NORTH CASCADES NATIONAL PARK SERVICE COMPLEX
FISH STOCKING ACT

MAY 17, 2013.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, from the Committee on Natural
Resources, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 1158]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Natural Resources, to whom was referred the
bill (H.R. 1158) to direct the Secretary of the Interior to continue
stocking fish in certain lakes in the North Cascades National Park,
Rose Lake National Recreation Area, and Lake Chelan National
Recreation Area, having considered the same, report favorably
thereon without amendment and recommend that the bill do pass.

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The purpose of H.R. 1158 is to direct the Secretary of the Interior
to continue stocking fish in certain lakes in the North Cascades
National Park, Rose Lake National Recreation Area, and Lake Che-
lan National Recreation Area.

BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

H.R. 1158 would ensure the continued stocking of fish in certain
alpine lakes in the North Cascades National Park Complex. Many
of these lakes have been stocked since the late 1800s, long before
they became part of the National Park complex. For decades, vol-
unteer groups, working with the State of Washington, have stocked
fish in these lakes under carefully constructed management plans
written by State and National Park Service (NPS) biologists. In ad-
dition, Congressional consideration of the creation of the North
Cascades National Park clearly supported continued fish stocking
of these lakes, and identified fishing as an important recreational
use.
In 2008, NPS prepared an Environmental Impact Statement on the fisheries in these mountain lakes, and the preferred alternative was to allow continued fish stocking in 42 lakes where the agency concluded there would be no adverse impact on native ecosystems. NPS also requested explicit authority to allow fish stocking to continue within the Park.

H.R. 1158 would protect this longstanding practice of fish stocking in the North Cascades. Many tourists visit the Park for its scenic beauty as well as for its fishing opportunities, making fish stocking an important component of the Central Washington economy.

COMMITTEE ACTION

H.R. 1158 was introduced on March 14, 2013, by Congressman Doc Hastings (R–WA). The bill was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources, and within the Committee to the Subcommittee on Public Lands and Environmental Regulation. On April 24, 2013, the Full Natural Resources Committee met to consider the bill. The Subcommittee on Public Lands and Environmental Regulation was discharged by unanimous consent. No amendments were offered, and the bill was then adopted and ordered favorably reported to the House of Representatives by unanimous consent.

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

Section 1. Short title

This section provides the short title of the bill as the North Cascades National Park Service Complex Fish Stocking Act.

Section 2. Definitions

This section provides definitions of terms used in the legislation.

Section 3. Stocking of certain lakes in the North Cascades National Park Service Complex

Section 3 directs the Secretary of the Interior to authorize the stocking of fish in lakes in the North Cascades National Park Service Complex under certain conditions.

This section provides that the authority is to stock fish in not more than 42 of the 91 lakes in the North Cascades National Park Service Complex that have historically been stocked with fish. Only fish that are native to the slope of the Cascade Range on which the lake to be stocked is located and are non-reproducing, as identified in management alternative B of the management plan for the area, are authorized to be stocked.

The section provides that, in making fish stocking decisions, the Secretary shall consider relevant scientific information, including the plan and information gathered from research and monitoring. The Secretary is required to coordinate the stocking of fish with the State of Washington.

Finally, the section provides that the Secretary is required to continue a research and monitoring program to monitor the impacts of fish stocking on the resources of the applicable unit of the North Cascades National Park Service Complex, and beginning five years after the enactment of the Act and every five years thereafter, submit to the Committee on Natural Resources and the Sen-
ate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources a report describing the results of the research and monitoring.

COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Regarding clause 2(b)(1) of rule X and clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Natural Resources’ oversight findings and recommendations are reflected in the body of this report.

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XIII

1. Cost of Legislation. Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an estimate and a comparison by the Committee of the costs which would be incurred in carrying out this bill. However, clause 3(d)(2)(B) of that rule provides that this requirement does not apply when the Committee has included in its report a timely submitted cost estimate of the bill prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. Under clause 3(c)(3) of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 403 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Committee has received the following cost estimate for this bill from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office:

**H.R. 1158—North Cascades National Park Service Complex Fish Stocking Act**

H.R. 1158 would authorize the National Park Service (NPS) to stock fish in lakes in three units of the National Park System in the state of Washington. Based on information provided by the Department of the Interior, CBO estimates that implementing H.R. 1158 would have no significant effect on the federal budget. Under the bill, NPS would be responsible for monitoring the impacts of these activities and submit its findings to the Congress every five years. CBO assumes that the expense of stocking fish would be borne by the state or other nonfederal entities as it has been since the three park units were established. Enacting the legislation would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply.

H.R. 1158 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

The CBO staff contact for this estimate is Martin von Gnechten. The estimate was approved by Theresa Gullo, Deputy Assistant Director for the Budget Analysis Division.

2. Section 308(a) of Congressional Budget Act. As required by clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this bill does not contain any new budget authority, spending authority, credit authority, or an increase or decrease in revenues or tax expenditures. Based on information provided by the Department of the Interior, CBO estimates that implementing H.R. 1158 would have no significant effect on the federal budget.

3. General Performance Goals and Objectives. As required by clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII, the general performance goal or objective of this bill is to direct the Secretary of the Interior to continue stocking fish in certain lakes in the North Cascades National Park,
Ross Lake National Recreation Area, and Lake Chelan National Recreation Area.

EARMARK STATEMENT

This bill does not contain any Congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined under clause 9(e), 9(f), and 9(g) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

COMPLIANCE WITH PUBLIC LAW 104–4

This bill contains no unfunded mandates.

COMPLIANCE WITH H. RES. 5

Directed Rule Making. The Chairman does not believe that this bill directs any executive branch official to conduct any specific rule-making proceedings.

Duplication of Existing Programs. This bill does not establish or reauthorize a program of the federal government known to be duplicative of another program. Such program was not included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139 or identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance published pursuant to the Federal Program Information Act (Public Law 95–220, as amended by Public Law 98–169) as relating to other programs.

PREEMPTION OF STATE, LOCAL OR TRIBAL LAW

This bill is not intended to preempt any State, local or tribal law.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW

If enacted, this bill would make no changes in existing law.