 1 of 2

**Order & Comments:**
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Trustee Sale No. 723537CA  Loan No. 3633  Title Order No. M721584

To find out the amount you must pay, or to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY, JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256, (877) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustee, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 07-001-58-080-000-000-000-000 Bitus: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 including the note(s) for the sum of $335,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust in security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 08/31/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND interest AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND interest; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND obligations SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: December 13, 2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary,

By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

[Signature]

Marilyn L. Aguas

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Description: Sacramento, CA Document - Book Page 20070110, Page 2 of 2
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EXHIBIT C
RECORDING REQUESTED BY
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO
CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY
9200 Oakdale Avenue
Mail Stop: N 11 05 12
Chatsworth, CA 91311

Trustee Sale No. [Redacted]
Loan No. [Redacted]
Title Order No. [Redacted]

Sacramento County Recording
Craig A. Kramer, Clerk/Recorder
BOOK 20080319 PAGE 0358
Check Number 2372
Wednesday, MAR 19, 2008 9:07:59 AM
Toll Free: 311.00  MSN: 34500505552

NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST DATED 09/24/2007. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDINGS AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER.

On 04/07/2008 at 01:30 PM, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY as the duly appointed Trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070610, Page 1910, Instrument , of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, executed by: LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary, will sell at public auction sale to the highest bidder for cash, cashier's check drawn by a state or national bank, a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal credit union, or a cashier's check drawn by a state or federal savings and loan association, savings association, or savings bank, in section 5102 of the Financial Code and authorized to do business in this state. Sale will be held by the duly appointed trustee as shown below, of all right, title, and interest conveyed to and now held by the trustee in the hereinafter described property under and pursuant to the Deed of Trust. The sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note(s) secured by the Deed of Trust, interest thereon, estimated fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee for the total amount (at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale) reasonably estimated to be set forth below. The amount may be greater on the day of sale.

PLACE OF SALE: AT THE MAIN ENTRANCE TO THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, 720 9TH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA

Legal Description: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NO. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 16

Amount of unpaid balance and other charges: $578,384.52 (estimated)
Street address and other common designation of the real property: 3022 WEST CURTIS DRIVE
APN Number: [Redacted]

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any shown herein. The property hereinafter described is being sold "as is".

DATE: 03-17-2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee
(714) 244-7640 or www.fidaltysae.com
(714) 573-1965 or www.propointtitle.com

DESIGNATED TRUSTEE
VICE PRESIDENT
6900 OAKDALE AVE
MAILSTOP:N11011
CHATSWORTH, CA 91311

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Description: Sacramento, CA Document - Book, Page 20080319, 358 Page: 1 of 1
Order: 3066671513 (Owner)
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RECEIPT OF FUNDS AND INSTRUCTIONS

T.S. NO.  PRIORITY NO. 377385 DATE 5-7-08

TRUSTEE  California Recovery Co.

ADDRESS  9200 Oakdale Ave, Y110612

CITY  Chatsworth STATE CA ZIP 91311

PHONE NO. 700-792-6902 CONTACT Deborah Brignac

CHECK NO.  NAME OF BANK  AMOUNT
0035906475  Wells Fargo BK  $388,000.01

TOTAL OF ANY CASH RECEIVED 

SUCCESSFUL BID $388,000.01  TOTAL RECEIVED $388,000.01

TRANSFER TAX  AMOUNT REQUIRED $388,000.01

RECORDING FEES  REFUND AMOUNT 

REFUND PAYABLE TO N/A

RECEIVED BY  BUYERS SIGNATURE

BUYERS NAME Jim Y.  DRIVERS LICENSE NO.

TITLE TO PROPERTY TO BE VESTED AS FOLLOWS Red Rock Mortgage

ADDRESS 3600 American River Dr. # 135

CITY  Sacramento STATE CA ZIP 958

PHONE NO. 0

TRUSTEE SALE ☑
TRUSTOR PAYMENT (*)
EXHIBIT E
CASHIER'S CHECK

PAY TO THE ORDER OF ***CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY***

***Three hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars and 01 cent***

$388,000.01

May 07, 2008

Selling Agent:

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
425 CAPITOL MALL
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

For Inquiries call: (443) 501-2122

NOTICE TO PURCHASER: IF THIS INSTRUMENT IS LOST, STOLEN OR DESTROYED, YOU MAY REQUEST CANCELLATION AND REISSUANCE AS A CONSENT TO CANCELLATION AND REISSUANCE, WELLS FARGO BANK MAY IMPOSE A FEE AND REQUIRE AN INDEMNITY AGREEMENT AND BOND.

NON-NEGOTIABLE

Purchaser Copy
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EXHIBIT F
TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

The undersigned grantor declares:

1) The Grantee herein was not the foreclosing beneficiary.
2) The amount of the unpaid debt together with costs was $574,023.87.
3) The amount paid by the grantee at the trustee sale was $388,000.01.
4) The documentary transfer tax is $27,35.
5) Said property is in SACRAMENTO.

and CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee or substituted Trustee under the Deed of Trust hereinbefore described, does hereby grant and convey, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. (herein called Grantee), all of its right, title and interest in and to that certain property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of California, described as follows: LOT 259 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NO. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 19 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 10.

Site: 9522 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

RECITALS:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007 and executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as Trustor, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 200700110, Page 1518, Instrument of official records of SACRAMENTO County, California, and after fulfillment of the conditions specified in said Deed of Trust authorizing this conveyance.

Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and such default still existed at the time of sale.

All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices or the publication of a copy of the Notice of Default or the personal delivery of the copy of the Notice of Default and the posting and publication of copies of the Notice of a Sale have been complied with.
Trustee, in compliance with said Notice of Trustee's Sale and in exercise of its powers under said
Deed of Trust, sold the herein described property at public auction on 05/07/2008. Grantee, being
the highest bidder at said sale, became the purchaser of said property for the amount bid being
$388,000.01 in lawful money of the United States, or by credit bid if the Grantee was the beneficiary
of said Deed of Trust at the time of said Trustee's Sale.

DATE: 05/09/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On May 09, 2008 before me, IRMA GARCIA TORRES, "Notary Public" personally appeared KARIME
ARIA, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s)
is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the
same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument
the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature [Signature] (Seal)

IRMA GARCIA TORRES
Commissioner # 1903932
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Commission Expires Aug 17, 2009
EXHIBIT G
NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

This Notice of Rescission is made on 05/07/2008 with respect to the following facts:

1. That CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, a California Corporation as the duly appointed trustee under that certain Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, and Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument naming LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN as trustor and WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK as beneficiary, securing a Promissory Note in the amount of $359,001.00.

2. The Deed of Trust encumbers the real property situated in the County of SACRAMENTO, State of CALIFORNIA, described as follows:
LOT 258 AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL "PLAT OF SOUTH CURTIS OAKS SUBDIVISION NOV. 6", FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, FEBRUARY 10, 1927 IN BOOK 1 OF MAPS, MAP NO. 18

A P N  [snip]
Situs. 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818

3. That by virtue of a Default under the terms of the Deed of Trust the Beneficiary did declare a default, as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Self, which Notice was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of SACRAMENTO, California.

4. On 05/07/2008, at 01:30 PM the property was purportedly sold to RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC., being the highest bidder at such sale who bid the amount of $308,000.01.

5. The Trustee's Sale on 05/07/2008 is being rescinded at the request of the Beneficiary, as the Beneficiary had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. The Trustee's sale of 05/07/2008 is therefore null and void, and of no force and effect.

6. The express purpose for this Notice of Rescission is to return the priority and existence of all lien holders to the status quo ante that existed prior to the Trustee's Sale.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY RESCINDS THE TRUSTEE'S SALE AND PURPORTED TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE AND HEREBY ADVISES ALL PERSONS, WHOMSOEVER AND WHATSOEVER LOCATED, THAT THE TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE DATED 05/08/2008, FROM CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY TO RED ROCK MORTGAGE, INC. AND RECORDER 05/19/2008 IN BOOK 20080519, PAGE 0487, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY IS HEREBY RESCIND AND SHALL HAVE NO FURTHER FORCE OR EFFECT WHATSOEVER.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, has caused its corporate name and seal to be hereto affixed by its authorized signature.

DATE: 05/29/2008

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as Trustee

BY

Colleen Irey, Assistant Secretary

BY

Karime Arias, Assistant Secretary

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA

BY

Deborah Brignac, Vice President

BY

Huey-Jen Chiu, Vice President

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On 5/29/08 before me, SIERRIE HERMADURA, "Notary Public," personally appeared DEBORAH BRIGNAC, HUEY-JEN CHIU, COLLEEN IRBY AND KARIME ARIAS, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

(Seal)

This document filed for recording by Public Notary Carla B. Eastover on 5/3/2012. It has not been examined as to its execution or as to its effect upon the date.
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From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:42:21 PM
To: Bohmester, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.
CC: Cook, Don; Adams, Carolyn; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Richardson/CNN

All:

So you are aware, I just received a call from a producer with CNN re: Congresswoman Richardson's "debacle."

Apparenty, CNN's Campbell Brown plans to discuss the Congresswoman's situation during tomorrow's 8 p.m Eastern broadcast.

The producer said she's been tasked with cross-checking the accuracy of Ms. Richardson's statements with WaMu at which point I informed her that we have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation.

At that point, she informed me that CNN has talked with a forensic accountant. Based on his/her analysis of Ms. Richardson's financial situation, the accountant has "determined" that the Congresswoman probably shouldn't have qualified for the (WaMu) loan in the first place.

I'll keep you informed as I learn more. I expect to receive an update from CNN tomorrow.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1201 Second Avenue | WMCO2 | Seattle WA 98101
206.550.2822 direct I 206.228.1777 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Thanks for forwarding! Hope all is well.

Fyi.

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-4901
916-525-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Los Angeles News Roundup October 21, 2008

Laura Richardson goes negative in safe Democratic District. Payback or playing scared? Noodles could bring jail time in Walnut. Health police crack down on the nefarious Walnut Family Festival.

This ex-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.
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Create another alert.
Manage your alerts.
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In July 2007, Vijay and Supriti Soni of Corona del Mar, Calif., paid $440,000 for a home at 2129 W. Civic Center Drive in Santa Ana.

Five weeks later, they resold the house to Javier Hernandez, the family gardener and handyman, for $660,000. That’s a 50 percent gain in 38 days — at a time when real-estate prices in Santa Ana were plunging.

But the lender that financed both mortgages, Washington Mutual, took a bath. Last March, Hernandez’s loan went into default and in July the bank foreclosed. On the trustee’s deed, the bank listed the home’s value at $377,139 — $282,861 less than the outstanding loan.

Records show WaMu, America’s largest savings and loan, financed at least 43 mortgages worth $24.5 million on properties bought and sold by members of the Soni family since 2007.

Of the 22 homes sold in that period, at least six have become problems for WaMu. Four were foreclosed, one received a notice of default and another was listed for sale at a $260,000 loss. Total value of WaMu’s mortgages on the troubled properties: $2.7 million.

The Seattle-based thrift’s lending practices resembled those of many other institutions that have run into trouble. They all offered complex adjustable-rate and subprime mortgages, approving many with limited scrutiny.

WaMu’s $310 billion in assets, its diverse loan portfolio, its large base of depositors and conservative risk management were supposed to protect the thrift from collapse. Now it appears to be the next domino in the row.

WaMu said it is investigating the Soni deals as part of a fraud scheme and maintained that those loans are not a symptom of larger problems.

“We have extensive controls in place to protect the integrity of our portfolio and loan processes,” spokeswoman Sere Geugli said. “We are continually enhancing our efforts to identify and prevent any potential illegal activity.”

But lending analysts said the Soni case raises questions about standards at WaMu, which could face a federal takeover if it can’t find a new source of credit. The distressed properties would then belong to the taxpayers.

“This is a quality-control problem,” said Paul Leonard of the Center for Responsible Lending’s California office. “It certainly is curious WaMu’s fraud-detection system didn’t pick this up. It looks very bad and it is bad. The question is how widespread it is.”

Leonard and others said the Soni deals probably escaped notice because WaMu, like many other lenders:
* Allowed financing of property flips that occur less than 90 days after purchase. The Federal Housing Administration banned financing 90-day flips in 2005. It also required a second appraisal for homes sold at a 100 percent gain less than 180 days after purchase.

* Relied heavily on imperfect fraud-detection software. Computers are good at flagging things like unrealistic income statements but can be deceived by determined insiders.

* Did not check criminal backgrounds. The Sonis had been convicted in 2003 of numerous felonies for a real-estate-fraud scheme. WaMu checks criminal backgrounds of loan originators, such as outside mortgage brokers, but not borrowers.

Last month, District Attorney investigators raided the family's homes and business offices.

"Unfortunately, we are back looking at these characters again," said Doug Brannan, the deputy Orange County District attorney who prosecuted the Sonis in 2003.

WaMu declined to answer questions about the Soni case.

"This is an active investigation and we are fully cooperating with local law enforcement regarding this matter," Gaugl said.

The Soni family's transactions with WaMu indicate it continued making risky loans long after its underwriting standards were supposedly tightened in mid-2007, said James Barth, a senior finance fellow at the Milken Institute in Santa Monica.

"Lending institutions had an obligation to do due diligence to make sure the borrower can repay the loan, especially in 2007 and 2008 when they knew there was a mortgage meltdown taking place," Barth said.

Santa Ana home prices peaked in 2005 and have slid more than 40 percent since.

While those prices were plummeting, members of the Sonis family never sold for a loss. A Register analysis of 22 Santa Ana properties flipped by the family in the past two years shows a total gain on sale of $3.7 million.

Average gain: 48 percent. Average time between purchase and sale: 92 days.

Todd Lackner, a San Diego mortgage-fraud investigator who has examined the transaction records, said the common thread of WaMu funding makes the Sonis' transactions even more disturbing. "Any idiot can see these sale prices are excessive," he said.

The FBI says mortgage-fraud reports increased 31 percent nationally in fiscal 2007. "During declining markets, mortgage-fraud perpetrators may take advantage of industry personnel attempting to generate loans to maintain current standards of living," the FBI's annual fraud report said.

In the past two years, Soni family members took out a total 14 mortgages with various other lenders, but WaMu was their preferred one, with triple that number of loans.

In August 2002, an Orange County Superior Court jury found Vijay and Supriti Soni guilty of forgery, falsifying real-estate documents, identity theft and grand theft. Vijay Soni was sentenced to a year in jail. He also surrendered his real-estate license. Supriti Soni was sentenced to three years in prison.

Brannan said their scheme "took advantage of their clients' trust when they exploited the unsuspecting customers' information for their own financial gain. They left these families with large
Financial liabilities, goods and property purchased in their name without their knowledge, many hidden costs, and a huge amount of grief to clean up their credit."

Last month, investigators from the Orange County District Attorney's office and state Franchise Tax Board served search warrants on nine locations, including the homes of the Sonis, her mother, Sushana Lohia, and the family of her sister Sunil Shah plus four family companies. They carted out 154 cardboard boxes and 40 computers filled with evidence.

Family members declined to comment for this story, citing the advice of attorneys.

"I'm confident that the facts will reveal that Mr. Soni has not engaged in any wrongdoing," said Vincent LaRabente, Vijay Soni's attorney.

In the past two years, the Soni family essentially created their own market in Santa Ana by flipping enough homes in a small area, said Lackner, the appraisal-fraud specialist. In at least three cases, homes flipped from one family member to another -- sales later used by appraisers to give credibility to high asking prices for other properties.

One example: Lohia bought the bank-owned house at 627 S. Flower for $249,500 on Jan. 4. She sold it 20 days later for $575,000 to her daughter, Sunil Shah, who financed the purchase with a $468,750 WaMu mortgage.

"Selling to each other, that's something an appraiser should definitely discover," said Mike Sanders, a Laguna Beach real-estate appraiser. "If the appraiser finds all the same people's names on transactions, then that's something suspicious."

In an interview with the India Journal in Southern California, Vijay Soni provided another clue to his success at selling homes in a falling market: He said his company made the down payments for the buyers.

Soni said his "liquidation company" bought foreclosed properties in Santa Ana, Riverside and Corona and sold them by offering "10 percent down free money to any qualified buyer." With this big burden out of the way, they only have to worry about coming up with their monthly mortgage.

That would be the equivalent of 100 percent financing, experts said. If loan documents do not fully disclose who made the down payment, it would misrepresent the purchaser's stake in the property and potentially is a form of criminal fraud or theft, said Ann Ritter, vice president for Interthinx, an Aequa Hills fraud detection company used by lenders.

"Unfortunately, the bank doesn't know it's 100 percent financing," she added.

Documents show that all of the family's sales through Washington Mutual indicated that the buyer paid a down payment of 10 to 20 percent.

But Eligio Gervin Rojas said he never made a down payment on the home he bought in November for $640,000 from Sushama Lohia. Records show he paid at least $64,000 before closing.

Rojas said he was renting when Lohia persuaded him to buy last year. He said he has fallen behind on payments on WaMu's $575,000 mortgage. He received a notice of default in July.

The Sonis were positioned to escape detection if in fact no money changed hands -- because Lohia, a licensed real-estate broker, also served as escrow agent.
When economists say that American consumers used their homes as automated teller machines during the recent credit boom, they are often talking about home equity lines of credit, a form of second mortgage popular among homeowners looking for a cushion against future cash shortfalls or a way to finance a new kitchen or a vacation.

It is no secret that lines of credit are harder to find these days.

But many homeowners in the United States may not realize that their existing lines of credit can be eliminated at the lender’s whim.

Washington Mutual, a major U.S. issuer of second mortgages, said last month that it had reduced or suspended about $6 billion of available credit under existing home equity lines.

Countrywide Financial, Bank of America and JPMorgan Chase have made similar moves.

Analysts say the cuts have mostly come in regions where real estate values have dropped, but people with poor payment histories can also be affected.

Sara Gaugl, a Washington Mutual spokeswoman, said: “We will increase, decrease or suspend lines based on a number of factors, including a customer’s entire relationship with WaMu, their payment status and history, changes to their creditworthiness, and changes in the value of their property. We believe this is part of being a responsible lender.”

Home values are a particularly large component of the lender’s decision, Gaugl said, and because the U.S. real estate market remains moribund, more credit lines could be cut.

“We will continue to evaluate individual home equity lines of credit in relationship to the amount of equity a customer has in their home,” she said, “and if appropriate, we’ll lower the line amount.”

Like other lenders, Washington Mutual does not publicize its guidelines for making cuts, since they vary, based on the borrower’s financial profile and changes in a home’s value.

Gaugl said, however, that Washington Mutual customers could appeal the company’s decision. Washington Mutual, which as of March 31 still had $51 billion of undrawn credit line commitments, continues to offer credit lines to qualified borrowers.

According to Cameron Findlay, chief economist at LendingTree, an online mortgage referral and origination service, borrowers with credit lines need not be blindsided by the bank. “If you’re wondering if you could be next,” he said, “just contact your lender and ask them what your loan-to-value ratio is for that loan.”

Traditionally, lenders would offer borrowers credit as long as they had more than 20 percent equity in their homes. That threshold dropped during the credit boom, when real estate values were climbing quickly.
Now traditional lending standards are once again in vogue, and equity is the touchstone.

Lenders have automated systems that can estimate a home's value without a formal appraisal, Findlay said, but they are now most likely underestimating that value "because they're hedging their bets a little bit."
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AS federal regulators and industry groups debate creating standards for explaining mortgage terms to borrowers, Washington Mutual is pushing ahead with its own initiative, which it says will help borrowers avoid bad loans.

The company's new rules apply to its loans marketed through 19,000 independent mortgage brokers, among other outlets, but not through its own branches. Earlier this month, it began requiring brokers to verify that they have disclosed key loan terms, spelled out in simplified language. Washington Mutual will also call borrowers before the mortgage closes to review the terms.

The company would not say whether its initiative was a response to recent criticisms of the mortgage industry, but the timing coincides with similar initiatives intended to make sure borrowers fully understand their mortgages.

Nonetheless, Washington Mutual's new approach signals an effort by one lender to assert greater control over the independent brokers who market loans on behalf of multiple lenders and who sell more of the mortgages taken in at its thrift in recent years.

Some brokers are bristling at the idea that lenders are getting more involved in their transactions. Speaking of Washington Mutual's new lending policy, Roy DeLoach, the executive director of the National Association of Mortgage Brokers, said, "We think it's flawed in a couple of ways."

Mr. DeLoach said the additional disclosure form required by Washington Mutual merely duplicates information already provided in other disclosure forms that brokers are required, by federal and state laws, to give borrowers within three days after completing a mortgage application.

Mr. DeLoach also said that Washington Mutual should not need to double-check with borrowers to make sure they understand the mortgage. "If you don't trust the mortgage broker you're dealing with, you probably shouldn't be doing business with them," he said.

Sara Gaugl, a Washington Mutual spokeswoman, said that the new forms in fact include information that is not in standard disclosure forms -- for example, the disclosure of prepayment fees and the fact that such fees can inhibit the borrower's ability to sell or refinance the property.

She also said that the company regards the follow-up call as a safety measure of sorts, not a signal that brokers should not be trusted. "We absolutely respect the relationship between the broker and the borrower," she said.

Washington Mutual's disclosure form is in three parts: broker fees paid by the borrower, broker fees paid by the lender, and the loan terms. The terms prominently list the existence of prepayment penalties, which can run into thousands of dollars for those who want to refinance a mortgage or sell the house before the end of the loan.

Washington Mutual's new lending rules also require brokers to arrange tax and insurance escrow accounts for all new subprime loans, to help borrowers keep up with expenses.
Ellen Bitton, the chief executive of the Park Avenue Mortgage Group, a Manhattan brokerage, said she regarded Washington Mutual's new lending standards as duplicative of her current practices. The fact that the company will call borrowers to check on the broker's performance "is a change," she said, adding: "I don't have a problem with it. It just means we're working in tandem to be sure the client gets the best service."
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WASHINGTON (AP) - Rep. Laura Richardson claimed Friday that her Sacramento home was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with her lender.

She said that she is like any other American suffering in the mortgage crisis and wants to testify to Congress about her experience as lawmakers craft a foreclosure-prevention bill.

In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday night, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage, allowing the house to be sold at auction.

Richardson, elected in a special election last August, acknowledged turmoil in her life in the months after her incumbent's death in April opened up the Los Angeles-area House seat.

She used her money to finance her campaign and fell behind in mortgage payments. But Richardson said that makes her like other Americans who have to deal with a sudden death or birth that throws a wrench into their finances.

Now, Richardson said, she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off and promised to fully comply with all its terms. She also said she will pay nearly $9,000 in delinquent property taxes.

She insisted she's not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American, I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Richardson was a member of the Long Beach city council when she won a California state Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in the special election to replace the late Juanita Milner-McDunnell.

The problem is that the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $535,500 in January 2007 was sold at auction earlier this month to a Sacramento mortgage lender, who paid $388,000. The sale was officially recorded on Monday, according to documents on file with the Sacramento County Recorder's Office.

A default notice sent to Richardson in March put her unpaid balance at $578,354.

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $13,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

Although others struggling with mortgages make far less, Richardson said it was "very misleading" to compare her earnings to the national median household income of around $50,000. The reason: Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to, she said.
Others also don't have to depend on winning an election to ensure their livelihood, she said.

Richardson provided AP with an April letter that appears to be from Washington Mutual Home Loans telling her there was a hold on foreclosure sales on her property until June 4 of this year.

She said she got another letter asking for payments May 2 and paid them, but did not know the sale was going to happen five days later.

Richardson also provided an e-mail dated Thursday she said was from Washington Mutual that appeared to acknowledge an agreement "to facilitate the recission of foreclosure sale."

She did not provide documentation of the structure of her new loan.

A Washington Mutual spokeswoman, Sara Gaugl, told AP earlier in the day that the company had "not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation."

Asked later to respond to Richardson's specific comments, she reiterated that the savings and loan could not discuss the matter without the congresswoman's consent.

Meanwhile, the current owner of the property told AP that his ownership of the house is not in doubt.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento, declined to discuss any possible negotiations that might be ongoing.

"I've taken possession on the home," York said. "I've been working on it, fixing it up. It had been vacant. It was in need, and in better repair than most foreclosures."

The home, built in 1926, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure.

Richardson was absent earlier this month for votes on the Foreclosure Prevention Act, which she said was because of her father's funeral. But she could have another opportunity to vote on the foreclosure package as the House is expected to bring it back up in June once agreement is reached in the Senate.

In most cases, congressional ethics rules don't prevent lawmakers from voting on legislation that might affect or help them economically. Such votes are essentially impossible to avoid.

Rather than stay away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don’t experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lendees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

Melanie Sloan, executive director of the Washington-based Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics, criticized Richardson for falling deeper into debt while choosing to spend more than $75,000 of her own money on her campaign -- suggesting that it's more important to win a seat in Congress than to be fiscally responsible, a point Richardson disputed.
Sloan also said Richardson should not be in the situation she is while making a congressional salary, when homeowners around the country making $50,000 or less are struggling to pay their debts.

"Truthfully, it's appalling," Sloan said.
The route to negotiating an adjustable-rate mortgage reset is an obstacle course, according to nonprofit counseling groups trying to help homeowners. And half the people navigating it don’t stop to ask for directions.

"The statistic is that 50% of the families experiencing foreclosure never ask for help," said Lori Gay, president and chief executive of Los Angeles Neighborhood Housing Services— a part of NeighborWorks, a national network of nonprofits that deals with homeownership issues. "A lot of those people could keep their homes if they asked someone for help."

Ask early, ask often and keep asking — because everyone who can help is swamped with people who also need a hand, while the programs and rules for assistance continue to change.

Asking for help doesn’t guarantee smooth sailing, counselors cautioned. Some borrowers aren’t going to be able to keep their homes. But many will, especially if the lenders come through with the programs they’ve promised for up-to-date borrowers.

Last month, the Bush administration won voluntary agreement from lenders on a five-year freeze on interest rate hikes for borrowers who are current with payments, live in the mortgage property, face loan increases between now and July 2010 and meet other criteria. The deal was widely recognized as a good start, but many caution that it helps just a narrow segment of borrowers and isn’t enforceable.

Kevin Stein, associate director of the California Reinvestment Coalition, said an October survey of 22 nonprofit groups that counsel borrowers showed that they were having a tough time getting the responses and results from lenders they need for their clients.

"There’s a lot of chaos," Stein said. "There’s a lot of lost faxes, unreturned phone calls, service representatives changing in the middle. He said lenders’ responses seem to be: We’ll have someone get back to you.

A jungle out there?

Is any institution doing a fair job of working with customers? “From where we stand, it doesn’t look like it,” Stein said. “It’s a morass: People face incredible hold times when they call, the lenders don’t have enough people servicing loans and there’s no public information that drives accountability — who’s in trouble, who’s in default, what the lenders are actually doing, not just saying they’ll do -- to keep homes out of default.”

Lenders disagree with Stein’s assessment.

For example, Washington Mutual has been working hard to reach its borrowers, according to spokeswoman Sara Gaugl. The lender has sent 3 million pieces of mail, including statements with refinancing information, to current borrowers and is implementing a $2.5-billion program to get the best-qualified borrowers into better loans.
"As of November, we have refinanced or modified approximately $720 million in loans and will increase that number in the coming months," she said.

For borrowers facing unaffordable resets in their loans, what is the best way to proceed?

L.A. attorney John Torjesen, who represents people in litigation over such loans and foreclosures, says to start by digging out escrow documents and actually reading them.

The most important terms of the loan -- the frequency and size of increases, possible prepayment penalties or balloon payments -- should be all within the note itself. If homeowners haven't kept the documents and the escrow company can't produce them, a copy of the note is usually filed with the county registrar of deeds as a deed of trust at the same time the deed to the home is filed.

"Most people are surprised by their adjustables," Torjesen said. "Between mobile notaries who brought them the documents at closing and the ease and speed of getting the loans, most people didn't entirely understand what they were getting into.

"I've seen loan documents that say no prepayment penalty on the first page and on page 6 it establishes a prepayment penalty. Most mortgages require that the property have insurance, but I've seen documents that ultimately force the borrower to pay for very expensive insurance selected by the lender," he said. "People didn't know what happened until it was too late."

Once borrowers have slogged through the note, the next step is to decide whether to seek professional help before contacting the lender.

Lawyers such as Torjesen and Dorothy Herrera, senior attorney with the Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles, can help some people -- those who can show they were misled by a broker or ended up with a loan containing a clear violation of the Truth in Lending Act, including the failure to disclose key information.

But many of the consumers contacting Legal Aid don't qualify for those protections, Herrera said, and are just in over their heads financially.

Working with a housing counselor can improve the odds of avoiding default and persuading a lender to help. Borrowers may be able to refinance -- with fees -- into lower- or fixed-rate loans, have prepayment penalties waived, freeze their current interest rates, set up repayment schedules or restructure loans.

At the East L.A. Community Corp., home-buying counselor Angelica Rubio works with people at risk of foreclosure. Many families don't understand their loans and need help facing their lender, she said.

"We sit down with them and look at their finances . . . and see if they can afford to keep their homes," Rubio said. "We've started doing foreclosure workshops because this is a big problem in our community that's going to get bigger."

Calling direct

Some borrowers prefer dealing directly with the lender, usually through its toll-free number. Experts say they should be prepared to wait -- then wait some more. Hold times of more than an hour have been reported, as lenders suffer personnel cuts stemming from the collapse of so many loans.

Herrera, the Legal Aid Foundation attorney, said she's heard of people spending several hours a day, for days, either on hold or being bounced from one service representative to another.

"People can't get through to somebody who has the authorization to look at their adjusting loan," Herrera said. Neighborhood Housing Services received more than a thousand calls from people in
mortality distress on the day Countrywide announced it would work with borrowers to keep them in their homes, according to chief executive Gay. Countrywide has written about a quarter of the nation’s adjustable-rate mortgages since 2003.

Countrywide and Washington Mutual are trying — if you can reach them, Gay said.

Persistence pays

"Call early and often," Gay said. And if that doesn’t work, find muscle, she said. "Call a neutral third party, a free housing counseling service, to help you work through this before the eviction notice is nailed to the door."

Once you find a lender’s representative to work with, Gay said, make sure to get that person’s name and telephone extension, and insist on letters to document calls and agreements.

"And then follow through. If you’re going to negotiate, follow through. If you’ve set a payment amount, pay it. Whatever you said you would do, make sure you do it, and if you can’t, call the lender again."

Sometimes, after all the negotiations and counseling, borrowers conclude they won’t be able to keep their homes and must consider drastic options.

"It’s very sad, but there are still better ways out of the situation than letting the home slip into foreclosure," Gay said. One client, who had 40% equity in the home, declared bankruptcy so he could have time to sell it.

"It gave him time to work out his debts and sell the house," Gay said. "It was worth marring his credit to be able to recover part of the equity rather than lose it through foreclosure."

Other borrowers, who have little or no equity, may look at short sales, where the lender allows the borrower to sell the house for less than the debt, as a strategy to protect their credit rating so they can buy another home later.

"We call it a soft landing," Gay said. "Sometimes it’s the best we can do."
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Here are some strategies for sellers, buyers and homeowners in a high-risk real estate market:

If you'd asked housing economist David Seiders at this time last year to forecast the real estate industry's future, he would have told you to expect "a recovery year" in 2008.

"That outlook has been cut dramatically from what I was saying a year ago," Seiders, chief economist for the National Association of Home Builders, conceded. He's slashed his projection for home construction by 33% and says 2008 will be "another down year" for housing overall.

How far down? Most economists caution that their real estate forecasts for this year stand on shaky ground. The depth of the downturn will depend on whether the overall economy slips into recession, how fast and how sharply home prices fall, whether more turmoil rocks the credit markets and how many more foreclosures lie ahead.

"We're really in a danger zone in terms of overall economic activity," says Seiders, who sees a 40% chance of recession this year, up from his earlier estimate of 30%.

Mark Zandi, chief economist at Moody's Economy.com, calls the current real estate recession the greatest since World War II. He expects home sales to hit bottom in the first half of this year, with prices continuing to fall until early 2009.

An even more pessimistic economist, David Rosenberg at Merrill Lynch, goes so far as to warn, "Real estate pricing in general can expect to be in the doldrums through 2012."

The biggest problem is the glut of homes for sale -- more than 10 months' worth. And about 2 million of those homes (about 2.6%) are vacant, with banks or builders trying to get them off their hands.

The number of vacant homes is expected to rise further this year because a record number of homes are entering foreclosure. And hundreds of thousands of homeowners with subprime, adjustable-rate loans will face higher monthly payments. For some, it will be the last financial straw.

Meanwhile, many would-be buyers are having trouble qualifying for loans.

Half of senior loan officers surveyed by the Federal Reserve in October said they had tightened their standards from July. Gone are loans for people who have trouble paying their bills on time. Gone are mortgages for 100% of the home price. Gone are loans requiring no proof of income or assets.

The stricter rules will deliver an especially severe punch to such areas as Arizona, California, Nevada, Florida and in and around Washington, D.C., and Manhattan, where those types of mortgages accounted for about 60% of purchase loans last year, according to Economy.com.

"A lot of (buyers) haven't come to the realization that the subprime market no longer exists," said Ritch Workman of Workman Mortgage in Melbourne, Fla. "Mortgage brokers are turning away more and more borrowers."
That isn’t likely to change. The Federal Reserve last month issued hand-slappling rules for all lenders and mortgage brokers to end the riskiest lending practices. The new rules will take effect early this year, after a public comment period.

For buyers who have built up stellar credit and lots of cash in the bank, there are loans aplenty. Interest rates also remain historically low, and falling prices in many areas are making homes more affordable for more families.

In such a risky market, what’s a buyer or seller to do? What follows are some strategies for buyers, sellers and homeowners that will help, no matter how grim the housing recession gets.

Buyers

Get that credit score up

If you’re among the would-be buyers still finding themselves locked out of the market:

*Raise your credit score by paying your bills on time. Don’t open any new credit card accounts or buy a car with a new loan. Don’t buy anything, such as furniture, with a "no payments or interest for 90 days" type of plan. With such plans, even if you pay off the entire amount in three months, your credit score will still take a hit.

*Forget belt-tightening; get a full-fledged corset. Most lenders now require buyers to put down at least 5% of the purchase price (that’s about $10,500 on the national median home price of $210,200). The main exceptions are loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration, which require only 3%, and loans guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs, which may cover the entire purchase price.

*Don’t change jobs, if you can help it, until you’ve been formally approved for a mortgage. Lenders increasingly see job stability as a vital factor in creditworthiness.

*Recognize that you have the upper hand in bargaining. Consider asking the seller to help pay for any repairs, or to help pay for closing costs or to cover any homeowners’ association fees for a few months. If it’s a new home, ask the builder for even more freebies than it offered to get you in the door.

Sellers

Wait if you can or spruce it up

Lots of sellers are getting a harsh refresher in a lesson from Economics 101: the relationship between supply and demand. A record 4.3 million existing homes are for sale. In areas where there’s too much supply, prices must fall.

This week, economists for Freddie Mac released their latest economic forecasts, which show home prices falling nearly 8% this year and not rising again before the end of 2009.

Prices in 11 major metro areas posted record declines in October, led by Miami, Tampa, Detroit and San Diego, according to the S&P/Case-Shiller index.

More than 1 million homeowners nationwide are expected to lose their homes through foreclosure. Lenders, trying to cut their costs of maintaining and marketing homes, typically sell foreclosed homes for 20% below the market price.

"I tell sellers if they don’t need to sell right now, just remove their home from the market," says Ron Shuffield of Eastinger Wooten Maxwell Realtors in Miami.
All of which means that to sell your house, you probably need to get it in near-perfect condition, price it right and market it aggressively.

Of course, not every U.S. real estate market is in the tank. Areas that never saw head-spinning price increases to begin with aren’t seeing big price drops now. In the third quarter, government data showed that prices actually rose in 204 of the 257 metro areas surveyed. And in nearly every city, there are neighborhoods, or coveted condo developments, that seem immune to local and national trends.

But for most homeowners who need to sell, here are some tips:

*Start your spring cleaning now. Every surface should sparkle. Every groove should be dirt-free. Above all, wash the windows. To get the house by packing up family photos, stacks of paper, medicine bottles on the bathroom counter, the books overflowing the bookcase. Hide trash cans, ashtrays, the laundry hamper, the kitchen sponge, the cat’s litter box and food dishes.

*Paint. Dark walls tend to make a house look smaller. Walls should be off-white or have earthy tones if the room draws lots of light. Real estate agents suggest that the carpet be light beige. Open or take down curtains, so the rooms will absorb as much light as possible.

*Most rooms contain too much furniture, which makes rooms look smaller. Reduce the number of pillows on the couch. Remove afghans and blankets. Scale back the number of paintings on the walls. Remove the leaves from your dining table and put no more than four chairs around it. Reduce the number of clothes in the china cabinet, leaving only a few.

*Keep the lawn mowed and the edges neat. Trim shrubs, especially around windows. Put flowering plants near the front door. Does the house need painting? Consider painting or staining the front door; it’s one of the least expensive ways to spruce up the entry. If there’s furniture on the porch, make sure it isn’t plastic but rather good-quality wicker or wrought iron. Power-wash or stain the deck. Remove or hide old cans and beagles, auto parts, boats and RVs.

*What’s your marketing strategy? If using an agent, make sure she or he is using the Internet as a major part of the advertising campaign. Tempt buyers by offering to help pay closing costs. Or better still, offer to lend the buyer part of the money they need, with what’s called “carry-back financing.”

Check your documents

Dig out your mortgage documents and triple-check what kind of loan you have. Specifically, you want to know whether it has an adjustable interest rate, how often the rate can rise and the maximum it can rise to. Is there a penalty for paying off the loan early? If so, when does the penalty expire?

Nearly 2 million homeowners have subprime, adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs) that will reset before July 2010. The average borrower will see monthly payments jump by about $150 to $1,550. Already, one in five homeowners with a subprime ARM was behind at least one payment at the end of the third quarter, according to the Mortgage Bankers Association.

Last month, Treasury Secretary Henry Paulson announced a deal with lenders that would help thousands of homeowners with subprime ARMs. Under the plan, homeowners who get their loans between Jan. 1, 2005, and July 31, 2007, would either be put on a fast-track program to refinance their loan to a fixed-rate mortgage at a lower rate, or have their rate frozen for five years.

But there are exclusions to the program. In addition, millions of borrowers with prime ARMs aren’t eligible. Neither are most of those with exotic adjustable-rate loans that let them pay only the interest portion or even less each month.
Dan Przewlocki is one of them. He refinanced his home outside Detroit in 2004, so he doesn’t qualify for the rescue plan. Przewlocki, 52, got what’s called an option-ARM. It lets him choose among payment options each month. The less he pays, the more the principal balance grows.

He’s been paying the highest option and hasn’t missed one payment. Yet his rate has been rising nearly every month, catapulting Przewlocki’s monthly payment to $2,700 from $1,200 initially.

Washington Mutual, his lender, won’t refinance the $310,000 loan because the home’s value has sunk below the value of the loan, to $250,000, Przewlocki said.

He works in an auto maintenance plant and looks for handyman jobs and temporary work at Kelly Services. But his house payments eat up nearly 70% of his gross income. And Przewlocki, a tech sergeant in the Air Force reserves, knows he’ll fall behind on his payments once he’s deployed to the Middle East this year.

“If the mortgage company wants to take the house,” he says, “the keys are going to be on the kitchen table.”

Sara Gaugl, a spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, says the amount of Przewlocki’s loan and the current value of his house “put him out of scope for a refinance under WAMU’s credit guidelines. However, we will continue to work with Mr. Przewlocki to determine if there are other options available to him.”

If you’re in a similar situation, or think you might be soon:

*Contact your lender as soon as you know your payment will be late. If you want free credit counseling, you can also call the Homeownership Preservation Foundation at 888-995-HOPE (888-995-4673).

*If you can’t renegotiate the terms of your loan, and your home is worth less than you owe, consider a “short sale.” If your lender approves, you can sell your property at an agreed upon price, and your lender will forgive the remaining balance on your mortgage.

That’s much better than wrecking your credit with a foreclosure. And under a law signed by President Bush last month, sellers no longer have to pay taxes on the amount of the forgiven debt. The law is retroactive to Jan. 1, 2007, and is scheduled to expire at the end of 2009.

Even if you don’t keep your New Year’s resolution to shed 20 pounds, getting out from under an unaffordable mortgage will take a huge weight off your shoulders.

TEXT OF INFO BOX BEGINS HERE

Subprime ARM loan rates nationwide

Almost half of subprime ARM loans are concentrated in a handful of states, which are expected to suffer increasing foreclosures as the loans reset to higher rates. States and their percentage of the nation’s subprime ARMs:

Alabama 0.9%
Alaska 0.1%
Arizona 4.3%
Arkansas 0.4%
California 17.2%
Colorado 1.9%
Connecticut 1.3%
Delaware 0.3%
Dist. of Columbia 0.2%
Florida 12.3%
Georgia 3.3%
Hawaii 0.4%
Idaho 0.5%
Illinois 4.5%
Indiana 1.6%
Iowa 0.5%
Kansas 0.3%
Kentucky 0.7%
Louisiana 0.8%
Maine 0.3%
Maryland 2.9%
Massachusetts 1.8%
Michigan 3.5%
Minnesota 1.7%
Mississippi 0.5%
Missouri 1.8%
Montana 0.1%
Nebraska 0.3%
Nevada 2.0%
New Hampshire 0.4%
New Jersey 2.7%
New Mexico: 0.4%
New York: 3.5%
North Carolina: 1.9%
North Dakota: 0.1%
Ohio: 2.6%
Oklahoma: 0.6%
Oregon: 1.3%
Pennsylvania: 2.7%
Rhode Island: 0.4%
South Carolina: 0.9%
South Dakota: 0.1%
Tennessee: 1.7%
Texas: 5.7%
Utah: 1.0%
Vermont: 0.1%
Virginia: 2.4%
Washington: 2.0%
West Virginia: 0.2%
Wisconsin: 1.4%
Wyoming: 0.1%
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SEATTLE - (BUSINESS WIRE) - As a key member of HOPE NOW, WaMu (NYSE: WM) has worked very closely with the Treasury and the American Securitization Forum to develop what we believe is a positive step for borrowers who are unable to handle an increase in their monthly payment. In addition, this proposal establishes a new set of industry best practices making it easier for servicers to modify loans under the existing agreements. WaMu is in the process of implementing the fast-track modification process and expects to have it operational over the coming weeks.

Our firm belief is that early intervention combined with expanded options is instrumental in helping our customers find ways they can overcome financial obstacles to keep their home. We view foreclosure as a last resort and encourage customers to contact us as soon as they anticipate difficulty in making payments on their mortgage so that we can discuss their various options.

We look forward to continuing our work with the Administration, Congress, state and local officials, our trade groups and community partners to stabilize the housing market and support efforts that will help customers maintain home ownership.

About WaMu

WaMu, through its subsidiaries, is one of the nation’s leading consumer and small business banks. As of Sept. 30, 2007, WaMu and its subsidiaries had assets of $330.1 billion. The company has a history dating back to 1889 and its subsidiary banks currently operate approximately 2,700 consumer and small business banking stores throughout the nation. WaMu’s press releases are available at http://newsroom.wamu.com.

WaMu Media Contacts: Alan Gulick, 206-500-2760 alan.gulick@wamu.net or Sara Gaugi, 206-500-2822 sara.gaugi@wamu.net
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MARY FRICKER
MARY FRICKER FOR THE PRESS DEMOCRAT
1129 words
11 November 2007
Press Democrat
CITY
A13
English
© 2007 Press Democrat. Provided by ProQuest Information and Learning. All Rights Reserved.

At the height of subprime lending in Sonoma County, the industry leader was Long Beach Mortgage, a division of Washington Mutual in Seattle.

Long Beach Mortgages made 945 subprime loans in Sonoma County from 2004 through 2006, for $232 million. That was almost 14 percent of the market and well ahead of its closest competitor.

The No. 2 subprime lender in Sonoma County was New Century Mortgage Corp. of Irvine, which made 635 loans for $148 million.

Both companies closed this year, victims of the mortgage meltdown.

Long Beach Mortgages became the largest subprime lender in the county by having the most accommodating programs and years of lending experience, said Don Bunch, an account representative at Long Beach Mortgages from 2002 through 2004.

For example, Long Beach Mortgages let homeowners count money from renting out rooms as income, while some lenders did not, Bunch said.

"Mortgage brokers went to lenders who could get the deal done," said Bunch, a mortgage broker for 25 years who has specialized in the subprime market for the past decade.

Long Beach Mortgages had an office on Fourth Street in Santa Rosa, next door to Washington Mutual, but it got all its business through independent mortgage brokers.

Bunch said his job as account rep for Long Beach was to make the rounds of brokers’ offices and sell subprime loans.

"We’d take a look at the loans they were working on, see if they fit our programs and show them the benefits of submitting the loan to my company instead of my competitors," Bunch said. Today Bunch is co-owner of Affinity Mortgage, a Santa Rosa company that specializes in mobile- and manufactured-home loans.

Long Beach Mortgage resold most of its loans to Wall Street investors. This resale market is a valuable tool for spreading risk. But it is also the key reason underwriting standards have weakened since the days when banks held onto all loans themselves and had a personal interest in making sure the borrower could repay.

Wall Street investors were eager to buy the high-rate loans because they paid a nice return during a period of historically low interest rates. Long Beach’s average rates for its first-lien loans in 2005 and 2006 were 8 percent to 10 percent, compared to about 6 percent for a 30-year, fixed-rate loan.

Investors relied on rating agencies such as Moody’s Investors Service and Standard & Poor’s to gauge the safety of the securities. In many cases, the agencies were wrong.

3669
This year, rising defaults caused nervous Wall Street investors to stop buying the high-rate loans. With the subprime market essentially dead since August, Washington Mutual absorbed the remnants of Long Beach Mortgage in September.

"Nobody is buying those loans, hence nobody is going to make them," said Bunch. After working at Long Beach Mortgage, he joined two other subprime lenders, BNC Mortgage and Clearpath Lending Solutions. Both have closed.

Sara Gaugl, a spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, said the company is trying to help customers hurt by the downturn, and it wants to avoid similar problems in the future.

It will refinance up to $2 billion in subprime loans for qualified borrowers. It will no longer make no-documentation loans or loans with initial fixed-rate terms of less than five years. It will require that brokers use a simple, single-page disclosure form to explain their fees and the terms of the loan.

"We fully recognize that no party wins when a lender is forced to foreclose on a borrower," Gaugl said.

Library researcher Teresa Melko contributed to this report.

INFOBOX:

SUBPRIME LEXICON

A variety of high-risk loans were made in Sonoma County in 2005 and 2006. The following are some of the more common characteristics:

Teaser interest rate: A start rate as low as 1 percent that will shortly reset upward.

Stated income loan: The borrower doesn't have to prove his or her income but is supposed to prove two years of employment and some reserves. Originally intended for the self-employed, but recently used by wage earners. Industry experts often call these " liar loans" because they say borrowers commonly inflated their incomes, sometimes at the direction of brokers or lenders who resold the loans to Wall Street investors. If fraud is present, investors can return the loan to the lender.

No documentation: The borrower doesn't have to document employment or assets but is supposed to have decent credit and a downpayment.

Piggyback loans: Borrower buys a house with a first mortgage that covers 80 percent of the price. A second mortgage covers 20 percent, allowing the borrower to avoid the cost and underwriting of Private Mortgage Insurance.

100 percent financing: Homebuyer borrows the entire cost of the home, instead of making a cash downpayment.

Balloon payment: Loan defers payment of principal, but some day principal will come due all at once.

Prepayment penalties: A fee charged to borrowers who pay off their loan within two to three years, typically 2 to 3 percent of the loan amount for subprime borrowers. Intended to discourage frequent refinancing and ensure that the lender will earn enough money on the loan to pay the broker's commission. In California last year,

91 percent of subprime loans had prepayment penalties, compared to

75 percent nationally.
Interest-only loans: Homebuyers get a lower monthly payment but don't build equity in their property, except through appreciation. At some point the principal will all come due.

40-year fixed-rate loan: Borrower gets lower monthly payments than the traditional 30-year loan, but the total interest cost is much higher.

Negative amortization: Borrowers with good credit can make such a low monthly payment that it doesn't cover their interest, so the principal they owe increases every month. The increase in principal is usually capped at 110 percent to 125 percent of the original loan amount. At that point, borrowers must start making much bigger monthly payments. In California last year, almost 17 percent of prime loans had this feature, compared to 5 percent nationally.

Yield spread premium: The commission the lender may pay its own loan agent or an independent mortgage broker. The higher the interest rate on the loan, and the longer the prepayment penalty period, the higher the commission.

FICO score: A way to score a borrower's creditworthiness, developed by Fair Isaac & Co. to measure risk. Lenders have increasingly come to rely on this score instead of doing their own credit evaluation before making a loan.

Sources: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, The Orange County Register, Federal Deposit Insurance Corp., Press Democrat research.
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NEW YORK -- Washington Mutual Inc., the U.S.'s sixth-largest home-mortgage lender in terms of
volume, is asking mortgage brokers it works with to provide more information to borrowers.

The move comes as lenders as well as brokers are facing pressure from lawmakers and consumer-
advocacy groups to beef up customer disclosures, which have been criticized as inadequate and in
some cases, misleading. Poor disclosures, critics say, have led many borrowers to take out loans
they couldn't afford, contributing to the highest home-loan delinquency rate in five years.

Seattle-based WaMu said starting next Tuesday, brokers who do business with the company must
show evidence that they explained to borrowers key terms of the loans they are recommending --
such as the amount, whether the interest rate or the payments may change, and if the loan has a
fee for prepayments. In addition, the brokers should disclose the amount of their compensation,
WaMu said, adding that it would try to call every borrower represented by a broker before closing to
review loan terms.

"WaMu will not close or fund the loan without signed confirmation from the borrower that the key
terms of the loan have been disclosed to the borrower by the broker early in the application
process," said spokeswoman Sara Gaugl.

Like WaMu, many banks sell loans through third-party brokers in addition to their own sales force.
Industry data show that mortgage brokers and state-licensed lenders generate about 68% of all
residential mortgages in the U.S. In recent months, however, the rising number of secured loans has
forced lenders to scrutinize more closely their relationships with brokers.
Washington Mutual seeks to better inform borrowers with new guidelines for mortgage brokers
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NEW YORK (AP) - Washington Mutual Inc., the nation’s sixth-largest home-mortgage lender in terms of volume, is asking mortgage brokers it works with to provide more information to borrowers.

Washington Mutual, of Seattle, said starting next Tuesday, brokers who do business with the company must show evidence that they explained to borrowers key terms of the loans they are recommending -- such as the amount, whether the interest rate or the payments may change, and if the loan has a fee for prepayments.

In addition, brokers should also disclose the amount of their compensation, Washington Mutual said, adding that it would try to call every borrower represented by a broker before closing to review the loan terms.

"Washington Mutual will not close or fund the loan without signed confirmation from the borrower that the key terms of the loan have been disclosed to the borrower by the broker early in the application process," said company spokeswoman Sara Gaugi.

The move comes as lenders and brokers are facing intensifying pressure from lawmakers and consumer-advocacy groups to beef up customer disclosures, which have been criticized as inadequate and, in some cases, misleading. Poor disclosures, critics say, have led many borrowers to take out loans they couldn’t afford, contributing to the highest home-loan delinquency rate in five years.

Like Washington Mutual, many banks sell loans through third-party brokers in addition to their own sales force. Industry data show that mortgage brokers and state-licensed lenders generate about 68 percent of all residential mortgages in the U.S. In recent months, however, the rising number of sour loans has forced lenders to scrutinize more closely their relationships with brokers.

Gaugi said Washington Mutual, which does business with about 19,000 brokers nationwide, only works with mortgage brokers who are “in good standing” with the appropriate licensing authorities in the states where they do business. Washington Mutual expects the brokers it works with to embrace the new standards, she said, adding that the new procedures will help brokers “ensure that their customers select the loan product and terms that best meet their individual needs.”
WaMu Response from David Schneider, President, Home Loans, Regarding Interagency Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending
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SEATTLE - (BUSINESS WIRE) - WaMu (NYSE:WM) fully supports the guidance and the goal of ensuring that mortgage loans are underwritten in a prudent fashion and communicated in an understandable way. No party wins when a lender is forced to foreclose on a borrower. We are well positioned to implement the guidance in our business. We've offered subprime mortgage products for more than 20 years and are focused on providing clear, understandable disclosures for our customers and ongoing training for our sales force.

WaMu remains committed to offering a range of products to meet individual customer needs. We are also committed to helping our current borrowers stay in their homes who may no longer fit current qualifying standards yet have a proven payment history. As part of this commitment, we will reinance or modify up to $2 billion in subprime loans at discounted interest rates to assist homeowners in stabilizing their finances and avoiding foreclosure. Our goal is to help our customers understand their various options and address their financial needs before they begin having problems making payments.

We remain concerned about the unlevel playing field that exists because the guidance does not apply to all lenders.

WaMu Media Contact: Sara Gaugl, 206-500-2822 Sara.gaugl@wamu.net or Investor Relations Contact: Alan Magleby, 212-326-6019 alan.magleby@wamu.net
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A MORTGAGE known as an option ARM has become increasingly popular with borrowers. In fact, financial analysts say, this adjustable-rate mortgage may appear so attractive that borrowers may not fully understand its risks.

"Option ARM's are basically a mishmash of existing products," said Keith T. Gumbinger, vice president of HSH Associates, a financial publisher in Pompont Plains, N.J.

With the loans, Mr. Gumbinger said, the interest rate adjusts up or down in tandem with a financial index like the London Interbank Offered Rate, or Libor. And while rates on traditional ARM's change after a predetermined number of years, with most option ARM's the interest rate is readjusted monthly.

Option ARM's also allow a number of monthly payment options -- and the borrower can change the option each month. For example, Mr. Gumbinger said, the payment can be based on a fully amortizing 15- or 30-year mortgage. With those, the monthly payment includes principal and interest sufficient to pay off the loan over the specified term.

Another possibility, Mr. Gumbinger said, is for the borrower to make an interest-only payment. While that ensures that interest on the mortgage is paid as it accrues, it does not reduce the principal. After five years, the loan is recast and the payment recalculated to include payments against principal sufficient to ensure that the loan is fully satisfied by the end of the term.

The fourth and riskiest option allows the borrower to make a set minimum monthly payment based on an artificially low interest rate. For example, he said, the minimum payment may be based on an interest rate of only 1.25 percent, even though the actual interest rate is 5.5 percent. That, however, typically results in negative amortization, which means that any interest not covered by the monthly payment is added to the outstanding balance.

"You end up paying more interest in the long run because you're paying interest on the interest you should have been paying all along," he said. He added that while option ARM's have a lifetime interest rate cap -- generally 5 or 6 percentage points above the initial rate -- there is no annual cap (other than the lifetime cap) on the interest-rate increases. "So if interest rates rise quickly, things can get really ugly," he said.

That is because a typical option ARM limits the amount the minimum monthly payment can increase from one year to the next -- usually by 7.5 percent. While that may keep the minimum payment relatively low, an increase in the actual interest rate will widen the difference between the minimum payment and the actual amount that should be paid, increasing the negative amortization.

In addition, said Sara Gaud, a spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, a lender in Seattle, the minimum payment can increase beyond the 7.5 percent annual cap when the loan is recast every five years, or any time the outstanding balance exceeds the property value by 125 percent (or, under state law, by 110 percent in New York).

Tim Malburg, president of Capstone Mortgage in Wilton, Conn., said option ARM's may make sense for some borrowers. "The main advantage is payment flexibility," he said, noting that since
borrowers can make any one of the allowable monthly payments each month, such a loan can benefit those whose income varies monthly.

But, Mr. Malburg said, another downside of such a mortgage is the potential for significant negative amortization, which could result, especially if the housing market falters, in the amount owed being more than the market value of the house. That means that if the borrower had to sell the house, he or she could not pay off the mortgage with the proceeds.
WaMu scales back lending operations

The savings-and-loan association exits wholesale lending and closes home-loan centers.

April 6, 2008: 8:30 AM EDT - CNN

NEW YORK (CNN) -- Washington Mutual told employees Monday that it will exit the wholesale lending business and close home-loan centers nationwide.

Washington Mutual, the nation's largest savings-and-loan association, is taking those steps to focus on delivering home-lending products to customers through banking stores and online, a WaMu spokesperson said.

Sara Gaugl, WaMu spokesperson, told CNN that the bank will no longer work with third party brokers. She said WaMu also will close its remaining stand-alone Home Loan Centers.

Gaugl said the company has not posted specific information about how many stores and employees will be affected.

The bank is close to a deal with private-equity firm TPG and other investors to receive a $5 billion investment, according to The Wall Street Journal.

The infusion would help WaMu meet its pressing capital requirements as the bank faces steep losses stemming from the housing crisis.

WaMu (WM, Fortune 200) shares rose nearly 30% in active trade in Monday's session.
Thomson Financial News

Washington Mutual to cut 3,000 jobs, closes all 186 home loan centers

04.08.08, 1:07 PM ET

NEW YORK (Thomson Financial) - *Washington Mutual Inc.* said it will cut 3,000 jobs and close all 186 of its free-standing home loan centers nationwide, accelerating efforts to align with its retail-focus banking business model.

The cuts are a part of to WaMu's plans, announced earlier Tuesday, to exit the wholesale lending business, and focus on retail banking.

Despite closing its home loan centers, WaMu spokeswoman Sara Gaugl said the lender wants to assure customers that 'we will continue to offer home loans.'

'We are focused on growing our in-store loan consultant network, which serve customers through our retail banking stores, and we will continue to invest in retaining our existing customers through our Consumer Direct channel,' Gaugl said.

'I'd like to emphasize that mortgages are important to our customers and remain an important part of WaMu's retail-focused business strategy.'

The announced actions affect about 3,000 positions in a number of locations throughout the country, including account management and sales positions, as well as home loan fulfillment support.

Earlier Tuesday, the Seattle-based mortgage lender said it secured a total of $7 billion in financing through a direct sale of equity securities to a group led by private equity firm TPG Capital.

The deal calls for TPG Capital's investment vehicle to buy $2 billion in newly-issued securities. In total, WaMu agreed to sell about 176 million common shares at $8.75 each in the deal. It also issued about 55,000 shares of convertible preferred stock carrying a purchase price and liquidation preference of $100,000 per share.

WaMu also reported a preliminary first-quarter loss of $1.1 billion, or 40 cents a share, including $3.5 billion in loan-loss provisions and expected net charge-offs of $1.4 billion. It expects to report full first-quarter results on April 15. The lender also slashed its quarterly dividend to 1 cent a share from 15 cents a share in an effort to preserve capital.

Shares of Washington Mutual (nyse: WM - news - people) recently fell 8.8% to $12, paring earlier losses of as much as 13%. Volume was 106.9 million shares in midday trading, compared with the full-day average over the past 30 days of 61.4 million shares.

Michelle Rama
WaMu to offset 1,000 layoffs in home loans with retail hires

Staffing in home loans down 28% since 2005

BY MATT CARTER, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2007.

Washington Mutual will lay off about 1,000 employees in its Home Loans Group around the country as it closes its mortgage banker finance business and restricts WaMu Capital Corp. to securitizing only WaMu loans.

A WaMu Home Loans spokeswoman said the layoffs are a response to changing mortgage market conditions, but are taking place in conjunction with hiring that's intended to accelerate growth in the company's core businesses -- retail, consumer direct and wholesale lending.

WaMu plans to hire up to 1,200 retail and banking loan consultants over the next several months, and increase its consumer direct sales force, said WaMu spokeswoman Sara Gauig.

The Seattle-based company currently employs 1,000 banking loan consultants and 2,000 retail loan consultants, and operates more than 2,200 retail stores, Gauig said.

"We ... intend to grow our retail, consumer direct and wholesale businesses and are positioning the size of our sales and support organizations to ensure that we capture growth opportunities and address customer needs," Gauig said.

WaMu will integrate its remaining subprime lending business into its existing prime channels, eliminating the need for a dedicated subprime sales force, Gauig said. The company will close loan fulfillment centers in Anaheim and San Diego, Calif., and San Antonio, Texas, moving work to other facilities.

WaMu Capital Corp. has "felt the effect of a greatly changed secondary market environment," and has moved away from purchasing and securitizing loans originated by others to managing WaMu loan production.

WaMu will no longer participate in conduit Four operations, Gauig said, which require ongoing relationships with mortgage bankers to buy loans on a loan-by-loan basis, and will conduct "an orderly wind down" of its mortgage banker finance business. In wholesale lending, WaMu is combining prime and subprime sales channels to create a unified 450-person sales force, she said.

"We remain fully committed to assisting borrowers across the credit spectrum with obtaining new loans as well as with staying in their existing homes," Gauig said, noting the company's announcement in April of a commitment to refinance up to $2 billion in subprime loans for WaMu customers who are current on their payments but facing interest-rate resets.

In its last quarterly report to investors, WaMu said second-quarter net income rose 8 percent year-over-year, to $830 million.

But provisions for loan and lease losses were up 66 percent, to $372 million, and rising delinquencies on subprime loans the company had previously securitized forced it to write down by $181 million the value of subprime residuals during the first six months of the year. Total revenue from the sales and servicing of
home mortgage loans for the first half of the year was $425 million, compared with $486 million in the first half of 2006.

In a presentation to investors Monday, WaMu Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Kerry Killinger said the company began preparing for a downturn in the housing market two years ago.

Since the fourth quarter of 2006, Killinger said, WaMu has reduced staffing in its home-loan business by 28 percent, and exited the correspondent channel. As a result, Killinger said, WaMu fell from the third largest mortgage originator in 2006 to sixth in 2007.

"I have commented several times over the past two years that many mortgage players appeared to be blindly adding staffing and capacity, even while mortgage demand was falling," Killinger said. "This overcapacity had to be taken out of the system before satisfactory returns could return to the business."


At the end of June, WaMu had only about $107 million in total exposure to subprime mortgage and Alt-A residuals, Killinger said, and just 3 percent of the company's $106 billion home-loan portfolio had loan-to-value ratios above 90 percent and FICO scores less than 660.

"We feel that our proactive steps have been important in positioning us for the dramatic slowing of the housing market," Killinger said.
Owners caught in grip of market
By Bruce Spence, The Record, Stockton, Calif.
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Sep. 9.--When Louise Wehl bought her one-bedroom, one-bath Lodi condo in August 2006 for $180,000, she was delighted with her first home purchase.

She financed the entire purchase price at a 6.25 percent annual interest rate, leaving a monthly payment of less than $1,175--not easy to make but doable, she said.

Then in July, she got a letter from the lender saying her adjustable-rate loan was jumping after the initial two-year set rate to the going rate of about 7.28 percent.

