

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 30 • NUMBER 183

Wednesday, September 22, 1965 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 12063-12110

Agencies in this issue—

Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service
Agriculture Department
Atomic Energy Commission
Civil Aeronautics Board
Commodity Credit Corporation
Engineers Corps
Federal Communications Commission
Federal Maritime Commission
Federal Power Commission
Food and Drug Administration
Foreign Assets Control Office
Interior Department
Interstate Commerce Commission
Land Management Bureau
Public Health Service
Securities and Exchange Commission

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



Announcing a New Statutory Citations Guide

How to Find U.S. Statutes and U.S. Code Citations

This pamphlet contains typical legal reference situations which require further citing. Official published volumes in which the citations may be found are shown alongside each reference—with suggestions as to the logical sequence to follow in using

them to make the search. Additional finding aids, some especially useful in citing current material, also have been included. Examples are furnished at pertinent points and a list of reference titles, with descriptions, is carried at the end.

Price: 10 cents

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

[Published by the Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives]

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 20402



Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration (mail address National Archives Building, Washington, D.C. 20408), pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Contents

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

- Rules and Regulations**
Wheat; county acreage allotments for 1966 crop; correction..... 12067
- Notices**
Cotton, upland and extra long staple; determinations to be made regarding 1966 crops..... 12079

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See also Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Commodity Credit Corporation.

- Rules and Regulations**
Public contracts and property management; labor; equal opportunity contract requirements..... 12071

ARMY DEPARTMENT

See Engineers Corps.

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

- Rules and Regulations**
Computation of quantities of special nuclear material in agreement States for purposes of exemption..... 12069
- Proposed Rule Making**
Financial protection requirements and indemnity agreements; restoration of limit of liability endorsement; correction..... 12077
- Notices**
General Atomic Division, General Dynamics Corp.; issuance of facility export license..... 12086

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

- Notices**
New York-San Juan cargo rates investigation; hearing, etc..... 12086

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

- Rules and Regulations**
Soybean loan and purchase program, 1965 crop; correction..... 12067
- Tobacco; purchase under CCC export credit sales program; terms and conditions..... 12067

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See Engineers Corps.

ENGINEERS CORPS

- Rules and Regulations**
Public use of Demopolis, Jackson, and Warrior Reservoir Areas, Alabama..... 12070

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

- Notices**
UHF assignment plan; notice regarding correction..... 12086

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

- Notices**
Port of Bellingham, Wash., and Georgia-Pacific Corp.; petition filed for consideration..... 12086

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

- Rules and Regulations**
Natural gas pipeline companies; changes in a tariff..... 12069
- Proposed Rule Making**
Natural gas pipeline company certificates and authorizations; applicants' fees..... 12077
- Notices**
Hearings, etc.:
Battle Creek Gas Co. and Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. 12082
Mississippi Power Co..... 12083
Natural Gas Pipe Line Company of America et al..... 12083
W. C. Feazel Estate et al..... 12079

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

- Rules and Regulations**
Food additives:
Lactylic esters of fatty acids..... 12070
Synthetic isoparaffinic petroleum hydrocarbons..... 12070

FOREIGN ASSETS CONTROL OFFICE

- Notices**
Kudzu, roots; importation from Hong Kong; available certification..... 12079

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See Food and Drug Administration; Public Health Service.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See also Land Management Bureau.

- Notices**
Oil imports and petrochemicals; hearing..... 12079

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

- Notices**
Berlin Mills Railway; diversion and rerouting of traffic..... 12108
- Fourth section application for relief..... 12108
- Motor carrier:
Applications and certain other proceedings..... 12103
- Broker, water carrier, and freight forwarder applications..... 12089
- Intrastate applications..... 12103
- Transfer proceedings; correction..... 12108

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

- Rules and Regulations**
Public land orders:
Alaska; partial revocation of previous orders (2 documents)..... 12075
- Montana; revocation of air navigation site withdrawal..... 12075
- Oregon:
Revocation of previous order..... 12076
- Withdrawal for National Forest recreation area..... 12076

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

- Notices**
Organization and functions; authority delegations..... 12083

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

- Proposed Rule Making**
Nondisclosure of material contracts; withdrawal of proposal..... 12078
- Notices**
Hearings, etc.:
Connecticut Light and Power Co. et al..... 12087
- Milwaukee Gas Light Co..... 12088
- Tennessee Natural Gas Lines, Inc..... 12089

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Foreign Assets Control Office.

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 728—WHEAT

Subpart—1966-67 Marketing Year COUNTY ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS FOR 1966 CROP OF WHEAT

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 65-9722, appearing at page 11831 of the issue for Thursday, September 16, 1965, the following corrections are made in the tabular matter of § 728.307:

1. For Arizona, District 7, Yuma, there should be an entry in the county reserve column reading "5".
2. For Delaware, District 8, Sussex, the entry in the acreage apportioned column should read "4,404".
3. For Indiana, District 7, in the county reserve column:
 - a. The entry for Knox should read "70" instead of "10";
 - b. The entry for Martin should read "10" instead of "70";
 - c. The entry for Pike should read "16" instead of "51";
 - d. The entry for Posey should read "52" instead of "22";
 - e. The entry for Spencer should read "29" instead of "96".
4. For Michigan, in the county reserve column:
 - a. Under District 4, the entry for Newaygo should read "50" instead of "10"; and there should be an entry for Oceana reading "10".
 - b. Under District 5, the entry for Gladwin should read "30" instead of "50", and the entry for Gratiot should read "100" instead of "130".
5. For Ohio, in the county reserve column:
 - a. Under District 4, the entry for Champaign should read "50" instead of "5";
 - b. Under District 7, the entry for Warren should read "25" instead of "2".

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[CCC Grain Price Support Regs., 1965-Crop Soybean Supplement]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1965-Crop Soybean Loan and Purchase Program

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 65-9544, appearing at page 11686 of the issue for Saturday,

September 11, 1965, the following correction is made in the tabular matter of § 1421.2950(a): The rate per bushel entry for Texas County, Mo., should read "\$2.21" instead of "\$2.18".

SUBCHAPTER C—EXPORT PROGRAMS

[Announcement PR TB-2]

PART 1489—TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR PURCHASE OF TOBACCO UNDER COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION EXPORT CREDIT SALES PROGRAM

- | Sec. | |
|--------|--|
| 1489.1 | General statement. |
| 1489.2 | Associations through which tobacco may be purchased. |
| 1489.3 | Purchase of tobacco. |
| 1489.4 | Export requirements for tobacco purchased. |
| 1489.5 | Failure to perform. |

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 1489 issued under secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b, 714c.

§ 1489.1 General statement.

Price support on tobacco is made available to producers through loans made by Commodity Credit Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "CCC") to producer-owned and controlled associations (hereinafter referred to as "the associations"). Tobacco which the associations receive from producers and pledge to CCC as loan collateral is processed, stored and sold by the associations in accordance with usual trade practices. Sales proceeds are applied to repay the loan from CCC. Information on inventories, prices, and other terms and conditions of sale is issued and distributed by the associations, and will be furnished by the associations to any interested person upon request. This part sets forth the additional terms and conditions applicable to purchases of tobacco under the CCC Export Credit Sales Program (GSM-3, Revision 1) set out at 30 F.R. 10942 and any amendments thereof or supplements thereto.

§ 1489.2 Associations through which tobacco may be purchased.

The kind of tobacco handled by each of the associations and the names and addresses of the associations through which tobacco may be purchased are as follows:

Kind	Association
Flue-Cured Tobacco.	Flue-Cured Tobacco Cooperative Stabilization Corp., Post Office Box 2718, Raleigh, N.C.
Burley Tobacco.	Burley Tobacco Growers Cooperative Association, Post Office Box 860, Lexington, Ky.; Virginia Burley Tobacco Growers Association, Inc., Post Office Box 549, Abingdon, Va.; Burley Stabilization Corp., 3919 Holston Drive NE, Knoxville, Tenn.

Kind
Dark-Fired
and Dark
Air-Cured
Tobacco.

Association
Eastern Dark-Fired Tobacco Growers Association, 1109-11 South Main St., Springfield, Tenn.; Stemming District Tobacco Association, 125 First St., Henderson, Ky.; Western Dark-Fired Tobacco Growers Association, 202-206 East Maple St., Murray, Ky.; Dark Tobacco Sales Cooperative, Post Office Box 301, Farmville, Va.
Cigar Tobacco Cooperative, Post Office Box 23, Arcanum, Ohio.; Conn-Mass Tobacco Cooperative, Inc., Post Office Box 550, Holyoke, Mass.; Northern Wisconsin Cooperative Tobacco Pool, Inc., Viroqua, Wis.; Wisconsin Cooperative Tobacco Growers Association, 23 West Fulton St., Edgerton, Wis.
Maryland Tobacco Cooperative, Inc., Post Office Box 368, Upper Marlboro, Md.

Cigar Filler
and Binder
Tobacco.

Maryland
Tobacco.

§ 1489.3 Purchase of tobacco.

(a) Upon issuance of a credit approval to a purchaser of tobacco (hereinafter referred to as "the exporter"), CCC will notify the appropriate association of the credit approval number, name of approved exporter, amount of approval, and other pertinent information.

(b) The exporter should arrange with the appropriate association for the selection and acquisition of the tobacco to be purchased. Selection may be made from any stocks pledged to CCC which the association has available for sale. To the extent that export requirements for the tobacco purchased are to be met by exporting cigarettes, the tobacco purchased shall be flue-cured, burley and Maryland tobaccos, in the following proportions: 58 to 63 percent flue-cured, 36 to 40 percent burley, and 1 to 2 percent Maryland. If less than an entire hoghead of any of the types of tobacco is needed to attain such percentages, the exporter shall make direct payment to the association for the remaining part of each such hoghead, and that part shall not be deemed to be purchased under the credit arrangement.

(c) The association shall invoice the selected tobacco to the exporter at the association's price and in accordance with all other usual sale arrangements of the association. The exporter shall submit a copy of the invoice, accompanied by the bank obligation prescribed by the credit arrangement, to Fiscal Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250. Upon receipt of a copy of the invoice and an acceptable bank obligation, CCC will credit the amount of the association's sales price to the appropriate loan account of the association. CCC shall promptly notify the association of the credit to the loan account.

§ 1489.4 Export requirements for tobacco purchased.

(a) Within 90 calendar days from the date of the invoice or such extension of time as may be approved by CCC for good cause, the exporter shall cause exportation to the destination specified in the credit arrangement of the tobacco purchased under the credit arrangement or the equivalent of such quantity as determined under paragraph (c) of this section, of the same kind of tobacco: *Provided*, That, if the tobacco purchased under the credit arrangement is flue-cured, burley and Maryland tobaccos in the proportions stated in section 1489.3, the exporter's obligation to export the tobacco purchased or an equivalent quantity of the same kind of tobacco may be met by exporting a quantity of cigarettes whose value at the rate of \$2.25 per thousand for nonfilter brand cigarettes (including all-tobacco tipped standard brands) and \$1.75 per thousand for filter and "economy" brands equals or exceeds the invoice value of the tobacco purchased under the credit arrangement.

(b) The exporter shall within 30 calendar days after exportation furnish to the Fiscal Controls Branch, Fiscal Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250, proof of exportation which shall consist of:

(1) In the case of exportation by water, a nonnegotiable copy, certified as true and correct by the exporter or other person who exported the tobacco or cigarettes, of an onboard ocean bill of lading showing the number of containers of tobacco or cigarettes, the gross (or net) weight of such containers, the date and place of loading on board vessel, name of vessel, the name of the person who exported the tobacco or cigarettes, and the consignee and destination.

(2) In the case of exportation by rail or truck, a copy of the bill of lading under which the tobacco or cigarettes were shipped, together with (i) an authenticated landing certificate issued by an official of the Government of the country to which the tobacco or cigarettes were exported, or (ii) a copy of Shipper's Export Declaration authenticated by the appropriate U.S. Customs official. The bill of lading and supporting export form (landing certificate or Shipper's Export Declaration) must apply to the same shipment of tobacco or cigarettes, and such forms, or properly authenticated attachments, must show the number of hogsheads of tobacco or containers of cigarettes, the gross (or net) weight of such hogsheads or containers, the date and place of entry into the country of destination, and the name and address of both the person who exported the tobacco or cigarettes and the person to whom they were shipped.

(3) Where exportation is effected by other than the exporter, certification on the bill of lading, signed by such other person and the exporter, that such exportation was caused by the exporter pursuant to this part.

(4) Where exportation or transshipment of tobacco has been made or caused to be made by the exporter to one or more of the countries or areas for which

an export license is required by the Bureau of International Commerce, U.S. Department of Commerce, the license issued for such movement by such agency shall be identified in the bill of lading, by license number.

(5) A statement, certified by the exporter, showing information as to the kind or type, form, and quantity of the tobacco purchased under the credit arrangement, and of the tobacco or cigarettes exported, as follows: (i) The kind or type of tobacco exported, (ii) If the tobacco exported is stemmed tobacco, whether it was purchased in that form, (iii) If stemmed tobacco was purchased and substitute stemmed tobacco exported, the quantity of each kind or type of unstemmed leaf tobacco, packed-weight basis, that is contained in the stemmed tobacco exported, with the quantities of unstemmed tobacco converted to a stemmed leaf equivalent by dividing the unstemmed quantities by the factor 1.29 for flue-cured and 1.35 for other kinds of tobacco, (iv) If unstemmed leaf tobacco was purchased and stemmed tobacco exported, the quantity of each kind or type of unstemmed leaf, packed-weight basis, that is contained in the stemmed leaf exported, (v) If cigarettes are exported, the brand name of the product, whether filter or nonfilter tip and the computations made in determining the amount (value) to be applied against the invoice value of the tobacco purchased.

(6) Such other proof of exportation as may be required by CCC.

(c) The quantity of tobacco exported shall be considered equivalent to the quantity of tobacco purchased (and required to be exported), if the weights of the tobacco exported (as shown by the proof of exportation) correspond to the weights of the tobacco purchased in the following manner:

(1) If unstemmed leaf was purchased and unstemmed leaf exported, the gross or net weights of the tobacco exported must equal or exceed the gross or net weight of the tobacco purchased.

(2) If unstemmed leaf tobacco was purchased and stemmed tobacco exported, the quantity of unstemmed leaf tobacco used in the stemmed tobacco exported must equal or exceed the quantity purchased.

(3) If stemmed tobacco was purchased and substitute stemmed tobacco exported the quantity of unstemmed leaf tobacco used in the stemmed tobacco exported, divided by the factor 1.29 for flue-cured and 1.35 for other kinds of tobacco, must equal or exceed the net weight of the stemmed tobacco purchased.

(4) If stemmed tobacco was purchased and unstemmed leaf tobacco exported, the quantity exported divided by the factor 1.29 for flue-cured and 1.35 for other kinds of tobacco must equal or exceed the net weight of the stemmed tobacco purchased.

(d) The proof of exportation furnished under this part shall not have been used, and shall not subsequently be used, as evidence of exportation of tobacco or cigarettes under any other CCC or Department of Agriculture export program,

unless the proof covers a quantity in excess of that needed to support the export requirements of this part, and has been, or will be, used only in connection with the excess quantity to support the export requirements of the other programs.

(e) By submitting documents evidencing exportation the exporter represents and warrants that the commodity covered by such documents was not exported to, and has not and will not be transhipped or caused to be transhipped by the exporter to, any country or area for which an export license is required under the regulations issued by the Bureau of International Commerce, U.S. Department of Commerce, unless a license for such exportation or transshipment thereto has been obtained from such Bureau.¹

§ 1489.5 Failure to perform.

The exporter agrees to export tobacco or cigarettes as required by this part within the time specified herein, or to pay liquidated damages as herein provided. Failure to furnish proof of exportation within 30 calendar days after 90 calendar days after the date of the invoice or any extended period approved by CCC shall be prima facie evidence of failure to export. Failure of the exporter to export tobacco or cigarettes within the time required under this part will result in damage to CCC's export and price support programs. Since it will be difficult, if not impossible, to prove the exact amount of such damage, the exporter shall pay to CCC liquidated damages as follows: (a) Where the export requirements for tobacco are not met, for each day of delay in exportation, one-sixth of one percent of a base amount determined by multiplying the number of pounds by which the export requirements for tobacco are not met by the average invoice price per pound of the tobacco acquired from the association, or (b) where the export requirements for cigarettes are not met, for each day of delay in exportation, one-sixth of one percent of a base amount equal to the amount by which the value of the cigarettes exported, as determined under § 1489.4, fails to equal the invoice value of the tobacco bought under the credit arrangement: *Provided*, That in the case of either paragraph (a) or (b) of this section the total amount of liquidated damages assessed shall not exceed ten percent of the base amount: *And provided, further*, That no liquidated damages shall be assessed if CCC determines that failure to export or delay in exportation was due solely to causes without the fault or negligence of the exporter. In acquiring tobacco under a credit arrangement, the exporter agrees that these rates are a reasonable estimate of probable actual damages that

¹Information to exporters: The Department of Commerce Regulations prohibit exportation or reexportation by anyone, including a foreign exporter, of the commodity exported pursuant to the terms of these regulations, to prohibited countries and areas. The attention of the exporter is invited to the "Notice to Exporters" which accompanies these regulations.

would be incurred by CCC by reason of failure to export in accordance with this part.

Effective date. Date of filing with the Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on September 16, 1965.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

NOTICE TO EXPORTERS

The Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Commerce, pursuant to regulations under the Export Control Act of 1949, prohibits the exportation or re-exportation by anyone of any commodities under this program to Cuba, the Soviet Bloc or Communist-controlled areas of the Far East, including Communist China, North Korea, and the Communist-controlled area of Vietnam, except under validated license issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Commerce.

For all exportations, one of the destination control statements specified in Commerce Department Regulations (Comprehensive Export Schedule § 379.10(c)) is required to be placed on all copies of the shipper's export declaration, all copies of the bill of lading, and all copies of the commercial invoices. For additional information as to which destination control statement to use, the exporter should communicate with the Bureau of International Commerce or one of the field offices of the Department of Commerce.

Exporters should consult the applicable Commerce Department regulations for more detailed information, if desired, and for any changes that may be made therein.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10067; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 150—EXEMPTIONS AND CONTINUED REGULATORY AUTHORITY IN AGREEMENT STATES UNDER SECTION 274

Computation of Quantities of Special Nuclear Material in Agreement States for Purposes of Exemption

1. On June 5, 1965, the Commission published a proposed amendment to 10 CFR Part 150 which would revise the basis for computation of whether a quantity of special nuclear material within an agreement State¹ is sufficient to form a critical mass, allowing thirty days for public comment. (30 F.R. 7445) After consideration of the comments received in response to the notice of proposed rule making, and other factors involved, the Commission has decided to adopt the proposed amendment in the form published in the notice, as an effective rule.

2. Subsection 274b. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, authorizes

¹A State to which the Commission has transferred certain regulatory authority over radioactive material by formal agreement, pursuant to section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

the Commission to enter into agreements with individual States for the discontinuance of Commission regulatory authority under the Act, with respect to certain atomic energy materials. Among those materials are special nuclear materials in quantities not sufficient to form a critical mass.

3. The Commission has, thus far, entered into agreements with eleven States pursuant to subsection 274b. It has also promulgated a regulation, 10 CFR Part 150, to carry out such agreements.

4. Section 150.10 of Part 150 exempts persons in agreement States who manufacture, produce, receive, possess, use or transfer special nuclear material in quantities not sufficient to form a critical mass from the requirements for a license contained in the Act and from the Commission's licensing regulations. Paragraph (a) of § 150.11 sets out the quantities of special nuclear materials which are deemed to be not sufficient to form a critical mass. Paragraph (b) of that section provides, in effect, that in determining whether the exemption applies, the total quantity of special nuclear material which a person is authorized to receive, possess or use anywhere in a particular agreement State at any one time shall be included in the quantity computed under paragraph (a).

5. The amendment to § 150.11(b) set forth below provides that in determining whether the exemption of § 150.10 applies at any particular plant or other authorized location of use, only the material which the person is authorized to receive, possess or use at that plant or location at any one time need be included in the computation. Even though the total quantity of special nuclear material which a person is authorized to possess or use within an agreement State may be sufficient to form a critical mass, no problems of accidental criticality are presented so long as the quantity of material possessed and used at any separate location at any one time is insufficient to form a critical mass.

6. Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Administrative Procedure Act of 1946, the following amendment to 10 CFR Part 150 is published as a document subject to codification to be effective thirty days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

7. Paragraph (b) of § 150.11 is amended to read as follows:

§ 150.11 Critical mass.

(b) To determine whether the exemption granted in § 150.10 applies to the receipt, possession or use of special nuclear material at any particular plant or other authorized location of use, a person shall include in the quantity computed according to paragraph (a) of this section the total quantity of special nuclear material which he is authorized to receive, possess or use at the plant or other location of use at any one time.

(Secs. 161, 274, 88 Stat. 948, 73 Stat. 688; 42 U.S.C. 2201, 2021)

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 10th day of September 1965.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

W. B. McCool,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10031; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 18—CONSERVATION OF POWER AND WATER RESOURCES

Chapter I—Federal Power Commission

[Docket No. R-281; Order No. 304]

PART 154—RATE SCHEDULES AND TARIFFS

Changes by Natural Gas Pipeline Companies in a Tariff

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

This order amends § 154.63(e) (4) (i) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act to omit the requirement that an additional set of working papers be furnished to the Head, Houston Field Office. This requirement for change in a tariff has become unnecessary by reason of the abolition of the Houston Field Office.

The Commission finds:

(1) Compliance with the notice provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary since the amendment herein adopted is of a clarifying nature in that it merely deletes a requirement which can no longer be complied with.

(2) The amendment adopted herein is necessary and appropriate for the purposes of administration of the Natural Gas Act.

The Commission, acting pursuant to the authority granted by the Natural Gas Act, as amended, particularly sections 4(d) and 16 thereof (52 Stat. 822, 830; 15 U.S.C. 717c(d), 717o) orders:

(A) Section 154.63(e) (4) (i), Part 154, Subchapter E of Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended by deleting the last sentence, which reads "An additional set of the working papers is to be furnished to the Head, Houston Field Office." As thus amended the subdivision will read as follows:

§ 154.63 Changes in a tariff, executed service agreement or part thereof.

(e) * * *

(4) Working papers and supporting data. (i) In the Statements described in paragraph (f) of this section, certain items are designated as being included in working papers. There is to be furnished to the Chief, Pipeline Division (Bureau of Natural Gas) upon filing the rate increase, one set of working papers for use by the staff and six additional copies of such working papers to be available to intervenors according to

their needs after intervention, has been approved by the Commission.

(B) The amendment prescribed herein shall become effective on October 21, 1965.

(C) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

(SEAL) JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10037; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart D—Food Additives Permitted in Food for Human Consumption

LACTYLIC ESTERS OF FATTY ACIDS

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, having reviewed the data in a petition (5A1767) filed by The Gildden Co., 900 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio, 44114, and other relevant material, has concluded that § 121.1048 should be amended to prescribe the additional use of the additive, lactic esters of fatty acids, as a surface-active agent, and to identify additional foods in which the additive may be a component. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90), § 121.1048 is amended by revising paragraph (b) and adding a new paragraph (c). The affected portions read as follows:

§ 121.1048 Lactic esters of fatty acids.

(b) They are used as emulsifiers, plasticizers, or surface-active agents in the following foods, when standards of identity do not preclude their use:

Foods	Limitations
Bakery mixes	
Baked products	
Cake icings, fillings, and toppings	
Dehydrated fruits and vegetables	
Dehydrated fruit and vegetable juices	
Frozen desserts	
Liquid shortening	For household use.
Pancake mixes	
Precooked instant rice	
Pudding mixes	
Solid-state edible vegetable fat-water emulsions.	As substitutes for milk or cream in beverage coffee.

(c) They are used in an amount not greater than required to produce the intended physical or technical effect, and they may be used with shortening and edible fats and oils when such are re-

quired in the foods identified in paragraph (b) of this section.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20201, written objections thereto, preferably in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1))

Dated: September 13, 1965.

GEO. P. LARRICK,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10063; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:48 a.m.]

PART 121—FOOD ADDITIVES

Subpart D—Food Additives Permitted in Food for Human Consumption

SYNTHETIC ISOPARAFFINIC PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated data in a petition (FAP 5A1791) filed by Humble Oil & Refining Co., Post Office Box 2180, Houston, Tex., 77001, and other relevant material and has concluded that the food additive regulations should be amended to prescribe the safe use of synthetic isoparaffinic petroleum hydrocarbons as a float on fermentation fluids in the manufacture of vinegar and wine and on brine used in the curing of pickles. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1)), and under the authority delegated to the Commissioner by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (21 CFR 2.90), § 121.1154(c) is amended by the addition of a new item 5, as follows:

§ 121.1154 Synthetic isoparaffinic petroleum hydrocarbons.

Uses	Limitations
5. As a float on fermentation fluids in the manufacture of vinegar and wine and on brine used in curing pickles, to prevent or retard access of air, evaporation, and contamination with wild organisms during fermentation.	Do.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time within 30 days from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C., 20201, written objections thereto, preferably in quintuplicate. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1))

Dated: September 8, 1965.

GEO. P. LARRICK,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10064; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 36—PARKS, FORESTS, AND MEMORIALS

Chapter III—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 326—PUBLIC USE OF CERTAIN NAVIGABLE RESERVOIR AREAS

Demopolis, Jackson, and Warrior Reservoir Areas, Ala.

The Secretary of the Army having determined that the use of Demopolis, Jackson, and Warrior Reservoir Areas by the general public for boating, swimming, bathing, fishing and other recreational purposes will not be inconsistent with the operation and maintenance of the reservoirs for their primary purposes, hereby prescribes rules and regulations for their public use, pursuant to the provisions of section 4 of the Flood Control Act of 1944, as amended (76 Stat. 1195), adding the reservoirs to the list in § 326.1(c) as follows:

§ 326.1 Areas covered.

(c) The areas covered by this part are:

ALABAMA.

Demopolis Reservoir Area, Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers.
Jackson Reservoir Area, Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers.
Warrior Reservoir Area, Black Warrior River.

(Regs., Sept. 2, 1965, ENG CW-OM; sec. 4, 58 Stat. 889, as amended; 10 U.S.C. 460d)

J. C. LAMBERT,
Major General, U.S. Army,
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10034; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 4—Department of Agriculture

PART 4-12—LABOR

Subpart 4-12.51—Equal Opportunity Contract Requirements

Subpart 4-12.51—Equal Opportunity
Contract Requirements is added to Part
4-12—Labor as follows:

Subpart 4-12.51—Equal Opportunity Contract Requirements

Sec.	
4-12.5101	Scope of Support.
4-12.5102	Authority.
4-12.5103	Application.
4-12.5104	Contract Compliance Officers.
4-12.5105	Exemptions.
4-12.5106	Rulings and interpretations.
4-12.5107	Regulations.
4-12.5108	Contract provisions.
4-12.5108-1	General.
4-12.5108-2	Applicability to multi-plant firms.
4-12.5108-3	Applicability to subcontracts.
4-12.5108-4	Applicability to subsidiaries.
4-12.5109	Posters and notices.
4-12.5110	Compliance reports.
4-12.5110-1	Reports from bidders.
4-12.5110-2	Reports from contractors.
4-12.5110-3	Reports to the Committee.
4-12.5110-4	Reports to the Department Compliance Officer.
4-12.5110-5	Forms.
4-12.5111	Predominant interest agency.
4-12.5111-1	Definition.
4-12.5111-2	PIA listings.
4-12.5111-3	Responsibilities.
4-12.5112	Contracting officer responsibil- ities.
4-12.5112-1	General.
4-12.5112-2	Compliance reports by contract- ing officers.
4-12.5112-3	Pre-award understanding with contractors.
4-12.5112-4	Pre-award surveys.
4-12.5112-5	Post-award reviews.
4-12.5113	Compliance reviews.
4-12.5113-1	Objectives.
4-12.5113-2	By the contracting officer.
4-12.5113-4	By the Division of Contract EEO Administration.
4-12.5113-5	Notification to contractors.
4-12.5114	Complaints.
4-12.5114-1	General.
4-12.5114-2	"Plans for Progress" complaint processing procedures.
4-12.5117	Construction contract compli- ance program.
4-12.5117-1	Area Coordinators.
4-12.5117-2	Contracting officer responsibil- ities.
4-12.5117-3	Compliance reviews by Depart- ment Contracts Compliance Officer.
4-12.5118	Federally-assisted construction work.
4-12.5118-1	Purpose.
4-12.5118-2	Scope.
4-12.5118-3	Equal opportunity clause.
4-12.5118-4	Duties of agencies.
4-12.5118-5	Determination of construction contract amount.
4-12.5118-6	Reports.
4-12.5118-7	Posters and notices.
4-12.5119	Plans for progress companies.
4-12.5119-1	The program.
4-12.5119-2	Agency responsibilities.
4-12.5119-3	Reporting requirements.
4-12.5119-4	Complaints.
4-12.5120	Field Manual.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Subpart
4-12.51 issued under E.O. 10925, March 6,

1961; E.O. 11114, June 22, 1963; 41 CFR Ch.
60; sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486(c).

