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## HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detaifed table of contents appears inside.

SECURITIES EXCHANGES, ASSOCIATIONS, BROKERS,
AND DEALERS-SEC requires financial reports for
customers

FOSTER GRANDPARENT AND SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAMS-ACTION revises income eligibility levels for certain individuals; effective 1-23-75
FOOD DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM-USDA/FNS amends general regulations and policies; effective 1-24-75

PROPERTY MANAGEMENT-DOT/FHA clarifies applicability of right-of-way regulations for property acquisition.

DRUG-LABELING REQUIREMENTS-HEW/FDA proposes deletion of exemptions in certain cases; comments by 3-25-75

NEW ANIMAL DRUGS-HEW/FDA approves use of certain drugs in making swine feed; effective 1-24-75.

MEETINGS-
HEW: National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 2-14 and 2-15-75
FDA: Panel on Review of Miscellaneous External Drug Products, 2-23 and 2-24-75
$\qquad$
(Continued Inside)

## PART II:

COMMERCIAL RADIO OPERATOR LICENSESFCC revises fee schedule; effective $3-1-75$.
PART III:
MINIMUM WAGES-Labor/ESA issues general determination decisions for Federal and Federally assisted construction

## PART IV:

RESIDUAL FUEL OIL ALLOCATION-FEA establishes supplier percentages for February, 1975.

## reminders

Nors: There were no items that are ellgible for incluston in the lith of RuLes Coiso Inro Ervecr Tonay.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202-523-5286. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202-523-5240.
To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202-523-5022.
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NASA: NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems, 2-19 and 2-20-75.
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National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities: Museum Advisory Panel, 2-10, 2-13 thru 2-14, and 2-20-75
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Lemons grown in Callf.
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## AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Food and Nutrition Service; Rural Electrification Administration: Soll Conservation Service.

## ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS BUREAU

## Notices

Firearms; granting of relief-
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## CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
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## Meetings:

Federal Employees Pay Council. 3799

## COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## Notices

Environmental statements; avallability
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## EDUCATION OFFICE

## Notices

Application closing date: Indian elementary and secondary school assistance. $\qquad$
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## ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
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Official seal; adoption; correc-
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## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## Rules

Air quality: State implementation plans: California

## Proposed Rules

Freedom of Information; fee schedules

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Proposed Rules
Section 706 Agencies; designa3786

## FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
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Standard instrument approach procedures
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Transition area
3760
VOR Federal airways............................ 3760
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## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

 COMMISSIONRules
Fee schedule

## FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

## Notices

National utility residual fuel oil allocation; supplier percentage notice for February, 1975.

## FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
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Right-of-way and environment: Property management fuction; applicability

## FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD <br> \section*{Notices}

Applications, etc.:
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## FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION
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## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
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William D. Campbell Jr, et al_ 3762
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## rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is publishad under 50 tittes pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regutations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

## Titie 7-Agriculture <br> CHAPTER II-FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B-GENERAL RECULATIONS AND POLICIES-FOOD DISTRIBUTION
[Amdt. 28]
PART 250-DONATION OF FOODS FOR USE IN UNITED STATES, ITS TERRITORIES AND POSSESSIONS, AND AREAS UNDER ITS JURSIDICTION

## Miscellaneous Provisions

The regulations for the operation of the Food Distribution Program (31 FR 14297), as amended, are further amended to (1) update the quoted provisions of section 6 and add the new section 14 of the National School Lunch Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-326, and add provisions of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973, as amended; (2) delete obsolete provisions relating to priority to be given to recipient agences or reciplents; and (3) delete the requirements that feeding operations conducted by food service management companies must be contracted for only on a fee-forservice basis and that contracts for such services must be approved by the appropriate Food and Nutrition Service Regional Olifice.

Thls amendment will enable the Department to distribute food more effectively in accordance with recent legisiation which prescribes minimum levels of commodity assistance to certain eligible recipient agencies. In addition, this amendment will permit employment of food service management companies by institutions, summer camps for children, and nutrition programs for the elderly on the same basfs that schools and service institutions may employ them under Parts 210,220 , and 225 of this chapter.
Since this amendment does not adversely affect the rights or obligations of any member of the public, in that it merely updates quoted statutes, deletes obsolete provislons, and removes restrictions on the use of food service manasement companies, it is hereby determined that compliance with the proposed rulemaking and public participation procedures is impracticable and unnecessary.

1. The authority citation for Part 250 is revised to read as follows:
Auritokry: (5 U.S.C. 301): sec. 32, 49 Stat. 774, as amended (7 US.C. 6120): 50 Stat. 323, as amended ( 15 U.8.C. 713c) : sech. 6 and 9, 00 Stat. 231, 233, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1755, 1758) ; sec, 416, 63 Stat, 1058, as nmended (7 U.S.C. 1431): sec. 402, 68 Stat. 843, as amended (22 U.S.C. 1922) ; sec, 210,

70 3tat, 202 (7 U.S.C. 1859): sec. 9. 72 Stat. 1792, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1431b): 74 Stat. 890, is amended ( 7 U.S.C. 1931 note): nee. 709, 79 Stat. 1212, as amended ( 7 U.8.0. 1446a-1): sec. 3, 82 Stat 117, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1761); sec. 8, 80 Stat. 888 (42 U.S.C. 1777); sec. 707, 86 Stat. 94 , as amended ( 42 U.S.C. 3045 f ): sec. 4,87 Stat. 249 , as amended ( 7 ए.S.C. 612 c note): sec. 2, 88 Stat. 286 ( 42 U.S.C. 1763).
2. In 8250.1 , paragraph (b) is amended by revising subparasraph (6), by adding a new subsection to subparagraph (15), and by adding two new subparagraphs (16) and (17), as follows:
§250.1 General purpose and scope.
(b) Legistation, * *
(6) Section 6 of the National School Lunch Act, as amended (hereinafter referred to as."section 6"), which reads in pert as follows:
(a) The funds provided by approprlation or transfer from other socounts for any flscal year for earryimg out the proviatons of this Act, and for oarrying out the provistons of the Chill Nutrition Aot of 1906, other than section 3 thereof, lees (1) not to exceed $31 / 2$ per centum thereof which per centum ta hereby made avniliable to the Secretary for his administrative expenses under this Act and under the Chlld Nutrition Act of 1966: (2) the amount apportioned by him puriuant to sections 4 and 5 of thls Aet and the amount appropristed pursuant to sections 11 and 13 of thits Act and sections 4, 5, and 7 of the Child Nutrition Aot of 1966; and (3) not to exceed 1 per centum of the funds provided for earrying out the programs under this Aot and the programs under the Chlld Nutrition Act of 1906, other than section 3 . . . shall be avallable to the Becretary during such year for direct expenditure by him for africultural commodities and other foods to be distributed smong the states and schools and service ingtitutions participating in the food service progrims under this Act and under the Chlid Nutrition Aet of 1986 in accordance $w$ th the needs $n s$ determined by the local school and service Institutions authorities.
(e) For the fincal year ending Jume 30 , 1975, and subsequent fiscal years, the nathonal average value of donated foods, or canh payments in lleu thereof, shall not be lass than 10 cents per lunch, and that amount shall be adjusted on an annual basis ench fiscal year after June 30 , 1975, to reflect changes in the serles for food away from home of the Consumer Price Index published by the Burent of Labor 8tatisticm of the Department of Labor. Such adjustment shall be computed to the nearest onefourth cent. Among those commoditles deIivered under this section, the Secretary shall gtve apectal emphasis to high protetn foods, meat, and meat alternatea.
(15) Section 707 of the Older Americans Act of 1965, as amended, which reads as follows:
(d) In donating commoditioe pursuant to this section, the Becretary of Agriculture shall maintain an annually propramed level of assistance of not less than 10 centa per meal: Provided, That thls amount ahall bo adjusted on an annual basls each fiseal yoar after Jume 30, 1975, to relleot changes in the serles for food awny from home of the Consumer Price Index publlahed by the Bureau of Labor Statistios of the Depariment of Labor. Such adjustment ahall be computed to the nearest one-fourth cent. Among the commodities delivered under this section, the Beeretery shall give special emphasis to high proteln foods, meat, and meat alternates. The Socretary of Agriculture, in consultation with the Commisstoner, is authorized to prescribe the terms and conditions respecting the donating of commoditice pursuant to this seotion, and, within nimety days after the date of enactment of hin subsection (d), the Secretary of Agriculture thall issue regulations governing the cionation of auch commoditlee.
(16) Section 4(a) of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973, as amended, which reads in part as follows:
(1) Notwithatanding any other proviaton of law, the Secretary of Agriculture shall until July 1, 1975, (1) ure funds avallablo under provisions of aection 32 of Pubtic Law 320 , Seventy-Fourth Congress, as amended (7 U.B.C. 6120), and not otherwise expended or necessary for such purposes to purchate, without regard to the provistons of existing law governing the expenditure of public funds, agrioultural commodities and their products of the types customarily purchased under section 32 (which may include seafood commodtties and their products) to maintatn the traditional tevel of anistance for food misistance programs as are authorized by law, including but not ilmited to distribution to needy famlites pending the trunsition to the food stamp program, Insticutions, supplementat foedtng programi wherever located, disarter rellef, inmmer camps for children, and the family commodity distribution program on Indian reservations not requesting a food stamp program, and (in) if atockt of the Commodity Credt Corporation are not avallabte, use the funds of the Corporation to purchese agricultural commodities and their producte of the types curtomarlly avallable under section 416 of the Agricultural Act of 1049 to meet such requirements.
(2) Notwithstanding any other proviafon of law, the Secrotary of Agrleulture ahall. turing ench of the two fiscal years begtrining July 1, 1975, and ending June 30, 1977, purchase agricultural commodities and otherwite carcy out the proviations of thls subsection with funds appropriated from the genernl fund of the Treasury. There are hereby authorined to be approprlated such funds ns may be necessary to earry out the provislons of this paragraph. Authority provided in this paragraph ahall be carried out only with such funds as are appropriated from the
general fund of the Treasury for that specte purpoee, and in no event shall it be oarried out with funds derived from permanent appropriations.
(17) Section 14 of the National School Lunch Act, as amended, which reads as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary, during the period beginning July 1,1974 , and ending June 30,1975 , shall-
(1) use funds avalinble to carry out the provintons of section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612e) whtch tre not expended or needed to carry out such proviBlons, to purchase (without regard to the provistons of existing law governing the expenditure of public funds) agricultural commodittes and thelr prodacts of the types customarily purchased under such section, for donation to maintain the annually programmed level of essistance for programs carried on under this Act, the Child Nutritlon Act of 1966, and title VII of the Older Americans Act of 1965; and
(2) If stocks of the Commodity Credit Corporation are not avallable, use the funds of such Corporation to purchase agricultural commodities and thelr products of the types customarily avallable under section 416 of the Agrtoulturnl Act of 1949 (7 U.S.O. 1431). for such donation.
3. In $\frac{1}{3} 250.4$, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:
§250.4 Availability of commodities.
(b) Quantities. The quantity of commodities to be made avallable for donation under this part shall be determined in accordance with the pertinent legislation and the program obligations of the Department, and shall be such as can be effectively distributed in furtherance of the objectlves of the pertinent legislation. Beginning October 10. 1974, the quantity of commodities to be made avallntie for any Federal fiscal year, or portion thereof, for distribution in any State to nutrition programs for the elderly shall be valued at not less than 10 cents for each meal which the State Agency on Aging, in accordance with current regulations and guidelines authorized by the Commissioner on Aging, estimates will be served within the State during the year. For the Federal fiscal year ending June 30,1975 , and subsequent fiscal years, the national average value of donnted foods distributed to schools, or cash payments in lieu thereof, shall not be less than 10 cents per lunch served. The value of commodities to be distributed to nutrition programs for the elderly and to schoois, or the amount of cash payments to be made to schools in lieu of commodities, shall be adjusted, on ant annual basts, the first day of each flscal year after Jume 30, 1975, to reflect changes in the series for food away from home of the Consumer Price Index pubHished by the Bureat of Labor Statisties of the Department of Labor. Such adjustment shall be computed to the nearest one-fourth cent. Any commodities made avallable as a grant or as a sale for forelgn currencies shall be made available to the maximum extent practicable for donation under this part. The Department may, at its discretion, re-
strict distribution of commodities to one or more classes of recipient agencies or recipients.
4. In ${ }^{8} 250.8$, subparagraph (b) (3) is revised to read as follows:
\& 250.8 Rligible recipient agencies.
(b) Institutions. * *
(3) Institutions which desire to recelve commodities under this part may employ food service management companies to conduct thelr feeding operations, provided that the institutions enter into written contracts with such companies. Contracts between institutions and food service management companies shall expressly provide that:
(i) Any commodities recelved by the Institution and made avaflable to the food service management company shall inure only to the benefit of the institution's feeding operation and shall be utilized therein; and
(ii) The books and records of the food service management company pertaining to the feeding operation of the institution shall be avallable for a period of three years from the close of the Federal fiscal year to which they pertain for inspection and sudit by representatives of the distributing agency, of the Department, and of the General Accounting Office at any reasonable time and place.

Nore: The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contalned herein have been approved by the Omice of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.
(Catalog of Federal Domestio Assistance Programs, No. 10.550, National Arohivea Reference Services).

This amendment shall become effective on January 24, 1975.

Dated: January 17, 1975.
Richard L. Feltaer, Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2216 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

ICHAPTER IX_AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## [Lemon Reg. 676] PART 910-LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA <br> Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Jan. 26-Feb. 1, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 910 . The quantity of lemons so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of lemons, the quantity of lemons currently available for market, the fresh market demand for

Lemons, lemon prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for lemons.
§910.976 Lemon Regulation 676.
(a) Findings, (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handing of lemons grown in Callfornia and Arizona, effective under the applicable provision of the Agricultural Marketting Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, estabiished under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Iemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared pollicy of the act.
(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of lemons that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situatlon confronting the lemon industry.
(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of lemons it deems advisable to be handled during the ensuing week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the demand for lemons at the beginning of this week was good, but is now showing an easier trend. Average f.o.b. price was $\$ 4.87$ per carton the week ended January 18,1975 , compared to $\$ 5.10$ per carton the previous week. Track and rolling supplies at 132 cars were up 8 cars from last week.
(ii) Having consildered the recommendatton and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of lemons which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.
(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to glve preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Fgderal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insuffclent, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to conslder supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regutation; interested persons were a?forded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted
to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section. including its effective time, are identical with the aforesald recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necesary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof, Such committee meeting was held on January 21, 1975.
(b) Order. (1) This quantity of Iemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period January 26, 1975, through February 1, 1975, is hereby fixed at 190,000 cartons.
(2) As used in this section, "handled". and "carton(s)" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.
(Secs, 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674))

Dated: January 22, 1975.

## Charles R. Brader,

 Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.[FR Doc.75-2379 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [Grapefruit Reg. 97] <br> PART 912-GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INDIAN RIVER DISTRICT IN FLORIDA Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of Florlda Indian River grapefruit that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Jan. 27Feb. 2, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No, 912. The quantity of grapefruit produced in the Indian River District in Florida so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Indian River grapefruit, the quantity currently avallable for market, the fresh market demand for Indian River grapefruit, Indian River grapefruit prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Florida grapefruit.

### 8912.397 Grapefruit Regulation 97.

(a) Findings, (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 912, as amended ( 7 CFR Part 912), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended ( 7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Indian River Grapefruit Committee, established under the
said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling such grapefrult, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.
(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of Indian River grapefruit that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Indian River grapefruit industry.
(1) The Committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the total quantity of grapefruit which it deems advisable to be handled during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The Committee further reports the market demand for Indian River grapefruit is about steady, with market supplies ample. Average $1.0 . \mathrm{b}$. prices per $4 / 5$ bushel carton for the week ended January 19, 1975, averaged $\$ 2.71$ for white seedless and $\$ 3.50$ for pink seedless grapefruit. Shipments for the week ended January 19, 1975, and for the previous week were 375 carlots and 500 carlots, respectively. On January 19, 1975, there were approximately 8,314 carlots of Indian River grapefruit remaining for interstate shipments, while 6,686 carlots have been shipped to date.
(ii) Having considered the recommendation and Information submitted by the committee, and other avallable informatton, the Secretary finds that the quantity of grapefruit which may be handled should be fixed as herelnafter set forth.
(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the pubHic interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Fbderal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared pollcy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the clrcumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Indlan River grapefruit and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting: the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, includding its effective time, are identical with the aforesald recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated
among handlers of such Indian Rtver grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 21, 1975.
(b) Order, (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District which may be handled during the period January 27, 1975, through February 2, 1975, is hereby fixed at 212,500 standard packed boxes.
(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Indian River District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.
(Seos, 1-19, 48 stat, 31, as amended; 7 U.B.C. 601-674)
Dated: January 22, 1975.
Charles R. Braber,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.
[FR Doo.75-2299 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Title 14-Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I-FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
[Alrworthiness Docket No, 74-WE-54-AD. Amdt. 39-20791

## PART 39-AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES AiResearch Model GTCP560-4 and -4R Auxiliary Power Units (APU)

Amendment 30-2064 ( 40 FR 1036) , AD 75-01-06, requires installation of a placard restricting use of the AiResearch Model GTCP660-4 and -4 R APU's during taxi or flight, a check of the adjustment of the fuel pump ultimate relief valve, and an initial and recurring inspection of the fuel pump body for presence of fatigue cracks. After issuing Amendment 39-2064, the agency has re-cvaluated the need for the restriction prohibiting operation of the APU during aircraft taxi, and concluded that this operation restriction may be removed without compromising the original intent of Amendment 39-2064. Therefore, AD $75-01-06$ is being amended to eliminate this taxi operation restriction and to correct an error in the APU serlal numbers.

Since this amendment relleves a restriction, and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), $\$ 39.13$ of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation

Regulations, Amendment 39-2064 (40 FR 1036), AD 75-01-06 is amended as follows:
(1) By amending the first paragraph of the AD to read:
Ameseanch Manupacturina Conepany or AmtzONA. Applies to model GTCP660-4 (prior to Serial No. P37808) and GTCP660-4R (prlor to Serlal No. P133) Auxillary Power Units installed in, but not limited to, Boeing B-747 airplanes, certifleated In all categorles
(2) By amending paragraph (a) (1) to read:
Within 15 days time in service after the effective date of thls AD, Install a placard in view of the filght crew to prohibit all Infight operation of the APU, Thereafter, the APU may not be used during filght but may be used for ground operations
This amendment becomes effective January $31,1975$.
(Sections 313 (a), 801, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1433): Bec. $6(\mathrm{c})$. Department of Transportation Aet ( 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ))

Issued in Los Angeles, California on January 16, 1975.

> Lrwn I. Hink, Acting Director, FAA Western Region.
[FR Doc.75-2187 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [Atrapace Docket No. 74-GL-40]

PART 71-DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of VOR Federal Airways

On November 11, 1974, a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was published in the Federal. Register (39 FR 39733 ) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would extend V-175 from Alexandria, Minn, via Park Rapids, Minn., Bemidif. Minn., to Roseau, Minn, and delete V-254 between Bemidji, Minn., and Roseau, Minn.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments recelved were favorable.

In consideration of the foregolng, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., Mareh 27,1975 , as herelnafter set forth.

Section 71.123 ( 40 FR 307, 39 FR 39261) is amended as follows:

1. In V-175 "Alexandria, Minn." is deleted and "Alexandria, Minn: Park Rapids, Minn; Bemidji, Minn; Roseau, Minn." is substituted therefor.

## 2. $V-254$ is revoked.

(Sec. $307(\mathrm{n})$, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)): sec. 6(c). Departmont of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January $17,1975$.

## Gordon E. Kewer, <br> Acting Chief, Airspace and Atr Trafic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-2192 Filed 1-23-75;3:45 am]

## [Alrspace Docket No, 74-OE-36]

PART 71-DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Revocation of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone.

On December 15, 1974, the weather services performed by the United States Air Force for the Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone were permanently' ceased. There is no other avallable source for the service. Accordingly, it is necessary to revoke the Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone designation effective immediately.

Since this revocation will eliminate designated control zone airspace at Leavenworth, Kansas, it will not impose any additional burden on any person. Therefore, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In conslderation of the foregolng. Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulathons is amended effective immediately as hereinafter set forth:

In 871.171 ( 39 F.R. 354), the following control zone is revoked: Leavenworth, Kansas.
(Sec. 307 (a), Federal Avtation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec, 6(c), Department of Traniportation Act ( 49 U.8.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})$ ) )
Issued in Kansas City, Missourl, on December 24, 1974.
A. L. Coulter,

Director, Central Region.
[FR Doc.75-2189 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am ]

## [Airapace Docket No. 75-80-2]

PART 71 -DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS
Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area
The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Atlanta, Ga. (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlants) control zone and the Atlanta, Ga., transition area.

The Atlanta (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta) control zone is described in $871 .-$ 171 (40 FR 354) and the Atlanta transition area is described in $\$ 71.181$ ( 40 FR 441). In both descriptions, reference is made to "NAS Atlanta TACAN," Since the name of the TACAN has been changed to "Dobbins," It is necessary to amend the descriptions to reflect this change. Since this amendment is edi-
torial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In conslderation of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

In 871.171 ( 40 FR 354 ), the Atlanta, Ga. (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta) control zone and in $\$ 71.181$ (40 FR 441), the Atlanta, Ga., transition area are amended as follows:
** * * NAS Atlanta TACAN * * ** is deleted and $* * *$ Dobbins TACAN $* * * *$ is substituted therefor.
(Sec. $307(\mathrm{a})$. Federal Aviation Aet of 1958 ( 49 U.s.C. $1348(\mathrm{a})$ ): sec, $6(\mathrm{t})$, Department of Trahrportation Aot ( 40 US.C. $1655(\mathrm{c}) 7)$
Issued in East Point, Ga., on Januuary $15,1975$.

Duane W. Freer, Acting Director, Southern Region.
[PR Doc.75-2190 Filed 1-23-75:8:45 am]

## [Alrspace Docket No. 75-sW-4]

PART 71-DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON. TROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

## Alteration of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Clinton, Okla. (Clin-ton-Sherman Alrport), transition area times of designation from part time to full time.
On June 2, 1970, a final rule was published in the Fedrral Register ( 35 FR 8475) designating the Clinton, Okla., transition area. Subsequently, on August 22, 1970, a final rule was published in the Federal Rectster ( 35 FR 13425) which redesignated the Clinton, Okla., transition area as the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area and designated the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton Municipal Airport), transition area.

The Clinton, Okla. (Ciinton-Shermnn Alrport), transition area was designated part time and effective during the specifio times established in advance by a Notice to Atrmen. The effective tate and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual. It has been determined that it woutd be advantageous to redesignate the Clinton, Okia. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area as full time. An appropriate Notlce to Airmen has been issued designating the transition area full time effective January 30, 1975. It is found that this situation will be in the best Interest of the public and will fmpose no undue burden on any person. Notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective January $30,1975$.

In consideration of the foregolng, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., January 30,1975 , as hereinafter set forth.

In ${ }^{5} 71.181$ ( 40 FR 441 ), the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area is amended by deleting "This transition area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual."
(Sec. 307(a), Federal Avlation Act of 1958 ( 49 US.C. 1348); sec. 6 (c), Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1065 (c)))

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on January $16,1975$.

## A. H. Thurbura,

 Acting Director, Southteest Region.[FR Doc.76-2191 Flied 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [Docket No, 14251; Amdt. 952]

PART 97-STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES
Recent Changes and Additions
This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP8) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Forms 3139, 8260-3, $8260-4$, or $8260-5$ and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual coples of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Document Inspection Facility, HQ-405, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591 or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85 . This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of $\$ 150$ per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional coples mailed to the same address may be ordered for $\$ 30$ each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by origlnating, amending, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.
Burlington, Iowa-Burlington Munielpal Arpt., VOR Rwy 30, Amdt. 5.
Cable, Wiso.-Cable-Unlon Arpt, VOR/DMBA, Orig.
Chleago (Wheeling), I11-Pal-Waukee Arpti VOR/DME Rwy 16, Amdt, 1.
Chloago (Wheeling), Il_Pal-Waukee Arpt, VOR Rwy 16, Amdt. 15.
Duluth, Minn,-Duluth Int', Arpt, VOR Rws 3. Amdt. 12.

Duluth, Minn- Duluth Int'I Arpt., VOR Rwy Rwy 21, Amdt. 7.
Eveleth, Minn.-Eveleth-Virginfs Municipal Arpt, VOR Rwy 27, Amdt. 5.
Grand Rapids, Minn,-Grand Rapids, Itaeca County Arpt, VOR Rwy 34, Amat. 3.
Hebron, Ohio-Buckeye Valley Arpt., VOR-A, Orig.
Jamestown, N.D.-Jamestown Municipni Arpt., VOR Rwy 12, Amdt. 3.
Jamestown, N.D.Jamestown Mrurielpat Arpt., VOR Rwy 30, Amdt. 4.
Iawrence, Kans.-Lawrence Munlelpas Arpte VORTAC-A, Amdt. 4.
Longview, Tex.-Gregg County Arpt., VOR Rwy 13 (TAC), Amdt. 12.
Lougview, Tex.-Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy, 31, Amdt, 4,
Iongvlew, Tox,-Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 35, Amdt. 2.
Muncie, Ind-Delaware County-Johnson Fleld, VOR Rwy. 14, Amdt. 8.
Muncie, Ind.-Delaware Cotunty-Johnson Field, VOR Rwy 20, Amdt. 5.
Mruncte. Ind-Delaware County-Johnson Flold, VOR Rwy 32, Amdt. 6.
Olympla, Wash-Olympia Arpt, VOR Rwy 17, Amdt. 6.

* . effective January 10, 1975.

Boston, Mass,-Gen. Bdward Lawrence Logan Int'1. Arpt, VOR Rwy 3at, Amdt. 13.
2. Section 97.25 is amended by origlnating, amending, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SLAPs, effective March 6, 1975.
Duluth, Minn.-Duluth Int'. Arpt., LOC (BC) Rwy 27, Amdt. 8.
Jamestown, N.D.-Jamentown Municipal Arpt., LOC/DME (BC) Rwy 12, Amdt. 1.
Longview, Tex.-Gregg County Arpt., LOC/ DME (BC) Rwy 31, Amdt. 1.
3. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SLAPs, effective March 6, 1975.
Burlington, Iowa-Burlington Munleipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 36, Amdt. 1.
Cable, Wlsc,-Cable-Union Arpt., NDB-A, Amdt. 3.
DeKalb, IIl-DeKalb Munclpal Arpt., NDB Rwy 27, Amdt. 1.
Duluth, Minn.-Duluth Int'1. Arpt., NDB Rwy 9, Amdt. 15.
Jamestown, N.D.-Jamestown Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 30, Amdt. 2.
Lawrence, Kans,-Lawrence Munlcipal Arpt, NDB- $\Lambda$, Amdt. 1.
Longylew, Tex.-Grege County Arpt., NDB Rwy 13, Amdt. 6.
Muncle, Ind-Delaware County, Johnson Pield, NDB Rwy 32, OrIg.
Parsons, Kans.-Tri-City Arpt., NDB Rwy 17, Amdt. 3.
Parsons, Kans.-Tri-Clty Arpt. NDB Rwy 35, Amdt. 1.

* . effective February 27, 1975.

Bavannah, Tenn.-Savannah Muntelpal Arpt. NDB Rwy 36, Orig.
. . . effective February 13, 1975.
Attanta, Ga.-Fulton County Arpt., NDB Rwy 8R, Amdt. 6.

* . effective January 13, 1975.

Chicago, II .-Chicago O'Hare Int'. Arpt.. NDB Rwy 32L, Amdt. 11.
4. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following IIS SLAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

Burlington, Iowa-Buriington Municlpal Arpt., ILS Rwy 36, Amdt. 1.
Duluth, Minn--Duluth Int1. Arpt., HS Rwy 9, Amdt. 10.
Jamestown, N.D-Jamestown Munlolpal Arpt, ILS Rwy 30, Amdt. 2.
Longview, Tex.-Gregg County Arpt., IIS Rwy 13, Amdt. 2.
Olympla, Wash.-Olympis Arpt., H.S Rwy 17, Amdt. 3.

* . effective January 13, 1975.

Chicago, IIl.-Chicago O'Hare Int'1. Arpt., \#1s Rwy 32L, Amdt. 12.
5. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RADAR SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

Duluth, Minn-Duluth Int'1, Arpt., RADAR1, Amdt. 9.

## * * effective Jarwary $10,1975$.

Boston, Mass.-Gen, Edward Lawrence Logan Int'1. Arpt, RADAR-2, Amdt. 3 .
6. Section 97.33 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

Burington, Iowa-Buritigton Munietpal Arpt, RNAV Rwy 18, Orig.
Cable, Wlec.-Cable-Union Arpt., RNAV Rwy 34, Orig.
Longview, Tex.-Gregg County Arpt, RNAV Rwy 22, Amdt. 1.
(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1948; 49 U.S.O. 1438, 1354, 1421, 1510; sec. $6(0)$, Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})$ and 5 U.S.C. 852 (a) (1))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 16, 1975.

James M. Vines,
Chief, Aircraft Programs Diviston.
NOTE: Incorporation by reference provisions in $\frac{85}{8} 97.10$ and 97.20 ( 35 FR 5610) approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969.
[FR Doc.75-2196 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Title 16 -Commercial Practices <br> CHAPTER I-FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. C-2581]
PART 13-PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES, AND AFFIRMATIVE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

## Century 21 Homes, Inc. et al.

Subpart-Advertising falsely or misleadingly: 813.73 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.73-92 Truth in Lending Act; $\$ 13.155$ Prices; 13.15595 Terms and conditions; 13.155-95(a) Truth in Lending Act. Subpart-Misrepresenting oneself and goods-Prices: \& 13.1823 Terms and conditions; 13.-

## RULES AND REGULATIONS

1823-20 Truth in Lending Act. Sub-part-Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements: 13.1852-75 Truth in Lending Act: $\$ 13.1905$ Terms and conditions; 13.1905-60 Truth in Lending Act.
(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721: 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply $800.5,38$ Stint. 719, hs amended; 82 Stat. 146, 147; 15 U.S.C. 45, 1601-1605) (Cease and denist order. Century 21 Homes, Ino., et nl. Portland, Ore., Docket C-2581, Oot. 22, 1074]
In the matter of Century 21 Homes, Inc., Centurion Properties, Inc., and Century 21 Properties, Inc., corporations, and David L. Oringdulph, individually and as an ofleer of satd corporattons, Ralph E. Fish, individually and as an offcer of Centurion Properties, Inc., and Philip G. Mullard, individually and as a former officer of Century 21 Properties, Inc.: and Century 21 Development, a joint venture, and John F. Weiser, individually and as general manager of Century 21 Development
Consent order requiring a Portland, Ore.. firm engaged in the construction, development and sale of residential real property among other things to cease violating the Truth in Lending Act by falling to disclose to consumers, in connection with the extension of consumer credit, such information as required by Regulation Z of the sild Act.
The order to cease and desist, IncludIng further order requiring report of compliance therewith is as follows:"
It is ordered. That respondents Century 21 Homes, Inc., Centurion Properties, Inc., and Century 21 Properties, Inc., corporations, and their officers, and David IL Oringdulph. Ralph E. Fish and Philip G. Mullard, individunlly and as offcers and former officers of said corporations, and Century 21 Development, a joint venture, and John F. Welser, individually and as general manager of Century 21 Development, and respondents' successors, assigns, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidlary, division, or other device, in connection with any extension or arrangement for the extension of consumer credit, or any advertisement to ald, promote or assist directly or Indirectly any extension of consumer credit, as "advertisement" and "consumer credit" are defined in Regulation Z (12 CFR 226) of the Truth in Lending Act. P.I. $90-321,15$ U.S.C. 1601 et seq.), do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Stating, in any such advertisement, the rate of any finnnce charge unless the rate of the finance charge is expressed as an "annual percentage rate," using that term, as required by $\$ 226.10$ (d) (1) of Regulation Z .
2. Representing in any such advertisement, directly or by implication, the
${ }^{1}$ Coptes of the Complnint \& Dectsion and order flled with the original document.
amount of the downpayment required or that no downpayment is required, the amount of any installment payment, the dollar amount of any finance charge, the number of installments or the period of repayment, or that there is no charge for credit, unless all of the following items are stated in terminology prescribed under $\$ 226.8$ of Regulation Z , as required by $\$ 226.10$ (d) (2) of Regulation Z:
a. The cash price:
b. The amount of the downpayment required or that no downpayment is required, as applicable:
c. The number, amount, and due dates or period of payments scheduled to repay the indebtedness if the credit is extended:
d. The amount of the finance charge expressed as an annual percentage rate; and
e. Except in the case of the sale of a dwelling or a loan secured by a first lien on a dwelling to purchase that dwelling. the deferred payment price or the sum of the payments, as applicable.
3. Falling, in any consumer credit transaction or advertisement, to make all disclosures, determined in accordance with 88.226 .4 and 226.5 of RegulaHon $Z$, at the time and In the manner, form and amount required by 85 226.6, 226.7, 226.8, 226.9 and 226.10 of Regulation $Z$.

It is $f$ urther ordered, That respondents dellyer a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of the preparation, creation or placing of advertising, to all persons engaged in reviewing the legal sumelency of advertising, and to all present and future agencles engaged in preparation, creation or placing of advertising on behalf of respondents, and that respondents secure from each such person and agency a signed statement acknowledging recelpt of sald order.
It is further ordered. That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in Century 21 Development or in a corporate respondent, such as dissolution, termination, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation or corporations, the creation or dissolution of subsidiarles, or any other change in such respondents as may nffect compliance obligations arising out of the order.
It is further ordered, That the individual respondents named hereln promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of their present business or employment and of their affiliation with a new business or employment, Such notice shall include respondents' current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which they are engaged, as well as a description of thelr duties and responsibilities.
It is further ordered. That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order,
fle with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detall the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Decision and order issued by the Commission Oct. 22, 1974.

Charles A. Tonin, Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2243 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [Docket No. 8946] <br> PART 13-PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

## Wriliam D. Campbell, Jr. et al.

Subpart-Advertising falsely or misleadingly: $\$ 13.10$ Advertising fatsely or misleadingly; $\$ 13.15$ Business status, advantages or connections: 13.15-225. Personnel or staff: $13.15-250$ Qualifications and sbilities: \& 13.71 Financing: $\$ 13.75$ Free goods or services; $\$ 13.155$ Prices: 13,155-5 Additional charges unmentioned; 13.155-10 Bait: 13.155-35 Discount savings: $13.155-40$ Exaggerated as regular and customary: $\$ 13.160$ Promotional sales plans: \$13.225 Servfoes; $\$ 13.240$ Special or limited offers. Subpart-Contracting for sale any evidence of indebtedness prior to specified time: $\$ 13.527$ Contracting for sale any evidence of indebtedness prior to specified time. Subpart-Corrective actions and/or requirements: $\$ 13.533$ Correctipe actions and/or requirements; 13.53310 Corrective ndvertising: $13.533-20$ Disclosures; 13.533-40 Furnishing information to media; $13.533-45$ Maintain records: $13.533-45(\mathrm{k})$ Records, in general. Subpart-Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc.: 813.1042 Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc. Subpart-Failing to maintain records: $\$ 13.1051$ Failing to maintain records: $13.1051-20$ Adequate. Sub-part-Misrepresenting oneself and goods-Business status, advantages or connections: $\$ 13.1520$ Personnel or staff: 13.1535 Qualtficattons, -Goods: \$13.1625 Free goods or services: \&13.174 Special or limited offers. -Prices: \& 13.1778 Additional costs unmentloned; $\$ 13.1779$ Balt: $\$ 13.1805$ Exnggerated as regular and customary: $\$ 13.1820$ Retail as cost, etc., or discounted. -Promotional sales plans: \& 13.1830 Promotional sales plans. Sub-part-Noglecting, unfairly or deceptiveIy, to make materlal disclosure: $\$ 13.1852$ Formal reguiatory and statutory requirements: 13.1852-75 Truth in Lending Act: \$13.1855 Identity; \$13.1882 Prices: 13.1882-10 Additional prices unmentioned: $\$ 13.1893$ Sales contract. right-to-cancel provtion: \$13.1905 Terms and conditions; $13.1905-50$ Sales contract; $13.1905-60$ Truth in Lending Act.
(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.B.C. 46, Interprets or applies sec. 5,38 Stat, 719, ns amended: 15 U.S.C 45) (Cease and destst order, WIIItam D. Campbell, Jr, et al., trading as Rhode Inland Carpeta, Mt. Ralniter, Md., Docket 8946, Oot. 1, 1974]

In the matter of William D. Campbell, $J r_{\text {., and }}$ Jack S. Owens, individually, trading and doing business as Rhode Istand Carpets
Consent order requiring a Mt. Rainier, Md ., distributor and installer of carpeting and floor coverings, among other things to cease using bait and switch tactics; disparaging merchandise; misrepresenting sale prices; falling to maintain adequate records: misrepresenting the qualifications of its staff; failling to set forth contracts in the principal language used in sales presentations (e.g., Spanish): and falling to inform customers of their right to a three-day coolingoff period during which they may cancel their contract with full refund rights. Further, respondent is required to place a notice in all future advertising that F.T.C. has found that they have engaged in bait and switch tactics.