This, she said, was news to her, and bad news at that, because she can’t afford the $200 jump in the monthly payment.

Plus, she faces another adjustable-rate mortgage boost in six months, said Wehl -- who asked that she not be identified by her real name because she would be mortified if others knew of her financial strain.

Were the home real estate market still vibrant, she would have a way to respond, at least being able to sell for more than the purchase price, likely leaving some cash in her pocket.

But she went with the current financial wisdom typically offered by the mortgage sector for those struggling to avoid foreclosure: She contacted her lender to try to work out a deal that would allow her to stay in her home.

"I talked to three different people, and none of them could help me," she said. "One was very interested and helpful but said there was nothing he could do because the value of the home was less than the amount owed. He said, sorry, institutionally he couldn't do it."

Another said, well, just try to hang in there.

"I was like, OK, I guess I could make the payments if I don't buy gas and eat." She isn't sure what will happen now.

"I wish I had never bought," she said. "I would have been better off renting."

Certainly, the pain of foreclosures is climbing for lenders.

Plenty of company

San Joaquin County almost topped the nation in home-foreclosure activity during the first half of the year, with several other California metropolitan areas not far behind, according to a recent report from a company that tracks foreclosure and bank-owned properties.

The Stockton area -- basically all of San Joaquin County -- came in second on the list, with some kind of foreclosure filing hitting one of every 51 households -- more than triple the number reported in the first six months of 2006, reported Irvine-based RealtyTrac, which publishes a national database of foreclosure and bank-owned properties.
Kevin Moran, a Coldwell Banker Grup real-estate agent who specializes in foreclosure properties, said lenders who end up having to go through the lengthy and costly foreclosure process can end up taking up to a $200,000 loss when selling a 100 percent-financed home in today's slow market.

(The median sales price in San Joaquin County last month stood at $370,000, according to a recent Coldwell Banker Grup-TrendGraphix monthly sales report, based on Multiple Listing Service data.)

Successes are few.

Still, the anecdotal feedback is that homeowners trying to work into new mortgage arrangements aren't having much success yet.

The California Association of Mortgage Brokers launched a mortgage hot line to try to advise people struggling with mortgage payments. That includes getting people to contact their loan-service companies to try to make new arrangements.

"It's mixed results," said Cameron Pannabecker, owner of Cal-Pro Mortgage Inc. in Stockton and a board director for the state association. "Some lenders are much more cooperative than others."

There are options out there, he said, including folding missed payments back into the loan principal and re-amortizing, or else setting up, say, a six-month period of interest-only payments in hopes the homeowner will be able to catch a breath.

Loan restructuring seems to be happening only where consumers are getting experienced third parties like lawyer, accountant or mortgage broker to help them navigate through the system, Pannabecker said.

"Even then, it's challenging."

Typically, there isn't much loan modification going on even with lenders feeling more and more pain from foreclosures, he said.

Much motivation

That may change in the next six months, though, as foreclosure numbers continue to soar, he said.

Lenders will have to find more and better solutions to try to stop the bleeding, Pannabecker said.

"Pain is a wonderful motivator."

The alternative is government intervention, he said.

"If they can't figure out a way to keep people in these homes, it's going to be an economic disaster, an economic tsunami," he said.

Doug Duncan, chief economist for the Mortgage Bankers Association, said that given the costly increases in payment delinquencies and foreclosures, lenders have been staffing up to work with homeowners in trouble, either through restructuring loans or other actions.

But those lenders were lean in the number of employees with the skills needed to handle those situations, he said.

"Firms are staffing up as rapidly as they can," he said, "but that does take some time. And each borrower is a separate case that has to be worked."
Richard Pittman, spokesman for By Design Financial Solutions, a nonprofit loan counseling service doing business in San Joaquin County as Consumer Credit Counseling Service of Mid-Counties, said those in mortgage trouble are advised to call their loan-service company to try to work out a better situation, but statistics indicate that as many as half of those in mortgage trouble don't even try to call.

Typically, homeowners in trouble get sucked into cheap introductory monthly payments in a loan that readjusts in two, three or four years, he said. Then they're shocked when they find they can't get a refinance for better terms because in this current poor real-estate market, their house is worth less than they paid for it, he said.

When credit was easy, others refinanced their homes to tap their equity for cash so many times they ended up losing their home because of costly mortgages, Pittman said.

Good times are gone

Before the market slowdown, people could either sell or refinance to get out from under an oppressive mortgage, he said, but it's been a year since most people have been able to achieve that.

He said lenders are becoming more proactive.

"The days are rapidly behind us where they say: 'You're current today. Call us back when you're three months behind,'" he said.

There are "work-out" options out there, he said, including a few cases where the loan-servicing company does lower the interest rates.

But the primary option usually is a repayment plan, where homeowners are expected to get and stay current and also start making up missed payments a bit each month, he said. For most homeowners, this isn't really an option, Pittman said.

Institutions are willing to work with homeowners "if the clients are really tenacious," he said, but most institutions aren't willing at this point to forgive ARM adjustments.

Terry Francisco, a spokesman for Bank of America in the Charlotte, N.C., corporate offices, said the bank was conservative in its home-loan program. Less than 0.1 percent of its mortgage portfolio is in foreclosure territory, low compared with the rest of the industry, he said.

BofA doesn't originate or service subprime home loans and has shied away from exotic mortgages except for "sophisticated borrowers," he said, because the bank didn't want homeowners ending up with loans they couldn't afford.

The bank wants to keep as many options open as possible to prevent foreclosures, Francisco said. That includes refinancing to give a distressed homeowner two or three months off monthly payments, with those missed months folded back into the loan, he said.

"The last thing we want to do is foreclose on someone."

Contact your lender

Sara Gaugl, spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, said the bank is encouraging customers to call as soon as they anticipate difficulty in making payments on their mortgage.

WaMu prefers to work out solutions to keep its customers in their homes -- and keep them as customers, she said.
In April, the bank announced a commitment to refinance up to $2 billion in subprime loans at discounted interest rates but only for subprime customers current on their existing loans.

Under the program, borrowers could qualify for a half-percentage-point discount on a 30-year, fixed-rate subprime loan or explore other refinancing alternatives. If a borrower’s credit has improved significantly, the borrower also may be eligible for a lower-priced prime loan.

Gaugl said for borrowers who are already delinquent, the bank offers a number of assistance programs on a case-by-case basis including repayment plans, forbearance plans and loan modifications, which could reduce the interest rate and extend the maturity date of the loan.

The bank also has changed its lending programs. WaMu no longer offers subprime loans without income documentation or subprime adjustable rate mortgage loans with initial fixed-rate terms of fewer than five years.

Gaugl also said WaMu has increased staffing in the “loss-mitigation” department to work with homeowners in mortgage trouble.

Contact reporter Bruce Spence at (209) 943-8581 or bspence@recordnet.com.
I am on a plane back home now - got caught up in a couple of issues. I will call tomorrow. 

------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugl, Sara C. 
To: Owen, Jan L. 
Subject: Re: Google Alert - Laura Richardson 

Thanks, Jan. Reading now. 

How long are you in Seattle? 

-----Original Message-----
From: Owen, Jan L. 
To: Gaugl, Sara C. 
Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2008 1:40 PM 
To: Gaugl, Sara C. 
Subject: FYI: Google Alert - Laura Richardson 

FYI 

------------------------ 

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-no-reply@google.com> 
To: Owen, Jan L. 
Subject: Google Alert: Laura Richardson 

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson 

Five from CA make 'most corrupt' in Congress list <http://www.sacbee.com/static/weblogs/capitolalerts/015250.html> The Sacramento Bee’s Capitol Alert - Sacramento,CA,USA Laura Richardson, whose housing and financial woes have been heavily covered, made the cut. She is one of only seven Democrats among the 24 lawmakers the ... 


------------------------ 

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.


3685
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 8:46:41 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject:

Attachments: GL-CRC QAs.doc

GL-CRC QAs.doc
(16718)
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The Greenlining Institute & California Reinvestment Coalition (CRC) Partnership Meetings

Date:
Location:

F. Servicing. Please describe in detail any changes to WaMu’s current servicing policies and practices. WaMu, as part of its outreach to distressed homeowners and in an attempt to positively impact rising foreclosures, created a team of “on the ground” Community Outreach Managers (COM) in various locations in the country, with a priority focus on our highest foreclosure volume areas. In California those outreach managers are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>California Area</th>
<th>Name and Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Angelica Arendado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19850 Plummer Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chatsworth, CA 91311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(818) 268-8816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego/Bakersfield/San Bernado</td>
<td>Cynthia Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>707 Broadway, 15th Floor, Suite C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego, CA 92101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(619) 687-0202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton/Sacramento/Modesto</td>
<td>Patty Lopez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 East Main Street, 3rd Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M/S: SATCOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stockton, CA 95222-3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(209) 460-6505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland/San Jose</td>
<td>Sandra Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5050 Broadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oakland, CA 94611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(510) 452-6550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our COMs attend Homeownership Preservation Events and meet with our homeowners to determine if a workout is possible.

Data related to WaMu’s servicing portfolio and foreclosure-related outcomes, including loan modifications, short sales, etc. I would like to begin this section by stating that we do not provide specific data about loan modifications outside of what we provide through the HOPE NOW Alliance. Our current policy and practice are to only disclose information that we provide publicly, such as in our financial
CONFIDENTIAL: FOR INTERNAL WAMU USE ONLY
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statement filings with regulatory agencies (e.g., Washington Mutual Bank files its Form 10-K with the Office of Thrift Supervision). I can
inform CRC however, that 80 percent of our customers who are offered workout solutions accept them.

Loan mitigation activities have been a normal business practice at WaMu for many years, and our focus is to help our customers discover
alternative solutions to foreclosure end ways they can overcome financial obstacles that could result in the loss of their home. To the extent feasible, WaMu works to preserve homeownership, although this is not always a realistic alternative.

It's important to note that despite the best efforts of lenders and servicers to help borrowers avoid foreclosure, the industry faces challenges. For example, the terms and conditions of applicable pooling and servicing or other servicing agreements, as well as tax law and accounting rules, determine the requirements regarding the loans we service on behalf of securitizations and third-party investors. These factors significantly define the parameters of our servicing and loss mitigation activities with respect to those loans. Declining home
values (lack of equity), subordination of junior liens and securitized second-mortgages also affect our ability to assist borrowers.

*What are the incentives offered to servicers for loan modifications, workouts, short sales, deeds in lieu, and repayment plans? We
vigilantly pursue the best workout options for all of our customers, regardless of any incentive that may be offered from an investor. For
more information on available incentives to servicers, CRC may wish to contact either Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae.*

*How does WaMu partner with nonprofit counseling agencies to contact delinquent borrowers? WaMu continues to take a multi-pronged
approach in our commitment to minimize borrower foreclosures and the resulting impact on our communities, and we are involved in
mitigation efforts locally, regionally, and nationally with our nonprofit partners, including housing counseling agencies.*

Our biggest challenge, however, is simply reaching borrowers who are most in need. If we can't reach them directly or indirectly such as
through community organizations, we cannot help them. Several strategies have been developed for reaching out to our borrowers, including:

- As part of the HOPE NOW alliance we have sent thousands of letters to our borrowers encouraging them to contact us or the HOPE
  NOW Hotline to discuss workout solutions.
- Developing DVDs and CDs for borrowers who are in foreclosure, explaining various workout options and what they mean to the
  borrowers.
- Printing materials in both English and Spanish that identify contact information for our WaMu Cares team and Hope Now.
- Creating messaging on our general customer service line directing borrowers to contact us or the HOPE NOW Hotline if they are
  experiencing payment difficulties.
- Locating posters in our branches identifying options for assistance for individuals experiencing payment difficulties

WMI: CONFIDENTIAL
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In addition, through our Loss Mitigation department and the WaMu Cares team and as part of this effort we are working hard to build relationships with housing agencies and nonprofits to keep them apprised of our programs so they can provide valuable information and assistance to homeowners.

One such example of our relationship building efforts was the June 2008 webinars on our loan mitigation practices. Developed at the request of CRC, these webinars offered nonprofit housing counselors tips on assisting WaMu borrowers that are in foreclosure or who are delinquent on their home loan. A total of 51 California nonprofit agencies represented by over 98 housing counselors attended the webinars.

Last, WaMu will consider fee-for-service relationships with qualified community organizations that can assist borrowers who our loss mitigation team has not been able to reach by using our standard practices and that can provide effective and cost-efficient delinquency counseling.

G. Loan document translation. At this time, WaMu has no plans to translate loan documents in languages other than English.

Our desire is to ensure that our customers are equipped with the tools needed to select the loan product and terms that best meet their individual needs and to simplify the process of obtaining a home loan. They also reflect our ongoing efforts to be a responsible and ethical lender and ensure that the bank continues its efforts to achieve the intent of our Responsible Mortgage Lending Principles, which we originally announced in 2001 and subsequently revised.

- While I don’t have any figures to share with regard to how many of our borrowers we expect to help through this program, it is important to note that this is just one element of our broader assistance efforts. We view foreclosure as a last resort and are focused on keeping as many of our borrowers as possible in their homes.

- "As an active member of HOPE NOW, Washington Mutual (NYSE: WAM) is pleased to provide our support for a coordinated, national approach among servicers and other industry participants aimed at helping at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure. The implementation of a standardized set of servicing best practices represents another important step WaMu and the industry is taking to ensure that more borrowers receive assistance faster, while also bringing added consistency and transparency to the process.

We remain committed in our efforts to help borrowers with the financial ability and personal desire to keep their homes, but who require assistance given the challenging market conditions. WaMu plans to make an additional announcement about this effort later this week."

WMI: CONFIDENTIAL
# Potential Issues

## Mortgage Lending Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Talking Points</th>
<th>Point Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Home Loans**    | **Strategy** What steps has WaMu taken to adjust to the challenging mortgage lending and credit environment? | - WaMu has taken a number of actions to prepare for this challenging environment, including diversifying our business, reducing market risk and lowering expenses. And, we continue to adjust to the changing market.  
- In terms of our Home Loans business, we've been proactive in adjusting to the ongoing declines of the mortgage and credit markets, and earlier this year, we announced a plan to substantially adjust and resize our business to reflect a smaller overall market.  
- From an origination standpoint, we exited the wholesale lending business. We also closed our remaining stand-alone home loan centers to enhance our focus on delivering home lending products to customers exclusively through our Retail Banking stores and other direct-to-consumer channels. | David Schneider |
| **Product Focus** | We've heard that WaMu has reduced its mix of home loan products. Is the company still committed to the mortgage lending business? | - Mortgages remain an important part of WaMu's retail-focused business strategy.  
- In June, we rolled out a simplified home loan product offering to better serve the needs of our customers. Our prime product set falls into four basic categories: fixed rate mortgages, hybrid amortizing adjustable rate mortgages (ARMS), hybrid interest-only ARMS, and home equity products.  
- We'll also continue to accommodate qualified customers with large or non-conforming loans that otherwise fall within limits set by the federal mortgage agencies Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae.  
- Additionally, we've discontinued all negative amortizing loan product options, including the Option ARM product. These product options have significantly | David Schneider |

---

**WMI: CONFIDENTIAL**
decreased in popularity with our customers given current market conditions, and no longer fit with our new product focus.

- The key takeaway is that we continue to provide a broad range of attractive loan options for most consumers looking to purchase or refinance a home and remain dedicated to responsibly meeting the home financing needs of the communities we serve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Rate Mortgage (FRM)</td>
<td>• Conforming 15-, 20-, 25-, 30-, and 40-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 30-year with a 10-year interest only period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Agerey-Jumbo 15- and 30-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Amortizing Adjustable Rate Mortgage (ARM)</td>
<td>• 5/1, 7/1, and 10/1 LIBOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Agerey-Jumbo 5/1 LIBOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Interest Only (IO) ARM</td>
<td>• 5/1, 7/1, and 10/1 LIBOR Interest Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Equity Products</td>
<td>• WAMU Equity Plus²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Home Equity Loan (HEL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lending to traditionally underserved communities

Given your current strategy, does WAMU have any programs designed to increase lending to traditionally underserved communities?¹

- Outreach to minority neighborhoods is an important part of our business. We remain focused on increasing our outreach to qualified borrowers and have many programs in place to address the needs of those in low- and moderate-income communities and traditionally underserved populations, including our diverse and multi-cultural communities.

- These affordable lending solutions cover the cost of financing for low- and moderate-income borrowers, including low and no down payment programs, rehabilitation loan programs, down payment assistance programs, and loans with automatic rate reductions to reward consistent, timely payments.

- The Community Access Home Loans Program, WAMU’s suite of affordable residential lending products, offers a full range of prime home loan offerings that

Reza Aghamirzadah
David Schneider

WMI: CONFIDENTIAL
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Programs</th>
<th>Does WaMu participate in bond programs? If so, which ones?</th>
<th>While we remain committed to working with local community housing organizations to provide affordable loans to low and moderate income borrowers, we have suspended current participation in all bond programs, with the exception of the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) program.</th>
<th>Reza Aghamirzadeh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| | Will WaMu reconsider this decision in the future? | We continue to offer a variety of affordable lending solutions, including:  
  - Community Access Home Loans Program;  
  - Community Focus Funds Program; and  
  - Ongoing support of local Mortgage Assistance Programs. | |
| Home Equity Line Increases | We've heard that a number of lenders are freezing home equity lines of credit. Is WaMu taking such action? Do you feel this is | As background, WaMu has had programs in place for years that actively manage the amount of credit we extend to our customers, both at origination and during the term of their loan. We believe this is part of being a responsible lender.  
  - In line with the ongoing management of our customers' home equity lines of credit, we will increase, decrease or suspend lines based on a number of factors. | |

**CONFIDENTIAL: FOR INTERNAL WAMU USE ONLY**  
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don't require a perfect credit history and in some instances, do not require cash reserves.

- We are pleased to report that, for the six years 2002 through 2007, our affordable Community Access product production totaled nearly $6.4 billion nationally. Of this amount, California ranked first in the number of originations and dollar volume during this time period.

- In addition, through our Community Focus Funds Program, WaMu has earmarked $20 million to help provide price subsidies to qualified borrowers. Under the program, we provide closing cost assistance to LMI applicants, and applicants purchasing in LMI census tracts. This assistance, which is marketed through organizations and directly to potential applicants, lowers the cost of financing and increases affordability.

- WaMu also provides ongoing support of local Mortgage Assistance Programs.
including a customer's payment status and history, changes to their creditworthiness, and changes in the value of their property.

- Given the current housing market, WaMu, as well as other lenders, have taken the fiscally responsible steps to reduce select credit lines when warranted by declining home values. We will continue to evaluate individual home equity lines of credit in relationship to the amount of equity a customer has in their home and, if appropriate, will lower the line amount according to WaMu's line management guidelines.

- Additionally, most of our customers whose lines have been decreased continue to have access to available credit.

- Our line management actions are made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the HELOC agreement signed by our customers, and we notify our customers when there is a change to their account.

- We encourage our customers to contact us if they have questions to better understand the circumstances, and have a process in place for those who wish to appeal a credit line decrease decision. We also will continue to assist homeowners who may have unique or special situations.

### Homeownership Preservation Efforts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Talking Points</th>
<th>Point Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pending Payment     | What actions are WaMu taking to assist customers facing pending payment increases, or rate resets on their loans? | - Our firm belief is that early intervention combined with expanded options is instrumental to helping our customers avoid foreclosure.  
- To that end, we are applying particular emphasis on reaching out to our adjustable rate mortgage customers at least six months prior to a rate reset through direct mail, dialing campaigns and statement messaging.  
- Last year, we sent approximately 5 million pieces of outreach mail and will continue to work with our borrowers requesting assistance up until their reset date and beyond.  
* Refer to $2B subprime assistance messaging | Michaela Alben |
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**Initial $2B subprime assistance program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can you provide an update on the results of this program?</td>
<td>We're pleased to say that this program, which is just one component of our broader assistance efforts, has been well received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are you doing for those borrowers that don't qualify for this program, including those who have already become delinquent on their loan?</td>
<td>Since the program was first established in April 2007, we have utilized most of the initial commitment and are now increasing our efforts to ensure we're helping as many of our customers as possible stay in their homes during this difficult environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In June, we announced that we were expanding the program from its original $2 billion commitment to $3 billion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We are focused on reaching out to our customers with subprime loans and encouraging them to contact us if they are concerned about making their new mortgage payment as a result of a payment adjustment on an adjustable rate mortgage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For those borrowers who have already become delinquent and are in need of assistance, we are offering expanded forbearance and loan restructuring plans, including permanent reductions in rate, extended terms and even partial forgiveness of debt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does WaMu support the Hope for Homeowners Program recently signed into law by the President?</td>
<td>We appreciate the efforts of Congress and the Administration and believe this legislation will go a long way toward providing stability to the housing market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is WaMu planning to implement the initiative? If yes, are you planning to forbear foreclosure for those that may qualify?</td>
<td>We share the same goal of helping at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure whenever possible, and believe that the Hope for Homeowners Program will provide another option for borrowers and servicers in addressing troubled loans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At this time, the federal agencies have not published guidelines for the new HFA program, however in the interim, we are establishing a process to implement the new program and will continue to actively work with all of our borrowers to pursue workout options that will enable them to stay in their homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We are committed to preserving homeownership for our borrowers whenever possible, and use a variety of workout options that enable us to do this effectively. Once HFA Program guidelines are available, we will review the cases in which we believe borrowers may be eligible and will work to help those borrowers either through the HFA Program or another one of our borrower assistance options (which would include providing forbearance with regard to foreclosure).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you plan to make the principal reductions</td>
<td>We recognize that market conditions and falling home prices are important considerations when evaluating the potential investor or bank loss.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>necessary to qualify at-risk borrowers?</td>
<td>associated with various workout options. We anticipate that for borrowers whose income does not support other workout or modification options, we will offer to make the principal reduction necessary to allow eligible borrowers to participate in the FHA Program if the potential loss under the program would be lower than the anticipated loss associated with a foreclosure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Loan Modification Data/Results                                                                                     | • WaMu provides modification programs through two of its business channels. First, Consumer Direct offers loan modifications, where appropriate, as part of our $2 billion subprime initiative for homeowners who are current on their existing mortgages. Second, our Loss Mitigation department provides modifications, where appropriate, to those borrowers who are delinquent on their payments and have entered the foreclosure process.  
  • We are not able to provide data around loan modifications as our current policy and practice is to only disclose information that we provide to the SEC.  
  • However, HOPE NOW, of which WaMu is a member, reported in a Jan. 7, 2008 press release that based on data received from 10 large servicers who handle approximately 58% of the subprime loans outstanding:  
    • Mortgage servicers modified the terms on approximately 30,000 subprime loans during Q3 07, with 10,000 of these modifications being made to adjustable rate loans;  
    • The number of subprime loan modifications during Q4 were running at a rate triple that of Q3;  
    • Numbers show that the industry helped one borrower to every two that went into foreclosure during the first half of 2007 and that figure rose to a ratio of three to four in the second half of 2007.  
  • WaMu has been very proactive in modifying loans to keep borrowers in their homes and will continue to increase our efforts.  |
| Housing Counseling Services                                                                                       | • WaMu’s effort to sustain homeownership is multi-pronged and includes the participation of our loss mitigation team in homeownership preservation workshops in major metropolitan areas to assist distressed borrowers and complete workouts on location.  
  • We will consider fee-for-service relationships with qualified community organizations that can assist borrowers who our loss mitigation team has been unable to reach by using our standard practices and that can provide effective and cost-efficient delinquency counseling.  |

---
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- Additionally, WaMu has a long history of grant-making in the area of financial education, which is a major program focus of our corporate philanthropy program.

- In the area of foreclosure prevention, WaMu provides grants to nonprofit organizations with experience and expertise in foreclosure prevention programs that help borrowers with unforeseeable hardships avoid losing their homes.

- We have recently provided $100,000 to NeighborWorks America Center for Foreclosure Solutions in support of their advertising campaign and also announced the awarding of a $150,000 grant to the Homeownership Preservation Foundation, which will fund the counseling of 1,500 borrowers who are experiencing difficulty when making their monthly mortgage payments.

- WaMu will continue to entertain grant requests through our Corporate & Employee Giving department.

* CEAD to address California-specific grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REO Program</th>
<th>Are you willing to work with nonprofit organizations before foreclosure to acquire properties?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REO Program</td>
<td>WaMu does not hold title to properties prior to foreclosure. Due to borrower confidentiality and privacy restrictions, information regarding pending foreclosures may not be released to third parties that may be interested in purchasing such properties beyond the notices required by applicable foreclosure laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REO Program</td>
<td>WaMu's REO Advance Notice Program (developed in partnership with CRC) is designed to provide information to our key nonprofit housing development agencies as soon as properties become available. Properties are only considered REO after the foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure has been completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REO Program</td>
<td>In an effort to streamline processes, WaMu’s REO information is available via the Internet at <a href="http://www.wamuproperties.com">www.wamuproperties.com</a>. Nonprofits will be able to log onto the site to search for property by City, State, or Zip Code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REO Program</td>
<td>In addition, we are currently exploring the possibility of discounting selected WaMu-owned REO properties to nonprofit organizations that have the capacity and funding to develop the property into affordable housing. Nonprofits interested in receiving property at a discount will be directed to contact their Community Affairs Relationship Manager.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

David Schneider, Reza Aghamirzadeh
## Appraisal Practices, HMDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Talking Points</th>
<th>Point Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NY AG Lawsuit | What's your reaction to the NY AG's allegations and subsequent SEC inquiry with regard to WaMu's appraisal practices? | - After spending a month and a half investigating these allegations, we can say with confidence that there has been no systematic effort by WaMu to inflate home appraisals.  
- We take these allegations very seriously. We are voluntarily and fully cooperating with the SEC's inquiry as well as the OTS, and look forward to bringing the facts to both the regulators and public. | Michaela Alben     |
| HMDA    | What has WaMu been doing to ensure that your HMDA data reflects fair lending practices?  
Can you share your 2007 HMDA data with us? | - Washington Mutual is committed to fair lending practices and we work diligently to eliminate barriers to homeownership. Examples include:  
  - Comprehensive Fair Lending Program  
  - Responsible Mortgage Lending Principles  
  - Products & Programs (Community Access)  
  - Homebuyer Education  
- That said, while HMDA data is a valuable tool in evaluating our lending performance, it presents only one part of the picture. For example, numerous factors account for why borrowers of color have higher denial rates than non-minority borrowers, including lack of borrower education, differences in borrowers' credit history, amount of debt, risk profile, property value and other factors, as pointed out in the Fed's HMDA analysis.  
- The bottom line: while interest rate disparities between borrowers with different risk profiles are understandable and appropriate, disparities between borrowers who have different racial or ethnic backgrounds but the same risk profiles are unacceptable.  
- We are unable to provide 2007 HMDA data at this time. As a matter of practice and policy, WaMu does not release this information prior to review and approval by our federal regulators. a process that likely will be completed in March. | Reza Aghamirzadeh |
Response

- This is an active investigation and we are fully cooperating with local law enforcement regarding this matter.

- More broadly, we have extensive controls in place to protect the integrity of our portfolio and loan processes. We are continually enhancing our efforts to identify and prevent any potential illegal activity.

We will not tolerate misrepresentation or fraud of any kind, and will aggressively pursue all legal means available to combat these offenses.

********

Wamu does not disclose our customers’ personal financial information without written authorization from the customer. As we’ve communicated previously, we have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation. I’d like to reiterate, however, that we are committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

********

- We are very focused on making sure that our customers have options as they approach a rate reset in order to decrease their likelihood of default.

- For Option ARM borrowers approaching payment resets, we will offer refinance and modification alternatives which will provide affordable mortgage payments and improve their ability to stay in their homes.

  - For eligible borrowers, our initial offer will be a 36E 5/1 Hybrid Refinance.
  - Jumbo mortgage holders and those borrowers declined for the 36E offer will receive a 5/1 IO market rate modification offer.
  - Borrowers unable to make the payment associated with the 5/1 IO can submit income documentation for consideration of an Affordable 5/1 IO Mod.

  [This program will calculate an affordable monthly payment based on the borrower’s income and current debts. Based on the calculation, not all borrowers will qualify for a discount to the market interest rate. Those who do qualify may receive rate discounts ranging from their current minimum payment up to the payment associated with the 5/1 IO market interest rate.]

  * Fees on all of these offers will be waived.

- We will market these offers to our customers through direct mail and telemarketing efforts beginning 6 months prior to their rate reset date.

- Through the end of 2008, we project approximately $12B in mortgages on our balance sheet to hit an Option-ARM reset.
CONFIDENTIAL: FOR INTERNAL WAMU USE ONLY
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- Roughly 25 percent of these recasts will be triggered by borrowers reaching the Negative Amortization cap, while the other 75 percent are driven by standard calendar recasts after 5 years. Borrowers reaching the negative amortization cap will have higher payment increases, and are therefore more likely to default.

- We have already modified over $3.2 billion in Option ARMs to hybrids helping over 22,000 customers avoid potential payment shock.

********

I would like to take this opportunity to note that WaMu leads to borrowers on the basis of credit history, assets and income, among other factors. Race is not a factor in determining creditworthiness at WaMu. In addition, several years ago, we were the first lender in the nation to create specific responsible lending principles to guide our mortgage activity and to promote fair and ethical lending, including those loans referred to us by brokers.

Our primary objective is to offer our customers the best possible loan available to them. If the borrower’s financial profile has improved, we will inform them that they may be eligible for a lower-priced prime loan. We work very hard to move our borrowers up the credit spectrum provided they qualify.
The Greenlining Institute & California Reinvestment Coalition (CRC) Partnership Meetings

Date:

Location:

F. Servicing. Please describe in detail any changes to WaMu’s current servicing policies and practices. WaMu, as part of its outreach to distressed homeowners and in an attempt to positively impact rising foreclosures, created a team of “on the ground” Community Outreach Managers (COM) in various locations in the country, with a priority focus on our highest foreclosure volume areas. In California those outreach managers are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>California Area</th>
<th>Name and Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Angelica Arendondo&lt;br&gt;19850 Plummer Street&lt;br&gt;Chatsworth, CA 91311&lt;br&gt;(818) 268-8816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, Bakersfield, San Bernardino</td>
<td>Cynthia Thompson&lt;br&gt;707 Broadway, 15th Floor, Suite C&lt;br&gt;San Diego, CA 92101&lt;br&gt;(619) 687-0202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton, Sacramento, Modesto</td>
<td>Patty Lopez&lt;br&gt;400 East Main Street, 3rd Floor&lt;br&gt;M/S: ST33COM&lt;br&gt;Stockton, CA 95222-3000&lt;br&gt;(209) 460-6505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland, San Jose</td>
<td>Sandra Edwards&lt;br&gt;5050 Broadway&lt;br&gt;Oakland, CA 94611&lt;br&gt;(510) 452-6550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our COMs attend Homeownership Preservation Events and meet with our homeowners to determine if a workout is possible.

Data related to WaMu’s servicing portfolio and foreclosure-related outcomes, including loan modifications, short sales, etc. I would like to begin this section by stating that we do not provide specific data about loan modifications outside of what we provide through the HOPE NOW Alliance. Our current policy and practice are to only disclose information that we provide publicly, such as in our financial reports.
statement filings with regulatory agencies (e.g., Washington Mutual Bank files its Form 10-K with the Office of Thrift Supervision). I can inform CRC however, that 80 percent of our customers who are offered workout solutions accept them.

Loan mitigation activities have been a normal business practice at WaMu for many years, and our focus is to help our customers discover alternative solutions to foreclosure and ways they can overcome financial obstacles that could result in the loss of their home. To the extent feasible, WaMu works to preserve homeownership, although this is not always a realistic alternative.

It’s important to note that despite the best efforts of lenders and servicers to help borrowers avoid foreclosure, the industry faces challenges. For example, the terms and conditions of applicable pooling and servicing or other servicing agreements, as well as tax law and accounting rules, determine the requirements regarding the loans we service on behalf of securitizations and third-party investors. These factors significantly define the parameters of our servicing and loss mitigation activities with respect to those loans. Declining home values (lack of equity), subordination of junior liens and securitized secondbs also affect our ability to assist borrowers.

What are the incentives offered to servicers for loan modifications, workouts, short sales, deeds in lieu, and repayment plans? We vigilantly pursue the best workout options for all of our customers, regardless of any incentive that may be offered from an investor. For more information on available incentives to servicers, CRC may wish to contact either Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae.

How does WaMu partner with nonprofit counseling agencies to contact delinquent borrowers? WaMu continues to take a multi-pronged approach in our commitment to minimize borrower foreclosures and the resulting impact on our communities, and we are involved in alleviation efforts locally, regionally, and nationally with our nonprofit partners, including housing counseling agencies.

Our biggest challenge, however, is simply reaching borrowers who are most in need. If we can’t reach them directly or indirectly such as through community organizations, we cannot help them. Several strategies have been developed for reaching out to our borrowers, including:

- As part of the HOPE NOW alliance we have sent thousands of letters to our borrowers encouraging them to contact us or the HOPE NOW Hotline to discuss workout solutions.
- Developing DVDs and CDs for borrowers who are in foreclosure, explaining various workout options and what they mean to the borrowers.
- Printing materials in both English and Spanish that identify contact information for our WaMu Cares team and Hope Now.
- Creating messaging on our general customer service line directing borrowers to contact us or the HOPE NOW Hotline if they are experiencing payment difficulties.
- Locating posters in our branches identifying options for assistance for individuals experiencing payment difficulties.
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In addition, through our Loss Mitigation department and the WaMu Cares team and as part of this effort we are working hard to build relationships with housing agencies and nonprofits to keep them apprised of our programs so they can provide valuable information and assistance to homeowners.

One such example of our relationship building efforts was the June 2008 webinars on our loss mitigation practices. Developed at the request of CRC, these webinars offered nonprofit housing counselors tips on assisting WaMu borrowers that are in foreclosure or who are delinquent on their home loan. A total of 51 California nonprofit agencies represented by over 98 housing counselors attended the webinars.

Last, WaMu will consider fee-for-service relationships with qualified community organizations that can assist borrowers who our loss mitigation team has not been able to reach by using our standard practices and that can provide effective and cost-efficient delinquency counseling.

Is there a way for legal advocates to talk to the [WaMu] legal department on behalf of borrowers who may have claims against the bank? In our March 10, 2008 letter to you we provided the contact information for Michaela Albon. Since the time of that letter, Michaela has left the Bank. WaMu now asks that legal advocates follow our existing procedures when advocating on behalf of a borrower.

WaMu and the California Governor’s initiative to offer streamlined long-term loan modifications to borrowers with reset challenges. We appreciate CRC’s desire, given the current foreclosure environment, to learn about WaMu’s involvement with Governor Schwarzenegger’s initiative. As a matter of policy and practice, however, we do not disclose our conversations with elected officials. That said, WaMu does support efforts to help customers maintain homeownership and encourages borrowers to call their servicer early if they anticipate that a rate reset will be troublesome.

As a key member of HOPE NOW, WaMu has worked very closely with the Treasury and the American Securitization Forum to develop what we believe is a positive step for borrowers who are not able to handle an increase in their monthly mortgage payment. This proposal also establishes a new set of industry best practices, making it easier for servicers to modify securitized loans under existing servicing agreements.

We look forward to continuing our work with national, state, and local elected officials and our other partners, including those representing the community, in order to help stabilize the housing market and support efforts that will allow customers to sustain their homeownership.

G. Will WaMu participate in the new FHA rescue initiative signed into law by the President? If so, how? WaMu appreciates the efforts of Congress and the Administration to stabilize the housing markets and supports these efforts in promoting the Hope for Homeowners (HAMP) Program. We share the same goal of helping at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure whenever possible, and believe that the Hope for Homeowners Program will provide another option for borrowers and servicers in addressing troubled loans.
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At this time, the federal agencies have not published guidelines for the new HFA program, however, in the interim WaMu is establishing a process to implement the new program and will continue to actively work with all of its borrowers to pursue workout options that will enable them to stay in their homes.

D. Update on bank efforts to make Community Access or other prime loan products more accessible to African-American borrowers. WaMu lends to borrowers on the basis of credit history, assets and income, among other factors. Race is not a factor in determining creditworthiness at WaMu. In addition, several years ago, we were the first lender in the nation to create specific responsible lending principles to guide our mortgage activity and to promote fair and ethical lending, including those loans referred to us by brokers.

We remain focused on increasing our outreach to qualified borrowers and have many programs in place to address the needs of those in low- and moderate-income communities and traditionally underserved populations, including our diverse and multi-cultural communities.

WaMu is committed to providing a variety of affordable lending solutions that lower the cost of financing for low- and moderate-income borrowers, which include low and no down payment programs, rehabilitation loan programs, down payment assistance programs, and loans with automatic rate reductions to reward consistent, timely payments.

The Community Access Home Loans Program, WaMu’s suite of affordable residential lending products, offers a full menu of prime home loan offerings that don’t require a perfect credit history and in some instances, do not require cash reserves.

- 30- or 40-year fixed rate mortgage
- Affordable 95: LTV 95% or below
- Affordable 97: LTV 80.01% to 97%

Applicants qualify in one of two ways for Community Access:

- Income based: Applicant has a low to moderate income
- Place based: Property is within a low to moderate income census tract or is within an underserved/distressed census tract.

In addition, through our Community Focus Funds Program, WaMu has earmarked $20 million to help provide price subsidies to qualified borrowers. Under the program, we provide closing cost assistance to LMI applicants, and applicants purchasing in LMI census tracts. This assistance, which is marketed through organizations and directly to potential applicants, lowers the cost of financing and increases affordability.
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I am pleased to report that, for the six years 2002 through 2007, our affordable Community Access product production totaled nearly $6.4 billion nationally. Of this amount, California ranked first in the number of originations and dollar volume during this time period.

Before ending this section, I would like to state our belief that financial institutions, community organizations, and governments must work diligently, alone and together, in this difficult and challenging time in order to maintain or increase minority homeownership rates. We believe in sustainable homeownership, and to achieve that goal suggest that at least the following should occur:

- Increase financial and homebuyer education efforts in minority communities much earlier than has commonly occurred, including in high school. Emphasizing such areas as credit scoring, budgeting, credit cards, and broad awareness of the expenses associated with homeownership would better prepare potential borrowers for this undertaking.
- Increase down payment assistance programs to help LMI homebuyers. This assistance could be conditioned upon the receipt of homebuyer and/or financial education.
- Increase the number of "match" structures like the Individual Development Accounts that encourage a prospective homeowner to save.

E. WaMu solicitation of existing Long Beach customers for refinance loan options. Under WaMu’s $3 billion assistance program, we are reaching out to our subprime customers and encouraging them to contact us if they are concerned about making their new mortgage payment. Our offers of assistance include refinancing or modifying their mortgage into a fixed rate loan at a discounted rate. (As a reminder, WaMu no longer offers subprime lending or has a subprime lending channel, Long Beach mortgage.)

F. Broker best practices. Last year, WaMu took steps to realign its home lending business primarily into its core retail banking network and to reduce the size of its other home lending operations. In April, we announced plans to further our retail-focused strategy by exiting wholesale lending, the loan broker channel.

G. Loan document translation. At this time, WaMu has no plans to translate loan documents in languages other than English.

Our desire is to ensure that our customers are equipped with the tools needed to select the loan product and terms that best meet their individual needs and to simplify the process of obtaining a home loan. They also reflect our on-going efforts to be a responsible and ethical lender and ensure that the bank continues its efforts to achieve the intent of our Responsible Mortgage Lending Principles, which we originally announced in 2001 and subsequently revised.

Potential Issues
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## Mortgage Lending Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Talking Points</th>
<th>Point Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Current Lending Environment  | What is WaMu's view on the housing downturn, and what steps need to be taken to correct it? | - Clearly, the current downturn in housing is deeper than expected. We continue to see declining home prices, elevated inventories of unsold homes and increased foreclosure activity. As has been very well-publicized, the downturn has been more severe in markets like California, than it has been nationally.  
- However, I'd like to point out that we continue to support measures that could strengthen the housing market, including:  
  - Aggressive easing by the Fed,  
  - Temporarily raising the conforming limits for GSEs,  
  - Government economic stimulus actions, and  
  - Continued efforts by lenders to help borrowers remain in their homes.  
- We also will continue to work diligently with HOPE NOW, our legislators and regulators and community partners throughout the nation to help stabilize the housing market and support measures that will help customers maintain home ownership. | David Schneider |
| Home Loans Strategy          | What steps has WaMu taken to adjust to the challenging mortgage lending and credit environment? | - WaMu has taken a number of actions to prepare for this challenging environment, including diversifying our business, reducing market risk and lowering expenses. And, we continue to adjust to the changing market.  
- In terms of our Home Loans business, we've been proactive and ahead of many in adjusting to the ongoing declines of the mortgage and credit markets, and earlier this year, we announced a plan to substantially adjust and resize our Home Loans business to reflect a smaller overall market, by:  
  - Exiting our subprime mortgage lending channel;  
  - Closing a number of Home Loans centers, sales offices, and Home Loans processing and call centers;  
  - Eliminating approximately 2,600 Home Loans positions or about 22% of our staff; and  
  - Closing WaMu Capital Corp., our institutional broker-dealer business, as well as our mortgage banking finance warehouse lending operation.  
- WaMu remains committed to offering mortgage products to its customers and these steps significantly accelerate our focus on mortgage lending through our local retail banking stores and other retail distribution channels.  
- We're also targeting growth in our consumer direct channel as we further leverage our brand presence and direct mail expertise, and our successful | Reza Aghamirzadeh/ Michaela Alben |

---
wholesale business will continue to serve our valuable broker partners through our unique industry-leading broker lending standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lending to traditionally underserved communities</th>
<th>Will your current strategy diminish your ability to increase lending to traditionally underserved communities?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Outreach to minority neighborhoods is an important part of our business. We are committed to offering a range of mortgage products to meet the individual needs of our customers and to continue providing outreach to traditionally underserved populations, including our diverse and multi-cultural communities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In addition, we offer programs that address underserved communities, including local, regional and state bond programs; low and no down payment programs; rehabilitation loan programs; and loans with automatic rate reductions to reward consistent, timely payments, and homebuyer education seminars in English and Spanish.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position on Non-Traditional Mortgage Products</th>
<th>What is WaMu's position on nontraditional mortgage products?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• We are committed to offering a range of mortgage products to meet the individual needs of our customers, and believe that fairly-priced residential loans that conform to our Responsible Lending Principles provide a valuable source of credit to borrowers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• WaMu has provided alternative mortgage products such as our &quot;Option ARM&quot; for over twenty years. During this time period, WaMu has offered the product through many different interest-rate and economic cycles and believe that these mortgages have been tested.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We do not consider the Option ARM to be an &quot;affordability&quot; product. Borrowers are qualified using fully amortizing payments, based on the fully indexed rate and the original principal amount, as opposed to being qualified on the teaser rates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Within this context, we agree that alternative mortgage products are not appropriate for everyone. They do, however, provide financial flexibility for the right customers who find that alternative mortgage products offer advantages that are not available with traditional mortgage products.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Homeownership Preservation Efforts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Payment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increases</th>
<th>facing pending payment increases, or rate resets on their loans?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To that end, we are applying particular emphasis on reaching out to our adjustable rate mortgage customers at least six months prior to a rate reset through direct mail, dialing campaigns and statement messaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Last year, we sent approximately 5 million pieces of outreach mail and will continue to work with our borrowers requesting assistance up until their reset date and beyond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Refer to $2B subprime assistance messaging.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**$2 billion subprime assistance program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Can you provide an update on the results of this program? What are you doing for those borrowers that don't qualify for this program, including those who have already become delinquent on their loan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Since the program was first established in April 2007, we have utilized most of the initial commitment and are now increasing our efforts to ensure we're helping as many of our customers as possible stay in their homes during this difficult environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In June, we announced that we were expanding the program from its original $2 billion commitment to a $3 billion. We continue to evaluate increasing the need in the future as customer demand and prevailing market conditions warrant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We are reaching out to our customers and encouraging them to contact us if they are concerned about making their new mortgage payment as a result of a payment adjustment on an adjustable rate mortgage. And, if we do not hear back from a customer as a result of our initial outreach efforts, we will continue to attempt to contact them approximately every 30 days thereafter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Our offers of assistance include refinancing or modifying their mortgage into a fixed rate loan at a discount rate. Borrowers also will be offered a prime loan if their credit has significantly improved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• We're pleased to say that this program, which is just one component of our broader assistance efforts, has been well received. As of November, we have refinanced or modified over $700 million in loans and will increase that number in the coming months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For those borrowers who have already become delinquent and are in need of assistance, we are offering expanded forbearance and loan restructuring plans, including permanent reductions in rate, extended terms and even partial forgiveness of debt.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Michaela Albon

---

**WMI: CONFIDENTIAL**

---
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**Paulson Plan**

What are your thoughts on the "Paulson Plan" - how many of your borrowers do you think the plan will realistically help, and what are you doing for those not helped by the plan?

- WaMu is a member of the recently-announced private sector initiative called HOPE NOW and supports the proposal announced by the Bush administration aimed at keeping borrowers in their homes.
- As a key member of HOPE NOW, WaMu has worked very closely with the Department of Treasury and the American Securitization Forum to develop what we believe is a positive step for subprime borrowers who are unable to handle an increase in their monthly payment.
- This proposal also establishes a new set of industry standards for modifying securitized loans. Now that the SEC has issued guidance clarifying the accounting standards, servicers including WaMu are finalizing their programs and should be launching them shortly.
- While I don't have any figures to share with regard to how many of our borrowers we expect to help through this program, it is important to note that this is just one element of our broader assistance efforts. We view foreclosure as a last resort and are focused on keeping as many of our borrowers as possible in their homes.

* Refer to overarching homeownership preservation initiatives messaging

---

**California Governor's Initiative**

Was WaMu asked to support Governor Schwarzenegger's initiative to streamline long-term loan modifications to borrowers with reset challenges?

- As a matter of policy and practice, we do not discuss our conversations with elected officials. However, WaMu supports efforts to help customers maintain homeownership and encourages borrowers to call their servicer early if they anticipate that a rate reset will be troublesome.
- We will continue our work with national, state, and local elected officials and our other partners, including those representing the community, in order to help stabilize the housing market and support efforts that will allow customers to sustain their homeownership.

---

**Loan Modification Data/Results**

How many short sales, loan modifications, or other positive outcomes have resulted from your efforts?

- WaMu provides modification programs through two of its business channels. First, Consumer Direct offers loan modifications, where appropriate, as part of our $2 billion subprime initiative for homeowners who are current on their existing mortgages. Second, our Loan Modification department provides modifications, where appropriate, to those borrowers who are delinquent on their payments and have entered the foreclosure process.
- We are not able to provide data around loan modifications as our current
policy and practice is to only disclose information that we provide publicly, such as in our financial statement filings with the SEC.

- However, WAMU, of which WaMu is a member, reported in a Jan. 7, 2008 press release that based on data received from 10 large servicers who handle approximately 58% of the subprime loans outstanding:
  - Mortgage servicers modified the terms on approximately 38,000 subprime loans during Q3 07, with 19,000 of these modifications being made to adjustable rate loans;
  - The number of subprime loan modifications during Q4 were running at a rate triple that of Q3;
  - Numbers show that the industry helped one borrower to every two that went into foreclosure during the first half of 2007 and that figure rose to a ratio of three to four in the second half of 2007.
- WaMu has been very proactive in modifying loans to keep borrowers in their homes and will continue to increase our efforts.

### Housing Counseling Services

**Does WaMu fund community organizations and housing counseling agencies for assistance in conducting delinquency and foreclosure prevention counseling?**

- WaMu's effort to sustain homeownership is multi-pronged and includes the participation of our loss mitigation team in homeownership preservation workshops in major metropolitan areas to assist distressed borrowers and complete workouts on location.
- We will consider fee-for-service relationships with qualified community organizations that can assist borrowers who our loss mitigation team has been unable to reach by using our standard practices and that can provide effective and cost-efficient delinquency counseling.
- Additionally, WaMu has a long history of grant-making in the area of financial education, which is a major program focus of our corporate philanthropy program.
- In the area of foreclosure prevention, WaMu provides grants to nonprofit organizations with experience and expertise in foreclosure prevention programs that help borrowers with unforeseeable hardships avoid losing their homes.
- We have recently provided $100,000 to NeighborWorks America Center for Foreclosure Solutions in support of their advertising campaign and also announced the awarding of a $150,000 grant to the Homeownership Preservation Foundation, which will fund the counseling of 1,500 borrowers who are experiencing difficulty when making their monthly mortgage payments.
- WaMu will continue to entertain grant requests through our Corporate & Employee Giving department.

---

*Reza Aghamirzadeh/Michaela Albin*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REO Program</th>
<th>Are you willing to work with nonprofit organizations before foreclosure to acquire properties?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|             | • WaMu does not hold title to properties prior to foreclosure. Due to borrower confidentiality and privacy restrictions, information regarding pending foreclosures may not be released to third parties that may be interested in purchasing such properties beyond the notices required by applicable foreclosure laws.  
  • WaMu's REO Advance Notice Program (developed in partnership with CRC) is designed to provide information to our key nonprofit housing development agencies as soon as properties become available. Properties are only considered REO after the foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure has been completed.  
  • In an effort to streamline processes, WaMu's REO information is available via the internet at www.wamu-properties.com. Nonprofits will be able to log onto the site to search for property by City, State, or Zip Code.  
  • In addition, we are currently exploring the possibility of discounting selected WaMu-owned REO properties to nonprofit organizations that have the capacity and funding to develop the property into affordable housing. Nonprofits interested in receiving property at a discount will be directed to contact their Community Affairs Relationship Manager. |

---

### Appraisal Practices, HMDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Talking Points</th>
<th>Point Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **NY AG Lawsuit** | What's your reaction to the NY AG's allegations and subsequent SEC inquiry with regard to WaMu's appraisal practices? | • After spending a month and a half investigating these allegations, we can say with confidence that there has been no systematic effort by WaMu to inflate home appraisals.  
  • We take these allegations very seriously. We are voluntarily and fully cooperating with the SEC's inquiry as well as the OIG, and look forward to bringing the facts to both the regulators and public. | Michaela Alben |
| **HMDA** | What has WaMu been doing to ensure that your ... | • Washington Mutual is committed to fair lending practices and we work diligently to eliminate barriers to homeownership. Examples include:  
  • Comprehensive Fair Lending Program | Reza Aghamirzadeh |
| HMDA data reflects fair lending practices? Can you share your 2007 HMDA data with us? | • Responsible Mortgage Lending Principles  
• Products & Programs (Community Access)  
• Homebuyer Education  
• That said, while HMDA data is a valuable tool in evaluating our lending performance, it presents only one part of the picture. For example, numerous factors account for why borrowers-of-color have higher denial rates than non-minority borrowers, including lack of borrower education, differences in borrowers' credit history, amount of debt, risk profile, property value and other factors, as pointed out in the Fed's HMDA analysis  
• The bottom line: while interest-rate disparities between borrowers with different risk profiles are understandable and appropriate, disparities between borrowers who have different racial or ethnic backgrounds but the same risk profiles are unacceptable.  
• We are unable to provide 2007 HMDA data at this time. As a matter of practice and policy, WaMu does not release this information prior to review and approval by our federal regulators, a process that likely will be completed in March. |
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Washington Mutual appreciates the efforts of Congress and the Administration to stabilize the housing markets and supports your efforts in promoting the Hope for Homeowners Program. We share the same goal of helping at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure whenever possible, and believe that the Hope for Homeowners Program will provide another option for borrowers and servicers in addressing troubled loans.

1. Will you be using the next few months to review loan documents, contact borrowers and forbear foreclosure for those that may qualify?

   At this time, the federal agencies have not published guidelines for the new Hope for Homeowners (H4H) Program, which we anticipate will include maximum debt-to-income and other credit qualifications, so it isn't yet possible to fully determine individual borrower eligibility for the H4H Program. However, in the interim, Washington Mutual is establishing a process to implement the new program and will continue to actively work with all of our borrowers to pursue workout options that will enable them to stay in their homes. Once H4H Program guidelines are available, which we hope will be in the next few days, we will review the cases in which we believe borrowers may be eligible and will work to help those borrowers either through the H4H Program or another one of our borrower assistance options (which would include providing forbearance with regard to foreclosure).

2. Do you anticipate making the principal reductions necessary to qualify for refinancing at-risk borrowers into the Hope for Homeowners Program?

   Washington Mutual continues to be committed to preserving homeownership for our borrowers whenever possible, and use a variety of workout options that enable us to do this effectively. We recognize that market conditions and falling home prices are important considerations when evaluating the potential investor or bank loss associated with various workout options. We anticipate that for borrowers whose income does not support other workout or modification options, we will offer to make the principal reduction necessary to allow eligible borrowers to participate in the H4H Program if the potential loss under the program would be lower than the anticipated loss associated with a foreclosure.

**********

***************

There is generally not a CLTV ratio customers must maintain. Rather, lenders typically evaluate home equity lines of credit in relationship to the amount of equity a customer has in their home.

WMI: CONFIDENTIAL
The available equity is calculated based on the value of the property minus all outstanding liens on the property. For example, a HELOC with an 80% CLTV at origination has 20% in available equity. To determine the new amount of available equity, the total lien amounts are subtracted from the new property value.

Possible scenario for your background:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Value</th>
<th>New Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property valuation = $100K</td>
<td>Property valuation = $90K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liens = $80K</td>
<td>Liens = $80K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available equity = $20K</td>
<td>Available equity = $10K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this example, the property value declined by 10%, but the available equity declined by a significant amount (50%). This may cause the lender to evaluate the level of the line of credit.

******

Response

- This is an active investigation and we are fully cooperating with local law enforcement regarding this matter.
- More broadly, we have extensive controls in place to protect the integrity of our portfolio and loan processes. We are continually enhancing our efforts to identify and prevent any potential illegal activity.

We will not tolerate misrepresentation or fraud of any kind, and will aggressively pursue all legal means available to combat these offenses.

******

WAMU does not disclose our customers' personal financial information without written authorization from the customer. As we’ve communicated previously, we have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation. I’d like to reiterate, however, that we are committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

******

- We are very focused on making sure that our customers have options as they approach a rate reset in order to decrease their likelihood of default.
- For ARM borrowers approaching payment recasts, we will offer refinance and modification alternatives which will provide affordable mortgage payments and improve their ability to stay in their homes.
  - For eligible borrowers, our initial offer will be a 120/120 Hybrid Refinance.
CONFIDENTIAL: FOR INTERNAL WAMU USE ONLY
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- Jumbo mortgage holders and those borrowers declined for the OSE offer will receive a 5/1 IO market rate modification offer.
- Borrowers unable to make the payment associated with the 5/1 IO can submit income documentation for consideration of an Affordable 5/1 IO Mod.
  [This program will calculate an affordable monthly payment based on the borrowers' income and current debts. Based on the calculation, not all borrowers will qualify for a discount to the market interest rate. Those who do qualify may receive rate discounts ranging from their current minimum payment up to the payment associated with the 5/1 IO market interest rate.]
- Fees on all of these offers will be waived.

- We will market these offers to our customers through direct mail and telemarketing efforts beginning 6 months prior to their rate recast date.
- Through the end of 2009, we project approximately $12B in mortgages on our balance sheet to hit an Option-AJM recast.
  - Roughly 25 percent of these recasts will be triggered by borrowers reaching the Negative Amortization cap, while the other 75 percent are driven by standard calendar recasts after 5 years. Borrowers reaching the negative amortization cap will have higher payment increases, and are therefore more likely to default.
- We have already modified over $3.2 billion in Option ARMs to hybrids helping over 22,000 customers avoid potential payment shock.

*********
We applaud the efforts of Congress and the Administration and believe this legislation will go a long way toward providing stability and restoring confidence to the housing and financial markets.

We share the same goal of helping at-risk homeowners avoid foreclosure whenever possible, and, taken together, this legislation will provide additional tools and expanded options for borrowers and lenders in addressing troubled loans. We also remain committed to doing our part to strengthen the housing market and increase homeownership opportunities for qualified consumers.

*******
As a follow-up to our discussion, there is absolutely no truth to the rumors you have heard with regard to our home lending strategy. Mortgages are important to our customers and remain an important part of WaMu's retail-focused business strategy.

It is possible that Peter's sources misinterpreted a press release WaMu issued on June 18, 2008, where we announced that we had simplified the set of home loan products we offer to consumers through our Retail Banking stores and internet and telephone sales channel.

WMI: CONFIDENTIAL
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As background, our prime product set falls into four basic categories: fixed rate mortgages; hybrid amortizing adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs); hybrid interest-only ARMs, and home equity products. We've also discontinued all negative amortizing loan production options, including the Option ARM product given current market conditions and our new product focus.

The bottom line is that we continue to provide a broad range of attractive loan options for most consumers looking to purchase or refinance a home, and remain dedicated to responsibly meeting the home financing needs of the communities we serve.

And finally, in early April 2008, we announced that we had exited the wholesale lending business (selling mortgages through third-party brokers). We also announced that we had closed our remaining stand-alone home loan centers to enhance our focus on delivering home lending products to customers exclusively through our Retail Banking stores and other direct-to-consumer channels.

[Recapping our conversation, we've simplified our home loan product offering to better serve the needs of our customers. As part of this effort, we've discontinued all negative amortizing loan product options, including the Option ARM product, and will no longer offer the WaMu Mortgage Plus loan. Those product options have significantly decreased in popularity with our customers given current market conditions, and no longer fit with our new product focus.]

I'd like to emphasize that we continue to provide a broad range of attractive loan options for most customers looking to purchase or refinance a home, and remain dedicated to responsibly meeting the home financing needs of the communities we serve.

And, as noted in the press release, our prime product set falls into four basic categories: fixed rate mortgages; hybrid amortizing adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs); hybrid interest-only ARMs; and Home Equity products. We'll also continue to accommodate qualified customers with large or non-conforming loans that otherwise fall within limits set by the federal mortgage agencies Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae.]

************

WaMu has had programs in place for years that actively manage the amount of credit we extend to our customers, both at origination and during the term of their loan. We believe this is part of being a responsible lender.

In line with the ongoing management of our customers' home equity lines of credit, we will increase, decrease or suspend lines based on a number of factors, including a customer's payment status and history, changes to their creditworthiness, and changes in the value of their property.

Given the current housing market, WaMu, as well as other lenders, have taken the fiscally responsible steps to reduce select credit lines when warranted by declining home values. We will continue to evaluate individual home equity lines of credit in relationship to the amount of equity a customer has in their home and, if appropriate, will lower the line amount according to WaMu's line management guidelines.

Additionally, most of our customers whose lines have been decreased continue to have access to available credit.

Our line management actions are done in accordance with the terms and conditions of the HELOC agreement signed by our customers, and we notify our customers when there is a change to their account. We encourage our customers to contact us if they have questions to better understand the circumstances, and have a process in place for those who wish to appeal a credit line decrease decision. We also will continue to assist homeowners who may have unique or special situations.

WMI: CONFIDENTIAL
Ok, Thanks. "Cloud" is his way of saying controversial — which it was from his perspective, since he/the public
has no way of understanding what really took place in terms of our clerical error.

ae

-----

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 4:11 PM
To: Elias, Alan
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

REDACTED

-----

From: Elias, Alan
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 4:08 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Thanks.

Did we, in fact, refinance her loan to include outstanding tax liens?

-----

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 3:51 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John
Cc: Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Here is the latest article from The Daily Breeze, which is an extension of the story that appeared earlier this morning.

- Sara

Richardson escapes $400 property bill - The Daily Breeze

By Gene Maddox
August 21, 2008

In a statement, Rep. Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.
Richardson’s statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Richardson bought the home in January 2007 upon being elected to the Assembly. But after she won a seat in Congress a few months later, neighbors noticed that property was left vacant and had been poorly maintained.

Sacramento police were called to the home on July 21 because the garage door was open, suggesting a possible break-in. The police called code enforcement officials, who brought in a contractor to board up the door.

Code enforcement officers also found rotting food on the ground, which might attract rodents, as well as junk and debris in the yard.

In such cases, the homeowner gets a bill from the city. Ron O’Connor, the code enforcement operations manager, said the city would also charge $250 for night service, plus the cost of the contractor, bringing the total to perhaps $400.

Apparently relying on county property records, the city sent a notice of a “public nuisance” to Red Rock Mortgage, the real estate firm that bought the property at auction.

Richardson did not learn about the notice until last week.

James York, the owner of Red Rock Mortgage, sued Richardson and Washington Mutual after the auction was rescinded, arguing that he was the rightful owner of the property. As part of the suit, York filed a notice of pending litigation on the property, which clouded Richardson’s title.

York later settled the lawsuit for an undisclosed sum. On July 11, 10 days before the apparent break-in, York’s attorney signed notice to withdraw the lawsuit and the notice of litigation from the property, thereby relinquishing York’s claim on it.

O’Connor said it was unclear from information available to him who owned the property on July 21.

But Richardson had the title to the property once the sale was rescinded on June 2. Were it otherwise, she would have had to sue York to get the house back - not vice versa.

In order to resolve the ownership of the property, O’Connor said he would have to spend $100 for a title search, which would take three weeks.

"It’s not worth it," he said. "I’m just going to get rid of it."

O’Connor said that Richardson would still be sent a notice to comply with the code violations, but would not be required to pay for the board-up.

In another development, Washington Mutual paid Richardson’s outstanding property tax bill of $9,000 on July 31. No public records explain why the bank would do that, though it is possible that the tax bill was added to Richardson’s loan balance in a refinancing.

---

**Email:**

**From:** Gauld, Sara C.
**Sent:** Thursday, August 21, 2008 11:44 AM
**To:** Schneider, David C.; Bernio, John
**Cc:** Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
**Subject:** Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property
By Gene Maddaus

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank reconciled the sales.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Rep. Richardson's Sacramento home declared 'public nuisance' — Los Angeles Times
Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutuel, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomesen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2006. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.
Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.93.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of these descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $388,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 18 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of rescission of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice -- known as a vacant building ordinance violation -- was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York's company.

O'Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O'Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go by there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Holmar, who sold the house to Richardson after living there for 33 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC4U I Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2922 direct I 206.228.0712 cell I sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 7:10:38 PM
To: Elies, Alan
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

REDACTED

From: Elies, Alan
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 12:00 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Thanks.

Did we, in fact, refinance her loan to include outstanding tax liens?

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 3:51 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Barone, John
Cc: Cook, Don; Elies, Alan
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Here is the latest article from The Daily Breeze, which is an extension of the story that appeared earlier this morning.

- Sara

Richardson escapes $400 property bill - The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus
August 21, 2008

In a statement, Rep. Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Richardson bought the home in January 2007 upon being elected to the Assembly. But after she won a seat in Congress a few months later, neighbors noticed that property was left vacant and had been poorly maintained.

Sacramento police were called to the home on July 21 because the garage door was open, suggesting a possible break-in. The police called code enforcement officials, who brought in a contractor to board up the door.