§ 4-12.5101 Scope of Subpart.

This subpart implements Executive
Orders 10925 and 11114 and the rules
and regulations of the President's Com-
mittee on Equal Employment Opportu-
nity as they relate to the promotion and
insurance of equal employment oppor-
tunity on public contracts, and on con-
struction work involving federal assist-
ance, for all qualified persons without re-
gard to race, creed, color, or national
origin. These rules and regulations are
referred to hereinafter as "Committee
Regulations."

§ 4-12.5102 Authority.

Executive Order 10925 of March 6, 1961
(26 F.R. 1977), and Executive Order
11114 of June 22, 1963 (28 F.R. 6485);
Rules and Regulations of the President's
Committee on Equal Employment Oppor-
tunity, as Amended. These Executive
Orders and current Committee Regula-
tions are stated at Subpart 4-52.7.

§ 4-12.5103 Application.

The Committee Regulations and the
regulations herein shall be followed in
giving effect to Part III of Executive Or-
der 10925 and Part I of Executive Order
11114 and the Committee Regulations.

§ 4-12.5104 Contracts Compliance Offi- cers.

See § 60-1.5 of the Committee Regula-
tions (§ 60-1.5 of Part 60-1 of this Title).
The Chief, Contract Equal Employment
Opportunity (EEO) Administration Divi-
sion, Office of Plant and Operations, is
the Department Contracts Compliance
Officer. The head of each agency shall
appoint a Contracts Compliance Officer
who shall be subject to the immediate
supervision of the head of the agency
and shall appoint, if necessary, Deputy
Contracts Compliance Officers. Advice
of such appointments, and any changes
made in their designation, shall be fur-
nished to the Department Contracts
Compliance Officer. The duties of Agen-
cy Contracts Compliance Officers and
Deputies shall be as stated in the Com-
mittee Regulations subject to such res-
ervations as made herein.

§ 4-12.5105 Exemptions.

All requests for exemption from the
requirements of the Orders shall be re-
ferred to the Department Contracts
Compliance Officer for appropriate
action.

§ 4-12.5106 Rulings and interpretations.

All questions relating to the applica-
tion and interpretation of the Orders
and Committee Regulations shall be re-
ferred to the Department Contracts
Compliance Officer or to the Office of
the General Counsel for consideration.
Where the question requires referral to
the Committee it shall be submitted to
the Department Contracts Compliance
Officer for appropriate action.

§ 4-12.5107 Regulations.

Regulations required by § 60-1.5 of the
Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.5 Part
60-1 of this title) shall be submitted to
the Department Contracts Compliance

Officer for appropriate action. Copies of
agency instructions shall be provided
that officer.

§ 4-12.5108 Contract provisions.

§ 4-12.5108-1 General.

The Contracting Officer shall be re-
sponsible for inclusion of the equal oppor-
tunity clause as required by § 60-1.3
of the Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.3
of Part 60-1 of this title) in applicable
contracts, and in those bilateral contract
modifications where the contract being
modified would be subject to the Order
and Committee Regulations if currently
executed and does not include the re-
quired provision. See § 4-12.5118 for re-
quirements applicable to Federally-as-
sisted construction. Where standard or
other preprinted contract forms are used
containing the clause, the following shall
be included in the invitation for bids (if
not in the printed forms):

APPLICABILITY OF THE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE

The Equal Opportunity clause is not appli-
cable to contracts (1) not involving the em-
ployment of persons, (2) not exceeding \$10,-
000, except that for standard commercial
supplies or raw materials not exceeding
\$100,000, (3) where work is to be performed
entirely outside the United States and no
recruitment of workers within the United
States is involved, or (4) specifically ex-
empted by the Executive Vice Chairman of
the President's Committee on Equal Em-
ployment Opportunity.

Form AD-369, "Equal Opportunity Pro-
vision," has been promulgated for op-
tional use to incorporate the clause in
contracts. This form is stocked by the
Central Supply Section.

§ 4-12.5108-2 Applicability to multi- plant firms.

All of the facilities of a Government
contractor are covered by Executive Or-
ders 10925 and 11114 whether or not they
are performing work on the contract.

§ 4-12.5108-3 Applicability to subcon- tracts.

Each subcontract of the contractor
must include the equal opportunity
clause where a material part of the sup-
plies covered by such agreement or pur-
chase order is being obtained for use in
performance of a contract. (§§ 60-1.3(c)
and 60-1.2(k) of the Committee Regu-
lations; §§ 60-1.3(c) and 60-1.2(k) of
Part 60-1 of this title.) Therefore:

(a) A subcontractor who is not en-
gaged in activities relating to a govern-
ment contract is not covered by the
Executive Orders. For example, a sub-
contractor who has a subcontract with a
government contractor is not covered if
his particular subcontract is not a sub-
contract of a government contract.

(b) The equal opportunity clause is
not required to be included in subcon-
tracts or purchase orders for supplies or
services for facilities that are not in-
volved in the performance of government
contracts.

§ 4-12.5108-4 Applicability to subsidi- aries.

Under the provisions of the Executive
Orders, all establishments and facilities
of a contractor are covered by the equal

opportunity clause unless exempted. Many contractors have subsidiary and affiliated companies that are separately incorporated and thus are legal entities in themselves. In general, coverage is determined by the legal entity, and, by strict legal interpretation, subsidiaries and parent companies are covered or not covered by the clause depending on whether or not they themselves hold government contracts. The actual relationship between parent companies, affiliates, and subsidiaries is sometimes close and sometimes remote. A parent company is often in a position to influence significantly the policies of a wholly-owned subsidiary, either formally or informally. And, even with a lesser degree of ownership, the parent company may be concerned that its corporate reputation will be damaged by discriminatory actions of a subsidiary. Compliance activities should be tailored to the particular situation. For example, a parent company might prove willing to help promote equal employment opportunity policies by its subsidiaries even though they do not currently hold government contracts.

§ 4-12.5109 Posters and notices.

(a) The posters (notice to employees) and the notices to Labor Unions for use by contractors as required by the equal opportunity clause are available in all GSA Stores Depots. The stock number and description of these items are as follows:

7530-338-5448, Nondiscrimination Poster, 8 1/2" X 12".

7540-823-7871, Standard Form 38, "Notice to Labor Unions or Other Organizations of Workers."

Posters and Standard Forms 38 shall be sent to the contractor with a Form AD-384, "Notice to Contractors." This notice should accompany the notification of award.

(b) In addition to these posters and Standard Form 38, section 711(a) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 requires contractors subject thereto post certain notices. These notices are prepared by and are available from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Washington, D.C.

§ 4-12.5110 Compliance reports.

§ 4-12.5110-1 Reports from bidders.

All bidders or prospective contractors or their proposed subcontractors shall be required as a part of their bid or negotiations of the contract to state whether it has participated in any previous contracts subject to the provisions of section 301 of the Order. This may be accomplished by the inclusion in the Invitation for Bids of a representation to be completed by the bidder substantially as follows:

I have (), have not (), participated in a previous contract or subcontract subject to Executive Order 10925.

I have (), have not (), filed all required compliance reports and will obtain signed representations of such filing by proposed subcontractors prior to subcontract awards.

§ 4-12.5110-2 Reports from contractors.

The contracting officer shall be responsible for assuring that compliance

reports required by § 60-1.6 of the Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.6 of Part 60-1 of this title) are submitted in accordance with instructions attached to the official report forms, Standard Form 40, 40-A and 41. These standard forms apply to all contracts except those for utilities or for Federally-assisted construction. Standard Form 40 instructions provide that each prime contractor and first-tier subcontractor subject to the Order who has a contract, subcontract, or purchase order for \$50,000 or more (or \$100,000 or more if solely for standard commercial supplies and raw materials) and who also has 50 or more employees, shall file with the Committee annual compliance reports for each establishment of the company and a combined report for the company as a whole by March 1. However, note that Form AD-384, "Notice to Contractors," directs the contractor to submit the report to the agency. Standard Form 41 is for use by employers who have prime construction contracts for \$100,000 or more or subcontracts of \$50,000 or more, in connection with which they perform work at the site of construction; if such employers perform all the work off-site, they must report on Standard Form 40. Agencies will send reports, except SF-40-A, to the Department Contracts Compliance Officer for recording and transmittal to the Committee. Reports are not required from contractors where (a) it is known that another agency has been designated the "Predominant Interest Agency," and accordingly has jurisdiction for the administration of the equal opportunity clause, or (b) the contractor is a Plans for Progress firm. Accordingly, assurance that contractors subject to the reporting requirements are complying therewith will be satisfied by the receipt of a completed Standard Form 40, 40-A, or 41, or by noting that another agency is the "Predominant Interest Agency," or by noting that the contractor is a Plans for Progress firm.

§ 4-12.5110-3 Reports to the Committee.

Each agency shall furnish by the fifth of each month to the Department Contracts Compliance Officer for transmittal to the President's Committee a list of prime contractors subject to SF-41 reporting requirements to whom construction contracts were awarded during the previous month. Negative reports will not be necessary. For each construction contractor, report:

- (1) Name and home address;
- (2) Dollar amount of contract;
- (3) Contract number and name and location of project;
- (4) If known, the starting date and completion date;
- (5) Name and home address of any subcontractors meeting the reporting requirements.

§ 4-12.5110-4 Reports to the Department Compliance Officer.

Each agency shall maintain readily accessible data on contractors with whom they regularly contract and whose employment exceeds 50 persons. Within 30 days after the PIA listing (see § 4-12.5111-2) is furnished the Agency Compliance Officer, he shall report to the

Department Compliance Officer any such agency contractor, other than construction, not shown in the PIA listing.

§ 4-12.5110-5 Forms.

The Compliance Report and certification forms required by the Committee Regulations are available in GSA, Region 3, Stores Depot. The stock number and description of these items are as follows:

7540-823-8042 SF-40, Compliance Report.

7540-823-8043 SF-40-A, Certificate of Submission of Current Report.

7540-082-2621 SF-41, Compliance Report, Part I.

7540-082-2619 SF-41, Compliance Report, Part II.

7540-082-2618 SF 41, Compliance Report, Part III.

§ 4-12.5111 Predominant interest agency.

§ 4-12.5111-1 Definition.

"Predominant Interest Agency" (PIA) is a designation given to the contracting or administering agency which has primary responsibility for the administration of the company's obligation under the Executive Orders, despite the fact that the company may hold contracts with, or have the assistance of, other Government agencies. The PIA for a prime contractor will be, unless the company is otherwise notified by the Committee, the contracting or administering agency for, or assisting with, the largest dollar value of prime contracts with the company as a whole. The PIA for a first-tier subcontractor will be, unless the company is otherwise notified by the Committee, the same PIA as is designated for its prime contractor.

§ 4-12.5111-2 PIA listings.

Listings of PIA designations are furnished the Department Contracts Compliance Officer by the Committee. Since these are voluminous, requests for copies should be made only for major contracting activities and where it is impractical to use the listing in the Office of the Department Contracts Compliance Officer.

§ 4-12.5111-3 Responsibilities.

Each reporting contractor (other than construction) is assigned to a "Predominant Interest Agency." This PIA is intended to be the sole contact for most compliance activities. It has primary responsibility for that contractor's compliance. This relieves other agencies of certain responsibilities they otherwise would have for the same contractor.

§ 4-12.5112 Contracting officer responsibilities.

§ 4-12.5112-1 General.

Contracting officers can play a crucial role in regard to compliance. They deal with employers at a time when their desire to secure government business makes them highly receptive to suggestions which will bring them into full compliance. In many such situations, contracting officers can obtain information about performance of successful bidders or other potential contractors in affording equal employment opportunity under government contracts. Any significant questions of performance of a bidder or other potential contractors

should be resolved before further contracts are awarded to such a bidder or contractor. In some cases it may be advisable in advance of contract award for contracting officers to determine the capability of successful bidders or other potential contractors to comply with the equal employment opportunity obligations. Any substantial doubts of such capability should be resolved prior to award of contract.

§ 4-12.5112-2 Compliance reports by contracting officers.

See § 4-12.5110.

§ 4-12.5112-3 Pre-award understanding with contractors.

Contracting Officers should explain the Equal Opportunity Clause and its significance to representatives of all nonexempt contractors who seek or secure government business. Each contractor should understand:

1. That his obligations under the Equal Opportunity Clause are to be taken seriously and that he should take whatever steps are necessary to comply.
2. That he must file Compliance Reports which will be carefully examined.
3. That compliance reviews are now a normal part of contract administration.
4. That complaints by individuals will be investigated and may require changes in practices affecting more than just the complainant.
5. That his subsequent performance in regard to equal employment opportunity will be reviewed and will be reported to contracting officers and considered before new contracts are awarded.

This requirement may be met by furnishing the contractor the Form AD-384, "Notice to Contractors," (see § 4-12.5109). Further explanation of these obligations should be given the contractor at any pre-award conference that may be held.

§ 4-12.5112-4 Pre-award surveys.

After reviewing the information available to him, the contracting officer may find that he needs additional, up-to-date facts about the bidder before he can, in good conscience, award a contract. If so, he should ask the Department Contracts Compliance Officer to make a special pre-award field survey in order to determine the bidder's current employment policies and practices and to develop information on the capability of his facilities to comply with the obligations of the Equal Opportunity Clause.

The Committee or the Department Contracts Compliance Officer may also request such a pre-award field survey. It is similar in scope, objectives and methods to regular compliance reviews. Fast scheduling and reporting are of course required in cases where contracting officers hold up awards until the Department or Committee evaluations become available.

§ 4-12.5112-5 Post-award reviews.

See § 4-12.5113.

§ 4-12.5113 Compliance reviews.

§ 4-12.5113-1 Objectives.

A compliance review is a careful and comprehensive examination of the employment and personnel policies and

practices of the contractor or subcontractor in relation to his obligations on equal opportunity. It should help the contractor to evaluate his own practices and should attempt to secure agreement on the complete elimination of any discriminatory practices and the initiation of affirmative actions. From the conferences with the contractor, there should hopefully result constructive, cooperative efforts by him, the unions (if any), and the community to eliminate practices and conditions which adversely affect qualified minority workers and to enhance motivation and opportunities of such persons to participate fully in economic activities of the community according to their abilities. Compliance reviews likewise help the contracting agencies and the Committee to evaluate and increase the effectiveness of the program.

§ 4-12.5113-2 By the Contracting Officer.

(a) *General.* Except as indicated in paragraph (b) of this section, the contracting officer (or like official in the case of Federally-assisted construction), will review the contractor's employment practices whenever a review of contractor's or applicant's operations for other purposes is required. Such reviews shall be sufficient to disclose any overt discriminatory employment practices and to assure to the extent feasible that the contractor understands and is fulfilling his responsibilities under the equal employment provision of his contract. As a minimum, the review shall determine that the groundwork actions stated in Form AD-384, "Notice to Contractor," are completed. Where it is determined that the contractor is unwilling to comply, or needs specialized assistance in developing an affirmative action program to comply, with the equal opportunity contract provision, the matter shall be immediately referred to the Department Contracts Compliance Officer for appropriate action. Otherwise a report of the review in summary form shall be placed in the contract file.

(b) *Exceptions.* No review will be made where the contractor has been assigned to some other agency as the PIA, unless the PIA requests that a review be made. PIA listings are available for review in the office of the Department Contracts Compliance Officer. Where it is impractical to refer to this listing to determine the PIA, contracting officials should assure by asking the company, that another agency has not been designated the PIA before initiating any type of compliance review. Agencies will be notified of contractors whose operations will be reviewed by the Division of Contract EEO Administration.

Construction contract compliance reviews will be administered in accordance with §§ 4-12.5117 and 4-12.5118.

§ 4-12.5113-4 By the Division of Contract EEO Administration.

(a) *General.* Compliance reviews of all contractors employing over 100 persons at any one establishment where this Department is the PIA will be made by this Division. Reviews of smaller employers or establishments will be made

wherever the criteria in paragraph (b) of this section indicate reviews to be worthwhile.

(b) *Priorities.* Criteria for establishing priorities for reviews is the consideration of the following:

- (1) Number of employees at contractor's facility.
- (2) Minority population in area of contractor's facility.
- (3) Nature of contractor's work in terms of potential for increasing employment opportunity for minority population.
- (4) Indications from employment statistics, complaints, and other sources of information, of a need for strengthening contractor's EEO program.
- (5) Number of locations (plants) of contractor and total employment.
- (6) Nature of contract administration in terms of extent of day-to-day contact with contractor's work and consequent knowledge of his employment practices by the contracting officer or his representative. The extent to which compliance review can be reasonably assigned to contract administration personnel.
- (7) Involvement of Unions.
- (8) Other reviews planned in the same area—

- a. By USDA.
- b. By other Federal agencies.

Reviews will not be made by the Division where by applying the foregoing criteria there is no apparent potential for increasing employment opportunity for minority groups.

(c) *Compliance review reports.* The form of report shall be as prescribed by the Department Contracts Compliance Officer. Reports will be evaluated, appropriately classified, and sent the Committee as follows:

"A" *Reviews:* Reviews containing agency recommendations for Committee action that would reinforce agency efforts to obtain compliance or to correct related situations.

"B" *Reviews:* Reviews indicating a need for Committee guidance in the specific situation or in general.

"C" *Reviews:* Reviews dealing with a particularly important contractor, a critical situation, or one in which special interest has been expressed.

"D" *Reviews:* All other reviews. "D" reviews and reports of follow-up visits need not be transmitted to the Committee except as requested. Some portion of these cases will be reviewed as a part of the Committee's total effort to understand agency activities and to offer general assistance and advice.

§ 4-12.5113-5 Notification to contractor.

The home office of contractors shall be informed by letter in advance of proposed visits to their facilities. The contractor should be advised that during the review responsible officials of the contractor will be interviewed, particularly those responsible for implementing employment policies. The primary purpose of the letter is to maintain cooperative relationships with the contractor in carrying forward his affirmative action program. Upon completion of the review, the results should be discussed with the contractor, including the corrective actions indicated to be necessary. The contractor's commitments are to be recorded.

§ 4-12.5114 Complaints.

§ 4-12.5114-1 General.

A complaint is a formal allegation filed by an individual that a contractor has been guilty of an act of discrimination in his employment practices, based on race, creed, color, or national origin. An individual has a right to submit such a complaint under the Executive Orders. It must be promptly and thoroughly investigated. All complaints received by the agency shall be immediately reported to the Department Contracts Compliance Officer for reporting to the Executive Vice Chairman of the Committee in accordance with § 60-1.22 of the Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.22 of Part 60-1 of this title). The complaint will then be referred to the Office of the Inspector General for prompt investigation, developing of the required case record and summary of the findings. The OIG will submit the report in four copies to the Department Contracts Compliance Officer, who, in accordance with § 60-1.24 of the Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.24 of Part 60-1 of this title), shall forward the report with his conclusions thereon and a statement of the disposition of the case to the Executive Vice Chairman of the Committee.

§ 4-12.5114-2 "Plans for Progress" complaint processing procedures.

(a) *Action by Plans for Progress Staff.* When a complaint against a Plans for Progress (PFP) signer (see § 4-12.5119) is received by the Committee, copies are sent to the PFP staff. The PFP staff provides the corporate contact of the company involved with a copy of the complaint. PFP staff will notify the Committee in 7 days whether the company wishes to attempt resolution of the complaint or wishes a routine investigation. If the company wishes to attempt resolution, it will be given an additional 14 days. In any event the Committee will be given notification in 7 days of the company's intentions, and both the Committee and the Department will receive a written report of the results of any attempts by the company to resolve the complaint. Complainant is notified by the Committee that his complaint has been referred for preliminary consideration.

(b) *Action by the Department.* In all instances, regardless of the procedure selected by the company, 14-day or regular, the Department will proceed with a complaint investigation as provided by § 60-1.24 of the Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.24 of Part 60-1 of this title). The 60-day suspense is automatically extended to cover the period required for PFP processing. If PFP staff reports satisfactory resolution of the complaint, and interview of the complainant confirms this fact, inquiry into the individual allegations can be considerably reduced.

§ 4-12.5117 Construction contract compliance program.

§ 4-12.5117-1 Area coordinators.

The Committee has established Area Coordinators in the cities listed in § 4-

52.704 of this chapter. These Area Coordinators have the responsibility to make sure that all Federal agencies in their assigned area act as one in regard to equal employment opportunity and will work with them toward that end. Agency administrators of construction in any of these cities shall contact the Area Coordinator to establish the necessary coordination of compliance activities.

§ 4-12.5117-2 Contracting officer responsibilities.

The contracting officer or his designated representative is responsible for all enforcement of the Equal Opportunity Clause at the construction site. For Federal construction, this responsibility shall be carried out in connection with administration and enforcement of the labor standards requirements of the contract in accordance with § 4-12.404. The field manual described in § 4-12.5120 and instructions at § 4-12.5112 shall be used as a guide.

§ 4-12.5117-3 Compliance reviews by Department Contracts Compliance Officer.

Major employers and those reported by the contracting officer to be in non-compliance will be scheduled for compliance reviews by the Department Contracts Compliance Officer in consultation with the Area Coordinator.

§ 4-12.5118 Federally-assisted construction work.

§ 4-12.5118-1 Purpose.

The regulations in this section are prescribed to implement Executive Order 11114, of June 22, 1963, and the Committee Regulations issued pursuant thereto as related to Federally-assisted construction work.

§ 4-12.5118-2 Scope.

The regulations of this section shall be applicable to any grant, contract, loan, insurance or guarantee thereunder which may involve a construction contract or which may involve construction work performed by the applicant and in either event is to be paid for in whole or in part with funds obtained from the Federal Government or borrowed on the credit of the Federal Government or undertaken pursuant to any Federal program involving such grant, contract, loan, insurance or guarantee.

§ 4-12.5118-3 Equal opportunity clause.

There shall be included as a condition of any grant, contract, loan, insurance or guarantee thereunder within the scope of these regulations the language prescribed by § 60-1.3(b) of the Committee Regulations (§ 60-1.3(b) of Part 10.1 of this title).

§ 4-12.5118-4 Duties of agencies.

Each agency shall be primarily responsible for obtaining compliance with the equal opportunity clause, the Orders, Committee Regulations and any relevant orders of the Committee. This responsibility may not be delegated to any applicant or non-Federal agency. The

equal opportunity clause requires the applicant to assist in discharging this responsibility. Otherwise, compliance responsibilities are as stated in § 4-12.5117.

§ 4-12.5118-5 Determination of construction contract amount.

At the time of effecting a grant, contract, loan, insurance, or guarantee under which construction work is to be performed, the ultimate cost of the construction work, whether performed under contract or by the applicant, may be only estimated. If it can be reasonably expected that any such construction work to be performed by the applicant or under any applicable construction contract will not exceed \$10,000, the exemption in the Committee Regulations Section 60-1.4 of transactions of \$10,000 or under is applicable.

§ 4-12.5118-6 Reports.

No reports are required by the Committee.

§ 4-12.5118-7 Posters and notices.

See § 4-12.5109, which procedures may be adapted for use by applicants.

§ 4-12.5119 Plans for Progress companies.

§ 4-12.5119-1 The Program.

(a) Plans for Progress is essentially a cooperative venture between business and Government. Participation in Plans for Progress is strictly voluntary. It in no way relieves a government contractor of his contractual obligation to comply with the Executive Orders and the Rules and Regulations of the Committee. But it does open up new avenues for the attainment of the goals of the Executive Orders through an amplification of the activities of government contractors. This phase of the Committee's programs also extends its policies to companies not falling under the Executive Orders so that large corporations which hold no government contracts are now participating in the program.

(b) Individual plans are developed through discussion, study and negotiation with the individual company management. Results are put in the form of a joint formal statement—the Plan for Progress—signed by the company's chief executive officer and the President.

(c) Under Plans for Progress, the Committee also has made certain pledges. It has assured the signing companies that it will press its efforts, through recruitment, training, and labor liaison programs, to encourage the development of qualified applicants for referral to Plans for Progress employers and to work with the appropriate contracting agencies to assist employers to carry out their programs for equal opportunity.

(d) A special Advisory Council on Plans for Progress formed by leading industrial executives administers the corporate program. It has a full-time staff, consisting of experienced personnel executives, which works with Plans for Progress companies in implementing their individual plans.

§ 4-12.5119-2 Agency responsibilities.

(a) A Federal contractor who is a Plans for Progress participant is not relieved of his commitments under the Equal Opportunity Clause. Therefore, the responsibility of the Predominant Interest Agency for assuring compliance remains the same. Procedures are slightly different; the participant makes his annual report on Form EEO 10 which, while statistically compatible with Revised Form 40, does not include questions on policies and practices. These are answered in another form.

(b) The contracting agency has no responsibility for assuring that the contractor is carrying out his Plans for Progress. The agency's responsibility is to see that the contractor meets his obligations under the Executive Orders and the Committee's Rules and Regulations. All Plans for Progress companies which are Government contractors must carry out the same preliminary actions as nonparticipants, must avoid discriminatory practices, and must take affirmative action to provide equal employment opportunity.

(c) Of course, the contractor may wish to discuss his activities under his Plan for Progress in order to ask for guidance or assistance, or as evidence of his attitudes. Such discussions may be fruitful but the agency must not regard compliance with the Plan for Progress as its responsibility. At the same time, the contractor's desire to sign a Plan for Progress is commendatory and his interest in carrying it out should be supported and not regarded as of no interest to compliance personnel. The Predominant Interest Agency should include Plans for Progress contractors in all types of activities carried on by the agency, including activities associated with making contracts, compliance reviews, and pre-award activities.

§ 4-12.5119-3 Reporting requirements.

Form EEO-10 is used by companies affiliated with the Plans for Progress program in filing reports with the Committee. Plans for Progress companies are not required to file SF-40-A. A listing of Plans for Progress firms is included at § 4-52.705. Contracting officers accordingly have no responsibility for monitoring the reporting by these firms.

§ 4-12.5119-4 Complaints.

See § 4-12.5114-2 for procedure for handling complaints involving Plans for Progress firms.

§ 4-12.5120 Field Manual.

The Contracts Compliance Division of the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity has published a "Field Manual on Equal Employment Opportunity Under Government Contracts." The manual is designed to assist personnel administering compliance activities under Government contracts. It shall be used as a guide in all complaint investigations and compliance reviews. The manual may be obtained from the Department Contracts Compliance Officer.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 17th day of September 1965.