The order to cease and desist, IncludIng further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

## I

It is ordered, That respondents William D. Campbell, Jr., and Jack S. Owens, Individually, trading and doing business as Rhode Island Carpets or under any other names, and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device. In connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, distribution or installation of carpeting and floor coverings, or any other article of merchandise, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and destst from:

1. Using, in any manner, a sales plan, scheme, or devlee whereln false, misleading, or deceptive statements or representations are made in order to obtain leads or prospects for the sale of carpeting or other merchandise or services,
2. Making representations, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, purporting to offer merchandise for sele when the purpose of the representation is not to sell the offered merchandise but to obtain leads or prospects for the sale of other merchandise at higher prices.
3. Disparagting in any manner, or dlscouraging the purchase of any merchandise or services which are advertised or offered for sale.
4. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or $\ln$ writing, that any merchandise or services are offered for sale when such offer is not a bona fide offer to sell such merchandise or services.
5. Fafling to maintain and produce for Inspection and copying for a period of three years following the date of publication of any advertisement, adequate records to document for the entire perlod during which each advertisement was run and for a period of six weeks after the termination of its publication in press or broadeast media:

[^0]a. The cost of publishing each advertisement including the preparation and dissemination thereof;
b. The volume of sales made of the ndvertised product or service at the advertised price; and
c. A computation of the net proflt from the sale of each advertised product or service at the advertised price.
6. Using the words "Sale", "Low, Low Discount Prices", or any other word or words of similar import or meaning not set forth specifically herein, unless the price of such merchandise or service beIng offered for sale constitutes a reduction, in an amount not 50 insignificant as to be meaningless, from the actual bona fide price at which such merchandlse or service was sold or offered for sale to the public on a regular basis by respondents for a reasonably substantial period of time in the recent, regular course of their business.
7. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing. that any price amount is respondents' regular price for any article of merchandise or service unless said amount is the price at which such merchandise or service has been sold or offered for sale by respondents for a reasonably substantial period of time In the recent, regular course of their business and not for the purpose of establishing fictitious higher prices upon which a deceptive comparison or a "free" or similar offer might be based.
8. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a purchaser of respondents' merchandise or services will receive a "free" vacuum cleaner or any other "free" merchandise, service, prize or award unless all conditions, obligations, or other prerequisites to the recelpt and retention of such merchandise, services, glfts, prizes or awards are clearly and conspicuously disclosed at the outset in close conjunction with the word "free" wherever it first appears in each advertisement or offer.
9. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that any merchandise or service is furnished "free" or at no cost to the purchaser of advertised mechandise or services, when, in fact, the cost of such merchandise or service is regularly included in the selling price of the advertised merchandise or service.
10. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a "free" offer is being made in connection with the introduction of new merchandise or services offered for sale at a specified price unless the respondents except, in good faith, to discontinue the offer after a limited time and commence selling such merchandise or service, separately, at the same price at which it was sold with a "free" offer.
11. Representing, directly or Indirectly, orally or in writing, that merchandise or service is being offered "free" with the sale of merchandise or service which is usually sold at a price arrived at through bargaining, rather than at a regular price, or where there may be a regular price, but where other material factors such as quantity, quality, or size are arrived at through bargaining.
12. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a "free" offer is available in a trade area for more than six (6) months in any twelve (12) month period. At least thirty ( 30 ) days shall elapse before another such "free" offer is made in the same trade area. No more than three such "free" offers shall be made in the same area in any twelve (12) month period. In such period, respondent's sale in that area of the product or service in the amount, size, or quallty promoted with the "free" offer shall not exceed 50 percent of the total volume of its sales of the product or service, in the same amount, slze or quality. in the area.
13. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a product or service is being offered as a "gift", "without charge", "bonus", or by other words or terms which terid to convey the impression to the consuming public that the article of merchandise or service is free, when the use of the term "free" in relation thereto is prohibited by the provisions of this order.
14. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a stated price for carpeting or floor coverings includes the cost of a separate padiding and the Installation of such padding and carpeting thereof. unless in every instance where it is so represented the stated price for floor covering does, in fact, include the cost of such separate padding and installation thereof: or misrepresenting in any manner, the prices, terms, or conditions under which respondents supply separate padding and provide installation in connection with the sale of floor coyering products.
15. Representing, directly or Indirectly, orally or in writing, that respondents employ or have available for prospective customers a trained, qualified interior decorator: or misrepresenting in any manner, the training or qualifications of any of respondents' employees, agents, or representatives.
16. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that purchasers of respondents' products are granted easy or assured credit terms by financial institutions with which respondents deal; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the amount, type, extent or any other facet of the credit terms respondents arrange or may arrange for their purchasers.
17. Contracting for any sale whether in the form of trade acceptance, conditional sales contract, promissory note, or otherwise which shall become binding on the buver prior to midnight of the third day, excluding Sundays and legal holidays. after the date of execution.
18. Failing to furnich the buver with a fully completed receipt or copy of any contract pertaining to such sale at the time of its execution, which is in the same language, e.g., Spanish, is that principally used in the oral sales presentation and which shows the date of the transaction and contains the name and address of the seller, and fn fmmediate proximity to the space reserved in the contract for the signature of the
buyer or on the front page of the receipt If a contract is not used and in bold face type of a minimum size of 10 points, a statement in substantially the following form:

You, the buyer, may cancel this transaction at any time prior to midnight of the third businens day after the date of this transaction. See the attached notice of cancellation form for an explanation of this right.
19. Falling to furnish each buyer, at the time he signs the sales contract or otherwise agrees to buy consumer goods or services from the seller, a completed form in duplicate, captioned "Notice of cancellation", which shall be attached to the contract or recelpt and easily detachsble, and which shall contain in ten point bold face type the following information and statements in the same language, e.g., Spanish, as that used in the contract:

Nomes or Cancellation-
[enter date of transaction]
(Date)
You may cancel this transaction, without any penalty or obligation, within three buainess days from the above date.

If you eancel, any property traded in, any payments made by you under the contract or sale, and any negotiable instrument executed by you will be returned within 10 business days following receipt by the seller of your cancellation notice, and any security interest arlaing out of the transaction will be cancelled.
If you cancel, you must make avallable to the seller at your residence, in substantially as good condition as when recelved, any goods delivered to you under this contract or sale; or you may if you-wish, comply with the instructions of the seller regarding the return shipment of the goods at the seller's expense and risk.

If you do make the goods avallable to the seller and the seller does not pick them up within 20 days of the date of your notice of cancellatton, you may retain or dispose of the goods without any further obligation. If you fall to make the goods avallable to the seller, or if you agree to return the goods to the seller and fall to do so, then you remain liable for performance of all obligations under the contract.

To cancel thls transaction, mall or delfver a signed and dated copy of this cancellation notice or any other written notice, or send a telegram, to ........................................ at

> (Name of seller)
(uddress of seller's place of business) not later than midnight of …….....

I hereby cancel this transaction.

## (Date)

(Buyer's signature)
20. Failing, before furnishing copies of the "Notice of Cancellation" to the buyer, to complete both copies by entering the name of the seller, the address of the seller's place of business, the date of the third business day following the date of the transaction, by which the buyer may give notice of cancellation.
21. Including in any sales contract or receipt any confession of judgment or any walver of any of the rights to which the buyer is entitled under this order including specifically his right to cancel
the sale in accordance with the provislons of this order.
22. Fafling to inform each buyer orally, at the time he signs the contract or purchases the goods or services, of his right to cancel.
23. Misrepresenting, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, the buyer's right to cancel.
24. Falling or refusing to honor any valid notice of cancellation by a buyer and within 10 business days after the receipt of such notice, to (i) refund all payments made under the contract or sale; (ii) return any goods or property traded in, in substantially as good condition as when received by the seller; (iil) cancel and return any negotiable instrument executed by the buyer in connection with the contract or sale and take any action necessary or appropriate to terminate promptly any security interest created in the transaction.
25. Negotiating, transferring, selling, or assigning any note or other evidence of indebtedness to a finance company or other third party prior to midnight of the fifth business day following the day the contract was signed or the goods or services were purchased.
26. Failing, within 10 business days of receipt of the buyer's notice of cancellation, to notify him whether the seller intends to repossess or to abandon any shipped or delivered goods,
27. Advertising the price of carpet, etther separately or with padding and installation included, for specified areas of coverage without disclosing in immediate conjunction and with equal prominence the square yard price for additional cuantitles of such carpet with padding and installation needed.
28. Advertising any carpeting or floor covering using a unit of measurement not usually and customarily employed in the retail advertising of carpet or which tends to exaggerate the size or quantity of carpeting or floor covering being of fered at the advertised price.

Provided, however, That nothing contained in this order shall relieve respondents of any additional obligations respecting contracts required by federal law or the law of the state in which the contract is made. When such obligations are inconsistent, respondents can apply to the Commission for relief from this provision with respect to contracts executed in the state in which such different obligations are required. The Commission, upon showing, shall make such modiffications as may be warranted in the premises.
It is further ordered, That the respondents forthwith cease and desist from dissemination, or causing the dissemination of, any advertisement of merchandise except advertising in connection with respondent Campbell's operation of a retail liquor store, by means of newspapers, or other printed media, television or radio, or by any means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, unless respondents clearly and conspicuously disclose in each advertisement the following notice set off from the text of the advertisement by a black border:

The Federal Trade Commlssion has found that we engage m batt and switch advertising; that is, the saleaman makes it difticult to buy the advertised product and he attempts to switch you to a higher priced Item.
One year from the date this order becomes final or any time thereafter, respondents upon showing that they have discontinued the practices prohibited by this order and that the notice provision Is no longer necessary to prevent the continuance of such practices may petition the Commission to waive compliance with this order provision.

It is further ordered, That respondents shall maintain for at least a one (1) year perfod, following the effective date of this order, copies of all advertisements, including newspaper, radio and television advertisements, direct mail and instore solfcitation literature, and any other such promotional material utilized for the purpose of obtaining leads for the sale of carpeting or floor coverings, or utilized in the advertising, promotion or sale of carpeting or floor coverings and other merchandise.

It is further ordered, That respondents, for a period of one (1) year from the effective date of this order, shall provide each advertising agency utilized by respondents and each newspaper publishing company, television or radio staHon or other advertising medis which is utilized by the respondents to obtain leads for the sale of carpeting or floor coverings and other merchandise, with a copy of the Commisston's News Release setting forth the terms of this Order.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the offering for sale, sale of any product, consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of preparation, creation, or placing of advertising, and that respondents secure a sigmed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered. That the individual respondents, Wiliam D. Campbell, $\mathrm{Jr}_{\text {, }}$ and Jack S . Owens promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of thefr present business or employment and of their aftiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondents' current business addresses and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which they are engaged as well as a description of their duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (80) days after service upon it of this order file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with this order.

The Decision and Order was Issued by the Commission, October 1, 1974.

> Charles A. Tobin, Secretary.
[PR Doe.75-2244 Filed 1-29-75;8:45 am]

## Title 17-Commodity and Securities

 Exchanges
## CHAPTER $\|$-SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## [Release No. 34-11187]

PART 240-GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

## Financial Statements Furnished to Customers of Broker-Dealers

The Securlties and Exchange Commlssion today announced the adoption of an amendment to paragraph ( n ) of rule 17a- 5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The adoption of this amendment follows the Commission's consideration of comments received in connection with the proposal to adopt the amendment announced in Securitles Exchange Act Release No. 11017. This amendment modifles the present requirements of paragrapk ( n ) and requires all brokerdealers subject to paragraph (k) of rule $17 \mathrm{a}-5$ to furnish customers annually one unaudited report of financial condition and certain information concerning the firm's net capital in lieu of the quarterly reports prevlously required by paragraph ( $n$ ). In addition, paragraph ( $m$ ) of the rule presently requires brokerdealers to furnish an audited statement of financial condition and other speciffed information annually. Thus, under the revised rule, customers would recelve two financial reports from broker-dealers annually approximately 6 months apart, one audited and one unaudited.
The Commission belfeves that it is important that customers of broker-dealers receive the information set forth in paragraphs ( $m$ ) and ( n ) of the rule concerning the financial and operating condition of the broker-dealer to whom they entrust their funds and securities. The Commission is also of the view that customers of the broker-dealer will be provided with sufficient information to enable them to judge the financial cond!tion of the broker-dealer to whom they entrust their funds and securities if the broker-dealer is required to provide an unaudited report pursuant to paragraph (n) in addition to the annual audited report required pursuant to paragraph (m) of the rule. The amendment would provide that such unaudited statement will be as of a date approximately 6 months after the date of the audited statement.

## Commission Action

Pursuant to sections 15 (c) (3), 17 (a) and 23 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Securitles and Exchange Commission hereby amends $\$ 240.17 \mathrm{a}-$ $5(\mathrm{n})$ of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:
§ $240.17 \mathrm{a}-5$ Reports to be made by certain exchange nuembers, brokers and dealers.
(n) Every member, broker or dealer who is subject to paragraphs (k), (1) and (m) of this rule shall furnish customers (as defined in paragraph (0) of this rule)
and shall file with the Regional Omce of the Commission for the region in which the member, broker or dealer has his principal place of business and with the national securities exchange and the national securities association of which he is a member (or, if he is not a member, only with the Commission) not later than 285 days from the date of the audited statements required by paragraph (m) of this rule, an unaudited statement containing the information specified in subparagraphs (m) (1) and (m) (2) of this rule which shall be as of the date of the member's, broker's or dealer's fiscal period which ends nearest to 6 months from the date of the audited statements required to be furnished to customers pursuant to paragraph (m) of this rule.
(Secs. $15(\mathrm{c})(3), 17(\mathrm{a}), 23(\mathrm{a}), 48$ Stat, 895 , 897, 901, secs. 3, 4, 8, 49 Stat. 1377, 1379, secs. 2, 5, 52 Stat. 1075,1076, see. 7 (d) , 84 stat. 1653, $15 \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S.C} .780$ (c) (3), 78q(a), 78 w )

## By the Commisston.

Dated: January 17, 1975.
[seal]
Shirley E. Hollis, Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2241 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Title 20-Employees' Benefits

CHAPTER III-SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
[Regs. No. 5, further amended]

## PART 405-FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THE AGED AND DISABLED

Subpart M-Conditions for Coverage of Services of Independent Laboratories; Correction
A revision of Subpart M of Regulations No. 5, relating to conditions for coverage of services of independent laboratories under Medicare, and a preamble discussing comments received in response to a notice of such proposed revision, were published in the Fedzral Register of September 19, 1974 ( 39 FR 33690)

In the preamble published at that time, Paragraph 5 of the list of changes made in the proposed revision as a result of comments, seems to suggest that a laboratory may not refer tests to another laboratory. Therefore, to more precisely reflect the provisions of the regulations, such paragraph 5 of the preamble to the regulations is revised to read as follows:
5. On $\$ 405.1314$ (Cilnical laboratories; tests performed), we recelved several commonts expressing concern over the proposed regulation which allowed laboratorles to be certined in a specially or subspectalty even though it was not performing teste in that specialty or subspeclalty. We agree that a taboratory should be required to perform tests in all spectalties and subspecialties for which it in certified. Therofore, we have deleted the portion of proposed If 405.1314 which permitted approvai of a laboratory for a specinity or subspecinity even though teats in such speclalty or subspecialty were not performed. Eifective October 21, 1974, Medicare will approve independent laboratorlea only for specialties or subspectalties in which they are actually pertorming tests. However,
a laboratory may continue to refor testa in a particular speclalty or subspecialty to a laboratory which is certified under Medicare to perform tests in such specialty or subspecialty regardless of whether the reforring laboratory is approved for such speolalty or subspectaity. Thls brings the Medicsre regulations into line with the regulations of the Center for Disease Control in this area.
Also, the regulations published at that time contained the following minor errors:

1. As a result of numerous comments regarding the meaning of "significant volume of diagnostic tests," $\$ 405.1310(\Omega)$ was revised by deleting "performs a significant volume of" and substituting "accepts at least 100 specimens in any category during any calendar year." However, the phrase "diagnostic tests" should also have been deleted. Section $405.1310(\mathrm{a})$ should read as set forth below.
2. Since several professlonal groups had contended and we had agreed that predegree experience was just as valld as postdegree experience, we intended to delete the portion of $\$ 405.1310$ (d) containing the limitation on predegree training. However, the phrase "or prior to qualifying as a technologist under \& 405.$1315(b)$ (4)" was inadvertently included. Section 405.1310 (d) should read as set forth below.

## §405.1310 Definitions.

For purposes of this Subpart M, the following definitions apply:
(a) Independent laboratory. An Independent laboratory performing diagnostic tests means one which is independent both of the attending or consulting physiclan's office and of a hospltal which meets at least the requirements specifled in section 1861(e) of the Act to qualify for payment for emergency hospital services under section 1814(d) of the Act. A laboratory which: (1) is located in a hospital which meets at least the requirements specified in section 1861(e) of the Act to quallify for payment for emergency hospital services under section 1814(d) of the Act or, if outside the hospital, is operated under the supervision of the hospital or its organized medical staff, and (2) serves the hospital's patients, is not an independent laboratory. Services furnished by out-of-hospital laboratories under the direction of a physician, such as a pathologist, are considered to be subject to the conditions where the physician holds himself and the facilities of his office out to other physicians as being available for the performance of diagnostic tests. A Iaboratory maintained by a physictan for performing dlagnostic tests for his own patients is exempt from the conditions unless such laboratory accepts at least 100 specimens in any category during any calendar year on referral from other physicians. For purposes of this paragraph a category shall be one of the following: (1) Microblology and serology; (ii) clinical chemistry: (iii) immunohematology; (Iv) hematology; (v) pathology; (v1) radiobioassay.
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(d) Subsequent to graduation. The phrase "subsequent to graduation" means laboratory training and experience acquired after receipt of the degree specified. However, for purposes of \& 405.1313 or $\$ 405.1313$, experience as a technologist in an approved clinical laboratory, which was gained prior to acquirIng such degree, may be substituted on an equivalency basis of 1.5 years of such experience for every 1 year of postdegree training and experience; and experlence as a general supervisor in an approved clinical laboratory, which was gained prior to acquiring such degree, may be substituted on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Since we received numerous comments regarding possible confusion in the numbering scheme used in \& 405:1315, we revised the numbering to provide for a separate paragraph for each category of technical personnel, by qualifications and duties. However, the phrase "In the case of a laboratory technologist or cytotechnologist not meeting the training and experlence requirements defined in paragraph (b) (1) or (2) of this section" was inadvertently included. It is superfluous, and \& 405.1315 (c) (3) should read as follows:
§405.1315 Condition-clinieal laboratory; technical personnel.
(c) . . .
(3) Achieves a satisfactory grade in a proficiency examination approved by the Secretary. However, after December 31, 1977, Initial certfication as a cytotechnologist must be in accordance with paragraph (c) (1) or (2) of this section.
(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.800, Health Insurance for the Aged-Hospltal Insurance)

Approved: January 17, 1975.
Thomas S. McFee,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Management Planning and Technology.
[FR Doc.75-2250 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]
Title 21-Food and Drugs
CHAPTER I-FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER C-DRUGS
PART 135-NEW ANIMAL DRUGS
SUBPART C- SPONSORS OF APPROVED
PART 135 e-NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

## Tylosin

The Commissloner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (98-595V) flled by Walnut Grove Products, Division of W. R. Grace \& Co., Atlantic, IA 50022 , proposing safe and effective use of a tylosin premix in making swine feed. The application is spproved.

To facilitate referencing, the firm is being assigned a sponsor code number sand placed in the list of firms in 21 CFR 135.501(c).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512 (i), 82 Stat. $347 ; 21$ U.S.C. $360 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{D})$ ) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Chapter I of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended in Parts 135 and 135 e as follows:

1. In Part $135, \$ 135.501$ (c) is amended by inserting numerically a new sponsor 132 as follows:
\& 135.501 Names, addresses, and code
numbers of sponsors of approved
applications.
(c) . .

Code no.

> Firm name and address

132
*

Walnut Grove Products, Division of W. R. Grace \& Co., 201 Itnn St., Atlantio, IA 50022.
2. In Part $135 \mathrm{e}, \$ 135 \mathrm{e} .10$ is amended by adding paragraph (b) (28) to read as follows:

## \$ 135e. 10 Tylosin.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (b) } \\
& \text { (28) To 132: } 4 \text { grams per pound; item }
\end{aligned}
$$ 4.

Effective date. This order shall be effective January 24, 1975.
(Sec, 512(1), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360 (b))
Dated: January 17, 1975.
Fred J. Kingma,
Acting Director, Bureau, of
Veterinary Medicine. Veterinary Medicine.
[FR Doc.75-2205 Flled 1-23-75:8:45 am]
SUBCHAPTER D-DRUGS FOR HUMAN USE PART 449-ANTIFUNGAL ANTIBIOTICS

## Certification of Nystatin Lotion

In the Federal Register of November 5, 1970 ( 35 FR 17069), the Food and Drug Administration published its conclusion regarding Nysta-Dome Lotion containing nystatin marketed by Dome Laboratories, Division of Miles Laboratories, Inc., 125 West End Ave., New York, NY 10023. The notice stated that the Food and Drug Administration had evaluated reports received from the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council and concluded that this preparation is effective for the treatment of cutaneous and mucocutaneous mycotic Infections caused by Candida specles (Monilia). Affected firms were requested to submit amendments to their antibiotic applications to provide for revised labeling.

Since the manufacturer has now provided labeling information in accordance with the reevaluation of the drug published in the Federal Reciszer of November 5, 1970, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs concludes that the antiblotic regulations should be amended as set forth below to provide for the certification of this drug.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357 ) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner ( 21 CFR 2.120), Part 449 is amended by adding a new section to read as follows:

### 8449.550 h Nystatin lotion.

(a) Requirements for certification-(1) Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity. Nystatin lotion is composed of nystatin with one or more suitable and harmiess suspending agents, emulsifiers, surfactants, and preservatives in a suitable and harmless vehicle. Each milliliter contains 100,000 units of nystatin. Its potency is satisfactory if it is not less than 90 percent and not more than 140 percent of the number of units of nystatin that it is represented to contain. Its pH is not less than 5.5 and not more than 7.5. The nystatin used conforms to the standards prescribed by $\$ 449.50(\mathrm{a})$ (1), except safety.
(2) Labeling. It shall be labeled in accordance with the requirements of $\$ 432.5$ of this chapter.
(3) Requests for certification; samples. In addition to the requirements of $\$ 431.1$ of this chapter, each such request shall contain:
(1) Results of tests and assays on:
(a) The nystatin used in making the batch for potency, loss on drying, pH , and Identity.
(b) The batch for potency and pH .
(ii) Samples required:
(a) The nystatin used in making the batch: 10 packages, each containing approximately 300 milligrams.
(b) The batch: A minimum of five immediate containers.
(b) Tests and methods of assay-(1) Potency. Proceed as directed in \$ 436.105 of this chapter, preparing the sample for assay as follows: Place an accurately measured representative portion of the semple into a high-speed glass blender jar containing sufficient dimethylformamide to give a convenient concentration. Blend for 3 to 5 minutes. Remove an alicuot and dilute with sufficient dimethylformamide to yield a stock solution containing 400 units of nystatin per mil11liter (estimated). Further dilute with 10 percent potasslum phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 6), to the reference concentration of 20 units of nystatin per milliIiter (estimated).
(2) pH . Proceed as directed in $\$ 436.202$ of this chapter, using the undiluted sample.
Since the conditions prerequisite to providing for certification of subject antibiotic have been complied with, and since the matter is noncontroverslal, notice and public procedure and delayed effective date are not prereguisites to this promulgation.
Effective date. This order shall become effective on January 24, 1975.
Dated: January 17, 1975.

> Mary A. McEniry,
> Assistant to the Director for Regulatory Aflairs, Bureau of Drugs.
[FR Doc.75-2213 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Titte 23-Highways

CHAPTER I-FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Subchaptor H-Right-of-Way and Environment

## PART 713-RIGHT-OF-WAY-THE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT FUNCTION Applicability

This will amend the regulations of the Federal Highway Administration by revising \& $713.302(\mathrm{c})$ of 23 CPR 713 , Subpart C. Subpart C, dealing with the disposal of rights-of-way, was published in the Federal Recister on September 27, 1974 (39 FR 34654). Section 713.302(c) is amended to elarify the nonapplicability of the regulation to real property acquired for planned highway purposes but not used because of a determination not to construct the planned highway facllity.

The proposed regulation will codify a revision of paragraph 2(c) of Volume 7, Chapter 4, section 2 of the Federal Highway Program Manual.

Section 713.302 (c) is hereby revised to read as follows:
§713.302 Applicability.
(c) The provistons of this section do not apply:
(1) Where whole sections of the Interstate System are withdrawn under the provisions of 23 U.S.C. $103(\mathrm{e}$ ) (2) and (4), or
(2) Where real property has been acquired for planned highway purposes, but because of environmental concerns, widespread public objections, or other similar considerations, the State highway department (SHD) or other appropriate State authority determines not to construct the planned highway facility.

This revision will take effect immediately.

Issued on January 20, 1975.
Norbert T. Ttemann,
Federal Highway Administrator.
[FR Doc.75-2202 Fited 1-23-75:8:45 am]

## Title 40-Protection of Environment CHAPTER I-ENVIRONMENTAL. PROTECTION AGENCY [FRL 325-4] <br> SUBCHAPTER C-AIR PROGRAMS <br> PART 52-APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS California

The section entitled "Control strategy: Photochemical oxidants (hydrocarbons) and carbon monoxdde" and designated as $\$ 52.240$ in the June 22, 1973 Fedrral Rearstar ( 38 FR 16564) is redesignated R25 $\$ 52.269$.

Dated: January 20, 1975.
Roger Strelow, Assistant Administrator for Air and Waste Management.
[FR Doo.75-2181 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 49-Transportation
CHAPTER X-INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION
SU日CHAPTER A-GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS
[8. O. 1208]

## PART 1033-CAR SERVICE Reading Co.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 3, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 21st day of January 1975.
It appearing, that the Lehigh and New England Rallway Company (LNE) has notifled the Commission that, on or before January 24, 1975, it will be unable to transport the traffic offered it because its cash position makes continued operation impossible; and that, accordingly, the LNE has placed its embargo No, 1-75 against all traffic, effective January 7, 1975;
It further appearing, that the imminent cessation of all transportation services by the LNE constitutes an emergency situation such as that contemplated by section 1(16) (b) of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 1(16)), as amended, by section 601(e) of the Regional Rall Reorganization Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-236) : and that section authorizes the Commission under certain prescribed conditions, to direct a carrier or carriers by railroad to perform essential transportation services which another carrier is no longer able to perform;
It further appearing, that the legislative history to section 1 (16) (b) indicates that its purpose is to assure the continuance of essential rall service for a perlod of sixty days, or in extraordinary circumstances for an extended period not to exceed 240 days, in the event that a rallroad is required to cease operation under conditions described in the Act; and that such authority was intended as an interim emergency measure and not as a permanent solution;
It further appearing, that in determining whether the LNE should be operated pursuant to the authority of section 1 (16) (b) and in its planning therefore, the Commission, consistent with Congressional intent and the provisions of the Emergency Rail Services Act of 1970 ( 45 U.S.C. 661), has coordinated its activities with the Department of Transportation and has been in consultation with representatives of the United States Railway Association, among others:
It further appearing, that the Commisslon has determined that based upon the statute and the directives contained in the legislative history of section 1 (16) (b) of the Act, the operation of the lines of the LNE is necessary and such operation is in the public interest; that the Commission considered many factors, ineluding but not limited to: the transportation requirements of the patrons of the LNE, the economic impact of a discontinuance of service, the amount of originating and terminating traffic on individual lines, transportation require-
ments of connecting carriers, condition of track, alternative carriers and transportation modes, and net operating revenues attributable to individual lines; and that, the Commission should direct a carrier to operate over the lines of the LNE:

It further appearing, that the Reading Company, Andrew L. Lewis, Jr., and Joseph I. Castle, Trustees (Rdg) should be directed to provide the services herein determined to be essential in the public interest, which were formerly performed by the LNE, because, among other things, the Rdg's proximity to the lines of the LNE, the volume of the traffic LNE interchanges with the Rdg, Its familiarity with the operation of the LNE and its willingness and ability to perform the services required for shippers:

It further appearing, that the performance of the operations directed herein will not substantially impair the Rdg's ability adequately to serve its own patrons or to meet its outstanding common carrler obllgations; that the performance of the directed operation should not violate the provisions of the Federal Rallroad Safety Act of 1970 ( 45 U.S.C. 421) :

It further appearing, that in light of the emergency situation which would result from a cessation of all transportation service by the LNE, public notice and hearings are impractical and not required by the procedures set forth in section $1(15)$ of the Act; that the public interest requires the continuation of operation over certain lines of the LNE by the Rdg for a period of operation of 60 days as provided by section 1(16) (b) of the Act; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon the date served;

It further appearing, that the Rdg is presently a rallroad in reorganization under section 77 of the Bankruptcy Act (11 U.S.C. 205) subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania: and that, accordingly, approval of sald court may be necessary for the implementation of this order; and

It further appearing, and the Division so finds, that this decision is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969;

It further appearing, and the Division so finds, that cessation of service by the LNE would have serious economic consequences not only to the patrons of the LNE but also to the communities located within the area; and for good cause appearing therefore:
§ 1033.1203 Service Order No. 1203.
(a) Reading Company, Andrew L. Lewts, Jr., and Joseph L, Castle, Trustees, directed to operate certain portions of Lehigh and New England Railway Company. It is ordered, That the Reading Company, Andrew I. Lewis, Jr., and Joseph L. Castle, trustees (Rdg), be, and it is hereby directed to enter upon that portion of the Tamaqua branch of the Lehigh and New England Rallway (LNE) extending between milepost 2.20
east of Hauto, Pennsylvania, and a connection with the Feading Company at milepost 6.55 in the vieinity of Tamaqua, Pennsylvania, and to operate such railroad and facilities subject to any necessary approval of the reorganization court of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of PennsyIvania, for the purpose of handling, routing, and moving the traffic of the Lehfgh and New Fingland Railway Company in accordance with the lawful instructions of shlppers and consignees and in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Commission, and subject to the rates and charges prescribed in tariffs lawfully published and flled fn accordance with law and applicable to freight transported over the lines of the Lehigh and New England Rallway Company: that such entry and operations shall commence on or before 12:01 am . , January 24,1975 , and shall continue for a period of 60 days, unless such period is reduced by order of the Commission or unless further extended by order of the Commission, for cause shown, for an additional designated period; and that a certifled copy of the order of the courtnuthorlaing the Reading Company to perform the directed service pursuant to the order of the Commission shall be tled with this Commission, with approprlate reference to this proceeding:
(b) It is further ordered, That the Lehigh and New England Raflway Company shall, on the date of service of this order inform all persons who were glven notice of tis embargo No . 1-75, that said embargo shall no longer be applicable to service over Its IInes:
(e) It ts farther ordered, That the Reading Company shall (1) collect all reventies attributable to the handing, routing, and movement of freight trafic Including all agents? and conductors' accounts and all payments from other earriers collected after the commencement of directed operations: (2) distrfbtute such revenues in accordance with divistonal agreements presently applicable, collecting and paying to the Lehigh and New England Rattway Company the divisions of jofnt revenues payable to the Lehigh and New England Rallway Company pursuant to such division agreements which are derfved from services performed and events occurring prior to January 24,1975 , and collecting and retaining for the Readine Company on a segregnted basls all such divisions of foint revenues payable to the Lchigh and New England Raliway Company pursuant to such division agreements which are derived from services performed by the Reading Company In the place and stead of the Lehigh and New Bugland Railway Company and from events occurring on or after January 23, 1974;
(d) It is further ordered, That all carriers are hereby directed to pay to the Reading Company, such sums as otherwise would be payable to the Lehigh and New England Raftway Company Including interline freight revenues, per diem, and all other interline accoumts of whatsoever kind and nature coming due
under normal accounting rules and procedures for the settlement of interline transactions and accounts between carriers during the period this order is in effect and thereafter coming due for services performed and events occurring during the period of direeted service:
(e) It is further ordered, That the Reading Company shall pay to all carriers amounts recelved by It but due to them for services performed by them, for per diem, and for events occurring either paior to the commencement of operations directed herein or during the period this order is in effect, all in accordance with established procedures for the settlement of interline transactions and accounts between carriers;
(f) It is further ordered, That in executing the directions of this Commission as provided for in this order, all carriers involved in the movement of traffic to the lines of the Lehigh and New Eingland Railway Company shall proceed even though in some instances, no contracts, agreements or furangements now exist between them with reference to the divislons of the rates of transportation applicable to said traffic: that in the event reroutings are necessary pursuant to the directives of this and subsequent orders, the divisions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carrlers, or upon fallure of the carriers to so agree said divisions shall be those hereafter fixed by the Commission in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act;
(g) It is further ordered. That, in carjying out the operations directed herein, the Reading Company shall hire employees of the Lehigh and New England Rallway Company to the extent such employees had previously performed the elirected service and shall assume all existing employment obilgations and practices of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company relating thereto, Including, but not limited to, agreements governing rates of pay, rules, working conditions, and all current employee protective conditions, for the duration of the directed service;
(h) It is further ordered, That the Reading Company and the Lehigh and New England Railway Company shall, if possible, negotiate an agreement (hereInafter called the agreement) on all aspects of the directed operation subject to - thelr determination, including, but not limited to use of and rental for equipment, use of, and compensation for, existing inventories of fuel, materials, and supplies, and rental for the use of rights-of-way and other rail facilities; that the Commission shall be represented at all such discussions; that the sigreement shall be subject to approval by the Commission upon such procedure fis the Commission shall Inter specify; and that in the event the Reading Company and the Lehigh and New England Rallway Company fail to agree upon the terms for such use and compensation, the directed service shall continue pending a Commission determination to establish
such terms as it mny find to be just and reasonable:
6) It is fterther ordered, That in the event the parties achieve agreement, any funds to be paid the Lehigh and New England Railway Company thereunder shail be paid fito an escrow account until the agreement is given approval by the Commission; and that in the event the parties are unabla to reach agreement, any monies the Rending Company holds for the accoumt of the Lehigh and New Fingland Faliway Company to compensate it to the thse of Its equfpment and facilities and properties, in lieu of a final agreement, shall be paid Into an escrow account until a determination has been made by the Commission as to what terms are just and reasonable:
(f) It is further ordered, That the Retding Company shall record the revenues earned and the costs frcurred in and for the performance of the operations directed herein over the lines of the Lehigh and New Fngland Railway Company, in a mmnner to be prescribed by the Commission, that the information so recorded, and supporting data where speciffically required, shall be submitted by the Reading Company to the Commitssion for audit and evaluation immediately upon completion of the directed operation, or at such intervals, during the perlod of the tirected operntion, as the Commission may request; and that, if, for the period during which this order shall be effective, the cost to the Reading Company of handling, routing, and moving the traftic over the lines of the Lehlgh and New Ehgland Railway Company shall exceed the direct revenues therefor, payment shall be made to the Reading in the manner provicted by section 1 (16) (b) of the Act:
(k) It is further ordered. That the Commission shall retain jurisdiction to modify, supplement or reconsider this order at any time and for such purposes as it may consider necessary consistent with the legislative fntent and the express provision of section $1(16)$ (b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended;
(1) It is further ordered, That this order shall be served upon the United States Department of Transportation, the United States Railway Association, the Rail Planning Services Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the governor of the State of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission, the Association of American Rallroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all rallroads subseribing to the car service and car hire agrecment under the terms of that ageement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Associafion: and that notice of this order be given to the general publie by depositing A copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C: and by flling it with the Director, OPlice of the Federal Register.
( m ) It is further orderedt, That this order shall be effective upon the date of service; that the operations which the Reading Company is herein directed to perform shall commence on or before 12:01 a.m., January 24, 1975; and that
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such operations shall cease 60 days from the date the directed service shall be instituted by the Reading Company at 11:59 p.m., unless otherwise extended, modified, changed, or suspended by subsequent order of the Commission.
(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and $17(2), 24$ Stat, 379, 383, 384, as mmended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applles Secs. $1(10-17)$, $15(4)$, and $17(2), 40$ Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. $1(10-17), 15(4)$, and 17(2))

By the Commission, Division 3.
[seal] Robert L. Oswald, Secretary.
[FR Doo.75-2262 Filed 1-23-75:8:45 am]
SUBCHAPTER E-PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE
[ Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No, 12)]

## PART 1100-GENERAL RULES OF PRACTICE

## Paper Conservation

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission held at its office In Washington, D.C., on the 17th day of January, 1975.

It is ordered. That based on the reasons set forth in the attached notice, Chapter X of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations be, and tt is hereby, modified as set forth below.

It is further ordered, That this order shall become effective on January 24 , 1975.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy of this order and the attached notice in the Office of the Secretary. Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy of the notice to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the Federal Register as notice to interested persons.

By the Commission.

[^1]The Interstate Commerce Commission has amended its rules of practice in an attempt to conserve paper. The rule changes will permit persons submitting written pleadings, documents, and papers to use both sides of the paper (rather than one side) and to file 8 (instend of 15) coples of such pleadings, documents, and papers. These measures should permit persons appearing before the Commission to economize on paper and reproduction costs.

The rule is issued under the authority of sec. 12, 17, 24 Stat. 383, as amended, 385 , as amended, 49 Stat. 546 , as amended, 548 , as amended, 551 , as amended, sec. 304,54 Stat. 933, sec. 403,56 Stat. 285; 49 U.S.C. $12,13 \mathrm{a}, 17,304,305,306$, $307,309,311,902,903,904,909,916,1003$, 1010, 1017.
Issued in Washington, D.C., January 17. 1975.

Accordingly, this action modifies 49 CFR 1100.15 and 1100.16 so that they now read as follows:
\& 1100.15 Typographical specifications generally (Rule 15).
Except as otherwise provided respectIng applications (Rule $38(\mathrm{a})$ ), exhibits (Rule 84(a)), and informal complaints (Rules 24(a)), all pleadings, documents, and papers to be filed under these rules shall be on opaque, unglazed, durable paper not exceeding $81 / 2$ by 11 inches. To permit binding in covers of uniform size, margins of at least $11 / 2$ and 1 inch, respectively, shall be allowed on the left and right margins, Binding shall be on the left margin. Reproduction may be by printing, printing by offset press, multigraphing, or mimeographing, or by any other process, provided the coples are clear and permanently legible. Whiteline blueprints which cannot be reproduced by photography are not acceptable. If directly typewritten, or if in facsimile reproduction of typewriting, the impression may be on both sides of the paper and must be double-spaced, except that long quotations shall be single-spaced and Indented. Nothing less in size than Elite type shall be used. If
printed, adequate leading and nothing less than 10 -point type shall be used, except that 8 -point type may be employed in footnotes and in tabular matter where printing limitations so require. A pleading or brief in excess of 50 pages (except a pleading under modiffed or shortened procedure), including "cover pages, indexes, and appendixes, must be printed. Printing by offset press will be nccepted: Provided, That the type used is not reduced in slize smaller than that required for typewritten documents and that where the pleading or brief exceeds 50 pages, the Impression is on both sides of the paper. Failure to observe thesa specifications will result in rejection.

## § 1100.16 Copies. (Rule 16).

(a) Generally. The original and 8 coples of every pleading, document, or paper permitted or required to be filed under this part shall be furnished for the use of the Commission except as a different number is required under paragraph (b) of this section, or as otherwise provided respecting: answers (Rule $35(\mathrm{c})$ ), applications (Rule $\mathbf{3 8 ( b )}$ and Rule 40 (c)): complaints, formal (Rules 26 and 37 ) and informal (Rule 24 (a) and Rule $25(\mathrm{~d})$ ) ; depositions (Rule 64): exhibits (Rules 84(c) and 86): modifled procedure (Rule 52) ; petitions in intervention (Rule 72(d)) : prepared statements (Rule 77) : protests in investiga-tion-and-suspension proceedings (Rule $42(\mathrm{c})$ ) ; replies (Rule $23(\mathrm{~b})$ ) : and matters respecting oral argument (Rule 98) : subpenas (Rule 56(a)) ; time modification (Rule $21(\mathrm{~b})$ ); transcript correction (Rule $90(\mathrm{~b})$ ), and petitions for rehearing, reargument, or reconsideration (Rule 101(a)).
(b) In bankruptcy proceedings. Except as otherwise provided in an application form or instruction (Rule 38) and respecting exhibits (Rule $84(\mathrm{c})$ ), the orlgInal and 19 coples of every pleading, document, or paper flled in a proceeding arising under the Uniform Bankruptcy Act shall be furnished for the use of the Commission.
[FR Doc.75-2261 Fllod 1-23-75;8:45 am]

# Titte 24-Housing and Urban Development <br> CHAPTER X-FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SUBCHAPTER D-NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM <br> [Doeket No. FI-456] 

PART 1914-AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE
Status of Participating Communities
Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood Insurance program. The entry reads as follows:
\% 1914.4 Status of participating commanitics.
State
County
(National Flood Insurance Act of 1068 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1908); effective Jan. 28,1969 (33 FRR 17804 , Nov. 28, 1908), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Adminirtrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 30 FR 2787, Jam. 25, 1974.

Issued: January 15, 1975.
J. Robert Hunter,

Acting Federat Insurance Administrator.
[FR Doe.75-2178 PHed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

> [Docket No. FI-457]

PART 1914-AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

## Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federat Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies (1) the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or under the regular flood insurance program; (2) the effective date on which the community became ineligible for the sale of flood insurance because of its fallure to submit land use and control measures as required pursuant to $\$ 1909.24(\mathrm{a})$; or (3) the effective date of a community's formal reinstatement in the program pursuant to $\$ 1909.24$ (b). The entry reads as follows:
\& 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

| 8tate | County | Location | Effeetive date of authorlas. then of sale of floed trewratuce for area | Hazand area doentilied | State map repostory | Loeal msp repeeftory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | Jen 20,1 * |  | * | * |
| Ariegna, | Pima | Tueson, etty of. | Jan, 20, 1074. E | Ang. ${ }^{\text {May }}$ 21, 1974 |  |  |
| Florida. | Pinal... | E1 Roy, elty of. |  | May ${ }^{31}$, 1974 |  |  |
| Do. | 8umter. | Buatineli, city of. | do. | Jan. 16, 1974 |  |  |
| Ceorgia | Bulloch | 8 statesboro, eity of | do | June 7,1974 |  |  |
| Iowa... | Johnson. | Yalrax, eity of |  | Doc. 281973 |  |  |
| Do. | Ohio. | Unineorporated area | do |  |  |  |
|  | Bartholomew | Rising sun, elty of., Unincorporited areas |  | Bopt. 20,174 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do. } \\ & \text { Do. } \end{aligned}$ | Harkolomew | St. Jotin. town of.... |  | Nov. 30,1973 |  |  |
| Kansas. | Rlee. | Lyons, ctiy of | do | Yob, 15, 1974 |  |  |
| Malne.... | York... | Biddeford, eity of |  | May 24, 1974 |  |  |
| Michigan | Mape Cirar | Mackson, city of... | Jun. 9 , | May 4,1973 |  |  |
|  | Areot | , | withdrawn, |  |  |  |

State
Sounty
(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1983); effective Jan, 28,1969 (33 FR 17804 , Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.8,0. 4001-4128; and Secretary ${ }^{2}$ delogation of authority to Federal Iniurance Administrator, 34 FR $26 B 0$, Feb. 27, 1969) as amendect 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1074.