Code enforcement officers also found rotting food on the ground, which might attract rodents, as well as junk and debris in the yard.
In such cases, the homeowner gets a bill from the city. Ron O'Connor, the code enforcement operations manager, said the city would also charge $250 for night service, plus the cost of the contractor, bringing the total to perhaps $400.

Apparently relying on county property records, the city sent a notice of a "public nuisance" to Red Rock Mortgage, the real estate firm that bought the property at auction.

Richardson did not learn about the notice until last week.

James York, the owner of Red Rock Mortgage, sued Richardson and Washington Mutual after the auction was rescinded, arguing that he was the rightful owner of the property. As part of the suit, York filed a notice of pending litigation on the property, which clouded Richardson's title.

York later settled the lawsuit for an undisclosed sum. On July 11, 10 days before the apparent break-in, York's attorney signed notices to withdraw the lawsuit and the notice of litigation from the property, thereby relinquishing York's claim on it.

O'Connor said it was unclear from information available to him who owned the property on July 21.

But Richardson had the title to the property once the sale was rescinded on June 2. Were it otherwise, she would have had to sue York to get the house back - not vice versa.

In order to resolve the ownership of the property, O'Connor said he would have to spend $100 for a title search, which would take three weeks.

"It's not worth it," he said. "I'm just going to get rid of it."

O'Connor said that Richardson would still be sent a notice to comply with the code violations, but would not be required to pay for the board-up.

In another development, Washington Mutual paid Richardson's outstanding property tax bill of $9,000 on July 31. No public records explain why the bank would do that, though it is possible that the tax bill was added to Richardson's loan balance in a refinancing.

---

From: Caugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 11:44 AM
To: Schleeter, David C.; Serens, John
Cc: Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
Subject: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

- Sara

----------

Rep. won't be billed for house problem – The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she
suggested it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she had the title to the property since June 2.

Rep. Richardson's Sacramento home declared 'public nuisance' --- Los Angeles Times
Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomsen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2000. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 12-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $6,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of these descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance
my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $388,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 19 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of recission of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice -- known as a vacant building ordinance violation -- was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York's company.

O'Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O'Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go by there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Harman, who sold the house to Richardson after living there for 30 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC4Q | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2622 direct | 206.222. 1 cell | sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Elias, Alan
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 7:07:54 PM
To: Gauzi, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Thanks.

Did we, in fact, refinance her loan to include outstanding tax liens?

---

From: Gauzi, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 3:51 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Berens, John
Cc: Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
Subject: RE: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

Here is the latest article from The Daily Breeze, which is an extension of the story that appeared earlier this morning.

- Sara

Richardson escapes $400 property bill - The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus
August 21, 2008

In a statement, Rep. Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswomen Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 9.

Richardson bought the home in January 2007 upon being elected to the Assembly. But after she won a seat in Congress a few months later, neighbors noticed that property was left vacant and had been poorly maintained.

Sacramento police were called to the home on July 21 because the garage door was open, suggesting a possible break-in. The police called code enforcement officials, who brought in a contractor to board up the door.

Code enforcement officers also found rotting food on the ground, which might attract rodents, as well as junk and debris in the yard.

In such cases, the homeowner gets a bill from the city. Ron O'Connor, the code enforcement operations manager, said the city would also charge $250 for night service, plus the cost of the contractor, bringing the total to perhaps $400.

Apparently relying on county property records, the city sent a notice of a "public nuisance" to Red Rock Mortgage, the real estate firm that bought the property at auction.

Richardson did not learn about the notice until last week.

James York, the owner of Red Rock Mortgage, sued Richardson and Washington Mutual after the auction was rescinded, arguing that he was the rightful owner of the property. As part of the suit, York filed a notice of pending litigation on the
property, which clouded Richardson’s title.

York later settled the lawsuit for an undisclosed sum. On July 11, 10 days before the apparent break-in, York’s attorney signed notices to withdraw the lawsuit and the notice of litigation from the property, thereby relinquishing York’s claim on it.

O’Connor said it was unclear from information available to him who owned the property on July 21.

But Richardson had the title to the property once the sale was rescinded on June 2. Were it otherwise, she would have had to sue York to get the house back, not vice versa.

In order to resolve the ownership of the property, O’Connor said he would have to spend $100 for a title search, which would take three weeks.

"It’s not worth it," he said. "I’m just going to get rid of it."

O’Connor said that Richardson would still be sent a notice to comply with the code violations, but would not be required to pay for the board-up.

In another development, Washington Mutual paid Richardson’s outstanding property tax bill of $9,000 on July 31. No public records explain why the bank would do that, though it is possible that the tax bill was added to Richardson’s loan balance in a refinancing.

---

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2003 11:44 AM
To: Schneider, David C.; Winter, John
Cc: Cook, Don; Elias, Alan
Subject: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

REDACTED

Rep. won’t be billed for house problem – The Daily Breeze
By Gonce Maddox

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-LONG Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson’s statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Rep. Richardson’s Sacramento home declared ‘public nuisance’ – Los Angeles Times
Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a sank that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomsen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which bearded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democtatic Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2000. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $6,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of these descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $356,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 18 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of rescission of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.
"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice — known as a vacant building ordinance violation — was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York's company.

O'Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O'Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Heiman, who said the house to Richardson after living there for 30 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.3call | sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you
Google News Alert for: Washington Mutual

Laura Richardson won't have to pay a $400 property bill
Los Angeles Times - CA, USA
... and the sweet deal she got from Washington Mutual to regain control of her foreclosed home, which had been sold, just got some more good news. ... See all stories on this topic

The best political conventions money can buy
MarketWatch - USA
How about a mutual pledge that no private money will be allowed at the 2012 conventions? That would be putting the country first, and it would be change we ... See all stories on this topic

This e-mail contains Google Alert is brought to you by Google.
Remove this alert.
Create another alert.
Manage your alerts.
From: ShareThis <notifier@bounces.sharethis.com> on behalf of
Owen, Jan L. <u146276@wamu.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 5:11 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: jan.owen@wamu.net has shared: NOW IT NEEDS FIXING, REP. RICHARDSON
SAYS: NOT MY HOUSE!

jan.owen@wamu.net wanted to share this with you:

NOW IT NEEDS FIXING, REP. RICHARDSON SAYS: NOT MY HOUSE!

http://thedistrictweekly.com/daily/staff-infection/briefing/now-it-...

Powered by ShareThis
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 5:09:25 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Emailing: now-it-needs-fixing-rep-richardson-says-not-my-house.htm

Never mind, just got to it. Thanks!

From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 5:07 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Emailing: now-it-needs-fixing-rep-richardson-says-not-my-house.htm

< File: now-it-needs-fixing-rep-richardson-says-not-my-house.htm > FYI- this is well written. J
I can't get to this - would you try forwarding? Thank you!

<< File: now-it-needs-fixing-rep-richardson-says-not-my-house.htm >> FYI - this is well written. J
Sara: please bring these – especially the LA Times piece – to the meeting with the lawyers so that they can better understand the damage to the corporate image we’re incurring because of our policies.

---

David and John,

As discussed during this morning’s call, embedded below for your reference is recent coverage on Richardson’s Sacramento property. As Don mentioned, the Sacramento Code Enforcement Department has declared the property a "public nuisance."

I will continue to reiterate to inquiring reporters that WalMu does not have possession of the property. Note that media coverage also reflects that Richardson’s statements have conflicted with available public records.

- Sara

----------

Rep. won’t be billed for house problem – The Daily Breeze
By Gene Maddaus

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank rescinded the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared, WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson’s statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since...

Rep. Richardson’s Sacramento home declared ‘public nuisance’ – Los Angeles Times
Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutuel, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn’t fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.
"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomsen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting trash on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2006. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $164.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of these descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $386,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 19 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of recision on the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice – known as a vacant building ordinance violation – was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York's company.
O'Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O'Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go by there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Helman, who sold the house to Richardson after living there for 30 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WCM
1301 Second Avenue L WMC40 J Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2622 direct 1 206.2288 cell 1 sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2008 2:37:38 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Recent Coverage Re: Richardson Property

David and John -
As discussed during this morning's call, embedded below for your reference is recent coverage on Richardson's Sacramento property. As Don mentioned, the Sacramento Code Enforcement Department has declared the property a "public nuisance."

I will continue to reiterate to inquiring reporters that WaMu does not have possession of the property. Note that media coverage also reflects that Richardson's statements have conflicted with available public records.

- Sara

----------

Rep. won't be billed for house problem – The Daily Breeze

By Gene Maddaus Staff Writer

Rep. Laura Richardson caught a break on Wednesday when code enforcement officers decided not to bill her for boarding up the garage door on her vacant Sacramento home.

The city decided it would be too much trouble to determine who owned the property last month, when code enforcement officers were called out to deal with a "public nuisance."

After Richardson stopped making payments on the home, it was sold to an investor at a foreclosure auction. But the property was returned to Richardson in June, after her bank recoverted the sale.

In a statement, Richardson, D-Long Beach, disavowed any responsibility for the current upkeep of the home, because she said it still has not been transferred back to her.

"Congresswoman Richardson is fully prepared. WHEN full transfer of the property is made and reinstated, to manage and maintain the Sacramento property," said her spokesman, William Marshall.

Richardson's statement conflicts with available public records, which state that she has had the title to the property since June 2.

Rep. Richardson's Sacramento home declared 'public nuisance' – Los Angeles Times


OMBRAGE: Neighbors complained about the condition of the Sacramento home of Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Long Beach).

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance."

The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn’t fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thorsen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

JPMC - 083083
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Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

 Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2006. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaign for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $6,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of these descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $386,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 19 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of recision of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County. Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with (Washington Mutual) trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms. In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice -- known as a vacant building ordinance violation -- was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York's company.

O'Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O'Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve
conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months.

They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go by there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Helmert, who sold the house to Richardson after living there for 30 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | 19S64 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.9452 cell | sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Borges, Ruben
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 10:50:25 AM
To: Gausi, Gare C.; Eiles, Alan
CC: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Home troubles - Los Angeles Times

Home troubles
19 August 2008
Los Angeles Times

Re: “Official’s housing troubles continue,” Aug. 15

Rep. Laura Richardson didn’t require a mortgage relief bill to help her keep a house she couldn’t afford. All she needed to keep her neglected, foreclosed home was some bureaucratic muscle to convince her lender, Washington Mutual, to rescind the sale and pull the rug right out from under a buyer who wanted to repair the run-down home.

I’m curious to see if Richardson (a Democrat, so by definition a self-proclaimed champion of the people) will ensure that her constituents in Long Beach and those facing foreclosure throughout the state get the same kind of sweet deal with their lenders.

I also wonder if her Democratic colleagues in the state Senate and Assembly will open an investigation to see if her arrangement violated any ethics standards. And for her sake, I hope she has the same interest rate and loan balance that was in effect before Washington Mutual foreclosed on, and then so graciously rescinded, her home.
Thanks, Ann.

--------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thorn, Ann
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Battaglin, Paul J.
Sent: Tue Aug 19 05:49:51 2008
Subject: Richardson

Just wanted to let you know that I got a call from Congresswoman Richardson last night around 7 on my voicemail. She said no issue, just wanted to ask a couple questions and Sara I guess she wanted to get a hold of you. I will be reaching back out to her today to find out what she needed and will advise.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
office: 504-462-2150
cell: 414-418-7423

For Internal Use Only
37th's serial problems

Long Beach Press-Telegram

08/16/2008

After the defaults come an unlikely opponent and a public-nuisance notice.

Laura Richardson, the local congresswoman with a national reputation for serial financial defaults, has a new set of problems and a new opponent. Neither is good news for her constituents.

The problems come from code enforcement officers in Sacramento who have declared her house a public nuisance. The lawn and plants are dead or dying, a broken door has been boarded up, a gate is broken, junk and debris lie in the driveway, windows are covered with brown paper and rotting fruit in the backyard provides a rodent banquet.

Richardson had bought the home after being elected to the Assembly; let it go into default, foreclosure and public auction; then, after the issue made headlines, pressured the mortgage-holder to cancel the sale and give her back the house.

Such a decision is unheard of in the mortgage business, but maybe the lender, Washington Mutual, preferred a lawsuit from the buyer to the thought of having a vengeful congresswoman in Washington for years to come. (The house buyer and WaMu settled the lawsuit quietly.)

Richardson's office had no meaningful comment about the code-enforcement action, which threatens progressively steeper fines unless she starts cleaning up the property within 30 days. In the past, her explanation for defaulting on that loan, as well as loans on homes in San Pedro and Long Beach, was that she had to borrow money to finance her election to Congress because she is not a wealthy person.

Ordinarily we would see on Nov. 4 how well that explanation sits with voters, but we probably won't. That's because of the quality of the two other candidates.

One, Peter Mathews, a community college teacher who has run for Congress and lost many times over the years, ran against her in the Democratic primary and lost again. Now he's running in November as an ultra-long-shot write-in.

The latest opponent is Nick Dibs, a part-time substitute teacher who last week managed to collect 7,725 signatures to get his name on the Nov. 4 ballot as an independent. (There was no candidate in the primary from the Republican Party or any other.)

So that will be the choice for 37th District residents of Signal Hill, Compton, Carson and most of Long Beach. Either reward Richardson's continuing personal irresponsibility by returning her to Congress, or go for Dibs or Mathews, neither of whom otherwise would be considered remotely qualified.
Some choice.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2895 direct, 206.377.2033 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wmuw.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hello, Jeff.

WaMu does not disclose our customers' personal financial information without written authorization from the customer. As we've communicated previously, we have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation. I'd like to reiterate, however, that we are committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

Additionally, the matter with Mr. York and Red Rock Mortgage Inc. has been resolved and the terms are confidential.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gauhl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.224.2818 cell sara.gauhl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
I can certainly wait another 30 min or so. I'll pull the trigger around 4:15 or so.....

Best,

Sara

From: Riley, Olivia
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 3:21 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

I am on a call right now, and don't want to hold up your response given the time.

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 2:40 PM
To: Riley, Olivia
Subject: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

Hi - give me a call if you have a second 206-508-...

From: Riley, Olivia
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 2:38 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 2:28 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Review Requested: Media Response

REDACTED

REDACTED
Sara Gough
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC111 Seattle WA 98101
206.509.2882 direct 206.509.2288 cell sara.gough@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gottlieb, Jeff
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 1:04 PM
To: Gough, Sara C.
Subject: Re: WaMu Follow-Up

These questions are about the property owned by Laura Richardson at 3674 W. Curtis Dr.,Sacramento, CA. If Washington Mutual is going to say it cannot answer some of them because it involves Richardson's confidential financial information, I want you and her to waive that right. The transactions around the house have raised serious questions about whether Rep. Richardson received preferential treatment from Washington Mutual. I have quoted experts as saying this type of deal was unheard of. By not answering the questions, Washington Mutual is encouraging those suspicions.

1. Why did Washington Mutual pay Laura Richardson's delinquent property taxes of about $9,000?
2. What were the terms of the settlement with James York, who bought the house at the foreclosure sale? If you say the terms are confidential, I want you to waive confidentiality, which, I assume, was a condition WAMU requested.

3. Why did Washington Mutual take back the property from Mr. York?
4. Has Washington Mutual ever taken back a house after it has been sold at a foreclosure auction, the deed was recorded and the new owner already had a crew renovating the house? Give me examples.

5. What are the financial terms of the deal that gave the house back to Rep. Richardson?
I am on a call right now, and don't want to hold up your response given the time.

RE: Review Requested: Media Response

---

To: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 2:40 PM  
Subject: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

Hi - give me a call if you have a second 206-500

---

To: Riley, Olivia  
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 2:38 PM  
Subject: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

REDACTED

---

From: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 2:28 PM  
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don  
Cc: Riley, Olivia  
Subject: Review Requested: Media Response

REDACTED
From: Gottlieb, Jeff[*]
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: WaMu follow-Up

These questions are about the property owned by Laura Richardson at 3622 W. Curtis Dr., Sacramento, CA. If Washington Mutual is going to say it cannot answer some of them because it involves Richardson's confidential financial information, I want you and her to waive that right. The transactions around the house have raised serious questions about whether Rep. Richardson received preferential treatment from Washington Mutual. I have quoted experts as saying this type of deal was unheard of. By not answering the questions, Washington Mutual is encouraging those suspicions.

1. Why did Washington Mutual pay Laura Richardson's delinquent property taxes of about $9,000?
2. What were the terms of the settlement with James York, who bought the house at the foreclosure sale? If you say the terms are confidential, I want you to waive confidentiality, which, I assume, was a condition WaMu requested.
3. Why did Washington Mutual take back the property from Mr. York?
4. Has Washington Mutual ever taken back a house after it has been sold at a foreclosure auction, the deed was recorded and the new owner already had a crew renovating the house? Give me examples.
5. What are the financial terms of the deal that gave the house back to Rep. Richardson?
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 5:40 PM
To: Riley, Olivia <olivia.riley@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

Hi - give me a call if you have a second 206-500 [REDACTED]

From: Riley, Olivia
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 2:38 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subjects: RE: Review Requested: Media Response

REDACTED

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 2:28 PM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subjects: Review Requested: Media Response

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home: Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.224 [REDACTED] sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
These questions are about the property owned by Laura Richardson at 3622 W. Curtis Dr., Sacramento, CA. If Washington Mutual is going to say it cannot answer some of them because it involves Richardson's confidential financial information, I want you and her to waive that right. The transactions around the house have raised serious questions about whether Rep. Richardson received preferential treatment from Washington Mutual. I have quoted experts as saying this type of deal was unheard of. By not answering the questions, Washington Mutual is encouraging those suspicions.

1. Why did Washington Mutual pay Laura Richardson's delinquent property taxes of about $9,000?
2. What were the terms of the settlement with James York, who bought the house at the foreclosure sale? If you say the terms are confidential, I want you to waive confidentiality, which, I assume, was a condition WAMU requested.

3. Why did Washington Mutual take back the property from Mr. York?
4. Has Washington Mutual ever taken back a house after it has been sold at a foreclosure auction, the deed was recorded and the new owner already had a crew renovating the house? Give me examples.

5. What are the financial terms of the deal that gave the house back to Rep. Richardson?
Can we remind them why she got the house back (the date issue)? Isn't that a matter of public record?

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 2:28 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Review Requested: Media Response

Paul and Don,

[REDACTED]

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 206.224.5131 cell sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 1:04 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

These questions are about the property owned by Laura Richardson at 3622 W. Curtis Dr., Sacramento, CA. If Washington Mutual is
going to say it cannot answer some of them because it involves Richardson's confidential financial information. I want you and her to waive that right. The transactions around the house have raised serious questions about whether Rep. Richardson received preferential treatment from Washington Mutual. I have quoted experts as saying this type of deal was unheard of. By not answering the questions, Washington Mutual is encouraging those suspicions.

1. Why did Washington Mutual pay Laura Richardson's delinquent property taxes of about $2,000?
2. What were the terms of the settlement with James York, who bought the house at the foreclosure sale? If you say the terms are confidential, I want you to waive confidentiality, which I assume, was a condition WAMU requested.

3. Why did Washington Mutual take back the property from Mr. York?
4. Has Washington Mutual ever taken back a house after it has been sold at a foreclosure auction, the deed was recorded and the new owner already had a crew renovating the house? Give me examples.

5. What are the financial terms of the deal that gave the house back to Rep. Richardson?
Thanks,
Debbie Gordon
Public Relations
209.309.2233

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 8:43 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: LA Times - Official's housing troubles continue; Rep. Richardson's Sacramento home is declared a public nuisance by the city.

Official's housing troubles continue; Rep. Richardson's Sacramento home is declared a public nuisance by the city.
California; Metro Desk
Jeff Gottlieb
15 August 2008
Los Angeles Times

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a "public nuisance." The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

Neighbors in the upper middle class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomsen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action. Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotting fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area

JPMC - 0034999
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004592
seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said, "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2 bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2006. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrolling her political career, lending her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500. In addition, she owed nearly $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.03.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote. "I do not fit any of these descriptions. I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.

Real estate investor James York bought the two-story house May 7 for $388,000. Richardson had paid $535,000. York recorded the deed May 19 and sent a work crew to renovate the house.

York said Washington Mutual filed a letter of recision of the sale June 2 with Sacramento County.

Experts said such a move after the deed was recorded was almost unheard of.

"It seems to me it has nothing to do with the law, but it has to do with [Washington Mutual] trying to be deferential to a congresswoman," said Grant Nelson, the William H. Rehnquist Professor of Law at Pepperdine University.

York sued. The case was settled in early July with each side agreeing not to talk about the terms.

In addition, Washington Mutual paid the tax lien on July 31, according to Sacramento County.

The public nuisance notice -- known as a vacant building ordinance violation -- was posted on Richardson's house Tuesday.

An inspection, it says, "revealed the structure on your property is vacant, is not in compliance with minimum maintenance standards and/or constitutes a public nuisance."

The owner of the house is listed on the notice as Red Rock Mortgage Inc., which is York's company.
O'Connor, the Sacramento code official, said the notices are taped onto the building and sent to the owner, return receipt requested.

During a phone interview, O'Connor checked county tax assessor records and said that Richardson is listed as the owner and that the notice would be sent to her.

The notice says that a $1,000 penalty can be assessed against the property if progress is not made to bring it into compliance within 30 days. The penalty could grow to as much as $5,000 per month unless progress is made to improve conditions.

Neighbors have complained about the state of the house for months. They were optimistic when York sent his crew to begin renovations, but now say it is worse than ever.

"I can't make myself go by there. It hurts too much," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the house to Richardson after living there for 30 years. "We took good care of it, and it's a lovely house."

---

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave. WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.566.2695 direct, 206.377.2083 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
These questions are about the property owned by Laura Richardson at 3622 W. Curtis Dr., Sacramento, CA. If Washington Mutual is going to say it cannot answer some of them because it involves Richardson’s confidential financial information, I want you and her to waive that right. The stories around the house have raised serious questions about whether Rep. Richardson received preferential treatment from Washington Mutual. I have quoted experts as saying this type of deal was unheard of. By not answering the questions, Washington Mutual is encouraging these suspicions.

1. Why did Washington Mutual pay Laura Richardson’s delinquent property taxes of about $9,000?
2. What were the terms of the settlement with James York, who bought the house at the foreclosure sale? If you say the terms are confidential, I want you to waive confidentiality, which, I assume, was a condition WAMU requested.
3. Why did Washington Mutual take back the property from Mr. York?
4. Has Washington Mutual ever taken back a house after it has been sold at a foreclosure auction, the deed was refiled and the new owner already had a crew renovating the house? Give me examples.
5. What are the financial terms of the deal that gave the house back to Rep. Richardson?

From: Gaugl, Sara C. [mailto:sara.gaugl@wamu.net]
Sent: Fri 8/15/2008 12:42 PM
To: Gottlieb, Jeff
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

Thanks for your note. Please let me know what specific questions you have and I will look into them.

Best,

Sara

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

---- Original Message ----
From: Gottlieb, Jeff [mailto:jeff.gottlieb@wamu.net]
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Fri Aug 15 12:37 03 2008
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

It has to do with the Rep. Laura Richardson foreclosure sale/give back.
From: Gnagl, Sara C. [mailto:sara.gnaigl@wamu.net]
Sent: Fri 8/15/2008 12:12 PM
To: Gottlieb, Jeff
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Hi, Jeff,
I hope you are doing well. I'm currently in a meeting, and just noticed that I missed a call from you on my cell. I will call you back shortly, however I wanted to send you a quick note now to ensure you are on deadline.

Please let me know how I can be of assistance.

Best,

Sara

--------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Sara Gnaigl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 3 WMC0921 Seattle WA 98101
206.506.7852 direct | 206.728.5262 cell
sara.gnaigl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Thanks, Debbie. I have received a few calls on this.

Olivia, I am just getting out of a meeting and will update you shortly, though messaging is convenient.

Best,
Sara

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gordon, Debbie
To: Riley, Olivia; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: SF Chronicle - Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

Friday, August 15, 2008

(08-15) 10:40 PDT Sacramento, CA (AP) --

Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the home. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents. Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

Richardson's office did not immediately respond to a request for comment Friday. In a statement her office released to the Los Angeles Times, she said she had not received any information about the nuisance declaration.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance
Friday, August 15, 2008

Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The Long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the home. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents. Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

Richardson's office did not immediately respond to a request for comment Friday. In a statement her office released to the Los Angeles Times, she said she had not received any information about the nuisance declaration.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1501 2nd Ave, WMC1109
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Thanks for your note. Please let me know what specific questions you have and I will look into them.

Best,

Sara

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gottlieb, Jeff
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Fri Aug 15 12:37:03 2008
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-up

It has to do with the Rep. Laura Richardson foreclosure sale/give back.

-----

From: Gaugl, Sara C. [mailto:sara.gaugl@wamu.net]
Sent: Fri Aug 15 12:12 PM
To: Gottlieb, Jeff
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Hi, Jeff
I hope you are doing well. I'm currently in a meeting, and just noticed that I missed a call from you on my cell. I will call you back shortly, however I wanted to send you a quick note now as I'm unsure if you are on deadline.

Please let me know how I can be of assistance.

Best,

Sara

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMCU I Seattle WA 98101
206.599.3022 direct I 206.224.7786 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disseminating the contents. Thank you.
From: Gottlieb, Jeff
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 3:37 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

It has to do with the Rep. Laura Richardson foreclosure sale/give back.

From: Gaugl, Sara C. [mailto:sara.gaugl@wamu.net]
Sent: Fri 8/15/2008 12:12 PM
To: Gottlieb, Jeff
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Hi, Jeff,

I hope you are doing well. I’m currently in a meeting, and just noticed that I missed a call from you on my cell. I will call you back shortly, however I wanted to send you a quick note now as I’m unsure if you are on deadline.

Please let me know how I can be of assistance.

Best,

Sara

----------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1/WMC-411 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.228.1579 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 2:22 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Washington Mutual

Google News Alert for: Washington Mutual

CGM's Heebner Sold Monsanto Stake, Shorted Washington Mutual
Bloomberg - USA
The $10.4 billion fund also sold short 100 million shares of Washington Mutual and 32 million shares of Wachovia, trades that will profit if the stocks fall ...
See all stories on this topic

Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance
San Francisco Chronicle - CA, USA
Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice ...
See all stories on this topic

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Remove this alert.
Create another alert.
Manage your alerts.
From: Jan Owen
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 2:10 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: Emailing: la-mo-richardson15-2008aug15.0,912562
Attach: p_ev_transid-121882376744869220372&ev_latimes.com_news_s_pagesview=1.jpg gif

Hello jan.owen@wamu.net Profile Logout
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News/Opinion
Rep. Richardson’s Sacramento home declared ‘public nuisance’

The Sacramento home of the Democratic congresswoman from Long Beach is declared a ‘public nuisance’ by the city.

By Jeff Gottlieb, Los Angeles Times Staff Writer
August 18, 2006

First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years.

Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her.

Ethics watchdogs want

This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her home a ‘public
complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper.

"I would call it an eyesore," said Peter Thomsen, a retired bank executive who lives nearby.

The city action was prompted by police action.

Police were twice called to investigate reports of a suspicious person in or around the house, perhaps a homeless man squatting there. Officers called the Code Enforcement Department, which boarded up a broken door.

Code enforcement inspectors visited the house twice in July, finding "junk and debris" in the driveway and "rotten fruit on the ground in the rear yard which creates a rodent harborage," according to department documents.

Ron O'Connor, operations manager of the Code Enforcement Department, said homes in the Curtis Park area seldom were tagged as a public nuisance.

"It's a really nice neighborhood," he said.

Asked about the house, Richardson's office released a statement that said: "Neither Congresswoman Richardson nor her attorney have received any information referring to this matter. Any additional information will be provided at a later date."

Richardson has few worries in the November election. The 37th District is so solidly Democratic that no Republican is running against her. Democrat Peter Mathews, who has sought the seat several times before, is mounting a write-in campaign.

Richardson began defaulting on house payments long before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2 bath home after being elected to the Assembly in 2006. She has defaulted on a home in San Pedro, where her mother lives, and her residence in Long Beach for amounts ranging from $5,742 to almost $20,000, according to documents on file with Los Angeles County.

Five of the defaults occurred during a 13-month period over 2007-08 when Richardson was bankrupting her political career, funding her campaigns for Congress and Assembly a total of $177,500.

In addition, she owed nearly $6,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento house, and the city Utilities Department put a lien on the property for an unpaid utility bill of $154.63.

In a letter to supporters after her money problems received widespread publicity in June, Richardson said she was current on her house payments.

"Many elected officials are married, rely on two incomes or are independently wealthy," she wrote.

"I do not fit any of these descriptions," she added. "I made the decision to borrow money against my home to help finance my campaign. The election was too important to me, to our community and to our country to roll over."

Although Richardson lost her Sacramento house, she got it back under unusual circumstances.
An updated 1932 Santa Monica hacienda
These owners applied their contemporary design sensibility while preserving the integrity of the vernacular architecture. Photos.

Junkyard treasures: Rare automotive finds
In an Oklahoma barnyard, a 50-acre collection of rusting car collectibles that nature is busy reclaiming. Photos.

Save over 50% off the newstand price. Click here to subscribe to The Times.

Sports Headlines
1. Extra pressure pays off for give modest flank
2. U.S. tennis player James Blake makes a racket over the Olympic crowd
3. Michael Phelps’ relay teammates anxious about not letting him down
4. Michael Phelps wins sixth gold medal
5. Please, let Michael Phelps be for real
Secret spots of the West

We asked you for your favorite Western places, and you told us. From the suggestions you sent us, we chose 6 to explore. Photos

More on LATimes.com

Los Angeles | Orange County | San Fernando Valley | Ventura County | Inland Empire

Partners

KTLA | Metromix | ShopLocal | Shopping | Grocery Coupons

Classifieds

Career Builder | Cars.com | Apartments.com | FSBO (For Sale By Owner) | Open Houses
Copyright 2008 Los Angeles Times
Privacy Policy | Terms of Service | Advertise | Home Delivery | Reprint Requests | Help & Services | Contact | Site Map

3768
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>  
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2008 12:00 PM  
To: Gordon, Debbie <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>  
Cc: Riley, Olivia <olivia.riley@wamu.net>  
Subject: RE: Media Request from the AP

I know – I figured that was the case!

Sara Gaugl  
Home Loans Public Relations  
Wamu  
1301 Second Avenue | WPIO | Seattle WA 98101  
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.3151 wmp  
sara.gaugl@wamu.net  

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gordon, Debbie  
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2008 8:49 AM  
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Cc: Riley, Olivia  
Subject: RE: Media Request from the AP

OK. Well, he called Saturday at 4:57 pm – what does he expect? 😃

Thanks,
Debbie Gordon  
Public Relations  
206.500.2835

From: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2008 8:48 AM  
To: Gordon, Debbie; Baptista, Geri Ann S.  
Cc: Riley, Olivia  
Subject: RE: Media Request from the AP

Hi, Debbie.  
This story ran over the weekend.

Best,
Sara  

Sara Gaugl  
Home Loans Public Relations  
Wamu  

JPMC - 0631117  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.004810
From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2008 8:13 AM
To: bpsesa, Gen Ann S.; Gaugi, Sara C.
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Media Request from the AP
Importance: High

Reporter

Publication: AP (Mt LA)

Phone Number: 213-626-1

Media Request / Scope of the Story
Daily Breeze citing WaMu in a report that a broker who bought Laura Richardson's home is dropping a lawsuit over WaMu's decision to rescind the foreclosure. Wants to confirm details.

Request Date: 7/26/2008

Coverage Date: Not Known

Deadline: Not Stated

Lead: G. Baptista

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communications

Washington Mutual
1301 Second Ave., WMCB105
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
marieh.j.greene@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hi, Debbie.

This story ran over the weekend.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.522.1902 phone
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2008 8:13 AM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Media Request from the AP

Importance: High

Reporter    Jacob Adelman
Publication   AP (in LA)
Phone Number  213-626-6528
Media Request / Scope of the Story Daily Breeze citing WaMu in a report that a broker who bought Laura Richardson's home is dropping a lawsuit over WaMu's decision to rescind the foreclosure. Wants to confirm details.
Request Date  7/26/2008
Coverage Date Not known
Deadline   Not Stated
Lead   G. Baptista

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaupl, Sara C. <sara.gaupl@wamu.net>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2008 12:14 AM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: San Jose Mercury News: Lawsuit dropped over SoCal congresswoman's home

Thanks for forwarding. I don't believe I received a call either - perhaps they called at some point on Saturday? I spoke with the LA Times and of course, ConC M.

It doesn't appear they reached Mr. York either....

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Sat, 27/07/2008 8:00 PM
To: Gaupl, Sara C.
Subject: San Jose Mercury News: Lawsuit dropped over SoCal congresswoman's home

This article link was mailed to you by: geriann.baptista@wamu.net
The sender included the following message:

This is the AP story. They claim we didn't return a call. I don't think GM Newsroom got a call.

Lawsuit dropped over SoCal congresswoman's home - The Associated Press

FORKLEY, Calif. — A man who paid $388,000 for the home of U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson dropped a lawsuit that claimed she got special treatment when a bank reconsidered the foreclosure, it was reported Saturday. [View Full Story]
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This email was initiated by machine [10.168.4.8] at IP [10.168.5.5]
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2008 12:31 AM
To: Gene Maddaus <gene.maddaus@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

Hello, Gene,

"Absolutely not" was in response to your first question regarding Ms. Richardson.

We are committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

Best,

Sara

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 5:25 PM
To: Gene Maddaus
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

to be clear, "absolutely not" is not an answer to this question, right?

Would a similarly situated client who was not in Congress get the same treatment?

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C. [mailto:sara.gaugl@wamu.net]
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 5:25 PM
To: Gene Maddaus
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

In response to your question, absolutely not - we're committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC6434 | Seattle WA 98101
206.509.2822 direct | 206.224.1267 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gene Maddaus <gene.maddaus@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 5:02 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up
Sara, I am doing great. Without disclosing the terms of the agreement, which I imagine are generous, can you reiterate that Wamu showed no preferential treatment to Ms. Richardson in this matter? Would a similarly situated client who was not in Congress get the same treatment? Gene

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugi, Sara C. [mailto:sara.gauge@wamu.net]
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:44 PM
To: Gene Maddano
Subject: Wamu Follow-Up

Hello, Gene,

Hope you are doing well.

The matter with Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. and Mr. York has been resolved and the terms are confidential.

Best regards,

Sara

---------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
206.561.2872 direct
206.561.2871 cell
sara.gauge@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. &lt;sara.gaugl@wamu.net&gt;
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 8:25 PM
To: Gene Maddaus &lt;gmaddaus@wamu.net&gt;
Subject: RE: WaMu Follow-Up

In response to your question, absolutely not - we're committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct
206.228.7367 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gene Maddaus &lt;gmaddaus@wamu.net&gt;
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 5:02 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: WaMu follow-up

Sara, I am doing great. Without disclosing the terms of the agreement, which I imagine were generous, can you reiterate that WaMu showed no preferential treatment to Ms. Richardson in this matter? Would a similarly situated client who was not in Congress get the same treatment?

Gene

Original Message
From: Gaugl, Sara C. &lt;sara.gaugl@wamu.net&gt;
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:44 PM
To: Gene Maddaus
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Hello, Gene.

Hope you are doing well.

The matter with Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. and Mr. York has been resolved and the terms are confidential.

Best regards,
Sara

---------------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Sara Gungl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC301 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 drrcc| 206.224.71 cell
sara.gungl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Sara, I am doing great. Without disclosing the terms of the agreement, which I imagine were generous, can you reiterate that WaMu showed no preferential treatment to Ms. Richardson in this matter? Would a similarly situated client who was not in Congress get the same treatment?

Gene

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:44 PM
To: Gene Maddaus
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Hello, Gene.
Hope you are doing well.

The matter with Red Rock Mortgage, Inc. and Ms. York has been resolved and the terms are confidential.

Best regards,

Sara

------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct / 206-222-2110 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Done. Will let you know of any follow-up questions.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1901 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.3823 direct | 206.225.6111 fax
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:31 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Yes.....

It's not a matter of policy, though....

Geri Ann S. Baptista - VP, WaMu Corporate Communications
206.500.3875 direct | 206.614.1231 cell | 206.377.2023 fax | geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:30 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: RE: Yes.....

Nothing... but at the end of the day, we're not going to be able to provide any info that reporters want anyway.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1901 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.3822 direct | 206.225.6111 fax
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:28 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Yes.....

Ridiculous!
What does this have to do with our obligation to Richardson?

Geri Ann S. Baptista - VP, WaMu Corporate Communications
206.500.2875 direct | 206.612.2714 cell | 206.377.2723 fax | geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gauld, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:27 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: Yes.....

Sara Gauld
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC40 I Seattle WA 98101
206.366.3822 direct | 206.366.2239 cell
sara.gauld@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Nothing... but at the end of the day, we're not going to be able to provide any info that reporters want anyway.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1901 Second Avenue I WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.223-7777 cell
sara.gaugi@mamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Baptista, Gerl Ann S.
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:28 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Yes.....

Ridiculous!
What does this have to do with our obligation to Richardson?

Gerl Ann S. Baptista - VP, Wamu Corporate Communications
206.500.2875 direct | 206.613-7777 cell | 206.377.2023 fax | gerlann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:27 PM
To: Baptista, Gerl Ann S.
Subject: Yes.....
Sara Gaugl
Home Lenexa Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2832 direct | 206.224.2832 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

Original Message
From: Gene Maddalena
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2008 4:16 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Laura Richardson

gene
please give me a call asap re: the Laura Richardson litigation. 310 547-7777
I'll call you right back -- on the phone with GIR re: the housing bill.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WHC40 I Seattle WA 98101
206.600.2832 direct | 206.220.3251 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 4:22 PM
To: Gordon, Debbie; Donohoe-Wilmot, Darcy
Cc: Winn, Shane A.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Media Request from Daily Breeze

Hi all,
This matter concerns Richardson. Sara and I will handle.

Thanks,
Geri Ann

Gerith Ann S. Baptista - VP, WaMu Corporate Communications
206.500.2675 direct | 206.612.7039 cell | 206.377.2023 fax | geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 3:45 PM
To: Donohoe-Wilmot, Darcy
Cc: Winn, Shane A.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: Media Request from Daily Breeze
Importance: High

Reporter: Gene Maddux
Publication: Daily Breeze newspaper, Torrance, CA
Phone Number: 310-543-...
Media Request / Scope of the Story: Litigation matter
Request Date: 7/23/2008
Coverage Date: Not Known

Deadline: ASAP

Lead: D. Donahoe-Wilmot

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communications

Washington Mutual
1201 2nd Ave, WMC1103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
carie.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hey there —
I just got your VM — please feel free to give Gene a call back. We’ll see how this one goes! 😊

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WeMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 | WBC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2022 direct  |  206.223.5111 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

Hey Sara,
Pretty sure this is about the San Diego/Bank of America one. Do you want to make the initial call to check? If it is Richardson, you and I can take it back. If it is the San Diego/BofA one, you can make the call whether you want to take those requests since it is about our foreclosure practices. I’m happy to stay with it on consult since it involves potential litigation.

Give me a call,
G

Geri Ann S. Baptista – VP, WE MU Corporate Communications
205.500.2075 direct  |  206.612.5905 cell  |  206.377.2023 fax  |  geriann.baptista@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2008 3:45 PM
To: Donahoe-Winot, Darcy
Cc: Wnn, Shane A.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: Media Request from Daily Breeze
Importance: High

Reporter
Gene Maddius
Publication
Daily Breeze newspaper, Torrance, CA
Phone Number
310-547
Media Request / Scope of

JPMC - 003133
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004826
the Story Litigation matter
Request Date 7/23/2008
Coverage Date Not Known
Deadline ASAP
Lead D. Donahoe-Wilmot

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2635 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wmu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Debbie, this is related to the Richardson situation. I'll take this one.

Geri Ann, see below.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loan Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.2222 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication
Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wmcu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From:  Gaugl, Sara C.  <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 12:57 PM
To:  Elias, Alan  <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Subject:  RE: LA Times Blog: Richardson

Well, I wouldn't say there is anyone that is a "must" - I'm sure we'll get our fair share of reactive calls.

However, if we wanted to land a wire, these folks have been fair to us in the past:

- Bloomberg - Elizabeth Hester
- Reuters - Al Yoon
- Associated Press - Stephen Bernard

And to Derek's point, if we went to the WSJ, it would be Ruth Simon. But we need to expect that she's going to hammer us on Option ARM portfolio performance.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1701 Second Avenue 1 W/MC401 Seattle WA 98101
718-506-7859 Direct 718-508-3146 Fax
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message-----
From: Elias, Alan
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 10:46 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: LA Times Blog: Richardson

I'd go reactive, unless there's one reporter -- a friendly or at least very fair one -- who would be a "must" for David to speak with.

Thanks!

AE

----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tue 06/17/2008 6:03 PM
To: Elias, Alan
Subject: RE: LA Times Blog: Richardson

Okay, thanks.

I have been keeping Olivia in the loop re: timing as I imagine she'll want to give various constituent relations folks a heads up, which I of course support.
It would be great if we're able to send them a package of info: the release, FAQs and e-story. Seems like we’re just about there.

What are your thoughts on setting up David for media interviews tomorrow? Is your preference that we handle media inquiries on a reactive basis, or do you want me to plan on pushing this proactively to our main contacts (in addition to the wire distribution)?

Sara Gagl
Home Loans Public Relations

WebSite
1301 Second Avenue; Seattle WA 98101
706 500 883; Direct 706 592 594; Cell
sara.gagl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing its contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Elias, Alan
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 5:56 PM
To: Gagl, Sara C.
Subject: Re: LA Times Blog: Richardson

Ps. close of market tomorrow is the new timing.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gagl, Sara C.
To: Elias, Alan; Thoma, Ann; Hattaglin, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Tue Jun 17 17:32:24 2008
Subject: LA Times Blog: Richardson

This should be interesting...


Ask the congresswoman: Your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson

I'm overdue for a session of "Ask the Blogger," so here goes. Today, something completely different: instead of your questions for me, I'd like to hear your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson, the rank-and-file Democrat from Long Beach.

I sent her office a list of questions in late May (you can see my questions by clicking at the bottom of this post) and haven't heard back, so I'm giving up and turning to you to submit your best (serious) questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson. I'll sort them through and send them along to her congressional office. Fire away.

(Sent by e-mail on June 10 to Kimberly Parker, chief of staff to U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson)

Kimberly: I'm still interested in answers to the questions below, which I first submitted to the congresswoman's office on May 22:

Questions from Peter Viles at the Los Angeles Times:

What kind of mortgage did the congresswoman take on the Sacramento home? (Adjustable Rate Mortgage, Option ARM, 30-year-fixed, etc.)

What was the amount of the loan and the interest rate?

Who was the original lender?

Did she take out one loan or more than one loan?
Did she make a down payment? If so, how much?
A published report quotes the seller of the house saying that Ms. Richardson received $15,000 from the seller for closing costs. Is this accurate?

What were her monthly payments on the mortgage?
How many payments did she make on the mortgage?
When did she begin missing payments on the mortgage, and why?
When and how did she contact the lender to discuss a loan modification?
Did she contact the lender herself, or did a member of her staff contact the lender?
Documents indicate the amount she owed on the loan was eventually greater than the initial value of the loan itself. Can you explain why the amount she owed increased?

When did she and the lender agree to a loan modification? And what is the exact nature of the loan modification? What is the new interest rate, the new principal amount, and the new monthly payment?

How many payments has the congresswoman made on the loan modification?
Thanks.

Peter Viles
Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue WMC #11 Seattle, WA 98101
206 500 2822 direct 206 228 3671 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Okay, thanks.

I have been keeping Olivia in the loop re: timing as I imagine she'll want to give various constituency relations folks heads up, which of course I support.

It would be great if we're able to send them a packet of info: the release, FAQs and story. Seems like we're just about there.

What are your thoughts on reaching out to David for media interviews tomorrow? Is your preference that we handle media inquiries on a reactive basis, or do you want me to plan on pushing this proactively to our main contacts (in addition to the wire distribution)?

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct
206.228.2242 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Elias, Alan
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 5:56 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: LA Times Blog: Richardson

Ps. closer of market tomorrow is the new timing.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
To: Elias, Alan; Thorn, Ann; Battaglin, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Tue Jun 17 17:52:24 2008
Subject: LA Times Blog: Richardson

This should be interesting....


Ask the congresswoman: Your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson

I'm overdue for a session of "Ask the blogger," so here goes. Today, something completely different: instead of your questions for me, I'd like to hear your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson, the triple-default Democrat from Long Beach.

I sent her an email a list of questions in late May (you can see my questions by clicking at the bottom of this post) and haven't heard back, so I'm giving up and turning to you: submit your best (serious) questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson. I'll sort through them
and send them along to her congressional office. Fire away.

(Sent by e-mail on June 10 to Kimberly Parker, chief of staff to U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson)

Kimberly: I'm still interested in answers to the questions below, which I first submitted to the congresswoman's office on May 22:

Questions from Peter Viles at the Los Angeles Times:
What kind of mortgage did the congresswoman take on the Sacramento home? (Adjustable Rate Mortgage, Option ARM, 30-year-fixed, etc.)

What was the amount of the loan and the interest rate?
Who was the original lender?
Did she take out one loan or more than one loan?
Did she make a down payment? If so, how much?
A published report quotes the seller of the home saying that Ms. Richardson received $15,000 from the seller for closing costs. Is this accurate?

What are her monthly payments on the mortgage?
How many payments did she make on the mortgage?
When did she begin missing payments on the mortgage, and why?
When and how did she contact the lender to discuss a loan modification?
Did she contact the lender herself, or did a member of her staff contact the lender?
Documents indicate the amount she owed on the loan was eventually greater than the initial value of the loan itself. Can you explain why the amount she owed increased?

When did she and the lender agree to a loan modification? And what is the exact nature of the loan modification? What is the new interest rate, the new principal amount, and the new monthly payment?

How many payments has the congresswoman made on the loan modification?

Thanks,

Peter Viles

Sara Ganig
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.2282 cell
sara.ganig@wamu.org

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
I guess... reader comments are quite creative. :)

Sara Gaugl
Home Loots Public Relations

WeMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.224-3112 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message -----
From: Elias, Alan
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 8:56 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Re: LA Times Blog: Richardson

The media is very bored.

Adrian signed off. Now waiting for Kerry again.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
To: Elias, Alan; Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Doo; Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Tue Jun 17 17:52:24 2008
Subject: I.A Times Blog: Richardson

This should be interesting...


Ask the congresswoman: Your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson

I'm overdue for a session of "Ask the blogger," so here goes. Today, something completely different: instead of your questions for me, I'd like to hear your questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson, the triple-defeat Democrat from Long Beach.

I sent her office a list of questions in late May (you can see my questions by clicking at the bottom of this post) and haven't heard back, so I'm giving up and turning to you: submit your best (serious) questions for U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson. I'll sort through them and send them along to her congressional office. Fire away.

(Sent by e-mail to Kimberly Parker, chief of staff to U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson)

Kimberly: I'm still interested in answers to the questions below, which I first submitted to the congresswoman's office on May 22:

Questions from Peter Viles at the Los Angeles Times:
What kind of mortgage did the congresswoman take on the Sacramento home? (Adjustable Rate Mortgage, Option ARM, 30-year fixed, etc.)

What was the amount of the loan and the interest rate?
Who was the original lender?
Did she take out one loan or more than one loan?
Did she make a down payment? If so, how much?
A published report quotes the seller of the house saying that Ms. Richardson received $15,000 from the seller for closing costs. Is this accurate?

What were her monthly payments on the mortgage?
How many payments did she make on the mortgage?
When did she begin missing payments on the mortgage, and why?
When and how did she contact the lender to discuss a loan modification?
Did she contact the lender herself, or did a member of her staff contact the lender?
Documents indicate the amount she owed on the loan was eventually greater than the initial value of the loan itself. Can you explain why the amount she owed increased?

When did she and the lender agree to a loan modification? And what is the exact nature of the loan modification? What is the new interest rate, the new principal amount, and the new monthly payment?

How many payments has the congresswoman made on the loan modification?

Thanks,
Peter Viles

Sara Guski
Home Loans Public Relations

WoMu
1501 Second Avenue 
WMC 061 
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1-800-222-4114 call
sara.guski@wmu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 1:07 PM
To: Strom, Erik E. <erik.strom@wamu.net>
Subject: RE:

I haven't, Erik. I believe the Congresswoman communicated to Ann late last week that she was getting all of her paperwork together this weekend and planned to follow-up with us early this week.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue
WMC-401
Seattle, WA 98101
206-509-2822 direct 206-224-7344 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Strom, Erik E.
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 10:04 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject:

Have you heard if we have sent Ms. Richardson a note from Legal on what date she needs to act by...

Erik Strom
From: Gaufl, Sara C. <sara.gaufl@wamu.net>
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 11:33 AM
To: Gordon, Debbie <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>; Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Cc: Riley, Olivia <olivia.riley@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: AP - Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

Thanks for forwarding, Debbie.

Geri Ann – I did not receive any additional inquiries over the weekend.

Sara Gaufl
Home Loans Public Relations
Wamu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC4/G | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.2111 cell
sara.gaufl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing its contents. Thank you.

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 8:24 AM
To: Gaufl, Sara C.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: AP - Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

Buyer sues Calif. congresswoman over foreclosure

By JULIET WILLIAMS
13 June 2008
21:43
Associated Press Newswires

SACRAMENTO (AP) - A Sacramento investor who bought the foreclosed home of Rep. Laura Richardson has filed a lawsuit against the congresswoman and her bank for rescinding the sale.

James York claims Richardson used her influence as a congresswoman to force Washington Mutual Inc. and a subsidiary to back out of the sale.

York, who operates Red Rock Mortgage Inc., bought the foreclosed home in an upper-middle class Sacramento neighborhood at auction in May for $388,000 after Richardson failed to make her mortgage payments.

In the lawsuit filed in Sacramento County Superior Court, York is seeking to have the house returned to him, as well as punitive damages and costs. He also claims the bank acted with malice after the legitimate sale of the house.

The Associated Press obtained a copy of his lawsuit on Friday, the day the defendants were served.

Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, previously told the AP that the house was sold without her knowledge and after the bank agreed to hold off on any action until at least June.

She bought the house in January 2007 for $535,000, a few months after she was elected to the state Assembly. She took out an adjustable-rate mortgage with an interest rate that could vary between 8.8 percent and 14 percent, according to documents filed with York's lawsuit.
Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, said the congresswoman had not been notified of the lawsuit. He declined further comment.

In an interview Friday with the AP, York said he believes Washington Mutual's trustee rescinded the sale solely because Richardson is a member of Congress. He said the savings and loan would not have done that for an average person.

York said he tried to negotiate a settlement but was rebuffed.

"They rescinded the notice of trustee sale and put it back in her name before even telling me," he said. "It's not a difficult case. It's a valid sale."

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual, Sara Gauld, said the company would have no comment because Richardson had not authorized it to speak about her case.

Richardson, 46, was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won the Assembly seat in November 2005, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in a special election to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson has acknowledged turmoil in her life during the short time she went from the city council to the state Assembly to Congress. She said she used her own money to finance her campaigns and fell behind in mortgage and property tax payments.

A default notice sent in March put her unpaid balance on the 1,600-square-foot home at $578,384.

Richardson's financial troubles appear to run deeper, however. The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson has two other homes in San Pedro and Long Beach that have fallen into default six times. Five of the defaults, totaling nearly $71,000, occurred in the last 13 months.

The newspaper also reported that Richardson has a history of not paying other bills, including failure to pay for car repairs and campus fees.

The congresswoman told the AP she believed she had worked out a deal with Washington Mutual to renegotiate her loan on the Sacramento home and pay it off. She also said she intended to pay the nearly $3,000 in delinquent property taxes.

"In the notice of recession filed as an exhibit in York's lawsuit, the trustee company acknowledges it "had previously agreed to postpone the foreclosure sale until June 4, 2008."

Meanwhile, York said in the lawsuit that he has already started making repairs to the house, including painting, restoring the floors, landscaping and general cleanup that have "significantly increased its value."

He argues it would be unfair to give the house back to Richardson in better condition than she lost it. The amount of money he has spent on the repairs was not specified.

Richardson makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

The home, built in 1935, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper-middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure. She has said she would like to testify before Congress as someone victimized by the nation's mortgage crisis.

York notes in his lawsuit that the house now has a cloud over it -- making it more difficult to sell if it is eventually returned to him.

"If I had known it was this congresswoman's house, I probably never would have bought it," York said in the telephone interview.
Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

306-500-2836 direct, 306-377-3023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaufl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 8:16 PM
To: Gaufl, Sara C. <sara.gaufl@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Media Request from CNN in LA

- While I'm unable to provide specifics in order to protect Congresswoman Richardson’s privacy rights, I can tell you that WaMu is committed to giving all customers the same level of consideration and fairness in all circumstances.
- If a loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation. As you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale and any subsequent actions are driven by the specific facts of each case.
- In this instance, the foreclosure trustee has recorded a notice of rescission with the Sacramento County Recorder’s office. That document is a matter of public record.
- The Notice of Rescission clearly indicates that the foreclosure sale was rescinded because it took place ahead of the agreed upon sale date of June 4, 2008.

I am unable to discuss specifics of Ms. Richardson’s loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan.

Sara Gaufl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue L 1301 W 1301 N
Seattle WA 98101
206.506.2002 direct | 206.224.5222 fax
sara.gaufl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Kishner, Gary
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:54 PM
To: Kishner, Gary
Cc: Riley, Olivia; Gaufl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Media Request from CNN in LA

Unless I hear otherwise, I will assume that you guys have this covered.

Gary Kishner, Vice President
National Public Relations, West Bureau
WaMu
9200 Oakdale Ave., Second Floor
Chatsworth, California 91311

Mail Stop: N102118

818.775.4210 office
818.775.4210 fax
818.614.7888 mobile
gary.kishner@wamu.net

JPMC - 003148
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004841
"Don't let your ego get too close to your position, so that if your position gets shut down, your ego doesn't go with it." - Colin Powell

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:38 PM
To: Gordon, Debbie; Kishner, Gary
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: RE: Media Request from CNN in LA

It is about Congresswoman Laura Richardson. CNN heard that WaMu filed a letter of dissent on June 2 and wanted a comment on that.

Thanks,
Debbie Gordon
Public Relations
206.500.2035

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:27 PM
To: Kishner, Gary
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Media Request from CNN in LA
Importance: High

Reporter
Sara Wisefield(sp?)

Publication
CNN in LA

Phone Number
323-846-
cell

Media Request / Scope of the Story
Quick Q re: a story they are asking tonight at 5pm PST. No further info provided. I left her a vm asking for details on the story, but haven't heard back, yet.

Request Date
6/13/2008

Coverage Date
Not Known

Deadline
5pm PST today

Lead
G. Kishner

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103

JPMC - 063149
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004842
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
cdebit.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Thanks Sara :) 

Sent from my BlackBerry

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
To: Weisfeldt, Sara
Subject: WaMu Comment

Hello, Sara,

It was good talking with you. Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment for your story.

As mentioned, I am unable to discuss specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan.

Best regards,

Sara Gaugl

Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu

1301 Second Avenue
WMC 401
Seattle WA 98101

206.500.2822 direct I 206.224.9999 (bell)
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hello, Sara,

It was good talking with you. Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment for your story.

As mentioned, I am unable to discuss specifics of Ms. Richardson’s loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.3500 mail
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 4:00 PM
To: Kishner, Gary <gary.kishner@wamu.net>; Gordon, Debbie
    <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>
Cc: Riley, Olivia <olivia.riley@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Media Request from CNN in LA

Thanks for forwarding, Gary. Yes, we'll handle.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue I WMCO40 I Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.3333 main
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Kishner, Gary
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:54 PM
To: Gordon, Debbie
Cc: Riley, Olivia; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: Media Request from CNN in LA

Unless I hear otherwise, I will assume that you guys have this covered.

Gary Kishner, Vice President
National Public Relations, West Bureau
WAMU
5050 Oakdale Ave., Second Floor
Chatsworth, California 91311

Mail Stop: N110118

818.775.4234 office
818.775.4210 fax
818.614.6969 mobile
gary.kishner@wamu.net

"Don't let your ego get too close to your position, so that if your position gets shot down, your ego doesn't go with it." - Colin Powell

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:38 PM
To: Gordon, Debbie; Kishner, Gary
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: RE: Media Request from CNN in LA

It is about Congresswoman Laura Richardson. CNN heard that WaMu filed a letter of dissent on June 2 and wanted a comment on that.

Thanks,
Debbie Gordon
Public Relations
206.500.2835

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:27 PM
To: Kishner, Gary
Cc: Riley, Olivia
Subject: Media Request from CNN in LA
Importance: High

Reporter
Sara Wisefield(sp?)

Publication
CNN in LA

Phone Number
323-646-1 cell

Media Request / Scope of the Story
Quick Q re: a story they are airing tonight at 5pm PST. No further info provided. I left her a vm asking for details on the story, but haven’t heard back, yet.

Request Date
6/13/2008

Coverage Date
Not Known

Deadline
3pm PST today

Lead
G. Kishner

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2025 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Pelosi comments on Laura Richardson defaults

By Eric Werner The Associated Press
http://www.dailybreeze.com/cl9584107

WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson's multiple home defaults, said that "every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards."

Pelosi said she was not familiar with the details of the controversy surrounding Richardson, who won a special election last year to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald in the 37th Congressional District in Long Beach.

"But every lawmaker must make the fullest disclosure of his or her assets as is required by law," Pelosi said.

"Many people in our country are caught in the foreclosure crisis. Members of Congress maybe are as well," she added.

Late last month reports emerged that Richardson, a former state Assemblywoman and member of the Long Beach City Council, had lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure and has two other homes in Southern California that have fallen into default six times.

Last week the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson had also left car repair bills unpaid.

Richardson easily won her Democratic primary June 3 and is running unopposed in the November general election, although at least one of her Democratic primary opponents is making plans to challenge her as a write-in candidate.

Richardson defended herself after the first reports of the foreclosure on her Sacramento home, saying it never should have happened and she'd worked out a deal with her lender to buy it back. However the purchaser of the property, James York of Red Rock Mortgage in Sacramento, complained in published reports this week that Richardson had been given favorable terms because she's a congresswoman and that he planned to sue over the issue.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, had no immediate comment Thursday.

California Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Los Angeles, also addressed the Richardson situation Thursday during a visit to Washington. Bass and other Assembly leaders had endorsed Richardson's congressional bid but Bass told reporters she'd had no idea about Richardson's financial issues.

Richardson had a quick rise in politics, moving from the Long Beach City Council to a state Assembly seat in 2006 and to Congress the next year.

"Given the rapid pace of all of that I can understand the financial difficulties, but now more is coming out," Bass said. She said she'd hoped while in Washington to talk to Richardson about the situation but hadn't had the opportunity.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 8:37:58 PM
To: Brom, Erik E.
CC: Baptista, Gen Ann S.
Subject: William Marshall’s contact info

Hi, Erik,

As always, many thanks for your assistance. The gentleman who phoned from Ms. Richardson’s office is William Marshall – his contact information follows below for your reference.

William Marshall, Communications Director for Congresswoman Laura Richardson
Office: 202-225-5____
Cell: 202-649-____
Fax: 202-225-____
Email: william.marshall@richardson.house.gov

Best,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue, Seattle WA 98101
206.388.3493 Direct
206.388.3381 Fax
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gordon, Debbie <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 2:22 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Cc: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Media request from Washington Post

Maryann called asking for Geri indicating she is on deadline.

Thanks,
Debbie Gordon
Public Relations
206.500.2835

---

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 10:15 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: Media request from Washington Post
Importance: High

Reporter: Maryann Akers
Publication: Washington Post
Phone Number: 703-469

Media Request / Scope of the Story: Oddly enough, Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s office referred reporter to Wamu. Told that Sara Gaugl gave a comment, but she couldn’t find it.

Request Date: 6/11/2008
Coverage Date: Not known
Deadline: ASAP
Lead: S. Gaugl

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.577.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hello Jeff,

Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment for your story.

In response to your questions, California Reconveyance Company is owned by WaMu.

As mentioned, I am unable to discuss specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan. But more broadly, if any loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation. As you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale and any subsequent actions are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2827 Direct | 206-621-7033 Cell
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
...for accuracy, what entity is the foreclosure trustee that recorded the notice of recission with the Sacramento County Recorder’s office? (I'm sorry I don't have the document at hand and would like to see your statement exactly as you provided it, but with clarity for lay readers.) If the trustee is other than WaMu, is it accurate to say that WaMu retains the lender and the trustee is acting on WaMu's behalf? Thank you for this.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Gaugl, Sara C." <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
To: "Jbreport.com" <mail@Jbreport.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 9:39 AM
Subject: RE: Follow re further reported

Hello, Bill.
Thank you for providing us the opportunity to comment for your story. In response to your question, the foreclosure trustee has recorded a notice of recission with the Sacramento County Recorder's office. That document is a matter of public record.

I'm unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan. We are, however, committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

More broadly, if any loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation.

As you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rework a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loan Public Relations

WaMu
1501 Second Avenue | WMC#014 | Seattle WA 98101
206.560.2022 direct | 206.224.7373 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments.
without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: breport.com [mailto:mail@breport.com] 
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 8:27 AM 
To: Gaul, Sara C. 
Subject: Re: Follow up further reported

My competition has the story now. Here's their link, which they
headlined:
“WalMart giving Richardson a break?”

http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci__0536078

The story is also echoed in their co-owned Long Beach outlet the
Press-Telegram and perhaps others in the Media News Group LA market
chain...and it's probably being picked up all over the blogosphere.

I'm just trying to get this story, not wage a crusade. I'd like to
confirm if a notice to rescind was filed...if the notice to rescind isn't a
publicly
filed document, I won't press for it. I don't want anything sub rosa,
only
public info. I'd also welcome any response by WalMart to the favorable
change
(since LongBeeleReport.com is exclusively online we can post it at
length.
FYI, we're in our eighth year of operation.)

Info would be very much appreciated as soon as you can send it.