JOSEPH M. ROBERTSON,
Assistant Secretary for Administration,
U.S. Department of Agriculture.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10068; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 3824]

[Montana 070465]

MONTANA

Revoking Air Navigation Site Withdrawal No. 109

By virtue of the authority contained in section 4 of the Act of May 24, 1928 (45 Stat. 729; 49 U.S.C. 214) as amended, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Departmental Order of March 9, 1937, withdrawing the following described lands as Air Navigation Site No. 109, is hereby revoked:

MONTANA PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 18 N., R. 27 W.,
Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Containing 10 acres in the Lolo National Forest.

2. At 10 a.m., on October 21, 1965, the lands shall be subject to such forms of disposition as may by law be made of national forest lands.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10041; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 3825]

[Fairbanks 031605]

ALASKA

Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 3492 of December 2, 1964

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by the Act of May 31, 1938 (52 Stat. 593; 48 U.S.C. 353a), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 3492 of December 2, 1964, withdrawing lands for school purposes, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

BAIRD INLET AREA

NEWTOK SCHOOL RESERVE

U.S. Survey 4042

Lots 2 and 3.

Containing 1.42 acres.

2. Lot 2 contains public improvements and is withdrawn by Public Land Order No. 2020. Lot 3 contains improvements claimed by third party or parties.

3. Subject to valid existing rights, the State of Alaska shall have, until 10 a.m. on December 16, 1965, a preferred right of application to select Lot 3 as provided by the Act of July 28, 1956 (70 Stat. 709; 48 U.S.C. 46-3b) and section 6(g) of the Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339). After that date and hour lot 3 shall become subject to operation of the public land laws generally, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m. on October 21, 1965, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those filed thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

4. Lot 3 has been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws. It will be open to location under the United States mining laws after 10 a.m. on December 16, 1965.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Fairbanks District and Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Fairbanks, Alaska.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10042; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 3826]

[Fairbanks 014962]

ALASKA

Partial Revocation of Public Land Order No. 2345

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 28, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 2345 of April 24, 1961, which withdrew public lands for recreation purposes, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

U.S. Survey 2283.

Containing 10 acres.

2. Until 10 a.m. on December 16, 1965, the State of Alaska shall have a preferred right to select the lands as provided by the act of July 28, 1956 (70 Stat. 709; 48 U.S.C. 46-3b); section 6g of the Alaska Statehood Act of July 7, 1958 (72 Stat. 339), and the regulations in 43 CFR 2222.9 (formerly 43 CFR Part 76).

3. This order shall not otherwise become effective to change the status of the lands until 10 a.m. on December 16, 1965. At that time they shall be open to the operation of the public land laws generally, including the mining laws, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m. on October 21, 1965, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Fairbanks, Alaska.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10043; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 3827]

[Oregon 04852]

OREGON

Revocation of Public Land Order No. 2040 of December 31, 1959

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

Public Land Order No. 2040 of December 31, 1959, withdrawing the following described public lands for protection of recreational values, is hereby revoked:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

T. 32 S., R. 14 W.,
Sec. 7, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate approximately 90 acres, in Curry County.

The lands are either withdrawn for power purposes, or classified for public recreation purposes.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10044; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 3828]

[Oregon 015238]

OREGON

Withdrawal for National Forest Recreation Area

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described national forest lands are hereby withdrawn from appropriation under the United States mining laws (Ch. 2, Title 30, U.S.C.), in aid of programs of the Department of Agriculture:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

DESCHUTES AND WILLAMETTE NATIONAL FORESTS

Waldo Lake Recreation Area

T. 20 S., R. 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 35, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 21 S., R. 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 1;
Sec. 2, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 11, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 12, 13;

Sec. 14, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 23, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24;
Sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 22 S., R. 5 $\frac{1}{2}$ E. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 1;
Sec. 12, E $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, E $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 20 S., R. 6 E. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 31, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33;
Sec. 34, W $\frac{1}{2}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 21 S., R. 6 E.,
Sec. 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3, lots 3, 4, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Secs. 4, 5;
Sec. 6, lots 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11;
Sec. 12, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 14, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22;
Sec. 23, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 27 to 34, inclusive;
Sec. 35, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 22 S., R. 6 E.,
Sec. 2, lots 2, 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Secs. 3 to 8, inclusive;
Sec. 9, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10;
Sec. 11, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 13, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 16, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, lots 1, 2, 3, 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 22, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 27, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, lots 1, 2, 3, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30, lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31, lots 1, 2, 3, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 36, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 33,112.55 acres in Deschutes and Lane Counties.

2. The withdrawal made by this order does not alter the applicability of those public land laws governing the use of the national forest lands under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 16, 1965.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10045; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:46 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[10 CFR Part 140]

FINANCIAL PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS AND INDEMNITY AGREEMENTS

Restoration of Limit of Liability Endorsement

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 65-9791, appearing at page 11873 of the issue for Thursday, September 16, 1965, the following correction is made in the note for § 140.75: The words "clearly identifiable nuclear event of restoration" should read "clearly identifiable nuclear event and restoration".

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[18 CFR Parts 152, 153, 156, 157, 159]

[Docket No. R-282]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY CERTIFICATES

Proposed Schedule of Filing Fees

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

1. Pursuant to section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act the Commission gives notice that it proposes to establish a schedule of filing fees relating to applications by natural gas pipeline companies for certificates of public convenience and necessity as well as applications for exemption under section 1(c) of the Natural Gas Act, for export or import authority, for an order directing a pipeline company to serve a local distributor or for abandonment authority.

2. Congress has established the policy "that any * * * benefit, privilege, authority, use, franchise, license, permit, certificate, registration, or similar thing of value or utility performed, furnished, provided, granted, prepared or issued by any Federal agency * * * to or for any person * * *, except those engaged in the transaction of official business of the Government, shall be self-sustaining to the full extent possible". Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952, 5 U.S.C. 140. In order to accomplish this objective, Congress authorized the head of each agency, which includes the Federal Power Commission, to prescribe by regulation such fees and charges as are determined to be fair and equitable "taking into consideration direct and indirect cost to the Government, value to the recipient, public policy or interest served, and other pertinent facts." Congress also provided that the regulations prescribed by agencies in the Executive branch shall be as uniform as practicable and subject to such policies as the President may prescribe. The

Bureau of the Budget on behalf of the President has issued Circular No. A-25, September 23, 1969, which sets forth general policies for developing an equitable and uniform system of charges for certain Government services and property so as to implement the provisions of the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952.

3. The regulatory activities of the Federal Power Commission convey special benefits to identifiable recipients above and beyond those which accrue to the public at large. Congress has adopted the policy that the recipient of special benefits conveyed by a Federal agency program should pay a reasonable charge to defray the cost of the program and to relieve the general taxpayer who bears the major burden of supporting Federal agencies. The Commission has reviewed its functions under the Federal Power Act and the Natural Gas Act and is of the opinion that the assessment of user charges or other fees with respect to the exercise of our regulatory activities under Parts II and III of the Federal Power Act and under the generally parallel rate and accounting provisions of the Natural Gas Act would not be appropriate since these activities are primarily for the benefit of the general public rather than the regulated companies. The Commission is also of the view that its administrative costs of certification of sales of natural gas by independent producers to pipelines should not be charged to the producers, both because of administrative difficulties and the fact that these proceedings frequently involve questions of rates and are thus ancillary to our rate jurisdiction over such persons. The Commission already assesses charges against hydroelectric licensees for the purpose of defraying the costs of administration of Part I of the Federal Power Act (18 U.S.C. 803(e)).

However, we believe the public interest would be served by the establishment of a fair and equitable schedule of fees designed to recover our costs for the services rendered in processing applications for the certifying of interstate transportation and sale of natural gas for resale by pipeline companies and the other matters enumerated. We propose that all qualifying applicants pay a nominal filing fee of \$50. In addition, we propose that applicants for authority to construct facilities for the transportation of gas pay a fee amounting to five one-hundredths of one percent of the estimated cost of the facilities for which a certificate is requested, payable at the time of filing, plus a fee of ten one-hundredths of one percent of the estimated cost of the facilities for which a certificate is granted and accepted.

The proposed fees are based upon the Commission's cost of conducting this function, the number of filings in this category and the estimated costs of the

facilities for which application was made in recent years. We recognize that neither the Commission's costs nor the dollar value of facilities will remain static and, therefore, that it may be necessary to revise either the \$50 fee or the percentage factors or both in the light of subsequent experience.

Finally, we are proposing the accounting to be followed by the companies in recording on their books the fee payments being proposed herein, that the companies charge Account 302, Franchises and Consents, with appropriate amortization, for the amounts of user charges paid by them if they are successful in obtaining certificates, and if the certificate is not granted, that the amounts expended be charged to Account 928, Regulatory Commission Expenses. Since a portion of the user charges will be assessed against the companies at the time the application is filed, we propose that the companies include the initial amounts paid in Account 186, Miscellaneous Deferred Debits, and clear that account to either Account 302 or 928 when final disposition is made of the application by the Commission.

4. Pursuant to section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act and § 1.19(a) of the Commission's rules (18 CFR 1.19(a)), any interested person may submit to the Federal Power Commission by November 5, 1965, data, views, and comments in writing concerning the amendments now being proposed herein. The Commission will consider these written submissions before taking any action upon the proposed amendments. An original and nine copies of any such submissions should be filed.

5. These amendments to the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR, Chapter I, Subchapter E) are proposed to be issued under the authority of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, particularly sections 1(c), 3, 7, 8, and 16 thereof (52 Stat. 822, 824, 825, 830; 56 Stat. 83; 68 Stat. 36; 15 U.S.C. 717(c), 717(b), 717f, 717g, 717o); Executive Order 10485 (18 F.R. 5397; 3 CFR, 1949-53 Comp. 970); section 5 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (67 Stat. 462, 464; 43 U.S.C. 1334(c)); section 140 of Title 5 of the U.S. Code; and Budget Bureau Circular A-25 (September 23, 1959).

6. In consideration of the foregoing, the Commission proposes to amend Subchapter E, Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

a. Amend Subchapter E by adding a new Part 159 to read as follows:

PART 159—FILING FEES

§ 159.1 Filing fees for applications not involving construction of facilities.

A filing fee of \$50 shall accompany each of the following:

(a) Applications for exemption under section 1(c) of the Act;

(b) Applications to import or export natural gas under section 3 of the Act;

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

UPLAND AND EXTRA LONG STAPLE COTTON

Notice of Determinations Regarding 1966 Crops

The Secretary of Agriculture is preparing to make determinations with respect to the 1966 crops of upland cotton and extra long staple cotton pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (referred to as the "act") (52 Stat. 38, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.). These determinations include the following:

(a) Whether a national marketing quota is required to be proclaimed for the 1966 crop of upland cotton under section 342 of the act and if such quota is required.

(1) The amount of the national quota in bales under section 342 of the act.

(2) The amount of the national allotment in acres under section 344 (a) of the act.

(3) The amount of the national reserve in acres under section 344 (b) of the act.

(4) The apportionment of the national allotment and national reserve to the States and counties under section 344 (b) and (e) of the act, and

(5) The date for holding the referendum under section 343 of the act.

(b) Whether a national marketing quota is required to be proclaimed for the 1966 crop of extra long staple cotton under section 347 of the act and if such quota is required.

(1) The amount of the national quota in bales under section 347 of the act.

(2) The amount of the national allotment in acres under section 344 (a) of the act.

(3) The apportionment of the national allotment to the States and counties under section 344 (b) and (e) of the act, and

(4) The date for holding the referendum under section 343 of the act.

The Secretary is required under sections 342 and 347 of the act to proclaim the national quota not later than October 15, 1965, for the 1966 crop of upland cotton and for the 1966 crop of extra long staple cotton.

It is proposed to establish December 14, 1965, as the date for holding the referendum for the 1966 crop of upland cotton and the 1966 crop of extra long staple cotton.

It is also proposed to combine the Acreage Allotment Regulations for the 1964 and succeeding crops of extra long staple cotton (28 F.R. 11034, as amended) with the Acreage Allotment Regulations for the 1964 and Succeeding Crops of Upland Cotton (28 F.R. 11041) and to promulgate the Acreage Allotment Regulations

for the 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Upland and Extra Long Staple Cotton. Such regulations would incorporate minor changes found to be desirable. Obsolete provisions would be omitted.

Prior to making any of the foregoing determinations, consideration will be given to any data, views, and recommendations which are submitted in writing to the Director, Policy and Program Appraisal Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250, within 15 days following the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The date of the postmark will be considered as the date of any submission. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at such times and places and in a manner convenient to the public business (7 CFR 1-27 (b)).

Effective date. Date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on September 16, 1965.

H. D. GODFREY,
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10066; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control IMPORTATION OF KUDZU, ROOTS DIRECTLY FROM HONG KONG

Available Certifications by the Government of Hong Kong

Notice is hereby given that certificates of origin issued by the Department of Commerce and Industry of the Government of Hong Kong under procedures agreed upon between that Government and the Foreign Assets Control are available with respect to the importation into the United States directly, or on a through bill of lading, from Hong Kong of the following additional commodity:

Kudzu, roots.

MARGARET W. SCHWARTZ,
Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10126; Filed, Sept. 20, 1965; 2:17 p.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary OIL IMPORT PROGRAM AND PETROCHEMICAL INDUSTRY

Notice of Hearing

In order to receive comments, testimony and information regarding the relationship of the mandatory oil import

program to the domestic petrochemical industry, a public hearing will be held in Washington, D.C., on Thursday, October 28, 1965, beginning at 10 a.m., e.d.t., in the auditorium, Department of the Interior, 19th and C Streets NW.

Written comments should be addressed to: Assistant Secretary—Mineral Resources, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., 20240. Persons desiring to testify at the hearing should, by Friday, October 22, 1965, notify the Administrator, Oil Import Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., 20240.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 16, 1965.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10046; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-8153 etc.]

W. C. FEAZEL ESTATE ET AL.

Findings and Order

SEPTEMBER 14, 1965.

W. C. Feazel Estate (Operator), et al. (successor to W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al.), and other Applicants listed herein, Docket Nos. G-8153, et al.

Findings and order after statutory hearing issuing certificates of public convenience and necessity, cancelling docket number, amending certificates, permitting and approving abandonment of service, terminating certificates, substituting respondent, redesignating proceedings, accepting agreement and undertakings for filing, and accepting related rate schedules and supplements for filing.

Each of the Applicants listed herein has filed an application pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce, for permission and approval to abandon service, or a petition to amend an existing certificate authorization, all as more fully described in the respective applications and petitions (and any supplements or amendments thereto) which are on file with the Commission.

The Applicants herein have filed related FPC Gas Rate Schedules and propose to initiate or abandon, add or delete natural gas service in interstate commerce as indicated by the tabulation herein. All sales certificated herein are either equal to or below the ceiling prices established by the Commission's Statement of General Policy 61-1, as amended, except that Applicant's in Docket Nos. CI63-1430, CI63-1458 and CI63-1514 propose to sell natural gas at prices subject to possible proportionate adjustment for variations in B.t.u. content above or below 1,000 B.t.u. per cubic

12079

foot, or involve sales for which permanent certificates have been previously issued. The B.t.u. adjustments have been found to be in the public interest for the pricing areas involved herein in the proceeding in Texico Inc., et al., Docket No. G-8087, et al. (Opinion No. 464, issued June 10, 1965).

Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., Applicant in Docket No. G-17022, proposes to continue the sale of natural gas authorized in said docket and made pursuant to Franco Western Oil Co., A Division of Franco Wyoming Oil Co. FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1. Said rate schedule will be redesignated as that of Socony. The presently effective rate under said rate schedule is in effect subject to refund in Docket No. RI65-322. A prior increased rate was collected for a locked-in period subject to refund in Docket No. RI60-448. Socony has requested to be substituted as respondent in both proceedings and has filed agreements and undertakings to assure the refund of any amounts collected in excess of the amounts determined to be just and reasonable in said proceedings. Accordingly, Socony will be substituted in lieu of Franco Western as respondent, the proceedings will be redesignated, and the agreements and undertakings will be accepted for filing.

After due notice, no petitions to intervene, notices of intervention, or protests to the granting of any of the respective applications or petitions in this order have been received.

At a hearing held on September 10, 1965, the Commission on its own motion received and made a part of the record in these proceedings all evidence, including the applications, amendments and exhibits thereto, submitted in support of the respective authorizations sought herein, and upon consideration of the record,

The Commission finds:

(1) Each Applicant herein is a "natural-gas company" within the meaning of the Natural Gas Act as heretofore found by the Commission or will be engaged in the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale for ultimate public consumption, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and will, therefore, be a "natural-gas company" within the meaning of said Act upon the commencement of the service under the respective authorizations granted hereinafter.

(2) The sales of natural gas hereinbefore described, as more fully described in the respective applications, amendments and/or supplements herein, will be made in interstate commerce, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and such sales by the respective Applicants, together with the construction and operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary therefor, are subject to the requirements of subsections (c) and (e) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

(3) The sales of natural gas by the respective Applicants, together with the construction and operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary therefor, are required by the public convenience and necessity and certificates therefor should be issued as hereinafter ordered and conditioned.

(4) The respective Applicants are able and willing properly to do the acts and to perform the services proposed and to conform to the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the requirements, rules and regulations of the Commission thereunder.

(5) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that Docket No. CI65-1352 should be cancelled and that the application filed therein should be processed as a petition to amend the certificate issued in Docket No. G-11695 by permitting the successor in interest to continue the service heretofore authorized.

(6) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the public convenience and necessity require that the certificate authorizations heretofore issued by the Commission in Docket Nos. G-8153, G-8751, G-11005, G-11790, G-16367, G-16922, G-17022, G-18245, CI60-532, CI61-563, CI62-1337, CI64-559, CI65-139, CI65-608, and CI65-849 should be amended as hereinafter ordered.

(7) The sales of natural gas proposed to be abandoned by the respective Applicants, as hereinbefore described, all as more fully described in the tabulation herein and in the respective applications, are subject to the requirements of subsection (b) of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, and such abandonments should be permitted and approved as hereinafter ordered.

(8) The certificates of public convenience and necessity heretofore issued to the respective Applicants herein relating to the abandonments hereinafter permitted and approved should be terminated.

(9) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the public convenience and necessity require that Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., should be substituted in lieu of Franco Western Oil Co., a division of Franco Wyoming Oil Co. as respondent in the proceedings pending in Docket Nos. RI60-448 and RI65-322, that said proceedings should be redesignated accordingly, and that the agreements and undertakings submitted by Socony in said proceedings should be accepted for filing.

(10) The respective related rate schedules and supplements as designated or redesignated in the tabulation herein should be accepted for filing as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Certificates of public convenience and necessity are issued upon the terms and conditions of this order, authorizing

the sales by the respective Applicants herein of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale, together with the construction and operation of any facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission necessary for such sales, all as hereinbefore described and as more fully described in the respective applications, amendments, supplements and exhibits in this proceeding.

(B) The certificates granted in paragraph (A) above are not transferable and shall be effective only so long as Applicants continue the acts or operations hereby authorized in accordance with the provisions of the Natural Gas Act and the applicable rules, regulations and orders of the Commission.

(C) The grant of the certificates issued in paragraph (A) above shall not be construed as a waiver of the requirements of section 4 of the Natural Gas Act or of Part 154 or Part 157 of the Commission's regulations thereunder, and is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the respective Applicants. Further, our action in this proceeding shall not foreclose nor prejudice any future proceedings or objections relating to the operation of any price or related provisions in the gas purchase contracts herein involved. Nor shall the grant of the certificates aforesaid for service to the particular customers involved imply approval of all of the terms of the respective contracts, particularly as to the cessation of service upon termination of said contracts, as provided by section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act. Nor shall the grant of the certificates aforesaid be construed to preclude the imposition of any sanctions pursuant to the provisions of the Natural Gas Act for the unauthorized commencement of any sales of natural gas subject to said certificates.

(D) The grant of the certificates issued herein on all applications filed after April 15, 1965, is upon the condition that no increase in rate which would exceed the ceiling prescribed for the given area by paragraph (d) of the Commission's Statement of General Policy 61-1, as amended, shall be filed prior to the applicable dates, as indicated by footnotes 1 and 25 in the attached tabulation.

(E) The certificate issued herein in Docket No. CI65-933 authorizing a sale of gas by Har-Ken Oil Co., et al.,¹ to Texas Gas Transmission Corp. determines the rate which legally may be paid by the buyer to the seller but is without prejudice to any action which the Commission may take in any future rate proceeding involving either Texas Gas Exploration Corp. or Texas Gas Transmission Corp.

¹ The "et al." party is Texas Gas Exploration Corp.

(F) The certificate heretofore issued in Docket No. CI65-139 is amended by deleting therefrom the released acreage covered under Har-Ken Oil Co.'s FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 3.

(G) The certificate issued herein in Docket No. CI66-37 is subject to the conditions set forth in paragraphs (E), (F), and (G) of the order accompanying Opinion No. 350 (27 FPC 35).

(H) The certificates heretofore issued in Docket Nos. G-16367 and CI65-849 are amended by adding thereto or deleting therefrom authorization to sell natural gas to the same purchasers and in the same areas as covered by the original authorizations, pursuant to the rate schedule supplements as indicated in the tabulation herein.

(I) The certificate heretofore issued in Docket No. G-11790 is amended to include the sale of natural gas from the additional acreage. The rate for the additional service shall be 14.0 cents per Mcf at 14.65 p.s.i.a., and is not subject to the rate proceeding in Docket No. RI62-174.

(J) Docket No. CI65-1352 is cancelled.

(K) The certificates heretofore issued in Docket Nos. G-8153, G-8751, G-11005, G-11695, G-16922, G-17022, G-18245, CI60-532, CI61-563, CI62-1337, CI64-559, and CI65-608 are amended by changing the certificate holders to the respective successors in interest as indicated in the tabulation herein.

(L) Permission for and approval of the abandonment of service by the respective Applicants, as hereinbefore described and as more fully described in the respective applications herein are granted.

(M) The certificates heretofore issued in Docket Nos. G-3842 and G-16443 are terminated.

(N) Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., is substituted in lieu of Franco Western Oil Co., a division of Franco Wyoming Oil Co., as respondent in the proceedings pending in Docket Nos. RI60-448 and RI65-322, said proceedings are redesignated accordingly,² and the agreements and undertakings submitted by Socony in said proceedings are accepted for filing.

(O) Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc., shall comply with the refunding and reporting procedure required by the Natural Gas Act and §154.102 of the regulations thereunder, and the agreements and undertakings filed by Socony in Docket Nos. RI60-448 and RI65-322 shall remain in full force and effect until discharged by the Commission.

(P) The respective related rate schedules and supplements as indicated in the tabulation herein are accepted for filing; further, the rate schedules relating to the successions herein are redesignated and accepted, subject to the applicable Commission regulations under the Natural Gas Act to be effective on the dates as indicated in the tabulation herein.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser, field, and location	FPC rate schedule to be accepted		
			Description and date of document	No.	Supp.
G-8153 E 7-12-65	W. C. Feazel Estate (Operator), et al. (successor to W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al.)	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Elvian Fields Field, Harrison County, Tex.	W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al., FPC GRS No. 2. Supplement Nos. 1-9. Notice of succession 7-8-65. Effective date: 3-16-65.	2	
G-8751 E 7-15-65	George R. Brown (successor to Herman Brown Estate).	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Southwest Mayfield Field, Beckham County, Okla.	Herman Brown Estate, FPC GRS No. 3. Supplement No. 1. Notice of succession 7-13-65. Conveyance 12-30-64. Effective date: 12-31-64.	15	1
G-11005 E 7-12-65	W. C. Feazel Estate (Operator), et al. (successor to W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al.)	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp., Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, La.	W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al., FPC GRS No. 3. Supplement Nos. 1-9. Notice of succession 7-8-65. Effective date: 3-16-65.	3	2
G-11790 C 6-21-65	Graham-Michaels Drilling Co.	Cities Service Gas Co., Aetna Mississippi Field, Barber County, Kans.	Supplemental agreement 6-7-65. ³	63	5
G-16367 D 6-7-65	Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc. (Operator), et al.	Transwestern Pipeline Co., Feldman-Tonkawa Field, Hemphill and Lipscomb Counties, Tex.	Notice of partial cancellation 6-3-65. ⁴	239	7
G-16922 E 7-12-65	W. C. Feazel Estate (successor to W. C. Feazel).	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Blackburn Field, Claiborne Parish, La.	W. C. Feazel, FPC GRS No. 5. Supplement Nos. 1-2. Notice of succession 7-8-65. Effective date: 3-16-65.	5	1-2
G-17022 E 7-2-65	Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc. (successor to Franco Western Oil Co., a division of Franco Wyoming Oil Co.)	West Lake Natural Gasoline Co., Nema Lucian Field, Nolan County, Tex.	Supplement Nos. 1-5. Notice of succession (undated). Conveyance 7-1-65. ⁵ Effective date: 7-1-65.	376	1-5
G-18245 E 7-15-65	Talbert G. Byers (successor to Folwell Associates).	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., McKim District, Pleasants County, W. Va.	Folwell Associates, FPC GRS No. 1. Notice of succession 7-13-65. Assignment 4-21-65. ⁶ Effective date: 4-21-65.	1	1
CI60-532 E 7-12-65	Sword Co. (successor to Continental Oil Co.)	United Fuel Gas Co., Go Around Bayou Area, Cameron Parish, La.	Continental Oil Co., FPC GRS No. 345. Supplement No. 1. Notice of succession 7-8-65. Assignment 5-25-62. ⁷ Assignment 8-9-62. ⁸	3	1
CI61-563 E 7-12-65	W. C. Feazel Estate, et al. (successor to W. C. Feazel, et al.)	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Terryville Ruston Area, Lincoln Parish, La.	W. C. Feazel, et al., FPC GRS No. 6. Notice of succession 7-8-65. Effective date: 3-16-65.	6	
CI62-1337 E 7-14-65	W. J. Fellers (Operator), et al. (successor to George B. McClellan, d.b.a. McClellan Drilling Co. (Operator), et al.)	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., acreage in Seward County, Kans.	George B. McClellan, d.b.a. McClellan Drilling Co. (Operator), et al., FPC GRS No. 1. Supplement Nos. 1-6. Notice of succession (undated). Assignment 3-12-64. ⁹ Assignment 4-15-65. ¹⁰ Assignment 3-23-65. ¹¹ Assignment 6-14-65. ¹² Assignment 6-14-65. ¹³ Assignment 6-14-65. ¹⁴ Assignment 6-14-65. ¹⁵ Assignment 6-14-65. ¹⁶ Effective date: 4-1-65.	1	1-6
CI63-1430 A 5-23-63	Edwin L. Cox	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., acreage in Beaver County, Okla.	Contract 4-16-63.	32	
CI63-1438 A 5-31-63	Amat Petroleum Corp.	do.	Contract 3-27-63.	12	
CI63-1514 A 6-10-63 C 3-18-65	R. H. Slegfried, Inc. (Operator), et al.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, South Cundiff Field, Jack County, Tex.	Contract 4-5-63. Amendment 12-10-64. ¹⁷	6	1
CI64-559 E 7-12-65	W. C. Feazel Estate (Operator), et al. (successor to W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al.)	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Hodge Field, Jackson Parish, La.	W. C. Feazel (Operator), et al., FPC GRS No. 7. Notice of succession 7-8-65. Effective date: 3-16-65.	7	

Filing code: A—Initial service.
B—Abandonment.
C—Amendment to add acreage.
D—Amendment to delete acreage.
E—Succession.
F—Partial succession.

See footnotes at end of table.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GORDON M. GRANT,
Acting Secretary.

² Socony Mobil Oil Co., Inc.

paid for by Respondent on a parcel of land presently owned by Applicant.