Issued: January 14, 1975.
J. Robrat Hunter, Acting Federat Insurance Administrator.
[FR Doc.75-2177 Flled 1-23-75:8:45 am]
[Docket No. FI-458]
PART 1914-AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE
Status of Participating Communities
Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies (1) the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or under the regular flood insurance program; (2) the effective date on which the community became ineligible for the sale of flood insurance because of its fallure to submit land use and control measures as required pursuant to i $1909.24(\mathrm{a})$ : or (3) the effective date of a community's formal reinstatement in the program pursuant to $\$ 1909.24$ (b). The entry read as follows:
§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.
State
(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Aet of 1968); effective Jan. 28,1969 ( 33 FR 17904 , Nov, 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.B.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Admintstrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb, 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 14, 1975.
[Dooket No. FI 459]

## PART 1914-AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE <br> Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amender by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

## § 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

| Btate | County | Iocation | Efleclive date of anthoriasthon of sale of flood insurance for arva | Hazard srea Identified | State map repodtory | Local map roporitery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $*$ | * | Pl | - |  |  | * |
| Califorma. Colorado. | Orange. <br> Adams | Placentia, elty of. Norithelonn, eity of | Jan. 22, 11/75, Emergetioy | June 14,1974 |  |  |
| Georgia. | Liberty............. | Uringiom, |  |  |  |  |
| Towa | Cherolke.......... | Charokee, eity of.... | do | Mar. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$, 1971 |  |  |
|  | Mercer............. | Colina, elity of. |  | Apr. ${ }_{\text {Pbb }} 12,1974$ |  |  |
| Vincinta, | Indepondent City. | 8uffolk city of...... |  | July 19, 2976 |  |  |
| West Virginia. | Wyoraing ......... | Pineville, town of |  | July 20,192 |  |  |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 ( 83 FR 17804 , Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Adminlatrator, 34 FR 2680 , Feb. 27, 1069) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 14, 1975.

## [Docket No. FI 450]

## PART 1915-IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

## List of Communities With Special Hazard Areas

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that comment and public procedure and the use of delayed effective dates in identifying the areas of communities which have speclal flood or mudsildc hazards, in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1915, would be contrary to the public interest. The purpose of such Identifications is to guide new development away from areas threatened by flooding. Since this publication is merely for the purpose of informing the public of the location of areas of special flood hazard and has no binding effect on the sale of flood insurance or the commencement of construction, notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest. Inasmuch as this publication is not a substantive rule, the identification of special hazard areas shall be effective on the date shown. Accordingly, \& 1915.3 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table, which entry reads as follows:
§ 1915.3 List of communities with speclat hazard areas.







| Brate | County | Location | Map No: | State map repository | Local map repoadtory | Bffective date of Identification of mrett whift have specla! flood haszards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Huntivgdon. | Junlata, townohfp of. | H 421642 ot through H 4210620 | do | Chairman, Board of Eupervisors, Townilip of Junlata, Iaral DeItvery No. 3 , ITantingdori, Pit tease | Do. |
| Do. |  | Warrions Mark, townshlp of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H fi2itos } 01 \\ & \text { throtosh } \\ & \text { H } 42170008 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chalrmany Bonrd of - Bupervisori } \\ & \text { Township of Warriorn Martc, Rural } \\ & \text { Delivery No. 4, Tyrone, Ph. 1006i } \end{aligned}$ | De. |
| Do. |  | Went, tewwhilp of. | H 45170601 throush HI fytrer 69 | do | Chatrman iloned of Supervisorn, Townstip of Weat, Euinal Delivery, Peternbers, Pris. ifeth. | Do. |
| Do. | Indians | Rayne, townahip of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IH 421721 o1 } \\ & \text { throanh } \\ & \text { It } 42172112 \end{aligned}$ |  | Chalruan, Board of - Supervisors, Township of Itayne Rural DeIlvery No. I, Clymer, Pa 15728. | Do. |
| Do. | Janlata. | Ruck, townalp of. | H 421742 01 through <br>  | do | Chuirman, Hoard of Supervisors, Township of Lack, Mhirs, Mills, Pa, 17213. | Do. |
| Do. | Lawrence. | Taylor, townshlp of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } 421800 \text { ol } \\ & \text { thronth } \\ & \text { I } 421800 \text { os } \end{aligned}$ | de. | Chislriaian. Board of Supervisors, Townthip of Taylor, 6th 8t., Weit Pittsbunch, $\mathrm{Pa}_{\mathrm{a}} 16160$. | Do. |
| Do. | Lusarbe. | Folrmount, township of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } f 21827 \text { ot } \\ & \text { ithrogit } \\ & \text { I sethet of } \end{aligned}$ |  | Chairman, Board of Bepervisors, Towishap of Farmount, Rural Delvery, No. 1. Sweet Valley, Pn. 1BdS. | Do. |
|  |  | Unton, townshifp of. | II 421838 ot through H 42183804 |  | Chairman, Bourd of Supervisors Rurst Delfvery 1, Townshtp of Unfon, Bhlekshimay, Po. 1NGES. | Do. |
| Do. |  | Miller, townshlp of. | I throush <br> 1142296402 |  | Chairmin, Beard of Supervisors, Runal Delivery 2, Townehip of Millor, Newrport, P's. $170 \% 4$. | Do. |
| Do. |  | Tesearonis, township of. | II 42196001 thromeh H 42750006 | - | Chairman, Eoard of Eupervisons Township of Tuscarorn, Kuma Dplivery No. 1, Milleritown, Pa. 17062. | Do. |
|  |  | Allegany, townilip of. | स f21072 of throurh <br> H. 12197212 |  | Chairman, Board of Supervizors, Rturat Delivery 2 , Townahip of Alerany, Cimeses, P a. 100 ca | Do. |
|  |  | Teluron, townahlp of | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H fitimg of } \\ & \text { through } \\ & \text { H } 621975 \text { of } \end{aligned}$ |  | Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Townthp of Ifebron, Rtmat De Ifvery Na, 2, Coudermport, I'a. 10215. | Do. |
|  |  | Hector, townililp of. | II 421980 01 throush <br> II felous 12 |  | Chairman, Hoard of Bupervisions, Townatip of IIector, Ramal Delivery, Sablnrville, Pa. 16043. | Do. |
| Do. | Behuylkili. | Jullly, townahly of. |  |  | Chairman, Board of Bepervisors, Townily of feilly, Branchitale, Pa. 17523. | Do. |
| Do. | Bnyder | Spring, townshlp of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II izacso } 01 \\ & \text { through } \\ & \text { I } 42720010 \end{aligned}$ | t.a.do. | Chairman, Roard of Siapervisors, Township of 8 gring, Beaver Bptings, Pe 17819 | Do. |
|  |  | Wehtilation, towntilp of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { if } 422001 \text { of } \\ & \text { throogh } \\ & \text { I } 422001 \text { ot } \end{aligned}$ | cow. ${ }^{\text {den }}$ | Chairman, Board of Supervioors, Remal Delivery No. 3, Townalp of Wushington, Mllddeburg, Pa. 17842 | De: |
| Do | Busquehammo | Dimoctr township of. | II 12025 of through <br> If taxh of | do | Chairmine Boent of Eopervients, Towashtp of Dimock, lual Delivery No. 1, Montrone, Th. 18801. | Dov |
|  |  | Marmany, townablp of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } 422002 \text { o1 } \\ & \text { throngh } \\ & \text { II } 42005212 \end{aligned}$ |  | Chalrman Board of Eapervisors, Townohlp of Harmony, Rural Delivery No. 3, sumquehinna, Pa. 13\%7. | Dou |
|  | THogn. | Rutlanid. townatilp of. | H 12000001 throush <br> II 42700500 | do. | Chalrmats Board of Sopervinors, Fuml Delivery No. 2, Afrusfinks, I's. 10 ins. | Do: |
| Do. | Wa | Columbins, townstitp of. | H 42211001 through H 42211505 | .do. | Chalrmian Boam of Eupervisors, Townslip of Columbus, Fural DoIivery $\mathrm{Na}_{2} 4$, Corry. Pa iblof. | Do. |
|  | Washlingto | Ceefl, fornulilp of. | 苗 4 2ntes ot throuth II 42213.12 |  | Chastruan, Board of supervisors, Box E, Ceeli, Pa. 15321. | Do. |
|  | Wyomine | Nilcholsan. tywnatulp of. | IH tazen ot throtaph <br> If 4220010 | do | Chalimun, Feard of Eupervisam, Rural Delivery No. 2, Towniship of Nichotson, Nicholson, Pa. 18446. | Do. |
| Do. | York... | Chaneeford, towtishlp of. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } 42227 \text { of } \\ & \text { thirongh } \\ & \text { H } 420217 \text { is } \end{aligned}$ |  | Chilrman, Heard of guppervisors, Rumd Delivery No, 2, Felton, Pa 1732 | Do. |
| Do | 1nte | Jedde, Varouph of. | I 42280301. |  | Mayor, Borouph of Jeddo, Rural DeIvery No. 1, Freeland, Ps. IEzas. | Do. |
| Da | Benver | Cositar, fowmaly of. | H tecosto ot thromeh <br> II 42231006 | ...do. | Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Center, 865 Monaea Rd., Monace, Pa. I5061. | Do: |
|  |  | Frankfort Eprines, borvogh of | H 52315010 | -do. | Mayor, Borongh of Frankfort 8pritugs, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hookstown, Pa isase. | Do. |
|  |  | Pattersois Helohty, boroneh of. | II teras or |  | Mayor, gia Seymath st. Boreerh of Fatterion Helghts, Hesver Falls, Pe. INo10. | Dos |
| Do | Belle | Clay, tow nstilp of. | F 429348 ot through <br>  |  | Chaliman, Board of Supervieors, Remel Delfvery No. 1, Townahip of Clay, Batler, Pa. 10001. | Dos |
| Do |  | Concard, townahlp of. | H 4 2 24601 tiurontels II fensie me | $=$ | Chalrmin, Hoard of Supervisors, Rund Dellivery 1, Karne Cliy, Pa: 10011. | Do: |
| Do |  | Donctul, townshlip of. | II twhit in through <br> II 42237 dz |  | Chairman. Bonnt of Eupervisors, Townetip of Doneral, Rural DeIivery No. 1, Chleorn, Pa 160es, | Dos |
| Do. |  | Prospect borouth of. | 114232001 throush | 5....do | Mayor, Borongh of Prorpect, Prospect, Pa. 10062 | Dos |
| Dan | Clarton | Formington, townstilp of | it 42306 on throeng II | Exi.udo. | Chyirman, Board of Supervteors, Township of Farmiligton, Btar Romte, Leeper, Pa. 16283. | Dou |




(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (tttle XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28,1969 (33 FR 17804 , Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2880 , Feb. 27, 1969).

Issued: January 13, 1975,
Acting Federal Insturance Administrator.
[FR Doc.75-2006 Piled 1-23-75;6:45 am]
proposedrules

[^2]
## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE <br> Food and Drug Administration [ 21 CFR Part 3]

## STATEMENTS OF GENERAL POLICY OR

 INTERPRETATIONProposed Deletion of Exemptions from Certain Drug-labeling Requirements
The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, under provistons of 21 CFR 1.106 (b) (3) and upon written request stating reasonable grounds therefor, will offer an opinion on a proposal to omit certain labeling information (i.e., full disclosure information) from the dispensing package of a prescription drug if, but only if, the article is a drug for which directions, hazards, warnings, and use information are commonly known to practitioners Hicensed by law to administer the drug. In 21 CFR 3.515 the Commissioner has offered such opinions exempting certain drug products from the labeling requirements of $\& 1.106(\mathrm{~b})(3)$ (i) provided they meet the conditions prescribed in $\$ 3.515$. The Commissioner last offered such in opinion in an amendment to $\$ 3.515$ on June 8, 1962 (27 FR 5428), prior to enactment of the Drug Amendments of 1962.
Under the Drug Amendments of 1962, proof of effectiveness is now required for each claimed use of a new drug. To implement these Amendments the Food and Drug Administration, with the assistance of the National Academy of Sclences/ National Research Council, Drug Effeacy Study Croup, undertook a study of the effectiveness of the new drugs "approved" prior to October 10, 1962, on the basis of safety. This study has shown that many drug claims commonly known to practitioners in fact lack substantial evidence of effectiveness as required by the Drug Amendments of 1962. A number of these drugs, which are included in $\$ 3.515$, have been the subject of Drug Efficacy Study Implementation (DESI) notices. These Federal Register notices set forth the Administration's conclusions on the effectiveness of such drugs based on reports recelved from the NAS/NRC as well as other avallable information. These DESI notices require that a drug determined to be effective be labeled to comply with all requirements of the act and regulations and that its labeling bear adequate information for safe and effective use of the drug. Other drugs or findtcations concluded to lack substantial evidence of effectiveness have been or will be removed from the market.
The Commissioner has concluded that many DESI notices have superseded specific exemptions from drug labeling
requirements previously granted under $\$ 3.515$, and that any such exemption as it applies to a drug that is the subject of a DESI notice should be revoked.
The Food and Drug Administration is presently establishing procedures for developing old drug monographs, An old drug monograph will set forth conditions for which a prescription drug which is not a new drug and shall be deemed safe and effective and not misbranded. Such old drug monographs will supersede the labeling exemptions for those drugs remaining in $\$ 3.515$ which have not already been superseded by DESI announcements.

The following drugs currently listed in $\$ 3.515$ are the subjects of DESI notices: 1. Aminophylline (DESI 1626; pub1 lished in the Federal Register of July 26, 1972 (37 FR 14895)).
2. Atropine methylnitrate (DESI 3265: published in the Federal Reaister of June 18, 1971 ( 36 FR 11754)).
3. Barbiturates (DESI 793; published in the Federal Register of July 28, 1972 (37 FR 15186)). Specific barbiturate preparations have been subjects of other DESI announcements.
4. Digitalis (DESI 8627; published in the Fideral Reaisten of July 27, 1972 ( 37 FR 15024)).
5. Epinephrine Injection CDESI 366; published in the Federal Reaister of July 11, 1972 (37 FR 13564)).
6. Mannitol Hexanitrate (DESI 1786; published in the Federal. Reaster of February 25, 1972 ( 37 FR 4001 )) ; evaluation for diagnostic purposes (DEST 2282; published in the Fedzral Reatster of August 6, 1971 ( 36 FR 14507) ).
7. Pentaerythritol tetranitrate (DESI 1786; published in the Federal Register of February 25, 1972 ( 37 FR 4001) ).
8. Pentaerythritol tetranitrate with phenobarbital (DESI 1786; published in the Frderal Reasster of February 25, 1972 (37 FR 4001)).
9. Quinidine Sulfate (DESI 6320; published in the Federal Recister of September 8, 1972 ( 37 FR 18227)).
10. Scopolamine methylbromide (DESI 3265; published in the Federal Regastza of Jume 18, 1971 ( 36 FR 11754)).

The Commissloner has also concluded that the reference to nitroglycerin in $\$ 3.515$ shall be amended to specify the sublingual dosage form which was the intent of the exemption. Sustained action nitroglycerin preparations are the subject of a DESI notice (DESI 1786) published in the Fkdzial. Register of February 25, 1972 (37 FR 4001).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, $505,701,52$ Stat. $1050-$

1053, 1055-1056, as amended; 21 U.S.C. $352,355,371$ ) and under authority delegated to him ( 21 CFR 2.120), the Commissioner proposes to amend Part 3 in ह $3.515(\mathrm{~b})$ by deleting the entries "Aminophylline," "Atropine methylinitrate," "Barbiturates," "Digitalis," "Epinephrine injection," "Mannitol hexanitrate," "Pentaerythritol tetranitrate," "Pentaerythritol tetranitrate with phenobarbital," "Quidine sulfate," "Scopolamine methylbromide," and by revising the entry "Nitroglycerin" to read "Nitrogiycerin sublingual", As revised, 83.515 (b) reads as follows:
§3.515 Exemptions from certain drug labeling requirements.
(b) The Commissloner of Food and Drugs has considered submitted material covering a number of drug products and has offered the opinion that the following drugs, when intended for those human uses for which they are now generally employed by the medical profession, should be exempt from the requirements of $\$ 1.106(\mathrm{~b})(3)$ of this chapter, provided that they meet the conditions prescribed in this paragraph. Preparations that are not in dosage unit form (for example, solutions) will be regarded as meeting the conditions with respect to the maximum quantity of drug per dosage unit if they are prepared in a manner that enables accurate and ready administration of a quantity of drug not In excess of the maximum per dosage unit:

Atropine sulfate. For oral use, not in excens of 0.54 milligram per doange unit; for injection, not in excese of 0.54 milly ( $1 / 120 \mathrm{grath}$ ) per dosage untt.
Chlorat hydrate. For oral use, not in excess of 500 militgrams per cosage unit; for use an suppositories, not in excess of 1.0 gram per suppository.
Codetno phomphate. For oral use, not in excess of 05 militgrams per dosage unlt; for injection, not tri excess of 65 miligrama per dosago unit.
Codeine sulfate. For oral use, not in excesa of 65 milligrams per dosage unit; for injection, not in excess of 65 milignims per donage unit,

Dihydrocodetnone oitartrate. For oral use, not in excess of 10 miligrams per doango unit.
Dihydromorphinone hydrochlorlde. For oral use, not in excess of 4 miligrams per dosige unlt.
Erythrityl tetranitrate. Por orat use, not in excess of 30 milligrams per dosage unit.
Homatropine methylbromide. For oral use, not in exceas of 5 milligrams per dosage unit.

Hyoscyamine hydrobromide. For oral use, not in excess of 1 mililgram per doange unit.
Hyoscyamine sulfate. For oral use, not in excess of 1 milligram per dosage unit.

Hyoscyamus tincture, For oral nse, not in excess of 2 millilters per dosage unit.
Arcthenamitne. For orat use, not in oxcess of 1 gram per dosage unlt.

Morphine phosphate. For oral use, not in excess of 33 milligrams per dosage unit; for infection, not in excess of 33 mitifgrams per dosage unit.
Morphine sulfate, For ornt use, not in excess of 33 milligrams per cosage unit: for injection, not in excess of 33 mililgrams per dosige unit.

Nitroglycerin sublingual. For oral use, not In excess of 0.05 milligram per dobage unit. Sodium chloride infection.
Sodium nitrite. For ornt we, not in excess of 60 militgrams per dosage untt,
Theobromine. For oral use, not in excess of 325 m 1 Hi grams per doange unit.

Thyrold. For oral use, not in exoess of 220 milligrams per donnge unit.

Water for injection, sterile.
Interested persons may, on or before March 25, 1975, flle with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Fm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Recelved comments may be seen in the above omice during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 14, 1975.

> Snm D, Fine,
> Associate Commissioner for Compliance.
[FR Doe.75-2206 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration [ 14 CFR Part 39]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 72-sw-10]

## BELL MODEL 206A AND 206B

 HELICOPTERS
## Proposed Ainworthiness Directive

Amendment 39-1437 (37 FR 8438) AD 72-9-2, requires an inspection of the cabin roof relnforcing straps and the support fittings for cracks and loose rivets. It also requires replacement of a cracked strap, loose rivets or a cracked support fitting on certain Bell Model 206A and 206B helicopters. After issuing Amendment 39-1437, the agency recelved reports of additional cases of cracked cabin roof straps, P/N 206-031-200-23 and -24 , on helicopters included in Amendment $39-1437$ and on hellcopters not included. Therefore, the agency is considering superseding Amendment 391437 with a new $A D$ that requires replacement of the cabin roof straps P/N 206-031-200-23 and -24 with straps P/N 208-032-200-37 and -38 on Model 206A and 2098 helicopters, serial numbers 1 through 1163.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rute by submitting such written data, views, or comments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in trip-
licate to the Regional Counsel, Southwest Fegion, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before February 24, 1975, will be consldered by the Director before taking action upon the proposed rule.
The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closIng date for comments, in the Office of Reglonal Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections $313(\mathrm{a}), 601$, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)) .
In consideration of the foregoing. it is proposed to amend $\$ 39.13$ of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:
Bel. Applies to Bell Models 206A and 206 B helfcopters, $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ I through 1163, certincated in all categories.
Compliance required within the next 1200 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless alroady accompltshed.

To prevent possible fallure of the cabin roof straps, P/N 200-031-200-23 and -24 , ACcomplish the following:
(a) Remove the cabin roof straps, P/N 200-031-200-23 and -24, in accordance with Items 1 through 4 of Bell Helicopter Company Service Bulletin 206-01-74-2, dated November 12, 1974, or later npproved revtston.
(b) Install the cabin roof straps, P/N 206-032-200-37 and -38, in accordance with items 5 through 8 of Bell Helicopter Company Service Bulletin 206-01-74-2, dated November 12, 1074, or later approved revision.

The manufactureri bpecifications and procedures fdentifted and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 852 (a) (1). All persons affected by this directive, who have not already recelved these documents from the manufacturer, may obtain coples upon requeat to the Service Manager, Bell Hellcopter Company. P.O. Box 482, Fort Worth. Texas 76101. These documents may also be examined at the offce of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Regton, PAA, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas, and at PAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, D.C. A historical fle on this AD , which includes the incorporated material in full, is maintained by the PAA at its headguarters in Warhington, D.C. and at the Southwent Regional Omce in Fort Worth, Texis.

This supersedes Amendment 39-1437 (37 FR 8438), AD 72-9-2.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on January $10,1975$.

Nore: The incorporation by reference provislons in thls document were approved by the Director of the Fromal Rxasziat on June 19, 1967.

Henry L. Newman, Director, Southwest Region.
[FR Doo.75-2188 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Almpace Docket No. 74-50-96]

## CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Adiministration (FAA) is constdering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulatlons that would alter the Christlansted. St. Croix, V.I. Control Zone and Transition Area.
Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director. Southern Region, Attention: Chlef, Air Trame Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636. Atlanta, Ga., 30320. All communications recelved on or before February 10, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The propossal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments recelved.
An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C, 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examInation at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.
As part of this proposal relates to the navigable airspace outside the United States, thls notice is submitted in consonance with the ICAO International Standards and Recommended Practices,

Applicability of International Standards and Recommended Practices by the Air Traffic Service, FAA, in areas outside domestic airspace of the United States is governed by Article 12 of and Annex 11 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, which pertain to the establishment of alr navigation facilities and services necessary to promotIng the safe, orderly, and expeditious flow of civil air traffic. Their purpose is to fnsure that civil flying on international air routes is carried out under uniform conditions designed to Improve the safety and effelency of air operations.
The International Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 11 apply In those parts of the airspace under the jurisdiction of a contracting state, derived from ICAO, wherein air traffic services are provided and also whenever a contracting state accepts the responsibility of providing air tramc services over high seas or in airspace of undetermined sovereignty. A contracting state accepting such responsibility may apply the International Standards and Recommended Practices to civil aircraft in a manner consistent with that adopted for airspace under its domestic jurisdiction.
In accordance with Article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation. Chlcago. 1944, state aircraft are
exempt from the provisions of Annex 11 and its Standards and Recommended Practices. As a contracting state, the United States agreed by Article 3(d) that its state afrcraft will be operated in international airspace with due regard for the safety of civil aircraft.

Since this action involves, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

The FAA proposes to amend $\$ 71.171$ ( 40 FR 354) by altering the Christiansted, St. Croix, V.I., control zone to read as follows:

Within a 5-mile radius of Alexander Hamilton Airport (lat. $17^{\prime \prime} 42^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}_{\text {, }}$ long. 64"47.54" W.): within 3 mities each side of St. Crolx VOR $068^{\circ}$ and $248^{\circ}$ radials, extending from the 5 -mile radius zone to 8.5 miles east of the VOR. This control zone is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will therearter be continuously published in the FAA publication, International NOTAMs.

The FAA also proposes to amend $\$ 71.181$ (40 FR 441) by altering the Christiansted, St. Croix, V.I., transition area to read as follows:

That atropace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an $8.5-\mathrm{mille}$ radius of Alexander Familton Atrport flat. $17^{\prime} 42^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}_{\text {, long, }}$ " $^{\prime \prime} 47^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) : within 3 miles each side of St. Oroix VOR $068^{\circ}$ radial, extending from the $8.5-\mathrm{mlle}$ radius area to 8.5 miles east of the VOR; and that atropace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a $15-$ mille radius of Alexander Hamiton Airport; within 9.5 miles north and 4.5 miles south of the $S t$, Crolx VOR Ocs* radial, extending from the $15-\mathrm{mille}$ radtus ares to 18.5 miles enst of the vor: within 0.5 milles south and 4.5 miles north of the IIS localizer west course, extending from the $15-\mathrm{mille}$ radius area to 18.5 milles west of the IOM.

Revision of the procedure turn altitude from 3,000 feet MSL to 2,200 feet MSL for the VOR Rumway 27 instrument approach procedure requires an extension to the 700 foot transition area to comply with the United States Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS), Because the Christiansted RBN has been decommissioned, the control zone extensions and the transition area extensions designated for the protection of instrument approaches predfcated on thils RBN are no longer required.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) and 1110 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348 (a) and 1510), Executive Order 10854 ( 24 FR 9565) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)) .

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 20, 1975.

Gomon E. Krwer,
Acting Chitef, Airspace and Air Traffo Rules Division.
[FR Doo.75-2193 FHed 1-23-75;8:45 am]
[14 CFR Part 71]
[Airspace Docket No. 75-8W-2]

## TRANSITION AREA

## Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to designate a transition area at Malvern, Ark.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Trafic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All commumications received on or before February 24, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at fhis time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chlef, Alrspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments recelved.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Omice of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Texas. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

In \$71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

## Malivern, Ark.

That alrspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5 -statutemille radius of Malvern Municipal Alrport, Malvern, Ark., (latitude $34^{\prime} 19^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ N., longttude $\left.92^{\prime} 45^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}.\right)$ ) and within 3.5 statute milles each aide of $046^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\left(040^{\circ} \mathrm{M}\right)$ bearing from the Malvern NDB (latitude $34^{\prime \prime} 19^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$ N. longltude $92^{\prime \prime} 45^{\circ} 50^{\prime \prime}$ W.), extending from the 5 -millo-radius area to 11.5 statute miles northeast of the NDB; excluding that portion which overiles the Little Rock, Ark., transition area.

The proposed transition area will provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure for the Malvern, Ark., Municlpal Airport.
This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Avlation Act of 1958 ( 49 U.S.C. 1348) and of section $6(\mathrm{c})$ of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c) ).

Issued in Fort Worth, TX., on January $16,1975$.

## Alnert H. Thumburn,

 Acting Director, Southroest Region.[FR Doc.75-2104 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-22]
TRANSITION AREA
Proposed Alteration
The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Waterloo. Iowa.
Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chlef, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Bullding, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received on or before February 24, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regtonal Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Bullding, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

A new public use instrument approach procedure has been designed for an approach from the southwest to the Waterloo. Iowa, Municipal Alrport. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the 700-foot transition area at Waterloo, Iowa, to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing the new instrument approach procedure.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:
In 871.181 ( 39 FR 440), the following transition area is amended to read:

## Watzaloo, Iowa

That afripace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10 -mile Tactus of the Waterion Mumictpat Atrport (lat. $42^{\circ} 33^{\prime 2} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. ., long $92^{\prime} 23^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.) : and within $31 / 8$ miles each side of the Watertoo Ins localler northwest course extending from the 10 -mile radius aren to 8 milles northwest of the OM; and 3 milles each nide of the Waterloo, Iowa VORTAC $120^{\circ}$ radial extending from the 10 -mile radius to 15 mlles southeast of the VORTAC; and within $31 / \mathrm{s}$ miles each side of the Waterloo, Iown, VORTAC 194" radial extending from the 10 -mile radius to $111 / 2$ miles south of the vORTAC; and within $31 / 2$ miles each stde of the Waterloo, Iowa, VORTAC $001^{*}$ radlal extending from the 10 -mile radius to $111 / 2$ miles north of the VORTAC; and within $31 / 2$ miles each slde of the Waterloo, Iowa, VORTAC $316^{\circ}$ radial extending from the 10 -mile radius to $111 / 2$ miles northwest of the VORTAC.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section $6(\mathrm{c})$ of the Department of Transportation Act ( 49 U.S.C. $1655(\mathrm{c})$ ).

Issued in Kansas City, Missourl, on December 23, 1974.

## A. L. Coulter,

Director, Central Region,
[FR Doc.75-2195 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY <br> [ 40 CFR Part 2] <br> [FRL 327-2]

PROVIDING OF INFORMATION UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

## Proposed Fee Schedule

Notice is hereby given that the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to amend Part 2 of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations by amending $\$ 2.111$ (a) to establish a uniform schedule of fees for document search duplication applicable to all constituent units of the Environmental Protection Agency.
Recent amendments to 5 U.S.C. 552, commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act, require each Federal agency to publish in the Fzdrral register a schedule of reasonable standard fees to be charged for searching for and duplicating requested records. The proposed regulation would comply with that requirement and would revise an existing fee schedule maintained by EPA but not previously published in the Feperal Register.

The charge of $\$ 2.50$ per half hour for "record search time" and $\$ 4.50$ per half hour for "In-house computer programming time" are based on the estimated average salary of an individual performing the search and/or programming tasks. The charge of $\$ .20$ per page for reproduction of documents is based on the combination of salary of the individual reproducing the copy, and machine and materials costs for making that copy.
The cost of computer time varies according to the computer system being used and the components of that system needed to complete the task. The fee for computer time will be the actual direct cost of the machine time, as well as any necessary in-house or contract programming costs.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the Environmental Protection Agency Information Center. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., 20460. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulation are solieited. All comments recelved on or before February 24, 1975, will be considered. A copy of all public comments will be avallable for inspection and copying at the Environmental Protection Agency Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall,

401 M Street, S.W.. Washington, D.C. 20460. Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552, 553.

Dated: January 21, 1975.

Russell E. Trats, Administrator.

- It is therefore proposed to amend Part 2 of Titie 40. Code of Federal Regulations, by revising $\$ 2.111$ (a) to read as follows:


## \$2.111 Payment.

(a) Charges. Fees will be charged for copies of records which are furnished to a person under this part and for time spent in locating and reproducing them in accordance with the fee schedule below. No fee will be charged for periods of less than one-half hour spent in connection with a search for records or computer programming.
Record search time... 82.50 per half hour. In-house computer $\$ 4.50$ per half hour. programming time.
Reproduction of docu- 80.20 per page. ments.
If the information requested exists as a computer record and a print-out or tape is a means by which that information may be made avallable, the fee will be the actual direct cost of the computer system time added to any applicable search, in-house programming, reproduction, or contract programming costs.

> [FR Doc.75-2300 Piled 1-23-75:8:45 am]

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION <br> [29 CFR Part 1601] 706 AGENCIES <br> Proposed Designation Correction

In FR Doc. 75-1731 appearing on page 3220 of the issue for Monday, January 20, 1975, the reference in the fourth line to "page 3209 " should read "page 3210 M ".

## FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION

[45 CFR Part 503]
SEARCH AND DUPLICATION OF AGENCY RECORDS

## Fee Schedule

Revisions of the regulations of the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission concerning the availability of public information as currently contained under Part 503 of its regulations are being consldered to conform with the 1974 amendments (Pub, L. 93-502) to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552).

Notice is hereby given of the proposal to amend the fee schedule as currently set forth in $\$ 503.14$ of the Commission's regulations governing the disclosure of information under the Freedom of Information Act. In the consideration of the 1974 amendments to the subject Act contained under Public Law 93-502, which becomes effective February 19, 1975, It is proposed to amend $\$ 503.14$ as follows:
§ 503.14 Fees for services.
Unless otherwise waived by paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the following fees shall be imposed for the reproduction of any record disclosed pursuant to this part.
(a) Copying of records and documents. Twelve cents per copy for each page.
(b) Search fees, (i) clerical searches. $\$ 1.25$ for each quarter hour spent by clerical personnel searching for and producing a requested record or document. including time spent copying any record. (ii) $\$ 3$ for each one quarter hour spent by professional or supervisory personnel searching for and producing a requested record, including time spent copying any record.
(c) Certification and validation of records, \$1 per certification or authentication.
(d) The Executive Director may reduce or walve payment of fees in whole or in part when he determines that such reduction or waiver is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public. or unless the requester is a government agency or indigent.
(e) No fees will be charged (1) for time spent in examining the requested records for the purpose of determining whether an exemption can and should be asserted, (2) for time spent in deleting exempt matters being withheld from records to furnish, (3) for time spent in monitoring a requester's inspection of agency records made avallable to him in this manner, (4) for records not found or is determined to be totally exempt from disclosture, or (5) where the cost of providing the records is less than \$5.
(f) Payment of fees under this part should be made by cheek or money order payable to the Treasury of the United States.
(g) Unless the request for services where fees are chargeable under this part, specifically states that whatever cost is involved will be acceptable, or acceptable up to a specified limit that covers anticipated costs, a request that is expected to involve assessed fees in excess of $\$ 50$ will not be deemed to have been received until the requester is advised promptly at the time of receipt of the request of the anticipated cost and agrees to bear it. Wher the anticipated fees exceed $\$ 50$, a deposit of $\$ 25$ must be made within 5 days of advising the requester of such costs.

Written comments concerning the proposed revisions to the Commission's regulations under the Freedom of Information Act, as amended by Pub. L. $93-$ 502, are invited from interested persons. Comments may be presented in writing to the Executive Director, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, Washington, DC 20579. All comments recelved not later than February 18, 1975, will be considered. The revised fee schedule set forth In $\$ 503.14$ will become effective on February $19,1975$.

## Wayland D. McClelear, <br> General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-2234 Fifed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Ex Parte No. MC-230 (Sub-No. 3)]
[ 49 CFR Part 1090 ]
MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

## Circuity Limitations

## January $20,1975$.

Petitioners: Brown Transport Corp. and Harper Motor Lines, Inc. (Atlanta, Ga.). Petitioners representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., and Marshall Kragen, 805 McLachlen Bank Building. 666 Eleventh Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20001.

Section 1090.5 (sometimes called the 85 -percent circulty limitation provision) of the Commission's regulations entitled Practices of For-Hire Carrfers of Property Participating in Trailer-on-Flatcar Service, 49 CFR Part 1090, provides as follows:
I 1030.5 Circuity limitations. (a) Motor and water common carriers ahill not participate in foint intermodel TOFC service which ts to be provided in lieu of thelr sutborized line-haul transportation, and motor and water common and contract carriers shall not utilize open tarif TOFC service, where the distance from origin to destination over the route including the TOFC movement is less than 85 percent of the distance between such polnts over the motor or water carrier's authorfzed Bervice route: Provided, however, That the Interstate Commerce Commisaton may grant rellef from the provisionis of this paragraph upon consideration of an appropriate petition.
(b) For the purpose of paragraph (a) of this section the distance from origin to destination over the motor or water carrler'a authorlzed route shall be computed (1) if a motor carrier operating over regular routes, over tis authorlzed regular service route, (2) if a motor carrier operating over irregular or a combination of regular and irregular routes, through any gateway point which it Is required to observe, and (3) if a water carrier, over the most direct svallable allwater route.

By Joint petition flled December 31, 1974, Brown Transport Corp., and Harper Motor Lines, Inc., seek the institution of a rulemaking proceeding, proposing that the words "85 percent" in $\$ 1090.5(\mathrm{a})$ of the above-noted trailer-on-flatcar (TOFC) service regulations be deleted, insofar as such words pertain to motor carriers, and the words " 80 percent" be substituted in Heu thereof in this context.

Petitioners note that in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 8), Gatetoay Elimination, 119 M.C.C. 530 (decided February 15, 1974), the Commission has recently adopted procedures (codified at 49 CFR 1065) pursuant to which a motor common carrier authorized to provide service from one point to another point by tacking or combining at is common point of service (a, "gateway") separate ir-regular-route authorities (which authorities were issued to the carrier pursuant to an application proceeding, or proceedings, pending before the Commission on or before November 23, 1973), may (subject to certain other specified conditions), file for and obtain direct-service operating authority from the one point to the other point, thereby eliminating
the necessity of operating through the "gateway", provided that the most direct highway distance between the points to be served is not less than 80 percent of the highway distance between such points over the carrier's authorized routing through the gateway. In this context petitioners contend that the asserted disparity in the amount of circuity reduction permitted in the elimination of such gateways and in the circuity reduction permitted motor carriess under the TOFC service regulations is not justified, and urge that appropriate corresponding modifications in the circuity limitation of the TOFC service regulations be made. Petitioners further assert that their proposed amendment would allow carriers to provide improved service, while the minor increase in the circuity reduction permitted under the TOFC service regulations would not allow carriers to provide totally new services which would obtain from the indiscriminate substitution of direct TOFC service for indirect all-motor service.

Moreover, in the environmental analysis submitted in conjunction with their joint petition, Brown and Harper submit that the Commission's granting of the requested rellef will have a beneficial impact upon the environment. In this context petitioners assert that adoption of the recommended change in the TOFC service regulations will encourage the Increased use of rail transportation, and that the attendant reduction in the number of highway milles traveled by motor carriers will result in a significant reduction in fuel consumption, an increase in efficiency and economy in the movement of frelght, a decrease in the emission of air pollutants, a reduction in traffic congestion, and an Improvement in highway safety: The only potentlally adverse environmental impact which petitioners foresee is the increase in the number of carriers making use of TOFC service; however, petitioners believe that this potential impact is outweighed in overall effect by the asserted substantial benefits which would follow from adoption of the proposed amendment.

In its environmental analysis petitioners further state that there are three possible alternatives to its proposed action, viz: the Commission could (1) take no action, (2) permit reduction in operational circulty greater than that contemplated under petitioners' so-called "20 percent" circuity reduction proposal, (3) establish the proposed amendment for a limited period of time, or (4) continue to allow greater circuity reduction on a case-by-case procedure in sccordance with the provision of $\$ 1090.5(\mathrm{a})$. Petitioners, however, reject each of these alternatives as inappropriate. Thus, petitioners submit (1) that; failure to act would mean foregoing the sinticipated beneffcial effects upon the environment outlined above, (2) that amendment of the considered provision of the TOFC service regulations to permit significantly greater circuity reduction than that which petitioners propose would lead to destructive competition and an ineffcient utillzation of equipment, (3) that temporary establishment
of the proposed rule change would discourage carriers from making the commitments necessary to make full utilization of the new rule, and (4) that following a procedure of processing individual carrier's petitions seeking greater reduction in circuity on a case-by-case basis, as is already provided for under the present TOFC service rules, would, per se, discourage motor carriers from attempting to utilize TOFC service, and, furthermore, would not be consonant with the need for expedition in this matter. Petitioners further contend that the proposed change in the regulations, by enabling carriers to operate more efficiently. will also serve to improve long-term productivity by the conservation of IImited fuel supplies. Including their environmental analysis, petitioners submit that there will be no irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources as a result of the proposed action; and that, on the contrary, such action should result in a better and more effcient utilization of existing resources.

No oral hearing is contemplated at this time, but any person (including petitioners) interested in making representations in favor of, or agatinst, the relief sought in the petition may do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. Likewise, any person desiring to comment upon the environmental issues raised by the petition is hereby invited to do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. An original and fifteen (15) copies of such data, views, or arguments shall be flled with the Commission on or before March $24,1975$.