/s/ Bill Pearl, publisher
LDRReport.com
mail@breport.com

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Gaul, Sara C." <saul.gaul@swan.net> 
To: <mail@breport.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 7:51 AM 
Subject: Re: Follow up further reported

> Good morning, William, I am currently in a meeting but expect to be
back
> at my desk in an hour or so.
> >
> > When is your deadline?
> >
> > Best regards,
> >
> Sara
> -----------------------------
> > Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message ----- 
> > From: lbreport.com <mail@lbreport.com>
> > To: Gage, Sara C.
> > Sent: Tue Jun 10 05:42:25 2008
> > Subject: Follow up further reported
> >
> > This email is from LongBeachReport.com following up on a story reported
> > this morning (June 10) by the Torrance Daily Breeze/Long Beach
> > Press-Telegram indicating that WaMu has filed a notice to rescind the
> > auction sale of the Sacramento property purchased by then-Assemblywoman,
> > now Congresswoman Laura Richardson.
> >
> > Does WaMu have any statements/comments on the matter, including the
> > contention reported in the story by the new buyer that WaMu’s notice to
> > rescind the sale shows [ paraphrase] favoritism toward Richardson?
> >
> > Please advise... and thank you.
> >
> > st William Perl, publisher
> > LongBeachReport.com
> > mail@lbreport.com
> > 562-421-7777 (pwh)
> >
> > _________________________________________________________________
> 
> -----
> 
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG.
> Version: 7.5.524 / Virus Database: 270.1.0.1492 - Release Date: 6/9/2008
> 10:29 AM
> 
> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG.
> Version: 7.5.524 / Virus Database: 270.2.0.1494 - Release Date: 6/10/2008
> 7:22 AM
Hello, Bill,

Thank you for providing us the opportunity to comment for your story. In response to your question, the foreclosure trustee has recorded a notice of receivership with the Sacramento County Recorder’s office. That document is a matter of public record.

I'm unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan. We are, however, committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

More broadly, if my loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation.

As you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC Global Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.224.7111 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: breport.com [mailto:mail@breport.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 8:27 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Re: Follow re further report

My competition has the story now. Here's their link, which they headlined:
"Wamu giving Richardson a break?"

http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_9536078

The story is also echoed in their co-owned Long Beach outlet the Press Telegram and perhaps others in the Media News Group. A market chain, and it's probably being picked up all over the blogosphere.

I'm just trying to get this story, not wage a crusade. I'd like to confirm if a notice to rescind was filed. If the notice to rescind isn't a publicly filed document, I won't press for it. I don't want anything sub rosa, only public info. I'd also welcome any response by WaMu to the favoritism charge (since LongBeachReport.com is exclusively online we can post it at length).

FYI, we're in our eight year of operation.

JPMC - 003162
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004855
Info would be very much appreciated as soon as you can send it.

s/ Bill Pearl, publisher
LBRReport.com
mail@lbreport.com

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Gaugl, Sara C." <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
To: <mail@lbreport.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2008 7:51 AM
Subject: Re: Follow up further reported

> Good morning, William. I am currently in a meeting but expect to be back
> at my desk in an hour or so.
> >
> > When is your deadline?
> >
> > Best regards,
> >
> > Sara
> > --------------------------
> > Sent from my Blackberry Wireless Handheld
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message ----- 
> > From: lbreport.com <mail@lbreport.com>
> > To: Gaugl, Sara C.
> > Sent: Tue Jun 10 05:42:25 2008
> > Subject: Follow up further reported
> >
> > This email is from LongBeachReport.com following up on a story reported
> > this morning (June 10) by the Torrance Daily Breeze/Long Beach
> > Press-Telegram indicating that WaMu has filed a notice to rescind the
> > auction sale of the Saturnia property purchased by then Assembly woman,
> > now Congresswoman Laura Richardson.
> >
> > Does WaMu have any statements/comments on the matter, including the
> > contention reported in the story by the new buyer that WaMu's notice to
> > rescind the sale shows (paraphrase) favoritism toward Richardson?
> >
> > Please advise...and thank you.
> >
> > s/ William Pearl, publisher
> > LongBeachReport.com
> > mail@lbreport.com
> > 562-424-2191 (p/v)
No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by A.V.O.

Version: 7.5.524 / Virus Database: 270.1.0/14/92 - Release Date: 6/9/2008
10:29 AM
FYI, Alan. It appears that the reporter at the Daily Breeze is still working on his story, though I haven’t received any follow-up questions since providing our initial response on Monday.

As an aside, we (legal, GIR, Loss Mit) have a touch-base call at 4 p.m. – I’ll keep you informed of any new developments.

- Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1201 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.550.2822 direct | 206.228.7215 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2008 2:49 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Owain, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Reporter from Daily Breeze

REDACTED

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 S Street, Ste. 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
mcmguinn@kirbylaw.com
Direct: (619) 525-1371
Fax: (619) 525-1370

ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1099 or (619) 580-4050, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 S Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
Gene,

Thank you for providing us the opportunity to comment for your story. In response to your questions, I'm unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan. We are, however, committed to treating all of our customers with the same level of consideration and fairness.

More broadly, if any loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation.

As a matter of policy, we don't comment on litigation. But, as you would expect, the conditions in which a lender would seek to rescind a foreclosure sale are driven by the specific facts of each case.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.224.2222 fax
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Thanks for your email, Gene. May I ask your deadline?

Regards,
Sara

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gene Maddaus
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: RE: follow-up

1. Is WaMu aware of situations in which WaMu loans have been foreclosed improperly, either because a loan modification was signed prior to the auction or for some other reason; and if so, what steps is WaMu taking to correct that problem? Would a homeowner in that situation be entitled to a refund of any payments made on the loan modification?

2. If WaMu determines that a WaMu loan was foreclosed improperly, will WaMu, as a general practice, sue to overturn the foreclosure? Has that ever happened and if so what was the outcome?

3. Without violating Congresswoman Laura Richardson’s privacy rights, can WaMu state unequivocally that Ms. Richardson has received no preferential treatment in the handling of her loan, and will not receive any preferential treatment in the future?

4. Has Ms. Richardson allowed WaMu to discuss her case publicly? (If yes, there will be some other questions.)

Gene

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugl, Sara C. 
To: gene.maddaus@wamu.com 
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 7:10 PM 
Subject: Re: follow-up

Good evening, Gene: 

I am currently in a meeting and away from my office, but if you would please send me your specific questions, I can evaluate them and get back to you.
Best regards,

Sara

------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----
From: Gene Maddaus <gene.maddaus@jpmib.com>
To: Gendi, Sara C.
Sent: Thu May 29 16:47:09 2008
Subject: follow-up

Please call for some follow-up questions on general policies that may relate to the Laura Richardson situation, but do not require you to divulge her personal information.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Finesse
310-545-5555
Subject: Updated: Richardson update
Location: [passcode]

Start: 5/30/2008 3:30 PM
End: 5/30/2008 4:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Required Attendees: Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; GM QSM DTE; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Oakley, Susan B.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Cook, Don; Thorn, Ann; Thorn, Ann

Resources: [passcode]

When: Friday, May 30, 2008 12:30 PM-1:30 PM (GMT-07:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.

Where: [passcode]
From: Gauzl, Sara C.
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 10:10 PM
To: 'gene.maddaus@
Subject: Re: follow-up

Good evening, Gene.

I am currently in a meeting and away from my office, but if you would please send me your specific questions, I can evaluate them and get back to you.

Best regards,

Sara

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

---- Original Message ----
From: Gene Maddaus
To: Gauzl, Sara C.
Sent: Thu May 29 16:47:09 2008
Subject: follow-up

Please call for some follow-up questions on general policies that may relate to the Laura Richardson situation, but do not require you to divulge her personal information.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Breeze
310-343-
From: Gene Maddaus <geneanned@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 7:47 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: follow-up

Please call for some follow-up questions on general policies that may relate
to the Laura Richardson situation, but do not require you to divulge her
personal information.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Breeze
310 S418C

JPMC - 063171
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004864
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:52:07 PM
To: wppearl
Subject: WaMu Follow-Up

Hello, William,

I'm sorry I missed your call earlier this afternoon. I left a message for you a moment ago, but thought I'd follow-up with you via email as well in case you're on deadline.

So you are aware, we have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation.

Should you wish, you are more than welcome to attribute that statement to me.

Best regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.228.4242 toll
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Richardson Origination Update

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1901 Second Avenue | WMCO3 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.3923 direct | 206.930.5000 | Fax
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Schneider, David C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:46 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Hyde, Arlene M.
Subjects: RE: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

Can you get me the information on the original loan? Today please.

You can have this done by the channel that originated the deal.

ds

---

From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 12:31 PM
To: Schneider, David C.; Borene, John; Champney, Steven D.
Cc: Thom, Ann; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subjects: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

All:

As expected, coverage on Congresswoman Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

**UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? -- Calculated Risk**
by Tanta
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cached out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * *
I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story—a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure—had that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I’m not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don’t experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lendees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

* * * * * * *

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and thence covered by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn’t stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments.

Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story—albeit I'm not holding my breath on that—we’re unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It’s possible that WaMu screwed this up—that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It’s possible that Richardson’s version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I’m not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn’t find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson’s other home—her primary residence—was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let’s get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson’s district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP:

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 a year as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home—running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, changing jobs, etc.—is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly-elected state representative, she
would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capital. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.); many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's priciest neighborhoods for $535,300, with no downpayment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for her 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.00% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty damn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3123.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.50% of the property value, her total payment would have been $795.12.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is not-taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that. I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point to me to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months." Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanta. I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what your plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that by June of 2007—five months after purchase—you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn't budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We're going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I know a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see squat to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if WaMu did give Richardson some loan modification deal, I'd really like to know what went through the Loss Mit Department's collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson's salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that? Where's she going to live in Washington, DC? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose she might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she's a Congresswoman?

I'm quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on plain old American the other day who wrote a "hardship letter" that didn't pass muster with me. I feel obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn't afford, defaulted on it, and now wants WaMu to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that's... disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angelo.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.
According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land, she likes the Realtors, and they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Assn. of Realtors flew her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, Realtor Dick Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson's script read: "I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I am not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog."

--Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she's blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WaMu | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2622 direct | 206.224.5626 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Call me on my cell or home this weekend if you want. I can certainly look into below through public State records and Wamu records. We perhaps have these homes and if not, we can certainly review public records.

Let me know

cell: 414-415-\_

br home 904-239-\_

From: Gaul, Sara C.
Sent: Sat 05/24/2008 12:54 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Additional Info Re: Richardson

Thought you would find this interesting. I spoke with Gene this evening - he was one of the reporters who broke the story earlier this week.

There are a few elements of the story I'd like to discuss/verify with you at some point.

Thanks again for all of your support, Ann.

Best,

Sara

Congresswoman Richardson had defaulted on 3 homes, records show
By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer
05/23/2008

Rep. Laura Richardson, who lost her Sacramento home in a recent foreclosure auction, has also defaulted on two other properties in Long Beach and San Pedro, records show.

Richardson, D-Long Beach, was able to bring her payments up to date on the Long Beach home relatively quickly, but the San Pedro property lingered in the foreclosure process for almost eight months, and still has a pending auction date.

In her first interview since the news broke Tuesday that her Sacramento home had been foreclosed, Richardson blamed the foreclosure on a miscommunication by her lender. She did not apologize for failing to make payments on three separate homes and expressed no regret for failing to pay nearly $3,000 in property taxes.

In her only admission of fault, she said she could have acted more quickly to correct the situation.

"I should have moved forward in an earlier fashion," she said. "I acknowledge that. I intend never to conduct business in that fashion again."

Asked how she planned to reimburse the state for her unpaid property taxes, Richardson said, "I have financial obligations, and I will fulfill those financial obligations. There will be no debts to the state of California."

In an hour-and-a-half interview in the offices of the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson declined to answer numerous detailed questions about her finances. For
example, she refused to discuss the mortgage terms on the Sacramento house, refused to say how many payments she had made, and refused to say when she learned that the mortgage was in default.

A notice of default was issued in December, but Richardson offered no evidence that she had taken any remedial action before April. By then, the auction had already been scheduled for one month.

The home, which Richardson bought in January 2007 for $355,000, sold at auction on May 7 to a real estate investor for $388,000. The lender, Washington Mutual Bank, took a loss of nearly $300,000 on the deal, and the buyer, James York, agreed to pay her property tax bill.

Richardson said that she was not aware the home had sold until she was contacted by reporters this week. She produced correspondence from Washington Mutual Home Loans, dated April 17, which indicated that her loan was reinstated and the auction would be put on hold until June 4.

She produced an e-mail, dated Thursday, indicating that she was trying to work with the lender to have the foreclosure rescinded.

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual Bank, Sara Gaugi, declined to comment on the matter.

"We have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation," Gaugi said.

County records indicate that the San Pedro home went into default in September 2007, at which point Richardson was behind on her payments by $12,410.71, and had made no payments since June.

A notice of trustee sale was issued on April 17, and an auction was scheduled for May 14 on the courthouse steps in Norwalk. The outstanding loan balance was $307,436, on an original 2005 loan of $355,000.

However, the auction was put on hold.

Richardson produced records from Wells Fargo Bank, which holds the note on her San Pedro home. That document, dated March 21, indicated that Richardson had qualified for a loan modification which would prevent the foreclosure from going forward.

Cal Western Reconveyance Corp., which was responsible for collecting the debt, confirmed that a hold had been placed on the auction, and the auction date had been postponed to July 16, pending a workout of the loan.

Again, Richardson produced no document to confirm that she took any remedial action on the San Pedro property before March.

The Long Beach home, which is Richardson's primary address, went into default on March 28.

Richardson has not made a payment on the house since November, and owed $19,921.74 on the property. Three days later, the default was rescinded, indicating that Richardson had arranged to make the payments.

While Richardson did not apologize for her actions, she did attempt to explain them.

In 2009, Richardson was a Long Beach councilwoman and a staffer for Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante. She was elected to the Assembly in 2006 and then to Congress in 2007, to fill a seat vacated by the death of Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald.
Richardson loaned her Assembly campaign $100,000 in the summer of 2006, borrowing against the equity in her Long Beach home. After her election, she raised enough money to pay herself back, but immediately had to plow $77,500 in loans to her congressional campaign.

"I am not financially wealthy," she said. "I am not a millionaire. Based upon what I was going through, changing four jobs in less than one year, I think any American would understand what that does in terms of a person's financial stability."

As a member of Congress, Richardson makes $169,300 a year. As a member of the Assembly, she made about $116,000, plus a per diem for living expenses in Sacramento.

When it was pointed out that the average American makes far less than that, Richardson responded, "The average American is not responsible for maintaining several households."

Richardson said she did not make an effort to sell the Sacramento home, even after she was elected to Congress, and still hoped to rent it out.

Richardson attempted to link her situation to the plight of others facing foreclosure, and said the experience would help make her a better advocate on foreclosure issues.

"I think this is what many Americans are unfortunately facing right now," she said. "I am concerned that I can take what I have learned from this to help somebody else. Many people are one step away from issues that are life-changing moments. When a person moves across the country, that is a life-changing moment."

Richardson noted that unlike the state legislature, the U.S. Congress does not provide for living expenses.

"On the federal level, there is no per diem," she said. "They don't pay you to move."

Richardson is renting an apartment in the Washington, D.C., area. She declined to disclose or discuss her credit score.

She has begun to pay down her congressional campaign debt, and repaid herself $18,000 of the $77,500 in personal loans. She still owes $220,000 to her campaign consultant - which is unusual for a successful campaign - and about $330,000 overall.

Richardson said she would advise anyone in her circumstance "to seek assistance immediately" and to maintain contact with their lenders.

She said she ultimately hopes to testify about her situation in front of the Senate, and will write to the president to urge him to sign a package of foreclosure legislation.

"We need to put a better process in place, so a person's home is not being sold up underneath them," she said. "We have to improve the way we respond to this crisis."
Thought you would find this interesting. I spoke with Gene this evening – he was one of the reporters who broke the story earlier this week.

There are a few elements of the story I'd like to discuss/verify with you at some point.

Thanks again for all of your support, Ann.

Best,

Sara

Congresswoman Richardson had defaulted on 3 homes, records show

By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer
05/23/2006

Rep. Laura Richardson, who lost her Sacramento home in a recent foreclosure auction, has also defaulted on two other properties in Long Beach and San Pedro, records show.

Richardson, of Long Beach, was able to bring her payments up to date on the Long Beach home relatively quickly, but the San Pedro property lingered in the foreclosure process for almost eight months, and still has a pending auction date.

In her first interview since the news broke Tuesday that her Sacramento home had been foreclosed, Richardson blames the foreclosure on a miscommunication by her lender. She did not apologize for failing to make payments on three separate homes and expressed no regret for failing to pay nearly $9,000 in property taxes.

In her only admission of fault, she said she could have acted more quickly to correct the situation.

"I should have moved forward in an earlier fashion," she said. "I acknowledge that. I intend never to conduct business in that fashion again."

Asked how she planned to reimburse the state for her unpaid property taxes, Richardson said, "I have financial obligations, and I will fulfill those financial obligations. There will be no debts to the state of California."

In an hour-and-a-half interview in the offices of the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson declined to answer numerous detailed questions about her finances. For example, she refused to discuss the mortgage terms on the Sacramento house, refused to say how many payments she had made, and refused to say when she learned that the mortgage was in default.

A notice of default was issued in December, but Richardson offered no evidence that she had taken any remedial action before April. By then, the auction had already been scheduled for one month.

The home, which Richardson bought in January 2007 for $635,000, sold at auction on May 7 to a real estate investor for $386,000. The lender, Washington Mutual Bank, took a loss of nearly $200,000 on the deal, and the buyer, James York, agreed to pay her property tax bill.

Richardson said that she was not aware the home had sold until she was contacted by
reporters this week. She produced correspondence from Washington Mutual Home Loans, dated April 17, which indicated that her loan was reinstated and the auction would be put on hold until June 4.

She produced an e-mail, dated Thursday, indicating that she was trying to work with the lender to have the foreclosure rescinded.

A spokeswoman for Washington Mutual Bank, Sara Gaugl, declined to comment on the matter.

"We have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation," Gaugl said.

County records indicate that the San Pedro home went into default in September 2007, at which point Richardson was behind on her payments by $12,410.71, and had made no payments since June.

A notice of trustee sale was issued on April 17, and an auction was scheduled for May 14 on the courthouse steps in Norwalk. The outstanding loan balance was $367,436, on an original 2005 loan of $350,000.

However, the auction was put on hold.

Richardson produced records from Wells Fargo Bank, which holds the note on her San Pedro home. That document, dated March 21, indicated that Richardson had qualified for a loan modification which would prevent the foreclosure from going forward.

Cal Western Receivables Corp., which was responsible for collecting the debt, confirmed that a hold had been placed on the auction, and the auction date had been postponed to July 14, pending a workout of the loan.

Again, Richardson produced no document to confirm that she took any remedial action on the San Pedro property before March.

The Long Beach home, which is Richardson's primary address, went into default on March 28.

Richardson had not made a payment on the house since November, and owed $19,921.74 on the property. Three days later, the default was rescinded, indicating that Richardson had arranged to make the payments.

While Richardson did not apologize for her actions, she did attempt to explain them.

In 2006, Richardson was a Long Beach councilwoman and a staffer for Lt. Gov. Cruz Bustamante. She was elected to the Assembly in 2006 and then to Congress in 2007, to fill a seat vacated by the death of Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald.

Richardson loaned her Assembly campaign $100,000 in the summer of 2006, borrowing against the equity in her Long Beach home. After her election, she raised enough money to pay herself back, but immediately had to plow $77,500 in loans to her congressional campaign.

"I am not financially wealthy," she said. "I am not a millionaire. Based upon what I was going through, changing four jobs in less than one year, I think any American would understand what that does in terms of a person's financial stability."

As a member of Congress, Richardson makes $169,300 a year. As a member of the Assembly, she made about $116,000, plus a per diem for living expenses in Sacramento.

When it was pointed out that the average American makes far less than that, Richardson responded. "The average American is not responsible for maintaining several households."
Richardson said she did not make an effort to sell the Sacramento home, even after she was elected to Congress, and still hoped to rent it out.

Richardson attempted to link her situation to the plight of others facing foreclosure, and said the experience would help make her a better advocate on foreclosure issues.

"I think this is what many Americans are unfortunately facing right now," she said. "I am concerned that I can take what I have learned from this to help somebody else. Many people are one step away from issues that are life-changing moments. When a person moves across the country, that is a life-changing moment."

Richardson noted that unlike the state Legislature, the U.S. Congress does not provide for living expenses.

"On the federal level, there is no per diem," she said. "They don't pay for you to move."

Richardson is renting an apartment in the Washington, D.C., area. She declined to disclose or discuss her credit score.

She has begun to pay down her congressional campaign debt, and repaid herself $18,000 of the $77,500 in personal loans. She still owes $220,000 to her campaign consultant – which is unusual for a successful campaign – and about $330,000 overall.

Richardson said she would advise anyone in her circumstance "to seek assistance immediately" and to maintain contact with their lenders.

She said she ultimately hopes to testify about her situation in front of the Senate, and will write to the president to urge him to sign a package of foreclosure legislation.

"We need to put a better process in place, so a person's home is not being sold up underneath them," she said. "We have to improve the way we respond to this crisis."
Sara, here's the final AP story based on our interview with Laura Richardson. Let me know if Wal MU wants to comment further. Regards,

Tom

1. ca california
2. BC-CA-Congresswoman's House, 3rd LD-Whittier
3. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says home sale 'improper'
4. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman claims sale of her Sacramento house shouldn't have happened
5. Eds: ADDS comments, details.
6. ewldflolaw
7. By ERICA WERNER
8. Associated Press Writer
9. 05-23-2006 18:55
10. WASHINGTON (AP) - Rep. Laura Richardson claimed Friday that her Sacramento home was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with her lender.

1. She said that she is like any other American suffering in the mortgage crisis and wants to testify to Congress about her experience as lawmakers craft a foreclosure-prevention bill.

1. In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday night, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage, allowing the house to be sold at auction.

1. Richardson, elected in a special election last August, acknowledged turmoil in her life in the months after an incumbent's death in April opened up the Los Angeles-area House seat.

1. She used her money to finance her campaign and fell behind in mortgage payments. But Richardson said that makes her like other Americans who have to deal with a sudden death or birth that throws a wrench into their finances.

1. Now, Richardson said, she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off and promised to fully comply with all its terms. She also said she will pay nearly $5,000 in delinquent property taxes.

1. She insisted she's not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.

1. "I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

1. Richardson was a member of the Long Beach City Council when she won a California state Assembly seat in November 2006, months before she bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath Sacramento home. She won the congressional seat the next year in the special election to replace the late Juanita Milender-McDonald.

1. The problem is that the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $535,500 in January 2007 was sold at auction earlier this month to a Sacramento mortgage lender, who paid $388,000. The sale was officially recorded on Monday, according to documents on file with the Sacramento County Recorder's Office.

1. A default notice sent to Richardson in March put her unpaid balance at $578,384.

1. Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.
Although others struggling with mortgages make far less, Richardson said it was "very misleading" to compare her earnings to the national median household income of around $50,000. The reason: Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to, she said.

Others also don't have to depend on winning an election to ensure their livelihood, she said.

Richardson provided AP with an April letter that appears to be from Washington Mutual Home Loans telling her there was a hold on foreclosure sales on her property until June 4 of this year.

She said she got another letter asking for payments May 2 and paid them, but did not know the sale was going to happen five days later.

Richardson also provided an e-mail dated Thursday she said was from Washington Mutual that appeared to acknowledge an agreement "to facilitate the rescission of foreclosure sale."

She did not provide documentation of the structure of her new loan.

A Washington Mutual spokeswoman, Sara Gaugi, told AP earlier in the day that the company had "not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her loan situation."

Washington Mutual did not respond to a later inquiry seeking comment on Richardson's claims.

Meanwhile, the current owner of the property told AP that his ownership of the house is not in doubt.

James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento, declined to discuss any possible negotiations that might be ongoing.

"I've taken possession on the home," York said. "I've been working on it, fixing it up. It had been vacant. It was in cleaner and in better repair than most foreclosures."

The home, built in 1920, is in Sacramento's Curtis Park, a desirable, upper middle-class neighborhood near downtown that sits under a canopy of decades-old trees.

Not long after getting to Congress, Richardson voted in favor of the Mortgage Forgiveness Debt Relief Act of 2007, which subsequently became law. It allows homeowners to escape paying income taxes on debts forgiven by a lender, as happens in foreclosure.

Richardson was absent earlier this month for votes on the Foreclosure Prevention Act, which she said was because of her father's funeral. But she could have another opportunity to vote on the foreclosure package as the House is expected to bring it back up in June once agreement is reached in the Senate.

In most cases, congressional ethics rules don't prevent lawmakers from voting on legislation that might affect or help them economically. Such votes are essentially impossible to avoid.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lendees have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

Melanie Sloan, executive director of the Washington-based Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics, criticized Richardson for falling deeper into debt while choosing to spend more than $75,000 of her own money on her campaign, suggesting that it's more important to win a seat in Congress than to be fiscally responsible, a point Richardson disputed.

Sloan also said Richardson should not be in the situation she is while making a congressional salary, when homeowners around the country making $50,000 or less are struggling to pay their debts.

"Truthfully, it's appalling," Sloan said.
Tom Verdin
Correspondent
Sacramento bureau
916-442-...
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From: Verdin, Tom
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 10:02 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Sara, here's our first take on the Laura Richardson story tonight. Subsequent versions will have greater detail. Please let me know if WaMu wants to issue an updated statement.

Tom

1. BC-CA—Congresswoman’s House
1. URGENT
1. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman says home sale ‘improper’
1. AP Interview: Calif. congresswoman claims sale of her Sacramento house shouldn’t have happened
1. ew/050710va
1. By ERICA WERNER
1. Associated Press Writer
1. 05-23-2008 18:35
1. WASHINGTON (AP) — California Congresswoman Laura Richardson claims the foreclosure auction of her Sacramento house was improper and contrary to a written agreement she had with her lender.

1. In a lengthy interview with The Associated Press on Friday, the Southern California Democrat struck back against several days of negative publicity over reports she defaulted on her mortgage. Her house was sold at auction earlier this month.

1. Richardson said that sale never should have happened and that she has renegotiated her loan to pay it off.
1. She insisted that she is not getting special terms because she's a congresswoman.
1. In fact, Richardson said the experience has allowed her to relate to the thousands of other Americans — including many in her Los Angeles-area district — confronted with foreclosures. She even wants to testify before Congress about it.

From: Verdin, Tom
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 6:27 PM
To: sara.gaugl@wamu.net
Subject: Laura Richardson

Sara, thank you for calling us back earlier this evening.

As it happens, our reporter in Washington who covers California's congressional delegation was on the phone with Laura Richardson while you and I were talking. In brief, Richardson is blaming WaMu. She claims she did not know her Sacramento house was being sold at auction and says WaMu told her no action would be taken on it until sometime next month.

She also claims to have documentation to support this claim but has yet to provide it to us.

We'll be moving a story based on Richardson's comments shortly.

Because AP did not have her statement when we spoke previously, I wanted to give WaMu an opportunity to respond.
We'll be sending our story in takes (individual bits will move before the final write-thru), and I can send you those so you can see exactly what Richardson claims.

Regarde,

Tom

Tom Verdin
Correspondent
Sacramento bureau
916-448

<< OLE Object: Picture (Metatile) >>

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1090 and delete this email. Thank you.
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Sara, thank you for calling us back earlier this evening.

As it happens, our reporter in Washington who covers California’s congressional delegation was on the phone with Laura Richardson while you and I were talking. In brief, Richardson is blaming WaMu. She claims she did not know her Sacramento house was being sold at auction and says WaMu told her no action would be taken on it until sometime next month.

She also claims to have documentation to support this claim but has yet to provide it to us.

We’ll be moving a story based on Richardson’s comments shortly.

Because AP did not have her statement when we spoke previously, I wanted to give WaMu an opportunity to respond.

We’ll be sending our story in takes (individual bites will move before the final writeup), and I can send you those so you can see exactly what Richardson claims.

Regards,

Tom

Tom Verdin
Correspondent
Sacramento bureau
016-449

Associated Press
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From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 8:31 PM
To: Gene Maddaus <gene.maddaus@wunc.net>
Subject: RE: Laura Richardson

Gene:
Thank you for your call. As mentioned, please feel free to attribute the following statement to me:

We have not received consent from Ms. Richardson that would allow us to discuss her bun situation.

Regards,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WMC
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
sara.gaugl@wunc.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Fri 05/23/2008 4:53 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Laura Richardson

Please call asap re the congresswoman.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Green
310 544-0100
From: Gene Maddaus
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 7:53 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: Laura Richardson

Please call asap re the congresswoman.

Gene Maddaus
Daily Ingrid
310 544-3-3-
Alan, Adrian and Libby are out. I’ll call Lynn to see if Adrian is available via cell.

I also can include Geri Ann. We discussed this issue earlier today as well on an earlier call — we’re just wanting to see if she made additional comments.

Conference call number is below for your reference.

(866) 724-2390

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1901 Second Avenue 1 WMC-301 Seattle WA 98101
206-506-2022 direct 1-866-225-2225 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Blas, Alan
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 2:52 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Re: Your advice re: Richardson

REDACTED

Thanks

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugl, Sara C. 
To: Blas, Alan
Cc: Cook, Don
Sent: Fri May 23 14:48:32 2008
Subject: F.W. Your advice re: Richardson

http://ch5.com/business/compressarticle/home/default/2.733339.html

"We finally [saw] a notice on the front door about two and a half months ago," Burgos said. "It was a bank repossession notice."
FYI.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue \ WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.550.2822 direct | 206.224.7117 cell sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 2:31 PM
To: Elias, Alan
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: Your advice re: Richardson

Hi, Alan,
I would appreciate your advice/perspective.

At this point, Ms. Richardson has not signed any of the consent forms we have provided to her (including the medical consent form). I haven’t seen any additional public statements made by her, however according to a reporter, she is now claiming that WAMU did not properly notify her that she was in default. This, of course, is not accurate.

Since she’s decided to take more of an “accessory” tone toward WAMU, I feel now may be the time to enter into the fray and begin communicating to reporters that we have not been authorized by Ms. Richardson to discuss her loan situation, and direct them to the public record if further pressed.

The alternative is to continue to keep a low profile and essentially not comment at all, as coverage and public sentiment continues to center around (1) the facts of her default and foreclosure, and (2) the inconsistencies in her statements and the public record.

Thoughts?

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue \ WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.550.2822 direct | 206.224.7117 cell sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Battaglini, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:57 PM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan I.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3561
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-4284

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Cook, Don
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:13 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 4:09 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Cook, Don; Battaglia, Paul J.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home: Louise Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.228.7302 cell sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 5:53 PM
To: Richardson, Laura <lmacq@wamu.net>
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>; Gaugi, Sara C. <sara.gaugi@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Communications regarding Richardson Loan

Congresswoman Richardson, I will take this opportunity to introduce Sara Gaugi as your contact from our Communication Department. Her email contact is above.

Thank you

Ann

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-886-5644

For internal Use Only

From: Richardson, Laura <lmacq@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 4:20 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: Communications regarding Richardson Loan
Importance: High

Ann/Wendy,

Could you please forward ASAP your communications contact? Channel 9 and 2 (CRR) has shown up in film at the district office and we have received numerous calls from other affiliates; therefore a response is required.

Sincerely,

Laura Richardson
From: Gordon, Debbie <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 5:51 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Cc: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: Media Request from Daily Breeze

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>Gene Maddaus</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>Daily Breeze newspaper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>310-542-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Request / Scope of the Story</td>
<td>Laurne Richardson default - wants details and statement.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request Date</td>
<td>5/22/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage Date</td>
<td>Not Known</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>ASAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>S. Gaugl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMCS103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gordon, Debbie <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 3:51 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: Media Request from Capital Weekly

Report: John Howard
Publication: CapitalWeekly.net / Capital Weekly Newspaper
Phone Number: 916-444-
Media Request / Scope of the Story: Laurne Richardson foreclosures - has Qs about CA Reconveance Company, etc.
Request Date: 5/22/2008
Coverage Date: Not Known
Deadline: ASAP
Lead: S. Gaugl

Dahlia Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave., WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.2635 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Subject: Congresswoman Richardson Update

Location: 577-702-1240

Start: 5/22/2008 2:00 PM
End: 5/22/2008 3:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Gaugl, Sara C.; Woodcock, Wendy A.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Cook, Don; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; GM

Resources: QSM DTE; Kallner, Brad

When: Thursday, May 22, 2008 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-06:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada); Tijuana.
Where: 877-702-1240

--
--
From: Gordon, Debbie <debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 6:49 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Cc: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>, Hutchinson, Libby G. <libby.hutchinson@wamu.net>
Subject: Media request from AP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>Samantha Young - speak to anyone if she is not there</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>916-448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Request / Scope of the Story</td>
<td>Loan that went into default taken out thru WaMu then referred to the CA Reconvence Company (a subsidiary of WaMu who referred all calls to Corporate). Re: congressman Laurie Richardson at 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA. Has documents, wants to confirm what they are looking at. All in the bureau are working on it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request Date</td>
<td>5/21/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage Date</td>
<td>Not Known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline</td>
<td>ASAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>S. Gaugl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbie.j.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 12:43 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson
Attach: 001 MTM to Parkinson re rescission did 5-28-08.pdf

FYI- we will need too talk about this and the recent press when you get a chance. Thanks, J

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-663-4561
916-347-3970 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

From: Martin T. McGuinn
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:34 AM
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: FW: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Martin T. McGuinn
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Ste. 1500
San Diego, CA 92101
mmcguinn

Direct Dial: (619) 525-1261
Direct Fax: (619) 525-1254

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 525-1869 or (619) 565-4000, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1500, San Diego, CA 92101

From: Beth Ward
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:09 AM
To: donation

Subject: Washington Mutual/Laura Richardson

Good morning, Ms. Parkinson. Attached is Mr. McGuinn’s letter of today’s date regarding the above matter.

Beth Ward
Paralegal to Martin T. McGuinn
& Kenneth C. Noorigian
Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C.
600 B Street, Suite 1950
San Diego, CA 92101
Direct Line: (619) 398
Direct Fax: (619) 398

THIS MESSAGE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR.
ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED MAY BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
This electronic message contains privileged or confidential information which is solely intended for the use of the addressee(s) listed as recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at (619) 223-1959 or (619) 555-1950, and return the original message to Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C., 600 B Street, Ste. 1950, San Diego, CA 92101.
May 28, 2008

VIA EMAILONLY donna@parkinsonphinney.com
Donna Parkinson, Esq.
Parkinson & Phinney LLC
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Fl.
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Your Clients: James York and Red Rocks Mortgage Inc. (collectively, "Red Rocks")
Our Clients: Washington Mutual Bank ("WaMu") and California Reconveyance Company ("CRC")
Real Property: 3622 W. Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA (the "Property")
Borrower: Laura Richardson
Our File No.: WAS002-93

Dear Ms. Parkinson:

This letter is being sent to you pursuant to California Code of Procedure section 1152 and Federal Rules of Evidence, rule 406. The contents of this letter may not be used in any pleading or constitute an admission of liability on the part of our client. The facts as we understand them to be are that Red Rocks was the high bidder at a foreclosure conducted by CRC on May 7, 2008. That foreclosure sale occurred despite an agreement between WaMu and Ms. Richardson to postpone the foreclosure sale to June 4, 2008. That postponement agreement was confirmed in writing by letter dated April 17, 2008, a copy of which is attached hereto.

As you know, California law permits a trustee to rescind a foreclosure sale where the borrower and the lender had an agreement to postpone the sale. California Civil Code section 1058.5(b) permits a trustee with the consent of either the beneficiary or the successful bidder to rescind a foreclosure sale due to the filing of a bankruptcy by the owner or otherwise.

The extent of a trustee’s right to rescind a foreclosure sale has been the subject of litigation in several courts of appeal. Residential Capital LLC v. Cal Western Reconveyance Corp. (2003) 108 Cal.App.4th 807, 822 held: "The right of the trustor to postpone the foreclosure sale by agreement with the beneficiary is as important to the protection of the trustor’s property from wrongful foreclosure as are the notice requirements." The Residential Capital court enunciated two important
principles: First, it reaffirmed the holding in *Little v. CFS Servicing Corp.* (1987) 188 Cal.App.3d 1354 that the only recovery permitted to a third party bidder is the return of its capital paid at the sale, plus interest; and second, it held that the failure to postpone the sale at the request of the borrower when there was an agreement to do so was a substantial irregularity to a foreclosure sale permitting the trustee to rescind.

Although the TDUS was not delivered to the bidder prior to rescission in the Residential Capital case, later case law supported the beneficiary's and the trustee's right to rescind after the TDUS had been delivered and recorded. In *Bank of America v La Jolla Group II* (2005) 129 Cal.App.4th 706, 712 the court held: "But La Jolla has not relied on this presumption and, if it had, we would have concluded that the presumption was rebutted by the fact that the trustee and beneficiary entered into an agreement to cure the default and reinstate the loan before the foreclosure sale. In sum, the foreclosure sale was invalid." In *Bank of America*, the bidder contended that once the trustee's deed upon sale has been delivered with all the recitals of statutory compliance and the recital of authority under the power of sale "the deed cannot be 'void' as a matter of law." The court rejected that argument by noting: "We know of no authority for this proposition."

It is clear in this case that, based on the written agreement to postpone, WaMu has the right to rescind the sale. When the sale is rescinded, in addition to return of the funds paid at the sale ($388,000.01), Red Rocks is entitled to interest on the sales proceeds, plus reimbursement of any funds expended on the Property since the sale. Reasonable interest in this case totals approximately $2,500. As well, prior to your being retained by Red Rocks, Mr. York told me that he has expended approximately $10,000-15,000 in repairs to the Property.

In an effort to resolve this matter amicably, WaMu is willing to offer Red Rocks a small premium on its investment and pay a total of $25,000 to Red Rocks in exchange for a release of all right, title and interest that Red Rocks has in the Property. That amounts to an approximate $10,000 return on a one-month investment—a return that is not legally mandated. The payment is conditioned upon the parties executing a settlement agreement releasing each other from any liability or claims arising out of or relating to the May 7, 2008 foreclosure sale, including a Civil Code section 1542 waiver, and Red Rocks will provide a W-4 to WaMu to allow it to issue the check. We are prepared to immediately document the settlement. Please advise if this offer is acceptable to Red Rocks.

Thank you in advance for your continuing professional courtesy and cooperation in this matter. Should you have any questions, or wish to discuss the case further, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Martin T. McGuinn

cc: Paul Battaglia (via email)
    Jan Owen (via email)
April 17, 2008

Laura Richardson
717 East Vernon Street
Long Beach, CA 90806

RE: Washington Mutual Loan Number [redacted]
Property Address: 3622 West Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA 95818

WE MAY REPORT/HAVE REPORTED INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO
CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON
YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT REPORT.

WE ARE A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT, AND ANY
INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dear Ms. Richardson:

Thank you for your recent contact with our Executive Office. Please find your
reinstatement figures enclosed. Please remit the exact reinstatement amount in certified
funds to us at the below address:

Washington Mutual
Default Cash Processing
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

We have placed a sixty day hold on all foreclosure sale or actions; the hold will expire
June 4, 2008.

Should you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at 904-732-[redacted]

Sincerely,

Allison Dolan
Default Specialist II – ERT
Washington Mutual Home Loans
From: RichardsonMC, Laura
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 11:34 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>; Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>
Cc: RichardsonMC, Laura; Hernandez, Rosa (Rep. Richardson)
Subject: RE: WaMu Consent Form Attached

Sara,
Thank you for your response. At this point I think the communication with the media has been adequate. We covered print, ap and television outlets today. I provided the letter of April 17th and my consent to release that letter which addresses the questions we received. If any further information is needed I will contact you. If I can be of assistance or clarify any questions feel free to contact me on my cell phone @ 562-706[...]

Sincerely,
Laura Richardson

From: Gaugl, Sara C. <mailto:sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 6:18 PM
To: RichardsonMC, Laura
Subject: WaMu Consent Form Attached

Congresswoman Richardson:
We appreciate your concern over media attention surrounding your loan situation. Please be aware that WaMu does not disclose our customers' personal financial information without written authorization from the customer.

If we are asked by the media to verify or discuss aspects of your loan situation outside of what is available in public records, we require your written consent to do so. Attached is our standard media consent form for your signature, which can be faxed to 206-377[...]

Thank you,
Sara Gaugl

<<Consent Form.doc>>
Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Hi, Alan.

I would appreciate your advice/perspective.

At this point, Ms. Richardson has not signed any of the consent forms we have provided to her (including the media consent form).

I haven't seen any additional public statements made by her, however according to a reporter, she's now claiming that WaMu did not properly notify her that she was in default. This, of course, is not accurate.

Since she's decided to take more of an "accessory" tone toward WaMu, I feel now may be the time to enter into the fray and begin communicating to reporters that we have not been authorized by Ms. Richardson to discuss her loan situation, and direct them to the public record if further pressed.

The alternative is to continue to keep a low profile and essentially not comment at all, as coverage and public sentiment continues to center around (1) the facts of her default and foreclosure, and (2) the inconsistencies in her statements and the public record.

Thoughts?

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue WMC 3311 Seattle WA 98101
206.550.2822 direct 206.224.6671 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 1:57 PM
To: Cook, Don; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Thorn, Ann; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: RE: Media Update: Claim from Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-550-4261
Fax: 206-550-4262

REDACTED
As we're discussing.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMC40 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 31136 31136 caliba
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:14 PM
To: Gaugi, Sara C.
Subject: FW: Richardson

| REDACTED |

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Chu, Hsiu-Jen
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:00 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

| REDACTED |
From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:37 PM
To: Chiu, Huey-Jen
Subject: Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
The following copy of the original of which was filed for record on 12/14/2007 in the office of the County Recorder of the County set forth below is sent to you in as much as an examination of the title of the trust property shows you may have an interest in the Trustee's Sale Proceedings.

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, Trustee

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Trustee Sale No. 723397CA  Loan No. [redacted]  Title Order No. M721884

IMPORTANT NOTICE

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR PAYMENTS, IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION, and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is $18,356.40 as of 12/13/2007 and will increase until your account becomes current.

While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or mortgagor may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagor may require as a condition to reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagor will give you a written itemization of the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagor may mutually agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the end of the three-month period stated above) to, among other things, (1) provide additional time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the above paragraph of this notice, unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of property by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor.
To find out the amount you must pay, to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact: WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA at 7301 BAYMEADOWS WAY JACKSONVILLE FL 32256 (877) 926-8937.

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

REMEMBER, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated 01/04/2007, executed by LAURA RICHARDSON, AN UNMARRIED WOMAN, as trustee, to secure obligations in favor of WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, as Beneficiary Recorded 01/10/2007, Book 20070110, Page 1818, Instrument of official records in the Office of the Recorder of SACRAMENTO County, California, as more fully described on said Deed of Trust. APN: 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE, SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 Including the note(s) for the sum of $535,001.00 that the beneficial interest under said Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the beneficiary; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which said Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that the payment has not been made of: THE 00/01/2007 INSTALLMENT OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST AND ALL SUBSEQUENT MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; PLUS ANY ADDITIONAL ACCRUED AND UNPAID AMOUNTS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LATE CHARGES, ADVANCES, IMPOUNDS, TAXES, HAZARD INSURANCE, ADMINISTRATIVE FEES, INSUFFICIENT AND PARTIAL RETURN CHECK FEES, STATEMENT FEES, AND OBLIGATIONS SECURED BY PRIOR ENCUMBRANCES.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such Deed of Trust, has executed and delivered to said Trustee, a written Declaration and Demand for Sale, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing the obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

DATE: 12/13/2007

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, as authorized agent for Washington Mutual Bank, Beneficiary, By: FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE COMPANY, authorized agent of CRC

CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Date: 12/28/2007

Type of Mailing: 10 Day

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

IMELDA SERRANO
That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9206 Oakdale Avenue N 116012 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/28/2007 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notion, a true and correct copy of which is hereto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 5679 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Class</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Pieces: 3

$1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

12/28/07

(Date)

(Declarant)
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Type of Mailing: 10 Day

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

Loan No.: [Blank]

1. IMELDA SERRANO

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 12/28/2007 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notices, a true and correct copy of which is herewith attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0290 6970</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3022 WEST CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95818</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$ 2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Certified

| 7179 2993 5780 0290 6987 | LAURA RICHARDSON 3022 W CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 | $ 0.41 | $ 2.65 |          |

Certified

| 7179 2993 5780 0290 6994 | LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON ST LONG BEACH, CA 90806 | $ 0.41 | $ 2.65 |          |

Certified

Number of pieces: 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of pieces: 3</th>
<th>$ 1.23</th>
<th>$ 7.95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 12/28/2007

Declarant:

[Signature]

JPMC - 003231
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004724
# DECLARATION OF MAILING

**Date:** 01/10/2008  
**Type of Mail:** First Class  
**Trustee's Sale No.:** 723397CA

I, **LINDA YELNICKER,** an officer, agent, or employee of **CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY**, whose business address is 9230 Oakdale Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years. On 01/10/2008 by 1st Class mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth Post Office, a true and correct copy of which is heretofore attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1st Class         | LAURA RICHARDSON  
3422 W CURTIS DRIVE  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 | $0.41       |
| 1st Class         | LAURA RICHARDSON  
3422 W CURTIS DRIVE  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95818 | $0.41       |
| 1st Class         | LAURA RICHARDSON  
7117 E VERNON STREET  
LONG BEACH, CA 90806 | $0.41       |

Number of pieces: 3  
**Postage:** $1.23

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature]  
(Declarant)

JPMC - 003252  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.004725
DECLARATION OF MAILING

Type of Mailing: 1 Month

Trustee's Sale No. 723397CA

I, LINDA YELNICKER,

That I am an officer, agent, or employee of CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE COMPANY whose business address is 9200 Otsego Avenue N 110612 Chatsworth, CA 91311. I am over the age of eighteen years; On 01/10/2008 by Certified mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Chatsworth notice, a true and correct copy of which is hereto attached and made a part hereof, address to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Article</th>
<th>Name of Addressee, Street, and Post Office Address</th>
<th>Postage Fee</th>
<th>Cert Fee</th>
<th>R.R. Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0302 7742</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 WEST CURTIS DR SACRAMENTO, CA 95816</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0302 7759</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 3622 W CURTIS DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95816</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7179 2993 5780 0302 7766</td>
<td>LAURA RICHARDSON 717 E VERNON STREET LONG BEACH, CA 90806</td>
<td>$0.41</td>
<td>$2.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of pieces: 3

$1.23 $7.95

I certify (or Declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 01/10/2008

(Declarations)
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 7:06 PM
To: Owen, Jan L., Baptista, Geri Ann S., Hutchinson, Libby G., Cook, Don, Elias, Alan
Cc: WaMu
Subject: FW: Media request from AP

Thanks for forwarding, Debbie. I’m currently on the phone with servicing and our attorneys with regard to this situation.

Geri Ann, Libby, Ok, this is the call, here’s the conference call number. We’re just starting now.

Jan, FYI below.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WaMu
1301 Second Avenue | WHC400 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.1111 | 206.228.3050
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

djude.j.gordon@wm.com

this communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gauzl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:00 PM
To: O'Hanlon, Kellie M. <kellie.ohanlon@wamu.net>
Cc: Friedberg, Patricia E. <patricia.friedberg@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

Thanks, Kellie.

Sara Gauzl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAmu
1301 Second Avenue | WMC201 | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | cell
sara.gauzl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: O'Hanlon, Kellie M.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:38 PM
To: Gauzl, Sara C.
Cc: Friedberg, Patricia E.
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Sara,
The property went to auction on 05/07 and proceeds from the auction have been received by Washington Mutual.

-----Original Message-----
From: Friedberg, Patricia E.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:28 PM
To: O'Hanlon, Kellie M.
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Please review and respond.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gauzl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:26 AM
To: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Friedberg, Patricia E.
Cc: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Wendy and Pat -
Forwarding to you as well I received Brad's out of office.
Thanks for the help.

Sara

Sara Gaul
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct I 206.228.6767 cell sara.gaul@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message-----
From: Gauld, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Kullner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Berens, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson Foreclosure
Importance: High

Brad -

Please see the article embedded below for more detail. But long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WaMu loan (second house) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WaMu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson's situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is [redacted].

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gauld
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct I 206.228.6767 cell sara.gaul@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message-----
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Gauld, Sara C.; Campard, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: For Capital Weekly — “Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis”
Here we go. I am at a meeting and will call in a minute.

-------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kevin Gould
To: FSIR Meeting Group
Sent: Wed May 21 08 01:35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $60,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jerry O'Conner, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she focused her Congressional campaign $65,000 -- money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, O'Conner, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. O'Conner's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson decided to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $335,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 -- $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $476,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

JPMC - 003238
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004731
"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she started Oceana in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 90,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab, and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 10, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:54 PM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S. <geriann.baptista@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

I'm still on the call... will follow-up with you as soon as I can.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue, 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 11:47 AM
To: Baptista, Geri Ann S.
Subject: F.W. Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

Hi, Geri Ann.
FYI, below. As discussed - on the phone with Ross Mit now.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

Wamu
1301 Second Avenue, 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:26 AM
To: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Friedberg, Patricia E.;
Cc: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Wendy and Pat -
Forwarding to you as well. I received Brad's out of office.
Thanks for the help.

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Leons Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Kallmer, Brad
Cc: Champlin, Steven D.; Herens, John; Owen, Jan E.; Elias, Alisa
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

Brad -

Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WaMu loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WaMu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson's situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0.

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Leons Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Owen, Jan E.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:16 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugl, Sara C.; Gaspard, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: FW: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am at a meeting and will call in a minute.

---------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kevin Gould <KGould@CalBankers.com>
To: FSIR Meeting Group <FSIRMeetingGroups@CalBankers.com>
Sent: Wed May 21 08:01:35 2008
Subject: Capito[...]Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $535,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank took the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone awry. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elected to Congress in a 2006 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Joaquin Castro-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race. She ran against Sacramento County Supervisor Matt O'Leary.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she hired her Congressional campaign a $60,000 -- money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, O'Leary, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. O'Leary's Congressional campaign committee lists nearly $280,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office shows that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 -- more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park home is one of Richardson's primary residences. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.
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Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her mother. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she won a June special election and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $27 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehabilitate and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the home, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $387,384.
I did, however, thanks for forwarding, Remon.

I'm actually on the phone discussing now...

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 
| MFC41 | Seattle WA 98101
| 206.290 | 3 | 206.224 | 2 |
| email: sgaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Gomez, Ramon
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:12 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Potolsky, Doug
Subject: Did you see this? It was apparently, a WaMu Loan.

http://latimerefblogs.latimes.com/laland/

Report: California Congresswoman walked away from $578K mortgage

Capitol Weekly reports that newly elected California Congresswoman Laura Richardson walked away from the mortgage on her $535,000 Sacramento home, letting the house slip into foreclosure and disappoin less than two years after she bought it with no money down.

"While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees," the publication reports. Richardson, a Democrat from Long Beach, declined to comment for the Capitol Weekly story, and her office did not immediately respond to a request for comment from LA Times.

Capitol Weekly, citing tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office, reports "... In January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage larger than the sale price of the house -- $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn. A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 -- $40,000 more than the original mortgage.""

In addition to 100% financing on the home itself, the report quotes the woman who sold the house to Richardson as saying she also gave Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs.

The weekly also reports Richardson's residence quickly became an eyesore, angering neighbors. The report says she received herself on two key house votes on government efforts to address the foreclosure crisis.

Ramon Gomez
WaMu -- Capital Markets
(23) Fifth Avenue, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10003
Telephone: 312.790.32
Cell phone: 937.716.32
Email: rgomez@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 4:14 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J. <paul.battaglia@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

---Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 12:34 PM
To: Cook, Don
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/foreclosure

---Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Kullmer, Brian
Cc: Chapman, Steven D.; Berea, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Dear

Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WAMU loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at
auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Over has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WaMu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is 3881.

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gougl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue, 1 WMC #111 Seattle WA 98101
206.560.2822 direct 1 800.818.2277 cell
sara.gougl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Olsen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Gougl, Sara C.; Caspari, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: For Capital Weekly: "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am in meeting and will call in a minute.

-----------------------

----- Original Message -----  
From: Kevin Gould <K.Gould@CalBankers.com>
To: FSIR Meeting Group <FSIRMeetingGroups@CalBankers.com>
Sent: Wed May 21 20:00 2008
Subject: Capital Weekly: "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house has a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democratic Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elected to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.
Richardson’s decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento’s crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new Capitol home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Janata.Miller-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson’s district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson’s house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson’s opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza’s Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor’s office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 13, 2008 notice of trustee’s sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson’s loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $475,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyecore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home tapered, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn’t mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hit our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a house that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she beat Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass, which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $387,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin
repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $387,384.
Hi, Rosic

Thanks for joining on such short notice. We have a media/loan issue concerning Congresswoman Laura Richardson.

Her loan number is 3884

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMCAP | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.500.2822 call
sara.gaugi@wmu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

________________________________________

From: Gaugi, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:07 PM
To: Alvarez, Rosalva R.; Owen, Jan L.; Cook, Don; Woodcock, Wendy A.
Subject: (866) 723-5524 PC 3884
Importance: High

Many thanks,

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WMU
1301 Second Avenue | WMCAP | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.500.2822 call
sara.gaugi@wmu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 3:34 PM
To: Cook, Don <don.cook@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

REDACTED

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 5 6 2 3 8 2 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Kallner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Barcus, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Brad:

Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WAMU loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WAMU.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson's situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is 1 5 6 2 3 8 2.

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 5 6 2 3 8 2 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
----- Original Message ----- 
From: Owen, Jia L. 
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM 
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugh, Sara C.; Garrod, Scott; Watson, Albion 
Subject: FSIR Meeting Group -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am am in meeting and will call in a minute.

------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kevin Gould <KGould@CalBankers.com> 
To: FSIR Meeting Group <FSIRMeetingGroups@CalBankers.com> 
Sent: Wed May 21, 08 01:35 2008 
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento’s Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson’s Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go in seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $630,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson’s decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento’s crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Janeil Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson’s district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jerry Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson’s house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has since been paid back to Richardson personally, from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson’s opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza’s Congressional committee still owes nearly $100,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County recorder’s office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house – $335,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trusteed sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson’s loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 – $40,000 more than the original mortgage.
The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

“The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her,” said Sharon Holm, who sold the home to Richardson. “She didn’t mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood.”

Holm and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Holm family lived in the house for more than 30 years before getting desperate to sell.

Holm said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Holms wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. “It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves,” she said. “She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that.”

While Richardson walked away from her home, she entered Congress in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.9 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give federal governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosure properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $87,384.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 2:58 PM  
To: Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>  
Subject: RE: Request for an interview from theStreet.com

Will do. I’m currently on the phone with loss mitigation re: Congresswoman Richardson… will fill you in. ugh.

Sara Gaugl  
Home Loans Public Relations  

Wamu  
1301 Second Avenue | WMMC40 | Seattle WA 98101  
206.506.3057 | 206.228.9770  
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Elias, Alan  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 11:40 AM  
To: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Subject: RE: Request for an interview from theStreet.com

Please give Mike a call to discuss. I understand the hesitation, and it might be that we decline to participate—especially given the recent spate of stories about cuts in credit lines—but we do continue to offer a HELOC product and should likely make sure the public (and the media) understands that.

My 2 cents worth, anyway…

From: Gaugl, Sara C.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 11:39 AM  
To: Elias, Alan  
Subject: FW: Request for an interview from theStreet.com

Thoughts? I’m not sure this is a topic we want to be too proactive on right now, but let me know what you think. I’ve got a call out to Peter to better understand his story.

Sara Gaugl  
Home Loans Public Relations  

Wamu  
1301 Second Avenue | WMMC40 | Seattle WA 98101  
206.506.3057 | 206.228.9770  
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Peter McDougall  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:09 AM  

JPAC  
003253  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.004746
To: GM Newsroom
Subject: Request for an interview

Hi,

I am a beat reporter with TheStreet.com. I was hoping that I could speak to someone at Washington Mutual about home equity loans. In particular I wanted to ask someone about the continued availability of home equity loans to consumers with good credit and stable home prices, in light of the recent reduction in home equity credit offered by Washington Mutual.

Unfortunately I am on a tight deadline, and was hoping to speak to someone this afternoon.

Thank you so much for any assistance you could provide.

Peter McDougall
207-449-
Hi, Geri Ann,
F.Y.I. below: As discussed - on the phone with Lisa Mit now.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC#011 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:26 AM
To: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Finkelberg, Patricia E.
Cc: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Wendy and Pat -

Forwarding to you as well I received Brad's out of office.

Thanks for the loop.

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC#011 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM

JPMC - 003255
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004748
To: Kallner, Brad  
Cc: Chapman, Steven D.; Brecas, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan  
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson Foreclosure  

Importance: High

Brad,  

Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WaMu loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WaMu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is [redacted].

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gaugl  
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu  
1301 Second Avenue  
Seattle WA 98101  
206.500.2822 direct [redacted] cell  
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Owen, Jan L.  
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM  
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugl, Sara C.; Caspari, Scott; Watson, Alison  
Subject: FW: Capitol Weekly – “Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis”

Here we go. I am am in meeting and will call in a minute.

-------------------------

-----Original Message-----
From: Kevin Gould  
To: LSIR Meeting Group  
Sent: Wed May 21 08:01:35 2008  
Subject: Capitol Weekly – “Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis”

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento’s Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $535,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the house.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

JPMC - 0032286  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOG.RICH.004749
The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jerry O'Peña, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she joined her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, O'Peña, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. O'Peña's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment on this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $355,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $476,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the house to Richardson. "She didn't move the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our hands whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park house to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she beat O'Peña in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Darrell Issa, D-Mea, which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 300,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.
Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:26 PM
To: Woodcock, Wendy A. <wendy.woodcock@wamu.net>, Friedberg, Patricia E. <patricia.friedberg@wamu.net>
Cc: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

Wendy and Pat -
Forwarding to you as well I received Brad’s out of office.

Thanks for the help.

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue
WMC401
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.500.2822 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 10:24 AM
To: Raffner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Benens, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High

Brad -
Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WAMU loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold in auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment; however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congressman that she plans to communicate to the Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WAMU.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is [redacted].

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations
WeMu
1301 Second Avenue
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct | 206.500.2882 cell
sara.gaugl@wmuc.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Osano, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugl, Sara C.; Gaspard, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: Fw: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am at a meeting and will call in a minute.

------------------------

-----Original Message-----
From: Kevin Gould <KGould@CalBankers.com>
To: PSIR Meeting Group <PSIRMeetingGroup@CalBankers.com>
Sent: Wed May 21, 2008 01:35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $355,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Jeanette Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Orozco, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loomed her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Orozco, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Orozco's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

JPMC - 001260
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004753
But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $235,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $579,000—$40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $133,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $147,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood.

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park house to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a house that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and what she couldn't. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that.

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she faced Congress in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass, which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.
Hi, Alan.
FYI, below I briefly spoke with Jan and she's going to call me back in a minute.

Though we are mentioned in the story, it is focused on Congresswoman Richardson's decision to walk away from her home and her reasons for doing so.

Sara Gaugl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue, P.O. Box 228, Seattle WA 98101
206.501.2264
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Owen, Jan C.
Sent: Wednesdays, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugl, Sara C.; Gaspard, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: FW: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am at a meeting and will call in a minute.

------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kevin Grudl <KGrudl>
To: FAIR Meeting Group <PERM>MeetingEering
Sent: Wed May 21 08:01:35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $535,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour.
It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elected to Congress in a 2006 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson’s decision to let the home slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento’s crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Janis Milinder-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson’s district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson’s house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has been paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson’s opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza’s Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor’s office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $355,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee’s sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson’s loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $570,000 — more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, tumors on the home festered, and neighbors began to act en masse.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn’t mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our laundry whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her Realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $12,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that."
While Richardson walked away from her loan, she bested Osepeza in a June special election and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest piece of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $1.5 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, October 3, 2008 9:48 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Subject: Fw: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

I really think we should write a novel about this one! It just cracks me up. ;)

-----------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-no-reply@google.com>
To: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Fri Oct 03 00:54:21 2008
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Richardson's Sacramento code violation case is dropped <http://www.dailybreeze.com/si_10622638>

Daily Breeze - Torrance, CA, USA
Laura Richardson after determining that her yard has been adequately cleaned up. The South Bay Democrat nearly lost the house in May after failing to pay...


This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Remove <http://www.google.com/alerts/remove?hl=en&ct=alert&key=GAAPrVp17sZa7sA0VXAEoRM&ht=en&gl=> this alert.
I am not thrilled about this

------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noresponse@gmail.com>
To: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Sat Sep 20 11:04:38 2008
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Alerts Alert for: Laura Richardson

Laura Richardson to chat with Whoopi Goldberg <http://www.inboxrobot.com/news.php?Id=1891090732>

on AOC's "The View," will serve as a guest panelist with Richardson at the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation's annual legislative conference. Richardson's discussion, "Image of Blacks in America: Is it Our..."


This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Remove <http://www.google.com/alerts/remove?sa=AAGro1mYDp17gZw6sA0VAeRMKbl=en&gpl> this alert.

JPMC - 003266
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004759
From: Smith, Kimberly <kimberly.brown@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:30 PM
To: Kallner, Brad <brad.kallner@wamu.net>; Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Cc: Champney, Steven D. <steven.champney@wamu.net>; Berens, John <john.berens@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>; Siedzik, Tasha A. <tasha.siedzik@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congressmanwoman Richardson/Foreclosure

...just one clarification

The foreclosure hold that was placed in April was not initiated by loss mit

After loss mitigation denied the workout request, it appears the homeowner called in and requested additional time to reinstate the loan. On 4/30/08, our executive response team submitted a request to the attorney to postpone the 4/7/08 sale date.

Thanks!

Kimberly Brown Smith
Department Manager, Community Outreach
Homeownership Preservation Team
904-880-1308
Washington Mutual Bank

This email is confidential and the property of Washington Mutual Inc. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this email is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or otherwise have reason to believe that you have received this message in error, please completely delete this message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kallner, Brad
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 5:10 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Berens, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan; Siedzik, Tasha A.; Smith, Kimberly
Subject: RE: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

I have chatted with Kim, we tried to work a modification, unfortunately she had a delinquent monthly of over $2,100. We placed the original foreclosure sale date on file for 30 days in April. The loan went to sale on 5/7/08, third party outbid.

Sara, please feel free to give Kim or I a call if you need to discuss.

Brad

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:24 PM
To: Kallner, Brad
Cc: Champney, Steven D.; Berens, John; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure
Importance: High
Dear [Name],

Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WAMU loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WAMU.

Would you look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is.

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gough
Home Loans Public Relations

WAMU
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC 201 Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2832 direct 231-5667 cell sara.gough@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

--- Original Message ---
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Riley, Olivia; Gough, Sara C.; Gaspar, Scott; Watson, Alison
Subject: From: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Here we go. I am at meeting and will call in a minute.