Applicant states that the proposed meter and regulator station are designed for delivery of 15,000 Mcf per day of which 1,500 Mcf would be required to furnish peak day requirements at the Job Corps Center. In order to provide the service outlined above, Applicant states that it will be necessary that the contract demands available to it over and above those presently available under existing contracts be increased 1,500 Mcf during the winter months of November to March, both inclusive; 1,000 Mcf in the transition months of April and October, and 500 Mcf during the months of May and September.

In addition to the transmission line proposed to be constructed as aforesaid, Applicant proposes to enlarge its distribution system by the construction of approximately four (4) miles of 8-inch steel pipe, extending from said meter and regulator station to a point of connection with its existing distribution system at the intersection of Dickman Road and Avenue "A" in the City of Springfield, Mich., and an additional 3,000 feet of 6-inch steel pipe extending from said interconnection to Fort Custer.

The total cost of facilities for the meter and regulator station as proposed for delivery to Applicant is estimated to be \$29,500.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before October 5, 1965.

JOSEPH H. GURTADE,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10035; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7112]

MISSISSIPPI POWER CO.

Notice of Continuance of Prehearing Conference

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

Upon consideration of the motion filed September 9, 1965, by Mississippi Power Co. in the above-designated matter:

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference heretofore scheduled by the Commission's order issued

August 26, 1964.

August 31, 1965, to commence on September 20, 1965, is hereby postponed to be held on November 3, 1965, at 10 a.m. in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., for the purposes set forth in paragraph (C) of said order issued August 31, 1965.

JOSEPH H. GURTADE,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10036; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP62-243, etc.]

NATURAL GAS PIPE LINE CO. OF AMERICA ET AL.

Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

By Opinion No. 474 and Order issued September 9, 1965, the Commission ordered that a further hearing shall be held concerning the certificate applications filed in the above consolidated proceedings, by Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., Mississippi River Transmission Corp., and Natural Gas Pipe Line Co. of America, and further provided that the presiding examiner shall convene a prehearing conference in this matter.

Pursuant to such opinion and order, the presiding examiner has fixed a prehearing conference to convene at 10 a.m. on October 19, 1965, at a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C.

JOSEPH H. GURTADE,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10039; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7112]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Public Health Service

ORGANIZATION AND FUNCTIONS

Statement of Delegations of Authority

The following material amends section 109.—"Delegations by the Surgeon General," published in 29 F.R. 12142-12147, August 26, 1964.

These amendments, through revision of the specific subsections concerned, reflect substantive changes in existing delegations and the omission of delegations no longer in effect. Also included are additional subsections incorporating several new delegations not heretofore published in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

Sec. 109. *Delegations by the Surgeon General.*

(c) The Chief, Bureau of Medical Services, and the Chief, Division of Foreign Quarantine, BMS, are authorized:

(1) To convene boards of medical officers for reexamination of aliens, and to authorize Medical Officers in Charge to convene such boards, pursuant to § 34.14 of the Public Health Service regulations;

(2) To establish the hours during which quarantine service shall be performed at quarantine stations, pursuant to section 364(b) of the Public Health Service Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2671); and (3) to designate yellow fever vaccinating centers and, as appropriate, revoke such designations; to issue instructions for the handling, storage and administration of yellow fever vaccine in designated centers; and to approve the size and design of a stamp for authenticating international certificates of vaccination against yellow fever; pursuant to § 71.8 of the Public Health Service regulations.

Authority delegated

(1) (i) To make grants pursuant to section 318 of the Public Health Service Act, to the State agencies designated in accordance with section 604(a)(1) of the Act for projects for developing, revising, and supervising and assisting in the carrying out of comprehensive regional, metropolitan area, or other local area plans for coordination of existing and planned health facilities, and facilities related thereto, and services provided by such facilities.

(ii) To approve the transfer of specified portions of allotments to other States pursuant to section 602(f) of the Public Health Service Act and § 83.92 of the Public Health Service regulations.

(iii) To review and approve State plans and modifications of State plans under section 604(b) of the Public Health Service Act. Where there does not appear to be a satisfactory basis for approval of such plan or modification, it shall be referred through administrative channels to the Surgeon General for appropriate determination.

Notes: The authorities reflected in the foregoing item (3) are also delegated to the Deputy Chief of the Bureau of Medical Services.

(d) Each Regional Health Director of the Public Health Service is authorized to exercise, within his respective region, the powers of the Surgeon General: (1) To review and approve State plans (including budgets) and applications for funds relating to grants-in-aid presented under section 314 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 246), the annual appropriation acts, and the regulations of the Surgeon General governing grants to States for public health services; and (2) to take action on architectural plans and specifications for the construction of community hospitals which would serve Indians, pursuant to section 3, Public Law 85-151 (45 U.S.C. 2005b), and to waive technical compliance with requirements of the terms and conditions of agreements executed pursuant to section 1, Public Law 85-151, as they pertain to plans and specifications and as waiver is permitted in such terms and conditions.

Notes: State plans submitted pursuant to section 314 of the PHS Act shall not be disapproved without referral to headquarters for appropriate determination.

(e) The authorities contained in the following items (1) through (4) have been delegated to officials, as specified:

To whom delegated

Chief and Deputy Chief, Bureau of State Services; Associate Chief for Community Health, BSS; Associate Chief for Program, BSS-CH; Chief, Division of Hospital and Medical Facilities, BSS-CH.

Same as indicated for Item (1) (1).

Same as indicated for Item (1) (1).

"Director, Dental Health Center, San Francisco, Calif."

The following new subsections are added at the end of section 109:

(kk) The Chief and Deputy Chief, Bureau of State Services; the Associate Chief for Community Health, BSS; the Associate Chief for Program, BSS-CH; and the Chief, Division of Hospital and Medical Facilities, BSS-CH; are authorized: (1) To award project grants for the construction of university-affiliated facilities for the mentally retarded, pursuant to Part B, Title I, Mental Retardation Facilities and Community Mental Health Centers Construction Act of 1963; and (2) to award grants for the construction of teaching facilities in public or other nonprofit schools of medicine.

(ll) The following delegates are authorized:

(1) To determine what expenditures are necessary annually for the proper and efficient administration of approved State plans; (2) to review and approve or take other necessary action on requests by States that a portion of their allotment(s) be made available annually to pay expenditures determined necessary; and (3) to make determinations regarding method of payments of the amounts due; pursuant to section 606(c) of the Public Health Service Act.

To whom delegated

Chief and Deputy Chief, Bureau of State Services, Associate Chief for Community Health, BSS-CH.
Associate Chief for Program, BSS-CH.
Chief, Division of Hospital and Medical Facilities, BSS-CH.

Area of authority

Bureau of State Services, Community Health.

Regional Health Directors, Associate Directors for Community Health Services, Regions III, IV, V, VI, and VII (for States within their respective regions).

Regional Health Director, Associate Director for Community Health Services, Region II (for States within Regions I and II).

Regional Health Director, Associate Director for Community Health Services, Region IX (for States within Regions VIII and IX).

Note: Where there does not appear to be a satisfactory basis for approving a request submitted to Regional Offices pursuant to section 606(c) of the PHS Act, the facts of the case shall be referred by the respective Regional Health Director to headquarters for appropriate determination.

(mm) Authority to take certain actions in the administration of Title I, Part C, Mental Retardation Facilities and Community Mental Centers Construction Act of 1964, referred to below as "the Act," is delegated as follows:

Authority delegated

(1) To approve the transfer of specified portions of allotments to other States pursuant to section 192(b) of the Act, and § 54.102(b) of the Public Health Service regulations.

To whom delegated

Chief and Deputy Chief, Bureau of State Services; Associate Chief for Community Health, BSS; Associate Chief for Program, BSS; Chief, Division of Hospital and Medical Facilities, BSS.

To whom delegated
Same as indicated for Item (1).

(1) Authority delegated
To make grants, pursuant to section 604(a) of the Public Health Service Act, to States, political subdivisions, universities, hospitals, and other public and private nonprofit institutions or organizations for projects for the conduct of research, experiments, or demonstrations relating to the development, utilization and coordination of services, facilities and resources of hospitals or other medical facilities, agencies or institutions and including the construction of units of hospitals or other medical facilities which involve experimental architectural designs or functional layout and projects for the acquisition of experimental or demonstration equipment.

(2) To review and approve modifications of State plans under section 604(b) of the Public Health Service Act except the annual modifications of State plans required under § 53.122(d) of the Public Health Service regulations. Where there does not appear to be a satisfactory basis for approval of any such modification, the modification or question in connection therewith shall be referred to the Chief or Deputy Chief, BSS, for review and any further action taken shall be based on advice received as a result of such referral.

(3) To review and, except where significant variations from established policies are involved, to approve applications and amendments of approved applications from States, submitted under sections 605 and 610 of the Public Health Service Act; to make the findings required by sections 605(b) and 610; to enter into agreements as to terms, conditions, and covenants of loans pursuant to section 610(c); and to determine the necessary cost of construction pursuant to section 625(j).

(4) To adjust State allotments and to notify the State agency of such adjustment pursuant to the provisions of section 602(c) of the Public Health Service Act, and § 53.93 of the Public Health Service regulations.

Subsection 109(s) is revised as follows functions in sections 822-828 (Part B by the modification of item (5) therein of Title VIII) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 297a-297g), as added and by the addition of a new item (6) to reflect responsibilities for the administration of the Student Loan Program:

(5) To exercise the functions in sections 740-745 (Part C of Title VIII) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 294-294e), as added by the Health Professions Educational Assistance Act of 1963, Public Law 88-129 (77 Stat. 170), and as amended by Public Law 88-654 (78 Stat. 1086); and (6) to exercise the State Services, Community Health:

(1).

To whom delegated

Same as indicated for Item (1).

(1) Authority delegated
To make grants, pursuant to section 604(a) of the Public Health Service Act, to States, political subdivisions, universities, hospitals, and other public and private nonprofit institutions or organizations for projects for the conduct of research, experiments, or demonstrations relating to the development, utilization and coordination of services, facilities and resources of hospitals or other medical facilities, agencies or institutions and including the construction of units of hospitals or other medical facilities which involve experimental architectural designs or functional layout and projects for the acquisition of experimental or demonstration equipment.

(2) To review and approve modifications of State plans under section 604(b) of the Public Health Service Act except the annual modifications of State plans required under § 53.122(d) of the Public Health Service regulations. Where there does not appear to be a satisfactory basis for approval of any such modification, the modification or question in connection therewith shall be referred to the Chief or Deputy Chief, BSS, for review and any further action taken shall be based on advice received as a result of such referral.

(3) To review and, except where significant variations from established policies are involved, to approve applications and amendments of approved applications from States, submitted under sections 605 and 610 of the Public Health Service Act; to make the findings required by sections 605(b) and 610; to enter into agreements as to terms, conditions, and covenants of loans pursuant to section 610(c); and to determine the necessary cost of construction pursuant to section 625(j).

(4) To adjust State allotments and to notify the State agency of such adjustment pursuant to the provisions of section 602(c) of the Public Health Service Act, and § 53.93 of the Public Health Service regulations.

Subsection 109(x) is vacated. The material formerly contained therein is superseded by new subsection 109(oo).

Item 109(z) (4) is revised to reflect extension of the authority to give assurances of confidentiality to the following additional delegatee in the Bureau of State Services, Community Health:

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-239]

GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP.

Notice of Issuance of Facility Export License

Please take notice that no request for a formal hearing having been filed following the publication of notice of proposed action in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 15, 1965 (30 F.R. 8916), the Atomic Energy Commission has issued License No. XR-58 to General Atomic Division of General Dynamics Corp., authorizing export of a one megawatt thermal TRIGA research reactor to the Comitato Nazionale D'Energia Nucleare Casella, Pastale n.1, Santa Maria Di Galeria, Rome, Italy. The export of this reactor to Italy is within the purview of the present Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the Republic of Italy.

Dated at Bethesda, Md., this 13th day of September 1965.

For the Atomic Energy Commission,

EBER R. PRICE,
Director, Division of State
and Licensee Relations.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10032; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 11278 etc.]

NEW YORK-SAN JUAN CARGO RATES INVESTIGATION

Notice of Postponement of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that oral argument in the above-entitled matter now assigned to be held on October 6 is postponed to October 8, 1965, 10 a.m., e.d.s.t., Room 1027, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues, N.W., Washington, D.C., before the Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., September 17, 1965.

[SEAL] THOMAS L. WRENN,
Associate Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10062; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 65-813]

UHF ASSIGNMENT PLAN

Notice Regarding Correction

SEPTEMBER 16, 1965.

The Commission has uncovered an obscure error in the computer program used to prepare the revised UHF Table of Assignments recently adopted by the Commission (Docket 14229, Mimeo

68608). Although it did not cause any technically incorrect assignments to be made it did result in a poor choice of channels for many cities in the Table, resulting often in higher channels than necessary and in some instances in reducing the number of potential assignments.

After discovery of the error, a complete new nationwide assignment plan was made. The corrected plan produced a few additional assignments in those areas where the plan is virtually saturated, increased the number of assignments on the lower numbered channels, and left a substantially greater number of channels available for assignment in the unsaturated areas of the country. A comparison of this plan and the one released by the Commission makes it appear desirable to issue a corrected plan. However, in order not to disrupt the planning that has gone into the preparation of applications based on the assignments adopted in the Fourth Report and Order or to force changes in construction that has gone forward on the basis of permits granted or modified pursuant to the assignments adopted in the Fourth Report and Order, we have retained in the revised plan those assignments for which construction permits have been granted or for which applications were pending as of September 15, 1965. Also consideration will be given to requests by such applicants and permittees for different channel assignments if such requests are consistent with the principles employed in the development of the overall plan.

The corrected plan which is expected to be released in a few weeks will also dispose of many of the petitions for reconsideration filed in the docket.

Adopted: September 15, 1965.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,¹

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 65-10018; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
9:20 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

PORT OF BELLINGHAM, WASH., AND GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORP.

Notice of Petition Filed for Consideration

Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed with the Commission requesting the issuance of a declaratory order or interpretative ruling or rule declaring that a proposed agreement does not contravene the provisions of section 16, First, or section 17 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (39 Stat. 734, 75 Stat. 766, 46 U.S.C. 815 and 816).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the petition at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609; or may inspect the petition at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San

¹ Commissioner Hyde absent.

Francisco, Calif. Comments with reference to the petition including requests for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, within 12 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the petition (as indicated hereinafter), and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of petition filed for consideration by:

Kominers & Fort, 529 Tower Building, Washington, D.C., 20005.

A Petition for Declaratory Order or Other Relief has been filed with the Commission by the Port of Bellingham, Wash., involving a lease from the Port of Bellingham to Georgia-Pacific Corp. (Georgia-Pacific) of certain property in the port area and projected construction or improvement thereof. The agreement grants to Georgia-Pacific the preferential use of specified terminal facilities for the handling of its cargo and the exclusive use of other facilities at which Georgia-Pacific will process timber, pulp, and tissue products. As compensation Georgia-Pacific will pay all applicable terminal charges and use charges as may be published in the Port's tariff and a specified rental charge. In addition, Georgia-Pacific is responsible for the upkeep of a portion of the leased premises. The Port is entitled to operate the terminal facilities when such use will not unreasonably interfere with the operations of Georgia-Pacific. Secondary users will pay the same tariff and use charges as Georgia-Pacific. The Port intends to finance the construction and improvement of the property and facilities through the issuance of bonds. All rental and use charges paid to Georgia-Pacific and 25 percent of the Port's net operating revenues derived from other use of the terminal property (except the dock facilities) will be placed into a fund for bond retirement. All regular terminal tariff charges paid by Georgia-Pacific and others will accrue directly to the Port. The term of the lease shall be for 30 years with an option to Georgia-Pacific to extend the term of the lease for four successive five-year terms.

The petitioner requests that the Commission issue a declaratory order or interpretative ruling or rule finding and declaring that the proposed arrangement does not contravene the provisions of section 16, First, or section 17 of the Shipping Act, 1916.

Generally, the petitioner states that a declaratory order is necessary to protect the interests of the parties since a large amount of money will be invested in the leased facilities and they have no assurances that their activities are not violative of the Shipping Act. Specifically, the petition states:

(1) Petitioner can finance the projected capital improvements, the subject of its lease herein only through revenue bonds. The issuance and underwriting of the required revenue bonds by petitioner, and the execution of this project, may be delayed, seriously handicapped, or prevented unless uncertainty over the validity of petitioner's lease arrangement is expeditiously removed by a declara-

tory order of the Commission or other Commission action.

(2) Petitioner believes that the capital improvements contemplated by the lease are in the public interest and that the provisions of the lease protect the public interest through obtaining a reasonable, compensatory, and guaranteed return on petitioner's investment, assuring at the same time orderly retirement of its bonds.

(3) Petitioner believes that no competitor of the lessee is threatened with damage from the proposed lease arrangement and states that it is willing to negotiate a similar arrangement, if desired, with other interested persons.

Dated: September 20, 1965.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10100; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965; 8:49 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 70-4306]

CONNECTICUT LIGHT & POWER CO. ET AL.

Notice of Filing and Order for Hearing

SEPTEMBER 15, 1965.

In the matter of The Connecticut Light & Power Co., Post Office Box 2010, Hartford, Conn., 06101; The Hartford Electric Light Co., 176 Cumberland Avenue, Wethersfield, Conn., 06109; Western Massachusetts Cos., 201 Devonshire Street, Boston, Mass., 02110; File No. 70-4306; notice of filing and order for hearing regarding (a) acquisition by holding company of common stocks of non-affiliated companies; (b) issuance by holding company of its common shares in exchange therefor; and (c) exemption from provisions of Rule 50.

Notice is hereby given that The Connecticut Light & Power Co. ("CL&P"), The Hartford Electric Light Co. ("Hartford"), and Western Massachusetts Cos. ("WMC") have filed an application-declaration pursuant to applicable provisions of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. Applicants-declarants state that sections 5, 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, and 12(e) of the Act and Rules 50, 62, and 65 are or may be applicable to the proposed transactions.

The application-declaration relates to a proposed offer by WMC (to be renamed Northeast Utilities) to exchange its common shares for the outstanding shares of the common stocks of CL&P and Hartford, and related transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration which is on file in the office of this Commission for a statement of the transactions therein proposed, which are summarized as follows:

CL&P, an exempt holding company under the Act, and Hartford, an electric utility company, both Connecticut corporations, are engaged principally in the

generation, transmission and distribution of electric energy in the State of Connecticut; and both are also engaged in the distribution of natural gas at retail in Connecticut. WMC, an exempt holding company, is a business trust under the laws of Massachusetts, its principal assets consisting of the outstanding capital stock of Western Massachusetts Electric Co. ("WMECO"), a Massachusetts corporation engaged in generating and purchasing electric energy, and in the transmission and distribution thereof in western Massachusetts.

The consolidated balance sheet of CL&P as of June 30, 1965, shows total assets, per books, of \$445,788,000, including net plant of \$395,032,000; long-term debt of \$199,563,000; preferred stock stated at \$55,000,000; and 8,931,014 outstanding shares of no-par common stock stated at \$10.07 per share, or an aggregate stated value of \$89,935,000. Capital and earned surplus were \$25,153,000 and \$39,352,000, respectively. Consolidated operating revenues for the 12 months then ended were \$119,508,000.

The balance sheet of Hartford as of June 30, 1965, shows total assets, per books, of \$248,146,000, including net plant of \$224,952,000; long-term debt of \$111,968,000; preferred stock stated at \$26,200,000; and 3,291,916 outstanding shares of \$12.50 par value common stock, or an aggregate par value of \$41,149,000. Capital and earned surplus were \$12,121,000 and \$31,023,000, respectively. Operating revenues for the 12 months then ended totaled \$70,033,000.

The consolidated balance sheet of WMC as of June 30, 1965, shows total assets of \$120,732,000, including net plant of \$106,780,000; long-term debt of \$52,000,000; and 2,602,012 outstanding common shares of \$0.50 par value, or an aggregate par value of \$1,301,000. Capital and earned surplus were \$34,356,000 and \$19,238,000, respectively. For the 12 months then ended operating revenues were \$39,550,000.

The application-declaration states that the generating and transmission facilities of CL&P, Hartford and WMC have been coordinated for more than 15 years and substantial economies have been realized thereby; but that additional economies can be realized by operating by way of a single holding-company system rather than by contractual arrangements between independent companies. In furtherance of more closely coordinated planning and operation, a system service company will be organized, to be known as Northeast Utilities Service Co., which will be a wholly-owned subsidiary company of WMC. The proposed organization of such service company will be the subject of a separate filing with the Commission.

The exchange offer will be effectuated as follows:

1. The shareholders of WMC will be requested to approve, at a shareholders' meeting, among other things, (a) the split of each of WMC's outstanding common shares of \$0.50 par value into two new common shares of no par value; (b) the increase in the authorized number of its common shares to equal the number required to be outstanding under the

exchange offer; and (c) the increase of the number of trustees under the declaration of trust. These proposals, if approved by the shareholders of WMC, will take effect when the exchange offer is declared effective.

2. WMC will offer to the common stockholders of CL&P and of Hartford the new common shares of WMC for the outstanding shares of CL&P and Hartford on the basis of 2.0 shares of WMC for each share of common stock of CL&P, and 2.9 shares of WMC for each share of common stock of Hartford. No fractional shares will be issued under the exchange offer, but any exchanging stockholder of CL&P or of Hartford, who otherwise would be entitled to a fractional share, will be afforded an opportunity, through an agent, to sell his fractional interest for cash or to purchase an additional interest sufficient to entitle him to a full share.

3. The exchange offer, to become effective, requires acceptance thereof by the holders of not less than 80 percent of the outstanding shares of common stock of CL&P and Hartford, respectively, and the approval by the WMC shareholders of the proposals indicated above. The exchange offer will be made over an initial period of approximately 45 days from the day the material soliciting acceptances is first mailed to the common stockholders of CL&P and of Hartford. The offer period may be extended from time to time, provided that the aggregate of such extensions does not exceed 180 days, unless approved by the Commission.

4. At or about the commencement of the offer period WMC will solicit proxies from its shareholders in respect of the proposals indicated previously, and WMC with CL&P and Hartford propose to solicit acceptances of the exchange offer. Each of the companies may employ securities dealers and proxy solicitors in connection with the foregoing solicitations; and each of the companies has undertaken to mail to each of its stockholders or shareholders a copy of the notice and order for hearing in this proceeding. The solicitation material and estimated fees and expenses are to be filed by amendment.

5. If at any time during the offer period the requisite acceptances of the exchange offer by the shareholders of both CL&P and Hartford have been received by the exchange agents, such exchange agents shall give notice thereof to CL&P, Hartford and WMC. Upon receipt of such notice, the exchange offer will be declared effective on or as of a date (effective date) not later than the last day of the next succeeding month after such declaration is made.

On or before the effective date, WMC will file with the Commission a notification of registration pursuant to section 5(a) of the Act and the applicable rules and regulations thereunder; and, in this connection, WMC has consented to a revocation of its existing exemption under section 3(a)(1) of the Act to take effect upon the filing of such notification of registration.

The application-declaration states that the approval of the Public Utilities Com-

mission of Connecticut may be required pursuant to section 16-47 of the General Statutes of Connecticut of the exercise of authority or control of CL&P and of Hartford by WMC; and that no Federal commission, other than this Commission, and no other State commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions. A certified copy of any order of the Connecticut Commission will be filed by amendment. The applicants-declarants request that, pursuant to paragraph (a) (5) of Rule 50 under the Act, the issue and sale of the new common shares of WMC be exempt from competitive bidding.

It appearing to the Commission that it is appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors and consumers that a public hearing be held with respect to the proposed transactions; that the stockholders of CL&P and Hartford, the shareholders of WMC, and other interested persons be afforded an opportunity to be heard in such hearing with respect to the proposed transactions; that the application-declaration should not be granted or permitted to become effective except pursuant to further order of the Commission.

It is ordered, That a hearing be held herein on October 25, 1965, at 10 a.m., at the Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 425 Second Street N.W., Washington, D.C. On such date the Hearing Room Clerk will advise as to the room in which the hearing will be held.

It is further ordered, That a Hearing Examiner, hereafter to be designated, shall preside at said hearing. The officer so designated is hereby authorized to exercise all powers granted to the Commission under section 18(c) of the Act and to a hearing officer under the Commission's rules of procedure.

The Division of Corporate Regulation of the Commission having advised the Commission that it has made a preliminary examination of the application-declaration and that, upon the basis thereof, the following matters and questions are presented for consideration, without prejudice, however, to the presentation of additional matters and questions upon further examination:

(1) Whether the proposed issue of new common shares of WMC pursuant to the exchange offer satisfies the requirements of section 7 of the Act.

(2) Whether the proposed acquisition by WMC of 80 percent or more of the outstanding shares of common stock of CL&P and Hartford meets the standards of section 10 of the Act, and particularly the requirements of sections 10(b) and 10(c).

(3) Whether exemption from compliance with the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 should be granted as to the new common shares of WMC to be issued pursuant to the exchange offer.

(4) Whether the fees, commissions and other expenses to be incurred are for necessary services and reasonable in amount.

(5) What terms or conditions, if any, the Commission's order should contain.

(6) Generally, whether the proposed transactions are in all respects compatible with the provisions and standards of the applicable sections of the Act and of the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder:

It is further ordered, That particular attention be directed at said hearing to the foregoing matters and questions.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall give notice of the aforesaid hearing by mailing copies of this notice and order by certified mail to CL&P, Hartford, WMC, the Public Utilities Commission of Connecticut and the Department of Public Utilities of Massachusetts; that copies of this notice and order shall be mailed, not later than 25 days prior to the date of the hearing herein, to the stockholders of record of CL&P and Hartford and the shareholders of WMC; that notice to all other persons shall be given by publication of this notice and order in the FEDERAL REGISTER; and that a general release of this Commission in respect of this notice and order be distributed to the press and mailed to the persons appearing on the mailing list of the Commission for releases under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935.

It is further ordered, That any person, other than applicants-declarants, desiring to participate at the hearing herein may, not later than October 20, 1965, make a request therefor in writing, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the filing which he desires to controvert. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally, or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicants-declarants at the above-stated addresses, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc 65-10048; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 70-4304]

MILWAUKEE GAS LIGHT CO.

Notice of Proposed Issue and Sale of Short-Term Notes to Banks

SEPTEMBER 16, 1965.

Notice is hereby given that a declaration has been filed with this Commission, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), by Milwaukee Gas Light Co. ("Milwaukee"), 626 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wis., 53201, a gas utility subsidiary company of American Natural Gas Co., a registered holding company, designating sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, on file at the office of this

Commission, for a statement of transactions therein proposed, which are summarized as follows:

Milwaukee proposes to issue, from time to time commencing in November 1965, and in varying amounts as funds are required, unsecured promissory notes to banks in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$18,000,000. The notes will be dated when issued, will mature October 31, 1966, and will bear interest at the prime rate in effect at First National City Bank, New York, N.Y. (presently 4½ percent per annum), on the date of issue. The interest rate will be adjusted to the prime rate in effect at such bank at the beginning of each 90-day period subsequent to the date of the first borrowing. The proposed notes may be prepaid at any time without penalty.

Shown below are the maximum principal amounts proposed to be issued to the designated banks:

First National City Bank, New York, N.Y.	\$6,000,000
First Wisconsin National Bank of Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wis.	5,500,000
Marshall & Ilsley Bank, Milwaukee, Wis.	3,000,000
Manufacturers Hanover Trust Co., New York, N.Y.	2,000,000
Marine National Exchange Bank, Milwaukee, Wis.	1,500,000
Total	18,000,000

The proceeds from the proposed notes will be applied by Milwaukee to its 1965 construction costs, estimated at \$18,600,000. Prior to issue of the proposed notes, Milwaukee expects to issue short term notes to banks pursuant to the exemption contained in the first sentence of section 6(b) of the Act to finance partially its 1965 construction program. Notes issued for this purpose will be repaid from the proceeds of the proposed notes.