A copy of each representation should be served upon petitioners' representatives. Written material or suggestions submitted will be available for public inspection at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Comintssion, 12 th and Constitution, Washington, D.C., during regular business hours. Notice to the general public of the matters herein under consideration will be given by depositing a copy of this notice in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission for public Inspection and by flling a copy thereof with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

## By the Commission.

[seal] Robeht L. Oswald,
[FR Doc.75-2169 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am ]

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

## [29 CFR Part 1910] <br> [Docket No. OSH-38]

## EMPLOYMENT RELATED HOUSING (TEMPORARY LABOR CAMPS)

## Additional Hearing Location

Pursuant to section 6(b) (3) of the Williams-Stelger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1594,

29 U.S.C. 655), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 12-71 (36 FR 8754) and 29 CFR Part 1911, the hearing scheduled for Employment Related Housing was published in the Feneral Register on Tuesday, December 24, 1974, at 39 FR 44456, with notification of an additional hearing published on January 3, 1975, at 40 FR 797.

It has been determined that an addtional hearing will be conducted in Fresno, Californta, on February 19, 1975. The hearing will begin at $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. local time in the Ballroom of the Hilton Inn, which is located at 1055 Van Ness Street, Fresno, California.

Interested persons may flle a written notice of intention to appear, together
with two coples thereof. This nottce must be postmarked no later than February 7, 1975. Such requests should be addressed to Mrs. Jeanne Ferrone, Attn: Docket OSH-38, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room 200, 1726 M Street Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20210. Phone: (202) $961-2487 / 2248$. The notice must state the name and address of the person wishing to appear, the capacity in which he will appear, and the approximate amount of time required for his presentation. The notice must also include or be accompanied by, a statement of the position to be taken in regard to the speciflc issues belng raised, and the evidence to be adduced in support of
this position. For persons wishing to appear at the hearings, but unable to attend, written statements postmarked no later than February 18, 1975, may be submitted to the above address. The written statements should be submitted in triplicate.

In all other respects, the notice of hearing published on December 24, 1974 (39 FR 44456) remains in effect.
Signed at Washington, D.C., this 23rd day of January 1975.

## Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doe.75-2331 Fllod 1-23-75;9:66 am]

## notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and Investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Publte Notice 439]
CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT OBJECT OF ART

## Importation and Exhibition

Notice is hereby given of the following determination:
Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 ( 79 Stat. 985), Executive Order 11312 of October 14, 1966 (31 FR 13415, October 18, 1966) and delegation of authority number 113 of December 33, 1966 ( 32 FR 58, January 5,1967 , I hereby determine that (1) the painting described,
Claude Monet 186
DEJEUNER SUR L'HEREBE
$216 \times 162 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}$.
From-Punbicin Mruseum of Fine Arta, Moscow
to be imported, pursuant to a loan agreement between Mr . Thomas Hoving for the Metropolitan Museum of Art and the Minister of Culture of the U.S.S.R., for temporary exhibition without profit within the United States is of cultural significance and that (2) the temporary exhibition or display of such painting within the United States at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York City on or about January 25, 1975 to on or about February 20, 1975, is in the national interest.
Public notice of this determination is ordered to be published in the Fedraal Recister.

## Dated: January 20, 1975. <br> [seal] John Richardson, Jr., Assistant Secretary for

- Educational and Cuttural Affairs.
[PR Doc.75-2199 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Bureau of Atcohol, Tobacco and Firearms GRANTING OF RELIEF
Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C., section 925 (c), the following named persons have been granted relfef from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, recelpt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of thelr convictlons of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.
It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regardting the convictions and each applicant's rec-
ord and reputation are such that the applicants will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the rellef will not be contrary to the public interest.
Brissa, Daniel Patrick, 946 N. St. Louls Avenue, Chicago, Ilitnots, convicted on July 6 , 1966, In the Circult Court, Cook County, minnots.
Bryan, Jucob A., 2907 Page Drive, Baltimore, Marytand, convfoted on March 23, 1954, in the Baltimore City Court, Baltimore, Maryland; and on February 26, 1957, In the Anne Arundel County Circult Court, Maryland.
Burrows, Michnel Raymond, 5238 South " K ", Tacoma, Washington, convioted on December 7, 1065, in the Superior Court of the state of Wayhington, in and for Pierce County.
Butters, Robert J., MoNell Road, Pryeburg, Maine, convicted on October 4, 1905, in the Oxford County Superlor Court, MaIne.
Chapman, Roland C.. P.O. Box 830, Forks, Washington, convleted on October 19, 1970, in the United States District Court, Tacoma, Washington.
Chesser, Choice L, 10403 Vern Street, Gibsonton, Florida, convleted on June 25, 1957, In the Circuit Court De Kalb County. Alabama.
Crenshaw, Cleorge E, 701 Rutherford Avenue, N.W. Roanoke, Virginia, convicted on July 29, 1971, in the Hustings Court of the City of Roanoke, Virginia.
Eaton, James Hoyle, Route \#3, Booneville, Misstasippl, convfcted on June 19, 1059, and on May 2, 1967, in the United States District Court. Northern District of Mlanlasippl.
Fillion, Ralph Rodger, 880537 th S.W. Seattle, Wathington, convioted on July 14, 1970, in the Superior Court of the state of Wathtigton for the County of King.
Portune, James Wellington, Route 1, Box 40-A, Miford, Virginia, convicted on July 7. 1971, in the Clrcuit Court of the City of Fredericksburg, VIrginia.
Gateotth, Dantel Louls, Box 377, Main Street, Austin, Penniylvanta, convicted on Decomber 10, 1958, in the Potter County Criminal Court, Courdersport, Pennsylvanla; and on May 14, 1973, In the Cameron County Criminal Court, Emporium, Pennsylvanin.
Glioott. Wardell A., Route $\# 201$, Gardiner, Malne, convicted on September 4. 1048, if the Somerset County Superlor Court, Matne: and on November 4, 1959, in the Kennebec County Superior Court, Maine. Grifin, George L., 470218 Avenue, Phentx City, Alabama, convicted on December 2. 1063, in the United States District Court for the middle District of Alabama.
Jarsemkoakt, John Joseph, Sr, 3604 Liermann Avenue, St. Louls, Miseouri, convicted on April 14, 1970, in the United States Distriet Court, Eaut St. Louls, Ilinols.
Lawrence, James C., 16313 Sandy Ford Road, Chesterfield, Virginta, convicted on March 16, 1970, in the United States Dintrict Court for the Eastern District of virginin.

Lee, Delbert Gene, P.O. Box 507, Amity, Oregon, convicted on April 19, 1907, in the Lane County CIrcuit Court, Oregon.
May, Karl E, 376 Oak Street, Menaha, Wlsconsin, convicted on Auguit 11, 1971, in the Clreutt Court, Outagamte County, Wisconitn.
Nystrom, Elten, 923 Oak Street, Negaunee, Michigan, convicted on June 14, 1971, in the State of Whsconsin Clireuit Court, Marinette County, Whiconsin.
Orawlec, Stanley V., Jr, 1714 Pingree Avenue, Ogden, Utah, convicted on July 6, 1971, in the District Court, 2d Judictal District, Weber County, Utah.
Pate, Kenneth Lee, 11 N.E. 81 itt Street, Kansas City, M Masourl, convictod on April 28 , 1907, in the County Court, Wyandotte County, Kansas.
Philpot, Cheater R., Stralght Creek, Kentucky. convicted on June 29, 1973, in the United states Distriet Court, Dietrict of Columbla.
Fisegna, Dennis J., 1019 Washington Street. Farrell, Pentrylvanla, convleted on June 14, 1973, in the Court of Common Pleas of Mercer County, Pennsyivania.
Scott, Stephen Michael, 3700 28th Street, Lot N. 160, Sloux City. Iowa, convicted on March 7, 1973, in the District Court, Woodbury County, Iowa.
Smith, Jack E, Rural Route \#2, Menomonte, Wisconsin, convicted on November 3, 1972, in the state of Wisconsin, County Court, Dunn County.
Soltles, Leonard, 520 N. Mathuda street, Pittsburgh, Pennsyivania, convicted on November 30, 1951, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of Washington County, Pennsylvanas: on or about July 17, 1952, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of Centre County, Pennsylvanla; and on November 25, 1959, In the Court of Quarter Sessions of Allegheny County, Pennsylvanta.
Sundall, Brant M. 602 St , James Street. Rapld City, South Dakota, convicted on November 14, 1909, in the Ctreutt Court, Pennington County, South Dakota.
Ticknar, Larry Lowell, 1307 Holly Drive, Bloux Falts, South Dakota, convicted on July 20 , 1973, In the United States District Court, District of South Dakota.
Tinklenberg. Harris J., 701 11th Street, Windom, Minnesota, convicted on July 4, 1959, In the Municlpal Court, Long Beach, Callfornta.
Wakeland, Millo W., 111 Riverside Drive, Truth or Consequences, New Mexico, convicted on January 21, 1099, in the United States District Court for the Southern Distriet of Texas.
Wever, Ewing Gene, 6604 18th Street, Rio Linds, Californla, convicted on February 14 , 1962, in the Superfor Court of the state of Callfornia in and for the County of Sacramento.
Signed at Washington, D.C. this 9th day of January 1975.

## Rex D. Dayis, <br> Director, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobaceo and Firearms.

[PR Doc.75-2251 Pled 1-23-75;8:45 mm]

## Office of the Secretary

## RECHARGEABLE SEALED NICKEL.

 CADMIUM BATTERIES FROM JAPAN
## Antidumping Proceeding Notice

On December 24, 1974, Information was received in proper form pursuant to $\$ 8153.26$ and 153.27 . Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.26, 153.27), indicating a possibility that rechargeable sealed nickel-cadmlum batterles from Japan are being, or are likely to be, sold at less than falr value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160 et seq.)

There is evidence on record concerning injury to or likelihood of injury to or prevention of establishment of an industry in the United States.

Having conducted a summary investigation as required by $\$ 153.29$ of the Customs Regulations ( 19 CFR 153.29) and having determined as a result thereof that there are grounds for so doing, the U.S. Customs Service is instituting an inquiry to verify the information submitted and to obtain the facts necessary to enable the Secretary of the Treasury to reach a determination as to the fact or likelihood of sales at less than fair value.

A summary of information received from all sources is as follows: The information recelved tends to indicate that the prices of the merchandise sold for exportation to the United States are less than the prices for home consumption.

This notice is published pursuant to $\$ 153.30$ of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.30).
[seal]
David R. Macdonald, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.
Januait 22, 1975.
[FR Doc.75-2281 Fited 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [INT FES 75-7]
EHV TRANSMISSION LINE CORRIDOR (TG\&E), ARIZ.

## Avallability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2) (C) of the National Environmental Pollcy Act of 1969, the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, has prepared a final environmental statement for the construction of two EHV Transmlssion Lines from Westwing Substation near Phoenix, to the Vail Substation near Tucson, Arizona. Tucson Gas \& Electric Company proposes to construct the lines through portlons of Maricopa, Pinal, and Pima Counties. The lines proposed are an extension of the power transmission system for power being generated at the coal fired Navajo Plant in northern Arizona.

The final statement considers the impact of powerline construction, operation, and maintenance.

Coples are avallable for inspection at the following locations during regular office hours:

Phoenfx Dlstrlct Omce, Bureau of Land Management, 2929 West Clarendon Avenue, Phoenix, Artzona. Telephone (602) 2614231.

Arizona State Olfice, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3204 Federnl Bullding, 230 N. Ist Avenue, Phoenlx, Arizona. Telephone (602) 261-3706.

Oflice of Publlo Affatrs, Bureath of Land Man* agement, Room 5625, Interlor Building, Washington, D.C. Telephone (202) 3436717.

A limitted number of single coples may be obtained by writing the Arizona State Director, Bureau of Land Management, 3022 Federal Building, Phoenix, Arizona 85025.

Dated: January 20, 1975.

> Stanley D. Doremus,
> Deputy Assistant Secretary
> of the Interior.
[FR Doc.75-2245 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Fish and Wildilife Service <br> LINCOLN PARK ZOOLOGICAL GARDENS <br> Endangered Species Permit; Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Specles Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

Applicant:
Lincoln Park Zoological Ciardens
100 W . Webster Avenue
Ohicago, Ilinols 60614
Mr, Ssul L. Kitchener
Asslatant Director
Luscoln Park Zootocical Galdens
Dnexcron,
Bureau of Sport Fisheries \& W Itdufe, United Stater Department of Interior, Washington, DC 20240

## Dscembane 6. 1974.

Dealk Sm: The Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens, 100 West Webster, Chicago, Illinols 60614, heroby applles for a permit to place a pair of Enow Leopards (Panthera uncia) born Lincoln Park Zoo May 29, 1974 on breedIng loan with Howietts Zoo Park, Bekesbourne, Engtand. Mr. John Aspinall, 1 Lyall Street, London SWIX 8DW, England (Phone: $01-235-5108$ ) is the owner of Howletts.

At the present time Lincoln Park Zoo owns eleven (11) Snow Leopards and we frankly do not have the facilities to keep all of them. Bealdes this lack of adequate space it is a good idea for conservation of thls specles to disperse surplus animals to institutiona that have already proved thelr abillty to breed and maintain elther this species or related ones. At this writing the pair of Bnow Leopards under discunston are living In a small holding area off display. This area Ls minimat at present and obviously becomen mare of a problem as the animals grow. It Is imperative, therefore, that a deciston be made on thls application immediately.
At Lincoln Park Zoo we have always beHeved in cooperating with our stster ingtitutions for the benefit of our animil charges. Towards this end we reguarly send and recelve many animals on breeding loans. We sent a femate Snow Leopard to the Seattlo Zoo on breeding loan as a potential mate for one of their males tn June, 1974 and exchanged a female Snow Leopard for a male with Cinoinnati Zoo. In early 1973 we ex-
changed Bnow Leopards with Helsink1, Pinland; we bent a Zoo-born female there, in return they sent one of thelr Zoo-born males here under Endangered Spectes Pormit No. E8-324. I Am happy to say that this male was the stre of the palr of animals under disoussion in this application. It ahould be mentioned that this pair is therefore a full second generation btrth.

Mr . John Aspinall beoame known to us in early 1973 from a notice in the International Zoo News stating his need for a breeding age mitie Gorllin. At that thme he had eloven (11) Gorllas, but his male was not able to impregnate any of his females. An extensive correspondence ensued and one of the Chiongo Park District Commissioners even inspeoted the facilities at Howletta and spent some time with Asplnall himself. He came away thoroughly satlufled that our animal would recelve the optimum of care. (Commissioner Franklin Schmick was extremely concerned about CForillas and in fact donated five (5) of our present Gorilla group. He had been to Cameroon, West Africa to visit a Gorilla capture camp and peraonally plck out a Gorilla he wiahed to donate and, as might be expected, was not an easy man to please.)

We also contacted a number of Zoos in Engiand regarding Mr. Aspinall and they relnforced the Commissioner's thoughts and what we ourselves had begun to feel, that Asiplnall would do very well indoed by the animal. Taktug all of this Into consideration we declded to send the male Gorilla.

The arrangements were handled with great dispatch by Aspinall and his staff. As a direot conssequence of this lon Mr. Aipinnill visited the United States with a film of hls Howletta facllity. He showed this film to our Zoological Soclety, among others, and appeared on national television with it as well. Our consulting veterinartan, Dr. Erich Maschgan, and Head Primate Keeper, James Higgins, accompanied the Gorilin to England, at Mr. Aspinall's expense, and both were impressed with him and his entire operation. Howletta Zoo Park ts owned entirely by Mr. Aspinall and the public is allowed to vistt only a fow daya of the year. There seems to be no doubt that Aspinall is entirely devoted to his antmals and the conservation movement as a whole. From everything we know about him wo belleve he spares no expense or trouble to further the comfort and breeding potential of his animals.

By his own admission, Aspinall has always had is spectal feeling for Snow Leopards. When he vinited here earlier this year he was extremely pleased with the possibility we would even consider loaning a pair to him. After the successful Gorilla transaction, we decided he would do everything tn hls power to breed Snow Leopards.

The Snow Leopards wilt remain the property of Ontcago Park District/Lincoln Park Zoo and the offrpring will be equally divided between the two parties to the agreement Please find appended a copy of the stgned breeding loan agreement for the Gorilla loan. The Snow Leopard agreement will be exactly the same.

Mr. Aspinall owns and is developing two other animal facilities at the present time, Chilham Rare Anlmal Compound and Port Lympne Estate. The latter will be open to the public. In 1974 he purchased and is now the owner/publisher of the International 200 News the only International Zoo bulletin. Please find enclosed a copy of letters dated 18 November 1974 written by Mr. Aspinall and his secretary. It would meem sallent here to point out that the Cats have done very well at Howletts. Bengal Tigers have bred well and they were the first to breed the Slberian sub-species in England. The most fimpressive breeding, however, Is that of Clouded Leopards which has traditionally been a very
difficult species to breed and maintain in captivity. At preeent they have nine (9) Hiving offupring along with the breeders who were the irst to breed in England. International Zoo Yearbook Vol. 14 lists only Dublin. Ireland and Oklahoma City along with Howletts as having bred Clouded Leopards in 1972. More signiffinntly, Howletts' were the only ones to survive.

After vlewing Mr. Aspinall's film of his facllities and from personal discusslons with him we all feel sure the Snow Leopards will be housed more than adequately. They will be maintained in a large outdoor cage simtlar to hts successful Clouded Leopard arens. I have personally seen these areas in his film and although I cannot state exact dimensions they are bigger than any area I have seen In any Zoo keeping Clouded Leopards. There will be indoor dens although they will probably only be needed for cubbling since the weather there is not as severe as Chlcago winters or summers and we house ours outaide all year with only a wooden box for shelter.

In June, 1968 the IUCN Hsted the Snow Leopard as endangered stating that a rough woricing estimate for thelr numbers in the wild would be 400 , give or take 200. In an upinting of Snow Leopard informitton and status in 1972 the Snow Leopard is still redsheeted in the IUCN data book. In this 1972 update no flgures are given as to total numbers, but throughout its entire range the animal is severely threatened and under severe hunting pressure in most areas. Skins are still being offered for sale in varlous areas and it is interesting to note that Naumann \& Nogge (1973) saw skins for sale at the Bazaar In Kabul, Afghanistan. Anfmals are still betng exported from Russia as well, In a letter dated Auguat 13, 1974 to the then Snow Leopard studbook keeper, Mr, Marvin Jones, the German animal dealer, Claus Gollembek, reported that he delivered a patr of Snow Leopards to the Hannover Zoo, West Germany in January, 1974 and a single female to the Zurich Zoo, 8witzerland In April, 1974. These three animals were legally obtained by thts dealer from the Ftusstan government, In all probabillty they were wild caught antmals. While the situation in the wild continues to be grim, the situation in captivity continues to brighten. At onie time only 6 坆 of Snow Leopards living in captivity were born there, but H of 1972 a full $35 \%$ were captive born. An increase of $29 \%$ in 10 ypars. Equally important, if not more so, are the second generation btrtlis at, at leinit, the Lincoin Park, Oklahoms City, Broux, and Helsinkt, Finland zoos.
Linooln Park Zoo has had a total of 32 Snow Leopards born between 1900 and 1974 and becatis of this great number has had more experience with Snow Leopards than any other Zoo with the poseible exception of Helsinkl, Finland. All of our expertise will be made avallable to Mfr. Asplimit and his staff. Find appended to this application a copy of a paper accepted for publication in International Zoo Yearbook Vol, 15, which Eummarfaes our knowledge and expertence of Snow Leopards, Mr. Ayptinall atso plans another trip to the United States in 1975 and we will consult with him at that time, as well.
The continuation of proper management of eaptive Snow Leopard stock in imperative and the dispersal, on losn, of this peir of Enow Leopards to Howletts is consistent with our philosophy and management pollcles at Lincoln Park Zoo. After a great deal of constderation we feel that Mr. Aspinall will make every effort to propagate and maintain these animals, He already has demonstrated hls ability with other spectes of Felidae and
cooperates with other institutions as can be seen by ftems iv-v on page 2 of his appended animal inventory. The Siberian Tigers are registered in the studbook and there is no reason to believe he would not do so with the Snow Leopards, It should also be pointed out that since Lincoln Park Zoo now keeps the Snow Leopard studbook we would be in an excellent position to keep track of these sintmals.
I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal ReguIntions and other applicable parts in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 80, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001. Bincerely yours,

## Saul L. Kttchener, Assistant Dírector.

1 Lrall Streer London SWIX BDW

## THLEPRONE: 01-235 5108

Mr. Sath L. Krtcirnner,
Assistant Director,
Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens, 100 West Webster, Chioago, Il2inots 60614 November 18, 1974.
Dear Mn. Krrcimamas: That you for your letter of 8 th November and for hill the documentation you kindly enclosed which I certainly hope will satisfy the authorities over here, with regard to the Import of 1/1 Snow Leopard.
I hope that the letter Mr. Appinall has written to you, which is enclosed, will surAce. The only information I can add to what ho has aiready said, is that Howletts was the Irst 200 in Clreat Britain to breed Clouded Leopards, the first 200 to breed Siberian Iigers in thls country (all of whlch wre studbook registered in Lelpalg), and the first 200 to successfully breed the Honey Badger, in the world.

Yours sincerely.

## Miss Susan Hunc, Seoretary to Mr, John Aspinall.

1 Lyahi, Sthes, London SWIX 8DW, England
Mr. Savz L. Kitcaninez,
Asnistant Dtrector,
Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens,
100 West Webster.
Chtoago, Illinols 60614.
Novemara 18, 1974.
Deall Saul: Please une the following information in the light of in testimonial with reforence to our abllity to look after $1 / 1$ Snow Leopard which Lincoln Park has offered on loan to Howletts Zoo Park:
From an Input of 8 Indian Tigers we have bred 37 : the colony at the moment stands nt 27 ( 12 of these we have bred this year). Irom 4 different females, our Siberian Tiger colony has had an input of 7 and now stands at 15, ( 4 having been bred thls year). The Otouded Leopard colony has had an input of 2 and now stands itt $11 \quad(0 / 2$ being bred this year, $0 / 1$ of which is designated to yourselves and will be sent over at your request), these 2 females are now over 6 months old. We also have a breeding pair of Black Leopnrds, Our other cats are, as yet, too young to breed and consist of $1 / 1$ Epotted Leopard, 1/1 Serval Cat and $1 / 1$ Northern Lynx. The only adult group we have not yet bred suc-
cessfully are the Cheetahs, but wo are now arranging three different enclosures for them so we can separnte the females from the mates on the pattern of Victor Manton who has bred them successfully in this country, at Whipanade.
Enclosed is an up to date stock 11 ist which givea an indication of the animals we breed successfully at Howletta.

## Yours sincerely,

Joinn Aspinalis.
Lincolis Palex Zoolodical Gardins
bramorng loan hongement bietwesn ciricaco PARK DISTKICR AND MES, JOHN ASFINALD/HOWLETK'S ZOO PANK

## Ocromat 17, 1973.

The above parties to this agreement agree to the following concerning the loan of an adult male Lowiand Gorilla (Gorilla g. goritia) named Ktnoro, tige 13 years.

The Ohlcago Park District will loan Kisoro to Mr. Aspinan for the sole purpose of captive animal propagation at Howlett's Zoo Park. Mr. Aupinatl agrees to provide alt the necessary housing, food and veterinary care to the best of his ablilty.

While it is not practical to set a proper monetary value on mi ndult male breeding gorilia, wo request that Mr. Aspinan insure Kisoro for 810,000 U.S. Mr. Aspinall will furnish Chicago Park District proof of Insurance coverage of $\$ 10,000$ US. before सfisoro it ghipped to Eagland. The Lasurance will cover the perfod of transit, as well as the duration of Kisoro's stay in England. Mr. Aspinall alto agrees that he will assume all liability should eny person be infured or property damaged by Kisoro while the animal is under his care. The Chicago Park District will, therefore, be free of any liability for Kisoro's actions under this loan agreement.
The cost of crating and shipping Kisoro to England wilt be borne by Mr. Aspinall. He will also be responsible for the cost of returning Kisoro to Chicago when and if this becomes necessary.

Due to the value of thits antmal adequate personnel should accompany the shipment of Kisoro to England, as well as his return to the United States, cost to be borne by Mr. Asplnall.
If any young are born between the Chicapo Park District male and Aspinall's females, the offspring will be equally divided between the Chicago Park District and Mr. Aspinall, with the Chicago Park District recelving the firat offspring. An attempt will be made by both partles to have each party to the agreement recelve an equitable division of sexes of the youms.

There will be a continued evaluation of this agreement by both parties especlally related to the amount of time Klsoro will spend in Engtend.

If for any reason this animal is offered for sale during itn stay in England, Mr. Aspinall will have the first option to buy.

The agreement can be terminated by elther party upon service to the other, with a minimum notice of ninety ( 90 ) days.

Lestes E. Frisim, D.V.M.,
Chicavo Park District, Zoological Gardens Director, Lincoln Park Zoo.

## Joirs Aspinalis,

(Otener).

## Howtett's Zoo Park.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the

## NOTICES

Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments recelved on or before February 24, 1975, will be considered.

Dated: January 21, 1975.
C. R. Bavis,

Chicf, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Witdlife Service.
[FR Doc.75-2228 Flled $1-23-75 ; 8: 45 \mathrm{~km}]$

## National Park Service NATIONAL CAPITAL PARKS

Authorization of Additional Interpretive Visitor Transportation Services
Pub. L. 93-62 (Act of July 6, 1973. 87 Stat. 146), directed the Secretary of the Interior to provide interpretive visitor transportation services between or in Federal areas within the District of Columbla and its environs upon the determination that such services are desirable to facilitate visitation and to insure proper management and protection of these areas.

Pursuant to this authority, it has been determined that providing interpretive visitor transportation service between the Mall and on the grounds of the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Stadium is desirable to facilitate visitation and to insure proper management and protection of such areas. Therefore, notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the direction of Publie Law 93-62 and the authority of the Act of July 25, 1916, as amended and supplemented ( 16 U.S.C. 1, et seq.), Interpretive visitor transportation services are to be provided between the Mall and the grounds of the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Stadium.

## Manus J. Fish, Jr., <br> Director, National Capital Parks.

[FA Doc.75-2428 Flled 1-23-75;10;33 am]

## Office of Hearings and Appeals [Docket No. M 75-81] OLD BEN COAL CO.

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard
Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 816 (c) (1970), Old Ben Coal Company has flled a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to fts Dixians Mine, Norton, Virginia.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:
All haulage equipment ncquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30,

1971, shall be equipped with automatlo couplers whtch couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons golng between the ends of such equipment, All haulfige equipment without automntic couplera In use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped withtn 4 years after March 30 , 1970.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:
(1) All cars in use at the subject mine will be coupled together $\ln$ units by pin-and-link couplers. A $1 / 4$ inch hole will be drilled in each pin which will be fixed in position with a cotter tey of approprlate size.
(2) The front end of the flrst car and the locomotive will be fitted with an Ohio Brass automatic coupler so designed as to permit an employee to couple or uncouple the units without the necessity of positioning himself between the unit and the locomotive.
(3) It is presently planned that most, if not all mine car units will consist of ten cars per untt. However, in the event it becomes more practical to combine cars into units of more or less than ten cars, each unit will conform to the specifleations of pin-and-link couplers on all cars and automatic coupler on the front end of the car connected to the locomotive.
(4) Petitioner's alternate method will provide greater safety than the application of the mandatory safety standard because it will prevent the accidental uncoupling of cars which often occurs on undulating track.

The petition is supported by a schematio diagram detalling the specifications for the fixation of the pins in the pin-and-link couplers.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before February 24, 1975. Such requests or comments must be flled with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

Dated: January 16, 1975.
James R. Rychards,
Director, Office of
Hearings and Appeals.
[FA Doc.76-2246 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Office of the Secretary OIL SHALE ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY PANEL.

## Notice of Meeting

Notlice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. $92-463$ that a meeting of the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel will be held on Februsry 13 and 14, 1975, at the Ramada Inn, 999 South Main Street, Salt Lake Clty, Utah. The meetIng will begin at 10 a.m. on Thursday, Pebruary 13, in the Alta Room No. 1 and
conclude at 12 noon on Friday, February 14.

The Panel was established to assist the Department of the Interior in the performance of functions in connection with the superviston of ofl shale leases Issued under the Prototype Oll Shale Leasing Program. The purpose of the meeting is to review a proposal to stimulate insitu oll shale development; review the first quarterly environmental data reports for the Colorado Lease Tract C-b and for the two Utah lease tracts; review a proposed fish and wildife management plan for the Utah lease tracts; to recelve reports from departmental officials; have a technical briefing on the Uintah Basin tar sands: and to discuss other oll shale related matters.

The meeting is open to the public. It Is expected that space will permit approximately 100 persons to attend the meeting in addition to the Panel. Interested persons may make brief presentations to the Panel or fle written statements. Requests should be made to Mr . William L. Rogers, Chairman, Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interfor, Room 688, Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225 ,

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Mr . Henty O. Ash, Office of the Oll Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, Room 820-A. Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225, telephone (303) 234-3275. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection 30 days after the meeting at the Panel Office.

Dated: January 20, 1975.
Jack Horton,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.
[FR Doc.75-2248 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Electrification Administration DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE, WIS. Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Notice is hereby given that the Rural Electrification Administration intends to prepare a supplemental draft environmental impact statement specifically detailing certain Items not adequafely discussed or which have been changed from the draft environmental impact statement which was made avallable to the Councll on Environmental Quallty and the public on July 2, 1974. The original draft environmental impact statement was prepared in connection with a proposed lomn guarantee for Dafryland Power Cooperative, 2615 East Avenue, Bouth, LaCrosse, Wisconsin 54601, to provide for new generation facilities and related transmission facilitles to be located near Alma, Wisconsin.

The proposed supplemental draft environmental impact statement will detail
a proposed 46 mile- 161 kV transmission line addition from Alma, Wisconsin to Spring Valley, Wisconsin. The supplement will also detail the alternative of barge delivery of coal to the proposed plant site, the alternative of cooling towers discussed in more detail and the acquisition of certain land for a proposed ash pond and raifroad loop coal delivery system. The land under question is presently owned by the Federal Government and is within the boundaries of the Upper Misslssippl River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments which may be helpful in preparing the supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Comments should be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator-Electric, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, with a copy to the borrower whose address was given above.

Additional information may be obtained at the borrower's office during regular business hours.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of January 1975.

> David H. Askegand; Acting Administrator.
[FR Doc.75-2217 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## Soil Conservation Service <br> TYGARTS VALLEY SOIL CONSERVATION DISTRICT, W. VA.

Equipment Grant Eligibility Determination
Notice is hereby given, in accordance with 7 CFR 662.2 (c), of a determination that the Tygarts Valley SCD, Philippi, West Virginia 26416, is eligible for a grant of the following items of equipment (or materials) to carry out soil and water conservation work: One- $11 / 2$-ton supply truck.

The grant is subject to the avallability of the equipment from Federal excess property sources, and may be made on or before February 24, 1975.

James S. Bennett,
State Conservationist,
Morgantown, West Virginia 26505.
[PR Doc.75-2201 Flled 1-23-75;8;45 am]

## UPPER MEDICINE CREEK WATERSHED, NEBR.

Negative Declaration
Pursuant to section 102 (2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; part 1500.6(e) of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and part 650.8 (b) (3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soll Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Upper Medicine Creek Watershed, Hayes, Lincoln, and Frontier Counties, Nebraska.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no slgnificant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Wilson J. Parker, State Conservationist, Soll Conservation Service, USDA, 134 South 12th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The remaining planned works $0^{\text {: }}$ improvement Include conservation land treatment supplemented by four single purpose floodwater retarding structures.
The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:
Soll Conservation Service, USDA
134 South 12th Street, Room 604
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508
Requests for the negative declaration should be sent to the above address.

No administrative action on imple-
mentation of the proposal will be taken until after February 10, 1975.
(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archtves Reference Services)

Dated: January 17, 1975.
Whiliam B. Davey,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.
[FR Doc.75-2200 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

## Food and Drug Administration ADVISORY COMMITTEES

## Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776; 5 U.S.C. App. I, the Food and Drug Administration announces the following public advisory committee meetings and other required information in accordance with provisions set forth in section 10(a) (1) and (2) of the act:

| Cominittee name | Date, time, place | Type of meoting and contact person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Panel on Reviet of Misollaneons External Drug Producte. | February 23 and $24,9 \mathrm{sm}$. Conferenee room 3, Parklawn Bldg. 5000 . Fishery Lame, Rockivile, Md. | Cloged February 23, open February 24, 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. closed February 24 after 10 a.m. Armond M. Waleh, (HPb-100), 8600 Flaber Lane, Rockville, Md. 20s52, 301-143-4006. |

Purpose. Reviews and evaluates available data on the safety and effectiveness of active ingredients of currently marketed nonprescription drug products containing miscellaneous external drug products.

Agenda, Open session: Comments and presentations by interested persons. Closed session: Review of over-thecounter miscellaneous external drug products under investigation.

Type of mecting and contaot perison

 Rociville, Md.
$10 \mathrm{am} \mathrm{m}_{2}$, elosed February 24 after 10 A.m. Lane, Rockvile, Md. 20052, 201-423-4960.
public in accordance with section 10 (d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Most Food and Drug Administration advisory committees are created to advise the Commlssioner of Food and Drugs on pending regulatory matters. Recommendations made by the committees on these matters are intended to result in action under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and these committees thus necessarily participate with the Commissioner in exercising his law enforcement responsibllities.

The Freedom of Information Act recognized that the premature disclosure of regulatory plans, or indeed internal discussions of alternative regulatory approaches to a speciffc problem, could have adverse effects upon both public and private interests. Congress recognized that such plans, even when finalized, may not be made fully available in advance of the effective date without damage to such interests, and therefore provided for this type of dis-
eussion to remain confidential. Thus, law enforcement activities have long been recognized as a legitimate subject for confldentinl consideration.

These committees often must consider trade secrets and other confidential information submitted by particular manufacturers which the Food and Drug Administration by law may not disclose, and which Congress has Included within the exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act. Such information includes safety and effectiveness information, product formulation, and manufacturing methods and procedures, all of which are of substantial competitive Importance.

In addition, to operate most effectively, the evaluation of speciffc drug or device products requires that members of committees considering such regulatory matters be free to engage in full and frank discussion. Members of committees have frequently agreed to serve and to provide thelr most candid advice on the understanding that the discussion would be private in nature. Many experts would be unwiling to engage in candid public discussion advocating regulatory action against a specific product. If the committees were not to engage in the dellberative portions of their work on a confidential basis, the consequent loss of frank and full discussion among committee members would severely hamper the value of these committees.

The Food and Drug Administration is relying heavily on the use of outside experts to assist in regulatory decisions. The Agency's regulatory actions uniquely affect the health and safety of every citizen, and it is imperative that the best advice be made available to it on a continuing basis in order that it may most effectively carry out its misson,

A determination to close part of an advisory committee meeting does not mean that the public should not have ready access to these advisory committees considering regulatory issues, A determination to close the meeting is subject to the following conditions: First, any interested person may submit written data or information to any committee, for its consideration. This information will be accepted and will be considcrod by the committee. Second, a portion of every committee meeting will be open to the public, so that interested persons may present any relevant information or views orally to the committee. The period for open discussion will be designated in any announcement of a committee meeting. Third, only the deliberative portion of a committee meeting, and the portion dealing with trade secret and confidential information, will be closed to the public. The portion of any meeting during which nonconftdental information is made available to the committee will be open for public participation. Fourth, after the committee makes Its recommendations and the Commissioner elther accepts or rejects them, the public and the individuals affected by the regu-

Intory decision involved will have an opportunity to express their views on the decision. If the decision results in promulgation of a regulation, for example, the proposed regulation will be published for public comment. Closing a committee meeting for deliberations on regulatory matters will therefore in no way preclude public access to the committee Itself or full public comment with respect to the cecisions made based upon the committee's recommendation.

The Commissioner has been delegated the authority under section 10 (d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act to issue a determination in writing, containing the reasons therefor, that any advisory committee meeting is concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552 (b), which contains the exemptions from the public disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act, Pursuant to this authority, the Commissioner hereby determines, for the reasons set out above, that the portions of the advisory committee meetings designated In this notice as closed to the publio Involve discussion of existing documents falling within one of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. $552(\mathrm{~b})$, or matters that, if in writing, would fall within 5 U.S.C. $552(b)$, and that it is essential to close such portions of such meetings to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avold undue interference with Agency and committee operations. This determination shall apply only to the designated portions of such meetings which relate to trade secrets and confidential information or to committee deliberations.

Dated: January 17, 1975.
A. M. Scrmidr,

Commissioner of Food and Drugs.
[FR Doc.75-2208 Plied 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [PAP 5B3060]

## E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS \& CO.

Filing of Petition for Food Additive
Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b) (5), 72 Stat. $1786 ; 21$ U.S.C. 348 (b) (5) ), notice is given that a petition (PAP 5B3066) has been filed by the E. I. DuPont de Nemours \& Co., Wilmington, DE 19898, proposing that $\$ 121.2502$ Nylon resins be amended to provide for the safe use of nylon 612 resins made by the condensation of hexamethylenediamine and dodecanediole acid as a component of food contact articles intended for repeated use.

The environmental impact analysis report and other relevant material have been reviewed, and it has been determined that the proposed use of the additive will not have a signiflcant environmental impact. Copies of the environmental impact analysis report may be seen in the office of the Assistant Commissioner for Public Affairs, Rm. 15B-42 or the omice of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drus Administration, Rm, 4-
65. 5600 Flshers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 13, 1975.
Howard R, Roberts, Acting Director. Bureau of Foods.
[FR Doo.75-2212 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## MEDICAL RADIATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Renewal
Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Public Law $92-463,86$ Stat. $770-776$ : 5 U.S.C. App. I), the Food and Drug Administration announces the renewal of the Medical Radiation Advisory Committee by the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, for an additional perlod of two years beyond January $5,1975$.

Authority for this committee will expire January 5, 1977, unless the Secretary formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

Dated: January 16, 1975.

> William F. Randolph, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.
[FR Doc.75-2210 Ftled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## PANEL ON REVIEW OF LAXATIVE, ANTIDIARRHEAL, ANTIEMETIC AND EMETIC DRUGS

Notice of Renewal
Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776; 5 U.S.C. App. I), the Food and Drug Administration announces the renewal by the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, of the Panel on Revlew of Laxative, Antidtarrheal, Antiemetic, and Emetic Drugs for an additional period of 2 years beyond December 27, 1974.