-------------------

--- Original Message ---
From: Kevin Gould
Sent: Wed May 21 08:05 35 2008
Subject: Capitol Weekly -- "Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis"

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $355,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments and, eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elected to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

JPMC - 003292
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004785
Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capitol home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Janata Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 13, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $475,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't move the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hire our hands whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a house that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she hastened Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $387,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin
repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $387,384.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 1:24 PM
To: Kallner, Brad <brad.kallner@wamu.net>
Cc: Champney, Steven D. <steven.champney@wamu.net>; Berens, John <john.berens@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Elias, Alan <alan.elias@wamu.net>
Subject: Research Needed: Congresswoman Richardson/Foreclosure

Brad -
Please see the article embedded below for more detail, but long story short, Congresswoman Laura Richardson appears to have defaulted on her WaMu loan (second home) and on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that her property would be sold at auction.

Congresswoman Richardson initially declined to comment, however, Jan Owen has learned from the Congresswoman that she plans to communicate to The Washington Post that she has established a repayment plan with WaMu.

Would you please look into Congresswoman Richardson’s situation as soon as possible so that we understand the facts? Her loan number is [redacted].

Many thanks,

Sara

Sara Gaugl
Home Finance Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC101 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 1 866.936.9212 cell
sara.gaugl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Owen, Jan L. 
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:36 AM 
To: Riley, Olivia; Gaugl, Sara C.; Gaspar, Scott; Watson, Alison 
Subject: FW: Capitol Weekly – “Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis”

Here we go. I am am in meeting and will call in a minute.

--------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Kevin (Could [redacted]) 
To: USIR Meeting Group 1 800.936.9212 cell 
Sent: Wed May 21 08 01 35 2008 
Subject: Capitol Weekly – “Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis”

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)
As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento’s Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $535,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson’s Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson’s decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento’s crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new Capitol home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Jane Harman of Los Angeles succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson’s district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny O’Reilly-Delzio of Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson’s house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 — money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson’s opponent, O’Reilly-Delzio, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. O’Reilly-Delzio’s Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor’s office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house — $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee’s sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson’s loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 — more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $155,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $476,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, nobody kept the house maintained, and neighbors began to get angry.

“The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her,” said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. “She didn’t mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood.”

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to the house that clearly she never really wanted to leave. “It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves,” she said. “She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that.”
While Richardson walked away from her loan, she bested Ouepeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest piece of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 3:31:02 PM
To: Bohneider, David C.; Berens, John; Champney, Steven D.
CC: Thorn, Ann; Owen, Jan L.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Richardson Coverage: Calculated Risk Blog

All,

As expected, coverage on Congresswoman Richardson's financial situation has continued to evolve after it was reported on Friday that she has defaulted on other loans -- including her primary residence. I'm forwarding the blog posting embedded below in particular, as it reflects the current viewpoint among other journalists and links to key articles/postings published to date.

- Sara

UPDATED: A Congressional Speculator? -- Calculated Risk
by Tania
http://calculatedrisk.blogspot.com/2008/05/congressional-speculator.html

This is an update to post below on Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosure woes.

Gene Maddaus of the Daily Breeze kindly forwarded today's additions to the saga. There are not two, but three homes owned by Richardson in foreclosure. And yes, she appears to have cashed out her primary residence back in 2006 to fund her campaign for State Assembly. So it looks like a pattern.

* * * * *

I have been watching the story of Representative Laura Richardson and her foreclosure woes for a while now, while heretofore hesitating to post on it. For one thing, the original story--a member of Congress losing her expensive second home to foreclosure--hads that kind of celebrity car-crash quality to it that I'm not especially interested in for the purposes of this blog. For another thing, posting about anything even tangentially related to politics invites the kind of comments that personally bore me to tears.

All that is still true, but the story has taken such an unfortunate turn that I feel obligated to weigh in on it. Specifically, Rep. Richardson is threatening us.

Rather than shy away from voting on mortgage-related bills, Richardson said her experiences could help her craft legislation to make sure others don't experience what she did. For example, she sees a need to add steps to inform property owners before their property can be sold.

"We have to ensure that lenders and lenders have the tools with proper timing to resolve this," she said.

If Rep. Richardson is going to base legislative proposals on her own experience, then it matters to the rest of us what that experience was. So click the link below if you can stand to hear about it.

* * * * * * *

The story was originally reported in the Sacramento Capitol Weekly, and picked up by the Wall Street Journal, and thence covered by a number of blogs, with the storyline being that Rep. Richardson "walked away" from her home, a second home she purchased in Sacramento after being elected to the State Assembly. The "walk away" part came from a remark made by the real estate investor who purchased the home at the foreclosure auction, not Rep. Richardson or anyone who could be expected to understand her financial situation, but that didn't stop the phrase "walk away" from headlining blog posts.

Rep. Richardson has variously claimed at different times that the house was not in foreclosure, that she had worked out a modification with the lender, and that the lender improperly foreclosed after having agreed to accept her payments.

Frankly, unless and until Rep. Richardson gives her lender, Washington Mutual, permission to tell its side of the story--I'm not holding my breath on that--we're unlikely to be able to sort out this mess of claims to my satisfaction, at least.

It's possible that WaMu screwed this up--that it accepted payments on a workout plan with the understanding that...
foreclosure was "on hold" and then sold the property at auction the next week anyway. It's possible that Richardson's version of what went on is muddled, too. Without some more hard information I'm not inclined to assume the servicer did most of the screwing up, if for no other reason that we didn't find out until late yesterday, courtesy of the L.A. Land and Foreclosure Truth blogs, that Richardson's other home--her primary residence--was also in foreclosure proceedings as recently as March of this year, a detail that as far as I can tell Richardson never disclosed in all the previous discussion of the facts surrounding the foreclosure of her second home.

What part of this I am most interested in, right now, is the question of what in the hell exactly Richardson was thinking when she bought the Sacramento home in the first place. Since the story is quite complex, let's get straight on a few details. Richardson was a Long Beach City Council member who was elected to the state legislature in November of 2006. In January of 2007 she purchased a second home in Sacramento, presumably to live in during the Assembly session. In April 2007, the U.S. Congressional Representative from Richardson's district died, and Richardson entered an expensive race for that seat, winning in a special election in August of 2007. By December 2007 the Sacramento home was in default, and it was foreclosed in early May of 2008. The consensus in the published reports seems to be that Richardson spent what money she had on her campaign, not her bills.

According to the AP:

Richardson, 46, makes nearly $170,000 as a member of Congress and was paid $113,000 during the eight months she served in the state Assembly in 2007 before her election to Congress. She also received a per diem total of $20,000 from California, according to a financial disclosure form she filed with the House of Representatives clerk.

It seems to me that all this focus on what happened after she bought the Sacramento home--running for the suddenly-available Congressional seat, earning less, etc.--is obscuring the issue of the original transaction.

In November of 2006, Richardson already owned a home in Long Beach. As a newly elected state representative, she would have been required to maintain her principal residence in her district, but she would also have had to make some arrangements for staying in Sacramento during Assembly sessions, given the length of the commute from L.A. County to the state capitol. She seems to have told the AP reporter that "Lawmakers are required to maintain two residences while other people don't have to," which is not exactly the way I'd have put it. Lawmakers are required to maintain one primary residence (which need not be owned) in their district. They are not required to buy a home at the capitol (of California or the U.S.); many legislators do rent. Richardson is a single woman with no children, yet she felt "required" to purchase a 3-bedroom, 1 1/2 bathroom home in what sounds like one of Sacramento's pricier neighborhoods for $555,500, with no down payment and with $15,000 in closing cost contributions from the property seller. (The NAR median price in Sacramento in the first quarter of 2007 was $365,300.)

I have no idea what loan terms Richardson got for a 100% LTV second home purchase in January 2007, but I'm going to guess that if she got something like a 7.625% interest only loan (without additional mortgage insurance), she got a pretty damn good deal. If she got that good a deal, her monthly interest payment would have been $3123.75. Assuming taxes and insurance of 1.5% of the property value, her total payment would have been $3793.13.

The AP reports that Richardson's salary as a state representative was $113,000 in 2007, and she received $20,000 in per diem payments (which are, of course, intended to offset the additional expense of traveling to and staying in the Capitol during sessions). I assume the per diem is non-taxable, so I'll gross it up to $25,000. That gives me an annual income of $138,000 or a gross monthly income of $11,500.

The total payment on the second home, then, with my sunny assumptions about loan terms, comes to 33% of Richardson's gross income. I have no idea what the payment is for her principal residence in Long Beach. I have no idea what other debt she might have. I am ignoring her congressional race and job changes and all that because at the point she took out this mortgage, that was all in the future and Richardson didn't know that the incumbent would die suddenly and all that.

I'm just trying to figure out what went through this woman's mind when she decided it was a wise financial move to spend one-third of her pre-tax income on a second home. (There's no point trying to figure out what went through the lender's mind at the time. There just isn't.)

Now, Richardson has this to say about herself:

"I'm Laura Richardson. I'm an American. I'm a single woman who had four employment changes in less than four months," Richardson told the AP. "I had to figure out just like every other American how I could restructure the obligations that I had with the income I had."

Yeah, well, I'm Tanta, I'm an American, I'm a single woman, and I say you're full of it. You need to show us what your
plan for affording this home was before the job changes, girlfriend. You might also tell me why you felt you needed such an expensive second home when you had no money to put down on it or even to pay your own closing costs. As it happens, the Mercury News/AP reported that in June of 2007—five months after purchase—you had a lien filed for unpaid utility bills. You didn’t budget for the lights?

But what are we going to get? We’re going to get Richardson all fired up in Congress about tinkering with foreclosure notice timing, which is last I know a question of state, not federal, law, and which has as far as I can see squat to do with why this loan failed.

Quite honestly, if WaMu did give Richardson some loan modification deal, it’s hard to know what went through the Loan Mit Department’s collective and individual minds when they signed off on that. Sure, Richardson’s salary went up to $170,000 when she became a member of the U.S. Congress, but what does she need a home in Sacramento for after that? Where’s she going to live in Washington, D.C.? And, well, her principal residence was also in the process of foreclosure at the same time. I suppose I might have offered a short sale or deed-in-lieu here, but a modification? Why would anybody do that? Because she’s a Congresswoman?

I’m quite sure Richardson wants to be treated like just a plain old American and not get special treatment. Well, I was kind of hard on a plain old American the other day who wrote a “hardship letter” that didn’t pass muster with me. I feel obligated to tell Richardson that she sounds like a real estate speculator who bought a home she obviously couldn’t afford, defaulted on it, and now wants WaMu to basically subsidize her Congressional campaign by lowering her mortgage payment or forgiving debt. And that’s . . . disgusting. At the risk of sounding like Angela.

I know some of you are thinking that maybe poor Ms. Richardson got taken advantage of by some fast-talking REALTOR who encouraged her to buy more house than she could afford.

According to Pete Viles at L.A. Land. She likes the REALTORS. And they like her. She filed financial disclosure forms with the House Ethics Committee reporting the National Association of Realtors gave her to Las Vegas in November to help swear in the new president of the association, Realtor Sheryl Gaylord of Long Beach.

In suggested remarks* at the NAR gathering, also filed with the House, Richardson’s script read: “I might be one of the newest members of Congress but I’m not a new member of the REALTOR Party. When I needed help to win a tough primary, REALTORS stood up and backed me even though I was the underdog.”

—Real estate industry professionals have given her $39,500 in campaign contributions in the current election cycle, according to Open Secrets.

No wonder she’s blaming the lender.

Sara Gaugi
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1201 Second Avenue | Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2827 direct | 206.223.1111 fax
sara.gaugi@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by return email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Schneider, David C.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 11:51 PM
To: Gaulg, Sara C. <sara.gaulg@wamu.net>
Subject: Re: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

Story doesn't seem to be too bad.

Are there ripples with this one? As

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaulg, Sara C.  
To: Schneider, David C.  
Cc: Hittinger, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don  
Sent: Wed, May 21, 2008 20:29:07  
Subject: RE: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

David,  
As a follow-up to Paul's message, Capitol Weekly broke this story today (full-text article embedded below for your reference). This news item has been reported by a LA Times blogger, and we know that the AP and Washington Post have picked up on the story as well. Additional coverage is expected tomorrow.

- Sara

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $355,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elected to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento house, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Janice Millender-McDonald announced to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Army Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 – money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still
shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment on this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house -- $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to fall.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000 -- $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom home in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $475,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the home lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. "She didn't mow the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park house to Richardson because Sharon's creditors required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. "The Helmars wouldn't give Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly, You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she beat Onyeze in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest piece of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $27 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $87,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin paying herself back for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk's office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson's property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $387,384.

Sara Grisell
Home Loans Public Relations

WeMu
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2008 8:16 PM
To: Schneider, David C.
Cc: Beaus, John; Champney, Steven D.; Cook, Dan; Ovenc, Jan L.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Elias, Alan
Subject: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

David,

REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual Bank
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
Thank You.
My apologies - here is the AP article.

**Calif. congresswoman's home threatened with repo**

By DON THOMPSON

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — Rep. Laura Richardson has an unusual perspective on the housing foreclosure bills moving through Congress: One of her own homes was threatened with repossession after she failed to pay the mortgage.

Richardson, a Southern California Democrat, bought a two-story home in a leafy, upper-middle-class neighborhood of Sacramento in January 2007, just months after winning a seat in the state Assembly.

She bought the three-bedroom, 1 1/2-bath home in the state capital for $535,500. The bill collectors started knocking soon after, according to records reviewed Wednesday by The Associated Press.

The city utility department placed a lien on her property in June 2007 for $154 in unpaid bills, according to documents at the Sacramento County recorder's office. In December, she received a default notice on the mortgage from the collection agency of Washington Mutual Inc., her lender. At that point, she owed $18,356.

At the time, she had left the Legislature after a quick rise from the Long Beach City Council and moved to Washington after winning a special election to fill a vacant congressional seat. Richardson on Wednesday blamed the frequent job-shifting for financial problems related to the Sacramento property.

A default notice in March this year put the "unpaid balance and other expenses" at $578,384 and said her 1,639-square-foot house would be auctioned at a trustee sale.

County records show the property was sold to a company called Red Rock Mortgage Inc. of Sacramento for $388,000 — although the county assessor's office continues to list Richardson as the owner. No listing could be found for Red Rock.

That sale was officially recorded Monday, according to the records. But Richards said the home was not in foreclosure and had not been seized.

"I have worked with my lender to complete a loan modification and have renegotiated the terms of the agreement with no special provisions," Richardson said in a statement Wednesday. "I fully intend to fulfill all financial obligations of this property."

Richardson's chief of staff, Kimberly Parker, told the AP that the mortgage on the home had been sold but that the house had not. The collection agency referred inquiries to Washington Mutual, which did not return a call.

A real estate agent's lock box hung Wednesday from the front door of the 1926-vintage house.

Records at a Sacramento County tax office also show Richardson is delinquent in paying $8,950 in property taxes.

Richardson moved from the Long Beach City Council to her Assembly seat in 2006, and the next year won a special election to represent a heavily Democratic congressional district that includes Long Beach.

Congressional records show Richardson did not cast votes May 8 on three bills related to the Foreclosure Prevention Act. In her statement, she said she was away from Washington because of her father's funeral.
"I understand that these homeownership issues are a reflection of what many Americans are going through as they fight to keep their homes and to remain financially stable," Richardson said in her statement.

From: Gaujl, Sara C.
Sent: Wed 05/21/2008 10:47 PM
To: Schneider, David C.

Subject: RE: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

David,
So far, WaMu is not a significant focus of this news cycle and we haven't been positioned in a negative light.

For your reference, embedded below is the AP article we were expecting. WaMu is only briefly mentioned as the lender consistent with earlier coverage. I placed a call to AP's newsroom but didn't receive a call back before they went to print. However, given that we don't yet have Congresswoman Richardson's consent to discuss her loan with the media (we've asked for it, though), we are limited to confirming information available in the public record - which the media has already uncovered.

Because the AP is a national wire service, expect this article to trigger additional media inquiries and news coverage tomorrow. I'll keep you informed of any new developments, including any statements we provide to the media.

Please feel free to call at any time if you'd like to further discuss. 206-228-2251.

- Sara

From: Schneider, David C.
Sent: Wed 05/21/2008 8:51 PM
To: Gaujl, Sara C.

Subject: RE: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

Story doesn't seem to be too bad.

Are there ripples with this one? 

ds

----- Original Message ----- 

From: Gaujl, Sara C.
To: Schneider, David C.
Cc: Bartaglini, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don
Sent: Wed May 21 20 29 17 2008

David,
As a follow up to Paul's message, Capitol Weekly broke this story today (full text article embedded below for your reference). This "news" has since been reported by a LA Times blogger, and we know that the AP and Washington Post have picked up on the story as well. Additional coverage is expected tomorrow.

- Sara

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $335,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the home.
What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.

The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson’s Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson’s decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento’s crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Janice Hahn (D-Los Angeles) succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson’s district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jerry O’Reilly (D-Long Beach).

While her campaign heated up, Richardson’s house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she funneled her Congressional campaign $60,000, money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics.

Richardson’s opponent, Otter, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Otter’s Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment for this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor’s office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house – $535,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the house, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson’s loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000, or $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson’s primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $153,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $476,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson’s new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, upkeep on the house lapsed, and neighbors began to get angry.

“The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her,” said Sharon Helmar, who sold the home to Richardson. “She didn’t move the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood.”

Helmar and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park home to Richardson because Sharon’s arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area’s real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmars, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helmar said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmars would up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. “It’s kind of silly. You would think people who are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves,” she said. “She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don’t do that.”

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she faced Otter in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.
On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Barney Frank, D-Mass., which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.

Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $877,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $18,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the courts that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $877,384.

Sara Guagl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue 1 WMC401 Seattle WA 98101
206.500.2822 direct 206.222.4771 call
sara.guagl@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2008 1:48 AM
To: Schneider, David C. <david.schneider@wamu.com>
Subject: RE: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

David,

So far, WaMu is not a significant focus of the news cycle and we haven't been positioned in a negative light.

For your reference, embedded below is the AP article we were expecting - WaMu is only briefly mentioned as the lender consistent with earlier coverage. I placed a call to AP's newsroom but didn't receive a call back before we went to print. However, given that we don't yet have Congresswoman Richardson's consent to discuss her loan with the media (we've asked for it, though), we are limited to confirming information available in the public record - which the media has already uncovered.

Because the AP is a national wire service, expect this article to trigger additional media inquiries and news coverage tomorrow. I'll keep you informed of any new developments, including any statements we provide to the media.

Please feel free to call at any time if you'd like to further discuss. 206-228[7, 5]

- Sara

--- Original Message ---

From: Schneider, David C.
To: Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: Re: Congresswoman Laura Richardson -- WaMu Foreclosure/Public Relations Item -- Attorney Client Privileged Communication

Story doesn't seem to be too bad.

Are there ripples with this one? 4s

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Gaugl, Sara C.
To: Schneider, David C.
Cc: Baitzpack, Paul J.; Elias, Alan; Cook, Don
Sent: Wed, May 21, 2008 2:37 PM

David,

As a follow-up to Paul’s message, Capitol Weekly broke this story today (full-text article embedded below for your reference). This “news” has since been reported by a LA Times blogger, and we know that the AP and Washington Post have picked up on the story as well. Additional coverage is expected tomorrow.

- Sara

Foreclosure tale shows that nobody is immune from crisis

By Anthony York (published Tuesday, May 20, 2008)

As the real estate market softened in 2007, the new owner of a three-bedroom, 1,600-square-foot house in Sacramento's Curtis Park neighborhood ran into trouble. The house that was purchased for $355,000 in January had lost equity. The owner fell behind in her payments, and eventually, the bank seized the house.

What makes this story different from the thousands like it is that the owner of this house was a member of Congress.
The story of the foreclosure of Long Beach Democrat Laura Richardson's Sacramento home is a tale of a real estate market gone sour. It is also an illustration of how far many candidates will go to seek elected office, even if it means quite literally mortgaging their own financial future.

While being elevated to Congress in a 2007 special election, Richardson apparently stopped making payments on her new Sacramento home, and eventually walked away from it, leaving nearly $600,000 in unpaid loans and fees.

Richardson's decision to let the house slip into foreclosure was set in motion by an unlikely chain of events, only some of which had to do with Sacramento's crumbling real estate market. Richardson was elected to the Assembly in November 2006, and purchased her new capital home two months later. But in April 2007, Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald succumbed to cancer, creating a Congressional vacancy in Richardson's district.

Richardson declared her candidacy for the seat, and soon found herself locked in a hotly contested, and very expensive race for Congress against state Sen. Jenny Oropeza, D-Long Beach.

While her campaign heated up, Richardson's house slipped into default. Richardson fell behind on her mortgage payments as she loaned her Congressional campaign $60,000 – money that has begun to be paid back to Richardson personally from her campaign account, according to records from the Center for Responsive Politics. Richardson's opponent, Oropeza, loaned herself $115,000 for her run against Richardson. Oropeza's Congressional committee still shows nearly $200,000 in debt.

Richardson declined to comment on this story.

But tax records at the Sacramento County assessor's office show that in January 2007, Richardson took out a mortgage for the entire sale price of the house – $335,000. The mortgage amount was equal to the sale price of the home, meaning she was able to buy the house without a down payment, even though the housing market was beginning to turn.

A March 19, 2008 notice of trustee's sale indicates that the unpaid balance of Richardson's loan, which is held by Washington Mutual, is more than $578,000. $40,000 more than the original mortgage.

The Curtis Park house is not Richardson's primary residence. She also owns a four-bedroom house in Long Beach, in her Congressional district. Real estate records show she purchased that house in 1999 for $135,000. An estimate from Zillow.com puts the current value of that house at $474,000.

Like many homes that have gone through foreclosure, Richardson's new residence quickly became an eyesore. With Richardson gone, the 12-plex on the home turned, and neighbors began to get angry.

"The neighbors are extremely unhappy with her," said Sharon Helman, who sold the house to Richardson. "She didn't move the lawn or take out the garbage while she was there. We lived there for a long time, 30 years, and we had to hide our heads whenever we came back to the neighborhood."

Helman and her husband, Mark, sold the Curtis Park house to Richardson because Sharon's arthritis required the couple to move into a one-story house. With the area's real estate market slowing down, the house remained on the market for months, and the Helmans, who lived in the house for more than 30 years, were getting desperate to sell.

Helman said that she has never met Richardson personally, but dealt with Richardson through her realtor. The Helmans wound up giving Richardson $15,000 toward closing costs, she said.

And she is still angry over what happened to a home that clearly she never really wanted to leave. "It's kind of silly. You would think people are making decisions for others would be able to make good decisions for themselves," she said. "She should have known what she could afford and not afford. In this neighborhood, you just don't do that."

While Richardson walked away from her loan, she beat Oropeza in a June special election, and moved on to Congress. As a member of Congress, Richardson has been asked to vote on legislation pertaining to the spike in foreclosures around the country.

On the biggest pieces of legislation having to do with government bailouts for people whose homes have entered foreclosure, Richardson has recused herself. She did not vote on legislation by Rep. Darrell Issa, D-Murrieta, which would direct $2.7 billion in government funds to help an estimated 500,000 homeowners who are at risk of foreclosure.
Richardson also did not vote on a measure by Rep. Maxine Waters, D-Los Angeles, that would give local governments $15 billion to purchase, rehab and resell foreclosed properties.

While Richardson walked away from her bank loan, she has begun to pay herself back for the money she personally invested in her initial race. Records show that Richardson spent $587,000 out of her Congressional campaign committee since declaring her Congressional candidacy through March of this year. Of those expenditures, Richardson has spent $38,000 of that money to begin repaying herself for the money Richardson loaned to her campaign.

According to documents at the Sacramento County Clerk’s office, Richardson first received a default notice in late 2007. By December 2007, less than a year after Richardson purchased the house, she was behind in her payments by more than $18,000.

Three months later, on March 19, a notice was filed with the county that Richardson’s property would be sold at auction. According to the documents, the unpaid balance and other charges Richardson owed the bank was $587,384.

Sara Gaagl
Home Loans Public Relations

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue I WMC 401 Seattle WA 98101
206-500-2822 direct I 206-228-2257 cell
sara.gaagl@wmui.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Laura Richardson <laurarichardson@wamu.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2008 7:27 PM
To: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Cc: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Subject: WaMu Statement

Ann,
Could you forward this letter to Sara? I am not sure I have the correct spelling of her name or her email address.

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave.
Seattle, Washington 98101

Dear Sara Gaugl:

Over the last several weeks, I have read the following quotes from you in various newspapers:

"I'm unable to discuss the specifics of Ms. Richardson's loan situation because she has not provided us with authorization to publicly discuss her loan."

And:

"More broadly, if the loan has gone to foreclosure sale in error, we will work to take appropriate measures to rectify the situation."

It is my understanding that a statement has been released on behalf of Washington Mutual. Despite efforts by those assisting me in this process, no one on my staff has received a copy of the release.

Could you please e-mail a copy of the release regarding the property @ 3622 Curtis Drive, Sacramento, CA., to my e-mail address: laurarichardson@wamu.net at your earliest convenience.

Further, I did sign a consent form to release the document from WaMU that acknowledged terms and that no further action would occur prior to June 6th. I hereby consent again the release of that document and WaMu explanations as to why a rescission is being sought and allowable in this case. Please forward a copy of that explanation to me as well at laurarichardson@wamu.net.

Sincerely,

Laura Richardson

Cc: Ann Thorn
Hi Jason, I found the articles that you wanted scanned on sfgate.com and PDF’d them from there.

Gamae M. Thornton
Legal Assistant
Legal Department
Legal Division Admin - WM
Washington Mutual Bank
201 Mission Street, 12th Floor
Mail Stop: CSSF112
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.278.4713 direct, 415.278.6012 fax
gamae.thornton@wamub.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Thank you.
Defaults seem unlikely to sink Rep. Richardson

Laura E. Davis, Associated Press
Sunday, August 24, 2008

(08-24) 04:00 PDT Long Beach --

Like many voters in this port city and the gritty urban areas of Rep. Laura Richardson's political turf, Johnetta Walker is disillusioned with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failures.

Richardson's ambitious one-year ascent from City Council to state Assembly to Congress gained national attention in May when one of her houses was sold in foreclosure, followed by news of several loan defaults.

"How can you be a leader of anything if you can't be a leader of your house?" asks Walker, a bus driver who lives in Compton (Los Angeles County), a working-class city in Richardson's district.

Walker says she won't vote for Richardson in November, but her effort will most likely be in vain. A disorganized field of last-minute challengers and no recall option means there's little angered constituents can do to unseat the financially troubled Democrat.

After news came out about the foreclosure and sale of Richardson's Sacramento home, which she bought in January 2007 after winning a seat in the state Assembly, a pattern of years of financial irresponsibility emerged.

A few days before the June primary, the Long Beach Press-Telegram reported that Richardson, who won her House seat last year in a special election, had been issued multiple defaults on two Southern California homes. Five of those occurred in the previous 13 months, when Richardson was using $177,500 of her own money to finance her political career.

Despite a challenger's news conference highlighting her problems, the lawmaker coasted through the primary with nearly three-fourths of the vote, setting her up to run unopposed in November.

Democratic voter registration in her 37th Congressional District - which includes parts of working-class South Central Los Angeles, Carson (Los Angeles County), Compton and most of Long Beach - outnumber Republcan registration 59.3 percent to 17.5 percent, and Republicans didn't bother to field a candidate this year.
Yet her decisive primary victory and apparent clear sailing in November failed to end criticism.

**Unpaid bills**

The local paper reported that she didn’t pay car repair bills for years, failed to disclose certain financial details - including a loan from a strip club owner - and leased the priciest car in the House at taxpayers’ expense. She also once had a real estate license, suggesting she should have known how to better handle her properties.

A Washington watchdog group called for a House Ethics Committee investigation into Richardson’s personal finances, citing her history of defaulting on home loans and suspicions of special treatment when her bank rescinded the sale of her foreclosed Sacramento home. She and the bank denied the allegation after the property was returned to her, but it has fallen into disrepair and was recently declared a public nuisance.

As Richardson’s problems surfaced this summer, so did three challengers: two write-ins, Lee Davis and Peter Mathews, both of whom lost to Richardson in the primary, and independent Nicholas Dibs, a schoolteacher and political novice.

The three candidates say they were encouraged to run by people unhappy with Richardson and point to voter discontent as signs that their challenges could be successful.

"I walk the streets, and people say, 'Please run as a write-in. We will vote for you. We don’t want her.’” said Davis, publisher of the community paper the Wrigley Bulletin and News.

**An everywoman?**

Richardson - who voted for a mortgage debt forgiveness bill that later passed - has portrayed her foreclosure as an example of how even a member of Congress can suffer a plight plaguing tens of thousands of hapless Americans.

But that explanation hasn’t impressed many of her constituents, who have been quick to share their opinions publicly or post them on Web sites.

"If she can't take care of herself, then how is she going to take care of other people (while serving) in Congress?’” said Juliana Flores as she left her job at a Target store in Carson.

Some voters say they will do more research on Richardson to determine whether she can separate her own problems from her political duties.

"You always want to make sure someone is capable of handling their personal issues and the issues of the public at large,” said Ed Smith, a manager of a fast food restaurant. "I don’t vote for someone just because they’re the only one running for a particular position.”
Write-in candidate Mathews, a college professor who has made several bids for Congress, pointed to a July poll in the Press-Telegram, taken before Davis and Dibs had officially become candidates, that had 57 percent of respondents saying he has a chance of beating Richardson.

But Gary Jacobson, a political science professor at UC San Diego, said independent and write-in candidates rarely win seats in Congress because they usually can't match the money, name recognition and organization of incumbents or candidates backed by a party.

Splitting the opposition

He added that Richardson's three challengers may actually be working against each other.

"It makes it virtually impossible to beat her because it will divide the opposition," he said.

Richardson refused to be interviewed for this story, but campaign spokesman William Marshall Jr. issued a statement. While ignoring her reported indiscretions, the statement said Richardson has consistently gained voter support because of her legislative record and noted some of her achievements in less than a year in Congress.

"She will diligently repeat her resounding (primary) victory of over 74 percent," the statement concluded.

Richardson has received the backing of some of her powerful Democratic colleagues, including House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and popular local lawmaker Rep. Maxine Waters, who represents an adjacent district. In addition, House Majority Leader Steny Hoyer, D-Md., held a Capitol Hill fundraiser for Richardson in June.

If Richardson is re-elected, recall will not be possible, either, because the Constitution does not allow it for members of Congress.

That leaves the 2010 Democratic primary as the next chance for voters to remove Richardson from her seat.

"There's only a remote danger in the general election this year, but a very serious danger in the 2010 primary," said Jack Pitney, a government professor at Claremont McKenna College.

"Ambitious politicians in that area are going to be carefully weighing a primary against her."

http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/08/24/BAIS12H06Q.DTL

This article appeared on page B - 6 of the San Francisco Chronicle
Appraisers still getting pressure on prices
Kathleen Hartney
Sunday, August 24, 2008

(08-24) 04:00 PDT Washington -- Have the real estate valuation shenanigans and inflated home appraisals that characterized the boom years disappeared from the marketplace?

Are mortgage loan officers and realty agents - even individual home sellers - continuing to influence or attempting to interfere with appraisals despite new federal rules that ban such behavior?

Ask appraisers, and many will tell you it's still business as usual. Attempts at encouraging inflated appraisals continue to be commonplace, though in some cases the techniques have become subtler.

"Absolutely, appraisers continue to get pressured" to hit the numbers needed to push transactions to closing, said Bill Garber, government affairs director for the Appraisal Institute, the country's largest professional organization representing appraisers.

"That has not changed yet," added Garber, even though recently signed federal housing legislation toughened appraisal standards and the Federal Reserve's new truth-in-lending rules ban interference, bribes or intimidation designed to influence appraisers' valuations. The most recent national poll of appraisers, conducted by October Research Corp. two years ago, found that 90 percent of those interviewed reported some form of interference or intimidation by retail loan officers, brokers and third-party appraisal management firms, among others.

Gary Crabtree, principal of Affiliated Appraisers in Bakersfield, said "it hasn't gone away," and there are even some developments on the horizon that could make things worse. Starting Oct. 1, a federal foreclosure-relief refinancing program gets under way that will require lenders to write down the value of distressed houses to 90 percent of current market value to enable borrowers to be refinanced.

Some appraisers "could find themselves under pressure to inflate values" on those properties out lenders' losses, said Crabtree, even though the federal legislation authorizing the refi program specifically prohibits interference.

Sara Schwarzentraub, president of Inter-State Appraisal Service of La Mesa (San Diego County), recalled how one client complained that, "If you didn't know you could hit what was needed, you shouldn't have taken the assignment." The number needed by the caller - a mortgage company employee - was $50,000 to $60,000 higher than comparable values in the area could support, according to Schwarzentraub.

In Owings, Md., Michael Tsourounis, president of Calvert Appraisal and Realty Services, recounted
a recent experience when he visited a mortgage company in his area. Tsourounis inquired about the possibility of doing appraisal work for the lender.

"The office manager asked me directly: 'If I sent you out to appraise a million-dollar home and the comps (comparable values) only came in at $800,000 ... but in your heart you knew it was worth a million dollars, what would you bring it in at?'"

Tsourounis said he told the manager that "the market is full of million-dollar houses selling for $750,000. Why should I be responsible for adding one more foreclosed property to the already growing list?"

"Not surprisingly," he said, "he's never heard back from the lender, never received an appraisal assignment. 'Was that a form of interference? You bet it was,' said Tsourounis. 'It was just a little subtler, a little less direct, than it used to be.'"

The obvious intent here, according to Frank Gregoire, immediate past chairman of the Florida Real Estate Appraisal Board and an appraiser in the St. Petersburg-Tampa area, "is really to find out: Will this guy play ball? Will he be cooperative when we need him?" Gregoire said appraisers still routinely receive probing e-mails and phone calls from lenders and brokers designed to elicit the same information: Will an appraiser "pre-comp" a property with a sales contract pending at a specific price? Can a specific valuation number be used for a refinancing which may be far out of line with current property values - be reliably reached by the appraiser?

Part of the reason in today's market environment, he said, "is that things are tough, sales volumes are down, and some lenders know that they'll eventually find someone who will cooperate," often a newcomer who badly needs an assignment. "It's not easy for some of them to say no, especially when they see business go to folks who everybody knows are playing the game."

Only when federal and state governments severely punish unethical appraisers and the people who pressure them "will all this start to get under control," Gregoire said. But he sees some signs for optimism: State regulators in Florida and elsewhere are cracking down increasingly on appraisers, even stripping away their licenses. And the new federal legislation authorizes financial penalties to be imposed by the secretary of housing when appraisers are found to have caved to pressure and cooked the books to inflate values.

E-mail Kennneth Harney at kenharney@earthlink.net.

http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/08/24/RE9512D5H3.DTL

This article appeared on page B - 3 of the San Francisco Chronicle

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2008/08/24/RE9512D5H3.DTL&type=... 08/28/2008

JPMC - 003318
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004811
Subject: Call re Laura Richardson F/C Rescission
Start: 6/13/2006 7:00 PM
End: 6/13/2006 7:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)

Number: 866-736
Participant Code:  
From:    Thr, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent:    Friday, February 13, 2009 10:03 AM
To:      Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
Subject: RE:  -- Richardson

Can we robot this again due to the escrow increase. Her payment going up almost $500 per month.

Ann Thr
National Asset Recovery Manager
Wamu, Home Loan Servicing
Office 313-494-6290
Note:  For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.    
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2009 6:47 PM
To: Thr, Ann
Subject: Pit  ---- Richardson

Ann, do you need anything from me on this?

thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Asset Recovery Manager
Wamu Home Loan Servicing

Wamu, Home Loan Servicing
313-494-6290
Note:  For Internal Use Only

---

From: 1462, Ron L.  
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 9:02 AM
To: Thr, Ann
CC: Leisher, Cheryl L.; Mathis, Julie A.; Rogers, Savannah L.; Adams-McElvene, Dee M.
Subject: Pit  ---- Richardson

Ann,
The $508.11 was computed at the time the taxes were disbursed; whether the tax office did not know if two bills would be combined by the 09/00 billing or the tax research associate did not ask, either way the amounts should have been combined on the line to prevent a deeper shortage.

Wamu does not pay CA supplemental bills on cycle, these are bills that are sent to the homeowner and unless the homeowner calls with a request to pay them, Wamu is not aware they exist, unless they are reported as delinquent. In this case due to the fact that the account was non escrow, would have been reported delinquent along with the full year 07/08 secured bill. At this point unless the homeowner makes improvements to her property, she should no longer have a supplemental bill.

The increase from $508.11 to $2,930.67 for the taxes is due to the secured tax bill merging with the supplemental bill. Please see below:  

---

JPMC - 003320
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004813
Thanked

Dona Adams-McLennan
LG Saffron Manager II
Enterprise Analysis & Risk Management

Wealth, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

342 073 4017 Saffronco
342 073 3142 Fax

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:30 PM
To: Harms, Julie; Rogers, Savannah L.; Adams-McLennan, Dona M.; Tisdale, Kevin L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

There are a few people working on this and I got an old email that was going around about the severances for Richardson.

Would appear from the figures below that the modification INCLUDED the $19,119 in cores, but another email from Dona said the tax line said $538 at the time of the modification.

I am no tax expert, but something is not making sense. I know we were to have included all delinquent taxes in the modification.

Have we found out anything further? Kevin, I saw that you had forwarded to someone for research.

Add Thom, PV
Northwest Community Manager
Wealth, now part of JPMorgan Chase
Office: (425) 462-9429
Cell: (425) 462-9429

For internal use only

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:12 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Subject: [REDACTED]

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association
1301 2nd Avenue, PMC 2501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-568-4201
Fax: 206-568-3984

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or otherwise confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Lyman, David W.
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 10:38 AM
To: Thom, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.; Coler, Charles; Edge, Kathy; Jula, Dale; Baill, Pamela S.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: [REDACTED]

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 10:31 AM

JPMC - 003322
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOGRICH.004815
Tea Lyman, Daryl W.; Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cec Coker, Darlene, Mattie, Julie A.; Seal, Pamela S.

**Subjects: RE: __________ Richardson**

---

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
Office: 206.306.4266
Cell: __________

For Internal Use Only

---

From: Lyman, Daryl W.
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 1:24 PM
To: Thom, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cec Coker, Darlene, Mattie, Julie A.; Seal, Pamela S.

**Subjects: RE: __________ Richardson**

---

Daryl W. Lyman
First Vice President & Senior Counsel

Washington Mutual
Legal Department
475 Alaskan Way, VOM 203
Seattle, WA 98101

206.306.4266 phone | 206.377.2394 fax
daryl.lyman@wamu.net

This message contains confidential or privileged information. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attached documents and use only if disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 9:44 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Lyman, Daryl W.
Cec Coker, Darlene, Mattie, Julie A.; Seal, Pamela S.

**Subjects: RE: __________ Richardson**

---

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
Office: 206.306.4266
Cell: __________

For Internal Use Only

---

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 8:42 AM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.
Cec Coker, Darlene, Mattie, Julie A.; Seal, Pamela S.

**Subjects: RE: __________ Richardson**

---

Current GML $833,653.31
Cash Balance $0.00
Payoff Balance $20,001.00
Delinquency Issuance 07/07/08 6/30/08 $50,856.13
Insurance (Paid) $151,164.11
Foreclosure Fees: $3,036.78

JPMC - 003323
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004816
Thanks for your help.

Savannah, let's get our part done tomorrow and get copies of new documents out and communicated to Richardson.

Done

Michelle M. Thomas
Pendexter Title Associates, Inc.
1-800-333-3800 ext. 1725
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

Remove the stop and pay the taxes

In regards to your request, we have reached out to several people to have the disbursement stop 1 removed and have been unsuccessful. If you give me permission to remove the stop, we will be able to disburse funds.

Thanks

Robert Pound
Washington Mutual
LS Section Manager I
843-670-4907
Michelle, can you please get this changed today as this is a highly enshrined matter.

Thank you.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Managing Director
National Asset Recovery Manager

Michelle, please request the distribution list be removed as we can get this file out immediately. Ann Thorn is requesting we escalate this issue... in case you are not getting a response.

Please let us know what has been taken out of our codes if this was processed.

Thank you,

Savannah Wagner
Hedongen Mortgage Corporation
Washington Mutual
1111 Third Avenue, 42nd floor
Seattle, WA 98101

This message contains material that is privileged or otherwise confidential in nature. If you have received this message, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of this message and any other documents derived from analyzing this content.

From: Thomas, Michele W.
Date: Monday, June 16, 2008 12:51 PM
To: Savannah Wagner
Subject: 100-123456789

Savannah

There is now a status report on their delinquency issues. I am attempting to contact the appropriate persons to have this set removed.

Also, California tax offices are adding delinquency status to their tax roll. There is to verify with them the time amount to be paid for the month of July after it becomes available.

Michele M. Thomas
Head, Investor Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-352-3553 ext. 1972
email: mthomas@chomscorp.com
<< QLE Object: Picture (Multifly)>>

Promi Bujara, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:38 AM
To: Thomas, Michele W.
CC: Thorn, Ann; Baker, Paul J.; Seib, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.

Hi Michele,

Can you show us an idea on how long it will take for the escrow to appear? Can this be done today or will it take until Monday to see the changes in the system?

Thank you very much.
Subject: [Confidential]

On the attached document, I believe the following points are important:

1. [Provide specific details or questions about the document]
2. [Another point or clarification]

Please review the document and let me know your thoughts.

Best regards,
[Your Name]

---

Subject: [Confidential]

[Attach any relevant files]

[Include any additional notes or details]

---

From: [Your Name]
To: [Recipient Name]
Subject: [Confidential]

[Continue discussing specific points or issues]

Best regards,
[Your Name]
Dear [Recipient],

Attached are the documents for the Modification terms. We will notify the mortgagee to verify the terms and have the documents sent.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Housing Counseling Specialist
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 888-350-1977
(Phone) 404-462-2150

The information contained herein is privileged or otherwise confidential in nature. If you have received this email, please notify the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any such copies without copying or distributing the contents.

---

From: [Recipient]

To: Savannah Rogers

Subject: Hi Michelle

Hi Michelle,

Please see below, the foreclosure step 7 has been removed. Please disburse the tax payment so we can proceed with the Mod.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation Specialist
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 888-350-1977
(Phone) 404-462-2150

The information contained herein is privileged or otherwise confidential in nature. If you have received this email, please notify the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any such copies without copying or distributing the contents.

---

From: [Recipient]

To: Savannah Rogers

Subject: [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Please note my phone number has changed to 994-462-2150

Ann Thom, PRP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150
For Internal Use Only

From: Theisa, Elizabeth A.
To: Buring, Andrew
Cc: Buring, Andrew
Subject: FF

Dear Andrew,

Can you change back to presale step now

----------please note my phone number has changed to 894-462-2100

Ann, Thr, FVP

Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
894-462-2100

For Internal Use Only

Ann, do you know how we can have the FC step 7 removed?

----------

From: Rogers, Serena L.
To: Rogers, Serena L.
Cc: Rogers, Serena L.
Subject: FF

I sent an email to Christy 6/9/08 but didn’t hear anything back. Do you know how when the FC step 7 will be removed? That is preventing us from getting the taxes disbursed and escrow set up.

<< Manager File >>

Speechless Program
Interclose/P Broadcast Verification
Washington Mutual
11/1/08
12:00 PM

This memorandum, nor any part thereof, may not be reproduced or otherwise duplicated in whole or in part. If you have received this notice, please advise the sender by reply e-mail or telephone for notification and any unauthorized use is subject to legal actions in remedying the same.

----------

From: Hartley, James E.
To: Rogers, Serena L.
Cc: Rogers, Serena L.
Subject: FF

Are we ok with this one?
Thanks!

Julie

From: Thomas, Michelle H.
Sent: Thursday, June 21, 2001 11:00 AM
To: Amapa, Bernadette L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Orsola S.; Richardson, John A.
CC: Springs, Sonya E.; Evans, Robert A.
Subject: 3940

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disturb tax payment until the foreclosure step 7 has been removed; I will email you the amount for this removal of the foreclosure step.

Michelle H. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-404-368-5650 ext. 7129
email: mthomas@chamblisslaw.com

From: Thomas, Michelle H.
Sent: Thursday, June 21, 2001 9:57 AM
To: Ropers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Orsola S.; Richardson, John A.
CC: Springs, Sonya E.; Evans, Robert A.
Subject: 3940

Michelle H. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-404-368-5650 ext. 7129
email: mthomas@chamblisslaw.com

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2001 8:37 PM
To: Thomas, Michelle H.
Subject: 3940

Michelle,

I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2001 2:23 PM
To: Evans, Robert A.
Subject: 3940

I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.

Robert Evans

From: Evans, Robert A.
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2001 2:08 PM
To: Springs, Sonya E.

JPMC-003329
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.0004822
Louise,
There is already a NDO133 task open and it's assigned to Linda Mansie.

Linda,
Can you go ahead and research this issue? Then e-mail a response to all parties the e-mail below.

Thank you,

Joe

Sent: Monday, February 19, 2001 2:00 AM
To: Joe
Subject: Response to Joe

Sorry,
Please assign this account to someone on the receivables team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christine.

Thanks,

Louise Krbey
LS Section Manager II
Tax Utilization
(614) 673-4747 (phone)
(614) 673-4728 (fax)

Louise,
Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn't appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/00. It appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks

Savannah Rogers
Lending加工厂 Supervisor
Washington Mutual
(425) 873-6807
P, 425-873-5804

JPMC - 003330
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004823
Hi Savannah,

The two checks returned 4/2/08 for delinquent property taxes $2109.81 - $2099.49. This loan went to liens, which has been recorded, and we will be scheduling a lien. Can you send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if these taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sarasota County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Mooreman Mortgage Services
Washington Mutual
Toll Free: 800-540-5978
Direct: 813-893-6074
This transmission may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply and immediately delete the message and any attachment without copying or disclosing the contents.

---

Louise Henry
LS Section Manager III
Tax Mitigation
(321) 673-4737 (phone)
(321) 673-428 (fax)

Hi Louise,

Management is requesting we get this mod up and running. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Wrona) to help me set up the escrow - property taxes are delinquent. There is a memo on the loan from 6/3/08 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the escrow. Now that the escrow is W can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completion so I can let management know?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Mooreman Mortgage Services
Washington Mutual
Toll Free: 800-540-5978
Direct: 813-893-6074
This transmission may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply and immediately delete the message and any attachment without copying or disclosing the contents.

---

Pete, Bound L.
Sent: Wed, June 25, 2008 8:15 AM
To: Key, Brian L.
Subject: Important: Hi

Savannah,

Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/3/07, we cannot dispute tax if the tax code is 'W' with disbursement stop 1.

So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments. Also there is a foreclosure code T conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.

LOUISE HENRY
LS Section Manager III
Tax Mitigation
(321) 673-4737 (phone)
(321) 673-428 (fax)
The issue at hand is the increase on the tax line from $508.41 to $2930.67 per installment. When the modification was completed, the tax line had the $508.41. The modification included all delinquent taxes, so it was funded correctly with no shortage based on the $508.41 anticipated tax installments. When the $2930.67 paid in 11/08, the escrow shortage was created. The shortage includes the difference in the anticipated amount and the actual amount paid; in addition to the difference needed to make the 3/06 installment at $2930.67.

I think we all need to know why the tax line was loaded at $508.41 when the modification was completed; but the actual payment was $2930.67. I saw Tess' email; but that really doesn't explain the difference in the tax amount loaded on the line and the tax amount paid in 11/08. Michelle Thomas has it documented that $508.41 was the correct amount in 7/08. Why the substantial increase? Is the $2930.67 correct? It appears that Michelle loaded the base amount on the lines (per Tess' amount of $1016.62). If the property is fully assessed now or due to the change in ownership issues that occurred on the loan, is that the reason for the increase? The borrower is questioning the increase in the taxes. Was this something we could not have known or prevented at the time of the modification? We just need to provide Ann with information she can give to the borrower to explain why she now has a shortage after her modification.

Thanks!

Dena Adams-McElveen
LG Section Manager II
Escrow Analysis & FHA Insurance
Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

843-673-4057 Business
843-673-3142 Fax

From: Tharp, Ann
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:30 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Rogers, Savannah L.; Adams-McElveen, Dena M.; Todd, Kevin C.
Subject: FW: Richardson

There are a few people working on this and I got an old email that was going around about the escrow/taxes for Richardson.

Would appear from the figures below that the modification INCLUDED the $19,119 in taxes, but another email from Dena said the tax line said $506 at the time of the modification.
I am no tax expert, but something is not making sense. I know we were to have included all delinquent taxes in her modification.

Have we found out anything further. Kevin, I saw that you had forwarded to someone for research.
Ann Thom, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WaMu, now part of JP Morgan Chase
office: 904-482-2150

call:     _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

For Internal Use Only

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:12 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Subject: REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-571-2784

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Lyman, Daryl W.
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 10:36 AM
To: Thom, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: REDACTED

Okay good. I looked at Savannah's numbers and the main adds up, so you're good to go forward and prepare the mod documents based on these numbers. If not already done, I recommend someone make a call to Richardson to inform her that the taxes are included (capitalized) in the MOD and documents are on the way. From her e-mail to Julie and Ann yesterday she is inquiring about the taxes.

-Daryl
Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
office: 904-462-2150
cell: (919) 222-2193

For Internal Use Only

---

From: Lyman, Daryl W.
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 1:24 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: [919] = 0; = Richardson

Ann: Yes. Per my voice mail message, I'm trying to understand the MOD terms as they were communicated to Richardson. Are the terms the same as listed below by Savannah? Or does the recently discovered tax advance create an issue?

Daryl W. Lyman
First Vice President & Senior Counsel
Washington Mutual
Legal Department
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.4260 direct | 206.377.2704 fax
daryl.lyman@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 5:44 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Lyman, Daryl W.
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: [919] = 0; = Richardson

Daryl, not sure if you are covering for Paul in his absence....

---

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
office: 904-462-2150
cell: (919) 222-2193
For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 8:42 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Coker, Danielle; Malina, Julie A.; Bod, Patrick S.  
Subject: RE: - - - - - Richardson

Paul,

These are the new terms that will be communicated to the mortgagor once you approve them so the documents can be sent out.

Current UPB $533,455.31  
Capitalized Amount $73,314.03  
Delinquent Interest 07/07-08/08 $50,856.13  
Escrow (Taxes) $19,118.14  
Foreclosure Fees $3,306.76  
New Modified UPB $506,769.34  
1st payment date 9/1/08 $4,060.73  
New PMI $2,427.82  
New Escrow $1,433.11  
Amortization term 480, Maturity term 342

Step Rate Extending Amortization Term (Gattoon will be due at the Maturity date 2/1/2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step Rate:</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate:</td>
<td>4.241%</td>
<td>4.241%</td>
<td>5.241%</td>
<td>6.241%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term:</td>
<td>30y</td>
<td>30y</td>
<td>30y</td>
<td>30y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&amp;I:</td>
<td>$371,677.67</td>
<td>$371,677.67</td>
<td>$1,069.88</td>
<td>$1,601.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st pymt date:</td>
<td>9/1/08</td>
<td>9/1/08</td>
<td>9/1/08</td>
<td>9/1/11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please let me know if you have any questions on the terms.

Savannah Rogers  
Homeownership Preservation  
Washington Mutual  
Tel Free 866 926 8937  
Direct fax 469 549 5978  
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

---

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 6:12 PM  
To: Thomas, Michelle M.; Pound, Robert A.; Rogers, Savannah L.  
Cc: Coker, Danielle; Malina, Julie A.  
Subject: RE: - - - - - Richardson

Thank you for your help.

Savannah, let's get our part done tomorrow and get copies of new documents out and communicated to Richardson.

JPMC - 003335  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOG.RICH.004828
From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Mon 07/07/2008 03:00 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Pound, Robert A.
Cc: Coker, Darlene
Subject: RE: Richardson

Done

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726
e-mail: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 5:33 PM
To: Pound, Robert A.
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Thomas, Michelle M.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Remove the stop and pay the taxes.

From: Pound, Robert A.
Sent: Mon 07/07/2008 04:16 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Thomas, Michelle M.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Ann,

In regards to your request, we have reached out to several people to have the disbursement stop 1 removed and have been unsuccessful. If you give me permission to remove the stop, we will be able to disburse taxes.

Thanks

Robert Pound
Washington Mutual
LS Section Manager I
843-673-4007

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 3:50 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.; Szel, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.; Pound, Robert A.; Coker, Darlene
Subject: RE: Richardson

Michelle, can you please get this changed today as this is a highly escalated matter. Thank you.
Michelle, 

Please request the disbursement stop be removed so we can get this file out immediately. Ann Thom is requesting we escalate this issue... in case you are not getting a response. 

Please let us know this has been taken care of so Loss Mit can proceed. 

Thank you, 

Savannah Rogers 

Homeownership Preservation 

Washington Mutual 

Toll Free 866 926 8937 

Direct fax 469 549 5976 

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

Savannah 

There is now a disbursement stop preventing me from disbursing these taxes. I am attempting to contact the appropriate person to have that stop removed. Also California tax offices are adding redemption amounts to their tax roll. I have to verify with them the new amount to be paid for the month of July after it becomes available.

Michelle M. Thomas 

Real Estate Tax Associate Sr. 

1-800-355-3860 ext. 4726 

email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net
但从: Rogers, Savannah L.

Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:38 AM

To: Thomas, Michelle M.

Cc: Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.

Subject: RE: Richardson

Hi Michelle,

Can you give us an idea on how long it will take for the escrow to appear? Can this be done today or will it take until Monday to see the changes in the system?

Thank you very much-

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct Fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

从: Thorn, Ann

Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:19 AM

To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.

Cc: Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.

Subject: RE: Richardson

Ok, that is probably going to be a problem...........

What are the new numbers and what changes are being made? Paul, fyi......

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
964-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

从: Rogers, Savannah L.

Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:50 AM

To: Thorn, Ann

Cc: Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.

Subject: RE: Richardson

Yes, you are correct.
Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 416 942 8200

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson
Importance: High

Ok, I need to understand something. We already talked to Richardson and told her the terms of the Modification as I understood. Then we realized taxes/insurance were not paid by Red Rock and now need to pay 2-3 weeks later and get back to her with new figures? Is that the case?

I need to understand this because it is my impression that Richardson thinks she has a new deal. Please clarify.

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 5:50 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Ann,
Once the taxes are disbursed and the escrow is set up, I will work up the Modification terms. We will contact the mortgagee to verify the terms and have the documents sent.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the
sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2008 8:12 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: Re: "Richardson

What is going on with the Mod?

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 964-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
964-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:36 AM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: Re: "Richardson

Hi Michelle,
Please see below, the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. Please disburse the tax payment so we can proceed with the Mod.

Thanks

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8537
Direct fax 469 549 5978
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the
sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:59 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: Re: "Richardson

JPMC - 003340
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004833
**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:37 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Subject: DERichardson

Done.

Thank you,

Beth

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 1:01 PM
To: Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Subject: DERichardson
Importance: High

Can you change back to presale stop code

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 12:01 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Cc: Rogers, Savannah L; Dief, Pamela S.
Subject: DERichardson
Importance: High
Ann, do you know how we can have the FC stop 7 removed?

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 10:57 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Real, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

I sent an email to Chispy 6/26/08 but didn't hear anything back. Do you know how/when the fo stop 7 will be removed? That is preventing us from getting the taxes disbursed and escrow set up.

<< Message: RE: Richardson >>

Thank you,
Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct Fax 419 549 5976
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

---

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 9:20 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Real, Pamela S.
Subject: FW: Richardson

Are we ok with this one?

Thanks!
Julie

---

From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 11:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L., Louis, Christine, Heywood, Orlando S., Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Spivak, George F.; Alpert, Robert A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disburse tax payment until the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. I will monitor the account for the removal of the foreclosure stop.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>
From: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 9:57 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; 'Julie.Mathis@wamu.net'  
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.  
Subject: RE: Richardson 

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net  
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Springs, Sonya E.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:27 PM  
To: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Subject: FW: Richardson 

Michelle,  

I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.  

Thanks,  
Sonya Springs  
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior  
Phone (843) 673-2006  
Fax (843) 673-6728  
name@firma.com  

Sonya.Springs@wamu.net  

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) concerned with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email, and delete the original message."

Please refer to tax notes dated 06-26-08. I will not be able to disburse tax payment for the delinquent taxes until the foreclosure stop = 7 is removed. I will monitor account for the removal of the stop.

From: Pound, Robert A.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:33 PM  
To: Springs, Sonya E.; Morris, Linda  
Cc:  
Subject: Richardson  

I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.  

Robert Pound
Linda,

Can you go ahead and research this loan? Then e-mail a response to the all parties the e-mail below.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs
Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone     (843)673-7076
Fax       (843)673-4726
Mailstop 15G0211
SonyaSpranges@wmb.net

"The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message."

From: Kirby, Louise L.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 12:02 PM  
To: Lopez, Christine, Rogers, Savannah L.  
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.  
Subject: Bank of America Richardson

Sonya,

Please assign this account to someone on the non/sc team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christine.

Thanks.

LOUISE KIRBY  
L.S. Section Manager II  
Tax Mitigation  
(843) 673-4737 (phone)  
(843) 673-4728 (fax)

From: Lopez, Christine  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Louise,

Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn't appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/08. It appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Lopez, Christine
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Christy,

This fsa sale was rescinded...what should I do to get this moving? Do you know if the fc dept should remove their stop?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8987
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Kirby, Louise L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:50 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Savannah,

Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/3/07, we cannot disburse tax if the man code is 'w' with disbursement stop 1.

So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments.

Also there is a foreclosure code 7--conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.

LOUISE KIRBY
LS Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 873-4737 (phone)
(843) 875-4728 (fax)

From: Rogers, Savannah L
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:35 AM
To: Womza, Loretta L
Subject: Fw[Richardson}
Importance: High

Louise,
Management is requesting we get this Mod out asap. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Womza) to help me set up the escrow - property taxes are delinquent. There is a note on the loan from 5/20/08 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the manocode. Now that the manocode is W - can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completion so I can let management know?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Rogers, Savannah L
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 9:29 AM
To: Womza, Loretta L
Cc: Haywood, Orilla S; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: [Richardson]
Importance: High

Hi Womza,
There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes $819.41 + $405.40. This loan went to foreclosed which has been resubmitted and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if those taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
From: Todd, Kevin C. <kevin.todd@wamu.net>
Sent: Monday, February 9, 2009 4:40 PM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Cc: Poshoff, Darlene <darlene.poshoff@wamu.net>; Adams-McElveen, Donna M. <donna.adams-mcelveen@wamu.net>; Rogers, Savannah L. <savannah.rogers@wamu.net>; Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
Subject: FW. URGENT - Richardson

Ann,
Please see Tess's comments below in regards to the delinquent tax payments. If you have any further questions please let us know. Thanks!

Kevin C. Todd
LS Section Mgt II
Tax Mitigation - Relationship Mgt.

WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

2210 Enterprise Dr.
Florence, SC 29501

(843) 673-3957 direct, (843) 673-9958 fax
kevin.todd@wamu.net

NOTE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Palmatter, Tess E.
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:14 PM
To: Todd, Kevin C.
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

Per Brianna at Sacramento County Tax Office WaMu posted paid - 07/06 full year secured tax bill total base $1,016.82, 05/07 full year supplemental bill base $2,098.35 and a 07/06 full year supplemental bill base $4,994.64 – total $8,106.81 with $977.03 total penalty.

There were 2 consecutive installments which per CA gives the mortgage company the right to force escrow.

Any additional questions please feel free to ask.

Tess Palmatter
Servicing - Real Estate Tax Associate - SR
Tax Mitigation - Priority Research
PH#: 843-673-4552
Toll Free: 1-800-555-8860 EXN: 4552
Fax#: 843-673-3008

WaMu - Now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

"A wise man will make more opportunities than he finds."

Francis Bacon

JPMC - 003348
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004841
-----Original Message-----
From: Todd, Kevin C.
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 12:49 PM
To: Palmatier, Tess L.
Cc: Peschoff, Darlene; Thorn, Ann
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson
Importance: High

Tess,
Please research this loan and respond back ASAP with all the tax details. Thanks!

Kevin C. Todd
LS Section Mgr II
Tax Mitigation - Relationship Mgt.
WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
2210 Enterprise Dr.
Florence, SC 29501
(843) 673-3887 direct, (843) 673-3008 fax
kevin.todd@wamu.net

NOTE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 11:58 AM
To: Peschoff, Darlene; Todd, Kevin C.
Subject: FW: URGENT - Richardson
Importance: High

Darlene/Todd-----
This was a Congressman that we did a modification on several months ago. She was a non-encumbered account and we ended up paying her delinquent taxes but I can not remember all the details. Her analysis now is increasing her payment by $5500 and I need some details from taxes on the situation.

Can you please let me know what you can find-----

Thanks.

Ann Thorn, PVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WaMu, now part of JPMorgan Chase
Office: 904-462-2450
Cell: ___-____-____

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Adams McElveen, Donna M.
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 7:51 AM
To: Thorn, Ann; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Levinson, Cheryl M.
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

The tax line was updated to the higher amount after the modification was completed. Neither Escrow Analysis nor Loss Mit had anyway of knowing the increase was going to take place. The modification and the subsequent analysis was based on the amounts the Tax Dept. had looked on the line at the time of the modification.

Dena Adams-McEwen
LO Section Manager II
Escrow Analysis & FHA Insurance
WmPy, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

843-673-2927 Business
843-673-3348 Fax

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 5:25 PM
To: Adams-McEwen, Dena M.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Leavner, Cheryl H.
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

I am still not getting why this was not recognized during the mod process...
We are still telling her she has a $8000 increase

Sent from my Blackberry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Adams-McEwen, Dena M.
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann, Leavner, Cheryl H.
Sent: Fri Feb 06 15:54:27 2009
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

The escrow account is correct. When the modification occurred, the amount on the tax lines were $5988.41. The account was analyzed with this information on 3/22/08 effective 10/08. (All appeared correct. Escrow funding had the over/short at $0.00 which is expected on modifications.) The monthly escrow payment was $64.74. The total payment was $4922.52.

When the taxes were paid in 11/08, the line was updated to the billed amount of $5990.67. (I believe this is an automated process within the Tax Dept.) This disbursement created a large escrow shortage which triggered an off cycle analysis. The analysis was performed on 12/08/08 effective 1/09. The monthly escrow payment increased from $64.74 to $484.45. In addition, there was an escrow shortage of $4444.81 being collected at $570.07 per month. The total payment increased from $4922.52 to $4922.52.

On 2/2/09, I was contacted by ECC and asked to make an exception for the homeowner and accept the old payment amount ($4352.32) for 1/09-2/09 and to run a new analysis with a 36 month escrow shortage spread effective for 3/09. I adjusted the payment on PCH1 to the old amount for 1/09-2/09.