Milwaukee will apply the net proceeds from any permanent debt financing effected prior to the maturity of the proposed notes in reduction of or in total payment of such outstanding notes, and the amount of any of such notes that may be permitted to be issued under this declaration will be reduced by the amount of the net proceeds of any such permanent debt financing.

Fees and expenses in connection with the proposed note issues are estimated at \$1,050, consisting of \$550 for legal fees and \$500 for miscellaneous expenses including the amount expected to be paid to American Natural Gas Service Co., an affiliated service company. The filing states that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 14, 1965, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., 20549. A

copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules under the Act as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10049; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

[File No. 70-4302]

TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS LINES, INC.

Notice of Proposed Acquisition of Common Stock of Nonassociate Public Utility Company by Exempt Holding Company and Request for Renewed Exemption

SEPTEMBER 16, 1965.

Notice is hereby given that Tennessee Natural Gas Lines, Inc. ("Tennessee Natural"), Nashville Bank & Trust Building, Nashville, Tenn., 37201, a natural gas pipeline company and also a public-utility holding company which, pursuant to the Commission's order dated December 28, 1953, issued under section 3(a) (1) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), is exempt from all the provisions of the Act except section 9(a) (2) thereof, has filed an application for approval of the acquisition of the common stock of Chattanooga Gas Co. ("Chattanooga Gas"), a nonassociate public-utility company. Applicant also requests that said order of December 28, 1953, be modified to include Chattanooga Gas as a subsidiary company. The application designates sections 9(a) (2) and 10 of the Act as applicable to the proposed acquisition.

All interested persons are referred to the application on file at the office of the Commission for a statement of the proposed transactions and related facts, which are summarized as follows:

Tennessee Natural proposes to acquire all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Chattanooga Gas pursuant to an exchange offer whereby Tennessee Natural will issue one share of its authorized but unissued \$1.00 par value common stock for each two shares of Chattanooga Gas deposited with an Exchange Agent. The exchange offer will be conditioned, among other things, upon the acceptance thereof by the holders of not less than 80 percent of Chattanooga Gas' outstanding common shares within the designated exchange period, which will initially be approximately 30

days (commencing upon the issuance by the Commission of orders approving the proposed transactions under the Act and declaring effective Tennessee Natural's related registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933) subject to Tennessee Natural's option to extend the period up to an additional 15 days. Provided the exchange offer is consummated within such initial or extended period, Tennessee Natural may at its discretion renew the offer for an additional 60 days.

Tennessee Natural, a Tennessee corporation, owns all of the outstanding common stock of Nashville Gas Co. ("Nashville Gas"), a Tennessee corporation primarily engaged in the distribution and sale of natural gas at retail to some 42,285 customers in Nashville and environs and in Ashland City, Tenn. Tennessee Natural supplies all of the natural gas requirements of Nashville Gas, and also sells natural gas directly to three industrial customers located in or near Nashville. The natural gas so supplied by Tennessee Natural is purchased from Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., a non-associate natural gas pipeline company. At June 30, 1965, the consolidated assets of Tennessee Natural and its subsidiary company amounted to \$18,411,038; and for the twelve months then ended the consolidated operating revenues and net income amounted to \$13,518,346 and \$1,051,197, respectively. As of the same date, Tennessee Natural had outstanding, in addition to other securities, 830,000 shares of \$1.00 par value common stock.

Chattanooga Gas, a Tennessee corporation, is primarily engaged in the distribution of natural gas at retail to about 14,700 customers in and around Chattanooga and Cleveland, Tenn., and, to a minor extent, in adjacent Fort Oglethorpe and Rossville, Ga. Chattanooga purchases its natural gas supply from East Tennessee Natural Gas Co., a non-associate company and a subsidiary company of Tennessee Gas Transmission Co. The filing states that, dependent upon the outcome of certain proceedings pending before the Federal Power Commission, Chattanooga may be able to arrange for an additional natural gas supply from another nonassociate supplier. At June 30, 1965, Chattanooga's assets amounted to \$12,355,143; and for the twelve months then ended its operating revenues and net income amounted to \$8,424,972 and \$328,363, respectively. At the same date, Chattanooga Gas had outstanding, in addition to other securities, 650,000 shares of \$1.00 par value common stock.

The filing states that the acquisition of Chattanooga Gas by Tennessee Natural would permit the initiation of programs which should result in substantial operating economies to the enlarged system, principally through the elimination of duplicate or overlapping functions and activities. In that connection, specific reference is made to the future feasibility of joint automated billing and payroll functions; the use of joint personnel for engineering and sales promotion; and joint bulk purchases of materials, equipment, supplies, and gas appliances merchandised by both Chattanooga Gas and Nashville Gas. It is also stated that on a consolidated basis, giving effect to the

acquisition of Chattanooga Gas, the system will have larger coverage of fixed charges, and lower debt and higher equity ratios, than is the case with Chattanooga Gas separately; and that future financing could be facilitated and more economically provided for Chattanooga Gas as part of the Tennessee Natural system.

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions are estimated at \$135,000, including counsel fees of \$16,000, auditors' fees of \$6,000, transfer agent's and Exchange Agent's fee of \$6,000, expert consultant's fee of \$7,500, and an aggregate of \$60,000 fees and expenses in connection with the solicitation of acceptances and deposits for exchange. It is also stated that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 4, 1965, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matters, stating the nature of his interest, the reason for the request, and the issues of fact and/or law which he seeks to controvert; or he may request that he be notified should the Commission order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon applicant at the above address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as amended, may be granted, as provided by Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules, as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DUBOIS,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10050; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 819]

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

SEPTEMBER 17, 1965.

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 1.247¹ of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.247), published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of December 3, 1963, ef-

¹ Copies of Special Rule 1.247 can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423.

fective January 1, 1964. These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with § 1.40 of the general rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made and specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and six (6) copies of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such request shall meet the requirements of section 1.247(d) (4) of the special rule. Subsequent assignment of these proceedings for oral hearing, if any, will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

No. MC 2392 (Sub-No. 41), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: WHEELER TRANSPORT SERVICE, INC., Post Office Box 432, Genoa, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids, chemicals, fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients, and damaged and rejected shipments* of the commodities specified, between points in Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 8948 (Sub-No. 61), filed July 16, 1965. Applicant: WESTERN GILLETTE, INC., 2550 East 28th Street, Los Angeles, Calif. Applicant's representative: Lloyd R. Guerra (address same as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid hydrogen*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, between the plantsite of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., within 1 mile of Long Beach, Calif., and points in Illinois and Missouri. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 15640 (Sub-No. 11), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: DeBOLT TRANSFER, INC., 335 East Seventh Avenue, Homestead, Pa., 15120. Applicant's representative: Jerome Solomon, 1302 Grant Building, Pittsburgh 19, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *com-*

mon carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, between points in Allegheny and Beaver Counties, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Illinois, and the Lower Peninsula of Michigan. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 22195 (Sub-No. 112), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: DAN DUGAN TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, Post Office Box 946, 41st and Grange Avenue, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients, including but not limited to anhydrous ammonia, nitrogen fertilizer solutions, and aqua ammonia*, in bulk, from the facilities of the Tuloma Gas Products Co., located between Peoria, and North Pekin, Ill., to points in Iowa, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, and *rejected and returned shipments* of the commodities specified above, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 23939 (Sub-No. 159), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: ASBURY TRANSPORTATION CO., a corporation, 2222 East 38th Street, Los Angeles, Calif. Applicant's representative: Warren N. Grossman, 740 Roosevelt Building, 727 West Seventh Street, Los Angeles 17, Calif. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquefied helium and specially designed Dewars*, between points in California, Ohio, Texas, Florida, Mississippi, and Louisiana. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 26739 (Sub-No. 49) filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: CROUCH BROS., INC., Transport Building, St. Joseph, Mo., 64501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (not including tractors with vehicle beds, bed frames or fifth wheels), (2) *agricultural machinery and implements*, (3) *industrial and construction machinery and implements*, (4) *equipment* designed for use in connection with tractors, (5) *trailers* designed for the transportation of the commodities described above (other than those designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), (6) *attachments* for the commodities described above, (7) *internal combustion engines*, and (8) *parts* of the commodities described in (1) through (7) above when moving in mixed loads with such commodities, from the plant and warehouse sites, and experimental farms, of Deere & Co. located in Rock Island County, Ill., and Black Hawk, Dubuque, Polk, and Wapello Counties, Iowa to points in Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, and *damaged, rejected and returned shipments* on return. **NOTE:** Applicant states the proposed operations will be restricted to traffic originating at the plant and warehouse sites, and experimental farms named above. If a

hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 194), filed August 26, 1965. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5000, Waterloo, Iowa. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, Jr., The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Cedar Rapids, Iowa, to Indianapolis and Muncie, Ind., and Columbus, Ohio, subject to the restriction that traffic to Indianapolis, Ind., and Columbus, Ohio will move in connection with shipments to Muncie, Ind. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 195) filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 5000, Waterloo, Iowa. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, Jr., The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver 3, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Worthington and Mankato, Minn., to points in Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify place of hearing.

No. MC 38382 (Sub-No. 18), filed August 26, 1965. Applicant: THE GLENN CARTAGE COMPANY, a corporation, 1115 South State Street, Girard, Ohio. Applicant's representative: Taylor C. Burneson, 3430 Leveque-Lincoln Tower, 50 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pipe, of concrete or plastic construction, and pipe fittings*, from Springfield, Ill., to points in Delaware, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 40858 (Sub-No. 57), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: MASON AND DIXON LINES, INC., Eastman Road, Kingsport, Tenn. Applicant's representative: Clifford E. Sanders, 321 East Center Street, Post Office Box G, Kingsport, Tenn., 37662. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to

other lading), between Knoxville, Tenn., and Decatur, Ala.; (a) from Knoxville over U.S. Highway 11 to Chattanooga, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 72 to Huntsville, Ala., thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 72 to Decatur and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only in connection with applicant's authorized regular-route operations; and (b) from Knoxville over Interstate Highway 40 to junction Tennessee Highway 61, thence over Tennessee Highway 61 to junction U.S. Highway 27 (also over Interstate Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 27), thence over U.S. Highway 27 to Chattanooga, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 72 to Huntsville, Ala., thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 72 to Decatur and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only in connection with applicant's authorized regular-route operations. **NOTE:** Applicant states that it intends to serve Knoxville, Tenn., and Decatur, Ala., for jointer purposes only. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Knoxville, Tenn.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 27), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT & STORAGE, INC., 817 McDonald Street, Green Bay, Wis. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Singer, Tower Suite 3600, 33 North La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill., 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Boards, panels, and sheets, and parts, materials, and accessories, incidental thereto, from Gaylord, Mich., and points within five (5) miles thereof, to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the above-described commodities, and returned and rejected shipments of said commodities, from the above destination area to Gaylord, Mich., and points within five (5) miles thereof.* **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 52709 (Sub-No. 270), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: RINGSBY TRUCK LINES, INC., 3201 Ringsby Court, Denver, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides, and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), serving Worthington and Mankato, Minn., (1) as off-*

route points in connection with carrier's regular route operations between Fremont, Nebr., and Sioux City, Iowa; and (2) in connection with held irregular route authorities for operations from Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to points in Colorado. **Restriction:** The authority proposed herein is restricted against tacking at point of origin. **NOTE:** Applicant states: Under MC 52709 (Sub-No. 234), carrier has authority on the above commodities serving the plant of Armour & Co, near Worthington, Minn., (1) as an off-route point in connection with carrier's regular route operations between Fremont, Nebr., and Sioux City, Iowa; and (2) in connection with held irregular route authorities for operations from Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to points in Colorado. If the authority herein sought is granted, it will be carrier's purpose to request cancellation of this portion of the Sub-No. 234 certificate. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify place of hearing.

No. MC 52953 (Sub-No. 32), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: ET&WNC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 132 Legion Street, Johnson City, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), (1) between Little Rock, Ark., and Fort Worth, Tex.; from Little Rock over U.S. Highway 67 (also over Interstate Highway 30) to Fort Worth and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and the off-route points of Jones Mill and Gum Springs, Ark., and those within 15 miles of Texarkana, Ark.-Tex., and Dallas and Fort Worth, Tex.; (2) between Greenville, Miss., and Fort Worth, Tex.; (a) from Greenville over U.S. Highway 82 to El Dorado, Ark., thence over U.S. Highway 167 to junction Louisiana Highway 9, thence over Louisiana Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence over U.S. Highway 79 (also Interstate Highway 20) to Shreveport, La., thence over U.S. Highway 80 (also over Interstate Highway 20) to Fort Worth and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and those off-route points within 15 miles of Shreveport, La.; (b) from Greenville over U.S. Highway 82 to junction U.S. Highway 165, thence over U.S. Highway 165 to Monroe, La., thence over U.S. Highway 80 (also over Interstate Highway 20) to Fort Worth and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (3) between Greenville, Miss., and Houston, Tex.; from Greenville over U.S. Highway 82 to El Dorado, Ark., thence over U.S. Highway 167 to junction Louisiana Highway 9, thence over Louisiana Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 79, thence over U.S. Highway 79 to Carthage, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 59 to Houston and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and the off-route points of Baytown and Texas City, Tex., and those points within 15*

miles of Houston, Tex.; and (4) between Dallas, Tex., and Houston, Tex.; from Dallas over U.S. Highway 75 (also over Interstate Highway 45) to Houston and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points. **NOTE:** Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Knoxville and Memphis, Tenn., and Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 145), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: HERMAN BROS., INC., 2501 North 11th Street, Omaha, Nebr. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients, in bulk and in bags, from the plantsite of Virginia-Carolina Chemical Co. near Estherville, Iowa, to points in Nebraska and South Dakota.* **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 64995 (Sub-No. 66) (AMENDMENT) filed August 3, 1965, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue August 26, 1965, amended September 8, 1965, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: HENNIS FREIGHT LINES, INC., Post Office Box 612, Winston-Salem, N.C. Applicant's representative: Frank C. Phillips (same as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper, pulpboard, and plywood, from Plymouth, N.C., and (2) plywood, from Jacksonville, N.C., to Baltimore, Md., points in Pennsylvania on, east and south of U.S. Highway 222 beginning at the Maryland-Pennsylvania State line and extending to Allentown, Pa., thence along U.S. Highway 22 to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State line, points in New Jersey on and east of U.S. Highway 206, points in New York within the New York commercial zone as defined by the Commission, and points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, and (3) materials and supplies (except commodities in bulk), used in the manufacture of paper, pulpboard, and plywood, on return to the territory specified in (1) and (2) above. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to show the service as desired above, in lieu of that previously published. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.*

No. MC 64994 (Sub-No. 87) (AMENDMENT) filed August 3, 1965, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue August 26, 1965, amended September 8, 1965, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: HENNIS FREIGHT LINES, INC., Post Office Box 612, Winston-Salem, N.C., 27102. Applicant's representative: Frank C. Phillips (same as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper, pulpboard, and plywood, from Plymouth, N.C., and (2) plywood, from Jacksonville, N.C., to points in Ohio, Indiana, those points in Michigan on and south of Michigan Highway 21, and those points in the Chicago, Ill., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, and*

(3) *materials and supplies* (except commodities in bulk), used in the manufacture of paper, pulpboard, and plywood, on return to the territory specified in (1) and (2) above. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to show the service as desired above, in lieu of that previously published. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 75320 (Sub-No. 107), filed September 10, 1965. Applicant: CAMPBELL SIXTY-SIX EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 807, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, from Wood River, Ill., and points within 5 miles thereof to points in Arkansas, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify particular location.

No. MC 82063 (Sub-No. 11), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: KLIPSCH HAULING CO., a corporation, 119 East Loughborough Street, St. Louis, Mo. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks, II, 1301-02 Ambassador Building, St. Louis, Mo., 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ink*, in bulk, from St. Louis, Mo., to points in Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa, Illinois, Kentucky, Tennessee, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Indiana, and Ohio. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 90195 (Sub-No. 4), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: ABE FRANK, doing business as F. & B. TRUCKING, 14-50 128th Street, College Point, Long Island, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Martin Werner, 2 West 45th Street, New York 36, N.Y. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture, and materials, supplies and equipment* used in the manufacture of new furniture (except commodities, in bulk, in tank and hopper type vehicles), between Bridgeport, Conn., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau, Suffolk, Rockland, and Westchester Counties, N.Y., points in New Jersey on and north of New Jersey Highway 33, and Philadelphia and Allentown, Pa., under a continuing contract or contracts with Valley Upholstery Corp. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 101075 (Sub-No. 97), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: TRANSPORT, INC., 1215 Center Avenue, Moorhead, Minn. Applicant's representative: Ronald B. Pitsenberger (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids, chemicals, fertilizers, and fertilizer ingredients*, in bulk, between points in Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, and South Dakota. **NOTE:** If a hearing

is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 102682 (Sub-No. 247) (AMENDMENT), filed August 30, 1965, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 15, 1965, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: HUGHES TRANSPORTATION, INC., 2038 Meeting Street, Charleston, S.C. Applicant's representative: Frank B. Hand, Jr., 921 17th Street NW., Washington 6, D.C. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to reflect the name of the applicant's representative.

No. MC 103880 (Sub-No. 347), filed September 8, 1965. Applicant: PRODUCERS TRANSPORT, INC., 215 East Waterloo Road, Akron, Ohio. Applicant's representative: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry fertilizer and fertilizer material*, in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles, from Chicago Heights, Ill., to points in Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 103880 (Sub-No. 348), filed September 8, 1965. Applicant: PRODUCERS TRANSPORT, INC., 215 East Waterloo Road, Akron, Ohio. Applicant's representative: David Axelrod, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from Wood River, Ill., and East Liverpool, Ohio, to Madison, Ind.; (2) from St. Louis, Mo., to points in Illinois, Kentucky, Indiana, and Tennessee; and (3) from Madison, Ind., to St. Louis, Mo. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106163 (Sub-No. 21) (CLARIFICATION), filed July 30, 1965, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of August 19, 1965, and republished as clarified this issue. Applicant: RED LINE TRANSFER AND STORAGE COMPANY, INC., 2600 West Sixth Avenue, Pine Bluff, Ark. Applicant's representative: Louis Tarlowski, Pyramid Life Building, Little Rock, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Birmingham, Ala., and Greenville, Miss., from Birmingham over U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highway 82 at or near Tuscaloosa, thence over U.S. Highway 82 to Greenville, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points, and serving Greenville for the purpose of joinder only with applicant's presently authorized authority under MC 106163, which reads as follows: Irregular routes, between Greenville, Miss., on the one hand, and, on the other, Little Rock, Pine Bluff, and the site of the U.S. Arsenal Plant, at Baldwin, Ark., and points in that part of Arkansas bounded by a line beginning at Pine Bluff, and extending along the southeast

bank of the Arkansas River to the west bank of the Mississippi River, thence along the west bank of the Mississippi River to the Arkansas-Louisiana State line, thence along the Arkansas-Louisiana State line to the east bank of the Ouachita River (approximately 5 miles east of Huttig, Ark.), thence along the east bank of the Ouachita River to Morobay, Ark., and thence along Arkansas Highway 15 to point of beginning. **Restriction:** (A) Proposed authority above shall not be combined or joined, directly or indirectly, with any other authority held by carrier herein for the purpose of performing any through service on traffic (1) originating at or moving through the Memphis, Tenn., commercial zone and destined to Greenville, Miss., and (2) originating at Greenville, Miss., destined to or moving through the Memphis, Tenn., commercial zone. (B) Proposed authority shall not be combined or joined, directly or indirectly, with any other authority held by applicant for purpose of performing any through service on traffic (1) originating at or moving through the Memphis, Tenn., commercial zone destined to Birmingham, Ala., and (2) originating at Birmingham, Ala., destined to or moving through the Memphis, Tenn., commercial zone. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to show that applicant seeks authority to serve between Birmingham, Ala., and Greenville, Miss., over regular routes for the purpose of joinder only at Greenville, Miss., such joinder to be with applicant's authorized *irregular* route authority restricted against service between Greenville, Miss., and Memphis, Tenn., commercial zone (recited above). If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held in Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 22) filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., 709 South 13th Street, Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer solutions, ammoniating solutions, and aqua ammonia*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Fulton, Ind., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin, and *damaged, rejected, and returned shipments* on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 23), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Steel*, from points in Indiana and Ohio, to Webb City, Mo., and *damaged, rejected, and returned shipments, and exempt commodities*, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 24) filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., 709 South 13th Street, Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H

Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal and pet foods and pet toys and accessories, advertising, display materials* when moving in conjunction with animal and pet foods, from Chicago, Ill., to points in Indiana, Ohio, and the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, and *damaged, rejected, and returned shipments* on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 25), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Coal tar and coal tar products* (except coal tar chemicals) and *asphalt and asphalt products*, from Joliet, Ill., to points in Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, and Wisconsin, and *damaged, rejected, and returned shipments*, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 26), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement asbestos products* (except conduit and pipe which because of size, shape, weight or inherent character requires the use of special equipment), and *fittings, materials, and accessories for the installation or transportation thereof* (except in bulk), from Ragland, Ala., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, and West Virginia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 27), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Glassware, glass bottles and jars, caps or covers for glass containers, and paper cartons*, between Terre Haute, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, Chicago, Ill., and points in Kentucky, Michigan, and Ohio, and (2) *damaged, returned or rejected shipments* of the commodities specified above, and *returned pallets with their protective packaging equipment*, from Chicago, Ill., and points in Kentucky, Michigan, and Ohio, to Terre Haute, Ind. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 28) filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, trans-

porting: *Canned goods*, from the distribution center of Michigan Fruit Cannery located approximately two (2) miles west of Coloma, Mich., to points in Indiana, Ohio, Illinois, and Wisconsin, and *damaged, rejected, and returned shipments*, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 29), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Grain products*, dry, in bulk, from Indianapolis, Ind., to points in Ohio, Illinois, Michigan, Kentucky, and Missouri, and *damaged, rejected, and returned shipments*, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., Indianapolis, Ind., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 30), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, Federal Bar Building, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities as are manufactured, sold, and distributed by persons engaged in the manufacture, processing, and milling of grain products, other than commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, between St. Charles, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana, Ohio, and the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, and damaged, rejected, and returned shipments, and exempt commodities*, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 31), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., 709 South 13th Street, Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, Federal Bar Building, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Plastic articles*, between Sidney, Ohio, and points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, and Michigan, and (2) *materials and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of plastic articles*, from points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, and Michigan, to Sidney, Ohio. **NOTE:** Applicant states it intends to transport damaged, rejected, and returned shipments, on return in (1) and (2) above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 106674 (Sub-No. 32), filed September 8, 1965. Applicant: OSBORNE TRUCKING CO., INC., Vincennes, Ind. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Malt beverages and advertising material used in the sale and distribution of malt beverages*, from South Bend, Ind., to points

in Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, West Virginia, Arkansas, Michigan, Ohio, Kentucky, and Illinois, and (2) *empty containers and articles used in the manufacture and packaging of malt beverages*, from points in the above-specified destination States to South Bend, Ind. **NOTE:** Applicant states it intends to transport damaged, rejected, and returned shipments, on return in (1) and (2) above. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 106775 (Sub-No. 21), filed August 27, 1965. Applicant: HEAVY HAULERS, INC., Post Office Box 9848, Houston, Tex., 77015. Applicant's representative: Joe T. Lanham, Suite 1102, Perry-Brooks Building, Austin 1, Tex. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement and asbestos pipe, conduit and couplings together with fittings and accessories necessary for installation thereof*, from Hillsboro, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, and Oklahoma. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 402), filed September 7, 1965. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, Keosauqua at Third, Des Moines, Iowa. Applicant's representative: H. L. Fabritz (address same as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Acids, chemicals, fertilizers, and fertilizer ingredients*, in bulk, between points in Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, and South Dakota. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110098 (Sub-No. 62), (AMENDMENT), filed July 19, 1965, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of August 11, 1965, amended September 10, 1965, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: ZERO REFRIGERATED LINES, a corporation, 815 Merida Street, Box 7249, Station A, San Antonio, Tex. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha 2, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas, pineapples, and coconuts*, from Freeport, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** The purpose of this republication is to add pineapples, and coconuts to the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at San Antonio, Tex.