Authority for this committee will expire December 27, 1976, unless the Secretary formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

## Dated: January 17, 1975.

Winliam F. Randolph, Acting Associate Commissioner for Compliance.
[FR Doo,75-2211 Filed 1-23-75;3:45 am]

## RADIATION EMISSION FROM AND HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTRONIC PRODUCTS

## Memorandum of Understanding Between

 the United States of America and CanadaPursuant to the notice published in the Federial Registier of October 3, 1974 (39 FR 35697 ) that future agreements or memoranda of understanding between the Food and Drug Administration and others woutd be published in the Frozrat Recisten, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs issues the following notice:

A Memorandum of Understanding between the Food and Drug Administration and the Health Protection Branch of the Canada Department of National Health and Welfare was executed on December 16, 1974. The memorandum pertains to exchange of information on compliance program efforts that are of mutual concern to both agencies and is restricted to activties that involve controlling radiation emission and human exposure from electronic products. It reads as follows:
Mfemomandust of UnDmigrandivg BETwsent THE FOOD ANB DRUO ADMTRTETRATION DEPantmeant of Heazth, Education, ANd WalYARE, U.S.A. AND TitE HFALTH Photriction BmaNCH DEPAETMENT OF NATHONAL HEALTH and Welipare, Canada
The Heath Protection Branch of the Cannda Department of National Health and Welfare (heretnafter referred to 45 HPB) and the Food and Drug Adminiatration of the U.S. Department of Health. Education, and Welfare (herelnafter referred to as FDA) do horeby fointly tugree to the following terms and conditions as ststed heretn.
I. Purpose: To entabilsh a formal mechanism by which the Canadian Health Protection Brmnch and the U.S. Pood and Drag Administration may develop a procedure to exchange information on compliance program efforts which are of mutual concern to both agencles. This understanding is restricted to those activities which involve controlling radlation emlssion and human exposure from electrontc products,
II. Items of Agreement. A. FDA agroes that:

1. Prior to the Lssunnce of standards by FDA under the Risdiation Control for Fiealth and Safety Aot to control the emissfons of radiation from electronio products, IPPB witt be constited during the development stage of the standards, for sdvice on the latest avaliable sclentifc and medioal data in the fleld of electronic produet radistion, the reasonisbleness and technical feastbility of such standards, and the standards eurrently in existence or being developed by HPB dealing with the same subject.
2. Copies of all regulations, standards, policy statements, and other similar documents pertaining to electronic produot radiathon will be provided to HPB,
3. Upon request from HPB, FDA will promptly furnish copies of decisions arising from inspections of manufacturera of electronle products prepared by FDA personnel.
4. Jotnt inspections of electronic proctuot manufacturing plants may be conducted in the United States and Canada. provided the manufncturers so consent. This win afford opportunittes for comparing inspection and reporting techniques, for exchanging inspeotion experiences, for developing common administrative practioes, and for early mutual rocogntition of the inspectionnt fintings of our respective inspectors and investigntors, 5. PDA shall endeaver to provide prompt notiffcation to HPB with respect to electronta produot defects and noncompltance with FDA performance standards. This will include the name of the firm, the model number ind other Identification, the reason for the comptiance detton and is descriptfon of the manufacturer's oorrective action program.
5. At sppropriste intorvals, tund by mutuat agreement, RDA will endeavor to arrange for meetings between its inspeotors, techntoal experts, and management, and those of HPB for the purpode of reviewing the proigredal made through implementation of this information exchange.
6. Information shall be provided to the extent that United States law permits. Information furnished to PDA by HPB wall be treated as confidential for interagency use only insofar as United States law permits. The provision of Information shall not extend to the disclosures of financial data or trade necrets.
B. HPB agrees that:
7. Prior to the establishment of standards or regulations under the Radiation Emitting Devices Act or the Food and Druga Act relative to radiation emitting devices, FDA will be consulted during the development stage for advice on the Iatest avallable sctentifo and medical data in the field of radiation emitting devices, and reasonabieness and technical feasiblity of such standards, and the standards currontly in existence or being developed by FDA dealling with the same subject.
8. Coples of all reguiations, standards, policy statements, and other similar documents pertaiting to radiation emttting devices will be provided to FDA.
9. Upon request from FDA, HPB will promptiy furnish coples of decisions arising from inspections of manufncturers of radtetion emitting devices prepared by HPB personnel.
10. Joint Inspections of radiation emitting devices' manufacturing planta may be conducted in the United States and In Cannda, provided the manufacturers so consent. This Will afford opportunlties for comparing inspection and reporting techniques, for exchanging inspection experiences, for developing common admintstrative practioes, and for early mutual recognition of the inspectional Andins of our reupective inspectora and investigators.
11. HPB shnll endeavor to provide prompt notincation to FDA with respect to radiation emitting device defects or noncompliance with Canadian performance standards.
12. At appropriate intervals, and by mutual agreement, HPB will endeavor to arrange for meetings between its inapectors, technical experts, and management, and those of FDA for the purpose of revlewing the progress made through implementation of this inmacmation exchange.
13. Information shall be provided to the extent national legisiation permits. The provistion of information will not extend to the disclomure of Inancial data or trade secrets.
III. Name and Address of Partictpating Agencies.
Health Protection Branch, Health and Welfare of Canada, Brookfield Rd, Ottawa, Canada KIA 101.
Foed and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Ediseation, and Welfare, 5600 Phshers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.
IV. Lfaison Officers.
A. Mr. G. E. MacDonald (613-995-7059). Chfef, Compliance Services, Environmental Health Dtrectorate, Health Protection Branch. B, Mr. Robert G. Britain (301-443-4016). Director, Diviston of Compliance, Bureau of Radiological Fealth, Food and Drug Administration.
V. Period of Agreement. This Memorandum of Understanding shall continue in effect unless modined by mutual consent of both parttes or terminated by etther party upon thirty (30) daya advance written notice to the other.

This Memorandum of Understanding does not modify existing agreemente nor does it preclude entering into separate agreementa betting forth procedures for mpocial programs whfoh can be handled more emelently and expeditiousty by spectal agreement.

Nothing in this agreement is Intended to aiminish or otherwise nffect the authority of
elther egency to carry out its respectivo statutory functions.

Date: December 16, 1974.
ALFxandek M. Schmidt,
Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Wel/are.
Date: December 16, 1974.
A. B. Monrtson,

Assistant Deputy Minister, Heatth Protection Branch. Canada Department of Natfonal Health and Wetfare.
Effective date. Thls Memorandum of Understanding became effective on December 16, 1974.

Dated: January 16, 1975.
Whliam F. Randolph,

## Acting Associate Commissioner

for Comptiance.
[FR Doc.75-2208 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## SANITARY QUALITY OF FROZEN FROG LEGS EXPORTED TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Agreement Between the Export Inspection Council, Ministry of Foreign Trade, India and the Food and Drug Administration
Pursuant to the notice published in the Pederal Register of October 3, 1974 ( 39 FR 35697) that future agreements or memoranda of understanding between the Food and Drug Administration and others would be published in the Federal. Recister, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs gives notice that an agreement was approved and accepted by the Food and Drug Administration and the Export Inspection Council, Ministry of Forelgn Trade, India on January 13, 1975. This agreement, which provides for control of the sanitary quality of frozen frog legs exported from India to the United States, reads as follows:
Mrmonandum of Undmatandino Berwein Food and Diva Admenistiation, Public Henlith Service, Depantament of Heakth, EOUCATION, AND WrtiFare, Unmmd Statts or Ammita and Tur Expont Insaficiton Council, Ministit of Fowrton Thadr, India
This Memorandum of Understanding has been developed and agreed to by the respective agrencles to control the sanitary quality of frozen frog tegr exported to the United States of Amerion.
For purposes of this Memorandum, both partles agree to the following terms:

Lot, A lot is a quanttty of frozen frog tegm produced during a discrete pertod of time, by one manufacturer, packaged in identical containons Identifled by a code or mark traceable to the manufacturer and sealed in such a manner as to maintain integrity of the tot.

Salmonella- and Arisona-negative. Milerobiological examination for the presonce of Salmonella and Arisona will be conducted as follows: Place 15 pairs of frog legs into a flask containing 3,500 milliliters of broth. When alngle logs are estimated to averago 25 grams or more, modify by cutturing only one $10 g$ of each of the 15 pairs. Bhatre the flasks on a shaker for 15 minutes following which the inctose broth is decanted into nnother sterlle flask and incubated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for more than 24 hours. Following incubation, proceed according to "Omelal Methods of Analyses of the Assoclation of Omclal Analytical Chemists," 11 th ed. (1970) ?

## THE EXPORT DNSPEOTION COUNCH.

1. The-Fxport Inspection Councll agrees to inspect each lot of frozen frog legs produced in this country and offered for export to the United States of America to essure that it is negative for Salmonella and Arizone besed upon examination of sample units consisting of 15 pairs of frog legs and anntyzed by methods prescribed in the above paragraph, "Salmonella and Arizondnegative."
2. The Export Inspection Counclt agrees to issue an export certificate for only those lots which meet the criteria of paragraph 1 . Any lot which falls to meet such criterla will be denied export to the United States of Amertea.
3. The Export Inspection Counell agrees to require that all containers of all lots exported to the United States of America be identifled by lot number, together with all other information required by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetle Act.
4. The Export Inspection Councll agrees to include in the certificate for ench lot exported to the United States of America the following information:
a. Lot Identification.
b. Number and size of containers in the lot.
c. Analytical requits for Salmonella and Arivona.
d. Date.
e. Name and stamp or seal of authorizing official.
5. The Export Inspection Councll agrees to furnish to the Food and Drug Administration a copy of the reguintiont and procedures used to assure that frozen frog legs are sanitary.
6. The Export Inspection Councll agrees to Rurnish to the Food and Drag Administration a full description of the manufncturIng processes and quality controls used to assure the production of banitary frozen frog legt.

YOOD AND DRUG ADMINNESHATION

1. The Food and Drug Administration is responsible for the safety and quality of frosen frog legs imported into thig country for human consumption.
2. The Food and Drug Administration will sample products certified under thls program to assure that the exporting oountry and the exported produots comply with spectfications set forth in this Memorandum of Understanding and all other requirements of the Federal Pood, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The intensity of eampling may be reduced on gaining confidence in the compliance of the product to there specifications:
3. The Food and Drug Administration will ahare information about its audit sampling with the exporting country.
4. The Food and Drug Adminintration will share expertise and will provide consultative arsistance to the exporting country when necesenry to aspure the safety of the frozon frog legs exported to the Unibed States.

The Export Inspection Councll and the Food and Drug Adminintration agree that this Memorandum of Underatinding Ehant become effective on the date it is signed by the Food and Drug Administration. It shall rematn in effect, sid govern all frozen frog legs exported to the United States of Amertea

[^3]pending revlsion or revocation at the request of elther agency.
In witness whereof, the agenclea have executed this Momorandum of Understanding.
For the Food and Drug Administration.
By: A. M. Schmidt,
Titles Commissioner of Food and Drugs.
Country: The United States of America,
Date: January 13, 1975 ,
For the Ministery of Forelgn Trade.
By: C. N. Modawal.
Title: Director of Quelity Control and Inepection.

Country: India,
Date: January 13, 1975.
Effective date. This agreement became effective January 13, 1975.
Dated: January 17, 1975.
Wimliam F. Randoliph,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.
[FR Doc.75-2207 Filed 1-29-75;8:45 am]
[DESI 9366; Docket No. FDC-D-556; NDA No. 11-417]

## DEANOL ACETAMIDOBENZOATE

Opportunity for Hearing on Proposal to Withdraw Approval of New Drug Application

## Correction

In FR Doc. 75-521, appearing at page 1533 of the issue for Wednesday, Januery 8,1975 , the following corrections are made:

1. On page 1533 , column 3 , the eighth ine from the bottom, the section number reading " 314.11 " should read " 314.111 ".
2. On page 1534 , column 1 , the seventeenth line from the top, the word "patlent" should read "parent".

## Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health <br> NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

## Mecting and Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Blomedical and Behavioral Research will meet on February 14 and 15, 1975 In Conference Room 6, C Wing, Building 31, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. The meeting will convene at $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. both days and will be open to the public, subject to the limitation of s.vallable space. The meeting on Februnry 14 will be open for presentation by the public of views on research on the fetus. In addition, the Commission will examine issues involved in research on the fetus and, time permitting, other items of Its legislative mandate set forth in P.L. 93-348.

The deadine for requests to participate In the hearing on February 14 has been extended by the Commission from January 9 to January 31, 1975. It should be noted that this is the only hearing scheduled by the Commission to hear the views of members of the public regarding research on the fetus. Any person wishing to speak on Pebruary 14 must file a written request prior to the deadline and
receive approval from the Commission. A request to participate must include a detailed summary of the proposed presentation; oral presentation shall be limited to 10 minutes for each partleipant. Requests should be addressed to:
Starf Director, National Comminsion for the Protection of Human Subjoots, Westwood Bullding, Room 125, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

Requests for information should be directed to Ms. Anne Ballard at the address given above. Telephone number (301) 496-7526.

Dated: January 15, 1975.
Charles U. Lowe,
Erecutive Director, Nattonal Commission for the Protectfon of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research.
[FR Doe.75-2215 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 km ]

## Office of Education <br> INDIAN ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL ASSISTANCE

## Extension of Closing Date for Receipt of

 ApplicationsNotice is hereby given that the U.S. Commissioner of Education has extended the February 15, 1975 closing date for receipt of applications for support of schools located on or near a reservation which are non-local educatlonal sgencies as well as local educational agencies which have been local educational agencles for less than three years under section $303(b)$ of the Indian Elementary and Secondary School Assistance Act, Title III of P.I. 81-874, as added by Title IV, Part A of Public Law 92-318 (20 U.S.C. 241an-241ff), and Title VI, Part C of Pub. L. 93-380, previously published In the Federal Register at 40 FR 1535 on January 8, 1975, to February 18, 1975.
A. Applications sent by mail. An application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: U.S. Office of Education, Appleation Control Center, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.. Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.551. An application sent by mall will be consldered to be recelved on time by the Application Control Center If:
(1) The application was sent by regIstered or certified mall not later than the fifth calendar day prior to the closing date (or if such fifth calendar day is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal hollday, not later than the next following business day), as evidence by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original recelpt from the U.S. Postal Service; or
(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by elther the Department of Fealth, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of recelpt maintained by the Department of Health, Etueation,
and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education).
B. Hand delivered applications, An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673. Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets SW., Washtngton, D.C. Hand dellvered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of $8 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and 4 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holldays. Applications will not be accepted after 4 p.m. on the closing date.
C. Program information and forms. Information and applleation forms may be obtained from: Program Manager, Part A, U.S. Office of Education, Office of Indian Education, Room, 3662, Regional Omfice Building Three, 400 Meryland Avenue SW.. Washington, D.C. 20202.
D. Applicable regulations. The regulations applicable to this program include the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations ( 45 CFR 100a) and 45 CFR Part 186. published in the Federal Reoisten on June 24, 1974 at 39 FR 22424.
(20 US.C. 241bb (b))
(Catalog of Federal Domestle Aveistance Number 13.551: Indlan Education Granta to Non-LEAA's (Part A))
Dated: January 17, 1975.
T. H. Brli,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.
[FR Doc.75-2252 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 mm ]

## HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION

Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority Amendments
Part 7 (Health Resources Administration) of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (39 FR 1456, January 9, 1974), is amended to reflect the following changes under section 7-B:

Organization and Functions. 1. Delete the title and statement for Offce of Management (7A19), and substitute the following:

Office of Operations and Management (7A19). (1) Serves as the Administrator's principal staff for providing Administra-tion-wide leadership in all phases of management and for direct conduct or general supervision of Administrationwide operational functions: (2) directs and coordinates the Administration's activities in the areas of management policy, operational planning, systems management, financtal management, procurement and materiel management, grants management, and personnel management; (3) advises the Administrator on management implicattons of Administration plans and programs: (4) provides staff support and faclittles for advisory councils, conferences, and meetIngs; (5) collaborates with the Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Legislation in the development and impiementation of
the five-year program and financial plan for the Administrator: (6) provides facility and space management services for Administration fleld elements and directs the Agency's safety management program; (7) coordinates Agency programs with PHS Regional Offce functions by providing liaison to the Omice of Regional Operations, PHS; and (8) directs data systems management and the Agency's management information support systems.
2. Within the National Center for Health Statistics (7B00), the Oflce of the Center Director (7B01) is amended by replacing the current statement for that office and adding statements for its newly created staff omices as follows;

Offce of the Center Director (7B01). (1) Plans, directs, administers, coord1nates and evaluates the total vital, health, and health related statistics programs of the Center: (2) stimulates basic and applied research and developmental activities; (3) provides national and international leadership in vital and health statistics; (4) conducts a variety of professional activities to provide assistance to government agencles, to foster international relationships, and to improve the broad fields of vital and health statistics: (5) coordinates the Center's nctivitles with public and private health statistical agencles: (6) directs and coordinates Center activities in support of the Department's Equal Employment Opportunity program; ( 7 ) provides management and administrative support for the Center; (8) provides program planning and development for the Center: and (9) develops and coordinates legislative activities.
Oflce of Program Support (7B02), (1) Plans, directs, administers, coordinates and evaluates the Center's fiscal, management, and administrative support activities; (2) develops, analyzes, improves and implements internal management policies, methods and procedures: (3) in cooperation with the Division of Financlal Management, HRA, provides financial guidance and information to NCHS program managers in the operation of the Center's Inanclal management system, Including program pollicy interpretation in budget formulation and execution, and preparation of program planning and budgetary support data; (4) coordinates the Center's contracts activities: (5) directs the Center's employee development and training activities; (6) advises the Center Director on administrative polley matters affecting the Center's programs: and (7) maintains close liaison with the Office of the Associate Administrator for Operations and Management, HRA, and with other governmental agencles, and outside groups.
Office of International Statistics (7B03). (1) Plans, directs, coordinates and evaluates the Center's international statistical programs; (2) plans and conducts training programs in vital and health statistics for forelen statisticians and demographers; (3) determines needs for technical assistance in various forelgn
countries and arranges for consultants and advisors to provide such assistance; (4) stimulates Center professional staff to conduct research in vital and health statistics in foreign countries; (5) develops and maintains an international statistical data file and conducts international studtes of demographic and health statistics; (6) provides advice and guidance on disease classifications and disease classiffication problems in the Center: coordinates activities within the Center on classification of diseases and procedures; and has responsiblilty for development of revision proposals and U.S. position on decennial revisions of the Internaitional Classification of Diseases: (7) provides an Executive Secretary for the U.S. Nattonal Committee on Vital and Health Statistics; and (8) maintains liaison with key officials in HRA, the Department and international agencles on requests for data and consultant services.
Oflee of Program Development (7B05). (1) Provides a focus for short- and Iong-range program planning, coordination, and evaluation of the adequacy and completeness of new and existing programs in meeting the Center's mission; (2) serves as liaison with organizatlons planning or conducting new initiatives in Federal health statistics; (3) provides staff advice on the development of new health statistics programs based on assessment of emerging needs; (4) in collaboration with the Assoclate Director for Management, translates planning into program and budget proposals for Center operations: (5) assists the Center Director in the assessment of program accomplishments through a program review process: ( 6 ) develops and coordinates the Center's legislative activities: (7) administers a program of project review as required by the Federal Reports Act. Including official bureau clearance officer responsibilities; ( 8 ) serves as the Center coordinator for interdisciplinary and interprogram activities: (9) provides liaison with counterparts at higher levels: and (10) provides support services for the Center's conferences, advisory committees, and other advisory groups.
Office of Statistical Research (7B07). (1) Provides general direction to and coordinates the Center's statistical research program; (2) plans and budgets Center-wide research activities: (3) stimulates research in the Center, and maintains close communication with research statisticians in unlversities and in private and governmental organizations: (4) conducts a program of basic measurement research and long-range applied research in statistical methodology; (5) promotes the publication and dissemination of statistical research findings; and (6) provides technical as sistance to the Center Director in methodological matters.

## Dated: January 17, 1975.

Thomss S. McFre, Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management. [FR Doc.75-2249 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration UNITED STATES TERMINAL INSTRUMENT PROCEDURES (TERPS) ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section $10(a)$ (2) of Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that the United States Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS) Advisory Committee will hold a meeting beginning at 9 a.m., e.s.t., March 3 through 7, in Rooms 6A, B, C, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, D.C. The following agenda ftem is scheduled for this meeting:

Discussion. Preparation of final draft for publication in the United States Standards for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS) Handbook 8260.3A.
a. New Chapter 12, Departure Procedures.
b. Major revisions of the nonprecision instrument approach criteria.
c. Minor editorial changes to the entire handbook.

All those interested in attending the meeting should contact James A. Forgas, Chlef, Flight Procedures Standards Branch, AFS-460, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, telephone 202-426-8144. The meeting will be open to the public.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January $16,1975$.

## James A. Foreas, <br> Chairman, U.S. Terminal Instrwment Procedures (TERPS) Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc.75-2197 Flied 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## National Highway Traffic Safety Administration <br> [Docket No, EX 74-6; Notico 2]

VINTAGE REPRODUCTIONS, INC.
Petition for Temporary Exemption from Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards
The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has decided to grant Vintage Reproductions, Inc, an exemption of tts 1900 Series Horseless Carriages from many Federal motor vehicle safety standards on grounds of substantial economic hardship.

Notice of petition for the exemption was published in the Federal Recister on October 22, 1974 (39 FR 37526) and an opportunity afforded for corament, Vintage, of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, produces replicas of the 1901 Ford Runabout and several different 1901 Olds passenger cars and truck body types. The company requested exemption from the following safety standards applicable to passenger cars or trucks: 101, 102, $103,104,105,106,108,109,110,111,114$, $115,124,201,202,203,204,207,208,210$, $212,215,216,301$, and 302 . The vehicles apparently will comply with Standards Nos. 107, 113, 205, and 211. The basic reason behind the request, described more fully in Notice 1, is that most safety standards are not "reasonable, practic-
able, or approprlate" for the configuration of 1901-style vehicles.

In support of its petition the company argued that its vehicles would not present a significant hazard to traffic safety. In two years of production the company manufactured 199 vehicles and intends to manufacture not more than 900 units before terminating production. The overall concept of the vehicle is such, argues the company, that its appeal is primarily for occasional, limited use (e.g. auto shows, resorts) rather than for extensive use on the public roads. The company has 20 full-time employees and had a net loss of $\$ 142.75$ in the 12 -month period ending April 30, 1974. Compliance with standards for which exemption is sought would involve substantial costs and, in the opinion of the company, destroy the character and hence the sales appeal of the vehicle.

No comments were recelved on the petition. The NHTSA concurs with the company's assessment that conformance would be costly and risky to the short term future of the company, and accepts its assessment that the effect of a denial would cause a loss of over $\$ 60,000$ in the year following it.
In reaching a decision that an exemption would be in the public interest, the Administrator has balanced the intent of Congress in providing temporary hardshlp exemptions to limited-production manufacturers against the need for traffic safety. While the manufacturer could produce as many as 30,000 units under a 3 -year hardship exemption, it states that its intended production will not exceed 900 . Many of the standards (e.g. 206,214 ) are inappropriate for 1900 style vehicles that are open from the top and sides, Other standards, while appropriate (e.g. 109, 110), contain dimenslonal specifications outside the limits of 1900-style vehicles, making compliance impossible. Because of their small numbers and distinctive configurations, the Administrator does not believe that these vehicles will present a significant hazard to traffic safety.
The manufacturer has not shown that providing lap belts would create a hardship, and it thus is not exempted from that requirement of Standard No. 208.
For the reasons discussed above, the NHTSA finds that the exemptions requested are in the public Interest and conslstent with the objectives of the Act. Vintage Reproductions, Inc. is hereby granted NHTSA Temporary Exemption No. 74-6, explring December 1, 1977 , from the following motor vehicle safety standards: 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, $108,109,110,111,114,115,124,201,202$, 203, 204, 207, 208 (except for that portion of S4.1.2.3.2 that requires a Type 1 seat belt assembly at each designated seating position), 210, 212, 215, 216, 301. and 302 .
(Sec. 3, Pub. L. $92-548,868$ stat. 1159 ( 15 U.S.C. 1410): delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.51)
Issued on January 21, 1975.
Noel C. Bufe, Acting Administrator.
[FR Doc.78-2231 FHed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## ACTION

## FOSTER GRANDPARENT AND SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAMS

## Eligibility Levels

This notice revises the schedule of tncome eligibility levels for individuals and a family of two for the Foster Grandparent Program and the Sentor Companion Program published in the Frobral Register of November 4, 1974 ( 39 FR 39077 ). The figures increase the currently applicable guldeline published by the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) by the 4.1 percent change in the Consumer Price Index during the fourmonth period of July 1974 through October 1974. The heading of the second column in the schedule has been changed from Couples to Family of Two to coincide with Census Bureau and OEO usage of terms. A third column, Family of Three, has been added to meet occasional needs therefor.
These ACTION programs are authorired pursuant to section 211 of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, Pub. L. $93-113,87$ Stat. 402. Pursuant to section 421(4) of Pub, L. $93-113,87$ Stat. 414, the income eligibility levels are determined by the current applicable guideline published by the Offlee of Economic Opportunity, pursuant to section 625 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2971 (a)), and increased by the amounts individual states supplement the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) Program. The states supplemental payments reflect the higher cost of living in the geographic area to be served by the project. Section 625 permits the OEO guideline to be adjusted for cost-of-living changes.

The income eligibility levels will be revlewed at least once a year, and similar schedules will be prepared to reffect any changes required as a result of that review.
Pursuant to section 420 of Pub. L. 93113, this policy will become effective on January 23,1975.

Ectmpthk or Twcour Evomaity Levees ron Foanch GHaNDRAKINRS OR SENROH COMPANIONS

| Elate | Individuals | Family of two | Family 1 of three |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabyma | 42,495 | 83, 327 | \$4,007 |
| Alnskin. | 4,277 | 5, 569 | 6,861 |
| Alizona | 2, 40\% | 3,195 | 2,505 |
| Aricminas | 2, 125 | 2,195 | 3,965 |
| Callfomia | 8.153 | 5, 848 | 6,617 |
| Colorndo. | 2,063 | 4, 327 | 8, 297 |
| Conirecticut | 3,639 | 3,999 | 4.700 |
| Pelaware | 2,4\% | 3,106 | 8,905 |
| Distriet of Col | 2,425 | 3,195 | 3,965 |
| Florids | 2,425 | 3, 165 | 3,404 |
| Goorgin | 2,425 | 3,105 | 3,0165 |
| Hawali | 3,114 | 1,167 | 5,220 |
| Labo. | 3,073 | 3,505 | 4,325 |
| Ilinots | 2,723 | 3,195 | 3,205 |
| Intinn | 2,425 | 3,195 | 3,065 |
| Towa. | 2,495 | 3,195 | 3,965 |
| Kınsp9 | 2,425 | 3,115 | 3,905 |
| Kentack | 2,495 | 3,195 | 3,9055 |
| Lotalisma | 2,425 | 3,155 | 3, 165 |
| \%fitne. | 2,425 | 3375 | 4,145 |
| Marylund. | 9, 295 | 8,165 | 3,505 |
| Massachusetts | 2,901 | 5,45? | 6,237 |
| Michigan. | 2,509 | 3,477 | 4,217 |
| Minnesta | 2,809 | 2,003 | 4.453 |
| Minseifp | 2, 225 | 8,105 | 2,00\% |
| Missour. | 2,425 | 3,195 | 3,005 |
| Montana | $2 \times 25$ | 3,105 | 8,005 |
| Nebrask | 8,209 | 4, 085 | 4, 015 |
| Neroda | 2,863 | 4,143 | 4.913 |


| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Individ- } \\ & \text { unals } \end{aligned}$ | Family 1 of two | Pemily ${ }^{1}$ of three |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hampahire | 2.713 | 3,367 | 4.157 |
| Now Jersey..... | 2,857 | 2867 | 4.337 |
| Now Mleajoo | 2.45 | 3,105 | 3,10.5 |
| Now York. | 3.157 | 4. 107 | 4,877 |
| North Carolitia | 2.425 | 3,105 | 8,005 |
| Norih Dakota. | 2.425 | 3,105 | 3,05 |
| Ohlo | 2425 | 3, 105 | 3,965 |
| Oktahore | 2.605 | 2, 505 | 4,305 |
| Oregan. | 2,629 | 3.399 | 4,109 |
| Pennsylvana | 2,065 | 3.585 | 4,305 |
| Rhode Ialand | 2.869 | 4, 011 | 4,761 |
| Bouth Carolina | 2.425 | 2.105 | 3,065 |
| Buath Dakota | 2,425 | 3.105 | 8.905 |
| Tennesseo | 2435 | 3195 | 3,963 |
| Texns. | 2495 | 2.195 | 3,905 |
| Utala. | 2,425 | 2, 185 | 3,005 |
| Vermont: |  |  |  |
| Area 1. | 2.773 | 2,047 | 4,487 |
| Ares 2 | 3,013 | 3.027 | 4, 807 |
| Viritala.... | 2,425 | 3, 186 | 3, 200 |
| Washington! | 2,765 | 3,592 | 4,301 |
| All other cotunties....... | 2,617 | 3,207 | 4,0037 |
| Weat Virciaia. | 2.225 | 3.195 | 8,965 |
| Wisconsin... | 3, 205 | 4.515 | 5, 205 |
| Wromink | 2,425 | 3, 185 | 3,905 |
| Puerto filco. | 2,495 | 3,195 | 3,205 |

${ }^{1}$ Pamily relers to a group of two or more persons melated by blood, marringe or adoption and roaldins together.

## John I. Ganley,

Deputy Director.
[FR Doc.75-2230 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION FEDERAL EMPLOYEES PAY COUNCIL <br> Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10 (a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law $92-483$, notice is hereby given that the Federal Employees Pay Council will meet at $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Wednesday, February 19, 1975. This meeting will be held in room 5323 of the U.S. Civil Service Commisston building, 1900 E. Street, NW., and will consist of continued discussions on the fiscal year 1976 comparability adjustment for the statutory pay systems of the Federal Government.

## The Chairman of the U.S. Civil Service

 Commission is responsible for the making of determinations under section 10 (d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act as to whether or not meetings of the Federal Employees Pay Council shall be open to the public. He has determined that this meeting will consist of exchanges of opinfons and information which, if written, would fall within exemptions (2) or (5) of 5 U.S.C. $552(\mathrm{~b})$. Therefore, this meeting will not be open to the public.For the President's Agent.

## Richard H. Hall. Advtsory Committee Management Offcer for the Presi-

 dent's Agent.[FR Doc.75-2229 Flied 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS notice of meeting

The Commission of Fine Arts will meet on Wednesday, February 19, 1975 at 11:30 a.m. in the Commission offices at 708 Jackson Place, N,W., Washington, D.C. 20006 to discuss varlous public projects affecting the appearance of Wash-

Ington, D.C. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or verbal statements should be addressed to Charles H. Atherton, Secretary, Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address.

## Charles H. Atherton,

Secretary.
[FR Doc.76-2242 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

## List of Statements Received

Environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality from January 13 through January 17, 1975. The date of recelpt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under Council Guidelines the minImum period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is March 10, 1975. The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins on the day the statement is made available to the Council and to commenting parties.

Copies of individunl statements are available for review from the originating agency. Back copies will also be available at cost, from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

## Depaitmants of Admiculature

Contact: David Ward, ActIng Coordinator, Environmental Quality Activities, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculturo, Room $331-\mathrm{E}$, Administration Bullding. Washington, D.C. 20250, (203) 447-3905.

## forest service

## Draft

Tongass N.F. Land Use Plan, Alaska, January 16: The statement concerns the implementation of a Land Use Plan prepared for the Tongass National Forest. The Plan divides the over 20 million acres into 13 planning units. Uses and activities include rond buitdIng, timber harvesting, recreation development, mining, willife projects, off-road vehicle tuso, and others. A large acreage will remain roadless and undeveloped. (EI.R Order No. 50076.)

South Fork Salmon River Planning Unit, Bolse, Payette Valley Counties, Idaho, January 13: The statement concerns a planning unit containing 348,328 acres, approximately 246,000 of which are within Payette National Forest, 102.328 of which are within Botse National Forest, and 855 acres are in private ownership. The plan sets forth the allocation of lands to resource uses and activities, Minor adverse effects such as temporary afr pollutlom due to burning of residual matertai after timber harvests are expected ( 50 pages). (ELR Order No. 50059.)
Siustaw, Sisklyou, Umpqua N.F.'s, Supplement, Oregon and Callfornla, January 13: The statement supplemonts a final efs which was filed with CBQ on February 25, 1974, and refers to the use of herbicides on the three forests in forest management activities. The chemient agents to bo used inctude $2,4-\mathrm{D}$, $2,4,5-\mathrm{T}, 2,4,6-\mathrm{TP}$, Amitrole-T, atrazine, ploIorim, and dicamba. There will be impacte to non-target spectea and to wildilfe, (ELR Order No, 50060.)
Timber Plan, Bighorn National Forest, Wyoming, January 13: The statement refers to a proposed timber plan for the Bighorn National Forest. Over the next ten yeur pe-
rlod, 68,300 acres ( 28 percent of the multable and avallable forest land) will be aubject to varlous timber maniagement activittes. The expected annual yield is 54.2 cunits, includtug 21.9 mililion board feet of saw timber. Thore will be impacts to soll, nit, water, and visual qualittes from timber cutting and the requintte road construction. (ELR Order No. 50007.)

Final
Suverleads Planning Unit, Salmon N.P. Lemhi County, Idaho, January 13: The statement refers to the 25,560 acre silvertands Planning Unit, Lemhi County in the Balmon N.F. The pianining area was divided into two management arens. The management areas are further divided into smaller segments cnlled management units, which are separate parcels of land for which minagement requirements, protection needs, and resource uses are indicated. Adverse impacts will be to soll, water, vegetation, wildlife, scenics, Itvestock graging, and roadtess area palues, Comments made by: APP, USDA, DOI, EPA, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50058.)
sorl conservation smavics
Drajt
West Fork of Bayou Lacassine Watershed, Jefferion Davis and Calcasteu Parishes, Louf3iana, January 13: The statement refera to a watershed protection, flood prevention, and agricultural water management project. Project measures include 83 mlles of channel works, land treatment measures, weirs, and plpe drops. There will be adverse impact to atr and water quality, and to mature hardwood stands. (ELR Order No. 50066.)
North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed, Grant, Pendleton, Hardy Countles, Wert Virginin, Jantiary 17: Proposed ts the construetion of 5 single-purpose floodwater retarding dams and one multi-purpose floodwater retarding and recreation dam for purposes of flood control and recreation. The project will frundate 102 acres of farm land and 1.9 miles of atream ( 114 pages). (ELR Order No. 80090.)

## Atomic Enesoy Commussion

Contact: For Non-Regulatory Matters: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington, Oftice of Asslatant General Manager, E-201. AEC. Washington, D.C. 20545, (301) 973-4241. Por Regulatory Matters: Mr. A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Profects, Directorate of LicensIng. P-722, AEC, Washington, D.O. 20545. (301) 973-7373.

Draft
Plutonlum Cardiac Pacemakers, January 16: The statement, a generic eis, refers to the proposed authorization of wide-scale use of plutonium powered pacemakers in cardiac patients. The statement outitnes destgn and testing standards for pacemakers. Environmental Impacta discussed Include the posslbility of plutonfum release through ncctdental breach of the pacemaker, and the effects of exposure to the pattent, those around him, and those involved in the handilig and transport of the instrument. (ELR Order No. 50083.)

## Proposed Fina!

The following statement, as the result of an agreement with CEQ and AEC is to be constdered a proposed finat. The commenting period on this statement is to be 60 (sixty) days, beginning with this Fzoesal Resarerky notlce of avallablity (January 27, 1975).
Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor, January 10: Tho statement refers to ABC's administrative action to continue the program to develop Liquid Metal Pust Breeder Reactor (LMPBR) technology. Consideration is givea to all aspects of tho onvfronmental effectis
of the IMPAR program, including the postulated nuclear breeder power reactor industry. The LMPRR is expected to have a higher thermal eftictency and lower radiological releases than the current 1tght, water plants. The environmental effects of the impret fuel cycle, Including fuel fabrication and reprocessing, waste management and transportation, are not expected to differ stgniffeantly from light water plants that have a similar uranfum-plutonlum fuel cycle (seven volumes). Comments made by: USDA, USCG, TVA, HEW, DOT, EPA, DOI, PPC, State and local agencles, and concerned eltizens. (EI.R Order No. 5OOB9.)

## Department of Definesi

## ABary colips

Contact: Mr. Francis X. Kelly, Director, Omce of Public Affatrs, Attn: DAEN-PAP, Office of the Chlef of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue SW. Washington, D.C. 20314, (202) 6937168.

Draft
Mermentau River-Gulf of Mexioo Navigatton Channel, Loulslana, January 13: Proposed is the milntonance dredging of the Mermemtau River-Guif of Mexico Navigation Channel to its design eross section, 15 by 100 feet. Also included in the proponal are the maintenance of jetties at the entrance of the channel In the Gulf and the ditposent of dredged materials in a manner that would leant alter the existing ecological conditione and would create new tidal marah. Annual dredging would rerult in destruction of benthic habitat and dredged material dumped on existing disposal banks would destroy vegetation and existing wildilfe habitat, (EL.R Order No. 50056.)
Yazoo Etver Basin Flood Control, soveral counties, Mississtppt, January 14: Proposed is the construction of four large levees (already completed). channel modifications, drainage control structures, levees, floodvalls, and pumping plants for the purpose of flood control in the Yasoo River Bsain. Provious attempts at channel improvement have resulted in slttatton, bank caving, and deterioration of channel eflelency. Approxtmately 45,000 acres of woodlands, 42,000 seres of cleared lands, and 4,800 acres of channel area would be committed to the project (Vickesburg Dintrict) , (ELA Order No, 80073.)

## Final

Nome Harbor and Seawall, Alaska, January 13: The statement refers to the maintenance and operation of the Nome Harbor and Beawaln. Typtcal maintenance requires the annual dredging of 16,000 to $20,000 \mathrm{cu}$. yds. of material. Adverse impaet would be that resulting to marine organinms (Alaska) (62 pages). Comments made by: DOI, DOO, USCO, EPA, State agencles. (ELR Order No. 50081.)

North Fork Feather River, Plumas County, Catlfornta, Jantuary 16: The profect concerns the conntruction of a diversion dam and diversion channel from North Fork Feather Fiver into Lake Almanor to provide flood control protection. A total of 570 meren ( 330 agricultural and 234 resident(al) will be lost: to the project. Adverse impacts will include losa of wlldife habitat, vegetation and esthetle values ( 42 pages), Comments made by: EPA, DOI, HEW, USDA, State ngencles. (ELJR Order No. 50c80.)

Cahokla Creek Low Dam, Intnols, Januery 17: The statement refers to a pian which is dealgned to replace an existing dam which hin been damnged beyond engtneerting esonomfcally Justiftable repairs. Patlure of the existing structure could reauil in severe ncour along the channel, threatening reveral
lirldgen and levees. The proposed in a rectangular broadcranted concrete dam with a spilliway width of 188 ft . and a spill way bastn with the exception of the temporary Impacts which reeult from construction activities (nolse, duht, and visual pollution), the only mignifficant adverse impact will be the loss of 20 acres of woodland, which will be revegethted (St. Louls District). Comments made by: EPA, USDA, DOI, HUD, AHP, State agencles. (EL.R Order No. 50097.)

Marion Loonl Flood Protection, Kansas, January 14: The projeot comalats of construction of a levee and floodway on Mud Creek and Cottonwood River for flood protection at Marion. The adverse effects resuiting from the project include purchase of 3 home sites, increased flooding on some lands outside of the protected area, and alterattion of the ground characteristios and vegetation on 110 ticres of land requitred for the levee and diversion channel. There will also be temporary inconventence to area inhabitants during construction (Tulas District). Comments made by: DOI, EPA, HUD, DOT, USDA, DOC, HEW, State and local agencles, and concerned citisens. (EL.R Order No. 50070.)

Onaga Lake, Pottawatomie County, Kansus, January 16: The statement refers to the proposed construction of Onaga Lake, a multi-purpose lake project on Vermillion Creek. At the top of the multi-purpose pool the lake will have a surface area of 5,320 ncres: at the top of the flood control pool the Inke will have a surface area of 10,600 acren. The projeot will result th the inundation of 17 milles of stream; 12,210 acres of land will be purchased in fee stmple for project purposes. Adverse impact will inciude the Inundation of willaife habitat and stream fishery; the displacement of people; effects to the ngricultural economy; and loss of archeological and historical sites (Kansas Clty District). Comments made by: USDA, HUD, DOI, USCG, DOT, EPA, AHP, State and local ngencfes. (ELR Order No. 50086.)

Hurricane Protection, New Orleans to Venice, Loulsiana, January 16: The statement refers to the proposed enlargement of 36 milles of levee from City Price to Venice, and the construction of 16 miles of new levee from Phoenix to Bohemina, in order to provide protection from hurricane-induced flooding. Approximately 8,500 acres will be used for temporary ponding and 1,200 acres will be required for right-of-way: much of this land Is estuarine marsh. Comments made by: DOI, EPA, USDA, DOC, DOT, HEW, AHP, State agencles, (EILR Order No. 50082 .)

Modification of Ludington Harbor and Channe1, Michlgan, January 14: The project Involves the widening of Ludington Harbor breakwater entrance, inner channel, and inner harbor turning area, and deepening of the channel and turning area to depth of 27 to 29 feet. Dredged sand will be distributed south of the harbor in shallow, near shore Waters parallel to the Buttersville bar and Bouth adjoining bluffs, Adverse impacts include the removal of 5 acres of developable Fere Marquette Lake shore sone, loss of .75 acres of aquatic bottom land, and temporary effects such as construction, nolse turbidity, and increased tramic. Comments mado by: USDA, DOC, DOI, EPA, HUD, State agencles. (ITHR Order No. 50071 .)