On 2/2/09, I ran the new analysis with the effective date of 3/09 and a 36 month escrow shortage spread. The new payment amount is now $4882.19 beginning 3/09.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thank you,
Dena Adams-McBreen
LS Section Manager II
Escrow Analysis & FHA Insurance
WiMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

843-673-4057 Business
843-673-5142 Fax

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:19 PM
To: Adams-McBreen, Dena M.
Cc: Thoron, Ann
Subject: URGENT - Richardson
Importance: High

Dena,

Can you please help us with the issue below on this loan? We just modified the loan and it appears we did not collect enough escrow funding nor is the monthly escrow payment correct.

Thanks,
Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loan Mrelsigation
Home Loans

WiMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256
904.886.3125 direct, 904.886.4925 fax
julie.mathis@usaa.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Waclle, Sean D.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:06 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thoron, Ann
Subject: Re: Richardson

Why yes I do...Dena Adams-McBreen.

Sean D. Waclle
Default ERT Section Manager II
CARR Team Default Executive Response

WiMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256
181: 904-492-1821 fax: 904-271-7346

JPMC - 003351
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004844
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:04 PM
To: Wreble, Sean D.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: FW: Richardson
Importance: High

Scan, this the loan I discussed with you last nite, do you have a contact in escrow?

Thanks!

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256
904.886.1505 direct, 904.886.1325 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:57 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

I can’t determine if we are collecting the correct amount of escrow. This has to be done in the escrow department. I can confirm that the tax amounts on the lines are correct. Unfortunately, I don’t have a contact in the escrow department for you.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate, Sr.
Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase 1-800-253-2860 ext. 4756 michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net -----

Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 2:26 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Did we get resolution? This is a Congresswoman that we need to get some information to so she can make her payment for Jan. and Feb.

Please advise

Thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:04 PM
To: Wreble, Sean D.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: FW: Richardson
Importance: High

Scan, this the loan I discussed with you last nite, do you have a contact in escrow?

Thanks!

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256
904.886.1505 direct, 904.886.1325 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:57 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

I can’t determine if we are collecting the correct amount of escrow. This has to be done in the escrow department. I can confirm that the tax amounts on the lines are correct. Unfortunately, I don’t have a contact in the escrow department for you.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate, Sr.
Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase 1-800-253-2860 ext. 4756 michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net -----

Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 2:26 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Did we get resolution? This is a Congresswoman that we need to get some information to so she can make her payment for Jan. and Feb.

Please advise

Thanks
Hi Michelle,

You helped with researching the escrowed property tax bill in July 2008 and since then we have a portion of the tax bill (which I have attached from NETonline.com). I noticed on TAX2 we last paid $2,930.57 and the second portion is $2,930.67 which is due 3/09. This appears on ANA1 making the new escrow payment effective 3/09 $4,868.45 and total payment will be $4,985.19 (PCO6/6pm).

Would you mind researching to make sure we have escrowed the right amount to cover this mortgagor's taxes? The mortgagor has received notification that her escrow is too low to cover her taxes.

Thank you,

Savannah Rogers
Negotiator-Prime
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WuMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7225 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

866.969.8197 x15777, 460.540.2276 fax
savannah.rogers@wumu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorns, Ann
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:39 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Thorns, Ann
Subject: FW: Escrowing: Richardson Worksheet 04-07-08.xls
Importance: High

Savannah,

Please review - the mortgagor's escrow payment is much too low to pay her taxes and she has already received notice of such. Please review and let Ann and I know what the escrow payment should be.
Thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

W&MU, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7225 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904.886.1325 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

---- Original Message ----
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:25 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: Emailing: Richardson Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Richardson Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.
From: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Sent: Monday, February 9, 2009 4:30 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>; Rogers, Savannah L. <savannah.rogers@wamu.net>; Adams-Mcelveen, Dena M. <dena.adams-mcelveen@wamu.net>; Todd, Kevin C. <kevin.todd@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: Richardson

There are a few people working on this and I got an old email that was going around about the escrow/taxes for Richardson.

Would appear from the figures below that the modification INCLUDED the $19,119 in taxes, but another email from Dena said the tax line said $508 at the time of the modification. I am no tax expert, but something is not making sense. I know we were to have included all delinquent taxes in her modification.

Have we found anything further. Kevin, I saw that you had forwarded to someone for research.

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WamU, now part of JP Morgan Chase
office: 904-462-2150
cell: 772-212-7260

For Internal Use Only

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 4:12 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: REDACTED

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle WA 98101
Phone: 206-500-4261
Fax: 206-377-2984

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.
From: Lyman, Daryl W.
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 10:38 AM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Colker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Okay good. I looked at Savannah's numbers and the math adds up, so you're good to go forward and prepare the mod documents based on these numbers. If not already done, I recommend someone make a call to Richardson to inform her that the taxes are included (capitalized) in the MOD and documents are on the way. From her e-mail to Julie and Ann yesterday she is inquiring about the taxes.

-Daryl

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 10:31 AM
To: Lyman, Daryl W.; Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Colker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Taxes are included and new mod figs need to be communicated to Richardson to let her know that taxes are now included, but we amended the interest and maturity to keep payments the same as originally communicated.

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
office: 904-463-2190
cell: [REDACTED]

For Internal Use Only

From: Lyman, Daryl W.
Sent: Wednesday, July 09, 2008 1:24 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Colker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Ann: Yes. Per my voice mail message, I'm trying to understand the MOD terms as they were communicated to Richardson. Are the terms the same as listed below by Savannah? Or does the recently discovered tax advance create an issue?

Daryl W. Lyman
First Vice President & Senior Counsel

Washington Mutual
Legal Department
1101 5th Avenue, WMC 7401
Seattle, WA 98101
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 5:44 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Battaglia, Paul J.; Lyman, Daryl W.  
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.  
Subject: RE: Richardson  

Daryl, not sure if you are covering for Paul in his absence....

Ann Thorn, FVP  
Washington Mutual  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
office: 904-462-2160  
cell: redacted

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Sent: Tuesday, July 08, 2008 8:42 AM  
To: Battaglia, Paul J.  
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Coker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.  
Subject: RE: Richardson  

Paul,

REDACTED
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 6:12 PM  
To: Thomas, Michelle M.; Pound, Robert A.; Rogers, Savannah L.  
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Mathis, Julie A.  
Subject: RE: Richardson  

thank you for your help.  

Savannah, let's get our part done tomorrow and get copies of new documents out and communicated to Richardson

From: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Sent: Mon 07/07/2008 05:00 PM  
To: Thorn, Ann; Pound, Robert A.  
Cc: Coker, Darlene  
Subject: RE: Richardson  

Done

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.  
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726  
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 5:33 PM  
To: Pound, Robert A.  
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Thomas, Michelle M.  
Subject: RE: Richardson  

Remove the stop and pay the taxes

From: Pound, Robert A.  
Sent: Mon 07/07/2008 04:16 PM  
To: Thorn, Ann  
Cc: Coker, Darlene; Thomas, Michelle M.  
Subject: RE: Richardson
Ann,

In regards to your request, we have reached out to several people to have the disbursement stop 1 removed and have been unsuccessful. If you give me permission to remove the stop, we will be able to disburse taxes.

Thanks

Robert Found
Washington Mutual
I S Santion Manager I
843 673-4007

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 3:30 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michele M.
Cc: Battaglia, Paul J.; Seel, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.; Found, Robert A.; Coker, Darlene
Subject: RP14-064-21540 - Richardson

Michelle, can you please get this changed today as this is a highly escalated matter.
Thank you

Ann Thorn, PVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
office: 3602-30462-21540 - 1
cell: 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Monday, July 07, 2008 3:35 PM
To: Thomas, Michele M.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Seel, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.; Found, Robert A.
Subject: RP14-064-21540 - Richardson
Importance: High

Michelle,
Please request the disbursement stop be removed so we can get this file out immediately. Ann Thorn is requesting we escalate this issue... in case you are not getting a response.

Please let us know this has been taken care of so Loss Mit can proceed.

Thank you,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:51 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: RE: [ ] Richardson

Savannah

There is now a disbursement stop preventing me from disbursing these taxes. I am attempting to contact the appropriate person to have that stop removed. Also California tax offices are adding redemption amounts to their tax roll. I have to verify with them the new amount to be paid for the month of July after it becomes available.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-353-3850 ext. 4726
email: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile)>>

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:38 AM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Battaglia, Paul J.; Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: FW: [ ] Richardson

Hi Michelle,

Can you give us an idea on how long it will take for the escrow to appear? Can this be done today or will it take until Monday to see the changes in the system?

Thank you very much-

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866-926-8937
Direct fax 469-549-5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:19 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L., Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: [ ] Richardson

JPMC - 003360
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004853
Ok, that is probably going to be a problem........

What are the new numbers and what changes are being made?  Paul, fyi......

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:00 AM
To: Thom, Ann
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.; Martin, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Yes, you are correct.

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 469 849 5978
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or discarding the contents.

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 9:03 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Martin, Julie A.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: Richardson
Importance: High

Ok, I need to understand something. We already talked to Richardson and told her the terms of the Modification as I understood. Then we realized taxes/insurance were not paid by Red Rock and now need to pay 2-3 weeks later and get back to her with new figures? Is that the case?

I need to understand this because it is my impression that Richardson thinks she has a new deal..........please clarify.

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP

JPMC - 003361
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004854
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:47 AM
To: Thorn, Ann
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Thomas, Michele M.
Subject: RE: --- Richardson

Ann,
Once the taxes are disbursed and the escrow is set up I will work up the Modification terms. We will contact the mortgagor to verify the terms and have the documents sent.

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 409 349 5918

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:49 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michele M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: RE: --- Richardson

What is going on with the Mod?

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thorn, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2008 8:36 AM
Hi Michelle,

Please see below, the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. Please disburse the tax payment so we can proceed with the Mod.

Thanks

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct fax 409 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error; please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:39 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Beal, Pamela S.; Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: RWP 16929 Richardson

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 904-462-2150

Ann Thom, PVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 3:37 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Subject: RWP 16929 Richardson

Done.

Thank you,

Beth

From: Thom, Ann
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 1:01 PM
To: Boulton, Elizabeth A.
Subject: Can you change back to pre-sale stop code
Importance: High

Can you change back to pre-sale stop code

**********Please note my phone number has changed to 964-462-2150

Ann Thom, FVP
Washington Mutual
National Asset Recovery Manager
964-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 12:01 PM
To: Thom, Ann
Cc: Rogers, Savannah L.; Peral, Pamela S.
Subject: Can you change back to pre-sale stop code
Importance: High

Ann, do you know how we can have the FC stop 7 removed?

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2008 10:57 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: Can you change back to pre-sale stop code

I sent an email to Chrisissy 6/26/08 but didn’t hear anything back. Do you know how/when the fc stop 7 will be removed? That is preventing us from getting the taxes disbursed and escrow set up.

<< Message: RE: Can you change back to pre-sale stop code >>

Thank you.
Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8957
Direct fax 469 549 5978

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 9:20 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Beal, Pamela S.
Subject: Richardson

Are we ok with this one?

Thanks!

Julie

From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 11:33 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: Richardson

Please see tax notes on the above reference loan number indicating I will not be able to disburse tax payment until the foreclosure stop 7 has been removed. I will monitor the account for the removal of the foreclosure stop.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1 800 353 3860 ext. 4726
e-mail: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 9:57 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Lopez, Christina; Haywood, Oriska S.; 'Julie.Mathis@wamu.net'
Cc: Springs, Sonya E.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: Richardson

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate Sr.
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726
e-mail: michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:27 PM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Subject: Richardson

Michelle,

I am copying you on this email so you can respond to the original parties on the email.

Thanks,

Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >>

Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior

JPMC - 003365
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOG.RICH.004858
Please refer to tax notes dated 06-26-06. I will not be able to disburse tax payment for the delinquent taxes until the foreclosure stop is removed. I will monitor account for the removal of the stop.

From: Pound, Robert A.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2000 2:23 PM
To: Morris, Linda
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson

I have reassigned this to Michelle Thomas. But until the FCL Stop 7 is removed she will not be able to pay taxes.

Robert Pound

From: Springs, Sonya E.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2000 2:58 PM
To: Morris, Linda
Cc: Pound, Robert A.; Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: RE: Richardson
Importance: High

Louise,
There is already a NON123 task open and its assigned to Linda Morris.

Linda,
Can you go ahead and research this loan? Then e-mail a response to the all parties the e-mail below.

Thanks,
Sonya Springs
<< OLE Object: Picture (Metafile)>>
Tax Mitigation Specialist, Senior
Phone (843) 673-3706
Fax (843) 673-0728
Mailing FSC0211

SonyaSprings@Mans.net

*The information above shall be treated as confidential and is intended solely for the named recipient(s). Without Washington Mutual's prior written consent, this information shall not be disclosed to any person other than an officer or employee of the recipient(s) company with a need to know the information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any review, dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail, and delete the original message.
From: Kirby, Louise L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 12:02 PM
To: Lopez, Christine; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Sonya, Christine L.
Subject: Richardson

Sonya,
Please assign this account to someone on the non/esc team to follow up and respond to Savannah and Christine.

Thanks.

LOUISE KIRBY
LS Section Manager II
Tax Mitigation
(843) 673-4737 (phone)
(843) 673-4728 (fax)

From: Lopez, Christine
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:16 AM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: Richardson

Louise,

Loss Mit only blocks taxes on short sales. This is not a short sale and it doesn’t appear that we placed the disbursement stop. Per SAF1, processor EDC placed the block on there on 5/14/08. It appears her name is Veronica McNeil-Ellis. Can you check with her to determine if the block can be removed?

Thanks.

From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Lopez, Christine
Cc: Kirby, Louise L.
Subject: Richardson

Christina,
This fc sale was rescinded...what should I do to get this moving? Do you know if the fc dept should remove their stops?

Thanks,
Savannah Rogers  
Homeownership Preservation  
Washington Mutual  
Tel: Free 866 926 8937  
Direct Fax 469 549 5978  
This communication may contain privileged or otherwise confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing its contents.

From: Kirby, Louise L.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:30 AM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Subject: RE: Savannah  

Savannah  
Per instructions we have received by Christina Lopez dated 12/30/07, we cannot disburse tax if the man code is "W" with disbursement step 1.  

So with the codes that are on the account now, we are not able to pay any tax payments.  

Also there is a foreclosure code 7—conveyed, which also prevents us from paying.  

LOUISE KIRBY  
LS Section Manager II  
Tax Mitigation  
(843) 673-4737 (phone)  
(843) 673-4726 (fax)

From: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 9:35 AM  
To: Kirby, Louise L.  
Subject: Pay Richardson  

Louise,  
Management is requesting we get this Mod out asap. I just want to make sure I asked the right person (Womza) to help me set up the escrow - property taxes are delinquent. There is a note on the loan from 5/2008 saying the taxes were not going to be paid because of the mancode. Now that the mancode is W - can this be taken care of? Do you have a timeframe for completion so I can let management know?  

Thanks,  

Savannah Rogers  
Homeownership Preservation  
Washington Mutual  
Tel: Free 866 926 8937  
Direct Fax 469 549 5978  
This communication may contain privileged or otherwise confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the...
Hi Wonza,

There were two checks returned 4/21/08 for delinquent property taxes $8109.81 + $405.49. This loan went to fa acr which has been resubmited and we will be modifying this loan. Who can send the task to set up the escrow for these taxes and possibly research if those taxes are still delinquent since the checks came back from Sacramento County?

Thanks,

Savannah Rogers
Homeownership Preservation
Washington Mutual
Toll Free 866 926 8937
Direct Fax 409 549 5970

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please inform the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.
The tax line was updated to the higher amount after the modification was completed. Neither Escrow Analysis nor Loss Mit had any way of knowing this increase was going to take place. The modification and the subsequent analysis was based on the amounts the Tax Dept had loaded on the line at the time of the modification.

Dear Adams-McElveen,
LS Section Manager II
Escrow Analysis & FIIA Insurance
WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

843-673-4037 Business
843-673-3142 Fax

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 5:25 PM
To: Adams-McElveen, Dana M.; Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Leviner, Cheryl H.
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

I am still not getting why this was not recognized during the mod process...
We are still telling her she has a $500 increase

------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Adams-McElveen, Dana M.
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Leviner, Cheryl H.
Sent: Fri Feb 06 13:54:27 2009
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

The escrow account is correct. When the modification occurred, the amount on the tax lines were $3981.41. The account was analyzed with this information on 9/22/08 effective 10/08. (All appeared correct. Escrow funding had the over/short at 0.00, which is expected on modifications.) The monthly escrow payment was $84.74. The total payment was $4352.32.

When the taxes were paid in 11/08, the line was updated to the billed amount of $2930.67. (I believe this is an automated process within the Tax Dept.) This disbursement created a large escrow shortage, which triggered an off cycle analysis. The analysis was performed on 11/20/08 effective 1/09. The monthly escrow payment increased from $84.74 to $108.43. In addition, there was an escrow shortage of $4449.81 being collected at $370.67 per month. The total payment increased from $4352.32 to $5126.10.

JPMC - 005370
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004863
On 2/2/09, I was contacted by EUC and asked to make an exception for the homeowner and accept the old payment amount ($4152.32) for 1/09-2/09 and to run a new analysis with a 36 month escrow shortage spread effective for 3/09. I adjusted the payment on PC111 to the old amount for 1/09-2/09.

On 2/3/09, I ran the new analysis with the effective date of 3/09 and a 36 month escrow shortage spread. The new payment amount is now $8885.19 beginning 3/09.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thank you,

Dena Adams-McElveen
LS Section Manager II
Escrow Analysis & FHA Insurance
WAMU, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

843-673-4057 Business
843-673-3142 Fax

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:15 PM
To: Adams-McElveen, Dena M.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: URGENT - Richardson
Importance: High

Dena,

Can you please help us with the issue below on this loan? We just modified the loan and it appears we did not collect enough escrow funding nor is the monthly escrow payment correct.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WAMU, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904.886.1125 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank
you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Waelde, Sean D.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:06 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Re: Richardson

Why yes I do - Donna Adams, MFT/ESW

Sean D. Waelde
Default IRT Section Manager II
CARE Team Default Executive Response

Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA3000
Jacksonville, Fl. 32256
Tel: 904-462-1821 Fax: 866-271-7346

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:04 PM
To: Waelde, Sean D.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Richardson
Importance: High

Sean, this the loan I discussed with you last time, do you have a contact in escrow?

Thanks!

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA3000
Jacksonville, Fl. 32256
904 886 1305 direct, 904 886 1325 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:37 PM  
To: Thomas, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.  
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Pound, Robert A.  
Subject: RE: Richardson

I can't determine if we are collecting the correct amount of escrow. This has to be done in the escrow department. I can confirm that the tax amounts on the lines are correct. Unfortunately, I don't have a contact in the escrow department for you.

Michelle M. Thomas  
Real Estate Tax Associate, Sr.  
Wantsu, now backed by the strength of JP Morgan Chase 1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726 michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:36 PM  
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.  
Cc: Mathis, Julie A. 
Subject: RE: Richardson

Did we get resolution? This is a Congresswoman that we need to get some information to so she can make her payment for Jan. and Feb.

Please advise

Thanks

Ann Thorn, FVP  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
Wantsu, now backed by the strength of JP Morgan Chase  
1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726

For Internal Use Only

-----Original Message-----
From: Rogers, Savannah L.  
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 9:17 AM  
To: Thomas, Michelle M.  
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Thorn, Ann 
Subject: Richardson

You helped with researching this escrowed property tax bill in July 2008 and since then we have a portion of the tax bill (which I have attached from NTIonline.com). I noticed on TAX2 we last paid $2,930.67 and the second portion is $2,930.67 which is due 3/09.
This appears on ANA1 making the new escrow payment effective 3/09 $488.45 and total payment will be $4,885.19 (P,CI12/jpmt).

Would you mind researching to make sure we have escrowed the right amount to cover this mortgagor’s taxes? The mortgagor has received notification that her escrow is too low to cover her taxes.

Thank you,

Savannah Rogers
Negotiator/Pricing
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7225 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, Fl. 32256

866-926-8937 x17577, 469-549-5978 fax
savannah.rogers@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:38 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: E: Mail: Richardson 10040708.xls

Savannah,

Please review - the mortgagor’s escrow payment is much too low to pay her taxes and she has already received notice of such. Please review and let Ann and I know asap what the escrow payment should be.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7225 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2600
Jacksonville, Fl. 32256

904-886-1305 direct, 904-886-1323 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:25 PM
To: Mathis, Indu A.
Subject: Emailing Richardson >_< Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Richardson >_< Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.
I am still not getting why this was not recognized during the mod process....
We are still telling her she has a $500 increase

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----  
From: Adams-McElveen, Dena M  
To: Mathis, Julie A  
Cc: Thorn, Ann; Leviner, Cheryl H.  
Sent: Fri Feb 06 13:54:27 2009  
Subject: Re: [URGENT - Richardson]  

The escrow account is correct. When the modification occurred, the amount on the tax lines were $2038.41. (The account was analyzed with this information on 9/22/08 effective 1/09. (All appeared correct. Escrow funding had the over/short at $0.00, which is expected on modifications.) The monthly escrow payment was $84.74. The total payment was $4352.32.

When the taxes were paid in 1/08, the line was updated to the billed amount of $2990.67. (I believe this is an automated process within the Tax Dept.) This disbursement created a large escrow shortage, which triggered an off cycle analysis. The analysis was performed on 11/2008 effective 1/09. The monthly escrow payment increased from $84.74 to $498.45. In addition, there was an escrow shortage of $4440.81 being collected at $370.07 per month. The total payment increased from $4352.32 to $5126.10.

On 2/2/09, I was contacted by ECC and asked to make an exception for the homeowner and accept the old payment amount ($4352.32) for 1/09-2/09 and to run a new analysis with a 36 month escrow shortage spread effective for 3/09. I adjusted the payment on PCH1 to the old amount for 1/09-2/09.

On 2/2/09, I ran the new analysis with the effective date of 3/09 and a 36 month escrow shortage spread. The new payment amount is now $4885.19 beginning 3/09.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thank you,

Dena Adams-McElveen
LS Scitron Manager II
Escrow Analysis & FHA Insurance
Wachovia, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

843-673-4057 Business
843-673-3142 Fax

-----
-----Original Message-----
From: Mathias, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:15 PM
To: Adams-McIveren, Dena M.
Cc: Thorns, Ann
Subject: URGENT - Richardson
Importance: High

Dena,

Can you please help us with the issue below on this loan? We just modified the loan and it appears we did not collect enough escrow funding nor is the monthly escrow payment correct.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathias, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

W&Mu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA3000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904.886.1125 fax
Julie.mathias@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Wrede, Sean D.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:06 PM
To: Mathias, Julie A.
Cc: Thorns, Ann
Subject: RE: Richardson

Why you do...Dena Adams-McIveren.

Sean D. Wrede
Default ERT Section Manager II
CARE Team Default Executive Response

W&Mu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA3000
Jacksonville, FL 32256
Tel: 904-862-1021 Fax: 866-271-7346

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender
by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:04 PM
To: Wueke, Sean D.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Richardson
Importance: High

Senn, this the loan I discussed with you last nite, do you have a contact in escrow?

Thanks!

Julie

---
Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

W&M, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904. 886.1323 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:57 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.; Poole, Judy A.
Subject: Re: Richardson

I can't determine if we are collecting the correct amount of escrow. This has to be done in the escrow department. I can confirm that the tax amounts on the lines are correct. Unfortunately, I don't have a contact in the escrow department for you.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate, Sr.
W&M, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase 1-800-353-3860 ext. 4726 michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net -----Original Message-----

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:30 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

JPMC - 005378
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004871
Did we get resolution? This is a Congresswoman that we need to get some information to so she can make her payment for Jan. and Feb.

Please advise.

Thanks

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WAMU, now part of JP Morgan Chase
office: 304-492-2150
cell: [Redacted]

For Internal Use Only

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 9:17 AM
To: Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mothas, Julie A.; Thorn, Ann
Subject: Richardson
Importance: High

Hi Michelle,

You helped with researching this escrowed property tax bill in July 2008 and since then we have a portion of the tax bill (which I have attached from NECRMonline.com). I noticed on TAX2 we last paid $2,930.67 and the second portion is $2,930.67 which is due 3/09. This appears on ANAL making the new escrow payment effective 3/09 $488.45 and total payment will be $4,888.19 (PCH2/hpmt).

Would you mind researching to make sure we have escrowed the right amount to cover this mortgagor's taxes? The mortgagor has received notification that her escrow is too low to cover her taxes.

Thank you,

Savannah Rogers
Negotiator-Finance
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WAMU, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

866.926.8937 x17577, 469.549.5978 fax
savannah.rogers@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
---Original Message---
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:38 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Fw: Emailing: Richardson Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls
Importance: High

Savannah,

Please review - the mortgager's escrow payment is much too low to pay her taxes and she has already received notice of such. Please review and let Ann and I know asap what the escrow payment should be.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct; 904.886.1328 fax
julie.mathis@waunet

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:25 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: Emailing: Richardson Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Richardson Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.
From: Adams-McElveen, Dena M. <dena.adams-mcelveen@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, February 6, 2009 4:54 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A. <julie.mathis@wamu.net>
Cc: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>; Leviner, Cheryl H. <cheryl.leviner@wamu.net>
Subject: RE: URGENT - Richardson

The escrow account is correct. When the modification occurred, the amount on the tax line was $508.41. The account was analyzed with this information on 2/20/08 effective 1/09. (All appeared correct. Escrow funding had the over/short at $0.00, which is expected on modifications.) The monthly escrow payment was $84.74. The total payment was $4352.32.

When the taxes were paid in 11/08, the line was updated to the billed amount of $2930.67. (I believe this is an automated process within the Tax Dept.) This disbursement created a large escrow shortage, which triggered an off cycle analysis. The analysis was performed on 11/20/08 effective 1/09. The monthly escrow payment increased from $84.74 to $488.45. In addition, there was an escrow shortage of $4448.81 being collected at $376.07 per month. The total payment increased from $4352.32 to $5126.10.

On 2/2/09, I was contacted by ECC and asked to make an exception for the homeowner and accept the old payment amount ($4352.32) for 1/09-2/09 and to run a new analysis with a 36 month escrow shortage spread effective for 3/09. I adjusted the payment on PCH1 to the old amount for 1/09-2/09.

On 2/2/09, I ran the new analysis with the effective date of 3/09 and a 36 month escrow shortage spread. The new payment amount is now $4885.19 beginning 3/09.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thank you,

Dena Adams-McElveen
LS Section Manager II
Escrow Analysts & FHA Insurance
Wamu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase

847-673-4057 Business
847-673-3142 Fax

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:13 PM
To: Adams-McElveen, Dena M.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: URGENT - Richardson

Importance: High

Dena,

Can you please help us with the issue below on this loan? We just modified the loan and it appears we did not collect enough escrow funding nor is the monthly escrow payment correct.

Thanks,

Julie
Julie Mathis, VP  
Department Manager  
Loss Mitigation  
Home Loans  

WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase  
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA 2000  
Jacksonville, FL 32256  
904.886.1305 direct, 904. 886.1325 fax  
julie.mathis@wamu.net  

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Wadde, Sean D.  
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:06 PM  
To: Mathis, Julie A.  
Cc: Thorn, Ann  
Subject: RE: Richardson  

Why yes I do...Dena Adams-McIver.

Sean D. Wadde  
Default ERT Section Manager II  
CARF Team Default Executive Response

WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase  
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA 3000  
Jacksonville, FL 32256  
Tel: 904-862-1821 Fax: 866-271-7346

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Mathis, Julie A.  
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 4:04 PM  
To: Wadde, Sean D.  
Cc: Thorn, Ann  
Subject: Fw: Richardson  
Importance: High

Sent, this the loan I discussed with you last nite, do you have a contact in escrow?

Thanks!
Julie

Julie Mattis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WAMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Haymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904.886.1325 fax
julie.mattis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disseminating the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Thomas, Michelle M.
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:57 PM
To: Thorn, Ann; Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Mattis, Julie A.; Pound, Robert A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

I can't determine if we are collecting the correct amount of escrow. This has to be done in the escrow department. I can confirm that the tax amounts on the lines are correct. Unfortunately, I don't have a contact in the escrow department for you.

Michelle M. Thomas
Real Estate Tax Associate, Sr.
WAMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase 1-800-353-3800 ext. 4726.michelle.m.thomas@wamu.net -----Original Message-----
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 3:46 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.; Thomas, Michelle M.
Cc: Mattis, Julie A.
Subject: RE: Richardson

Did we get resolution? This is a Congaree account that we need to get some information to so she can make her payment for Jan and Feb.

Please advise

Thanks

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WAMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
office: 904.462.2150
cell: 444.555.6789

JPMC - 003383
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004876
Hi Michelle,

You helped with researching the escrowed property tax bill in July 2008 and since then we have a portion of the tax bill (which I have attached from NETSonline.com). I noticed on TAXX we last paid $2,930.07 and the second portion is $2,930.07 which is due 3/09. This appears an ANA1 making the new escrow payment effective 3/09 $488.45 and total payment will be $4,885.19 (PCH26pmi).

Would you mind researching to make sure we have escrowed the right amount to cover this mortgagor's taxes? The mortgagor has received notification that her escrow is too low to cover her taxes.

Thank you,

Savannah Rogers
Negotiator-Prime
Loss Mitigation
WAMU

WebMail, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way
Jacksonville, FL 32256

866-926-8937 x17577, 469-549-5978 fax
savannah.rogers@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2009 5:38 PM
To: Rogers, Savannah L.
Cc: Thorn, Ann
Subject: FW: Emailing: Richardson
Workbook v10 04 07 08.xls

Savannah,

Please review - the mortgagor's escrow payment is much too low to pay her taxes and she has already received notice of such. Please review and let Ann and I know asap what the escrow payment should be.

Thanks,
Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WAllAU, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904.886.1325 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disseminating the contents. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Rogers, Savannah L.
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 5:25 PM
To: Mathis, Julie A.
Subject: Emailing: Richardson[Insert 工作簿 v10 04 07 08.xls]

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Richardson[Insert 工作簿 v10 04 07 08.xls]

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.
Subject: Richardson
Location: 562 706
Start: 7/15/2008 8:30 AM
End: 7/15/2008 9:00 AM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Organizer
Required Attendees: Mathis, Julie A.; Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: Call Laura Richardson
Start: 7/11/2008 2:00 PM
End: 7/11/2008 2:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Organizer
Required Attendees: Mathis, Julie A., Rogers, Savannah L.
Subject: Richardson
Location: call ner

Start: 9/23/2006 4:00 PM
End: 6/23/2006 4:30 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Organizer

Required Attendees: Mathis, Julie A.; Rogers, Savannah L.
Optional Attendees: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Congressman Richardson
Location: Ann will join Wendy in her office

Start: 9/9/2008 12:00 PM
End: 6/8/2008 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Required Attendees: Woodcock, Wendy A.; Mathis, Julie A.
Resources: Ann will join Wendy in her office

When: Friday, June 06, 2008 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: Ann will join Wendy in her office
From: Riley, Olivia
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 11:52:40 AM
To: Aney, Derek; Rodriguez, Adrian; Gesmead, Scott; Watson, Allison; Owen, Jen L.; Brandeberry, Mike E.; Aghamanzadeh, Reza; Horn, Karen E.; Magiehy, Alan F.; Wilsdorf, Doug; Baker, Todd; Robinson, John; Doperalski, Cathy L.; Hume, Sophie; Gailer, Ron P.; Hutchinson, Libby G.
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL: Attorney/Client Privileged: June 26, 2008 Issues Briefing
Attachments: Issues Management Threat Overview 06-27-08.doc

Good evening all,

Attached is the Issues Briefing for tomorrow morning's council meeting.

Best,
Olivia

Olivia Riley, VP
Public Relations
Corporate Communications

Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2834 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
olivia.riley@wm.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
WaMu Focused News

1. Ex-WaMu workers tapped for their mortgage expertise
June 10, 2008
By BILL VIEJO/N
Seattle Post-Intelligencer

Rising defaults and delinquencies on loans, houses sitting on the market longer and fetching less-than-hoped-for prices when they do sell, a weakening economy -- sounds like a great time for banks to get into or expand their presence in the mortgage business.

Yet several banks in this region are doing just that.

And they've got just the place to go to recruit people they need to increase the number of home loans they write: former Washington Mutual employees.

KeyBank became the latest to tap the pool of former WaMu home-loan personnel when it named Michael Taylor as its vice president and sales manager for its Northwest region; he had been regional manager for Washington Mutual's Eastern Washington, Idaho and Utah markets.

Taylor, in turn, has hired Ed Sauer, a 16-year WaMu veteran, as producing sales manager for Key's South Puget Sound region.

That's just the start. Although Key started the year with 13 employees on its mortgage lending staff in Washington, Taylor says the bank is at 30 now and could be up to 50 by the end of the year "as long as I find the right talent."

Taylor and Key are hoping to generate home-loan business not only from its existing customers, but also from the contacts with real estate agents, builders and others in the community those recruits bring with them. Key wants to double its mortgage lending in the 13 states it operates in nationally, and triple its mortgage writing in Washington state.

Key's not alone, either in expanding home lending or recruiting former WaMu employees to accomplish that.

Wells Fargo & Co. opened a downtown Seattle home-loan office with 31 employees, all from the same WaMu home-loan center.

Wells Fargo has also added 10 former WaMu employees to the Eastside, five in Bellingham, four in Mount Vernon and a couple in the South Sound area, according to spokeswoman Laura Underhill.

JPMorgan Chase, meanwhile, has hired former WaMu mortgage-staffers in Seattle, California and Utah.

Those employees are available because of WaMu's decision, announced in April, to close all of its free-standing home-loan centers -- 180 nationally, 25 in Washington -- and lay off 3,000 employees. WaMu plans to raise home loans through its retail bank branches.

"These are experienced mortgage officers," Wells Fargo's Underhill says. "They're familiar with the market."

Less obvious is why Key, Wells and others would want to expand their home lending just now.

KeyBank's Taylor says that for all the bad news about the housing sector -- "the consumer has a feeling the mortgage business has shut down" -- there's still business to be done. Maybe even more business, he adds, with WaMu and others pulling back not just from retail lending, but also the wholesale business (loans written by brokers and other lenders).

"It's creating some opportunity for us," Taylor said. "Even though the mortgage pile has shrunk, the number of hands in that pile has shrunk even further."

"We're still writing mortgages; we're still in business," adds JPMorgan Chase spokeswoman Christine Holevas. "People still want the American dream. They want to own their own home. We're helping them do so in a prudent manner."

Those banks say prudent lending in the past -- not loading up on the sorts of exotic and risky mortgages now going sour -- puts them in a position to expand now. "In my view," says a JPMorgan Chase financial officer, "it's old-school stuff," he says. "Investment products that are still attractive are driving business for new loans and refinancing activity.

Lenders are expanding in the Seattle area, he adds, because "we were never down as far as the national market. That doesn't mean we were without pain. But inventory is moving. ... We would potentially see a rebound this summer," although he's not predicting it will be substantial.

WaMu Related News

2. In an "extremely unusual" move, WaMu goes to bat for Rep. Richardson
June 10, 2008
Los Angeles Times

Just when you thought you were safe from news about U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson, Democrat of Long Beach: The Daily Breeze reports that her lender, Washington Mutual, is trying to help her get her foreclosed house back (That's the house at right, located in Sacramento).

The Breeze's Gene Maddaus: "The real estate broker who bought Rep. Laura Richardson's house at a foreclosure sale last month is accusing her of receiving preferential treatment because her lender has issued a notice to rescind the sale. Neither Richardson nor WaMu would talk to Maddaus about the situation. Richardson has yet to answer L.A. Land's questions, posed in writing on May 22, about the Sacramento mortgage, and the modification to the mortgage she says she received from WaMu."

Catching up: I must confess I failed to post a story about Richardson's car troubles. Here's how the Los Angeles Press-Telegram told
it: "In 2005, when she was still on the Long Beach City Council, she left one mechanic in a lurch with an unpaid bill, then later had her badly damaged BMW towed to an auto body shop but didn’t pay for any work and abandoned the car there, owners of the business said this week. The next day, Richardson began using a city-owned vehicle — putting almost $1,000 miles on it in about a year — and continued driving the car five days after she had left the council to serve in the state Assembly, city records show."

In a terrible example of public-service journalism, though, the Press-Telegram did manage to get some money for the mechanic. It reports that Richardson paid the 2-year-old, $735 bill after the newspaper called seeking an interview about the unpaid debt.

More: "James York, owner of Red Rock Mortgage, said he would file a lawsuit against Richardson and her lender, Washington Mutual, by the end of the week, and have every intention of keeping the house. I’m just amazed they’ve done this," York said. "They never would have done this for anybody else."

Maldonado was a loan officer for a mortgage company, according to a foreclosure expert Lisa Norden, who opines that a bank going to bail for a former homeowner is in a situation such as this is "extremely unusual." "Unless the borrower filed bankruptcy beforehand, they’d never do it."

3. Overdraft and ATM Fees Rise, As Economy Slumps
June 20, 2008
By Andrew Malakian

American Banker

Consumers are always wary of being misled-and-damned to death, and thanks to the current economic malaise, more banks are heading in that direction. Many of the biggest banks are raising fees for ATM usage and overdrafts, which analysts say isn’t such a bad idea.

Bank of America recently raised its overdraft fee (also called NSF for non-sufficient funds) to $25 from $20 for the first day, and $35 for each subsequent day. First Horizon raised its NSF fee to $35 from $32. Washington Mutual tripled its overdraft to $34 from $11 in most states. Citibank saw also is charging a $3 ATM fee for non-customers, as is BofA, which raised its surcharge last July.

Bank of the Sierra, a $12.3 billion asset bank in Porterville, CA, has joined the wave of increased fees, but bank president and CEO James Holly acknowledges the changing times. "Years ago, if you overdrew your account, we wouldn’t wait to close your account and throw you out," says Holly, joking. "Now we have to go find those people and bring them in, because they are really valuable folks to have."

Robert Hammer, chairman and CEO of Thousand Oaks, CA-based bank advisory firm R.K. Hammer Investment bankers, says banks should be mandated to raise fees. "Without a doubt, banks are raising, but you have to understand that rising risk in our industry today is indisputable," Hammer says. "In an environment of rising risk, you would expect fees of those risks to rise, too. It would be an abdication of responsibility for bank management to not have fees rising as well."

"While some say this promotes customer dissatification, it doesn’t seem to lead to turnout," says Greg Lowell, senior manager in the financial-services strategy group for research company Accenture. In a report to be released this month, Accenture found a 23 percent difference in customer satisfaction between banks that charged fees and those that didn’t. Yet, 61 percent of customers said that if their bank charged a fee, they would continue to do business with it, only 12 percent less than those banks that wouldn’t charge a fee for the service.

"Our research shows that customers in retail banking do tend to stay with the bank, not necessarily out of a strong sense of loyalty, but out of a reluctance to make the move to another bank, because of all the time and cost in making that happen," says Lowell.

Certain fees really aggravate consumers, such as customer-service fees, monthly service fees and product-purchase fees. Overdraft fees, on the other hand, were found to be somewhat accepted, because "people, for the most part, understand it’s a fee related to a specific error on their part," Lowell says. Consumers also understand a fee for use of an ATM not connected to their bank. Lowell says banks need to understand how their customers view certain fees and not just make a "same-percentage increase in fees" because BofA did.

Ed Woods, a senior analyst at Boston-based consultant Celent, agrees that not all fees are greeted with anger. "There are segments of the population that aren’t adverse to paying these fees," he says. "What seems to be the most important is to have the flexibility to get [the payment or transaction] when you want it."

Ron Shavin, senior analyst at Boston-based consultant Aite Group, says, though, banks do need to worry about silent attrition. While higher fees may not provoke a customer to leave his bank, he may not keep deposing money or buying new products, either. "In the short term, it seems like a good business move. The problem is, it leaves such a bad taste in the consumer’s mouth," Shavin says. "These are the kinds of things that over time build up dissatisfaction, but the bank won’t see that in terms of a hit for at least a couple of quarters."

Shavin adds that there is no reason to believe that fees will go back down, either. "If they want to spread the next year maintaining their retention rates in the face of increasing fees, the business will look at it and say, ‘Why would we want to reduce the fees now?’" Shavin says.

4. Lessons for Small Biz in Bank Blowups
Elizabeth Blackwell
June 10, 2008

TheStreet.com

No one takes CEO jobs these days, what with their stratospheric compensation, company-paid perks and golden parachutes. Even when they do get fired, they don’t have to join the line at the local unemployment office.

Still, most of us wouldn’t want to be running a major financial institution these days, when bank CEOs seem to be chopping left and
right. Citigroup (C - Cramer’s Take - Stockpickr) and Merrill Lynch (MGL - Cramer’s Take - Stockpickr) both gave their CEOs the boot last year. This week, Washington Mutual (WAMU - Cramer’s Take - Stockpickr) CEO got to keep his job — barely — but was forced to give up his chairman title, a move that was the result of pressure from shareholders.

All this fallout from the subprime mortgage mess may seem far removed from the average small business owner (unless your small business happens to be a title company or mortgage lender). But the lack of confidence in Washington Mutual’s leadership sends a cautionary tale for anyone who runs a business, no matter what size.

It’s not as if Washington Mutual was rolling suburban subdivisions, hustling no-interest loans to unqualified buyers. But the current mortgage meltdown is partially the result of incentives put in place by high-ranking executives. Those top dogs are now realizing that any shady dealings within their companies — no matter how far removed from their corner offices — can bring them down, too.

The lesson here is clear: If you give your employees incentives to cut corners, however unintentionally, their shoddy tactics can eventually be pinned on you. You need to know how your subordinates operate, because you may ultimately be the fall guy for their mistakes.

At Washington Mutual and other major banks, “Everyone had a cash incentive to approve loans,” says Tomas Norton of The Norton Group, which provides banking-related consulting and litigation support services. “A mindset based on volume went from the absolute top to the very bottom of the food chain.”

Troubled Mentalities

The repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act in 1999, which allowed commercial and investment banks to merge, was the beginning of what Norton points to as a change in mentality in the lending business. “It put an investment banker mentality into a commercial banking operation,” he says. Mortgages became commodities that were packaged into securities. The more mortgages were approved, the more executive compensation soared.

CEOs, in search of the short-term profits that could boost stock prices, demanded more and more loans. And they didn’t ask too many questions about how those mortgages were being approved.

For banks like Washington Mutual and Wachovia, the result was a disconnect between public perception and real-world tactics. To the general public, banks remained traditional, even conservative institutions. Bank branches continued to spring up in suburban subdivisions and big city downtowns, creating the illusion that these banks were a part of the community.

But behind the scenes, banks were indulging in speculative, risky financial behavior. “They created the impression of community banking by having a branch in every corner,” says Norton. “But they had no relationship with the customer. They wanted to get your loan, keep it for five minutes, then sell it off for short-term profits.”

And they were willing to overlook all the warning signs, such as home buyers who didn’t have enough income to pay off their loans.

For a while it worked — until the whole system fell apart.

Bank CEOs had a few great years, where they took credit for soaring stock prices and were compensated accordingly. And you could do the same, by overlooking red flags and making some short-term profits. But if you want your business to last, you’d better take a close look at how your employees are operating on your behalf.

Do you reward results, regardless of how they were obtained? Do you send the message — overt or implied — that you’re always thinking short-term? For the banks, says Norton, “Compensation was based on approval. No one wanted to say no to loans.” Are you willing to say no?

It’s easy to think — as the bank CEOs did — that the folks in charge should focus on the big picture. But if they don’t know exactly how the people at the bottom are operating, they won’t stay on top very long.

*** Please do not distribute Breaking News content. License restrictions limit the dissemination of this copyrighted material. Thank you. ***
Good morning,
Attached is the briefing for this morning’s Issues Council meeting.

Olivia

Olivia Riley, VP
Public Relations
Corporate Communications

Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2834 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
olivia.riley@wmu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Finch, Brian
Sent: Friday, February 6, 2009 5:35 PM
To: Villegas, Peter R. <peter.villegas@wamu.net>; Manning, Antonio L. <antonio.manning@wamu.net>; Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>; Arredondo, Angelica <angelica.arredondo@wamu.net>; Agopian, Vera <vera.agopian@wamu.net>
Cc: Kishner, Gary <gary.kishner@wamu.net>; Delgiorno-Andonian, Laura R. <laurad@wamu.net>; Prenta, Ronald <ronald.prenta@wamu.net>; Ware, Jan <jware@twincities.com>
Subject: Updated govt invitee list for Glendale HOC event
Attach: Glendale HOC govt outreach_02-06-09.doc

All—
Attached is the government outreach list for the Glendale HOC. Column 3 shows those who have been invited and any response we've received.

(1) Please let me know if you've received a response not listed in Column 3. Also let me know if there are others you invited who aren't reflected here.

(2) The next step after the event is to more broadly distribute the press release and an information sheet to key offices in LA, San Bernardino and Orange Counties. I want to take advantage of your local relationships. Please let me know:
(a) if there is anyone listed in Column 4 with whom you have a good relationship with the official or senior staff, and where it's effective for the press release to come from you.
(b) other key local leaders in LA, San Bernardino and Orange Counties whom you know and to whom we should send this info.

Thanks again for everyone's teamwork and help.
**Open House:**
Thurs Feb 12th – 9:00 am until 11:00 am

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In-district</th>
<th>1. Engage officials</th>
<th>2. Extend Open House invite</th>
<th>3. Send press release on 2/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Sen. Feinstein (LA office)</td>
<td>Invited thru Villegas Children to connect with DC office Villegas Children's D.C.</td>
<td>Villegas Children's D.C.</td>
<td>Villegas Children's D.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Sen. Boxer (LA office)</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch Children to connect with DC office Finch Children's D.C.</td>
<td>Finch Children's D.C.</td>
<td>Finch Children's D.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Rep. Adam B. Schiff (D-28)</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, will send TEQ rep Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor Schwarzenegger (LA office)</td>
<td>Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Sen. Carol Liu (D-21)</td>
<td>Jan translating with Capitol office Finch reached out to district director Invited thru Finch Finch Owen (SAC)</td>
<td>Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Asm. Paul Krekorian (D-43)</td>
<td>Krekorian's office is located at 620 N Brand Blvd Finch working with OES and district manager Invited thru Finch Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. County Supervisor Mike Antonovich (D-6)</td>
<td>Invited thru Manning Manning</td>
<td>Invited thru Manning Manning</td>
<td>Invited thru Manning Manning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Manager Jim Barraclough</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Councilman John Drayman</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Councilman Ara Najarian</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Councilman Frank Quintero</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Councilman Dave Weaver</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Will attend and provide remarks Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Will attend and provide remarks Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Will attend and provide remarks Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Councilman Bob Yoeufian</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Director of Community Development &amp; Housing Madalyn Blake</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian??? Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian??? Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian??? Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Director of Community Development &amp; Housing Assoc Sam Yeremian</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian??? Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian??? Yes</td>
<td>Invited thru Agopian??? Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjacent to district:

<p>| U.S. Rep. Alex Solis (D-32) | Villegas | Villegas | Villegas |
| CA Sen. Alex Padilla (D-26) | Jan translating with Capitol office Invited thru Owen Yes | Invited thru Owen Yes | Invited thru Owen Yes |
| CA Sen. Jim Beall (D-23) | Jan translating with Capitol office Invited thru Owen Yes | Invited thru Owen Yes | Invited thru Owen Yes |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>California Senate</th>
<th>Assembly</th>
<th>Contact Method</th>
<th>Invitation Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA Sen. Gil Cedillo (D-29)</td>
<td>CA Assm. Anthony Portantino (D-44)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Sen. Bob Huff (R-38)</td>
<td>CA Assm. Kevin DeLeón (D-45)</td>
<td>Jan transplanting with capita office</td>
<td>Invited thru Owen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Sen. George Runner (R-57)</td>
<td>CA Assm. Felipe Arredondo (D-63)</td>
<td>Jan transplanting with capita office CoS</td>
<td>Invited thru Owen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Assm. Mike Feuer (D-48)</td>
<td>CA Assm. Bob Blumenfield (D-46)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA County Supervisor Glenda Molina (D-1)</td>
<td>LA County Supervisor Yvonne Zarate (D-3)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa</td>
<td>Through Finch</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch, Not available, Will provide audio and/or release</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Councilman Gil Cedillo (D-1)</td>
<td>LA Councilwoman Wendy Greuel (D-2)</td>
<td>Invited thru Villagig to attend and speak</td>
<td>Villagig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Councilman Tom LaBonge (D-4)</td>
<td>L.A. Councilman Eric Garcetti (D-13)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A. Councilman Jose Huizar (D-14)</td>
<td>L.A. Housing Department</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles City Manager Jim Whang</td>
<td>LA County Dept of Consumer Affairs Director</td>
<td>Invited thru Arnedondo</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA County Dept of Consumer Affairs Director</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Invited thru Finch</td>
<td>Finch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve Bank Area Regional Manager Melody Winter Nava?</td>
<td>Invited thru Ron Branch?</td>
<td>Ron Branch?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Faustine Lour?</td>
<td>Invited thru Ron Branch?</td>
<td>Ron Branch?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Council District 3 Dennis Zine</td>
<td>District 5 Jack Weiss</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 6 Tony Cardenas</td>
<td>District 7 Richard Alarcon</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 8 Bernard Parks</td>
<td>District 9 Jan Perry Asst President Pro Tem</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 10 Herb Wesson</td>
<td>District 11 Bill Rosendahl</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 12 Greg Smith</td>
<td>District 13 Janice Hahn</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA County Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas (D-2)</td>
<td>LA County Supervisor Don Knabe (Dist 4)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. David T. Dreier</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Fred I. Sherman</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Howard L. Berman</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Hank A. Waxman</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Diane E. Watson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Maxine Waters</td>
<td>Finch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Jane Harman</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Laura Richardson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Grace F. Napolitano</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Linda T. Sanchez</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Edward R. Royce</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Jerry Lewis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Gary G. Miller</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Joe Esca</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Ken Calvert</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Nancy Boro Mack</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Dana T. Rohrabacher</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. Lorena L. Sanchez</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep. John B. T. Campbell</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Zeran</td>
<td>Request thru Agopian, RSVP#1000000000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Chamber of Commerce CEO Judy Kendall</td>
<td>Request thru Agopian/Finish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of course— I will try and call you all next week. Thank you. J

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-4961
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

From: Camper, Naomi G.
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 3:32 PM
To: Owen, Jan L.
Cc: Stewart, Barbara L.; Griner, Rob E.; Farrell, Donna M.; Archer, Catrina L.
Subject: Re: When you have a minute

Donna — can you please find a time that works for this? Or to make life easier for everyone, Jan you could just try to reach one of us next week?

Naomi Gandler Camper
Head of Federal Gov't Relations
JPMorgan Chase
202 585 2705
202 255 1510

"Owen, Jan L." <jan.owen@wamu.net>
To "Camper, Naomi G." "Griner, Rob E." "Stewart, Barbara L." "Farrell, Donna M." "Archer, Catrina L."
01/30/2009 06:17 PM

Wamu Chase has a situation with Congresswoman Richardson mortgage that I would like to bring you up to speed on.
My office number is below and my cell is 916-804. Although this is not urgent, I do want to update you both. Next week will work. Thanks, Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President

State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager

801 K Street Suite 110

Sacramento, CA 95814

916-553-4961

916-325-4717 fax

jem.owen@wmu.net
From: Camper, Naomi G. <Camper, Naomi G.>  
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 6:32 PM  
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>  
Cc: Stewart, Barbara L. <Stewart, Barbara L.>  
Griner, Rob E. <Griner, Rob E.>  
Farrell, Donna M. <Farrell, Donna M.>  
Archer, Cattrina L. <Archer, Cattrina L.>  
Subject: Re: When you have a minute

Donna – can you please find a time that works for this? Or to make life easier for everyone, Jan you could just try to reach one of us next week?

Naomi Gendler Camper  
Head of Federal Gov’t Relations  
JPMorgan Chase  
202.585.717 w  
202.259.2270 c

Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>  
01/30/2009 06:17 PM  
To: Camper, Naomi G. <Camper, Naomi G.>, Griner, Rob E. <Griner, Rob E.>, Stewart, Barbara L. <Stewart, Barbara L.>  
Subject: When you have a minute

WaMu/Chase has a situation with Congresswoman Richardson mortgage that I would like to bring you up to speed on. My office number is below and my cell is 916-804. Although this is not urgent - I do want to update you both. Next week will work. Thanks, Jan

Jan Lyn Owen  
First Vice President  
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager  
801 K Street Suite 110  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-553-0661  
916-325-4717 fax  
jan.owen@wamu.net
From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 6:17:39 PM
To: Cempre, Neomi G.; Griner, Rob E.
CC: Stewart, Barbara L.
Subject: When you have a minute

WaMu/Chase has a situation with Congresswoman Richardson mortgage that I would like to bring you up to speed on. My office number is below and my cell is 916-804-2420. Although this is not urgent, I do want to update you both. Next week will work. Thanks, Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
501 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-4051
610-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net
Call when you get a chance.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Owen, Jan L." [jan.owen@wamu.net] 
Sent: 01/30/2009 12:25 PM PST 
To: Barbara Lynn Stewart 
Subject: FW: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

I will need to update you on this one when you are available. Nothing urgent. Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-4261
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

-----
From: Thorn, Ann 
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 12:06 PM 
To: Bettaglia, Paul J.; Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L. 
Cc: Cook, Don 
Subjects: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

She just called and I called her back, but got voicemail. I have to leave for the day so I will try her again on Monday.

Everyone have a nice weekend.

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
Wamu, now part of JP Morgan Chase
Office: 904-462-2159 
Text: 904-202-0428 

For Internal Use Only
From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 10:28 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

REDACTED

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 5:28 AM
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WeKit, now part of JPMorgan Chase
Office: 904-460-7180
Cell: REDACTED

For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 8:26 AM
To: Thorn, Ann; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Ms. Richardson is due for her January payment. Sept, Oct, Nov and Dec pmts were made around the 10th of each month.

Thanks,
Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loan Mitigation
Home Loans

WaMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7255 Baymeadows Wey, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

EMAIL: CSOC.12306@amu, GDD: CSOC.13214@amu
julie.mathis@amu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

-----

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 7:57 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Mathis, Julie A.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: FW: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Happy Friday Everyone!!!!

Julie, what is the status of her loan?

Ann Thorn, EVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WaMu, now part of JPMorgan Chase
office: 904-462-2150
cell: 904-462-2190

For Internal Use Only

-----

From: Austin, Daysha
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 6:09 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Hi Ann, are you available to speak with Laura Richardson? If so, what time can she call you?

Daysha Austin

U.S. Representative Laura Richardson (D-CA)
100 W. Broadway, Suite 600

(562) 437-...

Phone

(562) 437...
Fax

This e-mail (including any attachments) is intended only for use by the named addressee(s). It may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited under U.S. House of Representatives policies. If you received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this e-mail immediately from your computer. Thank you. U.S. House of Representatives.
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wmuc.net>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 3:26 PM
To: Stewart, Barbara L. <barbra.stewart@wmuc.net>
Subject: FW: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

I will need to update you on this one when you are available. Nothing urgent. Jan

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-563-4961
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wmuc.net

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 12:06 PM
To: Battaglia, Paul J.; Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

She just called and I called her back, but got voicemail. I have to leave for the day so I will try her again on Monday.

Everyone have a nice weekend.

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WmMu, now part of J.P. Morgan Chase
office: 904-482-2850
cell: [---]---[---]
For Internal Use Only

From: Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 10:28 AM
To: Thorn, Ann; Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugi, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.
Cc: Cook, Don
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Paul J. Battaglia
First Vice President and Senior Counsel
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association  
1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 3501  
Seattle WA 98101  
Phone: 206-500-7858  
Fax: 206-377-3741

NOTICE: This communication may contain legally privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank You.

From: Thorn, Ann  
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 5:28 AM  
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.  
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Ann Thorn, FVP  
National Asset Recovery Manager  
WinMu, now part of JPMorgan Chase  
Office: 904-435-1300  
Fax: [redacted]

For Internal Use Only

From: Mathis, Julie A.  
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 8:26 AM  
To: Thorn, Ann; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.  
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Ms. Richardson is due for her January payment. Sept, Oct, Nov and Dec pmts were made around the 10th of each month.

Thanks,

Julie

Julie Mathis, VP  
Department Manager  
Loss Mitigation  
Home Loans  

WinMu, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase  
7255 Baymeadow Way, JAXA2000  
Jacksonville, FL 32208

904.885.1305 direct, 904.885.1525 fax

JPMC - 007412  
CONFIDENTIAL  
CSOC.RICH.004905
Julie.mathis@wmn.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 7:57 AM
To: Gauli, Sara C.; Mathis, Julie A.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: FW: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Happy Friday Everyone!!!!

Julie, what is the status of her loan?

Ann Thorn, FVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
WMN, now part of JP Morgan Chase
Office: 904-462-2150
Cell: 904-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

From: Austin, Daysha
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 6:09 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Hi Ann, are you available to speak with Laura Richardson? If so, what time can she call you?

Daysha Austin
U.S. Representative Laura Richardson (D-CA)

100 W. Broadway, Suite 600
(562) 437-1/Phone
(562) 437-1/Fax

This e-mail (including any attachments) is intended only for use by the named addressee(s). It may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited under U.S. House of Representatives policies. If you received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this e-mail immediately from your computer. Thank you. U.S. House of Representatives.
From: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 9:42 AM
To: Thorn, Ann <ann.thorn@wamu.net>
Subject: Re: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Ann: instead of a call- maybe a couple of one off calls? I will call you this am. Thanks, J

------------------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Thom, Ann
To: Mathis, Julie A.; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Sent: Fri Jan 30 05:28:06 2009
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

REDACTED

ANN, Thorn, EVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
Wamu, now part of JPMorgan Chase
c/o: 2604-462-2150

For Internal Use Only

------------------------

From: Mathis, Julie A.
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 8:26 AM
To: Thorn, Ann; Gaugl, Sara C.; Owen, Jan L.; Battaglia, Paul J.
Subject: RE: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

REDACTED

Thanks,
Julie

Julie Mathis, VP
Department Manager
Loss Mitigation
Home Loans

WamU, now backed by the strength of JPMorgan Chase
7235 Baymeadows Way, JAXA2000
Jacksonville, FL 32256

904.886.1305 direct, 904. 886.1225 fax
julie.mathis@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Thorn, Ann
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 7:57 AM
To: Gaugl, Sara C.; Mathis, Julie A.; Owan, Jan L.; Battaglin, Paul J.
Subject: FW: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Hi Ann,

Happy Friday Everyone!!!!

Julie, what is the status of her loan?

Ann Thorn, PVP
National Asset Recovery Manager
Wamu, now part of JP Morgan Chase
office: 904-462-2150
Cell: 407-225-8197

For Internal Use Only

From: Austin, Daysha
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 6:09 PM
To: Thorn, Ann
Subject: Conference Call with Laura Richardson

Hi Ann, are you available to speak with Laura Richardson? If so, what time can she call you?

Daysha Austin
U.S. Representative Laura Richardson (D-CA)
100 W. Broadway, Suite 600
(562) 436

Phone

(562) 437

Fax

This e-mail (including any attachments) is intended only for use by the named addressee(s). It may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited under U.S. House of Representatives policies. If you received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this e-mail immediately from your computer. Thank you. U.S. House of Representatives.
State warned of possible credit downgrade
Matthew Yi, Chronicle Sacramento Bureau
Thursday, January 22, 2009

(01-22) 04:00 PST Sacramento --

A leading national credit-rating agency put California's government on notice Wednesday for a possible downgrade in credit, blaming an estimated $40 billion budget deficit that Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger and the Legislature have been unable to resolve.

Moody's Investor Service also downgraded two of the state's outstanding bond debts: $8.7 billion in bonds sold in previous years to help solve earlier budget deficits and $5 billion in short-term loans that the state took out last fall to help smooth California's cash flows.

A downgrade in the state's overall credit rating would be yet another blow to California's already shaky finances, making it more difficult and expensive for the Golden State to borrow or sell bonds in the future. The downgrade of existing bonds could raise some of the state's interest payments and make it more difficult for investors holding those notes to resell them on the bond market, said H.D. Palmer, a spokesman for the Department of Finance.

According to Moody's note to investors published Wednesday, placing the state's credit rating on its watch list for a possible downgrade reflects "the state's significant budgetary shortfall. Impending liquidity crisis and lack of legislative solutions."

The move is the latest evidence of "how urgently our state needs its legislators to act to resolve our fiscal emergency," Schwarzenegger's finance director Mike Genest said in a written statement. "We can prevent this from happening by acting swiftly to close our budget gap in the manner that the governor has proposed," he wrote.

Alicia Trost, a spokeswoman for state Senate President Pro Temp Darrell Steinberg, D-Sacramento, said a warning on the state's credit rating is "just further proof that the governor and Legislature must come together on a budget solution (for the rest of this year and next fiscal year) as quickly as possible."

The credit news is the latest evidence that "California needs to enact major budget reforms..."
and measures to stimulate our economy as soon as possible," said Jennifer Gibbons, a spokeswoman for Assembly Republican leader Mike Villines of Clovis (Fresno County).

Schwarzenegger and legislative leaders will try to reconvene budget negotiations today, aides said.

But despite California’s impending fiscal doom, which includes delaying tax refunds and other payments in coming weeks and possibly issuing IOUs, Schwarzenegger and legislative leaders took a break from budget negotiations in recent days when they flew to Washington to witness Tuesday’s inauguration of President Obama.

Schwarzenegger returned to the state Tuesday evening, and Steinberg and Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, D-Baldwin Vista (Los Angeles County), were scheduled to return late Wednesday.

Before leaving Washington on Wednesday, Steinberg and Bass said in a conference call that they had met in the Capitol with California’s Democratic congressional delegation, including Sens. Dianne Feinstein and Barbara Boxer, to ensure that California would get its share of an impending federal economic stimulus package.

The state lawmakers said they are hopeful California will receive additional federal funds to help pay for the state’s health care and education systems as well as infrastructure projects.

"But it’s still no substitute for what we have to do to make the biggest dent in the $42 billion deficit (which includes $2 billion in reserves)," Steinberg said.

Bass said she and Steinberg are returning to California hopeful “that we can have a deal in a few days.”

Republican lawmakers, meanwhile, remained in Sacramento this week, convening a policy retreat at a hotel across the street from the state Capitol.

State Controller John Chiang warned Friday that without a budget compromise, the state would run out of cash in February. He announced that he plans to delay nearly $3.7 billion in tax refunds, grants to the poor and college students next month. Chiang said he may be forced to issue IOUs if the budget impasse continues.

In a related development, Chiang filed a legal brief Wednesday with the Sacramento County Superior Court that sided with state worker unions who have argued that Schwarzenegger doesn’t have legal authority to force furloughs on state employees.