No. MC 110193 (Sub-No. 110), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: SAFEWAY TRUCK LINES, INC., 20450 Ireland Road, South Bend, Ind., 46613. Applicant's representative: Walter J. Kobos, 52773 Brookdale Drive, South Bend, Ind. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and*

articles distributed by meat packing-houses, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides, and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Worthington and Mankato, Minn., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 742), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Ave., Downingtown, Pa. Applicant's representatives: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1155 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20005, and Edwin H. van Deusen (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid caustic potash*, in bulk, from Syracuse, N.Y., to Towanda, Pa. **NOTE:** Applicant states the proposed operation shall not be tacked or joined with any other authority presently held by it. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 743), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Applicant's representatives: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1155 15th Street NW., Madison Building, Washington, D.C., 20005, and Edwin H. van Deusen, 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Syrup, sweeteners and blends thereof*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Edinburg, Ind., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 744), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Applicant's representatives: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1155 15th Street NW., Madison Building, Washington, D.C., 20005, and Edwin H. van Deusen, 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Syrup, sweeteners, and blends thereof*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Edinburg, Ind., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 111231 (Sub-No. 87), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: JONES TRUCK LINES, INC., 610 East Emma Avenue, Springdale, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Dodge City, Kans., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Wichita, Kans.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. 81), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., Post Office 6426, Station A, 1425 Franklin Road SE., Marietta, Ga., 30060. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, Ga., 30303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Heavy machinery*, (2) *contractors' equipment*, (3) *commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment*, and (4) *parts and accessories for the commodities named in (1), (2), and (3) above*, between points in Georgia. **NOTE:** Applicant states that it intends to tack the above-proposed authority with that authority previously granted in certificates No. MC 111545 and (Sub-No. 34) and (Sub-No. 75), wherein applicant is authorized to serve points in the States of Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 112304 (Sub-No. 10) (CLARIFICATION), filed August 12, 1965, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 1, 1965, and republished as clarified this issue. Applicant: ACE DORAN HAULING & RIGGING CO., a corporation, 601 Orient Avenue, Cincinnati 32, Ohio. Applicant's representative: John P. McMahon, Columbus Center, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel and iron and articles*, between Cincinnati, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky, Tennessee, and those in Indiana on and south of Indiana Highway 44 and on and east of Interstate Highway 65, and those points in Wayne, Cabell, Putnam, Lincoln, and Kanawha Counties, W. Va. **NOTE:** Applicant states it intends to tack the authority herein proposed with the existing authority held in No. MC 112304 Sub 1, wherein applicant is authorized to transport size-and-weight commodities serving points in Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, West Virginia, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and New York. The purpose of this republication is to more clearly set forth

the note. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 113265 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: ATLANTA-ASHEVILLE MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 1268 Caroline Street NE., Atlanta, Ga., 30307. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, Ga., 30303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and commodities the transportation of which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), (1) between Toccoa, Ga., and Atlanta, Ga.; from Toccoa over Georgia Highway 17 to junction Interstate Highway 85, thence over Interstate Highway 85 to Atlanta and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; (2) between Baldwin, Ga., and Atlanta, Ga.; from Baldwin over U.S. Highway 441 to junction Interstate Highway 85, thence over Interstate Highway 85 to Atlanta and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; and (3) between Gainesville, Ga., and Atlanta, Ga.; from Gainesville over U.S. Highway 129 to junction Interstate Highway 85, thence over Interstate Highway 85 to Atlanta and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 113362 (Sub-No. 88), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: ELLSWORTH FREIGHT LINES, INC., 220 East Broadway, Eagle Grove, Iowa. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 630 City National Bank Building, Omaha, Nebr., 68102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Worthington and Mankato, Minn., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113855 (Sub-No. 115), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT, INC., Highway 52 South, Rochester, Minn. Applicant's representative: Gene P. Johnson, First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak., 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm implements and farm machinery, generators, generators and engines combined, and parts of the above-named commodities*, from Newton, Iowa, to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 113861 (Sub-No. 34), filed August 16, 1965. Applicant: WOOTEN TRANSPORTS, INC., 153 Gaston Avenue, Memphis, Tenn. Applicant's representative: Louis I. Dalley, 2111 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn., 38103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products* (except anhydrous ammonia), as described in appendix XIII to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Memphis, Tenn., and that portion of its commercial zone lying east of the Mississippi River, to points in Mississippi on and north of U.S. Highway 80, Little Rock, Ark., and points within ten (10) miles thereof, and points in that part of Arkansas on and east of a line beginning at the Arkansas-Louisiana State line and extending along U.S. Highway 167 to Little Rock, Ark., thence along U.S. Highway 65 to the Arkansas-Missouri State line, points in that part of Kentucky west of the Tennessee River and Kentucky Lake, points in Alabama on and north of U.S. Highway 78, and to points in that part of Missouri on and south of U.S. Highway 60 and on and east of Missouri Highway 21. **NOTE:** Applicant states it seeks no duplicating authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 114301 (Sub-No. 40), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: DELAWARE EXPRESS CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 97, Elkton, Md. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal and poultry feed, dry, germicides, fungicides, insecticides, vermifuges, disinfectants, weed-killing compounds, and paper cartons*, between Wilmington, Del., on the one hand, and, on the other, Elkton, Md., and points within 7 miles thereof (except Chesapeake City, Md., and points south of the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal). **NOTE:** Applicant states it intends to transport rejected and refused shipments, on return. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114301 (Sub-No. 43), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: DELAWARE EXPRESS CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 97, Elkton, Md. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat scraps and other dry feed ingredients*, in bags, between points in Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, the District of Columbia, and that part of New Jersey north of New Jersey Highway 33 and north and west of the New Jersey Turnpike beginning at the Delaware Memorial Bridge and extending to New Jersey Highway 33, restricted against the performance of transportation from Allentown and Nazareth, Pa., and against the transportation of lime, limestone and products thereof, crushed or ground, from points in Dauphin and Lebanon Counties, Pa., Thomasville, West Manchester Township, Devault, Cedar Hollow, and Swedeland, Pa., Middle-town, Va., and Le Gore and Woodbine,

Md. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 150), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT, INCORPORATED, 707 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Building board, wall board, insulation board, and accessories and supplies used in the installation thereof*, from Wright City, Mo., to points in Ohio, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Kentucky, Tennessee, Illinois, Mississippi, Alabama, and Missouri. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 152) filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT, INCORPORATED, 707 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients*, from Burlington, Iowa, and points within five (5) miles thereof, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, Minnesota, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 153), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT, INCORPORATED, 707 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commodities in bulk, in dump vehicles*, from points in the St. Louis, Mo.-East St. Louis, Ill., commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, and points within ten (10) miles thereof, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, Kentucky, Tennessee, Kansas, Arkansas, and Oklahoma. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 154), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT, INCORPORATED, 707 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients*, including but not limited to anhydrous ammonia, nitrogen fertilizer solution, and aqua ammonia, in bulk, from the plantsite of Tuloma Gas Products facility located between East Peoria and North Pekin, Ill., to points in Iowa, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 83), filed August 29, 1965. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., Post Office Box 5088, Terminal Annex, Denver, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles* distributed by

meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Salt Lake City, Utah, to Los Angeles, Long Beach, Pasadena, Burbank, Glendale, Fullerton, Santa Ana, San Francisco, Berkeley, Oakland, and San Jose, Calif., points located on U.S. Highway 40, U.S. Highway 50, and U.S. Highway 91 in California, and Las Vegas and Reno, Nev. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 85), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., Post Office Box 5088, Terminal Annex, Denver, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles* distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A, B, and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Wichita, Kans., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant does not specify place of hearing.

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 86), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., Post Office Box 5088, Terminal Annex, Denver, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas, coconuts, and pineapples*, from Freeport, Tex., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 87), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., Post Office Box 5088, Terminal Annex, Denver, Colo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Lafayette, Ind., to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, and Nebraska. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 249), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: COLO-NIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1215 Bankhead Highway West, Post Office Box 2169, Birmingham, Ala., 35201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Elmira, N.Y., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify place of hearing.

No. MC 116073 (Sub-No. 44), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: BARRETT MOBILE HOME TRANSPORT, INC., 1825 Main, Moorhead, Minn. Appli-

cant's representatives: Donald E. Cross, Munsey Building, Washington, D.C., and Allen Foss, First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements in truckaway service, from Greeley, Colo., to points in Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, Arizona, Idaho, Washington, Nevada, and California. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant does not specify place of hearing.

No. MC 116073 (Sub-No. 45), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: BARRETT MOBILE HOME TRANSPORT, INC., 1825 Main, Moorhead, Minn. Applicant's representatives: Donald E. Cross, Munsey Building, Washington, D.C., and Allen Foss, First National Bank Building, Fargo, N. Dak. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements in truckaway service, from points in Morgan County, Colo., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii). **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant does not specify place of hearing.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 247), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods, and advertising matter and premiums when transported with, and as a part of frozen food shipments*, from Buffalo, N.Y., to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 251), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Salina, Kans., to points in Nebraska, Missouri, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Florida, North Dakota, South Dakota, New Mexico, Arizona, California, Nevada, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, Utah, Colorado, Wyoming, and Kansas. **NOTE:** If a hearing is

deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 252), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Confectionery, syrups, sauces, toppings, chocolate puddings, and advertising materials and displays*, when moving in connection with confectionery, syrups, sauces, toppings, and chocolate puddings, from points in Derry Township (Dauphin County), Pa., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Ohio, Wisconsin, and St. Louis, Mo. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 253), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Greeting cards, envelopes, sample albums, labels, wrappings and related trappings* from Bridgeton, N.J., to Los Angeles, Pasadena, and San Francisco, Calif. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 254), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned and bottled food stuff*, from Cade and Lozes, La., to points in Arizona, California, Idaho, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 255), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark., 72702. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned, prepared, or preserved foodstuffs*, from points in Arkansas, Tyler, and Lindale, Tex., and points in that part of Texas bounded by a line beginning at the Texas-Louisiana State line and extending along U.S. Highway 80 to Fort Worth, Tex., thence along Interstate Highway 35W to the Texas-Oklahoma State line, including points on portions of the highways indicated, and points in that part of Louisiana on and north of U.S. Highway 80, to points in Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a

hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 256), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned, prepared, or preserved foodstuffs*, from Leipsic, New Bavaria, Northwood, Pemberville and Sandusky, Ohio, Belleville, Morton and Rockford, Ill., Fowlerton and Kokomo, Ind., Berkeley Springs and Martinsburg, W. Va., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 257), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned foods*, from Tyler and Lindale, Tex., to points in Arizona, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and California. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant did not specify any particular area.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 258), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark., 72702. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods and foodstuffs*, from points in Saunders County, Nebr., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, and New Mexico. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 259), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods and frozen fruit juice concentrates*, from points in California, Oregon, and Washington, to Burley, Caldwell, Heyburn, American Falls, Boise, Nampa, and Pocatello, Idaho, and Ontario, Oreg., restricted to traffic moving for storage-in-transit and subsequent outbound movement to points in Wyoming, Kansas, Michigan, and Indiana. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 260), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Baltimore, Md.,

to points in Georgia, Florida, Ohio, Wisconsin, Michigan, Tennessee, Arkansas, Kentucky, Indiana, West Virginia, Virginia, Illinois, and Missouri. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 261), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Frozen foods, and frozen fruit juice concentrates*, from Burley, Caldwell, Heyburn, American Falls, Boise, Nampa, and Pocatello, Idaho, and Ontario, Oreg., to points in Kansas and Wyoming, restricted to traffic moving for storage-in-transit, and (2) *potato products and frozen foods*, from Ontario, Oreg., and points in Idaho, to points in Kansas and Wyoming, restricted to traffic moving in the same vehicle and at the same time with traffic named in (1) above. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 262), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Denver, Colo., to Phoenix and Tucson, Ariz.; Las Vegas and Reno, Nev.; San Diego, Richmond, Fresno, Stockton, Sacramento, Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Oakland, Calif. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 263), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Robbinsville, N.J., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 264), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from Lafayette, Ind., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, and St. Louis, Mo. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 265), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., Elm Springs, Ark. Applicant's representative: John H. Joyce, 26 North College, Fayetteville, Ark. Authority sought to

operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods* (except frozen meats), from Kansas City, Mo., to points in Delaware, District of Columbia, Kentucky, New Jersey, New York, Maryland, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant did not specify any particular area.

No. MC 117686 (Sub-No. 60), filed July 29, 1965. Applicant: HIRSCHBACH MOTOR LINES, INC., 3324 Highway 75 North, Sioux City, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bananas*, from Freeport, Tex., to points in North Dakota, Colorado, and Montana. **NOTE:** Applicant states that the proposed operations will be restricted against tacking and interlining at origin and destination. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

No. MC 117815 (Sub-No. 62), filed September 7, 1965. Applicant: PULLEY FREIGHT LINES, INC., 2341 Easton Boulevard, Des Moines, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C, appendix I, in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and liquid commodities, in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Worthington and Mankato, Minn., to points in Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119426 (Sub-No. 6), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: ARCHIE GOOKSTETTER, doing business as, GOOKSTETTER HORSE VAN SERVICE, Box 241, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Horses* (other than ordinary), and in the same vehicle with such horses, *stable supplies and equipment used in their care, mascots, and the personal effects of attendants, between points in Spokane and King Counties, Wash., Quincy, Wash., and Townsend, Mont., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Fayette County, Ky.* **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Spokane, Wash.

No. MC 119767 (Sub-No. 112), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: BEAVER TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, Post Office Box 339, 100 South Calumet Street, Burlington, Wis. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Flgge (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsites and warehouse facilities utilized by Armour & Co. located at or near

Mankato and Worthington, Minn., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** Applicant states the proposed service will be restricted against tacking at origin points. No duplicating authority is requested. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119792 (Sub-No. 22), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: CHICAGO SOUTHERN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, 4000 Packers Avenue, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's representative: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 West Washington Street, Chicago 2, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (other than commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in sections A, B, and C, appendix I, in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Mankato and Worthington, Minn., to points in Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 80), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Applicant's representative: Herman W. Huber, 101 East High Street, Jefferson City, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* as described in appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 (272-73), from Denison and Iowa Falls, Iowa, and points within five (5) miles thereof, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, and returned, damaged, and rejected shipments, on return. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 83), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A, B, and C of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Wichita, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, Wisconsin, and Missouri. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Wichita, Kans.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 84), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU

REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, canned, prepared or preserved (other than frozen), from Fruitland, Md., to points in Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 85), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from Chicago, Ill., to points in Iowa, Missouri, Minnesota, Nebraska, Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, and Arkansas. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 86), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix I, in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from points in Adams County, Nebr., to points in Colorado, Illinois (except Chicago), Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and New Jersey. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 87), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C, of appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Salina, Kans., to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Missouri, Nevada, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Wichita, Kans., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124212 (Sub-No. 35), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: MITCHELL TRANSPORT, INC., 21111 Chagrin Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio, 44122. Applicant's representative: J. A. Kundtz, 1050 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio, 44115. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes: transporting: *Cement*, from the plantsite of Lehigh Portland Cement Co. located at Union Bridge, Md., to points in Augusta,

Fluvanna, Henrico, Albermarle, Goochland, Chesterfield, Louisa, and Hanover Counties, Va. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124212 (Sub-No. 36), filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: MITCHELL TRANSPORT, INC., 21111 Chagrin Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio, 44122. Applicant's representative: John Andrew Kundtz, 1050 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio, 44115. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from the plantsite of Lehigh Portland Cement Co. located at or near Jacksonville, Fla., to points in Georgia. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124212 (Sub-No. 37) filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: MITCHELL TRANSPORT, INC., 21111 Chagrin Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio, 44122. Applicant's representative: J. A. Kundtz, 1050 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio, 44115. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from the plantsite of Lehigh Portland Cement Co. located at Providence, R.I., to points in that part of Connecticut on and east of Connecticut Highway 32, and that part of Massachusetts on and east of Massachusetts Highway 32. **NOTE:** Applicant presently holds authority in certificate No. MC 124212 (Sub-No. 2), which reads in part, as follows: *Cement*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the plantsite of Lehigh Portland Cement Co., at Providence, R.I., to points in that part of Connecticut on and east of Connecticut Highway 32, and that part of Massachusetts on and east of Massachusetts Highway 32. Restriction: The authority granted above is restricted to the transportation of cement that has a prior movement by water to Providence, R.I. Applicant states the purpose of this application is to remove the restriction. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 125708 (Sub-No. 29), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: HUGH MAJOR, 150 Sinclair Avenue, South Roxana, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel and iron and steel articles*, from points in Cabell and Wayne Counties, W. Va., to points in Arkansas, Missouri (except St. Louis), and Oklahoma. **NOTE:** Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a contract carrier in permit No. MC 116434 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 125951 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 2, 1965. Applicant: ERICKSON REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 6801 L Street, Omaha, Nebr. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in sections A and C of appendix

I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of Missouri Beef Packers, Inc., located at or near Phelps City, Mo., to points in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, New York, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Ohio, Michigan, and Washington, D.C., restricted to traffic originating at the plantsite of Missouri Beef Packers, Inc. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 125958 (Sub-No. 1), filed August 31, 1965. Applicant: COASTWAYS TRANSPORTATION, INC., 37 Preston Court, Brooklyn, N.Y. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnelle Avenue, Jersey City, N.J., 07306. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Automotive chemicals and lighter fluid* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Brooklyn, N.Y., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, and Minnesota. **NOTE:** Applicant states the proposed service to be under a continuing contract with Banner Manufacturing Co., Inc., of Brooklyn, N.Y. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 125971 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 7, 1965. Applicant: WILLIS J. JONES, BETTY F. JONES, AND EMMETT W. JONES, a partnership, doing business as W. J. JONES TRUCKING CO., 5701 Rawson Avenue, Hales Corners, Wis. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Crushed limestone*, in bulk, from Franklin, Wis., to Winona, Minn., for the account of Carpenter Bros., Inc., of Milwaukee, Wis. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 126828 (Sub-No. 2), filed September 7, 1965. Applicant: GEORGE A. REDIEHS COMPANY, INC., 8055 South Howard Avenue, La Grange, Ill. Applicant's representative: Eugene L. Cohn, 1 North La Salle Street, Chicago 2, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles and equipment and supplies used or useful in the production and distribution thereof*, between the plantsite of the Bethlehem Steel Corp., at Burns Harbor, Porter County, Ind., and points in Iowa and Wisconsin. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 126991, filed February 15, 1965. Applicant: JOHN L. JOHNSON, 4243 South Langley Avenue, Chicago 53, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *New furniture and materials*, to make furniture, between Chicago, Ill., and Ottumwa, Iowa, from Chicago over Interstate Highway 55 to junction Interstate Highway 80, thence over Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence over U.S. High-

way 61 to junction Iowa Highway 92, thence over Iowa Highway 92 to junction Iowa Highway 149, thence over Iowa Highway 149 to junction U.S. Highway 63, thence over U.S. Highway 63 to Ottumwa, and return over the same route, serving the off-route point of Burlington, Iowa. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 127238, filed May 5, 1965. Applicant: DOROTHY R. ZUMMO, doing business as AIR DELIVERY SERVICE, 521 Cedar Avenue, Scranton, Pa. Applicant's representative: James M. Howley, 504-10 Northeastern National Bank Building, Scranton, Pa., 18503. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, livestock, classes A and B explosives, commodities requiring special equipment, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk), between the Philadelphia International Airport, Pa., the John F. Kennedy International Airport, N.Y., the Newark, N.J., Airport and the Binghamton, N.Y., Airport, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Lackawanna, Luzerne, Wayne, Monroe, Pike, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Lehigh, Northampton, and Berks Counties, Pa., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by air. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Scranton, Pa.

No. MC 127243 (Sub-No. 2), filed August 30, 1965. Applicant: REEH, INC., 208 South 10th Street, Blair, Nebr. Applicant's representative: R. W. Wigton, 710 Badgerow Building, Sioux City 1, Iowa. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Glass bottles and jars*, from Ada, Okmulgee, and Sand Spring, Okla., and Alton, Ill., to the plantsite of Sioux Honey Association located at Sioux City, Iowa; (2) *sheet steel cans, shipping*, not exceeding 5-gallon capacity, from Conneaut, Ohio, to the plantsite of Sioux Honey Association located at Sioux City, Iowa; (3) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used by honey processing, storing, and distributing plants and by apiaries; *honey, comb, granulated and strained, and/or beeswax*, when moving in mixed shipments with materials, equipment and supplies, between the plantsites of Sioux Honey Association located at Anaheim, Calif.; Summerfield and Umatilla, Fla.; Waycross, Ga.; Wendell, Idaho; Sioux City, Iowa; Lima, Ohio; and Temple, Tex.; (4) *steel drums, shipping, used*, 55-gallon capacity, suitable only for reconditioning, from the plantsites of Sioux Honey Association located at Anaheim, Calif.; Summerfield and Umatilla, Fla.; Waycross, Ga.; Wendell, Idaho; Sioux City, Iowa; Lima, Ohio; and Temple, Tex. to Minneapolis, Minn.; (5) *steel drums, shipping*, not exceeding 55-gallon capacity, from Dolton, Ill. (Chicago commercial zone), to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming; and (6) *beekeeping supplies and equip-*

ment, from the plantsites of Sioux Honey Association located at Sioux City, Iowa, and Lima, Ohio, to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Sioux City, Iowa.

No. MC 127318 (Sub-No. 1), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: A.B.C. TOWING SERVICE OF SALINAS, INC., 1333 North Main Street, Salinas, Calif., 93902. Applicant's representative: Martin J. Rosen, 140 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif., 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Mobile homes* in initial and secondary movements, between points in Montana, New Mexico, Wyoming, Colorado, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Nevada, California, Oregon, and Washington. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 127361 (Sub-No. 1), filed June 24, 1965. Applicant: FAIRCHILD GENERAL FREIGHT, INC., 19 West Washington Avenue, Yakima, Wash. Applicant's representative: Douglas A. Wilson, Suite 2, Yakima Legal Center, 303 East D Street, Yakima, Wash., 98901. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass bottles or jars, with or without their caps, covers, stoppers, or tops and cartons, pallets, platforms, or skids*, knocked down or standing up, between Portland, Ore., and points in Washington. **NOTE:** Applicant states that the above-proposed operation will be conducted for Owens-Illinois Glass Co., Portland, Ore. Applicant is also authorized to conduct operations as a *common carrier* in certificate No. MC 33919, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Ore.

No. MC 127445 filed July 18, 1965. Applicant: ANTHONY SERO, doing business as TONY SERO & SONS, 3328 Waltham Street, Pittsburgh, Pa. Applicant's representative: Robert F. Stone, 1008 Law and Finance Building, Pittsburgh, Pa., 15219. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household appliances*, from points in Allegheny, Butler, Westmoreland, Beaver, Lawrence, Washington, and Fayette Counties, Pa., to points in Trumbull, Portage, Summit, Stark, Mahoning, Columbiana, Carroll, Jefferson, Harrison, Tuscarawas, Guernsey, Belmont, Nobel, Monroe, and Washington Counties, Ohio, and points in Hancock, Brooke, Ohio, Marshall, Wetzel, Tyler, Pleasants, Monongalia, Preston, and Marion Counties, W. Va., and *damaged and refused household appliances*, on return, under continuing contract or contracts with Kelly & Cohen Merchandise, Inc. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 127467, filed July 26, 1965. Applicant: MARY BROWN, Route No. 1, Box 93, Lawrence, Mich. Authority

sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Livestock feed*, in bulk or in bags, between Decatur, Mich., and Fairbury, Ill. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Decatur, Mich.

No. MC 127550 filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: BOSCH TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 4700 South Adams Street, Peoria, Ill. Applicant's representative: Jack Goodman, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago 3, Ill. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, from the plantsite of the Keystone Steel & Wire Co. at Peoria, Ill., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Ohio, South Dakota, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Tennessee. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 127558 filed September 1, 1965. Applicant: CALVIN C. ROSE, Hillsboro, Wis., 54634. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Rough lumber and logs*, when shipped with rough lumber, moving on flat-bed semitrailers, between points in Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Minnesota, and Michigan. **NOTE:** If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PASSENGERS

No. MC 3647 (Sub-No. 375) (CORRECTION), filed August 6, 1965, published in FEDERAL REGISTER issue of August 26, 1965, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: PUBLIC SERVICE COORDINATED TRANSPORT, a corporation, 180 Boyden Avenue, Maplewood, N.J. Applicant's representative: Richard Fryling (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage and express and newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers, (1) between Phillipsburg, N.J., and junction U.S. Highway 22 and Interstate Highway 78 at Still Valley, Greenwich Township, N.J., over U.S. Highway 22, serving all intermediate points, with no passengers to be transported between Easton, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, the area between Phillipsburg, N.J., and the junction of boundary lines of Lopatcong, Pohatcong and Greenwich Townships, N.J.; (2) between junction U.S. Highway 22 and Interstate Highway 78 in Greenwich Township, N.J., and junction U.S. Highway 22 and Interstate Highway 78 at Pattenburg, Union Township, N.J., over Interstate Highway 78, serving no intermediate points, as an alternate route for operating convenience only (except for joinder of authorized routes), in connection with applicant's authorized regular-route operations; and (3) between junction U.S. Highway 22 and unnumbered highway (also junction Interstate Highway 78 and unnumbered highway) just west of Boro of Clinton, N.J., and junction U.S. Highway 22 and unnum-

bered highway (also junction Interstate Highway 78 and unnumbered highway) at Annandale, Clinton Township, N.J., over U.S. Highway 22 (also over Interstate Highway 78), serving all intermediate points, with the routes described hereinabove restricted against the transportation of passengers to or from New York City, except on trips which neither originate nor terminate at any point east of Clinton, N.J. NOTE: Applicant states that it intends to tack the above-proposed routes to its authorized existing routes. The purpose of this republication is to reflect correctly the authority sought in (2) above, "as an alternate route for operating convenience only (except for joinder of authorized routes)." If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J.

No. MC 124935 (Sub-No. 3), filed September 7, 1965. Applicant: ALMEIDA BUS LINES, 391 Bolton Street, New Bedford, Mass. Applicant's representative: Mary E. Kelley, 10 Tremont Street, Boston 8, Mass. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage and express and newspapers*, in the same vehicle with passengers, between Wareham, Mass., and New York, N.Y.; (a) from Wareham over U.S. Highway 6 to junction Massachusetts Highway 177 at Westport, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 177 to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line, thence over Rhode Island Highway 177 to junction Rhode Island Highway 77 (formerly Rhode Island Highway 126), thence over Rhode Island Highway 77 to junction Rhode Island Highway 138 at Tiverton, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 138 to Newport, R.I., thence by the Jamestown Ferry to Jamestown, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 138 to junction Interstate Highway 95 at Wyoming, R.I., thence over Interstate Highway 95 to New York and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; (b) from Wareham over U.S. Highway 6 to New Bedford, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 195 to junction Massachusetts Highway 138 at Fall River, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 138 to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line, thence over Rhode Island Highway 138 to Newport, R.I., thence to New York as described above and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; and (c) from Wareham to Fall River, Mass., as described above, thence over Massachusetts Highway 138 to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line, thence over Rhode Island Highway 138 to junction Sprague Street in Portsmouth, R.I., thence over Sprague Street to junction Rhode Island Highway 114, thence over Rhode Island Highway 114 to junction Rhode Island Highway 138 at Middletown, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 138 to Newport, R.I., thence to New York as described above and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points. NOTE: Applicant states that proposed service south and west of junction Interstate Highway 95 and Rhode Island Highway 138, will be re-

stricted (a) on the southbound movement to the discharge of passengers and (b) on the northbound movement to the pickup of passengers. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Providence, R.I.

No. MC 127559 filed August 13, 1965. Applicant: MAURICE C. MARVELL, Dow Road, Hollis, N.H., 03049. Applicant's representative: Edward P. McDuffee, 405 Main Street, Wakefield, Mass. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in charter operations, beginning and ending at Hollis, N.H., and extending to points in Massachusetts. NOTE: If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

APPLICATION OF FREIGHT FORWARDER

FREIGHT FORWARDER OF PROPERTY

No. FF-324 IMPERIAL HOUSEHOLD SHIPPING CO., INC., freight forwarder application, filed September 10, 1965. Applicant: IMPERIAL HOUSEHOLD SHIPPING CO., INC., 2809 Columbia Street, Torrance, Calif. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1 Farragut Square South, Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought under part IV of the Interstate Commerce Act as a freight forwarder in interstate or foreign commerce, in the forwarding of used household goods, used automobiles, and unaccompanied baggage, between points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii.

APPLICATIONS IN WHICH HANDLING WITHOUT ORAL HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 52964 (Sub-No. 10), filed March 1, 1965. Applicant: EUGENE PIKOVSKY, doing business as FREIGHT TRANSIT CO., 2690 Prior Avenue North, St. Paul, Minn. Applicant's representative: Donald A. Morken, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn., 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and junction city streets and Minnesota Highways 5, 7, 13, 100, U.S. Highways 169, 212, 65, 52, 10, 61 and Interstate Highways 35, 35E, 35W, 94, and 494, over city streets; (2) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and Chemolite, Minn.; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, over the routes described in (1) above, to junction U.S. Highway 10, thence over U.S. Highway 10 to Chemolite and return over the same route; (3) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and the Minnesota-Iowa State line; (a) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (1) above to junction Minnesota Highway 7, thence over Minnesota Highway 7 to junction Minnesota Highway 5.

Thence over the routes described in (1) above and Minnesota Highway 5 to Gaylord, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 19 to Marshall, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 23 to Pipestone, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 75 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (b) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, over the routes described in (3) (a) above to Marshall, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 59 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (c) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (a) above to Redwood Falls, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 71 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (d) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (a) above to Fairfax, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 4 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (e) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (a) above to Winthrop, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 15 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (f) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (1) above to junction U.S. Highway 169, thence over U.S. Highway 169 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (g) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (f) above to Mankato, Minn.

Thence over Minnesota Highway 60 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (h) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (1) above to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence over U.S. Highway 65 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (i) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (h) above to Albert Lea, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 69 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (j) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (h) above to Owatonna, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 218 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (k) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (1) above to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence over U.S. Highway 52 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (l) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3) (k) above to Rochester, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 63 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (m) from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (1) above to junction U.S. Highway 61, thence over U.S. Highway 61 to La Crescent, Minn.

Thence over Minnesota Highway 26 to the Minnesota-Iowa State line and return over the same route; (4) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and

Bloomington, Minn., and Nicollet, Minn.; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3)(a) above to Gaylord, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 111 to Nicollet and return over the same route; (5) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and junction U.S. Highway 14 and Minnesota Highway 23; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, over the routes described in (3)(f) above to Mankato, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 14 to junction Minnesota Highway 23 and return over the same route; (6) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and Albert Lea, Minn.; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (3)(f) above to Jordan, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 21 to junction Minnesota Highway 13, thence over Minnesota Highway 13 to Albert Lea and return over the same route; (7) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and Hastings, Minn.; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (1) above to junction Minnesota Highway 55, thence over Minnesota Highway 55 to Hastings and return over the same route; (8) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, over the routes described in (1) above to junction U.S. Highway 61.