South Branch, Wild Rice River Flood Protection, Norman and Clay Counties, Minnesota, Junuary 14: The proposed project Involvea the construction of local flood protection works, including channel moditication, levees, and inlet modifcations, on certain reaches of the South Branoh Wild Rtce Fiver and Felton Ditch. Also Included is the Implementation of it wildufe corridor plan. A total of 314 acres of land will be committed to project measures; 6.6 miles of natural stream would be lost (St. Paul District).

Comments made by: AHP, DOI, USDA, USCG, PPC, EPA. (EL.R Order No, 50074.)
Navigation Profect, Davis, Carteret County, North Carolina, January 13: The statement refers to the proposed construction and maintenance of a deeper navigation project at Davis. Construction consists of deepening the extsting project by 2 feet to provide 4 channel 7 feet deep, 75 feet wide, and 3,500 material in diked areas ( 34 pages). Comments made by: AEC, USDA, HEW, USCG, EPA, DOF, state thnd local itgeneles, and one cltizens group. (ELR Order No. 50062.$)$

Candy Lake, Candy Creek, Osage County, Oklahoms, January 17: The statement refers to the proponed Candy Lake located in Osago County on Candy Creek. Project purposes are flood control, water supply, recreatlon, and fish and wildilife. The project conslsts of an earth dam, a reinforced concrete outlet works, an uncontrolled splitway, and project bulldings and access roads. Adverse impacts are the Inundation of 2.170 acres of land and 7.5 mittes of Candy Creek, displacement of pipelines, powerines and telephone lines, and relocation of 8 families (Tuisa Distriot). Comments made by: KPA, HUD, DOI, DOT, USDA, AHP, HEW, State agencles, (ELRR Order No, 50096.)
Catherine Creok Dam and Lake, Union County, Oregon, January 16: The statement refers to the construction of an earth-fill dam on Catherine Creek, 2.9 miles of roed reloostion, and a fish hatchery. The purposes of the project are those of flood control, Irrigation, recreation, anadromous fish enhancement, and water supply. Approximately 2300 acres will be acquired for the project. Adverse effects will tnclude the loss of .2 .5 milles of nutural stream bed and an unspecifled amount of land to be inundated. Water quallty of the stream will be affected, and the acquisition of 480 acres of land for deer and ellc will be necessary to compensate for the loss of blg game winter range. Comments made by: EPA, USDA, DOT, FPC, DOC, DOI, State and tocat agenctes, and concerned cttizens. (ELRR Order No, 500a5)

Cooper River Rediversion Project, South Carolina, January 15: The statement refers to the construction of a channel to redtvert water from the Cooper River to the Santee Rtver Basin to relleve shoaling problems in Charleston Harbor. The project will also include construction of a hydropower plant, flath hatchery, and flath tift, Adverne impicts include decreased blologlcal productivity in Cooper River, land requirements for the dtverston canal and disposal site, potential desitruetion of archeologleal sites, and turbidity and sedimentation during construction (235 pages). Comments made by: USDA, DOC, DOT, DOI, EPA, State agencles, (EIRR Order No. 50078.$)$

Little Goose Lock and Dam, Whitman, Columbia, Garfeld Counties, WashIngton, January 16: The statement refers to the exLsting Little Goose Lock and Dam Project, a navigation-hydroelectric project on the Snake River. Prolect measures Include a navigation lock, a 3 untt hydroelectric spillway dam, and a 10,025 acre lake. The proposed action ts the addition of 3 power units, and the continued operation and manngement of the project. Impacta discussed in the statement relate to recreational uses, navigation, wildilfe habitat management, the operation of fish passage faclities, and the production of electric power (Watla Walla Dtstrict) (200 pages). Comments made by: FPC, EPA, USCG, DOC, USDA, DOF, state ngencles, (ELR Order No. B0079.)

## Envmonamental, Protnetion Aciency

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers, Director, Office of Federal Activities, Room 3630 Waterside Man, Washtigton, D.C. 20460, (202) 7550940.

## Finat

Palmer Lake Sanitation District, Colorado, January 13: Proposed is the construction of an Interceptor sewor and a wastewater treatment plant ( 0.84 mgd capacity) to serve the Palmer Lake and Monument Sanitation Distrlete and the Woodmor Water and Sanltation Dlstrlet. A total of 21,000 feet of interceptor has already been constructed. The facillty would consist of two aerated lagoons, a polnihing pond, and a chlorination system: eiffuent would be discharged to Monument Creek. The new facllity would assure adequate sewage treatment for future area population growth. Comments made by: USDA, HEW, HUD, DOI, State and local agencles, and concerned eltlzens. (EL:R Order No. 50055.)

Genkral Szhvicrs Administibation
Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Knuders, Executive Director of Environmental Affatrs, General Services Administration, 18th and $P$ Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20s05, (202) 343-4161.
Final
Social Security Admin. Facilities, Baltmore, Baltimore County, Maryland, January 14: Proposed is the consolidation of Socint Securlty Administration omce and data processing facilities at two sites, one adjacent to SBA headquarters in Woodlawn, the other, Metro West, in the Orchard-Btddte Netghborhood Development Project in Baltimore. The former facllity will comprise $1,265,270 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., the later $1,156,200$, including 402.500 sq . ft . for parktng (two votumes), Commente mate by: HUD, OEO, OMB, GSA, DOT, DOI, AHF, (ELR Order No. S0072.)

## Dipartament of hud

Contact: Mr. Rtchard H. Broun, Acting Director, Omice of Environmental Quality, Room 7206, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, $^{\text {F }}$ D.C. 20410, (202) 755-6205.

Draft
Potter Urban Renewal Project, Muddlesex County, New Jersey, January 17: The Township of Edtson Mousing Authority proposes to erect 866 units of low and moderate income housing on a 177 acre vacant, wooded site in North Edison, adjacent to an existing 90 untt Pubitc Houstng Profect. The project would result in removal of vegetation and reduction of air and water quality. (ELR Order No. 50095.)

## Depantament op Intration

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard, Director, Environmental Project Review, Room 7260, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, (202) 343-3591.
Draft
Development, Crow Reservation and Ceded Area, Big Horn and Treasure Countles, Montana, January 16: The statement refers to the probable Impacts of two assumed levels of coal development on the Crow Indian Reservatton and the Ceded Area. The lower level of development would result in the export of $20,000,000$ tons of cond mnnually from three mines. The higher level of development would reault in the mining of $90,000,000$ tons annually from three mines, plue three mintng complexes and the use of some coal in three conversion plants. There will be impacts on or from: alr quality; wildife habitats; hietorto and archeologlcal resources; water conaumption and water quality; tho land aurface; the socio-political structure; recreational usea. (ELR Order No. 50081.)
Finat
Proposed IItamna National Resource Range, Alaska, January 16; The statement refers to
the proposed leglalative destgnation of 2.8 milition acres of public lands in the Lake Illamna Nushagak River area of Alaska as the Nliamna National Resource Range. It is Elso proposed that a 47 -mile segment of the Alagnak River be destgnated as a component of the Nattonal Wild and Scenle River System. The Bureau of Land Management would have primary responsibility for implementation of the land-use plan related to the action, with aseistance coming from the Bureau of Sports Pishertes and Wildilfe. Minerat development, except for valld exiating rights, will be under the discretionary control of the Federal Government ( 620 pages). Commenta made by: USDA, COE, DOC, EPA, FPC, DOT, DOI, State and local agencles, (ELIR Order No. 50088.)
nUREAU OF OUTDOOR EXCREATION
Draft
Huron Clinton Land Acquisition, Washtenaw County, Michigan, January 13: The statement refers to the proposed use of Land and Water Conservation Fund Assistance for the acquiaition by the Huron Clinton Metropolitan Authority of 3501 acres of land. The land would be used for intensive, multiple activity, daytime recreational use focused tround a 618 acre Impoundment on Mill Creek. The aotlon will necessitate the relocatlon of 44 families and the reconstruction of roads and utilities. (ELR Order No, 50054.)

## BUREAV OP RECLAMCATION

## Draft

Columbla Basin Project, several countien, Washington, January 17: The statement concerns the construction of the Third Powerplant at Grand Coulee Dam and the extenston of an irrigation system (now $50 \%$ complete) to provide irrigatton for an addittonal \$77,000 acres. The Grand Coulee Third Powerplant could accommodate additional powerplant oapacity for peaking operations of at least 7,500 megawatts. (ELRR Order No. 50098.)

## BUREAU OF spoat fisherms and wizdity

## Final

Cape Romain Natlonal Wildilfo Refuge, Charleston County, South Carolina, January 15: The statement refers to the proposed Clesignation of 28,000 acres of the Cape Romain Wildife Refuge ns wilderness within the Nationa Wuderness Preservation System. Comments made by: EPA, COE, USDA, DOT, DOC, DOI, State agenoles. (ELRR Order No. 50077.)

## mational patic simvics

## Finat

Proposed Yukon-Charley Natlonal Rivers, Alaska, January 16: The statement refers to the proposed Congressional designation of 2.23 milition acres of lands and waters along the upper Yukon River as Yukon-Chartey Natlonal Rivers, in order to protect the natlonally significant natural, htstorio and archeological values. The Rivers would be administered by the National Park Service, and would be atudfed for posstble incluaton In the National Wilderness Preservation Syatem. The land in the Charley River basin would be closed to mining and mineral entry; mining would be permitted on the Yukon portion on a permit and lease system (Included in the statement is a discussion of a conceptual master plan for management.) (669 pages). Comments made by: USDA, DOC, COE, HEW, HUD, DOI, DOT, State and local agencles. (ET:R Order No. 50087.)

Departming of Thanspoittation
Contact: Mr, MartIn Convisser, Director, Omce of Environmental Affairs, 4007 th Street BW $_{4}$ Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 4264357.

## FEDBRAL HEGIFWAT ADMTNTSTEATION

## Draft

Cotumbus and Thomaston Roads, WidenIng. Blbb County, Georgia, January 17: Proposed is the widening of Columbus Road from the intersection of Columbus and Thomaston Roads (S.R. 22 and 8.R.74) easterly to a point east of Dempsey Avenue and the widening of Thomaston Road from I 475 to its interseotion with Columbla Road. A: many as three resitfences and two businesses will be displaced by the project ( 164 pages). (ELR Order No, 50091.)
Vista Avenue-Ridenbaugh Canal-U.S. 30 Connection, Ada County, Idaho, January 18: The proposed project conslists of fmproving the existing Vlsta Avenue-Federal Way (U.S. 30) connection in Botse Clty, Idaho, IncludIng the improvement of three intersections, realignment of through routes, and widenIng rights-of-way to provide for the projected increase in through traffic flow. Adverse impacts include the displacement of families and businesaes, conistruction disruption and the gradual conversion of residential land to commerclal use. A 4 (f) statement is included concerning Platt Gardens Park. (ELR Order No, 50057.)
Preeway 502, Polk and Warren Countles, Iowa, January 17: Proposed is the construction of Freeway 592 In Polk and Warren Counties, Iowa. The alternatives range from the upgrading of an existing road, requiring 27 additional acres to construction of a new facility, requiring as much as 690 acres. Distocation of familtes and farms depends upon the alternatives chosen. (ELR Order No. 50093.)
S.R. 3020, Rapides Parlah, Loulslana, JanuAry 17: Proposed is the construction of a 5.6 mile segment of State Route LA 3026 between the municfpalities of Tloga and Timber Trails fust north of Alexandrla. The four-lane controlied access facility will displace 15 familles and one business and will require the commitment of 215 acres of restdential and forest land to roadway use. (ELR Order No, 50092.)
Loop 1, Austin, Travis County, Texas, January 13: The atatement refers to the construction of a 4.3 mille section of Loop 1 (Mo Pac Boulevard) from R.M. Highway 2244 Boutheast to the City of Austin, south to US 290 west in Travis County. The profect will displace one business and will take 315 acres of undeveloped land. There will be construction diaruption ( 248 pages). (EITR Order No. 50085.)

SHi 6 Bypass, Falls County, Texas, January 16: Proposed is the construction of the SH 6 bypass, from 3.0 miles south of Marlin to 2.0 miles north of the elty. Total length of the four-lane facilty will be approximately five miles. Construction of the project will result in the taking of right-of-way and the displacement of twelve restdences and businessea ( 51 pages). (ELLR Order No, 50084.)
Final
I-5, Santa Ana Freeway, Broadway Interchange, Orange County, Callfornia, January 13: Proposed is the improvement of the Interchange of I-5 at Main Street and the construction of a new overpass at Brondway. Twelve buanesses and is fromities witt be displaced. A $4(f)$ statement is included concerning 5000 square feet of required right-of-way. Comments made by: DOT, DOI, HUD, COE, EPA, State and locat agenclos. (ELR Order No. 50064.)

I-90, Big Timber to Creycllff, Sweetgrass County, Mrontana, January 13: Propoaed is the construction of a 4-lane, 10.5 mille tregment of $1-90$. The project will require approximataly 3.5 acres of "Fireman's Island". which although belonging to the City of Bi; Timber is not a eity park. Construction disruption will reault ( 105 pages). Comments
made by: USDA, COE, HEW, HUD, DOI, EPA, State agencles and one local agency. (ELR Order No, 50041.)

New Hampshtre Rte. 175. Woodstock, Grafton County, New Hampshire, January 15: The statement refers to the proposed relocation of a 1.2 mile segment of New Hampahire Route 175 in the town of Woodstock. The new two lane facility will necessitate the acquisition of 2.5 acres of land. Other adverse impacts include increased trafle nolse levels, temporarily incressed siltation levels in the Pemigewasset River during construction, and the loos of wildlife habltat ( 104 pages). Comments made by: USDA, USCG, COE, HEW, HUD, DOI, EPA, (ELR Order No. 50075. )

Rte, 106, Merrimack County, New Hamthire, January 17: The statement refers to the improvement of 3.8 miles of NH, Route 106 in the town of Loudon, Merrimack County. The new segment will have two lanes, 2 new bridges over the Soucook River, and six intersections. Adverse impacts inciude acquiaition of 105 acres of land, relocation of 6 families and 1 business, loss of wetlands, and a temporary increase in the level of the Soucook River during conitruction. There will also be the normal temporary negative impacta isssoclated with highway construction. Comments made by: EPA, HEW, HUD, DOI, YPC, USDA, USCG, State agencles, and concerned citlsens. (ELR Order No. 50094.)

US 75 and Spur 503, Grayson County, Texas, January 14: The statement refers to coniltruction of a 14.1 mile section of US 75 , beginning at Travis St. In Sherman and ending at the Texas-Oktahoma line at Red River. Also included in the project is a 1.7 mlle section of Spur 503 connecting proposed US 75 to existing US 75 and SR 75-A narth of Iron Ore Creek. Both U8 75 and Spur 503 are to be developed on new location to a multi-lane divided freeway design. Adverse impacts are the taking of additional grass and wooded areas (exact acreage not spectfled), and the dtipiacement of 80 fimmties, 11 businesses and 3 oll wells. Comments made by: DOT, HEW, HUD, COE, USDA, DOI, State and lecal agencles, (KLR Order No. 50069.)

State Trunk HIghway 59, Wakestia and MIIwankee Countses, Winconsin, January 13: Proposed construction of 8.1 milles of 2-lane S.H. 59. Thirty-one restdences will be displaced by the action and an unspeciftod number of acres taken. $A 4(f)$ statement will be flled as land would be taken from a local park. Comments made by: HEW, DOI, HOD, EPA, OEO, ftate and locat tgenctet, (fer 1 Order No. 50063.)

State Trunk Highway 28, Sheboygan Co. Wisconsin, January 13: Proposed is the construction, on new location, of 4.8 mitien of State Trunk Highway 28, from Sheboygan Falls to the elty of Sheboygan. Some addltional land will be required for right-of-way. nind in number of homen will be dtsplaced (115 pages). Comments made by: EPA, HOD HEW, DOI, State and local agencles, and clttzens organizationa. (ELR Order No. 50068.)

Gary I. Wrmans, General Counsel.
[FR Doc,75-2232 Filod 1-23-75:8:45 am]

## ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION <br> OFFICIAL SEAL <br> Notice of Adoption Correction

In FR Doc. 75-1887 appearing on page 3242 of the issue for Monday, January 20, 1975, the effective date, now reading "January 9, 1975", should read "January $19,1975^{\prime \prime}$.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD
[H. C. 184]
BASS FINANCIAL CORP.
Receipt of Application for Permission To Purchase the Assets of Potomac Building and Loan Association

Jaunart 20, 1975.
Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation has received an application from Bass Pinaneial Corporation, Norridge, Illinols, a multiple savings and loan holding company, for approval of a bulk purchase of the assets of Potomac Building and Loan Assoclation, Potomac, Illinois, an uninsured institution, under the provisions of section $408(\mathrm{e})$ of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1730a(e)), and $\frac{5}{5} 584.4$ of the regulations for Savings and Loan Holding Companies. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, Holding Companies Section, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C. 20552, on or before February 24, 1975.
[seal] Grenvilue L. Millard, Jr., Assistant Secretary.
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.
[PR Doc.75-2233 Flled 1-23-75:8:45 am]

## GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
Receipt of Regulatory Report Proposals
The following requests for clearance of -eports intended for use in collecting inrormation from the publlic were received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on January 17, 1975. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) $\&(\mathrm{~d})$. The purpose of publishing this list in the Froeral Recister is to inform the public of such receipt.
The list includes the title of each request recelved; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Further information about the items on this list may be obtained from the Regulatory Reports Review Omcer, 202-376-5425.

## Federal Trade Commission

Request for review and clearance of a new single-time FTC survey-Used Car Study, 54 state and territorial transportation officials will be required to provide information on used vehicle inspection programs and vehtele component degradation. The estimated average burden is 2 to 3 man-hours per response.

Carl F. Bogar,
Regulatory Reports Reviev.
[FR Doc. 75-2254 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON SUPPLEMENTARY CENTERS AND SERVICES

## COMMITTEE AND PROJECT REPORTS <br> Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, that the next meeting of the National Advisory Council on 'Supplementary Centers and Services will be held on February 20 and 21, 1975, from $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. to $5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. at the Sheraton Harbor Island Hotel, 1380 Harbor Island Drive, San Diego, California.

The National Advisory Councll on Supplementary Centers and Services is established under section 309 or Pub. L. $91-230$. The Council is directed to advise the President and the Congress concernIng the operation of Title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.
Agenda items for the meeting will include: (1) an on-site visit to the Multiage Grouping in Early Childhood Edueation project, Kenneth IIensell, Project Director, Belle Benchley Elementary School, 7202 Princess View Drive, San Dlego, Callfornia 92120: (2) member reports on ESEA Title III project visit tions since the last Council meeting; (3) recommendations and last chapter of Drop-Out Prevention report; (4) revlew and revision of Councll's operational budget; (5) project report from Sam Kerman, Project Director, Equal Opportunity in the Classroom, Los Angeles County Education Center, 9300 E. Imperial Highway, Downey, California 90242; (6) revlew of Council's role in cosponsoring the ESEA Title II annual meeting: (7) progress reports on the annual report and the Quarterlles on the handicapped and educational technology; (8) discussion of report on the nonpublic schools; and (9) report from the Committee on Special Concerns, Dr. WIIliam Harvey, Chairman.
The meeting of the Council shall be open to the public. Records shall be kept of all Council proceedings and shall be avallable for public inspection at the offlce of the Council's Executive Director, located in Suite 529, 425 13th Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on January $20,1975$.

## Gerald J. Kluempice,

 Executive Director.[FR Doc.75-2263 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice $(75-3)$ ]
NASA RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMITTEE ON GUIDANCE, CONTROL AIID INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Notice of Meeting
The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems will meet on February 19-20, 1975, at the NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California 94035. The meeting will
be held in Conference Room 217 of BuildIng 200. Members of the public will be admitted on a first-come, first-served basis, up to the seating capacity of the room, which is about 25 persons, All vistiors must report to the Ames Research Center Receptionist in Building 200.

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Councll, Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems serves in an advisory capacity only. The current Chairman is Dr. Barry W. Boehm. There are 12 members. The following list sets forth the approved agenda and schedule for the February 19-20, 1975, meeting of the Guidance, Control and Information Systems Committee. For further information, please contact Dr. Peter R. Kurzhals, Area Code 202 755-3225

| Time |
| :---: |
| 8:30 amm |

Report of the chairman (Purpose: To summsrize fisues and metions taken at the November 1974 meeting of the Research and Technology Advtsory Councll).
9:00 a.m......- Report of the Executive Secretary (Purpose: To brtef the Committee on recent changes in the NASA organization and on the status of guadance, control, and information systems programs).
0:30 $\mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{m}---$ - Software Action Plan Revtew (Purpose: To obtain Committee comments on a plan for im proving coordination and managoment of softwaro research in NASA)

11:00 a.m...... Report on Outlook for Space Technology Forecast (Purpose: To brief the Committee on the organtzation, status and plans of the Working Grotup whtch developed the technology forecast document).
1:00 p.m.....- Critique of the Technotogy Forecast Document (Purpase: To obtatn Committee views and detafled commenta on the forecasts of technology related to gutdance, control and Information nystems).
Fertuary 20, 1975
8:30 am_..... Review of New Inttlatives (Purpose: To brief the Committee on proposed new syatems technology program and obtain their comments and recommendations).

Time 10:30 a.m. $\qquad$ Committee and Discussions (Purpose: To summarize Committee indinga and recommendationa and Identify critical tssues to be itudled at subsequent meetings).
12 Noon $\qquad$ Adjournment.

Boyd C. MyErs, II, Assistant Associate Administrator for Organization and Management, National Aeronautios and Space Administration.
Janvary 20, 1975.
[FR Doc.75-2204 Fled $1-23-75 ; 8: 45 \mathrm{am}]$

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

## MUSEUM ADVISORY PANEL.

## Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10 (a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the Museum Advisory Panel to the National Endowment for the Arts will be held at 9 a.m. -5 p.m. on February 10, 1975; February 13-14, 1975; February 20,1975 in the 11 th floor Museum area, Columbla Plaza, 2104 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

These meetings are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman pubished in the Frperal. Register of January 10, 1973, these meetings, which involve matters exempt from the requirements of public disclosure under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552 (b) (4), (5)), will not be open to the public.

Further information with reference to these meetings can be obtained from Mrs. Lunn Diamond, Advisory Commfttee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call (202) 634-7144.

EDWARD M. WOLFE,
Administrative Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Na tional Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.
[PR Doc.75-2387 Flled $1-23-75: 8: 45 \mathrm{am} 1$

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

 ADVISORY PANEL FOR ASTRONOMYNotice of Meeting
Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is
hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Panel for Astronomy to be held at 9 a m. on February 10-11, 1975, in room 338 at the National Sclence Foundation. 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C.
The purpose of this panel is to provide edvice and recommendations concerning research proposals and projects in astronomy and to advise the Foundation of the impact of its research support programs on the scientific community in astronomy.
The agenda for this meeting will include:
Fembuart $10-$ Morming Stession ( $9 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M},-12$ Noons)
Summary Dlscusston of FY 76 Budget for Astronomy Long-range Plans.

Artennoos Stession ( $1-5$ p.M.)
Solar Astronomy Review.
Frbruart 11-Morntina Session (9 aim.-12 Noon)
Reports by NSP Staff on Former Panel Concerns Theoretical Phyatcs and Astrophysics Computational Facilities,

Arterenoon sisgion ( $1-5 \mathrm{PM}$. )
Future Trends in Astronomy.
This meeting will be open to the publio but limited to 10 observers who may make written suggestions following the meeting. Individusis who wish to attend should contact Ms. Mary Saffell, Secretary to the Head of the Astronomy Section, Rm. 305, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/632-4196, no later than February 7.
For further information about this Panel, please contact Dr. Robert Fleischer. Head, Astronomy Section, at the above address. Summary minutes of this meeting may be obtained from the Management Analysis Office, Rm. $720-\mathrm{K}$, Natlonal Sclence Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550.

Fred K. Murakami, Committee Management Offcer. January 20, 1975.
[FR Doc.75-2218 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## ADVISORY PANEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL BIOLOGY

## Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (PL. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Panel for Environmental Biology to be held at 9 a.m. on February 10 and 11, 1975, in room 517, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C.
The purpose of thls Panel is to provide advice and recommendations as part of the review and evaluation process for specifle proposals and projects.
This meeting will not be open to the public because the Panel will be revlewing, discussing, and evaluating individual
research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552 (b) . The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Sclence Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provisions of section 10 (d) of P.L. 92-463,

For further information about this Panel, please contact Dr. John L. Brooks, Program Director for General Ecology, Rm. 331, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202 / 632-7324.

Fued K. Murakami, Committee Management Officer.
January 20, 1975.
[FR Doc.75-2219 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## ADVISORY PANEL ON SCIENCE EDUCATION PROJECTS

## Notice of Subpanel Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Energy-Related Graduate Trainceships Evaluation Subpanel to be held at 9 a.m. on February 10 and 11, 1975 in room 464, 5225 Wisconsin Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.
The purpose of this Subpanel is to provide advice and recommendations as part of the review and evaluation process for specific proposals and projects.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Subpanel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprletary or confidential nature, including technical information; financlal data, such as salarles, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. $552(\mathrm{~b})$. The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Sclence Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provisions of section 10 (d) of P.L. 92-463.

For further information about this Subpanel, please contact Mr. Joseph Danek, Program Manager, Fellowships and Traineeships Section, Rm. 464, Natlonal sclence Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/282-7595.

Fred K. Murakami,
Committee Management Offcer.
January 20, 1975.
[FR Doc.75-2220 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## ADVISORY PANEL FOR SYSTEMATIC BIOLOGY <br> Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory

Panel for Systematic Blology to be held at $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. on February 10 and 11, 1975, at the Fafrchild Troplcal Garden, 10901 Cutler Road, Mlami, Florida.

The purpose of this Panel is to provide advice and recommendations for specific proposals and projects.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Panel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprietary or confldential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salarles; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552 (b). The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Sclence Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provislons of section 10 (d) of PL. 92-463.

For further information about this Panel please contact Dr. H. Jack Schultz, Program Director, Systematic Biology, Program, Rm. 331, National Sclence Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/632-5846.

Fred K. Murakami,
Committee Management Offcer.
January 20, 1975.
[FR Doc.75-2221 Flled 1-23-75;8245 am]

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LIQUID METAL FAST BREEDER REACTOR PROGRAM
Notice of Avallability of Proposed Final Environmental Statement
Notice is hereby given by the Atomic Energy Commission that the General Manager has issued a Proposed Final Environmental Statement on the Liquid Netal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMMBR) program and has solicited written comments on it during the sixty (60) days following publication by the Councll on Environmental Quality of the Statement's availability in the Federal Fecuister.

Copies of the Proposed Final Environmental Statement will be placed in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, as well as in the Commission's Albuquerque Operations Office, P.O. Box 5400 , Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 ; Chicago Operations Office, 9500 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439: Idaho Operations Office, 550 Second Street, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401; Oak Ridge Operations Office, Federal Building, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830: Richland Operations Omice, Federal Building. Richland, Washington 99352; Nevada Operations Offce, P.O. Box 14100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114; San Francisco Operations Office, 1333 Broadway, Oakland, California 94612; and Savannah River Operations Office, Savannah River Plant, Alken, South Carolina 29801.
Single coples of the Proposed Final Environmental Statement have been sent to all who commented on the draft.

Others, who wish to comment within the comment period ending March 25, 1975, may obtain single coples by addressing their request to W. H. Pennington, Office of the Assistant General Manager for Biomedical and Environmental Research and Safety Programs, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Weshington, D.C. 20545. Comments should be sent to the same address.
The Draft LMFBR Program Environmental Statement was Issued on March 14, 1974 ( 39 FR 9692). Public comments were also sollcited prior to the issuance of this Draft Statement (38 FR 27540). Additionally, being Intent on affording the public a meaningful opportunity to express their views, the Commission held a legislative-type public hearing on the Draft Statement on Apri: 25-26, 1974.

The Proposed Final Statement enlarges the examination of the matters dealt with in the Draft Statement and sets forth and considers the submitted vlews of the Environmental Protection Agency, other Government agencles, environmental groups, industrial organizations, and other commenters. The Proposed Final Statement has undergone internal reviews by an interdisciplinary committee of senior AEC personnel not involved in the statement's preparation as well as by several outside consultants.

Ordinarily, this document would have been considered by the Commission to determine whether it should be issued in its present, or some modified, form as a Final Environmental Statement. In vew, however, of the imminent assumption of AEC's research and development functlons by the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA), the AEC has determined that it would be appropriate for the Administrator of ERDA to consider this document in proposed form prior to concluding the NEPA review process on the LMFBR research and development program. More specifically, the Commisslon has recommended to the Administrator that he review the Proposed Final Statement, as well as the views and comments received on It, and determine whether it, along with a supplementary document embodying those views and comments, should be adopted as ERDA's Final Environmental Statement on the LMFBR research and development program.
Adoption of the foregolng course of action is not required by NEPA. Nevertheless, in embarking upon ft , the AEC considered it appropriate to determine whether or not during this further period of review-estimated to extend through the balance of FY 1975-planned LMFBZ program activities should continue. With respect to the LMFBR Base Program, it is planned during this period to continue analytical, experimental and prooftesting activities at AEC laboratories and contractor sites as well as to continue desimn, construction and operation of test facilities. Principal facilitics presently under design and construction are the Fast Flux Test Facility, the Sodium Pump Test Facility, the Fuel Element Fallure Propagation Loop System, the Large

Leak Test Rig, the Sodium Component Test Facility, and the Component Handling and Cleaning Facility. Those principal faclittes which will continue to be operated are the Liquid Metal Engineering Center, the Experimental Breeder Reactor-II, the Zero Power Plutontum Reactors, the High Temperature Sodium Facllity, the Tower Shlelding Facility, the Transient Reactor Test Facility, and the Hot Fuel Examination Faclity. Based upon annualized averages, it is presently estimated that expen/litures for the actlvfties described in this paragraph during this further period of review will not exceed $\$ 50$ million per month.

With respect to the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Project (CRBRP), the Government and industrial participants, during thls further period of review, will continue system and component design and will continue to order long-lead material and components. There will be no site clearance or construction activity at the CRBRP site. The components scheduled to be ordered during this period are the reactor vessel, the reactor vessel closure head, the reactor guard vessel, the reactor containment bulding vessel, three (3) intermediate heat exchangers, the turbine generator, twentyone (21) primary control rod drive mechanisms, seven ( 7 ) heat transport system pumps, three (3) heat transport system valves, six (6) pump and intermediate heat exchanger guard vessels, seven ( 7 ) large sodium tanks, ten (10) steam generator modules, the condenser. non-sodium valves, and other related components. This would involve estimated average monthly CRBRP expenditures for the period of further review of about $\$ 8$ million.
It will be during thls period of further review that the FY 1976 request will be made for authorization of appropriations for further expenditures on the Base Program and the CRBRP at planned levels which are commensurate with the conduct of the above-described work in these areas.

In undertaking its examination with respect to the continuation of LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during the period of further review, AEC considered and balanced the same factors it focused on in connection with the following Program and Project Determinations previously announced during earlier perlods of the NEPA review:
Project Determination-July 24, 1973 (38 FR 19853)

Project Supplemental Detormination-Febraary 28, 1974 (39 FR 7478)
Program Determiniation-March 27, 1974 (39 FR 11326)
These factors are:

1. Whether it is likely that the continuation of LMFBR Base Program and CRBRR activities during the further period of NEPA review will give rise to a significant adverse impact on the environment; the nature and extent of this impact, if any; and whether redress of any adverse environmental impact can reasonably be effected should modification, suspension or termination of Base

Program and/or CRBRP activitles result from the NEPA review.
2. Whether continuation of the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities durIng this further period of review would foreclose subsequent adoption of alternatives.
3. The effect of any delay in the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP upon the public interest.
4. Whether the additional commitment of resources to the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during the prospective review period might affect the eventual decision reached on the NEPA revicw.
The results of AEC's examination are set forth in the following findings:

1. Continuation of the LMFBR Base Propram and CRBRP activities during the further period of review is not expected to give rise to any significant adverse impact on the environment. Continuation of the LMFBR Base Program during the balance of FY 1975 will not lead to signifficant releases of radioactive or nonradioactive air and water pollutants, Releases will be small compared to releases due to other activities at various AEC program sites, and will be insignificant compared to normal industrial activities. All applicable Federal and state air and water quality standards will be met by such releases. Any potentlal construction Impact will ve minimized by preventive measures employed during construction. Redress of such environmental impact as will result from construction can be readily achleved and the environment can be returned to original surface contours.
With regard to the CRBRP, system and component design and the long-lead procurement activities will involve design, materials-forming and component manufacturing activities that will be conducted within existing offsite facilities. These activities will constitute a negligible increment to the existing work at these facilities. None of these activities will have any direct environmental Impact at the CRBRP site.
2. Subsequent adoption of alterna-tives-including reorientation, delay or termination-twould not be foreclosed by continuation of the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during the further period of review. The LMFBR Base Program is a long term research and development undertaking which will require much additional time and resources to bring the LMFBR concept to a point where it can be considered to be a commerclally viable energy option. The contract work to be performed on the LMFBR Base Program and the system and component design work foz the CRBRP during this further period of review is a continuation of prior work and all these contracts are terminable by the Government at any time.
Federal Government FY 1975 funds allocated to energy research and development are estimated to be about $\$ 1.6$ billion. AEC's portion of this is $\$ 803 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion. Of that amount about $\$ 356$ million is assigned to energy research and de-
velopment in other than LMFBR development. This is an increase of more than $\$ 105$ million by comparison with the FY 1974 budget for AEC research and development on energy systems other than LMFBR. The Federal Government has no intention of restricting work on any other reactor or energy concept as a result of proceeding with LMFBR activities.

The planned CRBRP procurement activities will be directed only to the purchase of such long-lead time items as reactor components and related materials, the designs of which are well established and the manufacturing perfod for which is relatively long. These components would not be significantly changed by consideration of plant design alternatives relating to such major factors as plant power level, refueling options, and primary pump location. Moreover, if it were decided as a result of the NEPA review that further pursuit of the LMPBR program were to be deferred or discontinued, procurement of those Items not yet delivered could be terminated and other use of or sale for scrap arranged for any deliverable materials, with relatively small dollar penalty. It is estimated that if such a decision were reached by July 1, 1975, the đollar penatty which might result from discontinuance of these procurement activities would be about $\$ 15$ million of Government and utility funds.

Finally, it should be emphasized that Issuance of any construction permit for the CRBRP would be preceded by numerous technical reviews, another environmental statement on the Plant, and a hearing on safety and environmental matters in which interested persons may participate. Provision is also made by regulation and statute for further reviews of any decision to construct, and Judicial review at the behest of any party to the construction permit proceeding. The industrial participants in the CR BRP expend their own funds at the financial risk that the outcome of the NEPA review or of the licensing process itself may require some alternative course-Including project termination. Cf. Coalition for Safe Nuclear Power v. $A E C$, et al., 463 F.2d 954.
3. The public interest would be adversely affected by not continuing the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during this further period of review since it could result in compromistng Base Program and CRBRP viability by the loss of key management, scientific and engineering personnel and would result in delay in realizing the availability of a key energy option for the Nation, with significant adverse consequences in terms of increased Base Program and CRBRP costs and substantial dollar penalties in higher costs of electricity. In AEC's earlier findings in regard to its interim LMFBR Base Program and Project revlews, it was noted that in assessing the wisdom of delaying the LMFBR program (continuation of which would be contingent on the outcome of the NEPA review), AEC could not blind itself to a
number of compelling national interest considerations. The development of viable energy options for the 1980 's and beyond is a matter of vital national concern. The soundness of the domestic economy, the Nation's position in international trade (including, particularly, its balance of payments), the country's nondependence on foreign sources for energy supplies-indeed, the health and welfare of the American people-are directly affected by the availablity of adequate means for meeting our overall energy needs during the remainder of this century. The need for this country to have the domestic capability to meet both its near and longer term energy requirements has been strongly emphasized by recent and continuing events regarding the Middle East.

While many of these considerations cannot be quantified in dollar costs alone, it is worth noting that, if the LMFBR program does go forward and succeed, a one-year delay in the commercial availabillty of the breeder retictor coutd Impose upon the U.S. economy a substantial dollar penalty in higher costs of electricity and increased environmental impact from extended use of less environ-mentally-compatible power generation facilities. ${ }^{2}$

Additionally, it should be reiterated that while a number of technological, environmental and other problems require treatment in connection with any successful demonstration of the breeder concept, availability of the breeder reactor as an alternative energy option would have special significance in regard to conservation of the Nation's fuel resources. Current-type light water reactors utillze less than 2 percent of the available energy from the uranium fuel which they burn. The LMFBR could utilize more than 50 percent of the total energy from uranium and thus extend the usefulness of domestic uranlum reserves from decades to centurles."

Moreover, the basic framework has now been established for all elements of the LMFBR program. A highly dedicated group of managers, scientists and engi-neers-both in Government and indus-try-has been brought together to work on the Base Program and CRBRP. A decision to delay or significantly curtall the Base Program and CRBRP during this
${ }^{1}$ Message from the Prealdent of the United States Concerning Energy Resources, 93rd Congreas, lst Eesmion. H, Doc. No. 03-85, April 18, 1073: Hearings before the Subcommittee on Forelgn Atratrs, 92d Congrean, 2d Seasion, September 21, 26, 27: October 3, 1972: Updated AEO Cost Beneat Analysis, WASH-1184, January 1972.
: AEC's cost-beneflt analysis as part of the LMFBR Program-Proposed Final Environmental Statement lssued January 1975 shows that the dollar penalty for a one year delay in the commeroial avallability of the LMFBR may be in the range of 81.0 to 83.3 billion on n discounted basta.
"Menaage from the President of the United States on "Clean Energy," 92d Congress, 1st Session, H, Doc. No, 92-118, June 4, 1971; 1970 National Power Survey, Part I, issued by Fedenal Power Commission, December 1971, pp, 1, 6, and 22 ,
further period of revlew would essentially destroy the framework that has been established and would result in the loss of key management, engineering and scientific personnel in the participating organizations. It is estimated that approximately 17,000 people are engaged In LMFBR activitles funded by AEC. About one-fourth of these are management, scientific and technical personnel. It is unlikely that a large fraction of these people could be utilized on other programs during the period that the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP would be delayed or curtailed. Thus, it appears that many of these individuals would be permanently lost to the LMPBR program under such circumstances.

Delaying the presently planned LMPBR Base Program and CRBRP during this period would increase the overall cost of the LMFBP program. This is due to the fncreased expenditures associated with reducing the level of effort or cancelling subprograms and then restoring these programs later, including those costs assoclated with the difficult task of reassembling resources, replacing lost personnel, retraining personnel and refurbishing facilities and equipment.