Last month, Schwarzenegger issued an executive order mandating that state employees take two days off without pay each month starting in February as a cash-saving measure. State worker unions filed a lawsuit against the governor, and a court hearing is scheduled for Jan. 29.
California Republicans put taxes on table for state budget deal

jsanders@sacbee.com

Published Thursday, Jan. 22, 2009

After months of holding firm on a "no new taxes" pledge, some Republican lawmakers said Wednesday that they are willing to consider a tax hike as part of a wider-ranging deal to close the state's budget gap.

Republican legislators' internal budget discussions were part of a private, two-day policy conference at Sacramento's Hyatt Hotel.

The GOP caucus realizes a tax hike will be part of any budget pact but could support it only if agreement were reached on permanent program cuts, a hard spending cap and other issues, lawmakers said.

"The reforms have to be there," said Assemblyman Anthony Adams, K-Hesperia.

"(We must be able) to tell constituents, 'Look, we had to raise taxes, we had to go forward, but we've fundamentally altered the way in which Sacramento is going to be budgeted -- and we will not have these problems again because of it,'" Adams said.

No deal seems imminent to bridge the state's projected $40 billion budget shortfall.

But Assemblyman Roger Niello, a Fair Oaks Republican who serves as vice chairman of the Assembly Budget Committee, said he senses a change in the tone of negotiations.

"I think both sides are beginning to at least discuss the priorities of the other side," he said.

Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger and legislative leaders are expected to meet today in an attempt to hammer out an agreement.

Democrats need three GOP votes in both the Senate and Assembly to garner the two-thirds supermajority needed to pass a budget.

Democrats consistently have resisted GOP demands to roll back environmental and labor regulations to aid businesses, and to permanently cap state spending through a formula tied to inflation and population growth.

Assembly Speaker Karen Bass, in a media conference call, did not rule out the possibility Wednesday of reaching agreement on a spending cap.

"I think that we can say it's important that we negotiate all aspects of this, putting everything on the table," Bass said.
Later, Shannon Murphy, Bass' spokeswoman, said that "we're not going to get into specifics, but negotiations are serious and all options are being discussed."

Assemblyman Michael Duvall, R-Yorba Linda, said that "I think we all know it's crisis time" and that discussion is targeting "what the pushes and shoves are going to be."

Republicans know there "has to be some kind of revenue to balance this whole situation" but must obtain systemic change to "make sure we're not right back in this mess next year."

"There will be things that are fee-generated and probably some kind of tax (increase)," Duvall said of prospects for a deal. "But until we actually get the whole package, we're not jumping on board."

Several GOP lawmakers said no consensus has been reached in the caucus on whether a temporary sales tax hike is preferable to a vehicle license fee increase or some other revenue option.

Niello carefully avoided using the word "tax increase," saying only that he could support additional revenues as part of a deal.

"I'm going to express enough flexibility so that the other side will know that, as for me, if they express flexibility on those things that I think are important, I'm going to express flexibility on those things that they think are important," he said.

Niello said he always has been willing to discuss revenue increases in return for systemic changes in state government.

"The problem has been, all along, that every notion of reform that we've put forth has been literally ignored," Niello said. "It gets frustrating."

Sen. Tony Strickland, R-Moorpark, declined to speculate on a tax deal.

"The bottom line is, we want spending reforms and we want to control the growth of government," he said.

Asked about prospects for a tax hike, Senate Republican leader Dave Cogdill, R-Modesto, said only that "we're looking at a lot of different things."

The GOP is insistent upon strong, long-lasting changes to state budgeting and "right now, we're not seeing it," he said.

Adams said he doesn't expect a budget deal to be struck this week because Democrats have balked at GOP demands in the past.

"While it shouldn't have to come to this, it may be true that until we get the real pressure of insolvency there, you're not going to see a break," he said.

Private, political histories loom over House race

By Anthony York (published Thursday, January 22, 2009)
A vote on President Obama’s selection for Labor Secretary, Rep. Hilda Solis, D-El Monte, could touch off a political battle here in California that crosses ethnic and personal political lines.

The jockeying to replace Solis has already claimed one candidate, Sen. Gloria Romero, D-Los Angeles, and set up a political showdown that includes Board of Equalization Chairwoman Judy Chu, D-Monterey Park, and Sen. Gil Cedillo, D-Los Angeles.

But before any of that happens, Solis must win confirmation from the Senate. And while Republicans would have to unanimously oppose her nomination to have any hope of blocking her ascension to Labor Secretary, they were not happy with Solis after her confirmation hearing.

“She answered no questions,” said Sen. Tom Coburn (R-Okla.), a member of the Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee told Politico. “If she won’t answer the questions, how can you support the nomination?”

Assuming Solis gets through her Senate hearing, another fierce political battle will begin for her Congressional seat.

Romero surprised Capitol observers by dropping out of the Congressional race saying she is committed to running for Superintendent of Public Instruction in 2010.

“I have represented East Los Angeles and the San Gabriel Valley for over a decade and have no doubt that with the strong support from my constituents I would have been a formidable and winning candidate and a champion for the people in the next Congress,” Romero said in her statement.

“I have evaluated the wonderful opportunities before me and have chosen to listen to my heart. My passion is education. I understand that education is the civil rights issue of our time — the great equalizer in America ... It is for this reason that I have chosen to decline to run for Congress and to pursue my dream of becoming California’s next Superintendent of Public Instruction.”

Romero was believed to be a strong front-runner for the eastern Los Angeles County Congressional seat. Her state Senate district encompasses more than 90 percent of the Congressional district.

That leaves Cedillo and Chu alone as the declared candidates for the seat.

Organized labor is expected to play an important role in the race. In special elections, turn-out is notoriously low, and the support from labor is seen as critical in these low-turn out races. In 2007, labor’s support was instrumental in vaulting Laura Richardson over Sen. Jenny Oropesa, D-Long Beach, in the race to replace Juanita Millender-McDonald.

On Thursday morning, both the Service Employees International Union and and Los Angeles County Federation of Labor endorsed Chu.

There have been tensions between Romero and Maria Elena Durazo, head of the powerful Los Angeles County Federation of Labor. But Capitol sources say Romero decided to focus on the superintendent’s race after consulting with former Sen. Richard Polanco, among others.

The potential Congressional showdown has also divided the Caucus’s Latino Caucus, of which Cedillo is Chair. Cedillo has tangled with Assemblyman John Perez, D-Los Angeles, and has even threatened to challenge Perez for his Assembly seat in 2010.

Perez is the cousin of Los Angeles Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa, and Villaraigosa is said to be leaning toward endorsing Chu, though his office did not return calls seeking comment.

Cedillo and Villaraigosa were once close political allies, with Villaraigosa using his clout to help Cedillo’s election to the Assembly in a 1997 special election. But tensions between the two childhood friends quickly grew, and eventually boiled over after Villaraigosa’s failed race for Los Angeles mayor in 2001.

Villaraigosa threatened to run against Cedillo for Senate after his 2001 defeat, and the rift has never healed between the two. Cedillo stuck with Hahn when Villaraigosa eventually defeated Hahn in 2005.

Villaraigosa enjoys considerable clout with the Los Angeles County Federation of Labor, and its leader, Durazo. But Cedillo also has deep labor roots. He was the general manager of SEIU Local 660 in the early 1990s. And his push for the rights of undocumented residents has made him a celebrity among certain factions of the Latino community.
While labor's decision to back Chu is a blow to the Cedillo campaign, others in the caucus want to ensure the district is represented by a Latino. Polance has been working hard to unify Latinos behind Cedillo's candidacy.

If Chu is to win the seat, she will have to earn some Latino support. The 32nd Congressional District is about 62 percent Latino. Asians make up about 20 percent of the district population. Latinos make up about half of the district's voter registration. Asians comprise about 13 percent of registered voters.

In her departure from the Congressional race, Romero announced her support for Cedillo.

"Senator Cedillo has been a forceful advocate for public safety and anti-gang initiatives. He has been tenacious in his advocacy for the rights and dignity of working families and the preservation of good middle-class jobs," she said. "He has been a leader in the San Gabriel Valley Caucus' fight for a more equitable share of transportation dollars for eastern Los Angeles County and the communities we jointly represent."

Chu has already secured the endorsement of Assemblyman Ed Hernandez, D-El Monte, who has his eyes on Romero's senate seat in 2010. Chu and her husband, Assemblyman Mike Eng, D-Los Angeles, -- two of Hernandez's top potential rivals -- are said to be backing Hernandez for the Senate seat.

"Judy Chu is intimately familiar with the particular challenges facing the people of this district from her nearly 25 years representing them at the local and state levels, and she shares with Hilda Solis the same passionate dedication to public service that has made her such a fierce advocate for our communities," Hernandez said in a statement. "I have endorsed Dr. Judy Chu for the 32nd Congressional District because I know she will be a strong and effective voice for the people of our region."

Romero's exit from the congressional race sets up a 2010 showdown between Romero and Assemblyman Tom Torlakson, D-Antioch, for state superintendent. The current superintendent, Jack O'Connell is being pushed out by term limits, and has announced his intention to run for governor in 2010.
Fyi.

Jan Lynn Owen
First Vice President
State and Local Government and Industry Relations Manager
801 K Street Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-553-4061
916-325-4717 fax
jan.owen@wamu.net

From: Google Alerts [mailto:googlealerts-noreply@google.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 21, 2008 10:16 AM
To: Owen, Jan L.
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Los Angeles News Roundup October 21, 2008
Laura Richardson goes negative in Safe Democratic District. Payback or playing scared? Noodles could bring full time in Walnut. Health police crack down on the nefarious Walnut Family Festival.
LOS ANGELES COUNTY (CA) - http://www.redcounty.com/lac/}
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Another Pelosi Lie: "White House officials prevented Treasury ..."
By Pam
Laura Richardson (D-Deadbeat defaulter) continues to provoke outrage and snickers in California. But will her constituents actually do anything about their national embarrassment? The latest? Via the Long Beach Press Telegram, ...
Right Voices - http://rightvoices.com/
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New face, same name
Daily Breeze - Torrance, CA, USA
Furutani was elected in February to represent the 55th Assembly District, replacing Laura Richardson after she was elected to Congress. ...
See all stories on this topic

Newsman of the Americas
Multichannel News - New York, NY, USA
Democratic candidates Hillary Clinton, Christopher Dodd, John Edwards, Mike Gravel, Dennis Kucinich, Barack Obama and Bill Richardson participated in a ...
See all stories on this topic
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2008 4:13 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Loch Ness - Free Movies

By gosperminblog2899

Though he ruffles the feathers of a few locals, he is able to persuade an innkeeper named Laura (Richardson) to let him stay in her hotel. And with the help of Laura’s young daughter, John begins to open his eyes and his heart to what’s ...

gospermin blog - http://base.it/eblog/gospermin/blog2899/
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2008 3:48 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

"Blame Bush for everything" crusade
Sacramento Bee - CA, USA
Barbara Lee, Laura Richardson, Maxine Waters and Diane Watson. It's also backed by state legislative leaders such as Assemblymen Miko Davis, ...
See all stories on this topic
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Sent: Friday, October 17, 2008 2:59 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Favored candidates face challenges
Daily Breeze - Torrance, CA, USA
Laura Richardson, a Democrat elected in 2007 to replace the late Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald, has been campaigning in her Carson-to-Long Beach district ...
See all stories on this topic
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November 4, 2008 Ballot Recommendations: Part 1
By admin
District 37—Laura Richardson District 38—Grace Napolitano District 39—Linda Sanchez District 40—Christina Avalos District 42—Ed Chau District 43—Joe Baca, California State Senate District 21—Carol Liu District 23—Fran Pavley...

Esquire magazine endorses Mathews in current issue
By admin
... including presidential candidate Barack Obama, for the upcoming November election. Mathews (D), who is running against incumbent Laura Richardson (D) as a write-in candidate, continues to gain support throughout the 37th District...

Signal Tribune Newspaper - http://www.aignetribunenewspaper.com/

37th Congressional FORUM Oct 22nd
By kendavis
All five candidates have accepted the invitation: Laura Richardson, incumbent 8. Democrat on the ballot; Nick Dibs, Independent on the ballot, and Democratic write-ins Peter Mathews & Lee Davis, as well as Republican write-in June Puees...

4 Signal Hill Homes Local Blog - http://www.4signalhillhomes.com/blog/

172nd Court District Nov. 2008
By Carrie Gonzalez
... LAURA A,BROWN, GREGG R,WADE, TODD N,JACOBS, KEVIN T,HILL, JOHN L JR,COFFY, WILLIAM B JR, CARSTAPHEN, EDWARD M,CROWTER, RICHARD S,WARE, JAMES L,BALDO, NICHOLAS S,POWERS, JAMES H,KNAEBELKOH, LOUIS H JR,BOYD, ERNEST W,RICHARDSON, ...

Southeast Texas Record - http://www.setexasrecord.com/
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2008 1:47 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Seats In Congress Contested
Gazette Newspapers - Long Beach, CA USA
By Kurt Helin Conventional political wisdom is that the two Congressional seats representing the Long Beach area "safe" — meaning Democrat Laura Richardson ...
See all stories on this topic
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Esquire endorses Mathews, Cook, Sanchez in local races
Central Coast Times - Watsonville, CA, USA
Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach. Esquire criticized Richardson for defaulting on three home loans. "This doesn't mean she's not capable, but it certainly ...
See all stories on this topic
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 4, 2008 2:31 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

How California members of the US House of Representatives voted on ...
Los Angeles Times - CA, USA
... Doris Matsui (Sacramento), Jerry McNerney (Pleasanton), George Miller (Martinez), Nancy Pelosi (San Francisco), Laura Richardson (Long Beach), ...
See all stories on this topic
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A chihuahua adopt-a-thon, jail for a porn director, and another ...
Los Angeles Times - CA, USA
CC Watchdog Laura Richardson's code violation case in Sacramento is dropped. Daily Breeze A
dog park for Porshing Square? Blog Downtown.
See all stories on this topic
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Oct. 3, 2008 - Bailout Passes - Yes Votes - The VOTE THEM OUT LIST ...
By toddlernsinclair
What ... 2 - http://toddlernsinclair.wordpress.com

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Remove this alert.
Create another alert.
Manage your alerts.
From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 3, 2008 5:30 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Why not 435? Or, even 535? And, that's just voting members ...
By A Stiegl
Get Energy Smart! NOW!!! - http://getenergysmartnow.com

How the Calif. delegation voted on the *revised* $700 billion bailout
Redanovitch, Laura Richardson, Dana Rohrabacher, Lucille Roybal-Allard, Ed Royce, Linda T.
Sanchez, Loretta Sanchez, Adam Schiff, Brad Sherman, Hilda L. Solis, Jackie Speier, Fortney Peto
Stark, Pete Stark, Ellen O. ...
TotalCapitol.com - http://www.totalcapitol.com/
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Plea to Sarah_Estopp_killing wolves_Corruption Congress
by Sharon 4Anderson
Sharon4Council - http://sharon4council.blogspot.com/

Richardson’s Sacramento Code Violation Case is Dropped
By newsig
Laura Richardson after determining that her yard has been adequately cleaned up. The South Bay Democrat nearly lost the house in May after failing to pay the mortgage for nearly a year. ...
All Content | Citizens for Responsible... http://www.citizensfoethics.org/
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FEES * USA - Second Bill Proposes National Container Fee
By truckbus(truckbus)
Laura Richardson, D-Calif Washington,DC,USA -The Journal of Commerce/Traffic World -29 Sept 2008: -- Revenue from the fee would be used to develop freight transportation infrastructure, improve national security aspects of goods ...
trucks world news - http://infotruck.blogspot.com/
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Richardson's Sacramento code violation case is dropped
Daily Breeze - Torrance, CA, USA
Laura Richardson after determining that her yard has been adequately cleaned up. The South Bay Democrat nearly lost the house in May after failing to pay ...
See all stories on this topic
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Vote "NO" on 700 Billion Bailout Bill

by dk

Representative Laura Richardson (D - 37), 202-225-7924, 202-225-7926.
202-225-5256, 202-225-0927 ...


Container fee legislation underscores freight funding needs

Legislation introduced by Congresswoman Laura Richardson (D-CA) helps underscore the growing
recognition of the need to increase federal support of freight infrastructure. The bill, the MOVEMENT
Act of 2008 (HR 7002), calls for the ...

The American Journal of Transportation - http://www.ajot.com
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From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Wednesday, October 01, 2008 5:20:10 PM
To: Gaugi, Gare C.
Subject: Emailing: bobby-rush-greedy-guzzler.htm

Attachments: bobby-rush-greedy-guzzler.htm

This still just cracks me up! J
MY RACE FOR IL 1ST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 2008 ELECTION

This blog will be used to post my experience as an African American Republican running for office in a heavily Democratic district. I will post articles, events, and report my reception not only from the 1st district but my local party members as well.

Wednesday, October 1, 2008

Bobby Rush The Greedy Guzzler

Well, well, well. About those leased cars that congressmen push off onto us taxpayers -- I’ve been doing a little looking up and found some very interesting tidbits.

For instance, Jesse Jackson, Jr. leases (at taxpayers expense) a Ford Expedition for $1,161 a month.

Bobby Rush likes his Lincoln Navigator that costs $746 a month.

And John Shimkus has a Jeep Commander that costs $627/month.

But then there’s Dan Lipinski (D-III). Lipinski pays for his own vehicle. He says charging taxpayers raises too many questions.

You can see more on the list. Not all the congressmen fleece us taxpayers by renting expensive cars. Some have leased vehicles that come in under $300 or $400.
But I don't think any of them ought to get a free ride to work. With the money we're already paying them, the least they can do is pay for their own personal vehicle and the gas to go in it. Here's an interesting article that has more politicians named:
http://cbs2chicago.com/politics/congressmen.taxpayers.cars.2.724709.html

And then there's these two from California. Laura Richardson likes her Lincoln Town Car. It costs $1300/month. That's a lot more than Diane Watson's Town Car which costs the taxpayer $686/month. Both are from California. So why is Richardson's car costing more money? Supposedly there was some customizing done to it.

Huh? Like what, for crying out loud! Here's the article:
http://www.dailybreeze.com/ci_9740150

Gregory Meeks has a Lexus that costs $998/month.

But the best alibi that I've heard for some time is Charles Rangel's (from New York) excuse for his Cadillac Deville which costs taxpayers $774/month. Rangel says it's his way of showing respect for the taxpayers in his district.

And it doesn't seem to matter that the approval ratings for congress keep going down, down and down. They're probably just sitting up there under The Dome when the new ratings go down another notch and say, "So what? We get what we want so who cares?"

It's just greed. They're just greedy. And it's not just the cost of their leases. Add to that the cost of gasoline and registration costs and auto insurance.
But listen to this: Senators don’t lease cars at taxpayers’ expense. They aren’t allowed to.

Read more:
http://ballyblog.wordpress.com/2008/05/01/congressmen-lease-luxury-cars-paid-by-tax-dollars/

As long as Nancy Pelosi is so all fired up about House Ethics, etc., she ought to favor legislation that would ban tax money being used for the House members’ leased vehicles.

http://freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/2051684/posts
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Here you go Jan.

Leland Chan
(v)enral Counsel
California Bankers Association
916/438-...
Cell: 915-321-...
Khan:...

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message by error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail.

---Original Message---
From: Owen, Jan L. [mailto:jan.owen@wamu.net]
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2008 9:37 AM
To: Marnine Padden, Leland Chan

Thank you-also please forward the short sale I tr; sent a couple of weeks ago. Thanks, J

-------------------------------
As promised, attached to this email message are the two letters sent to members of Congress. The letter sent to Rep. Pelosi is representative of the letter sent to all California congressional members who supported the Act, while the letter sent to Rep. Sherman was sent to members of the California delegation who voted against the Act. The attached PDF detailing how frozen credit markets impact Main Street was also included with each letter. In addition, I have listed below the vote count for the California delegation which I sent to you also last night by email. Please contact your member of Congress and urge them to support the proposal if they voted “NO” on the bill yesterday. You may use the body of the letter to Rep. Sherman if you wish. Feel free to personalize the letter or, if you choose to call your representative, feel free to rely upon it in your conversations. If your member of Congress voted “AYE” on the measure, consider personalizing the letter to Rep. Pelosi and send it to your member or rely upon it if you choose to call. We will be issuing a legislative alert to all of our CABA members today urging them to contact their representatives to urge support for the measure. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,

Maurine Padden
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August 21, 2008

Securities and Exchange Commission
Secretary
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549-1090

Re: File Number S7-20-08: Naked Short Sales

Dear Sir/Madam:

The California Bankers Association ("CBA") appreciates this opportunity to submit comments to the SEC regarding the expansion of the naked short sales restriction to all depository financial institutions. CBA is a California non-profit organization established in 1891 and represents most of the depository financial institutions doing business in California. Its members range in size from community-based banks to the largest financial institutions in the nation.

We commend the SEC for initially instituting restrictions on naked short sales of securities of the 19 large financial institutions pursuant to its July 18 order. The order prohibited short sales unless the traded securities are actually arranged to be borrowed prior to the trades. CBA believes that, in this uncertain economic environment, unchecked naked short sales of those institutions’ securities could raise unwarranted public concerns about their safety and soundness and even viability. At a time when consumers are nervous about the safety of their deposits, they may react hastily by withdrawing funds whenever a bank’s stock price falls.

In California, the failure of IndyMac Bank has caused consumers to be more attentive to the financial condition of their own banks. This sense of anxiety affects all banks, not just the few identified by the SEC and protected by its initial order. In the coming months much attention will be given to how banks perform, and to which banks are deemed to be “in trouble.” This kind of speculation, which to some extent is unavoidable, could only exacerbate the popularity of naked short sale activities which, in turn, could unduly distort market perceptions that may lead to disastrous consequences for some banks.

We believe that much of the rationale for applying the naked short sale rule to the initially identified institutions has equal application to all financial institutions that are publicly traded. Moreover, it is patently contrary to principles of fairness for a small number of financial institutions to be covered to the exclusion of all others, most of whom are direct competitors.
Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission
August 21, 2008
Page 2

The SEC simply should not be in the business of favoring some market participants over others. Such a policy not only gives an unfair, government-sponsored advantage to those select institutions, but would also focus the attention of short sellers on the remaining banks and exert downward pressure on banks' share prices when they are most vulnerable.

For these reasons, CBA and its members urge the SEC to expand the positive step it took with regard to the initial group of financial institutions, and issue a rule or order to expand the restriction on naked short sales to apply to all publicly traded depository financial institutions. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Leland Chan
General Counsel
Dear Colleagues,

As promised, attached to this email message are the two letters sent to members of Congress. The letter sent to Rep. Pelosi is representative of the letter sent to all California congressional members who supported the Act, while the letter sent to Rep. Sherman was sent to members of the California delegation who voted against the Act. The attached PDF detailing how frozen credit markets impact Main Street was also included with each letter. In addition, I have listed below the vote count for the California delegation which I sent to you also last night by email. Please contact your member of Congress and urge them to support the proposal if they voted "NO" on the bill yesterday. You may use the body of the letter to Rep. Sherman if you wish. Feel free to personalize the letter or, if you choose, to call your representative. I feel free to rely upon it in your conversations. If your member of Congress voted "AYE" on the measure, consider personalizing the letter to Rep. Pelosi and send it to your member or rely upon it if you choose to call. We will be issuing a legislative alert to all of our CBA members today urging them to contact their representatives to urge support for the measure. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,

Maurine Padden
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September 29, 2008

The Honorable Brad Sherman
United States House of Representatives
2342 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0527

Dear Rep. Sherman:

On behalf of California’s banking industry, I am writing to urge you to reconsider your vote and support the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. The California Bankers Association continues to support this legislation to help restore confidence to our financial markets and ease the current credit crisis.

What was initially a crisis on Wall Street has now spread to Main Street. As the attached document demonstrates, when the markets are not functioning properly, the impact is broad and widespread. Communities, small businesses and families will continue to be hurt without a legislative solution to stabilize our economy. The significant tightening of credit and dramatic increase in interest rate spreads that have occurred in the past few weeks serve as testimony to the need for comprehensive and dramatic action.

Additionally, we saw the Dow plunge 777 points on the news that Congress failed to act and pass this critical piece of legislation. This translates into a nearly $800 billion dollar loss in market value greatly affecting individual retirement accounts and 401k plans- savings that your constituents are counting on for their retirement. The ramifications of not passing this legislation will continue to grow in scope and impact.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 would provide a strong underpinning for the financial services industry, allowing credit markets once more to provide funding for American businesses and consumers. It is my understanding that the House of Representatives will revisit this legislation later this week. At that time, I hope the people of California can count on your vote in support of this vital piece of legislation.

Sincerely,

Rodney K. Brown
President & CEO
California Bankers Association
September 29, 2008

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Speaker of the House
235 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC  20515-0508

Dear Speaker Pelosi:

On behalf of California’s banking industry, I am writing to thank you for your vote supporting the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. The California Bankers Association continues to support this legislation, which will help restore confidence to our financial markets and ease the current credit crisis.

What was initially a crisis on Wall Street has now spread to Main Street. As the attached document demonstrates, when the markets are not functioning properly, the impact is broad and widespread. Communities, small businesses and families will continue to be hurt without a legislative solution to stabilize our economy. The significant tightening of credit and dramatic increase in interest rate spreads that have occurred in the past few weeks serve as testimony to the need for comprehensive and definitive action.

Additionally, we saw the Dow plunge 777 points on the news that Congress failed to act and pass this critical piece of legislation. This translates into a nearly $800 billion dollar loss in market value greatly affecting individual retirement accounts and 401k plans - savings that your constituents are counting on for their retirement. The ramifications of not passing this legislation will continue to grow in scope and impact.

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 would provide a strong underpinning for the financial services industry, allowing credit markets once more to provide funding for American businesses and consumers. Thank you again for your vote of support for this vital legislation which, most unfortunately, did not pass today. I hope the people of California can continue to count on your support as this legislation is revisited or a new solution is negotiated.

Sincerely,

Rodney K. Brown
President & CEO
California Bankers Association

Advocacy, Education, Services
Why Main Street Should Care

What will happen to people and businesses on Main Street when credit markets freeze? "Frozen credit markets" are too abstract for most of us, let alone the guy on the street. But it will have an impact on everyone. **What happens when businesses don't have access to short-term credit?**

Businesses of all sizes rely on short-term credit to fund their daily operations. These short-term loans are used to make payroll, build inventory, and pay suppliers. The biggest companies go directly to investors for short-term funds and smaller companies go to the local bank. Because of the recent events, investors are so nervous that they’ve stopped lending to the biggest companies. **What happens then?** If these companies can’t get short-term funding, they’ll have trouble paying their suppliers. They’ll have trouble making payroll. They’ll have to slow production and lay off workers.

**What happens next?** Each of the smaller suppliers becomes short on cash. These smaller businesses, in turn, will reduce costs in many areas, cutting back on staff and services used to make the business run. Incomes fall and jobs are lost. Consumer spending falls. People have trouble meeting their obligations, such as making their mortgage payments, car loans, or personal loans.

The Main Street community bank that never made a subprime mortgage loan is affected too, as its customers are the local citizens and small businesses – the workers and suppliers of businesses of all kinds. As the ailing small businesses and their employees draw down deposits and have trouble repaying their loans, it is more difficult for banks to renew existing loans and make new ones.

As businesses try to expand or as families look to refinance mortgages or borrow for a car or to send their kids to college, money will be in short supply. **Our economy is driven by small businesses and consumer spending, without having credit available to them, how can our economy recover from the current malaise?**

Thus, while global capital markets and short-term funding seem a world away from Main Street, no one is insulated from the impact. The tightening of credit in the wider market to larger businesses is just the beginning. **Action is needed now to assure that credit flows throughout the economy.** If the economic stabilization package is not adopted, it is only a matter of time before businesses and individuals up and down Main Street feel the negative impact of frozen credit markets.
Everyone is Hurt
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Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Not all Calif. Dems follow Pelosi on bailout
San Jose Mercury News - CA, USA
Among the California Democrats voting "yes" was newcomer Laura Richardson of Carson, who has played her own role in the housing crisis that set off the ...
See all stories on this topic

The Victoria College announced the scholarship recipients for 2008 ...
Victoria Advocate - Victoria, TX, USA
... Shea White, Jennifer Hahn, Briana Mack, Galen Smith, Megan Duderstadt, Brooke Woods, Ana Ciancone, Latosha DuBose, Leslie Smith, and Sheri Richardson; ...
See all stories on this topic
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Dear Colleagues:

As we discussed today, listed below is the voting record for the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 by our California delegation members.

At this time, we are finalizing our letters to thank those members of the California delegation that voted in favor of the measure and urge their continued support. In addition, we are finalizing a separate letter to those members of Congress who voted against the measure, urging their support for the proposal. I will forward to you copies of our letters as soon as they are completed so that you may use them in your outreach efforts to your member of Congress by phone or by fax.

Thank you, in advance, for any assistance you may render.

Best regards,

Maurine Padden

YEAS (29: D-19, R-10)  Noes (24: D-15, R-9)

Berman  Baca
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Lewis  Royce
Lofgren  Sanchez, Linda
Lungren  Sanchez, Lorretta
Matsui  Schiff
McKeon  Sherman
McNerney  Salls
Miller, Gary  Stark
Miller, George  Thompson
Pelosi
Radanovich
Richardson
Spiter
Teuscher
Waters
Waxman

Watson
Woolsey
From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2008 2:52 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

GOP write-in is latest to challenge Richardson
Orange County Times - Walnut Creek, CA, USA
Laura Richardson (Carlos Delgado/For the Press-Telegram) The 37th Congressional District is so solidly Democratic that the Republicans did not bother ...
See all stories on this topic
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 27, 2008 2:42 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

OC deputies call Rossmoor plan a bad idea
LHj Beach Press-Telegram - Long Beach CA USA
Laura Richardson was recently voted into the New Democrat Coalition, a 10-year-old centrist group known for its Clintonian style of politics and economics. ...
See all stories on this topic
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 20, 2008 2:05 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Laura Richardson to chat with Whoopi Goldberg
on ABC's "The View," will serve as a guest panelist with Richardson at the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation's annual legislative conference. Richardson's discussion, "Image of Blacks in America: Is it Our ...
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Google Web Alert for: Laura Richardson

RICHARDSON, Laura - Biographical Information
RICHARDSON, Laura, a Representative from California, born in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, Calif., April 14, 1962; B.A., University of California, ...
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Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Candidate: Circled photo a death threat
Daily Breeze - Torrance, CA, USA
Matthews, a perennial candidate who is conducting a write-in campaign against Rep. Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, in November, said he was undeterred. ...
See all stories on this topic
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 20, 2008 3:16 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

The parks of park(ing) day
By thejourneyofadamwade
Laura Richardson is going to chat with Whoopi Goldberg about the best events during a political forum. Press-Telegram. Today is POW/MIA Appreciation Day. Daily Breeze. Yes, you can find purebred dogs waiting for homes in LA's shelters. ... THE JOURNEY OF ADAM WADE - http://thejourneyofadamwade.apakabar.web.id
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 20, 2008 2:02 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

NAACP invites public to meet candidates
Long Beach Press-Telegram - Long Beach CA, USA
Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach; Independent Nick Dibs, a teacher; and Democrat Peter Mathews, a Cypress College professor. Democrat Lee Davis, an online ... See all stories on this topic
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Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Laura Richardson to chat with Whoopi Goldberg
LONDON - Rep. Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, plans to host a political forum on politics
and race with actress Whoopi Goldberg next week in Washington, D.C. In this article: Lee Davis,
William Marshall, Peter Mathews. Laura Richardson,
TotalCapitol.com - http://www.totalcapitol.com/
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Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Coastal cleanup, Frogtown Artwalk and POW appreciation day -- it's ...  
Los Angeles Times - CA, USA  
Laura Richardson is going to chat with Whoopi Goldberg during a political forum. Press-Telegram  
Today is POW/MIA Appreciation Day. Daily Breeze Yes, you can ...  
See all stories on this topic.
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Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Laura Richardson to chat with Whoopi Goldberg
Central Coast Times - Wailua Creek, CA, USA
Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, plans to host a political forum on politics and race with actress Whoopi Goldberg next week in Washington, DC Goldberg, ...
See all stories on this topic
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@googlegroups.com>
Sent: Friday, September 19, 2008 3:00 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Mathews a target of threat:
Long Beach Press-Telegram - Long Beach CA USA
Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach, in the Nov. 4 election, along with challengers Lee Davis, a Long Beach Web site publisher, and independent Nick Dilks,
See all stories on this topic
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Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>

Sent: Friday, September 19, 2008 1:58 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

HCAN and I ask you to call your Congressperson
By you
Corrente - http://www.correntewire.com
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Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Death threat targets Peter Mathews
Long Beach Press-Telegram - Long Beach CA USA
Laura Richardson, D-Long Beach. In the Nov. 4 contest along with challengers Lee Davis, a Long Beach Web site publisher, and independent Nick Dibs, ...
See all stories on this topic
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Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Most corrupt members of Congress
By LeisureGuy
Marsh Blackburn, Vern Buchanan, Vito Fossella, Dan Lipinski, Charlie Rangel, Laura Richardson and Mike Turner, and Sens. Mary Landrieu and Norm Coleman. Of this year’s list of 24, at least 12 are under investigation: Ken Calvert, ...

Later On - http://leisurguy.wordpress.com
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 3:59 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

hawkfist @ 2008-09-12T08:54:00
By hawkfist(hawkfist)
The Balding Monkey - http://hawkfist.livejournal.com/
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 9, 2008 10:23 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

Home Woes May Not Cost Richardson Her House Seat
Compton Bulletin - Compton, CA, USA
By Laura E. Davis LONG BEACH – Like many voters in this port city and the gritty urban areas of
Rep. Laura Richardson’s political turf, Johnetta Walker is ...
See all stories on this topic
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Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

More Movement on H.Con.Res. 362
By Patrick Disney

[CQ]: Rep Richardson. Laura [CA-37]. All Congress needs to do is go three more weeks without voting on this bill and it will die in committee. Write your Congressmen today and tell them to oppose this dangerous resolution!

niac/night – http://niacblog.wordpress.com
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Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Hip Hop Leaders Conference Results
By Voodoo Radio

...Congresswoman Linda Sanchez, Congresswoman Laura Richardson, CA-State Senator Mark Ridley Thomas, Assembly member Warren Furutani, Assembly member Merwin Dymally, Assembly member Mike Davis, Assembly member Elect Isadore Hall, ...

Voodoo Radio - http://voodooradio.blogspot.com/

Reading Journal: "The Getting of Wisdom"
By Rob Hardy (Rob Hardy)

It's hard to read about an often sultry girl who's going through a prolonged "phase," but Richardson's novel is a wonderfully sensitive reminder of the complexities of early adolescent behavior. Laura is not willfully bad. ...

Rough Draft - http://robhardy3rd.blogspot.com/

Tuesday Slide Show
By Tracie Reed (Tracie Reed)

I got my picture taken with Bob Schaffer, Terry McAuliffe, the Ambassador to the US from Denmark, Congressman Joe Brrano from New York, Congresswoman Laura Richardson from California, and of course our Maine Congressmen Tom Allen ...

- http://tracie4obama.blogspot.com/
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From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2008 4:58 AM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Google Alert - Laura Richardson

Google News Alert for: Laura Richardson

American aristocracy
Waterbury Republican American - Waterbury, CT USA
Laura Richardson, D-Calif., had defaulted on her subprime mortgage on a house in a tony Sacramento neighborhood six times in the last eight years, ...
See all stories on this topic
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Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

I Will Fight The 'Good Ole Boys' Til I Die
By Sharon... Carolyn McCarthy, Betty McCollum, Cathy McMorris, Candice Miller, Gwen Moore, Marilyn Musgrave, Sue Myrick, Grace Napolitano, Eleanor Norton, Nancy Pelosi, Deborah Pryce, Laura Richardson, Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, Lucille Roybal-Allard, ...

orange coast college my occ
By beverleestonly15(beverleestonly15)
John Canalis: Can outsider beat Richardson? Nick Dibs suggests discussing his unlikely bid to overturn Congresswoman Laura Richardson in the 37th Congressional District in an unlikely place: Community Hospital of Long Beach-orange ...
http://journals.aol.com/beverleestonly15/j-prince-mediter-de-smovery-video/
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Calif. lawmaker’s home woes may not cost her House
Laura Richardson’s political turf, Johnetta Walker is disenchanted with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failures. Richardson’s ambitious one-year ascent from City Council to state Assembly to ... Politics | AP | Huffington Post Wires - http://www.huffingtonpost.com/huff-wires/

Calif. lawmaker’s home woes may not cost her House (AP)
Laura Richardson’s political turf, Johnetta Walker is disenchanted with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failures. In this article: Joe Biden, Lee Davis, William Marshall, Peter Mathews, ...
TotalCapitol.com - http://www.totalcapitol.com/

Calif. lawmaker’s home woes may not cost her House
By By LAURA E. DAVIS Associated Press Writer
Like many voters in this port city and the gritty urban areas of Rep. Laura Richardson’s political turf, Johnetta Walker is disenchanted with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failures.
My Freeze Feed - http://my.freeze.com

Calif. lawmaker’s home woes may not cost her House
Laura Richardson’s political turf, Johnetta Walker is disenchanted with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failures. Richardson’s ambitious one-y. 21 mins ago from The Seattle Times - PLUS 10 other ...
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Google Blogs Alert for: Laura Richardson

Calif. lawmaker’s home woes may not cost her House
(AP) - Like many voters in this fast-paced and the gritty urban areas of Rep. Laura Richardson's political turf, Johnetta Walker is disenchanted with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failings...
Left Of Center - http://www.locnetwork.com
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Calif. lawmaker's home woes may not cost her House
San Jose Mercury News - CA, USA
By LAURA E. DAVIS AP Writer LONG BEACH, Calif.—Like many voters in this port city and the gritty urban areas of Rep. Laura Richardson's political turf, ...
See all stories on this topic
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Lawmaker's Financial Woes May Cost Her House Seat

CBS 2 - Los Angeles, CA, USA

Laura Richardson's political turf, Johnetta Walker is disenchanted with the lawmaker whose meteoric rise has been marked with personal financial failures. ... See all stories on this topic
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Comment on Presidential Point/Counterpoint by Bob S.
By Bob S.
Laura Richardson (born April 14, 1962 in Los Angeles, California) is a Democratic Representative in the United States Congress Richardson purchased a home in Sacramento with no money down[3] and a subprime mortgage. ...
Comments for One Utah - http://onauhtah.org
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Laura Richardson won't have to pay a $400 property bill.
The never-ending story of Rep. Laura Richardson grips on and on. And on. The Long Beach Democrat, best known at this point for her multiple home loan defaults (eight on three homes since 2004), pricey tax payer-funded car lease ($1200. ...
TotalCapital.com - http://www.totalcapital.com/
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Dibs' name to appear on November ballot in challenge to Richardson
BY NICK DIAMANTIDES Staff Writer Nick Dibs, who is hoping to replace Congresswoman Laura
Richardson (D-37th District), has collected enough signatures to have his name on the...
Long Beach, CA News RSS Feed... - http://www.yourstreet.com/long-beach-ca
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Dibs' name to appear on November ballot in challenge to Richardson

By admin

Nick Dibs, who is hoping to replace Congresswoman Laura Richardson (D-37th District), has collected enough signatures to have his name on the November ballot. Dibs had collected 12637 signatures during his petition drive. ...

Signal Tribune Newspaper - http://www.signaltribune newspaper.com

laura kichtinger

By Taylor(Taylor)

... laura kichtinger up netflix dvd movies norwalk ct distance education c130 hercules cineworld sheffield mcelraine rachael elasticity laura kichtinger educational activities pep boys auto parts napa auto parts laura richardson have ...

antiquity - http://sawmillcreek.blogspot.com/
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Subject: Capitol Alert: Schwarzenegger injures knee, heads to doctor

To view this email as a web page, click here.

The Sacramento Bee
CapitolAlert

Schwarzenegger injures knee, heads to doctor

Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger will skip plans to attend the Lake Tahoe Summit today and go to a doctor's appointment instead.

Read More

The Latest from Capitol Alert

- Lobbying money tops $143 million so far in '08
- Top 10 lobbying firms
- Top 10 lobbying interests
- Richardson's Sac home now a 'nuisance'
- A budget vote, only 48 days into the fiscal year

More Capitol Alert headlines...

Hourly updates on California political news, editorials, and blogs.
Video and rundowns from Capitol Television News Service.

Visit capitolalert.com for more breaking news.
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PM Alert: The taxman comeuppance

In case you missed it: The business of lobbying is as big as ever in California, where special interests have already poured more than $143 million this year into efforts to schmooze, cajole and influence state lawmakers.

Capitol Alert listed the top 10 lobbying firms as well as the top 10 lobbying interests.

Dan Walters reports California's unemployment level has jumped to its highest level in 12 years -- 7.3 percent. Read what the governor had to say.

Steve Wiegand finds the ironic headline of the day.

And Rep. Laura Richardson is back in the news yet again about her once-foreclosed Sacramento home.

In tomorrow's Bee: California taxpayers could be on the hook for a staggering $388 million because of a state tax collection agency's vigorous pursuit of a former California taxpayer.

Steve Wiegand has the story of the case, which one tax expert called "completely unprecedented."

Wiegand also does a Q&A with Irwin Nowick.

Rob Hotakainen reports that if Democratic Sen. Barbara Boxer gets her way, Congress will vote next month to designate nearly 800,000 acres of California land -- an area larger than Rhode Island -- as federally protected wilderness.

As President Bush packs up his bags to leave the White House, The Bee editorial board says, "Get ready for other midnight surprises."

The board also says that if the governor and lawmakers don't want more intrusive measures from the prisons medical receiver, "They should act now. Better late than never."
Want The Latest from Capitol Alert on your mobile device? Check out our mobile site.

While you're at it, you can also put The Latest on your

Tomorrow's calendar.

See rundowns, scripts and video from Capitol Television News Service.

See The Latest.

Check out Weintraub, Wiegand, and Walters.

Shane Goldmacher
Capitol Alert Coordinator
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Just read it. It's too bad they didn't say why we 'grabbed it and gave it back to her.' Oh well. (They didn't call us for comment.)

----- Original Message -----  
From: Riley, Olivia 
To: Owen, Jan L. 
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 1:53 PM 
Subject: Re: SF Chronicle - Calif congresswoman’s house a public nuisance  

Yea- did you see the LA times article?
Angeles Times, she said she had not received any information about the nuisance declaration.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2nd Ave, WMC 2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
debbiej.gordon@wmu.com

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
Year- did you see the I.A times article?

---------------

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Riley, Olivia
To: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Fri Aug 15 13:05:02 2008
Subject: FW: SF Chronicle - Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

FYI

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 12:48 PM
To: Riley, Olivia; Baptista, Gari Ann S.; Gaul, Sara C.
Subject: SF Chronicle - Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

Friday, August 15, 2008

(08-15) 10:40 POT Sacramento, CA (AP) --

Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The Long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the home. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents. Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

Richardson's office did not immediately respond to a request for comment Friday. In a statement her office released to the Los Angeles Times, she said she had not received any information about the nuisance declaration.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1301 2ad Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.500.2835 direct, 206.377.2023 fax
dohbicj.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Riley, Olivia <olivia.riley@wamu.net>
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 4:05 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: FW: SF Chronicle - Calif. congresswoman’s house a public nuisance

FYI

From: Gordon, Debbie
Sent: Fri 08/15/2008 12:48 PM
To: Riley, Olivia; Baptista, Geri Ann S.; Gaugl, Sara C.
Subject: SF Chronicle - Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance

Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance
Friday, August 15, 2008

(08-15) 10:40 PDT Sacramento, CA (AP) --

Sacramento city officials have declared a home owned by U.S. Rep. Laura Richardson a public nuisance and are threatening fines up to $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up.

The Long Beach Democrat previously faced foreclosure on the home. Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice.

The city's code enforcement department reported finding junk in the driveway and rotting fruit in the back yard that attracts rodents. Inspectors came after calls to police about a suspicious person there.

Richardson's office did not immediately respond to a request for comment Friday. In a statement her office released to the Los Angeles Times, she said she had not received any information about the nuisance declaration.

Debbie Gordon
Executive Assistant
Public Relations
Corporate Communication

Washington Mutual
1501 2nd Ave, WMC2103
Seattle, WA 98101

206.600.2825 direct, 206.277.2002 fax
debbie.gordon@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
From: Capitol Alert <capitolalert@sacbee.com>
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 4:00 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@warnu.net>
Subject: Capitol Alert: Lobbying money tops $143 million so far in '08

To view this email as a web page, go here.

The Sacramento Bee

CapitolAlert

Lobbying money tops $143 million so far in '08

The business of lobbying is as big as ever in California - where special interests have already poured more than $140 million into efforts to schmooze, cajole and influence state lawmakers. Read more.

Related stories:
The top 10 lobbying firms
The top 10 lobbying interests
Also on Capitol Alert:
Richardson's Sac home now a 'nuisance'

Hourly updates on California political news, editorials, and blogs. Video and rundowns from Capitol Television News Service.

Visit capitolalert.com for more breaking news.

Subscribe to the New Bee today and stay connected.
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Google News Alert for: Washington Mutual

CGM's Heebner Sold Monsanto Stake, Shorted Washington Mutual
Blumberg - USA
The $10.4 billion fund also sold short 100 million shares of Washington Mutual and 32 million shares of Wachovia, trades that will profit if the stocks fall ...
See all stories on this topic

Calif. congresswoman's house a public nuisance
San Francisco Chronicle - CA, USA
Washington Mutual sold it at auction in May, but reversed the sale after Richardson complained she hadn't received proper notice ...
See all stories on this topic
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Assembly Speaker Karen Bass said Thursday she plans to force a budget vote Sunday, though lawmakers have no deal to end a stalemate that has lasted nearly seven weeks into the fiscal year," report Kevin Yamanura and Jim Sanders in the Bee.

As consolidation, you can watch the Olympics on the hallway monitors.

"Republicans and Democrats remain divided over whether to use new taxes, cuts or borrowing to resolve a $15.2 billion shortfall in a $104 billion general fund budget. If lawmakers convene Sunday, it would mark their first budget floor vote this summer, 48 days into the fiscal year.

"Lawmakers want to register a vote by this weekend to meet a deadline set by Secretary of State Debra Bowen for placing measures on the November ballot. At least two components of budget negotiations require voter approval: a long-term change in budgetary policy and a plan to borrow against profits from an expanded California Lottery.

"Many see the deadline as negotiable, however, and believe a Sunday vote may be the first of several floor exercises before a final deal is struck.

"Bass, D-Los Angeles, said lawmakers plan to vote Sunday on a modified version of the Democratic conference committee plans, which relied on tax increases on the wealthy.

"Senate President Pro Temp Don Perata said his chamber would hold a vote "probably Sunday," though he grew more tentative about those plans late Thursday."

Dan Walters looks at another state fiscal problem: the Unemployment Insurance Fund, created by the near doubling of benefits in 2001.

"Although revenue from the payroll tax on employers is projected to hit $5.2 billion this year, EDD expects that $5.5 billion in benefits will be paid out, and that will continue in 2009. The EDD report says "the UI Fund could become insolvent if no action is taken" and the difference between solvency and insolvency clearly is the benefit boost enacted in 2001.

"Nobody is getting rich collecting unemployment checks, to be certain, but the politicians who control UI benefits and taxes have a responsibility to be prudent about both. California is already levying a maximum payroll tax, so the state's only alternative may be to borrow again from the feds -- thus emulating what the state's been doing to keep its deficit-ridden budget afloat."

JPMC - 063500
CONFIDENTIAL
CSOC.RICH.004893
"But that's not a penalty-free strategy either."

"Continuing to borrow could result, under federal law, in the state's employers losing tax credits for federal unemployment insurance taxes, effectively increasing their UI tax burden. And the state general fund would have to pay interest on the loan."

"Simply put, it's another fiscal mess created by political expediency that ignores long term economic reality."

"A federal judge in Sacramento on Thursday ordered that Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger, his chief of staff and another top aide must answer questions under oath in depositions by lawyers for inmates, in advance of a trial on state prison overcrowding," wrote Michael Reichard in the Times.

"U.S. Magistrate Judge John F. Moulds rejected the state's argument that top officials are immune from depositions based on previous court decisions saying that in some cases high-ranking government officials cannot be interviewed about their decision-making processes."

"Moulds said that immunity is not absolute and cited other instances in which top officials had been forced to testify. He said that because Schwarzenegger and his two aides had personally been involved in dealing with prison overcrowding, inmates' lawyers "are entitled to inquire about these matters directly of the governor, his deputy cabinet secretary and his chief of staff."

"Moulds ordered that Schwarzenegger be deposed Sept. 3 for a maximum of four hours. He ruled that Chief of Staff Susan Kennedy could be deposed Sept. 2 and Deputy Cabinet Secretary Robert Gore on Sept. 4 for a maximum of six hours each."

"Meanwhile, marriage, parental notification of abortion -- California's November ballot is stuffed with weighty issues, but none is ruffling feathers like Proposition 2, which would effectively ban farms from raising hens in cages."

"The United Egg Producers predicts the measure would triple the cost of eggs, drive the industry out of the state and deprive consumers of fresh, safe California eggs."

"Californians are already reeling from skyrocketing gas and food prices," said Julie Buckley, a spokeswoman for the No on Proposition 2 campaign. "The last thing they need is to go to the supermarket and pay higher prices for a dozen eggs."

"Supporters, including the Humane Society of the United States, say it would add only about a penny to the cost of an egg -- and end the practice of cramming hens into cages so small they can't even turn around."

The LAT's Jeff Gottlieb reports that Congresswoman Loretta Richardson's Sacramento house has been declared a nuisance.

"First Rep. Laura Richardson was having problems making house payments, defaulting six times over eight years."

"Then after a bank foreclosed on her Sacramento house and sold it at auction in May, the Long Beach Democrat made such a stink that Washington Mutual, in an unusual move, grabbed it back and returned it to her."

"This week, in the latest chapter in the housing saga, the Code Enforcement Department in Sacramento declared her name a "public nuisance."

"The city has threatened to fine her as much as $5,000 a month if she doesn't fix it up."

"Neighbors in the upper-middle-class neighborhood complain that the sprinklers are never turned on and the grass and plants are dead or dying. The gate is broken, and windows are covered with brown paper."
The fight is on between California cities to lure the manufacturer of a fuel-efficient sportscar that has received big tax breaks from the Schwarzenegger administration.

"Among the cities on the list are San Jose, Vacaville and South San Francisco."

But, Sacramento will always be home to Tesla...

"The potential economic impact of such a factory hasn't been calculated yet, said Paul Krulick, San Jose's chief development officer. But just building a 800,000-square-foot factory would result in 800 construction jobs and about $40 million in wages, he said. Once it went into operation, perhaps as soon as 2013 or 2014, the plant probably would employ 800 to 1,000 workers who would assemble 20,000 cars a year.

"On Tuesday, the city council will vote on a recommendation to authorize the city manager to negotiate with Tesla. Krulick said informal conversations have been ongoing since Tesla and Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger announced in June that the company would build its first factory in the Bay Area, outside San Jose, as previously had been planned. The state will provide various incentives, including waiving the sales tax on $100 million worth of equipment, to keep the automaker in California."

And finally, that is Sir Happy Feet to you, peasant.

AP reports, "Nils Olav already has medals for good conduct and long service. He made honorary colonel-in-chief of the elite Norwegian King's Guard in 2005. And on Friday he was knighted. Not bad for a 3-foot tall penguin."

"The knighthood ceremony began Friday morning with speeches and a fanfare before Nils arrived, under escort with the King's Guard Color Detachment. Nils then reviewed the troops lined up outside the penguin enclosure at the zoo, waddling down the row of uniformed soldiers, occasionally stepping to crane his neck and peer inquisitively at their crisp uniforms before being guided forward by his handler.

"Nils was then knighted by British Maj. Gen. Euan Loudon on behalf of Norway's King Harald V. Loudon dropped the king's sword on both sides of Nils's black-and-white frame, and the penguin's colonel-in-chief badge, laid to his flipper, was swapped for one symbolising his knighthood."

[Permalink-J>Contact Us]
PM Alert: The show must go on

In case you missed it: Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger now plans to sign an executive order Thursday to reduce pay for about 200,000 state workers to the federal minimum wage and lay off 22,000 temporary workers and retired annuitants until the state has a budget, according to his office.

Senate leader Don Perata scratched plans for a Tuesday floor vote on the state budget today, saying negotiations were moving forward and the vote "would disrupt that progress."

The California Republican Party has released its final list of Republicans attending the national party convention in September. The list is here.

Congressional hopeful Delesia Holt reported raising more than $200,000 for her campaign. But none of her reported donors reached by the Orange County Register said they donated to the Aliso Viejo Republican.

San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom — a potential 2010 candidate for governor — was married in Montana over the weekend to 34-year-old Jennifer Siebel. The wedding was attended by a who’s who of San Francisco politicians and written up by none other than Willie Brown.

“As far as I can tell, however, the best part of the weekend was the rehearsal dinner. It was a rodeo and barn dance thrown Friday evening by the bride-to-be's parents, Ken and Judy Siebel - complete with Gavin on a horse, demonstrating how to cut cattle from a herd,” Willie wrote in his new SF Chronicle column.

The influential ballot summary of the initiative to ban gay marriage in California has been rewritten, and opponents of Proposition 8 are calling the new version "good news."

The summary now says the initiative would “eliminate right of same-sex couples to marry” instead of “provide that only marriage between a man and a woman is valid or recognized in California,” as well as describe the fiscal impact of the halted marriages.

The saga continues: the Sacramento man who bought Rep. Laura Richardson's foreclosed home
has dropped a lawsuit against her and her bank, after the bank sought to rescind the sale.

Dan Walters' blog: Democrats say California should raise taxes to close the state budget deficit and bolster education and other vital spending while Republicans contend that the state has a "spending problem" and should forgo new taxes.

From the new State Worker blog:

Many people, from Dane Cook to Pepperdine University associate professor Michael Shires, criticize state bureaucracy's inefficiency. Here is the article.

The new "Stop Arnold" campaign will present a petition with 26,000 signatures to the governor, asking him not to sign his executive order, according to a media release.

In tomorrow's Bee: As the budget trudges through Day 29, it still has a way to go before it hits the record for late budgets. Check out Steve Wiegand's chart tomorrow on the Top 8 latest budgets.

In Dan Walters' column: Though Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger is slashing wages and laying off workers, "He'd better keep a few lawyers around because he may find himself in an epic legal battle."

Aurelio Rujas reports that supporters of Proposition 8 will sue to overturn the new ballot summary Attorney General Jerry Brown wrote for the same sex marriage ban.

Want The Latest from Capitol Alert on your mobile device? Check out our mobile site.

While you're at it, you can also put The Latest on your Google or Yahoo home page.
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See rundowns, scripts and video from Capitol Television News Service.

See The Latest.

Check out Weintraub, Wiegand, and Walters.

Shane Goldmacher
Capitol Alert Coordinator
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Washington Mutual Stock of the week
By noreply@blogger.com (HPT)
Surely. Washington Mutual (WM) will be the stock to watch next week, if not for the next 3 months as we all wait and see if WaMu can survive the current mortgage crisis. WaMu: Liquidity Options
Running Low- Mr,...

Washington Mutual Credit Cards - Loan sharking
I currently have two WAMU accounts. The first was originally a Providian account with a low interest rate. WAMU bought Providian about two years ago. After years of paying on time, my payment was two days late (my fault)...

LAURA RICHARDSON GETS HER HOUSE BACK, ACRES STILL CLOSING
By Theo Douglas
It’s the house she defaulted on (one of three houses she defaulted on actually; the other two are in Long Beach and San Pedro); the house that the lender–Washington Mutual–took back and resold it at auction earlier this year to a real...
The District Weekly » District Daily - http://thedistrictweekly.com
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Google Blogs Alert for: **Washington Mutual**

**Washington Mutual** Inc (WM) is a SELL

By ustavv
SELL rating on **Washington Mutual** Inc (WM) Start Price: $3.84 Start Date: 07/27/2008.
SocialPicks.com: Recent Picks - http://www.socialpicks.com

Why Did **Washington Mutual** Rescind Congresswoman Richardson's ... By blogdig.net
You have to wonder about the machinations that went into **Washington Mutual** unwinding the foreclosure sale of Congresswoman Laura Richardson's Sacramento home. The Congresswoman lost her home to foreclosure and it was sold to James York ...
AppraiseThis: The Best Real Estate... - http://realestate.blogdig.net/

Evidence of the US Banking System Teetering on the Brink of Collapse
By Pillar Of Autumn(Pillar Of Autumn)
**Washington Mutual** (WM), another troubled bank, refused to honor IndyMac cashier's checks. The irony is it makes no sense for customers to pull insured deposits out of IndyMac after it went into receivership. The second irony is the last ...
Sound Of Cannone: http://soundingofcanone.blogspot.com/
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Bank deal gives Laura Richardson back her Sacramento house
Los Angeles Times - CA, USA
In a statement, Richardson's lender, Washington Mutual, said the litigation had been "resolved," but that the terms are confidential. ...
See all stories on this topic

---
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Why Did Washington Mutual Recind Congresswoman Richardson's ...
By Tom Royce
But I would love to be a fly on the wall to see the pressure that was brought upon Washington Mutual by the powers that be, and then the negotiations with York to bury this story. Washington Mutual Inc., who was Rep. ...
The Real Estate Bloggers - http://www.thereseastatebloggers.com

Washington Mutual Inc (WM) is a BUY
By mathmlster.

Why Did Washington Mutual Recind Congresswoman Richardson's ...
By blogdig.net
You have to wonder about the machinations that went into Washington Mutual unwinding the foreclosure sale of Congresswoman Laura Richardson's Sacramento home. The Congresswoman lost her home to foreclosure and it was sold to James York ...
AppraiseThis: The Best Real Estate... http://realestate.blogdig.net/
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Washington Mutual Inc (WM) is a BUY
By ronstack@gmail.com
BUY rating on Washington Mutual Inc (WM) Start Price: $3.84 Start Date: 07/27/2008.
SocialPicks.com: Recent Picks - http://www.socialpicks.com

Manager - Health Promotion Programs - Washington Mutual - Seattle, WA
Manager - Health Promotion Programs - Seattle, WA - 482111 Job Description Apply Online
Description At WaMu, our core values inspire and guide our talented team... (From Washington Mutual), View Detail.
US Job Listing - http://www.usjoblisting.info

Lawsuit dropped over Calif. congresswoman's home
Washington Mutual Inc., who was Rep. Laura Richardson's lender, announced Friday that James York's suit against her and the bank had been resolved, the Torrance Daily Breeze reported. The details were confidential, the bank said in its...
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Lawsuit dropped over Calif. congresswoman’s home

The Associated Press - Washington Mutual Inc., who was Rep. Laura Richardson’s lender, announced Friday that James York’s suit against her and the bank had been resolved, ...

See all stories on this topic
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US closes down two more banks

Ahnusa - Crime

The two banks were sold to Mutual of Omaha Bank which will pay 200 million US dollars for assets of the two banks in addition to taking over their deposits. ...
See all stories on this topic

Lawsuit dropped over SoCal congresswoman's home

The Associated Press

Washington Mutual Inc., who was Rep. Laura Richardson's lender, announced Friday that James York's suit against her and the bank had been resolved ...
See all stories on this topic
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Maria Navezuela Vega et al, $327793.70, Washington Mutual Bank, 2007.CA.008217. Cynthia Fobbs McMillen, $7294.97, Fiddlers Bend Condominium Association Inc., ...
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Lawsuit dropped over SoCal congresswoman's home
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Washington Mutual Inc., who was Richardson's lender, announced Friday that James York's suit against the congresswoman and the bank had been resolved, ...
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Long Beach Press-Telegram - Long Beach, CA, USA
York had filed suit on June 12 after Washington Mutual rescinded the foreclosure. At the time, York was livid at the bank, which he accused of ... See all stories on this topic <http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&nc1=http://www.presstelegram.com/news/ci_10002817>
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Rep. Richardson can get Sacramento house back
Long Beach Press-Telegram - Long Beach CA USA
York had filed suit on June 12 after Washington Mutual rescinded the foreclosure. At the time, York was livid at the bank, which he accused of affording ...
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PM Alert: 'So what's new?'

In case you missed it: Rep. Laura Richardson is driving the most expensive car of any member of the House of Representatives -- at taxpayers' expense.

Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger wants people living in fire-prone areas to be "a little less selfish" and not buy fireworks this year.

On Sunday, the governor appeared on NBC's "Meet the Press." Capitol Alert has posted video and a transcript.

Meanwhile, on the last day of the fiscal year, Assembly GOP leader Mike Villines sounded dour about the Legislature's chances for passing a state budget anytime soon.

"We're doing meetings, but we're not making a ton of progress," Villines said in an hour-long meeting at The Bee Capitol Bureau. Check out the highlights from his visit.

Also on Capitol Alert: Steve Geissinger joins the growing ranks of laid-off Capitol reporters. Fiona Ma has a new squeeze and Cindy McCain owes property taxes in California.

In tomorrow's Bee: Kevin Yamamura profiles the four legislative leaders and governor trying to balance the state's budget. They are "one of the least experienced groups ever."

Once again, the fiscal year will begin without a budget. Dan Walters asks, "So what's new?"

M.S. Enkoji reports the California Commission on the Fair Administration of Justice issued a report calling capital punishment in California is too flawed to be effective.

Judy Lin reports Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger's fellow Republicans will not support his lottery proposal to bridge the state's budget deficit this year, leaving him without the centerpiece of his proposal as the state's fiscal year begins today.
Aurelio Rojas reports Democratic presidential candidate Barack Obama, who has said the issue of gay marriage should be left up to each state, has announced his opposition to the California ballot measure that would ban gay marriage.

In his column, Peter Schrag writes about growing pot and the recent wildfires.

The Bee editorial board says that after the collapse of a proposed health overhaul, the governor and "state lawmakers are about to violate a basic tenet of the health care profession: 'Do no harm.'"

Want The Latest from Capitol Alert on your mobile device? Check out our mobile site.

While you're at it, you can also put The Latest on your Google or Yahoo home page.

Tomorrow's calendar.

See rundowns, scripts and video from Capitol Television News Service.

See The Latest

Check out Weintraub, Wiegand, and Walters.

Shane Goldmacher
Capitol Alert Coordinator
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From: Owen, Jan L.
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 6:10:56 PM
To: Baptiste, Gini Ann B.
Subject: Latest media coverage on Richardson

Rep's got expensive wheels
By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer
Article Last Updated: 06/29/2008

Taxpayers pay for Rep. Laura Richardson's car lease. When she arrived in Congress last fall, Rep. Laura Richardson sought out a vehicle that would match her newfound status.

She settled on a 2007 Lincoln Town Car - the choice of many representatives who lease their vehicles at taxpayers' expense. But hers was distinct: at $1,300 a month, it was the most expensive car in the House of Representatives.

Richardson, a Democrat who represents Carson, has since become known for defaulting on two home loans and losing a third house - in an upscale neighborhood in Sacramento - at a foreclosure auction.

