

# Union Calendar No. 84

114TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT  
114-118

## R E P O R T

ON THE

### REVISED SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

SUBMITTED BY MR. ROGERS, CHAIRMAN,  
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

MINORITY VIEWS



MAY 18, 2015.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the  
State of the Union and ordered to be printed

---

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

49-006

WASHINGTON : 2015

SBDV 2016-2

## COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

HAROLD ROGERS, Kentucky, *Chairman*

RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, New Jersey	NITA M. LOWEY, New York
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT, Alabama	MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio
KAY GRANGER, Texas	PETER J. VISCLOSKEY, Indiana
MICHAEL K. SIMPSON, Idaho	JOSÉ E. SERRANO, New York
JOHN ABNEY CULBERSON, Texas	ROSA L. DELAURO, Connecticut
ANDER CRENSHAW, Florida	DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina
JOHN R. CARTER, Texas	LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, California
KEN CALVERT, California	SAM FARR, California
TOM COLE, Oklahoma	CHAKA FATTAH, Pennsylvania
MARIO DIAZ-BALART, Florida	SANFORD D. BISHOP, Jr., Georgia
CHARLES W. DENT, Pennsylvania	BARBARA LEE, California
TOM GRAVES, Georgia	MICHAEL M. HONDA, California
KEVIN YODER, Kansas	BETTY McCOLLUM, Minnesota
STEVE WOMACK, Arkansas	STEVE ISRAEL, New York
JEFF FORTENBERRY, Nebraska	TIM RYAN, Ohio
THOMAS J. ROONEY, Florida	C. A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER, Maryland
CHARLES J. FLEISCHMANN, Tennessee	DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Florida
JAIME HERRERA BEUTLER, Washington	HENRY CUELLAR, Texas
DAVID P. JOYCE, Ohio	CHELLIE PINGREE, Maine
DAVID G. VALADAO, California	MIKE QUIGLEY, Illinois
ANDY HARRIS, Maryland	DEREK KILMER, Washington
MARTHA ROBY, Alabama	
MARK E. AMODEI, Nevada	
CHRIS STEWART, Utah	
E. SCOTT RIGELL, Virginia	
DAVID W. JOLLY, Florida	
DAVID YOUNG, Iowa	
EVAN H. JENKINS, West Virginia	
STEVEN M. PALAZZO, Mississippi	

WILLIAM E. SMITH, *Clerk and Staff Director*

**LETTER OF SUBMITTAL**

---

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS,  
*Washington, DC, May 18, 2015.*

Hon. JOHN A. BOEHNER,  
*The Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives,*  
*Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: By direction of the Committee on Appropriations, I submit herewith the Committee's report on the revised sub-allocation of budget allocations for fiscal year 2016.

As required by section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this report subdivides the allocation of spending authority provided to the Committee on Appropriations in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference for S. Con. Res. 11, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016.

Sincerely,

HAROLD ROGERS,  
*Chairman.*

(III)



## Union Calendar No. 84

114TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session* } HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES { REPORT  
114-118

---

---

### REPORT ON THE REVISED SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

---

MAY 18, 2015.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of  
the Union and ordered to be printed

---

Mr. ROGERS, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

### REPORT

#### REVISED SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report on the revised suballocation of budget allocations for fiscal year 2016 pursuant to section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. The report is consistent with the “Allocation of Spending Authority to House Committee on Appropriations” included in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference for S. Con. Res. 11, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016 as well as with the current law levels for discretionary spending required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25) and enforced through sequestration.

The Committee, in distributing the allocation among the 12 regular appropriations bills, has remained within the allocation’s totals.

REVISED SUBALLOCATIONS TO SUBCOMMITTEES FISCAL YEAR 2016 BUDGET AUTHORITY AND  
OUTLAYS

[In millions of dollars]

Subcommittee	Discretionary		Mandatory	Total
	General Purpose	Global War on Terror		
<b>Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration:</b>				
Budget authority .....	20,650		115,461	136,111
Outlays .....	22,064		108,308	130,372
<b>Commerce, Justice, Science:</b>				
Budget authority .....	51,378		319	51,697
Outlays .....	62,400		320	62,720
<b>Defense:</b>				
Budget authority .....	490,235	88,421	514	579,170
Outlays .....	519,579	46,849	514	566,942
<b>Energy and Water Development:</b>				
Budget authority .....	35,402			35,402
Outlays .....	36,195			36,195
<b>Financial Services and General Government:</b>				
Budget authority .....	20,249		21,512	41,761
Outlays .....	22,092		21,505	43,597
<b>Homeland Security:</b>				
Budget authority .....	39,320		1,604	40,924
Outlays .....	44,716		1,583	46,299
<b>Interior, Environment:</b>				
Budget authority .....	30,170		62	30,232
Outlays .....	31,940		62	32,002
<b>Labor, Health and Human Services, Education:</b>				
Budget authority .....	153,052		725,992	879,044
Outlays .....	169,100		726,035	895,135
<b>Legislative Branch:</b>				
<b>All except Senate:</b>				
Budget authority .....	3,341		109	3,450
Outlays .....	3,515		108	3,623
<b>Senate items:</b>				
Budget authority .....	959		26	985
Outlays .....	728		26	754
<b>Total Legislative:</b>				
Budget authority .....	4,300		135	4,435
Outlays .....	4,243		134	4,377
<b>Military Construction, Veterans Affairs:</b>				
Budget authority .....	76,056	532	94,537	171,125
Outlays .....	78,242	2	94,292	172,536
<b>State, Foreign Operations:</b>				
Budget authority .....	40,500	7,334	159	47,993
Outlays .....	47,055	1,947	159	49,161
<b>Transportation, HUD:</b>				
Budget authority .....	55,270			55,270
Outlays .....	119,018			119,018
<b>Grand total:</b>				
Budget authority .....	1,016,582	96,287	960,295	2,073,164
Outlays .....	1,156,644	48,798	952,912	2,158,354

## FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

## ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: May 13, 2015

Measure: Revised report on the Suballocation of Budget Allocations for FY16

Motion by: Mrs. Lowey

Description of Motion: To amend the revised Suballocation of Budget Allocations for FY16.

Results: Defeated 21 yeas to 30 nays.

*Members Voting Yea*

Mr. Bishop  
Mr. Cuellar  
Ms. DeLauro  
Mr. Farr  
Mr. Fattah  
Mr. Honda  
Mr. Israel  
Ms. Kaptur  
Mr. Kilmer  
Ms. Lee  
Mrs. Lowey  
Ms. McCollum  
Ms. Pingree  
Mr. Price  
Mr. Quigley  
Ms. Roybal-Allard  
Mr. Ruppertsberger  
Mr. Ryan  
Mr. Serrano  
Mr. Visclosky  
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

*Members Voting Nay*

Mr. Aderholt  
Mr. Amodei  
Mr. Calvert  
Mr. Carter  
Mr. Cole  
Mr. Crenshaw  
Mr. Culberson  
Mr. Dent  
Mr. Diaz-Balart  
Mr. Fleischmann  
Mr. Fortenberry  
Mr. Frelinghuysen  
Ms. Granger  
Mr. Graves  
Dr. Harris  
Ms. Herrera Beutler  
Mr. Jenkins  
Mr. Jolly  
Mr. Joyce  
Mr. Palazzo  
Mr. Rigell  
Mrs. Roby  
Mr. Rogers  
Mr. Rooney  
Mr. Simpson  
Mr. Stewart  
Mr. Valadao  
Mr. Womack  
Mr. Yoder  
Mr. Young

## MINORITY VIEWS OF REPRESENTATIVE LOWEY

The Republican allocations reflect a budget resolution that failed to win the vote of a single Democratic member in the House or in the Senate because it fails to help hard-working Americans succeed, making it more difficult to own a home, attend college and build a secure retirement. Moreover, the President clearly stated he will veto appropriation bills if they are written to conform to Republican sequester allocations. The fact is: there is no way to get from these allocations to enacted appropriations bills.

Pragmatic, responsible legislators on both sides of the aisle recognize the need for a new topline, foremost among them our chairmen of both the THUD Subcommittee and the full Committee:

- Chairman Diaz-Balart said we “need a long term and sustainable budgetary agreement, in statute, that adequately fixes sequestration and provides a long term path for stability in both the non-defense and defense areas of the discretionary budget.”

- Chairman Rogers said, “The defense hawks are unhappy; non-defense supporters are not happy with the numbers—so I think the pressure is going to build at some point in time to try to do something” about funding levels.

Yet we are considering bills that draw a stark contrast in our parties’ visions for this country. Republicans would restrict job creation, restrain economic growth, and harm hard-working Americans by curtailing critical investments in infrastructure, job training, and biomedical research. Republican allocations will result in cuts to NIH research grants; fewer kids enrolled in high-quality early learning programs; reduced support for Pell Grants; CDBG and HOME reduced to the lowest levels in the history of the program; progress on transit programs funded through the Capital Investment Grants program stalled; nothing done to alleviate the shortage of affordable and appropriate housing for the elderly and disabled, and a public health system that is less prepared for the next infectious disease outbreak.

By contrast, the President’s budget provides hope by investing \$200 million for a Precision Medical Initiative, \$600 million for advanced research of medical countermeasures for public health threats, fully funding transportation and housing capital improvement programs and providing an additional \$2.4 billion for early education programs and \$1 billion for Title I to support our highest need schools.

Sequestration in effect amounts to mindless austerity and it has been a failure. In committee, Democrats offered an alternative reflecting the President’s request. Unfortunately, it was defeated on



a party-line vote. Let's not idly stand by and allow sequester-level caps to threaten important defense and non-defense priorities alike.

NITA M. LOWEY.