Thence over U.S. Highway 61 to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line and return over the same route; service over the routes described in (1) through (8) above in Minnesota shall be limited to handling traffic moving to, from or through points in the Minneapolis-St. Paul commercial zone, Plymouth, Bloomington, and Chemolite, on the one hand, with no service to intermediate points except as said points may be located on the Minnesota-Iowa State line and such routes may be used singly or in combination; (9) service is proposed over all highways in Iowa and to all points in Iowa as either intermediate points or off-route points including the commercial zones of such intermediate or off-route points whether said commercial zones be in South Dakota, Nebraska, Missouri, Illinois, or Wisconsin. Said routes in Iowa will be used in any or all combinations in connection with the routes described in (1) through (8) above, and described in (1) through (8) above, and (10) between Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington, Minn., and Dubuque, Iowa; from Minneapolis, St. Paul, Plymouth, and Bloomington over the routes described in (8) above to the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line, thence over U.S. Highway 61 to Dubuque and return over the same route. Service via this route is restricted against serving the termini at the Minnesota-Wisconsin State line and intermediate points in Wisconsin except as such may be involved in the Dubuque, Iowa, commercial zone. Note: This application is filed pursuant to MC-C-4366, effective May 1, 1964, which provides the special rules for conversion of irregular-route to regular-route motor carrier operations.

SPECIAL NOTE: Protests to this application may be filed within 45 days instead of 30 days.

No. MC 59570 (Sub-No. 29), filed September 3, 1965. Applicant: HECHT BROTHERS, INC., Lakewood Road, Post Office Box 232, Toms River, N.J. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime, limestone and lime and limestone products*, in bulk or in bags, *concrete mix, mortar mix, dry mortar, asphalt mix, sand, and gravel*, in bags, from Devault, Pa., to Philadelphia, Pa., and Washington, D.C.

No. MC 87431 (Sub-No. 9), filed February 25, 1965. Applicant: GILBERTVILLE TRUCKING CO., INC., 28 East Main Street, Rockville, Conn. Applicant's representative: James E. Wilson, 1735 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), (1) between Hardwick, Mass., and New York, N.Y.; (a) from Hardwick over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Palmer, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 90 to Chicopee, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 91 to Hartford, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 5 to New Haven, Conn., thence over Interstate Highway 95 to New York and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in New York and New Jersey within 20 miles of New York, N.Y.; (b) from Hardwick over Massachusetts Highway 32 to the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line, thence over Connecticut Highway 32 to West Willington, Conn.

Thence over Connecticut Highway 15 to Hartford, Conn., thence over the routes described in (a) above to New Haven, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 1 to New York and return over the same route, serving all intermediate and off-route points in New York and New Jersey within 20 miles of New York, N.Y.; (2) in a circuitous manner, from Palmer, Mass., over routes herein described to Greenwich, Conn., thence over routes herein described to New Haven, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 17 to Glastonbury, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 94 to Buckingham, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 83 to Talcottville, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 15 to junction Connecticut Highway 32, thence over Connecticut Highway 32 to the Connecticut-Massachusetts State line; thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Palmer, Mass., serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (3) in a circuitous manner, from Palmer, Mass., over Interstate Highway 90 to junction Massachusetts Highway 15, thence over Massachusetts Highway 15 to the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line, thence over Connecticut Highway 15 to

junction Connecticut Highway 31, thence over Connecticut Highway 31 to junction Connecticut Highway 74, thence over Connecticut Highway 74 to Rockville, Conn., thence continue over Connecticut Highway 74 to junction Connecticut Highway 83, thence over Connecticut Highway 83 to the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line.

Thence over Massachusetts Highway 83 to Springfield, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 20 to Palmer, Mass., serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (4) between Palmer, Mass., and Rockville, Conn.; from Palmer over U.S. Highway 20 to North Wilbraham, Mass., thence over unnumbered highway to the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line, thence over unnumbered highway to North Sommers, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 83 to junction Connecticut Highway 74, thence over Connecticut Highway 74 to Rockville and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (5) between Palmer, Mass., and Norwich, Conn.; from Palmer, Mass., to Rockville, Conn., as described above, thence over Connecticut Highway 74 to junction Connecticut Highway 83, thence over Connecticut Highway 83 to Talcottville, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 15 to East Hartford, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 2 to Colchester, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 85 to New London, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 1 to Groton, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 12 to Norwich and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (6) in a circuitous manner, from Palmer, Mass., to Rockville, Conn., as described above.

Thence over Connecticut Highway 74 to junction Connecticut Highway 31, thence over Connecticut Highway 31 to junction U.S. Highway 44, thence over U.S. Highway 44 to Putnam, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 12 to Danielson, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Manchester, Conn., thence to Palmer, Mass., over the routes described above, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (7) in a circuitous manner, from Palmer, Mass., to Hartford, Conn., as described above, thence over U.S. Highway 44 to Winsted, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 8 to Thomaston, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Hartford, Conn., thence to Palmer, Mass., over the routes described above, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (8) in a circuitous manner, from Palmer, Mass., to East Hartford, Conn., as described in (5) above, thence continuing over Connecticut Highway 15 to junction Connecticut Highway 175 near Newington, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 175 to New Britain, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 72 to junction U.S.

Highway 6, thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Terryville, Conn.

Thence over U.S. Highway 6 to junction U.S. Highway 202, thence over U.S. Highway 202 to Danbury, Conn., thence over Interstate Highway 84 to junction U.S. Highway 6A, thence over U.S. Highway 6A to Marion, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 6A to Meriden, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 5 to junction Connecticut Highway 15, thence over Connecticut Highway 15 to junction Connecticut Highway 32, thence over Connecticut Highway 32 to the Connecticut-Massachusetts State line, thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Palmer, Mass., serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; in connection with (2) through (8) above, no service is proposed between any two points in Connecticut; (9) between Palmer, Mass., and New London, Conn.; from Palmer to Manchester, Conn., as described above, thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Willimantic, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 32 to New London and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Connecticut and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (10) between Palmer, Mass., and Providence, R.I.; (a) from Palmer over U.S. Highway 20 to junction Massachusetts Highway 146, thence over Massachusetts Highway 146 to Worcester, Mass.

Thence return over Massachusetts Highway 146 to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line, thence over Rhode Island Highway 146 to Providence and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (b) from Palmer over U.S. Highway 20 to Sturbridge, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 131 to the Massachusetts-Connecticut State line, thence over Connecticut Highway 131 to North Grosvenordale, Conn., thence over Connecticut Highway 12 to Danielson, Conn., thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Providence and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (c) from Palmer to Worcester, Mass., as described above, thence over Massachusetts Highway 122 to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line, thence over Rhode Island Highway 122 to Providence and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (d) from Palmer to North Grosvenordale, Conn., as specified above, thence over Connecticut Highway 12 to Putnam, Conn.

Thence over U.S. Highway 44 to Providence and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (11) between Palmer, Mass., and North Kingstown, R.I.; (a) from Palmer to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line as described above, thence over Rhode Island Highway 122 to Woon-

socket, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 102 to North Kingstown and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (b) from Palmer to Woonsocket, R.I., as described above, thence over Rhode Island Highway 104 to Primrose, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 5 to junction Rhode Island Highway 12, thence over Rhode Island Highway 12 to Cranston, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 2 to junction Rhode Island Highway 102, thence over Rhode Island Highway 102 to North Kingstown and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (12) between Palmer, Mass., and Westerly, R.I.; (a) from Palmer to Woonsocket, R.I., as described above, thence over Rhode Island Highway 104 to Smithfield, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 116 to Washington, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 3 to junction Interstate Highway 95 north of Wyoming, R.I., thence over Interstate Highway 95 to junction Rhode Island Highway 3 south of Hopkinton, R.I.

Thence over Rhode Island Highway 3 to Westerly and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within ten miles of Palmer, Mass.; (b) from Palmer to Providence, R.I., as described above, thence over U.S. Highway 1 to Westerly and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; (13) between Palmer, Mass., and Newport, R.I.; from Palmer to Providence, R.I., as described above, thence over U.S. Highway 6 to East Providence, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 114 to Newport and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points and serving all off-route points in Rhode Island and those within 10 miles of Palmer, Mass.; in connection with (10) through (13) above, no service is proposed between any two points in Rhode Island; (14) in a circuitous manner, (a) from Hardwick, Mass., over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Palmer, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 90 to Lee, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 20 to Pittsfield, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 7 to Williamstown, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 2 to Athol, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Hardwick, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (b) from Hardwick over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Ware, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Pittsfield, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 8 to North Adams, Mass.

Thence over Massachusetts Highway 2 to Greenfield, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 5 to Springfield, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 20 to Palmer, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Hardwick, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (c) from Hardwick to Sturbridge, Mass., as described above, thence over Interstate

Highway 90 to Millbury, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 122 to Worcester, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 20 to Northboro, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 135 to Westboro, Mass., thence return over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Ware, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Hardwick, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (15) in a circuitous manner, (a) from Westboro, Mass., over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Shrewsbury, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 140 to West Boylston, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 12 to Fitchburg, Mass., thence return over Massachusetts Highway 12 to Leominster, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 2 to junction Massachusetts Highway 32, thence over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Athol, Mass., thence return over Massachusetts Highway 32 to Petersham, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 122 to Worcester, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction Massachusetts Highway 135.

Thence over Massachusetts Highway 135 to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (b) from Westboro over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence over U.S. Highway 20 to West Auburn, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 12 to Webster, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 16 to Milford, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 140 to Shrewsbury, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 140 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction Massachusetts Highway 135, thence over Massachusetts Highway 135 to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (c) from Westboro over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Boston, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 3 to Quincy, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 3A to Hingham, Mass., thence return over Massachusetts Highway 3A to Quincy, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 18 to Abington, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 123 to Brockton, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 27 through Natick, Mass., to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction Massachusetts Highway 135, thence over Massachusetts Highway 135 to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (d) from Westboro over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9.

Thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Newton, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 128 to Peabody, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 95 to Amesbury, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 110 to Haverhill, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 495 to

Southboro, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction Massachusetts Highway 135, thence over Massachusetts Highway 135 to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (e) from Westboro over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction Massachusetts Highway 140, thence over Massachusetts Highway 140 to junction Massachusetts Highway 12, thence over Massachusetts Highway 12 to Leominster, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 2 to Arlington, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 60 to Malden, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 1 to South Lynnfield, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 128 to Peabody, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 114 to Salem, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 107 to Revere, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 60 to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence over Interstate Highway 95 to Boston, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 20 to Northboro, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to junction Massachusetts Highway 135, thence over Massachusetts Highway 135 to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (f) from Westboro over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9.

Thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Framingham, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 90 to Newton, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 128 to Woburn, Mass.; thence over Interstate Highway 93 to junction Massachusetts Highway 110 west of Lawrence, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 110 to Lawrence, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 28 to Methuen, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 113 to Tyngsboro, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 3 to junction Massachusetts Highway 128 near Lexington, Mass., thence over routes herein described to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (g) from Westboro over Massachusetts Highway 135 to junction Massachusetts Highway 9, thence over Massachusetts Highway 9 to Newton, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 128 to Braintree, Mass., thence over the Southeast Expressway to Boston, Mass., thence over city streets to Dedham, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 1A to Walpole, Mass., thence over routes herein described to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (h) from Westboro to Braintree, Mass., as described above, thence over Massachusetts Highway 128 to Accord, Mass., thence over New Massachusetts Highway 3 to Sagamore, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Buzzards Bay, Mass., thence return over U.S. Highway 6 to junction Massachusetts Highway 28, thence over Massachusetts Highway 28 to Falmouth, Mass., thence return over Massachusetts Highway 28 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence over U.S. Highway 6 through Buzzards Bay to New Bedford, Mass.

Thence over Massachusetts Highway 140 to junction Massachusetts Highway 24 near Taunton, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 24 to junction Massachusetts Highway 128, thence over Massachusetts Highway 128 and routes herein described, to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (i) from Westboro over routes herein described to North Randolph, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 24 to Fall River, Mass., thence over Interstate Highway 195 to Seekonk, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 114A to the Massachusetts-Rhode Island State line, thence over Rhode Island Highway 114 to Rumford, R.I., thence over U.S. Highway 1A to South Attleboro, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 1 to Dedham, Mass., thence over routes herein described to Westboro, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; (16) in a circuitous manner, from Palmer, Mass., over routes herein described to Woonsocket, R.I., thence over Rhode Island Highway 126 to the Rhode Island-Massachusetts State line, thence over Massachusetts Highway 126 to Bellingham, Mass., thence over Massachusetts Highway 140 to New Bedford, Mass., thence over U.S. Highway 6 to Providence, R.I., thence over routes herein described to Palmer, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts; and (17) between Palmer, Mass., and Boston, Mass., over Interstate Highway 90, serving all intermediate and off-route points in Massachusetts. **NOTE:** This application is filed pursuant to MC-C-4366, effective May 1, 1964, which provides the special rules for conversion of irregular-route to regular-route motor carrier operations. **SPECIAL NOTE:** Protests to this application may be filed within 45 days instead of 30 days.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10053; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:45 a.m.]

NOTICE OF FILING OF MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS

SEPTEMBER 17, 1965.

The following applications for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to section 206(a) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended October 15, 1962. These applications are governed by Special Rule 1.245 of the Commission's rules of practice, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 11, 1963, page 3533, which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State commission hearings or other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, and any other related matters shall be directed to the State commission with which the application is filed and shall not be ad-

mitted to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

State docket number assigned 15714, filed September 7, 1965. Applicant: NORTH ALABAMA EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 610, Alexander City, Ala. Applicant's representative: J. Douglas Harris, 410-411 Bell Building, Montgomery, Ala. Certificate of public convenience and necessity sought to operate a freight service as follows: Transportation of: *General commodities* (except those requiring special equipment, commodities in bulk and commodities injurious to other lading) over the following regular routes, (1) for convenience of the carrier only between Birmingham, Ala., and Heflin, Ala., over U.S. Highway 78 and/or Interstate Highway 20, serving only the points now authorized under Motor Carrier Certificate No. 1264; (2) between Wetumpka, Ala., and Montgomery, Ala., over U.S. Highway 231, serving all intermediate points and serving Boylston, Ala., as an off-route point; (3) between Opelika, Ala., and Phenix City, Ala., over U.S. Highway 280, serving all intermediate points; and (4) between Alexander City, Ala., and Wetumpka, Ala., as follows: (a) Commencing at Alexander City, Ala., thence in a southwesterly direction over Alabama Highway 22 to its intersection with Alabama Highway 9, thence in a southerly direction over Alabama Highway 9 to Wetumpka, Ala., and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points; and (b) an alternate route over Alabama Highway 63 serving all intermediate points and Tallassee, Ala., as an off-route point.

HEARING: Date, time, and place of hearing not specified. Requests for procedural information including the time for filing protests concerning this application should be addressed to the Alabama Public Service Commission, Post Office Box 991, Montgomery, Ala., 36102, and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10054; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 818]

MOTOR CARRIER APPLICATIONS AND CERTAIN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

SEPTEMBER 17, 1965.

The following publications are governed by the new § 1.247 of the Commission's rules of practice, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of December 3, 1963, which became effective January 1, 1964.

The publications hereinafter set forth reflect the scope of the applications as filed by applicants, and may include descriptions, restrictions, or limitations which are not in a form acceptable to the Commission. Authority which ultimately may be granted as a result of the applications here noticed will not necessarily reflect the phraseology set forth

in the application as filed, but also will eliminate any restrictions which are not acceptable to the Commission.

APPLICATIONS ASSIGNED FOR ORAL HEARING
MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 115331 (Sub-No. 155), filed September 14, 1965. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT INCORPORATED, 719 Buder Building, 707 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, 1815 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum and petroleum products*, in containers, from Wood River, Ill., to points in Iowa, Missouri, and Arkansas.

HEARING: October 4, 1965, in Room 415, U.S. Court and Custom House, 1114 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., before Examiner Richard H. Roberts.

No. MC 123393 (Sub-No. 82), filed September 13, 1965. Applicant: BILYEU REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 2105 East Dale, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Food products and cough drops*, from Elizabethtown, Lititz, and Reading, Pa., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin and *exempt commodities*, on return.

HEARING: October 25, 1965, at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., before Examiner Charles W. Bennett.

No. MC 125567 (Sub-No. 2EX), filed September 12, 1965. Applicant: BEKINS VAN & STORAGE CO. OF HAWAII, INC., 2839 Mokumoa Street, Honolulu, Oahu, Hawaii, 96817. A certificate of exemption is sought under section 204 (a) (4a), Part II in the conduct of operations as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, including *household goods*, between points on the Island of Oahu, Hawaii.

HEARING: November 8, 1965, at the U.S. District Courtroom, Federal Building, Honolulu, Hawaii, before Commissioner Rupert L. Murphy.

No. MC 127568, filed September 15, 1965. Applicant: MID SOUTH DELIVERY SERVICE CO., a corporation, 3215 Tulane, Memphis, Tenn. Applicant's representative: Dale Woodall, Post Office Box 123, Memphis, Tenn. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural implements, farm machinery, and incidental component parts and attachments* thereof, when moving at the same time for use thereon, from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Missouri, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Texas, Oklahoma, Virginia, New Mexico, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and West Virginia.

HEARING: September 30, 1965, at the Claridge Hotel, Memphis, Tenn., before Examiner Warren C. White.

No. MC 1515 (Sub-No. 80) (Republication), filed April 20, 1965, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 19, 1965, and republished this issue. Applicant: GREYHOUND LINES, INC., 140 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. Applicant's representative: Robert J. Bernard (same address as applicant). By application filed April 20, 1965, applicant seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in charter service, (1) from Flandreau, S. Dak., to Gettysburg, Moberly Corner, Moberly, and McLaughlin, S. Dak., Garrison Corner, Garrison, Fort Totten, Belcourt, Raub, Parshall, and New Town, N. Dak., Poplar, Wolf Creek, Glasgow, Dobson, Fort Belknap, Havre, Dixon, and Browning, Mont., and (2) from the above enumerated points in Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota to Flandreau, S. Dak.; with the following limitations: (a) That groups of students will be transported under the supervision of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs; (b) that trips to Flandreau will be made in September for the opening of school; and (c) that trips from Flandreau will be made at the end of the school year in May or June transporting students to their homes for the summer vacation period. An order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board No. 1, dated August 31, 1965, and served September 9, 1965, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, in charter operations, between Flandreau, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, Browning, Dixon, Dodson, Fort Belknap, Glasgow, Havre, Poplar, and Wolf Point, Mont., and Belcourt, Fort Totten, Garrison, Garrison Corner, New Town, Parshall, and Raub, N. Dak.; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. Because it is possible that other parties, who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority granted in the findings herein, a correct notice of the authority actually granted will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and issuance of a certificate herein will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of such republication, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate protest or other pleading.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 69) (Republication), filed April 15, 1965, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 5, 1965, and republished this issue. Applicant: ARMORED CARRIER CORPORATION, 222 17 Northern Boulevard, Bayside, N.Y. Applicant's representative: Russell S. Bernard, Commonwealth Building, 1625

K Street NW., Washington, D.C. By application filed April 15, 1965, applicant seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, of: (1) Bank checks, binders, checkbooks, drafts, registers and other bank stationery, (a) between Pittsburgh, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and West Virginia and points in Allegany County, Md., and (b) between Cleveland, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Cambria, Clearfield, Crawford, Elk, Erie, Fayette, Forest, Greene, Indiana, Jefferson, Lawrence, McKean, Mercer, Somerset, Venango, Warren, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties, Pa.; (2) construction and mining equipment parts, limited to shipments weighing no more than 75 pounds each, between Columbus, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Evansville, Ind., and Chicago, Ill.; (3) checks, charge sales tickets, correction memorandums, deposit tickets, installment loan coupons, punchcards, punchpaper tape, business papers, records and audit and accounting media (excluding plant removals), (a) between Elida, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Anderson, Columbia City, Elwood, Gaston, Huntington, Marion, North Manchester, Shirley, and Upland, Ind., (b) between Lima, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Anderson, Columbia City, Elwood, Fort Wayne, Gaston, Huntington, Marion, North Manchester, Shirley, and Upland, Ind., and (c) between Cincinnati, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Mount Lebanon, Pa.; (4) exposed and processed film and prints, complimentary replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies, and advertising literature moving therewith (excluding motion picture film used primarily for commercial theater and television exhibition), between Fort Wayne, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lansing, Mich., Aurora, Ill., and Dayton and Lima, Ohio. The transportation operations applied for herein are subject to the restriction that no service shall be performed under the authority granted herein for any bank or banking institution, namely, any national bank, State bank, Federal Reserve bank, savings and loan association, or savings bank. A corrected order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board No. 1, dated August 25, 1965, and served September 10, 1965, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of: (1) *Bank checks, binders, checkbooks, drafts, registers and other bank stationery*, (a) between Pittsburgh, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio and West Virginia and points in Allegany County, Md., and (b) between Cleveland, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Cambria, Clearfield, Crawford, Elk, Erie, Fayette, Forest, Greene, Indiana, Jefferson, Lawrence, McKean, Mercer, Somerset, Venango, Warren, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties, Pa.; (2) *construction and mining equipment parts*,

between Columbus, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Evansville, Ind., and Chicago, Ill.; (3) checks, charge sales tickets, correction memorandums, deposit tickets, installment loan coupons, punchcards, punchpaper tape, business papers, business records and audit and accounting media, (a) between Elida, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Anderson, Columbia City, Elwood, Gaston, Huntington, Marion, North Manchester, Shirley, and Upland, Ind., (b) between Lima, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Anderson, Columbia City, Elwood, Fort Wayne, Gaston, Huntington, Marion, North Manchester, Shirley, and Upland, Ind., and (c) between Cincinnati, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Mount Lebanon, Pa.; (4) unprocessed film and prints, from Lansing, Mich., Aurora, Ill., and Dayton and Lima, Ohio, to Fort Wayne, Ind.; and (5) processed film and prints, complimentary replacement film, incidental dealer handling supplies, and advertising literature moving therewith (excluding motion picture film used primarily for commercial theater and television exhibition), from Fort Wayne, Ind., to Lansing, Mich., Aurora, Ill., and Dayton and Lima, Ohio. Because it is possible that other parties, who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described in the findings in this order, a notice of the authority actually granted will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and, for a period of 30 days from the date of such publication, any proper party in interest may file an appropriate pleading.

No. MC 126145 (Sub-No. 2) (Republication), filed March 24, 1965, published FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 14, 1965, and republished this issue. Applicant: PHILLIPS TRUCKING, a corporation, 30299 Valley Boulevard, Rialto, Calif. Applicant's representative: R. Y. Schureman, 1010 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, Calif., 90017. In the above-entitled proceeding by order entered July 22, 1965, the Commission, Operating Rights Board No. 1, authorized the issuance to applicant of a certificate to transport cinder and pumice aggregates, in bulk, from and to the points indicated in the findings below, but denied the application to the extent that applicant sought to transport other types of "aggregates, other than cement and calcium chloride, used in the manufacture of concrete and concrete products, in bulk." In order for applicant to be able to provide the transportation service required by all of the supporting shippers and for which a need has been established, the order entered on July 22, 1965 must be modified. A supplemental order of the Commission, Operating Rights Board No. 1, dated August 31, 1965, and served September 9, 1965, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of aggregates used in the manufacture of concrete and concrete products, in bulk, between points in that part of California lying in and south of San

Luis Obispo, Kern, and Inyo Counties, Calif., and points in Arizona; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. Because it is possible that other parties, who have relied upon the notice of the application as published, may have an interest in and would be prejudiced by the lack of proper notice of the authority described in the findings in this order, a notice of the authority actually granted will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and issuance of a certificate in this proceeding will be withheld for a period of 30 days from the date of such publication, during which period any proper party in interest may file an appropriate protest or other pleading.

NOTICE OF FILING OF PETITIONS

No. MC 123075 (Sub-Nos. 2 and 3) (Petition for modification of restrictions in permits), filed September 8, 1965. Petitioner: HARVEY D. SHUPE, HOWARD YOST, AND CHARLES MYLANDER, a partnership, doing business as SHUPE & YOST, Greeley, Colo. Petitioner's representative: Michael T. Corcoran, 1360 Locust Street, Denver, Colo., 80220. Petitioner states it holds permits issued December 14, 1961, and August 16, 1963, authorizing the transportation of: Salt and salt products, from the plantsite of Leslie Salt Co., at or near Lake Point, Utah, to points in Nebraska on and west of U.S. Highway 83, points in Yuma, Washington, Adams, Denver, Jefferson, Clear Creek, Summit, Eagle, Grand, Jackson, Sedgwick, Phillips, Logan, Weld, Morgan, Larimer, Boulder, Fremont, Pueblo, El Paso, and Gilpin Counties, Colo., and those in Albany, Platte, Goshen, and Laramie Counties, Wyo., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are limited to a transportation service to be performed, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with the Leslie Salt Co. Salt and salt products, from the plantsite of the Solar Salt Co. in Tooele County, Utah, to points in Colorado, Kansas, that part of Nebraska and South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and Wyoming, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized.

Restriction: The operations authorized above are limited to a transportation service to be performed, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with the following shippers: Carey Salt Co., Hutchinson, Kans., Solar Salt Co., Salt Lake City, Utah. From the plantsite of the Leslie Salt Co. at or near Lake Point, Utah, to points in Colorado (except points in Yuma, Washington, Adams, Denver, Jefferson, Clear Creek, Summit, Eagle, Grand, Jackson, Sedgwick, Phillips, Logan, Weld, Morgan, Larimer, Boulder, Fremont, Pueblo, El Paso, and Gilpin Counties, Colo.), that part of South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and Wyoming (except points in Albany, Platte, Goshen, and Larimer Counties, Wyo.), with no transportation for compensation on return except as

otherwise authorized. Restriction: The operations authorized immediately above are limited to a transportation service to be performed, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with the Leslie Salt Co., of San Francisco, Calif. Petitioner states that Hardy Salt Co., of St. Louis, Mo., has entered into a firm contract to purchase the Utah plant of Leslie Salt Co.; and that Hardy Salt Co. will take possession of the Utah plant of Leslie Salt Co. on October 31, 1965. By the instant petition, it requests that their permits be modified by authorizing the performance of service "from the plantsite and supply point of Hardy Salt Co. and Leslie Salt Co. at or near Lake Point, Utah, to points in Colorado, that part of Nebraska and South Dakota on and west of U.S. Highway 83, and Wyoming, under continuing contracts with Hardy Salt Co., of St. Louis, Mo., and Leslie Salt Co., of San Francisco, Calif." No change is sought with respect to service authorized under contracts with Carey Salt Co. and Solar Salt Co. Any person or persons desiring to oppose the relief sought, may, within 30 days from the date of this publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file an appropriate pleading, consisting of an original and six copies each.

APPLICATIONS UNDER SECTIONS 5 AND 210a(b)

The following applications are governed by the Interstate Commerce Commission's special rules governing notice of filing of applications by motor carriers of property or passengers under sections 5(a) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act and certain other proceedings with respect thereto (49 CFR 1.240).

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

Nos. MC-F-9007 and MC-F-9140 (ANTONI TRUCK LINES—PURCHASE (PORTION)—ALFRED F. ANTONI) and (ANTONI TRUCK LINES—PURCHASE—SRDS, INC.), published in the February 3, 1965, and June 9, 1965, issues of the FEDERAL REGISTER on pages 1144 and 7550, respectively. By application filed September 13, 1965, ASSOCIATED FREIGHT LINES, 1700 24th Street, Oakland, Calif., identified in the prior notices as the carrier affiliate of ANTONI TRUCK LINES, seeks to be substituted as vendee and lessee, in lieu of ANTONI TRUCK LINES, in both proceedings. The proceeding in No. MC-F-9007 was denied by Report and Order, as corrected, by the Commission, Finance Board No. 1, on June 16, 1965. The denial order was stayed pending determination of a petition by applicants for reconsideration, filed July 29, 1965. The proceeding in No. MC-F-9140 is uncontested. It is the Commission's present intention to handle the matters together. Applicants' attorneys: Marvin Handler, 625 Market Street, San Francisco 5, Calif., and Harold G. Hernly, 711 Fourteenth Street NW, Washington, D.C.