But her history with vehicles has been similarly fraught. When she was a councilwoman in Long Beach, she crashed her BMW, abandoned it at a body shop, failed to pay a prior repair bill, and then racked up 30,000 miles on a city-owned hybrid in one year - apparently violating a policy against personal use of city cars.

In her brief stint in the Assembly, she leased a 2002 Lincoln LS for $304 a month - all but $36 of it paid for by the state. So Richardson was already well versed in the use of government vehicles when she got to Washington.

A protege of Rep. Maxine Waters, she calls Waters "Big Mama" and herself "Little Mama." Richardson has worked to cultivate an image of success since arriving in Congress last fall. That effort evidently included a major upgrade of her publicly funded car.

About 130 representatives leased cars last year, according to a report compiled earlier this year by Taxpayers for Common Sense. Most were in the range of $400 to $800 per month.

Richardson's 2007 lease costs show up on a more recent congressional spending report, because she did not pay the bill until February. According to the report, her first bill was for $1,296, and covered a one-month period from mid-October to mid-November. She then paid a prorated amount of $2,000 for the 45-day period from mid-November to the end of the year.

That makes her lease $300 more expensive than the costliest car in the Taxpayers for Common Sense report. As of last winter, the newest member of Congress had far and away the most expensive car in the House of Representatives.

"A $1,300 lease is a gold-plated lease," said Keith Ashdown, chief investigator for the watchdog group. "Because it's federal money and not their personal money, they're not looking for the best value."

Rep. Diane Watson, D-Los Angeles, also leases a 2007 Lincoln Town Car, but she pays only $888 per month for it.

According to a former staff member, Richardson insisted that her Lincoln be specially customized, which may explain the high cost.

Richardson's spokesman, William Marshall, initially stated that Richardson is paying only $940 per month for her Town Car, but gave no documentation of that. After he was presented with the expense report showing the $1,300 lease amount, he declined to answer further questions.

"No comment," he said.

Richardson got the car from a local Enterprise Rent-a-Car office for her use when she needed to travel around her congressional district. The cost would not have been affected by her personal credit history, because it was paid for by the federal government. The price tag also did not include insurance, which Richardson agreed to pay for on her own.

At 6:30 p.m. April 3, a staff member was driving the car on the San Diego (405) Freeway, when he got into a crash. According to a California Highway Patrol report, the staffer, Henry Rogers, reported being struck in the rear by a white sport utility vehicle. The impact caused him to spin out and hit the center median and left major damage to the left rear of the Town Car.

The CHP report gives no information about the insurance on the car, other than to say that it was a "rental." But Richardson's subsequent actions suggest that Rogers may not have been listed as an eligible driver on her insurance policy.

According to the former staffer, after the crash Richardson required her district employees to buy additional insurance so they could legally drive the Lincoln. The former staff member did not want to be identified out of fear of jeopardizing future...
employment prospects.
Another former staffer said Rogers was not forced to pay for the damage to the car, but did not know how the issue was resolved.
Rogers referred questions about the crash to Richardson’s spokesman, who did not return a call about the crash on Friday.
Richardson did not report the crash to Enterprise, but it is not clear that she was required to, given that she was self-insured.
Records also indicate that Richardson owes $83 for illegally parking the Town Car in Long Beach. The ticket was issued Jan. 23, in the amount of $40, but has since doubled because it was not paid on time.
Richardson also owes $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento home, which she is trying to get back. The new owner of the home has sued her and her lender, Washington Mutual, after the bank moved to rescind the foreclosure sale.
“She’s got bigger problems than what she’s leasing,” said Ashdown of the Taxpayers for Common Sense.
Villines says lawmakers 'not making a ton of progress' on budget

Assembly Republican leader Mike Villines visited with The Bee's Capitol Bureau on Monday morning and sounded dour about the Legislature's chances for passing a state budget anytime soon. Read more.

Also on Capitol Alert:

Richardson's ride is costliest in House

A Monday roundup

Video and transcript: Governor on 'Meet the Press'

Hourly updates on California political news, editorials, and blogs. Video and rundowns from Capitol Television News Service.

Visit capitolalert.com for more breaking news.
FYI, only. Gene Maddaus is at it again.

Rep’s got expensive wheels
By Gene Maddaus, Staff Writer
Article Launched: 06/28/2008

Taxpayers pay for Rep. Laura Richardson’s car lease.

When she arrived in Congress last fall, Rep. Laura Richardson sought out a vehicle that would match her newfound status.

She settled on a 2007 Lincoln Town Car - the choice of many representatives who lease their vehicles at taxpayers’ expense. But hers was distinct: at $1,300 a month, it was the most expensive car in the House of Representatives.

Richardson, a Democrat who represents Carson, has since become known for defaulting on two home loans and losing a third house - in an upscale neighborhood in Sacramento - at a foreclosure auction.

But her history with vehicles has been similarly fraught. When she was a councilwoman in Long Beach, she crashed her BMW, abandoned it at a body shop, failed to pay a prior repair bill, and then racked up 30,000 miles on a city-owned hybrid in one year - apparently violating a policy against personal use of city cars.

In her brief stint in the Assembly, she leased a 2002 Lincoln LS for $304 a month - all but $30 of it paid for by the state. So Richardson was already well versed in the use of government vehicles when she got to Washington.

A protege of Rep. Maxine Waters – she calls Waters “Big Mama” and herself “Little Mama” - Richardson has worked to cultivate an image of success since arriving in Congress last fall. That effort evidently included a major upgrade of her publicly funded car.

About 130 representatives leased cars last year, according to a report compiled earlier this year by Taxpayers for Common Sense. Most were in the range of $400 to $600 per month.

Richardson’s 2007 lease costs show up on a more recent congressional spending report, because she did not pay the bill until February. According to the report, her first bill was for $1,299, and covered a one-month period from mid-October to mid-November. She then paid a prorated amount of $2,035 for the 45-day period from mid-November to the end of the year.

That makes her lease $300 more expensive than the cheapest car in the Taxpayers for Common Sense report. As of last winter, the newest member of Congress had far and away the most expensive car in the House of Representatives.

“A $1,300 lease is a gold-plated lease,” said Keith Ashdown, chief investigator for the watchdog group. “Because it’s federal money and not their personal money, they’re not looking for the best value.”

Rep. Diane Watson, D-Los Angeles, also leases a 2007 Lincoln Town Car, but she pays only $885 per month for it.

According to a former staff member, Richardson insisted that her Lincoln be specially customized, which may explain the high cost.

Richardson’s spokesman, William Marshall, initially stated that Richardson is paying only $940 per month for her Town Car, but gave no documentation of that. After he was presented with the expense report showing the $1,300 lease amount, he declined to answer further questions.

“No comment,” he said.
Richardson got the car from a local Enterprise Rent-a-Car office for her use when she needed to travel around her congressional district. The cost would not have been affected by her personal credit history, because it was paid for by the federal government. The price tag also did not include insurance, which Richardson agreed to pay for on her own.

At 8:30 p.m. April 3, a staff member was driving the car on the San Diego (405) Freeway, when he got into a crash. According to a California Highway Patrol report, the staffer, Henry Rogers, reported being struck in the rear by a white sport utility vehicle. The impact caused him to spin out and hit the center median and left major damage to the left rear of the Town Car.

The CHP report gives no information about the insurance on the car, other than to say that it was a "rental." But Richardson's subsequent actions suggest that Rogers may not have been listed as an eligible driver on her insurance policy.

According to the former staffer, after the crash Richardson required her district employees to buy additional insurance so they could legally drive the Lincoln. The former staffer did not want to be identified out of fear of jeopardizing future employment prospects.

Another former staffer said Rogers was not forced to pay for the damage to the car, but did not know how the issue was resolved.

Rogers referred questions about the crash to Richardson's spokesman, who did not return a call about the crash on Friday.

Richardson did not report the crash to Enterprise, but it is not clear that she was required to, given that she was self-insured.

Records also indicate that Richardson owes $83 for illegally parking the Town Car in Long Beach. The ticket was issued Jan. 23, in the amount of $40, but has since doubled because it was not paid on time.

Richardson also owes $9,000 in property taxes on the Sacramento home, which she is trying to get back. The new owner of the house has sued her and her lender, Washington Mutual, after the bank moved to record the foreclosure sale.

"She's got bigger problems than what she's leasing," said Ashdown of the Taxpayers for Common Sense.
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From: Gaugl, Sara C. <sara.gaugl@wamu.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 6:02 PM
To: Owen, Jan L. <jan.owen@wamu.net>
Subject: Associated Press - House leader raising money for Richardson

Did you see this?

House leader raising money for Richardson
By ERICA WERNER
24 June 2008
Associated Press Newswires

WASHINGTON (AP) - The majority leader of the House of Representatives is co-hosting a fundraiser for Long Beach Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson despite recent reports about Richardson's history of defaulting on home loans and failing to pay off debts.

The event Wednesday evening on Capitol Hill was scheduled many weeks ago, according to a spokeswoman for Majority Leader Steny Hoyer, D-Md.

In an interview, Hoyer expressed no concerns about helping Richardson, who has about $330,000 in campaign debt. That includes some of the $77,500 she loaned herself for a special election last year even while falling behind on payments on a Sacramento house that was eventually sold into foreclosure.

Since then Richardson has paid herself back about $18,000.

Richardson's lender, Washington Mutual Inc., rescinded the home sale last month after news of it became public, prompting the buyer to sue Richardson and the bank.

"She defaulted on a mortgage and it would've been nice if she hadn't done that, but it's my understanding from her that she's resolved those with the lending institution," Hoyer said.

"We have an awful lot of people who have defaulted on mortgages around the country, unfortunately, recently," he said.

The group citizens for responsibility and ethics in Washington has called for an investigation by the House ethics committee, alleging that the rescinded sale may have amounted to an improper gift to Richardson from Washington Mutual. The group also says she may not have properly disclosed her circumstances on her House financial disclosure forms.

Richardson's office has denied that, contending that an Ethics Committee attorney has assured her that her forms are in compliance.

"I understand she's contacted the ethics committee to resolve that, which I think is appropriate," Hoyer said.

A spokesman for Richardson did not immediately respond to a message Tuesday seeking comment.

The buyer of the Sacramento home, James York, has said Richardson received special treatment from Washington Mutual because she's a congresswoman. Richardson has denied that, saying the 1,600-square-foot home she bought for $335,000 in January 2007 was sold into foreclosure without her knowledge and contrary to an agreement with Washington Mutual.

She had not paid the mortgage or property taxes on the house when it was sold in May.

Richardson also had two other homes in her Southern California district that have fallen into default six times.

The Long Beach Press-Telegram has reported that Richardson also has left car repair bills unpaid and failed to disclose certain financial details -- including a loan from a strip club owner -- when she served on the Long Beach City Council.

Richardson won a special election to Congress last summer to replace the late Juanita Millender-McDonald and is running unopposed for a full term in November.
The Hoyer fundraiser was first reported Tuesday by The Washington Post.

Meanwhile Tuesday, Sens. Barbara Boxer, D-Calif., and John Cornyn, R-Texas, chair and vice chair of the Senate Ethics Committee, announced plans to try to add an amendment to a housing bill on the Senate floor to require members of the House and Senate to disclose residential mortgages as a liability on their financial disclosure forms. That’s not currently required.

It wasn’t clear as of late afternoon Tuesday whether or when the Senate would take up the amendment.
FYI – NRCC propaganda.
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From: NRCC-Communications [mailto:mail@nrcc.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 10:35 AM
To: Watson, Alison
Subject: Democrat Dirty Laundry: Foreclosure Fallout

Foreclosure Fallout:
Will Newly-Minted Ethics Commission Investigate Dem Rep’s Repeat Defaults?

SPIN CYCLE:
Pelosi Promises Members Must Meet “Highest Ethical Standards”

“House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, asked Thursday about fellow California Democratic Rep. Laura Richardson’s multiple home defaults, said that “every member of Congress is responsible for living up to the highest ethical standards.”” (Associated Press, 6/12/08)

RINSE CYCLE:
Calls for Ethical Investigations into Richardson’s Repeated Defaults Intensify
Click here to view CNN's coverage of Rep. Laura Richardson's Mortgage Meltdown:  
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G5sA--1U_t

“Richardson’s house in Sacramento was sold in foreclosure last month, and she has gone into default on homes in San Pedro and in her district in Long Beach. Just two months before her trip to Las Vegas, Richardson received a notice of default on her San Pedro house saying she owed $12,410.71. A little more than a month before her trip, Richardson received a notice saying she owed $15,101.87 on her Long Beach house.”

“There is no word whether in an attempt to find the money to get up to speed on her payments, Richardson put down some heavy bets at the roulette table. What we do know is that two months later she once again received a notice of default, this one saying she owed $11,053.04 on the San Pedro house.

“Richardson has faced default on her homes seven times -- five of those in the last 13 months. Will the Ethics Commission investigate?” (LA Times, LA Now Blog, 6/20/08)

###
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A House Seat Won, a House Lost, and a House Leader Divided

By Jeffrey H. Birnbaum
Tuesday, June 24, 2008; A15

http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/06/23/AR2008062301797_pf.html?
nav=rss_opinion/columns

Every once in a while, events move fast in the nation's capital.

Last week, House Majority Leader Steny H. Hoyer, through a spokeswoman, said it might be a good idea for the ethics committee to look into some financial missteps by Rep. Laura Richardson (D-Calif.) that have been highlighted in the press.

This week -- tomorrow, in fact -- Hoyer is scheduled to host a fundraising reception for Richardson to help pay her campaign's substantial debt.

Whew!

Richardson was elected to the House last August in a special election to replace the late Democratic Rep. Jamie Millender-McDonald.

Subsequently, Richardson lost her Sacramento home to foreclosure after failing to make payments. She also reportedly owed Sacramento County about $9,000 in property taxes and defaulted on loans six times on two other California homes.

On top of that, according to the Long Beach Press-Telegram, Richardson failed to pay an auto mechanic for hundreds of dollars' worth of car repairs and then abandoned the car at another body shop.

None of this was detailed -- as some of it probably should have been, according to critics -- on Richardson's legally mandated financial disclosure forms.

The liberal watchdog group Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (CREW) dubbed Richardson a "deadbeat congresswoman" and filed a complaint. Both Hoyer and House Minority Leader John A. Boehner (R-Ohio) said that Richardson's woes might merit an ethics inquiry.

"Mr. Hoyer has always said the ethics committee should look at anything that's raised in the public sphere," Hoyer spokeswoman Stacey Parnen Bernards said last week. "That gives people the confidence that the House is policing itself."

But Hoyer's policy didn't deter him from going ahead with the fundraiser to help his beleaguered colleague pay off the $350,000 she owed as of last month. The event is scheduled from 5:30 to 7 tomorrow evening, in rented space at a private residence on Capitol Hill.

Hoyer's invitation seeks contributions of between $1,000 and $5,000 from political action committees, which are pools of money filled by individuals and used by corporations, industries and labor unions to donate to the coffers of lawmakers they wish to assist. Probably a lot of PACs will pay up. Pleading the House's majority leader is something political action committees are usually eager to do.

But help for Richardson?

CREW's executive director, Melanie Sloan, thinks Hoyer's effort takes him in the wrong direction. "I'd prefer to see a member file an ethics complaint against Richardson rather than help retire her campaign debt," Sloan said in an e-mail.

Richardson's office did not return a telephone call seeking comment. Hoyer is not deterred and defends his decision to help Richardson. "There is no allegation of wrongdoing," Bernards said. "Mr. Hoyer feels comfortable supporting Representative Richardson as a fellow House Democrat."
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From: Dustin Hobbs

Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 6:43 PM

To: Robert Satnick, Chris George, Don Curtis, Drew Collins, Owen, Jan L, Jan Owen, Laura Phepens, Lloyd San, M. Field, Paul Schroeder, Richard Gale, S. A. Ibrahim, Scott Whittle, Tup Fisher, Steven Hops

Cc: Susan Demars

Subject: Media/LA Times article

Attach: SacBeeEditorial6-18.doc, CMBA Article Round Up 6.20.08.doc

CMBA Board of Directors,

With all the activity in the last week in both the Legislature and subsequent media coverage, I wanted to give you an update on our progress (on the political/PR front). As you know by now, we have had tremendous success in the Legislature fending off a number of potentially harmful bills. We have also had success in our mission to educate members of the media and begin taking a leading role in driving coverage. In fact, our media strategy has absolutely had a positive and tangible effect on how the hearing proceeded earlier this week, which I'll explain below.

I've attached several articles that have done a good job of getting our message out in a positive way. To this point we have not used incendiary language in the press, while several consumer groups have ratcheted up their use of hysterical messaging in recent days. While we have given the legislators tangible reasons to accept or at least consider our point of view, the consumer groups have attempted to box the legislators in and force their hand. This was clear in the editorial that ran in the Sacramento Bee on the day of the hearing (attached). The outcome of the hearing was due in no small part to the committee reacting to the consumer groups' demanding tone. In politics, there is a axiom that if an opponent is trying to 'hang themselves' your best bet is to back off and give them as much rope as needed. This has been our strategy in dealing with them. We have not returned fire directly, and have stuck to our positive and reasonable messaging.

Instead of a 'tit-for-tat' response, we have been placing opinion-editorials in local newspapers across the state, meeting directly with reporters, and we have assembled a working group of some the leading business organizations in the state to better coordinate our messaging. These groups include: California Chamber of Commerce, California Bankers Association, California REALTORS Association, California Mortgage Association, California Building Industry Association, and the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association. This group is focused solely on ensuring that industry send a clear and effective message to the media—policymakers need to make sure that they don't make the situation we are currently in (credit/liquidity crisis) worse by cutting off access to affordable credit for consumers. We've backed this up with stats from Freddie Mac, MBA, and other sources. We've also emphasized, in concert with Jon and Pat's messaging directly to legislators, that any changes California makes must take into account any federal efforts currently underway. We don't want a 'California-Only' set of rules that will further discourage capital from returning to the state.

Even in some of the stories that haven't been necessarily positive, you can see that our message is getting through. In the LA Times recap of the hearing, which was not going to be a positive story to begin with ('consumer-friendly' bills get killed), our messaging was echoed by the committee chairman in the third paragraph:

Sen. Michael Machado (D-Linden), chairman of the Senate Banking, Finance and Insurance Committee, opposed most of the bills, saying they would subject the mortgage industry to contradictory federal and state regulations.
Machado worried that cracking down on mortgage bankers and brokers could dry up credit and "restrict the accessibility to home loans for the very people" lawmakers were trying to help.

The bottom line is that the success we are having in the legislature is indicative of the success we are having in the media. We are the responsible and reasonable voice that has been willing to compromise and accept reforms, and the consumer groups have come off as alternatively demanding and complaining.

We've got a lot of wheels turning right now in our PR strategy, so feel free to call or e-mail me to get an update at any point. Our folks at KP will be at the Board meeting in July to give a full update on the progress we've made.

Look forward to seeing you all then. Thanks,

Dustin

Dustin Hobbs
California Mortgage Bankers Association
(916) 446-VOICE
(916) 446-CAX
(916) 704-MOBILE
www.cmba.com

CMBA Career Center — THE place for employment connections in the California mortgage banking industry!
Editorial: Wake up and smell the foreclosures, senator

Banking committee members dither as their districts suffer mortgage meltdown

Published 12:00 am PDT Wednesday, June 18, 2008

Somebody needs to light a fire under the California Senate banking committee. Don’t its members know there’s a 9.0 magnitude foreclosure disaster happening, with California at the epicenter? Don’t they know while some lenders of the high-cost, high-risk loans driving the crisis are overseen by the federal government, 60 percent are regulated by the state?

While the Assembly understands that and has passed a package of bills, senators on the banking committee have been overly cautious. They seem to think that the federal government can take care of California’s mess.

Yet as the Office of the U.S. Comptroller of the Currency noted in a March 6 letter to the Washington Post, “The overwhelming majority of the subprime loans causing so many problems today, including the most predatory loans, were originated by state-regulated mortgage brokers and lenders.” The federal government, the letter continued, “doesn’t regulate those brokers and lenders, that’s the job of the states.” Are senators listening?

The Senate banking committee not only needs to pass the Assembly package of bills (Assembly Bills 60, 512, 529, 1830 and 2350) today, it needs to strengthen them. And it should steadfastly resist the temptation to further water down these bills.

The big problem is unregulated lending practices in California that, as many have observed, are unsound at best and abusive at worst. These bills attempt to prevent a repeat of the current disaster in the future. For example, AB 1830 would require lenders to assess the ability of borrowers to repay their loans and to pay their property taxes and insurance. It also would limit incentives for brokers to push borrowers into higher-cost loans. Other bills shine a light on industry practices through disclosure.

Above all, senators should avoid repeating the mistakes of 2001, when the Senate banking committee so watered down predatory lending bills that they became meaningless — leaving lenders free to engage in questionable and unethical practices, including ones that contributed to the current mortgage meltdown.

The members of the Senate banking committee need look no further than their own districts to see the disastrous effect of foreclosures.
Here's a snapshot of those districts for the six-month period from October to March. Banking committee Chairman Mike Machado's district, which includes Stockton, had 5,118 foreclosures and 5,753 notices of default. Vice Chairman George Runner's district in Los Angeles had 5,645 foreclosures and 7,915 notices of default. These districts rank No. 2 and No. 3 in California in numbers of foreclosures.

Here are the foreclosures and notices of default for the rest of the committee: Lou Correa (1,500 foreclosures and 2,552 default notices); Dave Cox (2,679 and 3,064); Dean Florez (1,474 and 2,108); Dennis Hollingsworth (3,448 and 4,778); Christine Kehoe (1,477 and 2,328); Alan Lowenthal (882 and 1,567); Bob Margeott (1,017 and 1,951); Jack Scott (717 and 1,248) and Patricia Wiggins (1,798 and 2,178).

Regulation of home lending is a job for both federal and state agencies. But, as the U.S. comptroller notes, the urgent need is for states "to effectively regulate the institutions that caused most of the problems." California needs bold action from the Senate banking committee, not timidity and kowtowing to the lending industry.
California Mortgage Bankers Applauds Senate Action
Calls Rejection of 'California-Only' Proposals a "Prudent" Decision

SACRAMENTO, Calif.--(BUSINESS WIRE)--The California Mortgage Bankers Association (CMBA) released the following statement this morning, in reaction to the state Senate Banking, Finance & Insurance hearing held Wednesday, June 18, 2008:

"Yesterday, the State Senate Banking, Finance & Insurance Committee heard a package of bills that proposed drastic changes in the way mortgage loans are handled in California.

None of the bills considered yesterday would stop any of the foreclosures currently under way in the market. The California Mortgage Bankers Association did not reach agreement on a comprehensive measure, SB 1137 by Senator Don Perata that is designed to provide additional protections for borrowers facing foreclosure.

All the measures would go into effect next year and focus on removing certain loans from the market and adding penalties to other loan products. The net result would have severely impacted the flow of capital to California's mortgage market.

The California Mortgage Bankers Association opposed many of these measures because they further restrict credit to borrowers and perpetuate the liquidity crisis that is stalling the movement of homes for sale throughout the state.

It was also important to make sure that California efforts to reform the mortgage market are consistent with the current federal efforts to regulate subprime loans. New federal rules have been proposed and will likely become effective this summer. These rules will apply to all lenders, whether they are organized under state or federal law. Any laws passed in California that conflict with federal law would only serve to promote litigation and drive lending prices in California much higher than they are today.

While consumer groups are complaining about the Senate’s rejection of their proposals, we believe that the Senate acted prudently to ensure the continual availability of loan products to consumers with less than perfect credit.

If the package of bills had all passed as they were introduced, the state would have faced a drastic reduction in the loan products available to working families, further postponing their hopes of becoming homeowners in California’s pricey market.

As lenders, we believe that reforms are needed and several bills that are still moving forward will help reform the market without impairing market liquidity. Those bills include:

Senator Machado’s package of bills to ensure regulatory oversight and improve market liquidity, including:
- SB 1053 – broker regulation
- SB 1054 – broker fraud protection
- SB 1055 – tax credits for borrowers

SB 1137 (Perata) – The recent amendments develop new processes to reach out to homeowners prior to foreclosures to provide them with additional information on the process and continue efforts to avoid foreclosure where possible. It also provides additional notices to tenants of foreclosed properties and creates new requirements for the maintenance of foreclosed properties to protected impacted neighborhoods.

A.J.R. No. 45 (Cote) - This measure would memorialize the President and the Congress of the United States to permanently increase the federal conforming mortgage loan limit to 125% of the area median price in high-cost areas of California, but in no case to exceed $729,750."

The California Mortgage Bankers Association is the leading statewide organization representing the residential and commercial real estate finance industry.
Crackdown on mortgage lenders loses steam in California Legislature

By John Hill - jhill@sacbee.com

Published 5:38 am PDT Friday, June 20, 2008
Story appeared in MAIN NEWS section, Page A4

For consumer advocates, the silver lining of the subprime mortgage meltdown was an unprecedented chance to toughen state regulation of a troubled industry.

But much of that agenda is in jeopardy or dead, leaving consumer advocates and some Democratic lawmakers to wonder what it would take to prod the Legislature to act.

"There continues to be a lack of a sense of outrage or urgency," said Paul Leonard, director of the California office of the Center for Responsible Lending, a research and policy nonprofit group. "It didn't seem to register with them."

The backers of a package of bills to overhaul subprime lending regulations pointed to a deepening crisis that has put one of every 242 California homes into foreclosure in February, the second highest rate in the nation.

Opponents, though, said the bills would have created a confusing mosaic of state and federal regulation that threatened to dry up mortgage capital. They said it made no sense to approve laws just for the sake of appearing to address the crisis.

"It's like we must act on these bills or we have done nothing," state Sen. George Runner, R-Lancaster, said during a committee hearing Wednesday.

Runner pointed out that an important federal regulation on subprime mortgages will be issued in coming weeks.

"It's not that nothing is being done," he said.

The package of subprime bills had been approved by the Assembly. But it hit rough waters Wednesday in the Senate banking committee, chaired by Sen. Mike Machado, D-Linden.

Machado has dealt with mortgage issues for years. His district is one of the national epicenters for foreclosures. But Machado is seen by some consumer advocates as overly sympathetic to the industry.

"The arguments he makes are certainly quite similar to those made by the industry folks we are negotiating with, and in many cases don't seem to put the protection of consumers at the forefront," Leonard said.

Machado says those consumers will be better served by an industry that operates under clear rules and that has enough money to make home loans.
On Wednesday, his committee killed some legislation outright, such as Assembly Bill 2359 by Sacramento Democrat Dave Jones. The bill, according to Jones, would have stopped lenders from forcing homeowners to waive their legal rights as part of the terms of the loan.

Others were approved, although consumer advocates said they had been watered down so much that they no longer did much.

The most important part of the package was Assembly Bill 1830 by Assemblyman Ted Lieu, D-Torrance. AB 1830 contained new regulations on mortgage prepayment penalties and banned certain practices, such as making mortgages that cause the debt to grow instead of shrink.

After it became clear that the committee would not pass his bill, Lieu agreed to an amendment that would gut all provisions in favor of wording to give authority to state agencies that license lenders to enforce federal regulations.

The Federal Reserve is expected to release a new subprime mortgage regulation in the next few weeks. But consumer advocates say it is unlikely to include the kinds of protections included in Lieu’s bill.

Machado and lender associations argued that in light of the pending regulations, it made little sense for California to strike out on its own.

“You will have a regulatory nightmare,” said Mike Belote, a lobbyist for the California Mortgage Association. “You will dry up credit.”

Consumer advocates called that argument overblown. An industry offering complicated mortgages could keep track of federal and state rules, they said.

The issue, they said, is not drying up credit but making sure that people who get mortgages can afford to pay them back.

“It’s not about more credit,” said Norma Garcia, a lobbyist for Consumers Union, publisher of Consumer Reports magazine. “More credit is not better. Better credit is better.”

Garcia said many of the arguments over this package of bills sounded very much like those made seven years ago as the Legislature was considering a bill to crack down on “predatory lending.”

The bill passed, but only after it had been narrowed so much that it covered very few loans.

What’s different this time around, Garcia said, is that lawmakers have now seen dramatic evidence of what can happen in the mortgage market.

“Knowing what we know now, it’s not only negligent,” she said. “It’s a travesty.”
Critics see little relief for subprime borrowers

SENATE PANEL VOTES TO AVOID OVERLAP WITH FEDERAL RULES

By: Edmund Garcia

Mercury News Sacramento Bureau

Article published: 06/30/2006 01:51:54 AM PDT

SACRAMENTO - Homeowner advocates seeking to prevent another foreclosure crisis criticized a Senate committee Thursday for rejecting legislation intended to protect borrowers of subprime loans.

Only two of the seven most far-reaching mortgage-reform bills passed the Senate Committee on Banking, Finance and Insurance in a meeting that stretched into Wednesday evening. The panel's chairman insisted the measures were not in the best interest of consumers.

One measure - to give homeowners longer advance notice before their monthly payments increase - was approved intact. But another bill was so severely modified to satisfy committee Chairman Mike Machado, D-Shakopee, that consumer groups turned their support into opposition.

That bill - AB 1830, by Assemblyman Ted Lieu, D-El Segundo - was the most comprehensive: It sought to cap prepayment penalties, prohibit loans based on stated income and ban loans that resulted in negative amortization. Those provisions were removed as a condition of passage.

Machado said the original bill would have overlapped with pending federal regulations that contain similarities. But a coalition of advocacy organizations, including the California Public Interest Research Group, or CALPIRG, said the federal rules are much weaker than the Lieu bill.

More than 84,000 foreclosures were reported in California last year - a 566 percent increase from the year before. Lenders last month sent 43,011 notices of default to homeowners, according to ForeclosureRadar.

"The Legislature had an opportunity yesterday to pass a bill that would keep this from ever happening again," CALPIRG spokesman Pedro Morillas said, "and instead they decided to wait and see what the federal government was going to do."

Machado defended the committee's action.

"I don't take any exception to what the consumer groups are trying to do," he said. "There's a lot of good intention, but the good intention I think was in a tunnel vision and not reflective of what the complications are today."
Lieu's bill, which now essentially states that California will adopt the federal regulations effective Jan. 1, 2009, heads to the Senate Judiciary Committee.

The other successful bill, AB 529 by Assemblyman Alberto Torrico, D-Fremont, goes to the full Senate for consideration. It requires lenders to send notices to homeowners 90 to 120 days before their adjustable loans are scheduled to trigger higher monthly payments. The current timetable is at least 25 days.
Piercing some myths on the foreclosure crisis
By Capitol Weekly Staff (published Thursday, June 19, 2008)

California is one of the states hardest hit by the crisis in the mortgage market. As
real estate values fell and home sales decline, experts have offered suggestions to
strapped homeowners struggling to deal with the problem. What follows are some of
the myths surrounding the mortgage meltdown, courtesy of the state's Consumer
Home Mortgage Information page. The information was provided by the Homeowners
Help Hotline (888-995-HOPE).

MYTH: My mortgage company would rather foreclose on my home than keep me in
it.
REALITY: The mortgage company sustains an average loss of about $58,000 when
foreclosure occurs (TowerGroup study). They are in the business of providing
mortgages - not owning or selling homes - and would always prefer to keep you in
your home.

MYTH: Foreclosure is an uncommon problem - I'm all alone in this.
REALITY: Foreclosure is a challenge faced by millions of Americans every year from
all walks of life. Rich, poor, young, old - the list is as diverse as society itself.

MYTH: I've only missed one payment - I can likely catch up.
REALITY: The most important thing to remember when playing catch-up with your
mortgage is you owe any delinquent payments plus the current month's payment.
So, if you're a month behind, you actually owe two payments - last month's and this
month's.

MYTH: I've missed too many payments to get help.
REALITY: There's always time to get help. We can't work miracles, but we can
ever give expert advice for any situation. That being said, the help we're able to
offer is far more constrained if you're eight payments behind than if you're one or
two behind. The sooner we can get involved, the better chance you have of avoiding
foreclosure.

MYTH: I'm getting many offers of "help" from a variety of different people. Are they
all scams?
REALITY: Because of the public nature of foreclosures, anyone is able to access
foreclosure listings on a daily basis. These include the owner's name and address at
the very least, and in some states, they could include other sensitive information.
Armed with this data, scammers can take advantage of a desperate owner. Here's
what to look for to avoid foreclosure scams:
1. Your home's ownership changes hands. A common scam is when a party buys
your home, then lets you rent it back. It sounds good at first, but you're losing your
property, and your new landlord can now legally kick you out of your home with little
to no notice.
2. You're asked to pay something up-front and/or you're asked to stop making
mortgage payments. Usually, these scams involve paying large sums of money to
some sort of "foreclosure prevention service." These services offer to do what our
counselors do: counseling, a budget and approaching the mortgage company to consider a payment plan. But the services don’t do always do this work thoroughly, or follow through at all. The most important thing to remember when it comes to any foreclosure service is this: Foreclosure advice and direction should always be free. 3. You’re under pressure to act immediately. Some will prey on the stress and anxiety surrounding the foreclosure process by convincing owners to sign things they don’t understand. Don’t sign anything without either first talking to an attorney, your mortgage company or a nonprofit foreclosure prevention organization like the Homeownership Preservation Foundation.

MYTH: It’s impossible to stay in my house after foreclosure proceedings begin. REALITY: Contrary to what you might think, there are still options available to you after the foreclosure process has started. The sooner you call us, the more tools we’ll have to help you fix your situation.
Good time for buying a home

By Ryan Cholik
Article Launched: 06/19/2008 07:16:41 AM PDT

Although Bay Area home sales weakened last month to a 20-year low, sales of foreclosed homes rose in the East Bay and Solano County, causing some local Realtors to hail it as a boon for investors and first-time home buyers.

Sales in Solano County of foreclosed properties made up 57.6 percent of the resale market, according to a report issued Wednesday by DataQuick Information Systems Inc.

A lot of first-time buyers are taking advantage of the market with the belief that home prices have hit bottom, explained John Wilkerson, a Realtor with Gateway Realty in Vacaville who has seen the majority of his sales come from foreclosures and "short sales" where the buyer sells the property below value.

Homeowners with equity in their property are also finding this to be a great time to move up to a larger home, despite not fetching the home price they would have several years ago, added Wilkerson.

"These communities have been attracting first-time buyers, first-time move-up buyers and investors. Prices are getting more in line with incomes and some people feel they're getting a good, or at least a much better, deal," said DataQuick analyst Andrew LePage.

"It's unbelievable," said Jim Porter of Solano Mortgage, who agrees that foreclosure sales are a large part of the current market. "First-time home buyers are coming out of the woodwork."

In Bay Area neighborhoods that posted year-over-year gains in existing single-family house sales last month, more than two-thirds were in relatively affordable stretches of Contra Costa, Solano and Sonoma counties, according to the report.

A total of 6,216 new and resale houses and condos closed escrow in the nine-county Bay Area in May, down 1.5 percent from 6,310 in April.

In Solano County, the median home price sank 31 percent to $300,000, compared to the same period last year.

Last summer's credit squeeze made jumbo mortgages - those more than $417,000 - more expensive and harder to get. In May, jumbo mortgages in
the Bay Area rose to 30.6 percent, up from 28.8 percent in April. That was still less than half the level - 63.5 percent - seen a year ago.

"Being a home buyer right now is like being a kid in a candy shop," said Kathleen Ramos, a Realtor with Kappel & Kappel in Vacaville.

Home buyers need to be educated and work with a Realtor, cautioned Ramos, adding that the foreclosure market can be disheartening to a first-time buyer.

"We're coming off of 18 months of the worst market in 20 years, and now it's starting to click," added Porter.

With a stack of approved clients' paperwork sitting on his desk at the moment, Porter said, "It's kinda nice to be able to have affordable housing right now."

Inside Bay Area's Barbara E. Hernandez and AP Business Writer Alex Veiga contributed to this story.
Push for new sub-prime mortgage regulations loses steam

A Senate committee killed or weakened a series of consumer-oriented bills aimed at reversing the foreclosure flood.

By Marc Lerner, Los Angeles Times Staff Writer
June 19, 2008

SACRAMENTO -- A key Senate committee Wednesday killed or greatly watered down a series of Assembly bills that would have imposed new regulations on sub-prime mortgages in an attempt to curb the worst wave of foreclosures since the Great Depression.

The measures, part of a package launched with fanfare last winter by the Assembly leadership, sought to protect Californians from getting stuck with loans they couldn't afford and didn't understand.

Sen. Michael Machado (D-Linden), chairman of the Senate Banking, Finance and Insurance Committee, opposed most of the bills, saying they would subject the mortgage industry to contradictory federal and state regulations.

Machado worried that cracking down on mortgage bankers and brokers could dry up credit and "restrict the accessibility to home loans for the very people" lawmakers were trying to help.

Consumer advocates were outraged.

"The system does not work in favor of the consumer," said Kevin Stein of the California Reinvestment Coalition, a group that promotes economic development in low-income communities. "The lobbyists for the industry outnumber the consumer groups. They seem to have greater access [to lawmakers] and give more money."

During more than five hours of hearings, both Republicans and Democrats on the committee supported only one measure that had been high on consumer groups' agenda, a bill by Assemblyman Alberto Torrico (D-Newark), AB 529, that would require lenders to give homeowners more advance notice when their sub-prime adjustable-rate mortgages were about to reset to a higher interest rate.

An Assembly centerpiece proposal by Assemblyman Ted Lieu (D-Torrance) to ban so-called stated-income loans died, as did a prohibition on less-than-interest-only loans, whose principal actually increases with each monthly payment.

With stated-income loans, borrowers are not required to provide proof that they earn enough to
meet payments.

The committee opposed another part of Lieu’s bill that would have limited the collection of penalties from borrowers who want to pay off a loan early, before its monthly payment amount
soars.

“My bill was completely gutted,” said Lieu, noting that he felt forced to accept amendments that rewrote his measure, AB 1830, so that it would do nothing more than conform with expected federal regulations. “I believe the prohibitions and regulations in my bill were very commonsense, and I was sort of stunned that even those modest efforts at reform wouldn’t get the votes.”

A related bill, AB 2740, by Assemblywoman Julia Brownley (D-Santa Monica), drew no support from committee members. It would have regulated mortgage loan servicers to ensure they properly posted payments and correctly handled mortgage escrow funds.

marc.lifsher@latimes.com
Sacramento region home sales continue to climb

By Jim Wasserman - jwasserman@sacbee.com
Published 12:30 pm PDT Wednesday, June 18, 2008

For the second straight month, the Sacramento region showed year-over-year gains in home sales as falling sales prices and growing numbers of discounted bank-owned homes prodded bargain hunters off the fence.

DataQuick Information Systems reported that 3,420 closed escrows for new and existing homes closed during May in Amador, El Dorado, Nevada, Placer, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties.

It was the highest sales number in 20 months.

May’s closings rose from 3,163 in April and up from 3,211 in May 2007.

Sacramento County, the largest player in the region’s real estate market, propelled most of the area’s gains. Sacramento County had 62 percent of closings and showed a 30.8 percent gain in sales over the same time last year. It was the county’s strongest year-over-year performance in more than three years, according to DataQuick statistics.

But those gains cost sellers dearly.

Sacramento County’s median sales price of $225,000 is almost 35 percent less than the same time last year. The median sales price – where half cost more and half cost less – hasn’t been so low since January 2003.

Sales have risen and prices have plunged due to a pileup of heavily-discounted foreclosed homes now owned by banks that dominate the sales market. The Sacramento County Association of Realtors reported that 65 percent of existing homes sales in the county and the city of West Sacramento during May were for homes repossessed by banks.

Placer County, the second biggest sector of the region’s real estate market, is behaving altogether differently.

May sales of new and existing homes combined were 30.7 percent below the same time last year. Placer County has far fewer foreclosures than Sacramento County and prices haven’t fallen as rapidly.

Placer County’s May median sales price of $339,750 is more than $100,000 higher than in Sacramento County -- and fell only 20 percent from the same time last year.

The Placer County Association of Realtors reported that only 9.5 percent of May sales were for homes priced below $250,000. In Sacramento County and West
Sacramento, 56 percent of sales were for homes priced below $250,000, according to the Sacramento Association of Realtors.

Other statistics:

• The number of for-sale signs continued to fall in May across El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento and Yolo counties. TrendGraphix reported 12,366 homes for sale, lowest in 14 months as more would-be sellers wait for the market to improve.

• Even as buyers are scooping up large numbers of bank repossessions, foreclosures are still rising and threatening a steady future supply of bank-owned listings. Another 2,370 homeowners in the eight-county region surrendered their keys to lenders in May, according to Fair Oaks-based Foreclosures.com., a Web site for real estate investors.

Go to: Sacbee / Back to story
Assembly bills to help homeowners

Today's vote in Sacramento could prevent future mortgage crises.
June 18, 2008

A statewide mortgage freeze is scheduled to vote today on a suite of bills prompted by the sub-prime mortgage meltdown. The measures before the Banking, Finance and Insurance Committee would have an indirect effect at best on the rising tide of foreclosures – none of them would bail out troubled borrowers or force write-downs of delinquent debt. Instead, most would try to gird the state against future housing bubbles by curbing abusive lending practices. There are good ideas in some of the bills, and lawmakers shouldn't miss the opportunity to advance them.

The fiasco in the sub-prime market can be blamed partly on borrowers who took on too much risk in a volatile environment. Lawmakers shouldn't try to stop people from taking chances with their money, but they can help the public avoid unnecessary risks. One of the problems revealed by the housing market collapse was the degree to which mortgage brokers steered unsophisticated borrowers into loans with above-market interest rates and unreasonable terms. That's why it makes sense to try to reduce the incentives that drive predatory loans and to make it easier for consumers to understand the choices they face.

In particular, the committee should support a bill — AB 1830 by Assemblyman Ted Lieu (D-Torrance) — that would regulate sub-prime loans in at least three valuable ways. First, it would limit prepayment penalties, which can deter borrowers with bad loans from refinancing into better ones, and eliminate a financial incentive for brokers to steer borrowers into loans with higher interest rates. In doing so, it would require borrowers to be given a clear choice between loans with and without penalties, and between higher rates or higher upfront fees. Second, Lieu's bill would stiffen the state requirement that lenders not provide larger loans than sub-prime borrowers can reasonably be expected to repay. And third, it would prohibit lenders from refinancing loans unless there's a real benefit to the borrower.

The balance the measure strikes between preserving choice and protecting borrowers against abuse isn't perfect, but it's reasonable. And although it would apply only to state-licensed brokers and lenders, it would set an example for federal regulators to follow. After all, California has the dubious distinction of leading the nation in foreclosure filings, so lawmakers shouldn't be afraid to lead with their response.
INTERNATIONAL
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Foreclosure-driven price declines heat up California sales

The Associated Press
Wednesday, June 18, 2008

LOS ANGELES: Foreclosures helped fuel the sharpest decline in California housing prices in at least 20 years last month, and that's attracting an influx of first-time buyers who have been priced out of the market or were waiting for prices to bottom out.

The median home price in California plunged 30 percent in May, the steepest decline for any month going back to 1988, when DataQuick Information Systems began keeping records.

Homebuyers are now seeing median prices they haven't seen since February 2004, when it was $322,500, the firm said Wednesday. The statewide median home price peaked at $484,000 in May 2007.

"All of a sudden, (homes) are in our price range," said Elizabeth Trezza, a paralegal in Oakland, Calif.

Trezza has been on the hunt for a foreclosed property and placed offers on at least six in recent weeks.

The 24-year-old made an offer Tuesday on a two-bedroom, two-bath bank-owned home in Oakland listed at $234,000 — just below her max asking limit: $250,000.

"Right now our mortgage would be relatively close to what we pay for in rent," she said.

For California, epicenter of the nation's housing boom and bust, the drop in home prices has sparked a home-buying rally that's beginning to reverse more than two years of monthly year-over-year sales declines.

While observers are cautious to peg the surge in foreclosure sales a bellwether for a wider turnaround, it suggests some buyers are feeling less skittish about diving back into the market.

"Inland markets hit hardest by foreclosures and falling prices are now the most likely to post higher sales than last year," said Andrew LePage, a DataQuick analyst. "Those communities have been attracting first-time buyers, first-time move-up buyers and investors."

Prices in those markets are now more in line with family incomes, and some buyers feel they are getting better deals, LePage added.

Sue Ansel, chief operating officer of Gables Residential, a luxury apartment rentals operator, says she sees an uptick this year in renters moving out to become homeowners.

DataQuick said a total of 33,024 homes were sold statewide in May, down nearly 11 percent from a year earlier. About 30 percent of the resold homes in May were foreclosed properties.

Some foreclosure hunters are finding themselves having to bid against rival buyers on properties, said Richard Cosner, president of Prudential California Realty.

"Homes that are $200,000-$250,000 today were $400,000 18 months ago," Cosner said. "For the first-time homebuyers and for that bottom tier of homes, we've found what the bottom of the pricing is."

Homes priced below $400,000 drove the surge in sales. Many were financed with loans backed by the Federal Housing Administration, mortgage brokers say.
"FHA financing has really skyrocketed," said Dustin Hobbs, a spokesman for the California Mortgage Bankers Association.

Sales, on the other hand, were weakest in many higher-end coastal markets, where there are fewer foreclosed homes and sellers are more reluctant to cut their prices.

In San Francisco County, for example, foreclosures made up only 5.8 percent of resold homes. The median home price there slipped 5.4 percent to $790,000 last month.

In contrast, more than half of all resold homes last month in nearby Solano County were foreclosed properties, DataQuick said.

That helped drive the median home price down by about 30 percent to $300,000 compared with May last year.

"This uptick in sales volumes is an encouraging first sign of (the) market starting to find its new equilibrium," Ryan Ratcliff, an economist at the University of California, Los Angeles, wrote in an economic forecast released Wednesday.

Still, Ratcliff and other economists expect foreclosures to continue to rise and be a drag on home prices in general.

And that's not good news for sellers like Romaldo Quesada.

He's been trying to sell his four-bedroom, three-bath custom-built home in a neighborhood in Riverside with several foreclosed properties on the market.

He listed the house in April at $429,000, but has since dropped his asking price to $389,000.

"It's kind of scary because the prices seem to be dropping real hard right now in the price range where I'm at," said Quesada. "The sooner I lower it enough for somebody to give us an offer, basically it stops the bleeding and I'm not losing equity anymore."
Rush to pass foreclosure crisis bills
Carolyn Said, Chronicle Staff Writer
Friday, May 20, 2008

California’s efforts to address the foreclosure crisis advanced in fits and starts as lawmakers rushed to beat a deadline today for state Assembly and Senate bills to be approved by their house of origin.

Consumer advocates said overall they were disappointed that many bills appeared to have been diluted, while banking industry representatives said they fear that too much legislation could harm the market.

“There was a very strong and ambitious package of reform that was introduced that was commensurate to the scope of the problem,” said Paul Leonard, director of the California office of the Center for Responsible Lending. “Now it’s going through a weakening legislative process.”

But Dustin Hobbs, a spokesman for the California Mortgage Bankers Association, said: “Our message to the Legislature has been that the market is going through struggles right now and we need to make sure we don’t make things worse than they are by creating burdensome new regulations that restrict lending and the flow of new capital.”

Here is an update on California bills that seek to help struggling homeowners and to prevent future lending debacles. All bills that passed their house of origin next go to the other house, usually starting in the banking committee.

-- SB137, state Sen. Don Perata, D-Oakland. This bill, which has the most direct impact on struggling homeowners, passed the Senate earlier this year, passed the Assembly Banking Committee and next will be heard by the Assembly Appropriations Committee. As an urgency measure, it would take effect immediately if passed.

It requires lenders to contact homeowners earlier in the foreclosure process, give 60 days’ notice to renters in foreclosed properties, and maintain foreclosed homes to prevent blight.
-- AB1830. Assemblyman Ted Lieu, D-Torrance (Los Angeles County). On Thursday, the Assembly passed this bill, which tightens underwriting standards for future subprime mortgages.

It eliminates "yield spread premiums," the bonuses mortgage brokers get for steering customers into high-risk loans; requires lenders to look at a borrower's ability to repay over the life of a loan; and bans prepayment penalties. The bill was narrowed during the legislative process to apply only to subprime loans, defined as the highest-cost loans.

-- AB2359, Assemblyman Dave Jones, D-Sacramento. On Thursday the Assembly passed this bill, which prohibits a mandatory arbitration clause in mortgage contracts. The bill no longer contains a provision that would have assigned liability to investors who buy shoddy mortgages after they are packaged as securities.

-- AB2586, Assemblyman Alberto Torrico, D-Fremont. On Thursday, the Assembly approved this bill, which gives renters in foreclosed properties 60 days to find new housing instead of the current 30 days.

-- AB2740, Assemblywoman Julia Brownley, D-Santa Monica. On Tuesday, the Assembly passed this bill, which sets up ground rules for how loan servicers deal with borrowers. It is modeled on a similar bill enacted in North Carolina last year.

-- AB69, Lieu. This bill passed the Assembly earlier this year. It originally required lender-specific reporting on loan modifications, something that consumer advocates say is necessary to check which lenders are actually helping homeowners facing foreclosures. Instead it now says the Department of Corporations should collect aggregate data from lenders, as it is already doing.

-- AB2380, Assemblywoman Lois Wolk, D-Davis. This bill, designed to regulate mortgage brokers' fiduciary duty toward borrowers, died in committee. Parts of it are being incorporated into AB1830, however.

E-mail Carolyn Said at csaid@sfchronicle.com.
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Flood of mortgage bills make it to the next house
By Malcolm Wachsmuth (published Thursday, June 03, 2004)

The mortgage crisis born in 2001 led to a rising tide of high profile foreclosure cases, such as California Congresswoman Laura Richardson's Sacramento home. It has also resulted in a new flood of mortgage industry-related bills making their way through the Legislature.

Hearing the deadline for bills to emerge from their house of origin, a trio of Senate bills supported by the California Mortgage Bankers Association (CMBA) had made it out of the Senate. Several other bills the group opposed either died or were amended.

Sen. Mike Machado, D-Stockton, is the author of three major mortgage-related bills: SB 1053, SB 1054 and SB 1055. Machado managed to get both the mortgage industry and its critics onboard with the legislation, which will increase the disclosure requirements for lenders and protections afforded to borrowers.

But both sides admit that large-scale reform must come from Washington, D.C.—and that will probably have to wait for a new presidential administration.

"There is virtually nothing that the state can do that will go far enough because of federal preemption and the fact that it's a national problem," said Robert Guzda, policy director and general counsel for the watchdog group the Consumer Federation of California. "The greatest focus has to be on Congress and the Federal Reserve."

Meanwhile, the CMBA has moved closer to a compromise with the author of one of the main bills they still oppose, AB 1810. This bill from Assemblyman Ted Liu, D-Los Angeles, would place significant new restrictions on lenders who offer subprime loans. This includes limits on prepayment penalties that were levied against subprime borrowers—and creating a provision against prepayment penalties—enacts new penalties on lenders who knowingly offer these loans to people they know can't pay them.

Dustin Hobbs, communications director for the CMBA, said the bill as written would make it difficult for anyone to offer subprime loans in California and would bar many people from homeownership. He added that the prepayment crisis has been somewhat overstated in the media, given that 78 percent of Californians who got subprime loans in 2003 and 2000 are still in their homes.

"We don't want to go back to the days when you had to put 20 percent down," Hobbs said.

Reached on Tuesday, Liu said that his staff was still in talks with the CMBA and other groups, but had taken several amendments in order to make it more like an industry-supported bill passed in North Carolina last year. This includes language limiting the new rules to subprime loans and not other "non-standard" loan types.

The bill also picked up some penalties on dishonest and negligent brokers from AB 2880, a Lisa Wolfe bill that stalled in the Assembly Appropriations Committee. Wolfe is now a co-author of AB 1810.

"We're putting a lot of the burden on broker duties and responsibilities that the industry does not have objecting to," Liu said, adding that he thinks "we're getting closer" to have a bill that would be palatable to both sides.

Because most of the bills covering lenders are administered by the federal government—the pending legislation in California governs aspects of the industry where the state does have some control—this limited scope actually made it easier for the industry and its critics to agree on some needed reforms, Guzda said. None of Machado's three bills faces serious institutional opposition.

"We're absolutely at clarity in the industry," Hobbs said. "I don't even understand all of my mortgage documents." The trio of Machado bills each focus on a different aspect of the lender/borrower relationship. SB 1053 would greatly increase the tracking and disclosure requirements on mortgage brokers as a way of cutting down on fraud. SB 1054 would bar professionals who violate real estate laws from working in the field for three years, and also address several potential conflicts of interest. SB 1055 would offer tax relief to borrowers who've had debt forgiven by lenders, as a means of helping those borrowers afford to stay in their homes.

Machado said these bills came out of two years of talks and hearings, which started well before the mortgage crisis was in the daily news. He added that these reforms reflect the fact that the mortgage industry is now tied into a much larger international market for mortgage-backed securities.
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“You have to make sure that what you do is not viewed as being capricious and arbitrary by the secondary market,” Machado said. He added that if the new regulations failed to do so, “the cost of liquidity is going to go up.”

The CMBS’s Habib also praised AB 1137 by Senate leader Don Perata, D-Oakland, calling it “the right way to do disclosure.” This bill calls for new steps and communication between lenders and borrowers when the borrower is in danger of going into foreclosure.

But the real action is likely to come in Washington after the fall elections, Guzzada said.

The Greenlining Institute will be meeting with Fed chair Ben Bernanke, the Federal Deposit Insurance Administration and several other agencies on Nov. 17 and 18. These meetings and others will hopefully lead to changes at the national level. In the meantime, Guzzada said, he’s happy with what’s been happening in the California Legislature this year, even if his group didn’t get everything they wanted.

“Machado and Liu have done the best they can,” Guzzada said. “It may not be worth an all-out effort when the real light is elsewhere.”
Foreclosure forecast grim

Recent hiccups in prices are not a sign of recovery

By Emmet Pierce

May 22, 2008

Nearly 50 San Diego County dwellings per day were lost to foreclosure in April, as the tally of mortgage failures rose 169 percent above last year, DataQuick Information Systems reported yesterday.

Buckling under the weight of risky adjustable-rate loans, many borrowers are giving up on ever bringing their debt current.

"Some of the people have lost their jobs and they can’t afford their payments, but a lot of them just don’t want the home anymore," said Linda Ring, a real estate agent who specializes in foreclosures. "They don’t want to ride out the storm."

April was the county’s 37th consecutive month of year-over-year increases in foreclosures and notices of default, the start of the foreclosure process, the DataQuick research firm reported. There were 1,413 residential foreclosures countywide, a 35 percent increase from March but a rise of nearly 170 percent over April 2007.

April notices of default reached 3,297, an increase of nearly 14 percent from March and a rise of 145 percent from a year ago.

The surge in loan failures underscored that it’s too soon to expect an end to the region’s housing problems, despite recent upticks in home sales and prices. DataQuick previously reported that the county’s median home price rose $5,000 in April to $400,000 after falling for nine months in a row.

Still, the foreclosure report came as no surprise to Gabe del Rio, president of the nonprofit Housing Opportunities Collaborative.

"Month over month, we continue to see an increase in callers for foreclosure prevention counseling," he said. "We anticipate that this will continue through the next six to 12 months."

Rick Sharga, vice president of marketing for the research firm RealtyTrac, says the situation will get worse before it improves.

"We still have extremely high numbers of subprime adjustable-rate loans reacting," Sharga said. "Unless something extraordinary happens, we’ll see a higher level of properties going into foreclosures in the third and fourth quarters."
All of the county's communities have been affected, but not equally, DataQuick analyst Andrew LePage said.

"Foreclosures continue to be mainly a problem in South County, East County and the inland north, but the whole region pays a price when foreclosures keep marching up," he said.

On the national scene, RealtyTrac has reported that foreclosure filings — default notices, auction sale notices and bank repossessions — were recorded for 243,353 properties nationwide during April, a 4 percent increase from the previous month and an increase of nearly 65 percent from April 2007.

April was the highest monthly total RealtyTrac has recorded since it began issuing its report in January 2005. While only about 2 percent of households nationwide are in foreclosure, they put downward pressure on overall home values. Areas of California, Florida, Nevada and Arizona continue to be especially hard hit.

Consumer advocates say the solution is for lenders to do more loan modifications with homeowners who have fallen behind in their mortgage payments. But loan servicing companies have been slow to respond to requests from distressed borrowers, del Rio said.

"It is an uphill battle," he said. "It requires a lot of negotiating and convincing the servicer they will lose more if they don't do this."

Dustin Mobbs, spokesman for the California Mortgage Bankers Association, said lenders want to avoid foreclosure as badly as borrowers.

"Lenders are working" to do loan modifications, he said. "Certainly there is no silver bullet (but) there is hope out there. Homeowners should stick with it. Individual lenders are certainly willing to keep people in homes. It's in everybody's interest."

The good news about increased foreclosures is that they are restoring a degree of affordability, said Alexis McGee, president of the Foreclosures.com investment advisory firm. Even so, homeownership remains unattainable for many.

Serafina Jahries has been searching unsuccessfully for a foreclosure home for six months. The 38-year-old stay-at-home mom said she and her husband Chris are renting a home in Encinitas and shopping for bargains among foreclosure homes.

The couple owned a townhome in nearby Solana Beach, but sold it near the peak of the recent housing boom. Their goal was to wait until prices dropped, then invest their $100,000 profit in a house.

The problem is they can't find North County foreclosure home in their $400,000 price range that doesn't need extensive repairs, Jahries said. In the meantime, she feels like she is wasting the $2,500 per month she is spending to rent a single-family home.

"Here we are just throwing our money away," she said.

Jahries acknowledged that she could increase her chances of finding a home by broadening her search to other parts of the county, "but we would be buying someplace that we know nothing about."

While bargains exist among foreclosures, buyers sometimes have unrealistic expectations, said real estate agent Ray Brown, co-author of the "Home Buying for Dummies" primer.
Prices are down, but lenders aren't giving homes away. If consumers can't find a foreclosure property in their price range, they're either looking in the wrong neighborhood or they aren't willing to pay what the market demands, he said.

The rise in April foreclosures reported by DataQuick occurred despite an increase in sales and a rise in home prices. The market rebounded with sales up 33.3 percent from March and the median price hitting $400,000.

While the market is destined to improve, there is no quick fix, said University of San Diego economist Alan Gin. Factors contributing to the real estate slump are higher prices for food and gasoline and "the fact that job growth is not strong" in the San Diego region, he said.

Another problem is tightening credit standards.

"We've lost a lot of jobs in real estate-related areas," Gin said. "But the big problem is mortgages resetting to higher interest levels and people not being able to refinance."
Rush to pass foreclosure crisis bills
Carolyn Sald, Chronicle Staff Writer
Friday, May 30, 2008

California's efforts to address the foreclosure crisis advanced in fits and starts as
lawmakers rushed to beat a deadline today for state Assembly and Senate bills to be
approved by their house of origin.

Consumer advocates said overall they were disappointed that many bills appeared to
have been diluted, while banking industry representatives said they fear that too
much legislation could harm the market.

"There was a very strong and ambitious package of reform that was introduced that
was commensurate to the scope of the problem," said Paul Leonard, director of the
California office of the Center for Responsible Lending. "Now it's going through a
weakening legislative process."

But Dustin Hobbs, a spokesman for the California Mortgage Bankers Association,
said: "Our message to the Legislature has been that the market is going through
struggles right now and we need to make sure we don't make things worse than they
are by creating burdensome new regulations that restrict lending and the flow of new
capital."

Here is an update on California bills that seek to help struggling homeowners and to
prevent future lending debacles. All bills that passed their house of origin next go to
the other house, usually starting in the banking committee.

-- SB1137, state Sen. Don Perata, D-Oakland. This bill, which has the most direct
impact on struggling homeowners, passed the Senate earlier this year, passed the
Assembly Banking Committee and next will be heard by the Assembly
Appropriations Committee. As an urgency measure, it would take effect immediately
if passed.

It requires lenders to contact homeowners earlier in the foreclosure process, give 60
days' notice to renters in foreclosed properties, and maintain foreclosed homes to
prevent blight.

-- AB1830, Assemblyman Ted Lieu, D-Torrance (Los Angeles County). On Thursday,
the Assembly passed this bill, which tightens underwriting standards for future
subprime mortgages.
It eliminates "yield spread premiums," the bonuses mortgage brokers get for steering customers into high-risk loans; requires lenders to look at a borrower's ability to repay over the life of a loan; and bans prepayment penalties. The bill was narrowed during the legislative process to apply only to subprime loans, defined as the highest-cost loans.

-- AB2359, Assemblyman Dave Jones, D-Sacramento. On Thursday the Assembly passed this bill, which prohibits a mandatory arbitration clause in mortgage contracts. The bill no longer contains a provision that would have assigned liability to investors who buy shoddy mortgages after they are packaged as securities.

-- AB2586, Assemblyman Alberto Torrico, D-Fremont. On Thursday, the Assembly approved this bill, which gives renters in foreclosed properties 60 days to find new housing instead of the current 30 days.

-- AB2740, Assemblywoman Julia Brownley, D-Santa Monica. On Tuesday, the Assembly passed this bill, which sets up ground rules for how loan servicers deal with borrowers. It is modeled on a similar bill enacted in North Carolina last year.

-- AB89, Lieu. This bill passed the Assembly earlier this year. It originally required lender-specific reporting on loan modifications, something that consumer advocates say is necessary to check which lenders are actually helping homeowners facing foreclosures. Instead it now says the Department of Corporations should collect aggregate data from lenders, as it is already doing.

-- AB2880, Assemblywoman Lois Wolk, D-Davis. This bill, designed to regulate mortgage brokers' fiduciary duty toward borrowers, died in committee. Parts of it are being incorporated into AB1830, however.

E-mail Carolyn Said at csaid@sfchronicle.com.
News, insight and some thoughts about the Sacramento-area real estate market

April 08, 2008

The high-stakes politics of mortgage banking

These are times to test the fortitude of mortgage bankers. Their loans are going bad, the financial system is scared to death to let them make more and the knives are out in Congress and state capitals. Lawmakers everywhere are dreaming up a thousand new ways to increase regulations and tighten the grip on their business.

I just had a short interview with Dustin Hobbs, spokesman for the California Mortgage Bankers Association. The Capitol is awash in bills and amendments to those bills to change the landscape of mortgage lending.

There are bills to make them try harder to avoid foreclosing on their borrowers and bills to ban them from steering borrowers to loans that get bigger instead of smaller. The bills, as their supporters say, are about bringing back common sense to lending and restoring accountability for bad loans throughout the global financial system.

As always in politics, no one is opposed to that. It is the details that worry mortgage bankers. In a nutshell, they are arguing that too much regulation can make the current credit crunch even worse by making the investors even more reluctant to provide capital.

Hobbs argues that this could make it even harder for people to get home loans and prolong the housing slump.
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