A decision to delay or significantly reduce the Government sponsored LMFBR activities also could have serious adverse implications in terms of the nuclear power industry's willingness to continue its support of the development of the LMFBR.
4. The additional commitment of resources to the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during the further revtew period woutd not affect the eventual decision reached on the NEPA review. Total cumulative expenditures on the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP through January 1, 1975, have been about $\$ 1.9$ biliion. It is estimated that it will require an additional $\$ 8.1$ bilion of Government funds plus substantial private funds to develop the LMFBR is a commercially-viable energy optlon. The $\$ 250$ million projected to be spent over the balance of FY 1975 on the Base Program and CRBRP is not insubstantial: however, in the context of prior and projected expenditures, it is not belleved to be of such magnitude as to constitute an irrevocable commitment to the continuation of the Base Program and CRBRP. Nor, for the same reasons, would it affect the outcome of the NEPA review of the LMFBR program or the actions which may be appropriate in lisht thereof, Including reorientation, delay, or abandonment, in whole or in part.
The risk involved in the expenditure of these resources during thls further period of NEPA review of the LMFBR program is not unique. Such risks are continually being taken in research and development efforts. Notwithstanding the substantial prior expenditure of time, effort and funds, programs have been terminated where it had been found that their continuation was no longer just1fied. For example, the AEC-NASA space nuclear propulsion program, involving 15 years of effort and $\$ 1.4$ billion, was dis-
continued when the feasibility of a nuclear flight engine for use in space was very close to being established. Examples of other discontinued concepts and their program expenditures include: the sodium-cooled, graphite-moderated reactor ( $\$ 150$ million); the heavy water component test reactor ( $\$ 23$ million) ; the experimental gas-cooled reactor ( $\$ 105$ million) ; the Los Alamos Molten Plutonium Program ( $\$ 43$ million); and the organic-cooled reactor ( $\$ 54$ million)
Based on the results of the foregoing examination, AEC has determined that the actions expected to be taken during the balance of FY 1975 in connection with the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP should not give rise to any significant adverse impact on the environment; would neither constitute an irrevocable commitment of resources nor foreclose the subsequent adoption of alternatives of the type that could result from the ongoing review; and, if curtailed, would adversely affect the public Interest. Accordingly, the Commission has recommended to the ERDA Administrator that the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP continue as planned during this further period of review.
Dated at Washington, D.C. this 16th day of January, 1975.
For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Paul C. Bender,
Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc.75-2041 Fited 1-23-75:8:45 am]

## [Docket No. P-351-A]

## PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF OKLAHOMA

Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses: Time for Submission of Viows on Antitrust Matters
Public Service Company of Oklahoma (the applicant), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed one part of an application, dated November 20, 1974, in connection with its plans to construct and operate two bofling water reactors in Rogers County, Oklahoma, near the town of Inola. The portion of the application filed contains the information requested by the Attorney General for the purpose of an antitrust review of the application as set forth in 10 CFR Part 50 . Appendix L.
The remaining portion of the application consisting of a Preliminary Safety Analysis Report accompanied by an Environmental Report pursuant to 52.101 of Part 2, is expected to be filed during August 1975. Upon receipt of the remainfing portions of the application dealing with radiological health and safety and environmental matters, separate notices of receipt will be published by the Commission including an appropriate notice of hearing.
A copy of the partial application is avallable for publle inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room,

1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20545 and at the Local Public Document Room Tulsa City-County Library, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102. Docket No. P-351-A has been assigned to the application and it should be referenced in any correspondence relating to it.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Dircctornte of Licensing, on or before March 18, 1975.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 9th day of January, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

> Walter R. Butler, Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch 1-2, Directorate of Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-1355 FLied 1-16-75:8:45 am]

## [Dooket Nos. 50-440, 50-441]

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, ET AL. (PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS I AND 2)

## Order To Show Cause

I. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, the Duquesne Light Company, the Ohio Edison Company, the Pennsylvanta Power Company, and the Toledo Edison Company (the Licensees) are holders of a Ifmited Work Authorization authorizes the conduct of certain work activities pending the completion of action on the Licensees' application for construction permits for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 (facility). The proposed construction slte is (LWA) dated October 21, 1974, ${ }^{1}$ which Iocated on Lake Erie in Lake County, Ohio.
II. On December 9, 1974, the Licensees filed Amendment No. 22 to their Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR) with the then AEC Regulatory Staff.* The Licensees, in this Amendment, proposed a change to a prior commitment ${ }^{3}$
Bee the letter dated October 21, 1974, from Mr. Roger Boyd of the then Atomic Energy Commission's (AEO) Directorate of Licensing to Mr. Harold L. Wilitams, Vice PresidentEngineering, Cleveland Illuminating Company, The LWA scope of work wes fupplemented by Mr. Boyd'a November 8, 1974, letter to Mr. Willams. The complete Bcope of the LWA work is set forth in Attachment A to this Order.
seffective January 19, 1975, the AFC Regulatory Staff became a part of the Nuclear Regulatory Commisaton and is hereinatter referred to in this Order as the "NRO Staft".
${ }^{2}$ On March 15, 1974, the Licensees commilted in Amendment No. 12 to the PSAR to meet the NRC Staff's requirement to design safety-related bulldings and structures to withstand the effeots of the hydrostatio pressure resulting from a groundwater level of 618 feet mean sea level (herelnafter referred to as the "Commitment").
to design safety-related buildings and structures to withstand the effects of the hydrostatic pressure resulting from a groundwater level of 618 feet mean sea level. During the course of meeting with the NRC Staff on January 10, 1975, in Bethesda, Maryland, the Licensees stated that the proposed change was prompted because of, inter alis, the impracticality of meeting the Commitment. The proposed change Involves unique hydrological and plant design features which might have affected the issuance of the LWA if the change had been known at that time. The effect of these events on the pending construction permit proceeding and the work being conducted under the LWA is discussed below.

Upon the completion of evidentiary hearings concerning environmental and site suitability matters for the facility, the cognizant Atomic Safety and Ifcensfng Board (Board) Issued a Partial Initial Decision, Environmental and Site Suitability ${ }^{\text {c }}$ on September 18, 1974, and a Supplemental Partial Initial Decision, Site Suitability and Environmental Matters on October 20, 1974. 'The Board in these partial initial decislons made the environmental findings required by 10 CFR 51.52 (b) and (c) ; and determined. based on the available information and review at that time, that there was reasonable assurance that the proposed site for the faclity was a suitable location for two nuclear power reactors of the general size and type proposed by the Licensees. Thereafter, the NRC Staff issued an LWA in accordance with and pursuant to 10 CFR $\$ 50.10$ (e) (1). The Licensees have commenced work under the LWA and are presently engaged in the performance of such activities.

The application for construction permits, consistent with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulations, is still pending before the Board. Among other considerations, the Board's consideration of radiological health and safety matters remains outstanding.

In the context of the environmental and site suitability phase of the proceedIng before the Board, the Licensees' Commitment referred to above served as a predicate for the NRC Btaff's position concerning environmental and site suitability matters in connection with the application for the construction of the facility.

The effect of the Licensees' Amendment No. 22 on site sultability is intertwined with radlological health and safety considerations. The Licensees' Commitment enabled the NRC Staff to relegate design questions concerning hycirostatic pressure to their health and safety review phase for resolution. Howover, the Licensees' recently proposed change represents a significant departure from generally used methods in the nuclear fleld. In such circumstances, the NRC Staff would have inquired further into various design alternatives to the one proposed in Amendment No. 22 before determining that the proposed site

[^4]was suitable. A site could be found suitable within the concept of the finding required by 10 CFR 50.10 (e) (2) if it were determined that satisfactory design alternatlve(s) to the untque proposal existed, and that such alternative(s) were not compromised by the work proposed to be performed under the LWA. Based on a prellminary review to date of Amendment No. 22, the NRC Staff believes the proposed change may not be acceptable. Although the NRC Staff believes satisfactory alternatives exist, one such alternative-raising the elevations of the faclitity foundation-could be compromised by the continuation of the LWA work in this case. Specifleally, to the extent excavation work under the LWA would permit any excavation of the lower till, the natural geologic foundation of the site could be so weakened as to foreclose this alternative. The lower till is probably more competent as a foundation material than an engineered backfill (such as crushed rock) which might be placed in the excavation in order to ralse the elevation of the plant foundations. Concrete is an engineered backfill material which is as competent as the lower till. However, the financlal cost of placing lean concrete as a backfill material in the excavation may render this approach impractical.

With respect to environmental concerns, the NRC Staff concluded that dewatering at the construction site would have a negligible environmental impact because site dewatering could occur only during the limited period required to construct the proposed facllity. The bases for this conclusion, however, could be vitlated if the Licensees adopted the permanent dewatering system proposed in Amendment No. 22 because dewatering of the site would occur during the forty-year life of the faclilty. Moreover, the use of the permanent dewatering system gives rise to a number of environmental considerations which have not been previously reviewed and evaluated by either the NRC Staff or the Licensees. These environmental considerations include (i) the drawdown resulting from the proposed permanent dewatering sys-

[^5]tem which could adversely affect the groundwater level beyond the boundary of the facility site, (ii) the potential adverse effects on the in situ and adjacent biota and vegetation resulting from the drawdown of the groundwater level, (iii) the potential adverse effects of dlscharging the groundwater from the proposed permanent dewatering system, and (iv) the potential adverse effect on shoreline erosion adjacent to the proposed site resulting from the pattern of groundwater flow which the Llcensees propose to alter during the lifetime of the proposed facility. In short, Licensees' Amendment No. 22 requires, in the foregoing respects, further environmental review of the proposed facility,"

Coples of the Licensees PSAR, including Amendments Nos. 12 and $22, \mathrm{Mr}$. Boyd's letters dated October 21 and November 8, 1974, and all pertinent information have been placed in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20515 and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Local Publle Document Room, Perry Public Library. 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio 44081.
III. In view of the foregoing and pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. as amended, and the regulations in 10 CFR Parts 2 and 50, It is hereby found and ordered, That:

The Licensees show cause, in the manner hereinafter provided, why all work activitles under the LWA (see Attachment A to this Order) should not be suspended pending completion of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's review and evaluation of the environmental and site suitability considerations raised by Amendment No. 22 to the PSAR; and

The public health, safety or interest requires, pending further order, that all work activities under the LWA (see Attachment A to this Order) be temporarily suspended, effective immediately with the date of issuance of thls Order.

The Licensees may, on or before February 13, 1975, file a written answer to this Order under oath or affirmation. Within the same time, the Licensees or any interested person may request a hearing. If a hearing is requested, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will issue an order designating the time and place for hearing. Upon fallure of the Licensees to file an answer within the time specified, an order will be issued, without further notice, suspending any further activities under the LWA.

In the event that a hearing is requested, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be: whether or not the work activities under the LWA should be suspended pending completion of the Nu clear Regulatory Commisslon's review and evaluation of the environmental and

[^6]site suitability considerations raised by the Licensees' Amendment No. 22 to the PSAR.
Order dated and fssued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 20th day of January 1975.

## Edson G. Cask, Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. <br> Atrachment A

The LWA work activities authorized are:

1. Preparation of the site for construction of tho facllity (tncluding such activities ns clearing. grading, construction of temporary access roads and burrow areas) such as:
a. Site survey and Layout-establishing permnnent plant monumentr and baselines.
b. Cleartig. grubbing, and demolition.
c. Preliminary grading of the plant afte.
d. Diversion of the minar stream east of the plant,
2. Reconstruction of B50 ifnear feet of Lockwood Road with a drainage culvert.
e. Relocation of the major stream.
3. Grading for Barge unioading facility.
4. Including construction of the eruahed atone reactor haul road.
g. Site Service Roads.
h. Relocation of the 16 -fnch gas plpeline.
5. Installation of temporary construction support facilitles (Including such Items ns warchouse and ahop faclitiles, utilitles, concrete mixing plants, docicing and unlonding facilitles, and construction support bulldthigs) such as:
A. Construction Omce and Warehouse Bullding.
6. Foundations and slab on grade.
7. Complete ateel superatructure and concrete fioors.
b. Guard House.
c. Concrete Batch Plant.
d. Construetion Power Strbutation.
e. Construction Power Distribution Sybtem.
8. Construction Parking Lots.
g. Contoured Berms Along Parmiy Road.
9. Excavation for faclilty structures.
10. Construction of service faclilties (ineluding such faclittion is roadwayn, paving. raflroad spurs, fencing, exterior utility and Hghting systems, transmission lines, and sanltary sewerage treatment facllities) such as:
a. Industrial Waste Lagoon Facility (2 Ingoons-unlined).
11. Poundations.
i1. Concrete muperstructure (retaining walls, etc.).
III. Pumphouse structures complete.
Iv. Underdrain Alter systom.
v. Earth dikes.
b, Sanitary Sewerage Faclity Incluaing the Tertiary Treatment Bullding.
12. Foundations including sheet pling as required.
i1. Concrete retaining walls as required.
iil. Pipe anchor blocks.
Iv. Bullding superstructures complete with equipment supporte and/or foundations.
c. Rallroad Spur Line-approximately $31 / 2$ miles long, entering the plant site from the south west,
d. Onalte Rallrond Sidinga.
e. Onsite Rallroad Bridge-over the reloeited major stream.
13. Primary Acoess Road.
14. Bituminous Concrete.
i1. Includes large corrugated metal drainage culvert:
g. Sodiment Coutrol Dams,
15. In each of three oonstruction dralnage aress on atte.
il. Dumped riprap protection and a spillway with a metal sikimming bafle.
h. Yard Piping.
16. Pant storm drainage nyatem-including eatch basins and headwalls.
i1. Fire aystem.
17. Site and Access Road Litghting Syatem.
18. Perimeter Grounding System.
k. Chemioal Cleaning Waste Lagoonlined.
19. On Interceptor Structures,
m. Construction Fencing.
20. Construction of the following structures, systems, and components which are not subJoct to the proviatons of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50:
n. Service Bullding.
21. Poundations.
22. Equipment foundations,
iil. Superitructure.
Iv. Backfin.
d. Clrculating Water Line Installation.
e. Circulating Water Pumphouse.
23. FIII concrete as required.
i1. Installation of waterproofing membrane.
iII. Placemerit of foundation mat.
Iv. Conerete exterior and interior walis.
v. Backnill.
f. Water Treatment Bullding and Auxhliury Boller Buttitng.
24. Foundations.
25. Equipment foundations and eupports.

1i1. Superstrueture.
iv. Backnil.
g. On-shore Discharge Fucllity.

1. Cooling Towers.
2. Foundations.
3. Superstructure and basins.

1i1. Backnil.
[FR Doc.75-2317 Plled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

## CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

## List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public recelved by the Office of Management and Budget on January 20, 1975 (44 U.S.C, 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the Federal. Register is to inform the public.
The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsorling the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an mdication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.
The symbol ( $x$ ) Identifies proposals which appear to raise no significant issues, and are to be approved after brief notice thru this release.

Further information about the items on this dafly list may be obtained from the clearance office, Omice of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer 11sted.

## New Forms

meoral al assave symicm
Survey of Maturity Diatribution of Large Time Deposits at Weekly Reporting Banks, 416 X , single-time, large oommercial banka, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

## meviongental protection agkncy

Ground Water Pollution Problems in the Southeastern United States, on occasion, water resources professlonals, WeIner, N., $395-4890$.

## DEPARTMEENT OF DEFENBE

Defense Supply Agency: Sclentific and Technloal Information Needs of the Defense Community, singlo-time, DOD contractors, National Security Diviston, 395-4734.

```
DEPARTMENT OF IEEALTH, EDUCATION,
```

aND WELYARE

Center for Dieease Control: A Research Action Evaluation Program for Venereal Dlsease in Los Angeles, CDC 1107, single-time, households, VD cilnic patients, and VD elinic personnel, Hall, Cleorge, 395-4097.
Offce of the Secretary: Humnn Services Planning Interviow OS-3-75, single-time, 8tate and aub-State public human service agenoles, Human Resources Division, 395-3532.
National Institute of Education: Career Education Product Information Handbook: (1) Background Information Questionnaire, (2) Telephone Interview, (3) Market Sur-Yoy-Formative Evaluation Questionnaire, NIE 80, NIE 80 (A), on oceaston, career education officlals, Planchon, P., 305-3898.

## Extiensions

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND RUMANTTE
Individual Grant Application, NEA-2 (REV). on occaston, individuals, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.

## DEPARTMEET OF ACREULTUIR

Agricultural Marketing Service: Regula-tions-Shell Egg Grading. on oocaston, Evinger, 8, K., 395-3648.
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, aNo wetpak:
Health Servicea Administration:
Uniform Quarterly Reporting Requirements for Comprehensive Health Centers, 0429, quarterly, Reese, B. F., 395-5630.
Flacal Technical Assistance Program, annually, PES grantees, Lowry, R. L., $395-$ 3772.

Migrant Hoapitalization Demonstration Program Referral Form, HSA BCHS, on ocoasion, cltents of 10 migrant health centers, Fuman Resources Division, 305-3532.
depahtament of houbing and viban DEVELOPMESNT
Housing Managoment:
Survey and Planning Budget, HUD 627, on occaslon, Byinger, S.K., $395-3648$.
Counselling Agency Activity Report Part I and Part II-Inatruotions for preparation of Report, HUD 9902, quarterly, Evinger, 8.K., 305-3648.
Summary of Budget Estimates fof Loca! Publle Agencles), HOD-6221, annually, Evinger, S.K. 395-3648.
Project Cost Estimate and FinancIng Plan, HUD 6200, on occaston, Evinger, S.K., 395-3648.

Philtip D. Larsen, Budget and Management Officer.
[FR Doc.75-2349 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

## BIO-MEDICAL SCIENCES, INC.

Suspension of Trading
January 17, 1975.
It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary stispension of trading in the common stock of Bio-Medical Sciences, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors:

Therefore, pursuant to section $15(\mathrm{c})$ (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securittes otherwlse than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 19,1975 through January $28,1975$.

By the Commisston.

> [szal] SHIRLEX E. Hollis, Assistant Secretary.
> [FR Doc.75-2183 Flled $1-23-75 ; 8: 45 \mathrm{am}]$

## [File No, 500-1] <br> CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

## Suspension of Trading JaNuARy 17, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Continental Vending Machine Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securitles exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15 (c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 20, 1975 through January 29, 1975.

By the Commission.
[seal] Shirley E. Hollis,
[PR Doo,75-2184 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC.

## Delaying Effectiveness of Proposed Amendment to Option Plan

Notice is hereby given that the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (CBOE) has fled an amendment to proposed changes in its option plan flied pursuant to Rule $9 \mathrm{~b}-1$ ( 17 CFR 240.9b-1) under the Securlties Exchange Act of 1934 delaying their effectiveness until the Com mission allows them to become effective or disapproves the changes in whole or in part as being inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

The proposed amendments to Rules $2.8,18.1,18.4,18.5,18.7$ and 18.13 , noticed on December 20, 1974 nt 30 FR 44100 ,
seek principally to simplify Exchange arbitration procedures and to reduce the number of arbitrators serving on arbitration panels in controversies arising out of Exchange business. In addition, the proposed amendments would, among other things, change the qualifications of nonmember arbitrators serving on arbitration panels in disputes between Exchange members and nonmembers.

All interested persons are invited to submit their views and comments on the proposed amendments to CBOE's plan either before or after they have become effective. Written statements of views find comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securlties and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, WashIngton, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to flle number $10-54$. The proposed amendments are, and all such comments will be, available for public inspection at the Public Reference Room of the Securltles and Exchange Commission at 1100 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.
[sEaL.]

## Shriley E. Howhis,

 Assistant Secretary.Jandary 16, 1975.
[PR Doc.75-2185 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [70-5608]

## JERSEY CENTRAL POWER \& LIGHT CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale of First Mortgage Bonds at Competitive Bidding

January 17, 1975.
Notice is hereby given that Jersey Central Power \& Light Company ("Jersey Central"), Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road, Morristown, New Jersey 07960, an electric utility subsidiary company of General Public Utilities Corporation, a registered holding company, has fled an application with this Commission pursuant to the Publio Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designatIng section 6 (b) of the Act and Rute 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Jersey Central proposes to fssue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act, up to $\$ 35,000,000$ principal amount of First Mortgage Bonds, due not later than March 1, 2005 ("bonds"). The interest rate (which will be a multiple of $1 / 8 \%$ of $1 \%$ ) and the price (which will be not less than 100 percent and not more than 102.75 percent of the principal amount of the bonds, plus acorued interest from March 1, 1975, to the dinte of dellvery) will be determined by competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under the Indenture, dated as of March 1, 1946, of Jersey Central to First National City Bank, successor to First National Clty Trust Company (formerly Clty Bank Farmers Trust Company), Trustee, as heretofore supplemented and amended, and as to be further supplemented and
amended by a Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture to be dated as of March 1, 1975. None of the bonds may be redeemed at the option of Jersey Central prior to March 1, 1980, if the funds for such redemption are obtained at an interest cost lower than the yield of the bonds, except under certain circumstances.

The entire proceeds (excluslve of premium and accrued interest) from the sale of the bonds, will be applied to the payment of a portion of Jersey Central's short-term bank loans outstanding at the date of sale of the bonds, for construction purposes or to reimburse Jersey Central's trensury for funds previously expended for construction purposes. Premium resulting from the sale of the bonds will be used for financing the business of Jersey Central, including the payment of the expenses of issuing and selling the bonds. The estimated cost of Jersey Central's 1975 construction program is approximately $\$ 135,000,000$ (including allowance for funds used during construction).

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction will be supplied by amendment. It is stated that the Board of Public Utility Commissioners of New Jersey has jurisdiction over the proposed issue and sale of bonds and that no other state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.
Notice is further given that any Interested person may, not later than February 12, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commisslon should order $\$$ hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securittes and Exchange Commission. Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mall (eir mall if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of malling) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affldavit or, in case of an attorney at law. by certificate) should be filed with the request, At any time after said date, the application, as It may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules $20(a)$ and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem approprlate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will recelve any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.
For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

> | [seal] SumLey E. HoLLIS, |
| :--- |
| Assistant |

[FR Doc,75-2186 Flied 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS CO. AND GREYHOUND CORP.

Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

January 17, 1975.

The Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc. has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f) (1) (B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stock of the following companles, which securities are presently ilsted and registered on that Exchange and will continue to be listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchange. Applications have been filed with the Commission to withdraw and strike from listing and registration on the Midwest Stock Exchange the common stock of the following compantes, to be effective upon the granting of uniisted trading privileges.
Arkanaas Loulstana Gis Company, Flle Nos. 7-4714, 1-3751.
Creyhound Corporation, File Nos. 7-4715, 1-2117.
Upon receipt of a request, on or before February 5, 1975 from any Interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on the sald application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commlssion, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.
[seal] Shirley E. Hollts, Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doe 2237 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC.

Delaying Effectiveness of Proposed Amendments to Option Plan
Notice is hereby given that the Chlcago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (CBOE) has filed an amendment to proposed changes in its option plan filed pursuant to Rule $9 \mathrm{~b}-1$ (17 CFR 240.9b-1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 delaying their effectiveness until the Commlssion allows them to become effective or disapproves the changes in whole or in part as being inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors. The amendments were
originally published at 39 FR 44100 on December 20, 1974.

The proposed amendments would modify rule 2.6 in two ways: Pirst, the definition of a quorum for the Floor Procedure Committee would be changed from "three" to a "majority" to reffect the growth in the size of the Committee. Second, the Floor Procedure Committee would be empowered to appoint additional Floor Officlals from among those members who are regularly engaged in business on the Exchange floor. These additlonal Floor Offclals would assist the Floor Procedure Committee in overseeing activity on the floor and in maintaining a fair and orderly market. The additional Floor Officials would not be members of the Ploor Procedure Committee and would not have the authority to halt trading under Rule 6.3, to declare a fast market and take related action under proposed Rule 6.6 or to impose fines under Rule 6.20.

Proposed Rule 6.6 is new and would grant the Floor Procedure Committee and its members authority to adopt special procedures to deal with unusual market conditions. Whenever a Inrge influx of orders in a particular class of option contracts impairs the maintenance of a fair and orderly market under normal trading procedures, it is proposed that a Floor Official may declare the market in that class to be "fast." Under a "fast market" declaration, a Floor Officlal would be nuthortzed to do one or more of the following with respect to the class or classes involved:
(1) Atulgn one or more classes or serlen of options traded at the post to Board Brokera at other posta.
(ii) Authorize Board Broker clerks to execute transactions.
(iii) Assign brokerage responalblities for particutar series to specifio Floor Brokers in the trading crowd.
(iv) Authorize one or more Market-Makers to act as Ploor Brokers.
(v) Direct that one or more trading rotations be employed pursuant to Rule 8.2.
(v1) Restrict the entry of new orders into the book.
(vil) Take such other sctions as are decmed necessary in the interest of maintaining a fatr and orderly market.
The fast market would be terminated as soon as conditions would permit. This proposal is intended to provide an alternative to halting trading under existing rule 6.3.

Proposed rule 6.6 would also empower the Floor Procedure Committee to place restrictions on the entry of certain types of orders in one or more classes or series of option contracts if deemed necessary for the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. Any such restriction would require approval by the Exchange's Board of Directors if it were to remain in effect for longer than two consecutive business days.
All interested persons are invited to submit their vlews and comments on the proposed amendments to CBOE's plan elther before or after they become effective. Written statements of views and comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securitles and Exchange Com-
mission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to File No. $10-54$. The proposed amendments are, and all such comments will be, available for public inspection at the Public Reference Room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1100 L Street, NW, Weshington, D.C.
Dated: January 20, 1975.
[seal]
Shtrley E. Hollis, Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2238 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]
[File Nos, 24NX-7375, 3-4508]
ROBERT SHELLEY PRODUCTIONS, LTD.
Order Temporarily Suspending Exemption
January 16, 1975.
I
Robert Shelley Productions, Ltd. ("Productions" or "Issuer") is a New York corporation originally located at 14 East 62nd Street, New York, New York. It was organized on April 9, 1971 to engage in production of theatrical, television and film works. The issuer changed Its name in January, 1972 to National Cultural Industries, Inc., and its present location is 510 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
On July 2, 1971 Productions filed a notification pursuant to Regulation A in connection with a proposed offering of 300,000 shares of tis $\$ 0.01$ par value common stock at $\$ 1.00$ per share. The offering was to be conducted by Norbert Asociates, Inc. as underwriter on a "best efforts one-third-or-none" basis. After one amendment to the notification, the offering commenced on October 19, 1971. The offering was completed on February 11, 1972, with the sale of 161,350 shares.

## II

The Commission, on the basis of in formation reported to it by its staff, has reasonable cause to believe that:
A. The offering cfrcular flled by Productions contains untrue statements of material facts and omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in the following respects:

1. The statement that Productions owned certain assets which, in fact, it did not own at the commencement of the offering:
2. The listing of an individual as a director who, in fact, was not a director of the issuer: and
3. The statement that an advance against royalties in the amount of \$10,000 was pald to the author of a certain stage play.
B. The terms and conditions of Reggulation A have not been met in the following respects:
4. The offering circular inaccurately sets forth the issuer's assets:
5. The offering circular incorrectly identifies an individual as a director of the issuer; and
6. The offering elreular incorrectiy states the amount of an advance against royaltles that was paid to the author of a certain stage play.
C. The offering was made in volation of Section 17 of the Securltles Act of 1933, as amended.
D. Orders of Injunction were issued in New York State Supreme Court against the issuer and Robert Shelley, Its president, after the filing of the notification which would have rendered the Regulation A exemption unavailable if it had occurred prior to such filing.

## III

It appearing to the Commission that it is in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be temporarily suspended,

It is ordered, Pursuant to rule 261 (a) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be, and hereby Is, temporarily suspended;

It is further ordered, Pursuant to rule 7 of the Commission's rules of practice, that the issuer file an answer to the allegations contained in this order within thirty days of the entry thereof;

Notice is hereby given That any person having any interest in the matter may file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for a hearing within thirty days after the entry of this order; that within twenty days after receipt of such request the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for a hearing at a place to be designated by the Commission for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the hearing; and that notice of the time and place for the said hearing will be promptly given by the Commission. If no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, the order shall become permanent on the thirtieth day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless it is modified or vacated by the Commission.

## By the Commission.

[seal]
Shirlex E. Hollis, Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2239 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [812-3609]

## WELLINGTON FUND, INC., ET AL.

 Filing of Application for an Order Permitting Certain Proposed Transactions January 17, 1975.Notice is hereby given That Wellington Fund, Inc., Windsor Fund, Inc, Ivest Fund, Inc., Exeter Fund, Inc., Explorer Fund, Inc., Trustees' Equity Fund, Inc., Wellesley Income Fund, Inc., W. L. Morgan Growth Fund, Inc., Westminster Bond Fund, Inc., and Fund for Federal Securities, Inc., all open-end diversiffed
management investment companies, and Gemint Fund, Inc., a closed-end diversfied Investment company ("Fund"), all of which are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") : The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("Vanguard"), a Pennsylvania corporation; and Wellington Management Company ("WMC") (collectively "Applicants"), P.O. Box 823 , Valley Forge PA. 19482, filed an application on October 4, 1974, pursuant to section 17 (b) of the Act and rule $17 \mathrm{~d}-1$ thereunder for an order permitting Applicants to engage in certain transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application on fle with the Commission for a statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

WMC is presently the "external" investment adviser to each of the Funds and the principal underwriter for all of the Funds except Exeter and Gemini, which do not continuously offer their shares and have no principal underwriter. Pursuant to investment advisory contracts and principal underwriting agreements between WMC and each of the Funds, WMC, in addition to performing advisory and underwriting functions, also provides virtually all of the day-to-day internal corporate administrative services and most of the personnel required for each Fund's operation.
The application states that the boards of directors of the Funds, which, except for Explorer Fund, consist of the same Individuals (Explorer Fund has one less member), have conducted a detailed review and analysis of the means by which the Funds could best obtain corporate administration, investment advisory and distribution services. The boards concluded that the Funds should (a) employ a full-time chief executive officer, a fulltime supporting executive and a managerial and clerical staft all of whom would be independent of any external investment adviser or distributor; (b) assume for themselves the responsibility of performing, at cost, most corporate administrative functions presently performed by WMC; and (c) continue to contract with WMC for investment advisory and distribution services. The application states that WMC has agreed to enter into revised advisory and underwriting relationships with the Funds based upon their determination to "internalize" corporate administrative functions and to assist in the implementation of these revised relationships. The appl1cation also states that Funds and WMC have negotiated a revised investment advisory fee rate schedule which, based upon specified past and present levels of the Funds' assets, will reduce the total advisory fees to be paid by the Funds to WMC by the amount of expenses previously borne by WMC plus an additional amount that will result in reduced total expenses for the Funds.

Funds propose to internalize their corporate administration functions by acquiring, capitalizing, and operating a "service company"-Vanguard-which will be owned by the Funds. Funds will
contract with Vanguard to obtain, at cost, substantially the following services: Fund accounting, including the maintenance of books and records; Fund reporting, including the preparation and filing of federal and state securities and tax reports and shareholder communications; supervision of the maintenance of shareholder accounts; administration of the arrangements between Funds and their custodian and depository banks; performance of budget and control functions, including the preparation of budgets and supervision of payments for services: and monitoring and planning, including the review and evaluation of externally performed services.

Under the proposal, Funds will have their elected executive officers who will be employed by Vanguard and who will be responsible for the Funds' general management. No officers or employees of Vanguard will be permitted to own any securities of or to have interests in any external adviser or distributor for the Funds. The initial board of directors of Vanguard will be composed of the eleven directors of the Funds. The Initial staff is estimated to consist of sixty officers and employees, most of whom are presently employed by Funds or WMC.
Applicants propose that Funds capltalize Vanguard by purchasing shares of its common stock (or other securities) in proportion to the Funds' relative net assets on the last business day of the month preceding the date on which shares are purchased. The total initial capitalization cannot exceed $\$ 500,000$ and is expected not to exceed $\$ 300,000$. which represents the amount necessary for working capital and the purchase of needed office equipment and furnishings from WMC. Based upon Fund assets on August 31, 1974, Applicants estimate that each Fund would contribute approximately .02 percent of its current net assets and in no event more than .05 percent thereof. The amount invested by each Fund will be perlodically adjusted by purchases and sales of Vanguard stock among the Funds to reflect changing net assets, assuring that investments do not become disproportionate. Each Fund will be entitled to vote its shares of Vanguard. In the event a Fund terminates its relationship with Vanguard it will be entitled to receive the fair value of its shares of Vanguard.
Applicants contemplate the purchase by Vanguard of various tangible assets such as office furniture, equipment and supplies necessary for the conduct of its business, including furnishings to be purchased from WMC at a negotiated price not to exceed $\$ 60,600$.

Section 17 (a) of the Act provides, in part, that it shall be unlawful for any affiliated person of or principal underwriter for a registered investment company, acting as principal, knowingly to sell any security or other property to such registered investment company or to any company controlled by such registered company or, knowingly to pur-
chase from such reglstered investment company any security, with certain exceptions not relevant to the application. Section 17 (b) of the Act provides, however, that the Commission, upon appllcation, may exempt a transaction from the provisions of section $17(\mathrm{a})$ if evidence establishes that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be pald, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, and that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned and with the general purposes of the Act. Vanguard would be an affiliated person of several of the Funds which will own more than 5 percent of its voting securlties. Further, since there will be common directors and Funds will own all of Vanguard's voting securities, Vanguard may be deemed to be directly or indirectly controlled by, or under common control with, one or more of the Funds. Vanguard's ovting securities, Vanguard ated person of Funds; and Funds may be deemed to be affliated persons of each other. WMC is an affiliated person of each of the Funds. Thus, Applicants state, the proposed purchases and sales of Vanguard shares between Funds and Vanguard and sales of tangibles by WMC to Vanguard may be deemed subject to the provisions of section $17(\mathrm{a})$.
Section 17 (d) of the Act and Rule $17 \mathrm{~d}-1$ thereunder, in pertinent parts, prohibit an afflifated person of a registered investment company, acting as principal, to participate in, or effect any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or arrangement in which any such registered investment company or a company controlled by such registered company is a participant with the affiliated person unless an application regarding such transaction is filed and an order is granted approving such joint enterprise or arrangement prior to its submission to security holders for approval; and provide that, in passing upon such application, the Commission will consider whether the participation of such registered or controlled company in such arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

Applicants state that by virtue of the affiliations described above one, or more or all of the Funds, Vanguard and/or WMC may be deemed to be engaged in such a joint transaction and prohibited from participating in the proposed transaction unless the Commission issues an order allowing the implementation of the proposal.

Applicants therefore, request an order pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act and rule $17 \mathrm{~d}-1$ thereunder permitting (1) Vanguard to issue and the Funds to purchase securities of Vanguard as described above; (2) Funds periodically to purchase and sell thelr securities of Vanguard among themselves to maintain
ownership of Vanguard proportional to their assets; (3) WMC to sell office furnishings and equipment to Vanguard; and (4) Funds, Vanguard and/or WMC to enter into and implement the proposed joint transaction described herein.
Applicants represent that all the proposed arrangements and transactions described in the application comport with the applicable statutory standards.
Funds state that by internalizing the management of Funds' corporate administrative affairs through Vanguard the Funds can achieve increased independence from, and an increased ability to monitor and evaluate the performance of, any external investment adviser or distributor, thereby increasing their ability to obtain the best investment advisory, distribution, and administrative services at a reasonable cost. The Funds further state that the proposed arrangement will increase the Funds' bargaining In obtaining advisory and underwriting services and reduce the Funds' expenses both immediately and in the long run by having corporate administrative services performed at cost. The Funds estimate that their expenses will be immediately reduced by approximately $\$ 300$,000 to $\$ 500,000$. The Funds state the proposed capitalization of Vanguard to be reasonable in view of the anticipated working capital needs of Vanguard and the de minimis portion of each Fund's assets to be invested and fair since each Fund will contribute capital in proportion to its current net assets with the capital contributions being adjusted periodically so that no Fund will have a disproportionate investment. Applicants also state the consideration to be paid to WMC for office furnishings and equipment necessary to conduct Vanguard's business is reasonable and fair and involves no overreaching on the part of any person concerned.
Applieants further note that the proposed arrangement will be submitted for approval by each Fund's shareholders who must also approve any necessary changes in Fund policies. The Funds state that the essential purposes of the proposal-to increase their independence and control of their affairs, increase their ability to obtain and monitor external services and reduce costs-are consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act.
Notice is further given That any interested person may, not later than February 11, 1975 at $5: 30$ p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on this matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing)
upon Applicants at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certiffeate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule $0-5$ of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein will be issued as of course following sald date, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or ndvice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.
By the Commission.
[senz] Shirley E. Hollis, Assistant Secretary.
[PR Doo.75-2240 Filed 1-23-75;8:48 am]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 681]

## ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

 Jandary 21, 1975.Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.
MC 140008 Sub 1, Wellington Transportation Corporation, now belng aseigned March 17, 1975 (2 days), at Kansas Clty, Mo., in a hearing room to be desfgnated later.
MO 44785 Sub 13, Kissick Truck Lines, Inc, now being ssalgned March 19, 1975 (2 days), at Kansas City, Mo.; In a hearing room to be later designated.
MC 139979, American Collold Carrier Corp. now being assigned March 21, 1975 ( 1 day). at Kamsas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be dentgnated later.
MC-F-12218, Crouch Bros., Inc.-ControlCaddo Express, Inc, and MO 134308 Sub 8, Caddo Express, Inc., now belng asslgned March 24, 1075 (1 week), at Kinsas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be designated later.
MO 116763 Sub 284, Oarl Subler Trucking, Inc, now being assigned February 21, 1975, at Chicago, III. Room 1086A, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bullding. 219 S. Dearborn St .
MC 117165 Sub 37, C. J. Davis, DBA St, Louls Freight Lines, now belng nssigned, February 20, 1975, at Chlcago, 111., Room 1086A, Everet5 MeKiniey Dirksen Bidg, 219 S. Dearhorn St.
MC 139841, Denver Trans-Corp., now assigned February 3, 1975, at Denver, Colo., is cancelled and the appllication is dismissed.

MC 119774 Sub 77, Eagle Trucking Company, now assigned January 29, 1975, at Denver, Colorado, is cancelled and the application is dismissed.
MC-P-12234, Century Express, L/ID.-Pur-chase-Lansdale Tranaportation Co., Inc., now assigned January 29, 1975, at Phlladelphia, Pa , is postponed indefinitely.
MC 124211 Sub 244, Hilt Truck Line, Inc., now assigned February 5, 1975, at Denver, Colorado, is cancelled and the application is dismissed.
MC 106401 Sub 38, Jolanson Motor Lines, Inc., application dismissed.
MC 139724 Sub 2, Novo Package Delivery, Inc., application dismissed.
MC 139794 Sub' 1, Somerset Litmousine Service, Inc., application dismlased.
No. 36060 , Seaway Port Authority of Duluth, et al. v. Burlington Northern, Inc., et al., now beling assigned April 22, 1975 ( 4 days), at St. Paul, Minn., in a hearing room to be designated later.
MC 107496 Bub 950, Ruan Transport Corporation, now assigned February 6. 1975, at Chicago, Ill., is advanced to February 3. 1975. In Room 204A, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, III.
[seal] Ronsat I. Oswatd,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc.75-2255 Piled 1-23-75;8:45 a.m.]
[I.C.O. Order 138 Under Rev, B, O. No, P94] BURLINGTON NORTHERN, INC. AND CHICAGO, POCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

## Rerouting or Diversion of Traffic

In the opinion of R. D. Pfahler, Agent, the Burlingtion Northern Inc., and the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company are unable to transport traffic to or from connections or to or from shippers located at Burlington, Iowa, or Mediapolis, Iowa, because of flooding.

It is ordered, That: (a) The Burlington Northern Inc., and the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company being unable to transport traffic to or from connections or to or from shippers over their joint line between Burlington, Iowa, and Mediapolis, Iowa, because of track damage from high water and flooding: that carriers and their connections are hereby authorized to reroute or divert such traffic via any avallable route to expedite the movement. The biling covering all such cars rerouted shall carry a reference to this order as authority for the rerouting.
(b) Concurrence of receiving roads to be obtained. The rallroad desiring to d1vert or reroute traffic under this order shall recelve the concurrence of other railroads to which such traffic is to be diverted or rerouted, before the rerouting or diversion is ordered.
(c) Notification to shippers. Each carHer rerouting cars in accordance with this order shall notify each shippter at the time each car is rerouted or diverted and shall furnish to such shipper the new routing provided under this order.
(d) Inasmuch as the diversion or rerouting of traffic is deemed to be due to carrier disability, the rates applicable to traffic diverted or rerouted by sald

Agent shall be the rates which were applicable at the time of shipment on the shipments as originally routed.
(e) In executing the directions of the Commission and of such Agent provided for in this order, the common carriers involved shall proceed even though no contracts, agreements, or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the divisions of the rates of transportation applicable to said traffic. Divisions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those voluntarily agreed upon by and between sald carriers; or upon failure of the carriers to so agree, said divisions shall be those hereafter fixed by the Commission in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon It by the Interstate Commerce Act.
(f) Effective date. This order shall become effective at $3: 30$ p.m., January 10, 1975.
(g) Expiration date. This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., January 31, 1975, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended.
It is further ordered, That this order shall be served upon the Assoclation of American Rallroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subseribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Raflroad Assoclation; and that it be flled with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.
Issued at Washington, D.C., January 10, 1975.