No. MC-F-9211. Authority sought for control and merger by THURSTON MOTOR LINES, INC., 600 Johnston Road, Charlotte, N.C., of the operating rights and property of HUCKABEE TRANSPORT CORP., Interstate Highway 26, West Columbia, S.C., and for

acquisition by D. J. THURSTON, Jr., also of Charlotte, N.C., of control of such rights and property through the transaction. The application also proposes control and merger into THURSTON MOTOR LINES, INC., of two noncarrier corporations: (1) TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATES, INC., and (2) HUCKABEE TRANSPORT CORP. OF SOUTH CAROLINA; both also of Interstate Highway 26, West Columbia, S.C. Applicants' attorney and representative: Roland Rice, 618 Perpetual Building, 1111 E Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20004, and D. J. Thurston, Jr., 600 Johnston Road, Charlotte, N.C. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: *General commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, as a *common carrier*, over regular routes, between Charleston, S.C., and Greenville, S.C., between Charleston, S.C., and Kings Mountain, N.C., between Columbia, S.C., and Pineville, N.C., between Columbia, S.C., and Augusta, Ga., between Augusta, Ga., and Atlanta, Ga., serving all intermediate points except those on U.S. Highway 52, and certain specified off-route points.

Restriction: Service over the above routes is restricted against traffic moving between Sumter, S.C., and Charlotte, N.C.; *compressed inflammable gases*, in bulk, in Government-owned tube trailers, and *empty tube trailers*, and *classified and secret materials*, between the Savannah River Plant of the Atomic Energy Commission, at Dunbarton, S.C., and the site of the Atomic Energy Plant at Oak Ridge, Tenn., serving no intermediate points; *general commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, over irregular routes, between Augusta, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Georgia within 100 miles of Augusta, between points in Georgia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in South Carolina, between Charlotte, N.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, certain specified points in South Carolina; *textile machinery*, and *textile products*, between Winnsboro, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, Kannapolis, Gastonia, and Concord, N.C.; *the commodities*, classified (a) as meats, meat products, and meat byproducts, and (b) as dairy products, in the appendix to the report in *Modification of Permits-Packinghouse Products*, 46 M.C.C. 23, between Columbia, S.C., and points within 10 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, Fort Bragg, N.C., and points within 10 miles thereof; *cotton*, from Winnsboro, S.C., to Gastonia, N.C.; *hay*, from Beech Island, S.C., to Harlem, Ga., from Augusta, Ga., to Walhalla, S.C.; *fertilizer*, from Augusta, Ga., to points in South Carolina; *flour*, from Augusta, and Savannah, Ga., and Charleston, S.C., to points in South Carolina; *cotton seed*, from Beech Island, S.C., to Augusta, Ga.; *canned goods*, *meat*, and *sugar*, from Savannah, Ga., to August, Ga.; and *canned goods* and *agricultural commodities*, from Charleston, S.C., to Augusta, Ga. THURSTON MOTOR LINES, INC., is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in North Carolina, Virginia, South

Carolina, Florida, Tennessee, and Alabama. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-9212. Authority sought for control and merger by PACIFIC INTERMOUNTAIN EXPRESS CO., 14th and Clay Streets, Oakland, Calif., of the operating rights and property of ALL STATES FREIGHT, INC., 1250 Kelly Avenue, Akron, Ohio. Applicants' attorneys: David Axelrod, Room 600, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill., 60603, Paul T. Wolf, 14 Montgomery Street, San Francisco 4, Calif., W. S. Pilling, 1417 Clay Street, Post Office Box 958, Oakland, Calif., 94604, H. S. Carpenter, Suite 618, Perpetual Building, 1111 "E" Street NW., Washington, D.C., and W. M. Nelson, Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: (A) *General commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and liquid commodities in bulk, as a *common carrier*, over regular routes, between Chicago, Ill., and Boston, Mass., serving numerous intermediate and off-route points with restrictions, between Albany, N.Y., and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate and certain off-route points with limitation, between Akron, Ohio, and Hartford, Conn., serving all intermediate points (except those in Pennsylvania), and certain off-route points with limitation, between Mansfield, Ohio, and Mount Vernon, Ohio, between Canton, Ohio, and New Philadelphia, Ohio, serving all intermediate points with limitation; two alternate routes for operating convenience only, with restriction; *general commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods, but not excepting commodities in bulk, between Akron, Ohio, and Syracuse, N.Y., serving all intermediate and certain off-route points, between Shaker Heights, Ohio, and Willoughby, Ohio, serving no intermediate points, between Erie, Pa., and Syracuse, N.Y., between Batavia, N.Y., and Syracuse, N.Y., between Pittsford, N.Y., and Waterloo, N.Y., serving all intermediate and certain off-route points, between Akron, Ohio, and Conneaut, Ohio, serving all intermediate points between Akron and Youngstown, including Youngstown, and certain off-route points, between Westfield, N.Y., and Syracuse, N.Y., serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Ithaca, N.Y., between Youngstown, Ohio, and Salamanca, N.Y., serving the intermediate points of Warren and Bradford, Pa., between Akron, Ohio, and Baltimore, Md., serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points within 10 miles of Baltimore, between Deerfield, Ohio, and Baltimore, Md., serving all intermediate points, between Breezewood, Pa., and Hancock, Md., serving no intermediate points, between Frederick, Md., and Baltimore, Md., serving all intermediate and certain off-route points, between Lakemore, Ohio, and Pittsford, Pa., between Akron, Ohio, and Youngstown, Ohio, serving all intermediate points, between York, Pa., and Gettysburg, Pa., serving no intermediate points, between Erie, Pa., and Mercer, Pa., serving the intermediate point of Meadville, Pa., between Buffalo, N.Y.,

and Niagara Falls, N.Y., serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points within 12 miles of Buffalo, between Baltimore, Md., and Kane, Pa., between Union City, Pa., and Waterford, Pa., serving no intermediate points, between Rochester, Pa., and Akron, Ohio, between Canton, Ohio, and Massillon, Ohio, serving all intermediate points, between junction Pennsylvania Turnpike and U.S. Highway 30 east of Irwin, Pa., and junction Pennsylvania Turnpike and U.S. Highway 30 near Breezewood, Pa., serving no intermediate points; (B) *general commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, between Muncie, Ind., and St. Louis, Mo., between Muncie, Ind., and Buffalo, N.Y., between Muncie, Ind., and Detroit, Mich., serving numerous intermediate and off-route points, and municipalities, between Muncie, Ind., and Chicago, Ill., between Muncie, Ind., and Cincinnati, Ohio, between Indianapolis, Ind., and Louisville, Ky., serving certain intermediate and off-route points, between Sandusky, Ohio, and Milan, Ohio, for use as a connecting route only, between Marion, Ind., and Peru, Ind., for use as a connecting route only, serving no intermediate points, between Muncie, Ind., and junction Indiana Highways 9 and 67, for use as a connecting route only, serving no intermediate points or at junction Indiana Highways 9 and 67; the joinder of the three above-described connecting routes with authorized routes in Part (B) is authorized notwithstanding the fact that the junctions of said routes except as noted, are not authorized service points; numerous alternate routes for operating convenience only; *transmissions*, *transmission casings*, and *transmission parts*, between Kenosha, Wis., and Chicago, Ill., between Chicago, Ill., and Kenosha, Wis., serving no intermediate points; *sodium cyanide*, from Niagara Falls, N.Y., to Buffalo, N.Y., serving no intermediate points; (C) *general commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, over irregular routes, between Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois within 30 miles of Chicago; *general commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and liquid commodities in bulk, between all authorized points in Ohio located on the five regular routes described in Part (A), including off-route points, on the one hand, and, on the other, all other specified points in Ohio with limitation, between all authorized points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, on the five regular routes referred to above described in Part (A), including off-route points, on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, with limitation, two alternate routes for operating convenience only with restriction. PACIFIC INTERMOUNTAIN EXPRESS CO., is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, California, Nevada, Idaho, Missouri, Kansas, Illinois, Oregon, Washington, Oklahoma, Iowa, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Arizona, Montana, Texas, New Mexico, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, Minnesota, North Dakota, and Florida. Application has not been

filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b). NOTE: P.D. No. 23806 is a matter directly related.

No. MC-F-9213. Authority sought for control and merger by MORRISON MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 1100 East Jenkins Boulevard, Akron, Ohio, of the operating rights and property of THE WHITE TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, Junction State Routes 16 and 93, West Lafayette, Ohio, and for acquisition by MAR-MAC INSURANCE AGENCY, INC., and in turn by HELKEN, INC., and K. C. HEFFRON, all of 135 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill., of control of such rights and property through the transaction. Applicants' attorneys: Axelrod, Goodman & Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill., 60603, and John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. Operating rights sought to be controlled and merged: *General commodities*, excepting, among others, commodities in bulk, but not excepting household goods, as a *common carrier*, over regular routes, between Zanesville, Ohio, and Wooster, Ohio, serving the off-route point of Warsaw, Ohio, between Cambridge, Ohio, and Wooster, Ohio, between Cambridge, Ohio, and Dover, Ohio, between Coshocton, Ohio, and Dennison, Ohio, between West Lafayette, Ohio, and Shanesville, Ohio, serving all intermediate points on the above-specified routes, except service is not authorized in connection with traffic moving between Zanesville and Cambridge, Ohio; and under a certificate of registration, in Docket No. MC-38172 (Sub-No. 7) covering the transportation of property, as a *common carrier*, in intrastate commerce, within the State of Ohio. MORRISON MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Kansas, Ohio, Missouri, Indiana, Pennsylvania, Illinois, West Virginia, Kentucky, and New York. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-9214. Authority sought for purchase by COOPER-JARRET, INC., 23 Essex Avenue, Orange, N.J., of (1) the operating rights and certain property of C&B TRUCKING, INC., 1330 West Sixth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio, and (2) a portion of the operating rights and certain property of WARDLOW TRANSFER CO., INC., R.F.D. No. 2, Sardinia, Ohio, and for acquisition by R. E. COOPER, Jr., also of Orange, N.J., of control of such rights and property through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Harris J. Klein, 280 Broadway, New York, N.Y., 10007, and Herbert Baker, 3210 LeVeque, Lincoln Tower, Columbus, Ohio. Operating rights sought to be transferred: (1) (C&B TRUCKING, INC.): *General commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, as a *common carrier*, over regular routes, between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Manchester, Ohio, between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Vanceburg, Ky., between Vanceburg, Ky., and Cincinnati, Ohio, serving certain intermediate points; *general commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods and commodities in bulk, over irregular routes, between Amelia, Ohio, and points in Ohio within 10 miles of Amelia, Ohio, on the

one hand, and, on the other, certain specified points in Ohio; and *household goods*, between Manchester, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio, Indiana, and Kentucky; (2) (WARDLOW TRANSFER CO., INC.): *General commodities*, excepting, among others, household goods, but not excepting commodities in bulk, as a *common carrier*, over irregular routes, between Sardinia, Ohio, and points within 5 miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky; and *livestock*, between points in Brown and Highland Counties, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Missouri, Nebraska, Iowa, Massachusetts, Illinois, New York, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Maryland, Indiana, Delaware, New Jersey, Ohio, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-9215. Authority sought for purchase by MONAHAN TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 12 Walter Street, Cranston, R.I., of the operating rights of BARONI MOTOR TRANS., INC., Post Office Box 335, Bridgewater, Mass., and for acquisition by GEORGE E. RIGNEY, 221 Cindyann Drive, East Greenwich, R.I., and JOHN J. RIGNEY, 98 Yale Avenue, Warwick, R.I., of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Mary E. Kelley, 10 Tremont Street, Boston, Mass. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Under a certificate of registration, in Docket No. MC-121224 (Sub-No. 1), covering the transportation of general commodities, as a *common carrier*, in intrastate commerce, within the State of Massachusetts. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b). NOTE: Docket No. MC-60203 (Sub-No. 5) is a matter directly related.

No. MC-F-9216. Authority sought (1) for purchase by SIGNAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 5321 West Madison Street, Chicago, Ill., 60644, of the operating rights and property of ELMIRA DELIVERIES, INC., Post Office Box 204, Elmira, N.Y.; (2) for control by SIGNAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., of SOUTH BEND RENTAL SERVICE, INC., 60 North Park Avenue, La Grange, Ill.; and (3) contingent upon approval of the foregoing, to merge the operating rights and property of SOUTH BEND RENTAL SERVICE, INC., and POOL TRUCK INC., 21111 Chagrin Boulevard, Cleveland, Ohio, 44122, into SIGNAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., and for acquisition by LEASEWAY TRANSPORTATION CORP., and in turn by H. M. O'NEILL, F. J. O'NEILL, and W. J. O'NEILL, all of Cleveland, Ohio, of control of such rights and property through the transactions. Applicants' attorneys: Ewald E. Kundtz, 1050 Union Commerce Building, Cleveland, Ohio, 44115, and Roland Rice, 618 Perpetual Building, 1111 E Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20004. Operating rights sought to be (1) transferred and (2) controlled and merged: (ELMIRA DELIVERIES, INC.)

Such merchandise, articles, and commodities, as are bought and sold by mail-order houses, and in connection therewith, *such equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the conduct of such business, as a *contract carrier*, over irregular routes, between Elmira, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania within 75 miles of Elmira; (SOUTH BEND RENTAL SERVICE, INC.): *such commodities*, as are dealt in by chain retail and mail-order department stores, the business of which is the sale of general commodities, as a *contract carrier*, over irregular routes, between South Bend and Elkhart, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Michigan within 50 miles of South Bend, Ind.

Restriction: The transportation service specified herein must be performed under individual contracts or agreements with persons (as defined in section 203(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act) who operate chain retail and mail-order department stores, the business of which is the sale of general commodities; and *such commodities* as are dealt in by chain, retail, and mail-order department stores, between Rockford, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Green, Rock, and Walworth Counties, Wis. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts, with Sears Roebuck & Co., of Chicago, Ill. (POOL TRUCK, INC.): *Building materials and equipment and supplies and materials* incidental to the installation thereof, as a *contract carrier*, over irregular routes, from Detroit, Mich., to Toledo, Ohio, and points in Ohio within 40 miles of Toledo; *plumbing and heating equipment, fixtures, and materials and supplies*, incidental to the installation thereof, from Detroit, Mich., to Toledo, Ohio, and points in Ohio within 40 miles thereof; *such merchandise* as is dealt in by mail-order and chain retail department stores, from Detroit, Mich., to Ann Arbor, Saginaw, and Port Huron, Mich., with restriction, from Detroit, Mich., to Toledo, Ohio, and points within 40 miles thereof, with thereof, with restriction; *such merchandise* as drugs, pharmaceuticals, toiletries, and drug store sundries, as is dealt in by wholesale drug supply houses, from Detroit, Mich., to Toledo, Ohio, and points in Ohio within 25 miles of Toledo.

Restriction: *Empty drums and empty steel containers*, in drop-frame trailers, from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Indiana, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, with restriction; *such commodities* as are dealt in by mail order and retail department stores, between the stores and other places of business of Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc., located in the Baltimore, Md., commercial zone, on the one hand, and, on the other, the stores and places of business of Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc., located in the Washington, D.C., commercial zone, from the stores and other places of business of Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc., located in the Baltimore, Md., commercial zone, to points in Adams, York, Lancaster, and Chester

Counties, Pa., and New Castle County, Del., from the stores and other places of business of Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc., located in the Washington, D.C., commercial zone, to points in Fairfax, Loudoun, Prince William, Fauquier, and Stafford Counties, Va., and St. Marys, Charles, Calvert, Prince Georges, Anne Arundel, Montgomery, Howard, Baltimore, Frederick, and Carroll Counties, Md., with restriction; and from the distribution center of Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc., located in Allen Park, Mich., to points in Oakland, Macomb, St. Clair, Genesee, and Washtenaw Counties, Mich., with restriction. **SIGNAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC.**, is authorized to operate as a *contract carrier*, in Illinois, Indiana, and Michigan. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b). **NOTE:** Approval of common control of the above carriers by the Commission, where applicable, was approved in prior proceedings.

No. MC-P-9217. Authority sought for purchase by JAMES THOMPSON, doing business as JONES TRANSFER, 709 East Milwaukee Street, Post Office Box 201, Spencer, Iowa, of a portion of the operating rights of HOVE TRUCK LINE, Stanhope, Iowa. Applicants' attorney: Kenneth F. Dudley, 901 South Madison Avenue, Post Office Box 279, Ottumwa, Iowa, 52502. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Malt beverages*, as a *common carrier*, over irregular routes, from Milwaukee, Wis., and Omaha, Nebr., to Arnolds Park, Iowa, from Milwaukee, Wis., to Britt and Spencer, Iowa, from La Crosse, Wis., to Spencer and Storm Lake, Iowa; and *empty malt beverage containers*, from Arnolds Park, Iowa, to Milwaukee, Wis., and Omaha, Nebr., from Britt, Iowa, and Spencer, Iowa, to Milwaukee, Wis., from Spencer, Iowa, and Storm Lake, Iowa, to La Crosse, Wis. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Nebraska, Minnesota, Illinois, Iowa, and South Dakota. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10057; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

Correction

SEPTEMBER 17, 1965.

Notice No. 1234 of Motor Carrier Transfer Proceedings published Friday,

September 17, 1965, 30 F.R. 11936, included reference to No. MC-PC-68123. Such information was erroneously included. No order was issued in that proceeding by the Transfer Board, and publication of that portion of the notice is without force and effect and should be disregarded.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10059; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

SEPTEMBER 17, 1965.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 40028—*Chlorine from Brunswick, Ga.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., agent (No. A4771), for interested rail carriers. Rates on chlorine, in tank carloads, subject to minimum of 550,000 pounds per shipment, from Brunswick, Ga., to Charleston and Institute, W. Va. Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 213 to Southern Freight Association, agent, tariff ICC S-194.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10061; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:48 a.m.]

[Pfahler's Order 191; 2d Rev. S.O. 562]

BERLIN MILLS RAILWAY

Diversion and Rerouting of Traffic

In the opinion of R. D. Pfahler, agent, the Berlin Mills Railway of Berlin, N.H., is unable to transport traffic routed over its line, because of work stoppage.

It is ordered, That:

(a) *Rerouting of traffic.* The Berlin Mills Railway and its connections being unable to transport traffic in accordance with shippers routing because of work stoppage are hereby authorized to divert and reroute such traffic over any available route to expedite the movement regardless of the routing shown on the

waybill. The billing covering all such cars rerouted shall carry a reference to this order as authority for the rerouting.

(b) *Concurrence of receiving roads to be obtained.* The railroad desiring to divert or reroute traffic under this order shall confer with the proper transportation officer of the railroad to which such traffic is to be diverted or rerouted, and shall receive the concurrence of such other railroad before the rerouting or diversion is ordered.

(c) *Notification to shippers.* Each carrier rerouting cars in accordance with this order shall notify each shipper at the time each car is rerouted or diverted and shall furnish to such shipper the new routing provided under this order.

(d) Inasmuch as the diversion or rerouting of traffic by said Agent is deemed to be due to carrier's disability, the rates applicable to traffic diverted or rerouted by said Agent shall be the rates which were applicable at the time of shipment on the shipments as originally routed.

(e) In executing the directions of the Commission and of such Agent provided for in this order, the common carriers involved shall proceed even though no contracts, agreements, or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the divisions of the rates of transportation applicable to said traffic; divisions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carriers; or upon failure of the carriers to so agree, said divisions shall be those hereafter fixed by the Commission in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act.

(f) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 3 p.m., September 16, 1965.

(g) *Expiration date.* This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., September 20, 1965, unless otherwise modified, changed, suspended, or annulled.

It is further ordered, That this order shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and per diem agreement under the terms of that agreement and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., September 16, 1965.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] R. D. PFAHLER,
Agent.

[P.R. Doc. 65-10060; Filed, Sept. 21, 1965;
8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF CFR PARTS AFFECTED—SEPTEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during September.

3 CFR	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:	
3670	11827
3671	11829
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
July 2, 1910 (modified by PLO 3803)	11352
(revoked in part by PLO 3812)	11517
5799 (revoked by PLO 3808)	11354
6276 (revoked in part by PLO 3823)	11969
6583 (revoked in part by PLO 3823)	11969
11157 (amended by EO 11242)	11205
11242	11205
11243	17709
11244	11945
11245	11947
5 CFR	
213	11208, 11314, 11371, 11501, 11669, 11903, 11961.
511	11751
534	11751
550	11669
731	11846
752	11846
754	11846
772	11846
831	11209
6 CFR	
50	11847
7 CFR	
52	11595
53	11680
354	12023
362	11272
401	11711, 11712
406	11956
409	11956
701	11371
728	11831, 12067
729	11345
775	11914
850	11680
905	11682, 11683
906	11684, 11685
908	11345, 11685, 11959
910	11346, 11373, 11685, 11959, 12024
915	11751, 11959
919	11346
927	11713
944	11713, 11751, 11960
946	11596
981	11596
984	11346
987	11315
1421	11207, 11272, 11315, 11868, 12067
1464	11501
1489	12067
PROPOSED RULES:	
52	11691, 11723
729	11694
730	11282
925	11530
926	11728
932	11611
991	11282
993	11530
1004	11214

7 CFR—Continued	Page
PROPOSED RULES—Continued	
1005	11627
1030	11694
1031	11642, 11694
1032	11694, 11761
1038	11694
1039	11694
1050	11761
1051	11694
1062	11694
1063	11694
1067	11694
1070	11694
1078	11694
1079	11694
9 CFR	
74	11949
101	11848
103	11848
PROPOSED RULES:	
201	11728
325	11970
329	11970
10 CFR	
150	12069
PROPOSED RULES:	
30	11923
32	11923
50	12039
70	12039
115	12039
140	11873, 12039, 12077
12 CFR	
201	11949
329	12032
525	11714
545	11714, 11715
PROPOSED RULES:	
523	11973
525	11973
541	11973
545	11974
563	11975
13 CFR	
107	11960
PROPOSED RULES:	
121	11734
14 CFR	
13	12024
21	11373, 11376, 11849
39	11272, 11313, 11347, 11348, 11669, 11670, 11752, 11849.
61	11903
67	12025
71	11209, 11313, 11314, 11348, 11380, 11381, 11501, 11597, 11598, 11670-11672, 11716, 11849, 11949, 12026.
73	11314, 11348, 11502, 11850
75	11314
95	11310
97	11273, 11305, 11906, 12027
159	11348
207	11381
387	11717
PROPOSED RULES:	
21	11773
37	11695, 11773

14 CFR—Continued	Page
PROPOSED RULES—Continued	
39	11227, 11283, 11732, 11971
43	11773
65	11773
67	11732
71	11283, 11328, 11329, 11392-11396, 11644, 11874, 11875, 12040, 12042.
73	11283, 11695
105	11733
121	11530
223	12038
231	11227
241	11729
243	11729
298	11695
399	11391, 11729
15 CFR	
30	11502
370	11962
371	11964
372	11964
373	11964
374	11965
376	11966
377	11966
380	11966
385	11966
PROPOSED RULES:	
Subtitle A	11390
16 CFR	
13	11850, 12032, 12033, 12035
PROPOSED RULES:	
303	11696
17 CFR	
240	11673, 11851
249	11673
PROPOSED RULES:	
230	12078
18 CFR	
154	12069
PROPOSED RULES:	
152	12077
153	12077
154	11228
156	12077
157	12077
159	12077
19 CFR	
8	11851
9	11851
10	11317, 11851
17	11853
20	11853
PROPOSED RULES:	
10	11760
54	11723
21 CFR	
2	11279
15	11914
20	11915
45	11915
46	11349
120	11915, 11916
121	11208, 11753, 11915, 11916, 11950, 11952, 11953, 12070.
132	11916
141c	11953

21 CFR—Continued		Page	38 CFR		Page	43 CFR—Continued		Page
146		11598	2		11318	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS—Continued		
146c		11953	3	11388, 11754,	11855	3812		11517
148x		11754	17		11676	3813		11678
PROPOSED RULES:			21		11319	3814		11679
16		11921	39 CFR			3815		11679
46		11970	13		11752	3816		11679
51		11922	16		11752	3817		11679
141e		11922	17		11719	3818		11920
146e		11922	24		11752	3819		11968
24 CFR			29		11603	3820		11968
203	11318, 11503		31	11677, 11719		3821		11968
207		11279	43		11720	3822		11969
220		11279	53		11720	3823		11969
221		11279	54		11719	3824		12075
222		12024	61		11719	3825		12075
809		11503	94		11351	3826		12075
25 CFR			96	11279, 11351		3827		12076
120		11676	168		11281	3828		12076
26 CFR			PROPOSED RULES:			PROPOSED RULES:		
1		11854	45		11923	3107		11355
170		11599	48		11282	3120		11329
296		11602	96		12038	44 CFR		
PROPOSED RULES:			121		11645	PROPOSED RULES:		
1		11862	168		11645	401		11728
29 CFR			41 CFR			45 CFR		
800		11504	4-12		12071	116		11810
30 CFR			9-1		11351	117		11817
41		11349	9-9		11352	119		11518
32 CFR			9-10		11351	120		11523
1		11997	9-12		11967	PROPOSED RULES:		
2		12001	9-15		11720	635		11396
3		12001	9-16		11351	46 CFR		
4		12001	9-51		11351	Ch. I		11413
5		12004	101-19		11755	160		11581
6		12005	101-26	11603, 11720		164		11581
7		12005	101-44		11903	205		11680
9		12005	101-47		11281	251		11756
10		12005	42 CFR			255		12036
12		12008	401		11517	401		11720
13		12008	43 CFR			PROPOSED RULES:		
15		12011	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:			531		11284
16		12021	317 (modified by PLO 3809)		11383	537		11646
30		12021	922 (see PLO 3809)		11383	47 CFR		
140		11918	990 (see PLO 3817)		11679	2		11354
160		11677	1374 (revoked in part by PLO 3819)		11968	15		11354
165		11677	2040 (revoked by PLO 3827)		12076	73	11856, 11857, 11859,	11872
257		11677	2345 (revoked in part by PLO 3826)		12075	74		11859
536		11855	3492 (revoked in part by PLO 3825)		12075	PROPOSED RULES:		
537		11387	3529 (corrected and revoked in part by PLO 3815)		11679	73	11284, 11875, 11877-11880,	11972
729		11718	3591 (corrected by PLO 3807)		11353	81		11881
761		11919	3673 (corrected by PLO 3807)		11353	83		11284, 11881
1455		11753	3767 (corrected)		11604	91		11881
1459		11351	3787 (corrected by PLO 3821)		11968	49 CFR		
1808		11718	3802		11326	51		11210
32A CFR			3803		11352	56		11210
OEP (Ch. I):			3804		11327	110		11524
DMO 8505.1		11516	3805		11327	170		11757
33 CFR			3806		11352	50 CFR		
203	11318, 11967		3807		11353	10		11383
204	11209, 11318		3808		11354	32		11211,
207		11209	3809		11383		11212, 11271, 11327, 11386, 11387,	
36 CFR			3810		11383		11525-11527, 11604-11610, 11678,	
7		11388	3811		11516		11721, 11757, 11759, 11860,	11969
311		11920	44 CFR			33		11327
326		12070	PROPOSED RULES:			PROPOSED RULES:		
						32		11529
						33		11529