## Interstate Commerce Commission,

R. D. Pyabler,

Agent.
[F.R. Doc.75-2258 FILed 1-23-75;8:45 a.m.]

## FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.
Protests to the granting of an appllcation must be prepared in accordance with rule 40 of the general rules of practice ( 49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.
FSA No. 42929-Wrought Iron or Steel Oil Country Tubular Goods and Line Pipe to Colley, Louisiana. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. B507), for interested rail carriers. Rates on wrought iron or steel oil country tubular goods and line plpe, in carloads, as described in the application, from Minnequa and Pueblo, Colorado, to Colley, Loulsiana.
Grounds for rellef-Rate relationship.
Tariff-Supplements 62 and 63 to Southwestern Frelght Bureau, Agent, tarlff 259-F, I.C.C. No. 5080. Rates are
published to become effective on February 20, 1975.
By the Commission.
[seal] Robert L. Oswald,
[FR Doc.75-2260 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [AB 43 (Sub-No. 7)]

ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD CO.

## Abandonment of Line

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public inspection upon request; and
It appearing, that no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. $₹ \$ 4321$, et seq.: and good cause appearing therefor:
It is ordered, That applicant be, and It is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Massac County, Ill., on or before January 29, 1975, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the Federal Register.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of January, 1975.
By the Commission, Commissioner Tuggle.

## [seal] Robert L. Oswald,

 Secretary.
## [AB 43 (Sub-No. 7)]

Ifinnois Cinthal Guly Rathond Company. Amandonmirnt Briween Metropolis and Bnootroitt, Mnssac County, Intitnots
The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated January 13, 1975, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Ininote Central Gulf Rallroad Company from Metropolis to Brookport, in Massac County, III.. a distance of 5.78 milies, If approved by the Commisaton, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quallty of the humun environment within the meaning of the National Environmetal Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321 , et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section $4332(2)(C)$ of the NEPA.

It. was concluded, among other things, that the environmental effects of the proposed acthon are not considered significant because no traftic has been handied on the line since March, 1973, no diverston of trafic from rail to truck will occur, and there are no development plans or land use pollctes in the tributary territory which are dependent on the avallablity of mall service, In addition, no major ecological impacts would result should
the absndonment be authorized. Furthermore, the Illinofs Departmont of Conservation and the Massac County Bicentennial Committee have expressed their destre to purchase that portion of tho right-of-way that passes through Fort Massac State Park for a linear park.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threahold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Omice of Proceedings, Wushington, D.C. 20423; telephono 202-343-2086.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commisston, Waahington, D.C., 20423, on or before February 17, 1975.

This negative environmental determination shall become anal unless good and sufficient reason is fled to demonstrate why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is mubmitted to the Commission by the above-specifled date.
[FR Doc,75-2257 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [Finance Docket No. 25949$]$ LEHIGH VALLEY RAILROAD <br> Abandonment of Line

January 21, 1975.
Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staffprepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public Inspection upon request; and

It appearing. That no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321 , et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the Borough of Towanda, Pa., on or before January 29. 1975, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered. That notice of this order shall be given to the general publle by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the Frderal Register.

Dated at Weshington, D.C., this 16th day of January, 1975.

By the Commission, Commissioner Tugele.
[sEAL]
Robent L. Oswald. Secretary.
[Finance Docket No, 25949]
Lithoif Valisey Ramboad, Abandonmicent Betwien Dushoue and Towanda, Pennsyivania
The Interstate Commerce Commlssion hereby gives notice that by order dated Jenuary 16, 1975, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment of Its entire line of the Lehigh Valley Rallrond (LV), between Dushore and Towanda, Pennsylvania,
s total distance of 20.889 miles, if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Foderal notion significantly affecting the quallty of the human environment within the meaning of the National Enyironmental Polley Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.8.C. 4321 , et seq-, and that preparation of is detalled environmental impact statement will not be required under nection $\$ 332$ (2) (C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that the environmental impacts are not considered significant because there have been no operations over the line since June 1972, and prospects for substantial increased demand are sipeculative, alternate means of transportation are available including rail service over the lines of the Lehigh Valley Rallroad Co. at Towands. The increase in tramic created by diveraton to motor carriers will not result in a significant increase in onergy consumption nor a signiflicant degradation of the area's air quallty or nolse Ievels. The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation is negotiating with Lehigh Valley to purchase this line and restore service at least inttially to Monroeton. Reinstitution of service by the State woutd substantially mitigate any anticipated actverse effects.
This determination was based upoh the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is avallable on request to the Interstate Commerce Commisaton, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-343-2088.
Interested persons may comment on this matter by fliling their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission Washington, D.C., 20423, on or before February $17,1975$.
This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficlent reason is flled to demonstrate why an environmental Impact statement should be prepared for this action is aubmitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.
[FR Doc.75-2259 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

## [Docket No, AB 33 (Sub. No, 6)] UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

## Abandonment of Line

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public inspection upon request; and
It appearing, that no environmental impact statement need be issued in thls proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.: and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Jackson County, Colo., on or before January 21, 1975, and certify to the Commission that thls has been accomplished.
And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Fed-
eral Register, for publication in the Fzderal Register.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of January, 1975.
By the Commission, Commissioner Tuggle.
[SEAL]

## Robert L. Oswald,

 Secretary.
## [Docket No. AB 33 (Sub-No, 6)]

Union Pactic Ramioad Company Amandonment Pontion, Coalmont Branch Br-
Twins Walden and Hepilon, in Jackeon County, Colorado
The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated January 6, 1975, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Unton Pacille Rallroad Company of its line from Milepost 93.00 at Walden southwesterly to

Milepont 108.00 at Hebron, a dtstance of about 15.0 miles, all in Jackson County, Colo. If spproved by the Commiesion, does not constitute a major Federal action slgnifIcantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Pollcy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.8.O. 4321, et sect. and that proparation of a detalled environmental 1 m pact statement will not be required under section 4332(2) (C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that the environmental effects of the proposed abandonment are not considered sigilficant because no tramic has moved over the ine since 1970 and there is no immediate prompect of obtaining additional business that would warrant contlnued operations and maintenance. Although abundant conl resources are located in the general area affected by the abandonment, there are no Indications that these resources will be developed in the near future or will be adversely affected by the proposed abandon-
ment. In addition, any anticlpated shipments in the area Involved can be accommodated by motor carzler services with negligible impacts on the local air and water quality.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and conslderation of an environmental threshold susessment survey, which is avallable on request to the Intertitate Commerce Commission, Omie of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-343-2086.
Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Comminsion, Wakhington, D.C.i 20423, on or before February 5, 1075.

This negative environmental determinatlon thall become final unless good and sufficient reason is filed to demonstrate why an onvirommental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.
[PR Doc.75-2256 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 a.m.]
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[Docket No, 19ess: FCO 75-32]

## PART 1-PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

PART 13-COMMERCIAL RADIO OPERATORS

## Fee Schedules

In the matter of amendment of Subpart G of Part 1 of the Commission's rules relating to the schedule of fees. Docket No. 19658.

Amendment of Part 13 of the Commission's rules relating to commercial radio operator licenses,

1. On August 7, 1974, the Commission adopted a further notice of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter looking toward a general revision of the Commission's schedule of fees. ${ }^{2}$ It was indicated at the time of adoption of the Commission's first schedule of fees that we would undertake a continuing review of the schedule. The current proceeding is a reflection of that continuing review. A large number of comments, both formal and informal, have been received and fully considered.
2. The statutory basis for the establishment of a schedule of fees by the Commission is Title V of the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952, 31 U.S.C. 483 a Chereinafter referred to as Title V). That section provides:
It is the sense of the Congress that any work, service, publication, report, document, beneflt, privilege, authority, use, franchise, Hicense, permit, certificate, regiatration, or simillar thing of value or utility performed, furnished, provided, granted, prepared, or issued by any Federal agency (including wholly owned Government Corporations as defined in the Government Corporation Control Act of 1045) to or for any person (includIng groups, assoclations, organizations, partnerships, corporations or businesses), except those engaged in the transaction of offclal business of the Government, shall be self-sustaining to the full extent posslble, and the head of each Federal agency is authorized by regulation (which, in the case of agencles in the executive branch, shall be as uniform as practloable and subject to such policies as the President may preacribe) to prescribe therefor such fee, charge, or price, If any, as he shall determine, in case none exists, or redetermine in case of any existing one, to be falr and equitable taking into consideration direct and indirect cost to the Government, value to the reciplent, public poltcy or interest served, and other pertinent facts, and any amount so determined or redetermined shall be collected and paid into the Treasury as miscellancous recelpts * . *. 3. The Commission first adopted a sohedule of fees in 1963. That sohedule was ilmited in Its scope and established nominal fees producing revenue that totalled approximately 25 percent of the Commission's current approprlations. In 1970 the Comminaton

[^7]adopted a now and comprehensive schedule Intended to produce a fee revenue that woutd generally approximate the Commisston's costs.' Fees Schedule, 23 FCC 2d 880 (1970), 28 FCC 2d 139 (1971). However, on March 4, 1974, the Supreme Court handed down its decision in Nationat Cable Telepision Association, Inc. v. United States, 415 U.S. 336 (1974), a case which had arlaen out of a number of petitions for judicial review of the rohedule of fees adopted by the Commission in $19700^{5}$ While the case before the Supreme Court involved only the cable television annual fee, the deciston ralsed certain basic questions with respect to the manner in which the Commission had computed the foes adopted In its 1970 schedule. Since Titie V must of course be interpreted by the Commission in the future in light of the Supreme Court's construction of the statute, wo lesued the further notice of proposed rule mnking on August 7, 1974 which is the subfect of this Report and Order. The notice reflected the remand of the case for further proceedings directed by the Supreme Court with respect to the cable televiston annual fee and, in addition, proposed other revisions in the schedule of fees in Iight of the Court's Interpretation of the statute.
4. The Supreme Court in the NCTA decision remanded the matter for further proceedings consistent with its opinfon after holding that since the Commission's activities benefit the public as well as the regulated industries, the Commission could not merely calculate the total cost of supervision and then contrive a formula that reimburses the Commission for that amount; the Congressional aim that franchisees pay some of the cost of necessary regulation, the Court stated, can be achieved within the framework of "value to the recipient" as contrasted with the public policy interest that is also served. Thus, the Court rejected the concept that the Commission's fees should approximate its budget, stating that the cable television annual fee should not include the agency's costs "for the protective services rendered the public by the Commission." Our Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making was designed to revise the schedule of fees in accordance with the Court's directive.
5. We note at the outset that numerous comments in this proceeding argued that the Court declared annual fees to be illegal per se. However, the Court's opinion does not support their contention. We also take note of the fact that the dissent expressly declared its dissatisfaction with the majority's failure so to hold. We therefore reject the contention

[^8]that the statute withholds power to fashion fees payable on an annual basis."
6. The further notice proposed a revised schedule of fees under which only the costs of those Commission activities that are specifically identified as benefitting identifiable recipients are included as costs to be recovered in the fee program. A fundamental ground upon which the Further Notice was based and upon which the schedule adopted hereln is based is that the "value to the reciplent" factor is reasonably related to a portion of the Commission's costs. When the $N C T A$ decision is read together with Title V , a clearly reasonable interpretation that will result in a workable statute is to base the fees as a reflection of value to the reciplents upon the cost of Commission activities that confer a benefit on identifiable recipients. The comments have uniformly falled to recognize this. The value to the recipient cannot practicably be construed as an abstract concept unrelated to the other considerations set out in Title V. The Court's discussion in NCTA of the "protective services" the Commission renders the public as compared to the benefits it confers on individual recipients indicates, as does the statute itself, that the costs of providing those benefits constitute the base, and outer limit, for Commission fee recovery. Otherwise the Commission could base its fees on the "true" value to the recipient in an economic sense, and we suspect that no one would argue that the value to individuals of the hundreds of thousands of authorizations issued each year by the Commission does not exceed the Commission's total costs of operation manyfold. Thus, in attempting to revise our schedule of fees in a manner that will be consistent with Title $V$ as construed by $N C T A$, we have identifled those areas, primarily processing of applications and other authorizations, which provide a clear value to Identifiable reclpients. The costs of providing these benefits were set as the upper limit of fee recovery in each area and vlewed as equivalent to the total value to all recipients of the specific services. However, rather than dividing the costs equally among all applicants e.g., for broadeast construction permits, which would seem unfair, or attempting to assess fees based on the Commission's actual costs of processing each individual application, which would be unreasonably difficult, if even possible, the value to the recipient concept has been used to establish reasonable fees that reflect the varying value to the myriad of different kinds and sizes of Commission appilicants and Ilcensees, whether it be a television station with a spot rate of $\$ 3,000$ or a radio station with a spot rate of $\$ 5$; a cable television system with 500 subscribers or 5,000 subscribers; an amateur radio station or a station providing service on a commercial basis in the 806947 MHz band. It is simply umrealistic

[^9]to suggest, as many comments have, that the value received from such Commission authorizations does not Justify the fees.
7. Another basic point whlch has also been generally ignored and/or misunderstood by the comments, is that a Commission activity that benefits a reciplent may be made subject to a fee even though the activity is performed to insure that the authorization received is exercised in a manner which serves the public interest. This point was emphasized in the further notice:
The Commission does not conslder that either Title V or the NOTA decialon establishes mutually exclusive eategorles of servIces, 1.e., the Commission is not limited to charging fees for services which solely beneIt the reciplents of thoce services. Such a view would render Titte V a nullity because the very basis for the establinhment of the Commisition was the protection of the public interest in wire and radio communication, and pubife interest conidderations are thus an inherent part of all Commisston activities. It is our view that the Commission is authorfised to charge fees for thcse services that provide a value to Identifable recfplents, which we have identified as activities assoclated with processing of applications that provide authorivation for individuals, for example, to operate radio tranimilters, or sell radio equipment, or collect common carrier charges. The fact that the general public may also benefte by Commission authorlzition of such activities, in that the activities may dtrectly or indirectly provide a service to the public, does not limit the Commlsston's authority to charge a fee to the reciptents of the services that will allow those services provided by the Commission to be operated on a self-sustaining basls as mandated in Titte V .
8. Numerous parties commented that the costs of all of the Executive Director's Office should be excluded from the fee collection base as not being directly attributable to application processing and related activities. However, there can be no doubt that the included portions of the Executive Director's Office do provide essential administrative support to the offices and bureaus engaged in the processing itself. As such, they are indirect costs of applications processing which should properly be included In the fee base.
9. Numerous parties objected to the inclusion of the cost of hearings in the fee base. It was frequently pointed out that hearings are required in order to determine whether a licensee is operating in the public interest or which of several competing applicants for a 11cense could best serve the public Interest, and it was claimed that the Commission's hearing role is as guardian of the public interest rather than as a provider of value to a reciplent, Furthermore, it was argued that hearings are already very costly to Commission Hcensees and applicants, who should not have to bear the additionst burden of the costs of protecting the public. As the Commission observed in the further notice, "the public interest factor is more clearly focused when a hearing is in-

[^10]volved." However, it has not been the Commission's view that elther Title V or the NCTA decision establishes mutually exclusive categories of services, i.e., those that solely provide value to a reciplent and those which solely benefit the public. Although the public interest may be more clearly focused, hearings are only one phase of the process the ultimate result of which is the granting of licenses or otherwise providing some value to an identifiable recipient. Whether it be in hearings or in nonhearing review of applications to see if specific standards are met by an applicant, all of the Commission's processing relates to a request for an authorization which is of direct value to the recipient. This cost, we believe, is recoverable through fees.
10. On March 29, 1974, the Commlssion suspended the collection of the cable television and broadcast annual fees In view of the substantial questions raised by the opinion of the Supreme Court in the NCTA case. However, it is clear that the Supreme Court did not declare annual fees illegal per se, and there appears to be no reason for not reassessing arnual fees for the period in which the suspension order has been in effect. The fees will be determined using the same method employed to calculate the other fees adopted in this Report and Order, but with the budget for fiscal year 1973 as the cost base. The recalculated cable television annual fees for calendar years 1973 and 1974 are being computed in this manner. The cable annual fee for calendar year 1975 will be as set forth in the appendix. The broadcast annual recalculated fee will cover the period April I, 1973-December 31, 1974. The fee for any part of the twelve month fee payment period occurring after December 31,1974 will be the fee appearing in the appendix. These fees and their due date will be announced In a second Report and Order to be issued in the very near future.

## Broadcast Services (

11. The schedule of fees applicable to Broadcast Bureau applications has been altered significantly as a result of consideration of the comments and a reexamination of the varying processing costs of different applications. It appears that in fact annual fees and assignment and transfer fees produce revenues somewhat higher than the cost of processing renewal applications and transfer applications respectively whereas the revenues from fees for applications for construction permits, major and minor changes and other similar applications are consilderably less than the cost of processing these applications. In light of this, we concluded that it would be advisable to determine more precisely the cost of processing the various applications filed with the Bureau and the cost of hearings related to those applications so that fee revenues would more nearly approximate the costs of processing each category of application.
*Id, at 400.
12. As explained in the further notice, the cost figures utilized in developing the fee schedule were those appearing in the Commission's fiscal year 1975 budget estimates submitted to Congress. These estimates are prepared primarily by activity rather than by Commission organizational unit. The word "activity" denotes discrete functions within the Commission such as broadeast and common carrier and includes costs allocated from the administrative law judges, Review Board, Offlce of Opinions and Review the Data Automation Division and the Dockets Branch. Each activity is divided into sub-activities which further describe discrete functions within each activity, of the seven Broadcast sub-activities, the Commission, in developing the further notice, determined that the costs of only two, application processing and related hearings, could be recovered by fees. Thus, in further refining the cost allocation, the primary task was to allocate the cost of the two sub-activitiesapplication processing and hearingsamong the three primary categories of applications-renewals, assignments and transfers and facilities applications (appllcations for construction permits, major and minor changes, etc.).
13. Using estimated costs for the various units of the Broadcast Bureau which process the applications, the percentage of the cost of processing each type of application to the whole bureau cost of application processing was determined. These percentages were applied to the application processing sub-activity, costs to determine the cost of processing each type of application. Two per cent was substracted from each of these figures to account for the cost of processing fee exempt applications. Using estimates of the number of broadcast applications which would be designated for hearing in fiscal 1975, the percentage of the cost of hearings for each type of application to the whole cost of hearings was determined. As with the application processIng sub-activity, these percentages were applied to the costs of the hearings subactivity to determine the cost of hearings for each type of application. Two per cent was subtracted from each figure to account for enforcement hearings.
14. The fee recoverable costs for processing and hearings for each category of applicatlon were added. The portton of the cost of the Executive Director's Office assigned to Broadcast activity was divided between the three categorles of applications on the basis of the ratio of each to the total Broadcast fee recoverable costs. The cost of the Antenna Survey program attrlbutable to the Broadcast Bureau was then added to the total for facllities applications. The resulting total fee recoverable costs were $\$ 1,509,178$ for renewal applications, $\$ 908,272$ for transfer applications and $\$ 4,558,184$ for facilities applications.
15. By utllizing the method described above we have determined more precisely the costs which are incurred in the processing of each category of application. The total fee recoverable cost for the entire Bureau activity utilizing this

## RULES AND REGULATIONS

method is approximately the same as the total fee recoverable cost appearing in the Further Notice.
16. The annual fee has been lowered from that proposed in the Further Notice in order to recover the $\$ 1,509,178$ cost of processing renewal applications. We find no basis for the contention in several of the comments that the annual fee does not conform to the value concept set forth in the NCTA decision. It should be clear that the broadcast annual IIcense fee is imposed in lieu of a renewal application fee. In the 1970 Report and Order in Docket 18802, adopting the present schedule of fees, the Commission pointed out that "upon the effective date of the fee sehedule, renewal fees for all broadcast applications will be abolished in favor of annual operating fees." We think there is no question that a fee based on license renewal is soundly rooted in the concept of value to the recipient. We do not agree with the argument In a number of comments that a renewal application fee would be more consistent with the NCTA decision. There is nothing in either NCTA or in New England Power Co. v. Federal Power Commission, 415 U.S. 345 (1974), which prohiblts per se the use of annual fees. When it has been determined that certain Commission activities are of the type that provide valuable benefits to identifiable recipients, there may be a number of methods of assessing a fee. The selection among alternatives is within the Commission's discretion, and we have found nothing In the comments that rafses any serfous question as to the reasonableness of the selection of an annual fee as opposed to a renewal application fee for recovery of costs attributable to the renewal of operating authority every three years.
17. The assignment and transfer fee has also been lowered further to recover $\$ 908,272$, the cost of processing assignment and transfer applications. A number of comments raised questions as to both the general policy and the modification of assignment and transfer fees proposed in the further notlee. There is no real question, as has been pointed out on numerous occasions, that the grant of an assignment or transfer application results in substantial benefits for the applicant. Moreover, the revised method of assessing the grant fee based on the gross revenue of the station involved clearly provides a reasonable and, we think, quite precise allocation of the fee among various types and sizes of applicants. Several parties also state that the collection of fees in assignment and transfer cases where there is an intervivos gift will have an inhibiting effect on gifts to charitable institutions and would also complicate estate planning. However, assuming that any substantial effect could be demonstrated, we do not believe that its consideration is relevant here. As the Supreme Court made amply clear in the NCTA case, our function is not to levy a tax based upon considera-

[^11]tions of public policy, but rather to assess a fee to compensate for Commission work done which is of value to the reciplent.
18. The various fees charged for the processing of applications by the Facilitles Division have been raised so that fee revenues will more nearly approximate the costs of processing of those applications. As stated eariler this cost is \$4,558,184 . The schedule adopted here is designed to recover approximately \$1,200,000 . We believe that the various fees are reasonable and fully conform to Title V's mandate and the Supreme Court's decislon in NCTA. Higher fees, although arguably warranted by the high cost of processing these types of applications, would not properly reffect the value to the recipient of our authorizations as the Supreme Court required. Therefore, we have concluded that we will not recover a large portion of the costs of processing facilities applications,
19. One party contends that the amount of some of the broadcast fees has an inhiblting effect on the Commission's regulatory actions, in that the Commission would hesitate to modify or fall to renew a license or to revoke a ilcense, where the party affected could be said not to have had the opportunity to get the full benefit of the authorization for which the fee was paid. This concern is without foundation, and we note that no basis for it has been supplied.

## assignment and transfer grant fee

20. One of the comments suggests that the gross revenue approach is an improvement in the method of assessing grant fees because it gives a definiteness to the administration of the fee. However, that party also argues that the Commission has not disclosed its method of deriving the formulae used for arriving at different grant fees for different types of applications. We believe that the discussion in the further notice, 48 FCC 2d 409-11, as well as the internal Commission documents used in developing this portion of the schedule, which have been placed in the publio docket, have given interested parties adequate and complete information as to the method by which the various formulae components were developed.
21. The rates (multipliers) that are contained in the formula represent the mathematical basis for computing the fee, using the underlying market value of the property in lieu of consideration, which was the base in the 1970 schedule. The use of the gross revenue method of computation of the grant fee in assignment and transfer cases meets the tests specified in NCTA, and it gives nearly complete administrative certainty as well as making it easy for the parties negotiating a transaction to compute the fee.
22. One of the parties suggests that the annual gross revenue figure, as reported on line 19 of FCC Form 324, should be reduced by the amount of line 15 of Form 324, i.e., "All Broadcasting Revenues Other Than from Time Sales", because they say those "are not sufn-
ciently related to broadcasting functions to warrant their inclusion in the basis for computation of the Commission's grant fee . . *" The amount of revenues disclosed on line 15, while not a part of time sales, is one of the components of the revenues that determine the entire market value of the broadcast property. Moreover, it would be a rare case in which they were a signiffeant portion of the total annual gross revenues. We believe that certainty obtained in using the total annual gross revenues justifies its use.
23. Several of the parties vigorously challenge the charging of fees for acquisitions of further ownership interests within the two-year period following the transaction that gave rise to the necessity for filing the assignment or transfer application. The challenge is that such a fee is unreasonable and arbitrary because there is no application to process, and all that is involved is record changing in the station's ownership file. As we clearly outlined in the further notice, the Commission based the recovery of such a fee on the ground that Interests acquired within the two-year period prior to the "control-acquiring" transaction that gives rise to the necessity of flling an application, and within the two-year period thereafter, are parts of the entire transaction. That is, we utilized the "transactional" approach (48 FCC 2d 412-13). The fact that little or no work is involved in changing the ownership records for additional acquisitions of ownership interests within the specified period is not the determining factor. The Commission is of the opinion that these acquisitions in practically all cases are part of a plan to acquire more than just majority control of the licensee, and we cannot reasonably permit a step-by-step acquisition to be used to frustrate the normal fee collection. Since the acquisitions within the period are part of a process of acquisition a grant fee calculated upon the entire process is fair and reasonable.
24. A number of parties contend that the Commtsston should create an exemption from grant fees for assignment and transfer applications that are based on involuntary changes in legal ownership to an executor or administrator upon the death of a licensee, a partner, or principal stockholder. They argue that fees in such cases are an undue burden, and are inequitable. Under the present provisions of Note 5 to $\frac{8}{1.1111(8)(5), ~}$ such Form 316 applications filed pursuant to $\$ 1.541$ are exempt from the payment of grant fees, Note 5 makes clear that grant fees are required only on Form 316 applications filed pursuant to $\$ 1.540$ (b) (3) or (b) (6). Of course, as in all Form 316 applications, flling fees are required.
25. Two of the parties state that the grant fees to be charged in partnership cases where a "less than controlling" interest in the partnership is transferred are discriminatory because "less than controlling" interests in a corporate licensee can be transferred without pay-
ment of grant fees. There is an apparent anomaly. However, the cholce of business organization is of course voluntary and generally based upon other considerations. This cholce has numerous "side effects," of which this is one. Because of the legal characteristics peculiar to a partnership, changes in its makeup result in the termination of the old entity and the creation of a new legal entity. Therefore, an application is required, work is done by the Commission and a benefit is conferred. A change in ownership of a corporation does not require the filing of an application if less than control is involved. The fact that an application is not required does not mean that no benefit may result from the change, but it does mean that there is no need to invoke the Commission's processes and that, accordingly, there is not the same basis for assessment of a fee as is the case with a partnership.
26. One party stresses the need for confidentiality (that was proposed in paragraph 27 of the further notice) of the gross revenue figures that are to be used in the computation of grant fees in assignment and transfer cases. Two specific steps are here outlined to carry out this objective. Section 0.457 (d) (1) (1) will be modified by the addition of a Note to grant confidentiality; any correspondence with respect to the computation of the grant fee will be placed in the "confidential" portion of the license file.

## internathonal broadicasting

27. Far East Broadcasting Company, Inc., the Hicensee of Station KGEI, an international broadcast station, contends that there should be no fees charged. It urges that: (1) Such stations operate as tax-exempt, non-profit, noncommercial International educational organizations; and (2) An exemption "is dictated" by the United States Information and Educational Exchange Act of 1948 (the Smith-Mundt Act), 22 U.S.C. 1431 et seq.; and (3) The fee base has been improperly computed, with an improper imputation of value to the recipient in this case.
28. While the existing international broadcast stations may not now carry commercial material, they may do so, with certain limitations, under our rules, unlike noncommercial educational stations. Nothing in the Communications Act or the Commission's rules or policy prevent KGEI or other international broadeast stations from broadcasting institutional advertising. We believe it reasonable to assess a fee in accord with the authority we grant. Nor do we find that the Smith-Mundt Act dictates an exemption for international broadcasting. Far East urges that that Act contains a Congressional mandate for the expansion of private international broadcasting. However, the Act, as modified by the Reorganization Plan No. 8 of 1953, provides that the Director of the USIA shall reduce government information activities whenever corresponding private information dissemination is found to be adequate. There is nothing there to suggest that such private dissemination is to be
exempted from fee payments which are required of domestic counterparts of such stations that choose not to broadcast advertising matter.
29. KGEI claims that the "value to the reciplent" in international broadcasting is at best nominal. We do not agree. An international broadcaster has been given the use of valuable spectrum space. He may choose to operate on a profit or non-profit basis, as he so desires. Within broad limitations, he may broadcast programs as he chooses. We see no basis for the requested exemption under elther the Independent Oifices Appropriation Act or NCTA.

## Common Carrier (Section 1.1113)

30. Common Carrier respondents unanimously objected to the imposition of fees for tariff filings essentially on the grounds that tariff processing is strictly for the benefit of the using public. The argument is made that there is an essential fallacy in the Commission's analysis of section 203 of the Communications Act, since the purpose of the section is to protect the public against discrimination and unjust rates and the carriers do not benefit from enforcement of the tariff filing requirements. The Commission remains of the opinion that it is appropriate to charge tariff flling fees. The filing of tariffs is required before a carrier may lawfully obtain revenues for its services. Without such tariffs the carriers could not legally operate and would recelve no revenues whatsoever from the public for interstate and foreign communication's services. In short, carriers must fle tarifis to do business. A tariff filing involves a change in some aspect of a carrier's business, and it involves Commission consideration and workload. The processing of tariffs by the Commission provides value to the carrier just as does consideration of a broadcast or other application, even though the carrier need not await a "grant" to commence operation. It is not persuasive to argue that tariffs are filed in order that the public interest may be protected and that the Commission's inquiry concerning a tariff is to assure that the public in protected. This is, of course, true in part in this area as in other areas of Commission regulafion. But it is not a dispositive contention because here as in other areas there is also the element of benefit conferredin this case the right to render common carrier service to the public.
31. The consensus of those responding to the alternatives presented in the further notice is that the most practical method of assessing tariff fees would be to apply a fee to each tarifi page. We agree that this method would be the easiest to administer by both the carriers and Commission allke, and we will prescribe a charge for each original or revised tarifi page filed with the Commlssion. Transmittal letters accompanying tariff filings will be excluded but all tariff
${ }^{30}$ See Aeromatifical Radio Inc. V. U.S, 335 P.2d 304, 311 (7th Cir. 1964), cert, den., 379 U.8. 965 (1965) holding that "value to the reciplent" need not be a pecuntary value.
pages will be included regardless of whether requested or ordered by the Commission or voluntarily filed by the carriers. In recognition of the greater value to the larger carriers who generate proportionately greater interstate and foreign revenues from tariffs than do the carricrs of lesser size, we are prescribfigg a sllding scale of fees based on overall operating revenues of each carrier.
32. We reject the argument made by most of the common carrier respondents that the sole purpose of a rate or tariff hearing is to permit the Commission to resolve questions affecting only the public interest, and that no costs associated with the hearing process should be included within fee recoverable costs for any service. While there is a large element of public beneft in the hearing process, the carriers, in our view, also recefve substantial benefit from such proceedings. The Communications Act expressly gives the carriers the right to hearings before the Commission may prescribe rates, regulations, etc. against the carriers' will, and hearings provide opportunities for the carrlers to be heard in support of rate or tariff proposals which present problems. Such hearings often result in Commission approval of increased rates or higher rate of return levels, ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ but the essentlat point is that they are a more formalized phase of the process of reviewing tariff, thus of the process of obtaining an authorization to serve the public. In all tariff and rate hearings proceedings, we are called upon to arrive at results that are just and reasonable not only from the standpoint of the public but also just and reasonable from the standpoint of the stockholders and the owners of the carriers. Accordingly, we conclude that reffection of hearing costs in fee recoverable costs for each setivity is not inconsistent with the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court.
33. With respect to the objections raised against the imposition of fees for each mobile unit associated with a base station Iicense in the domestic public land mobile service, we would point out that this fee treatment is strictly in accordance with the "value to the recipient" concept. Furthermore, it is consistent with the application of fees to each transmitter in other Commission radio services. We are not persuaded that the fees proposed are prohibitive or unduly burdensome for the five-year license period in the land mobile service.
34. We recognize the "non-profft" characteristics of cooperative telephone companfes. However, the service they render is of economic benefit and is limited to members of the assoctations, In our view, the public benefl of these telephone companies cannot properly be equated with that of the public safety,

[^12]health and welfare and educational entitles traditionally exempt from the Commission's fees.

## SECTION 214 FEES

35. AT\&T suggests certain changes in the proposed fee schedule for domestic satellite channelizing applications, in view of the manner in which such facillties will be used in the domestic network. The presently proposed fee structure is essentlally the same structure applied in the past to international satellite channelizing applications and, in AT\&T"s view, is inappropriate for domestic satelitte channelizing applications, particularly the proposed grant fee for channels of communication at an earth station. The carrier recommends that the proposed fee schedule for satellite channelizing applications be confined to international applications and that domestic applications be treated the same as Section 214 landine, wire, cable or radio route applications, with airline mileage, instead of route mileage, used for straight line terrestrial distance between earth stations.
36. We believe AT\&T's suggestion has merlt. Inasmuch as domestic satellite circuits will be integrated into a terrestrial network and will often be used interchangeably with (or in competition with) terrestrial ctrcuits, it would be appropriate to establish like fee schedules. Therefore, we have decided to apply the grant fee to both domestic satellite and terrestrial circuits on the basis of airline channel miles between terminal cities. We believe such consistency in applying fees will be consistent with most tariff offerings which base distance charges on airline miles. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Since we will be applying fees on an airline rather than route mile basis, we have adjusted upward the rate to compensate for the fewer miles that will be involved. ${ }^{\text {it }}$ Also, we are setting a maximum grant fee based on a 2500 mile channel length so as not to overly burden very long domestic communications facllitles (e.g., to Alaska, Hawali and Puerto Rico). We are also making other minor adjustments to the Section 214 fee schedule for clarification purposes.
37. With respect to the determination of grant fees for Section 214 applications,
we proposed to establish equivalency factors for transmission in the digital mode of $9.6 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ (for data) and $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ (for voice) equaling one 4 KHz analog channel ( $\$ 1.1113$, footnote 10). AT\&T commented that $9.6 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ is a reasonable equivalent for data transmitted over a 4 KHz analog (voice) channel but that $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ of data or one volce channel could be transmitted over digital transmission facilities. Therefore, it recom-

Iz Part 63 of the rules is in the process of beling amended to require the specification of airilne distance in all section 214 appllcations.
${ }^{12}$ By comparing sirline milles and route miles of various section 214 applications, we estimate that alrline milleage would generally be $25-30 \%$ less than the route mileage. Thus, the rate per channel mile would have to be adjusted upward about $35-40 \%$ to gleld approximately the same fee revenue.
mended that the last sentence of footnote 11 be modified to read: "When a digital mode is used for voice or data services, an equivalency of $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ to a 4 KHz channel will be used."
38. In considering this matter we recognize the difficulty in equating analog and digital channels since digital data is often connected to an analog format and transmitted over analog facillties while analog or volce signals are digitized and transmitted over digital facillties. In the former case $9.6 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ of data can usually be accommodated on a 4 kHz analog or voice channel, and in the latter case the voice signal requires $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ of capacity on a digital system. We further appreciate the fact that in many systems the use of a single channel may vary between voice and data, and that alternate analog and digital facilities may be interconnected. Therefore, we have decided that for ease of administration we should use only a single equivalency: $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ equaling a 4 kHz analog channel, and have modified the schedule accordingly.

## Sapety and Spectal Radio Services ( 81.1115 )

39. Since the release of the further notice of proposed rule making in this proceeding in August 1974, the number of applications filed and expected to be flled in this Bureau has significantly increased resulting in a projected fee revenue substantially higher than that shown in the Further Notice. Therefore, we are on our own motion further lowering the fee to $\$ 4.00$ for most applications. This will result in fee revenues which more nearly approximate the expected costs of processing applications and granting authorizations.
40. In the land mobile services, all of the comments supported the new fee proposals. Four of the comments, however, took strong exception to the reasons for our decision to withdraw the proposal to charge an additional fee for authorizations with multiple mobile units, or ship or aircraft in plurality or fleet licenses. We said in the Further Notice that the original proposal to charge extra flling fees in these cases was not feasible because the fee recovered for each extra unit would be substantially below $\$ 1$ and the cost of paying and collecting the fees would be unduly burdensome for both the applicants and the Commission. Accordingly the unit fee is not being adopted and no useful purpose would be served by generally abandoning the principle of unit fees, as some of the comments suggest.
41. One comment, that of Telecommunications Council, expressed concern that a disproportionate share of the costs of operating certain Commission offices such as the Review Board and the Administrative Law Judges, was allocated to the Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau. We have allocated only those costs of those offices for the portion of their time spent on Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau authorization of services matters. Specifically, of the total number of man-years required to operate the offices of the Law Judges, Dockets

Division, Review Board and Office of Opinions and Review, we have allocated $5,3,2$, and 3 man-years, respectively, or a total of 13 man-years to the Bureau's 128 man-years for authorization of services.
42. All but one of the comments pertaining to the Citizens Radio Service supported the proposed fee reduction. Most of the comments suggested that no fee be required for organizations providing a service to the public, e.g., REACT teams. However, these organizations receive the same intrinsic value from their radio license as other licensees, regardless of the services they provide to the general public. The one comment in opposition recommended higher fees, asserting that if the lower fee is adonted licenses might be granted to people who should never be licensed. Apart from the merits of that argument, it is clear that the Commission does not have authority under 31 U.S.C. $483 a$ to use its fee schedule as a vehicle to advance such public pollicy objections. See NCTA v. U.S., 415 U.S. at 340-41.
43. All comments on the fees for the Amateur Radio Service objected to any filing fees in that Service for routine authorizations, on the grounds that the service is by its very nature a public service and the licensee cannot use his station for pecunlary gain or business activity. We again find this argument, which has been repeatedly made by amateur operators, to be inadequate to support the requested rellef. Amateur licensees, while they may engage in valuable public service activities, are primarily involved in the use of radio for their own personal interest. Ench licensee clearly receives a valuable benefit from his licerise. Additionally several comments objected to the disparity between a $\$ 6$ fee for an amateur operator compared to a $\$ 4$ fee for commercial operator licenses. First, we would note that as discussed above, we are lowering the proposed fee to $\$ 4.00$. Further, the comments ignore the fact that the amateur fee covers the operator's license as well as the station license. The commercial Hcense fee covers only the operator's license fee; the station fee is additional. We are on our own motion reducing the modification fee from the proposal of \$5 to $\$ 3$, a change which will have no significant impact on the overall recovery of Bureau costs.
44. In the Aviation Service none of the comments objected to the revised, lower fees. Several referred to and supported an earlier comment by the Aircraft Operators and Pilots Association in this proceeding that objected to any licensing fees by this Commission for aircraft stations or aircraft station operators, on the grounds that this licensing should be the function of the Federal Aviation Administration, and argued that no operator permit be required for aireraft stations. However, this is not the appropriate place to address those issues, which are in any event governed by the Congressional directive that we license the use of aircraft radio, and the Radio Regulations of the International Telecommunications Union. One comment

RULES AND REGULATIONS
questioned whether we are properly computing the portion of our expenses which can lawfully be recovered through fees and, particularly, whether we should recover the costs of hearing or regulatory activities. We are attempting herein to recover our costs in granting authorfzations, which may include hearings, but not the general costs of regulating the services. For example, we have included the costs of all our employees who are directly involved in the acceptance, processing and granting of applications, but we have excluded the costs of employees involved in rule writing, revocation proceedings, imposing monetary forfeitures for rule violations or carrying out administrative functions not directly involving authorization of services. Other personnel, who are involved in both regulating and authorizing services, have had an appropriate portion of their time allocated to the authorization of service, as shown on time sheets, or by job descriptions. That portion of their time is reflected in the costs we are recovering through the new fees. Concern was also expressed that too much of the costs of operating the Chicago Spectrum Management office may be allocated to the Safety and Special Radio Service, since that office also processes applicatlons for other services. The present spectrum management licensing program at the Chicago office is devoted primarily to Safety and Special radio activities. Over 99 percent of the applications handled there are in the Safety and Special Radio Services, Consequently, we belleve the number of applications relating to the work of other bureaus is too minimal to warrant further adjustments.

## Cable Television ( $\$ 1.1116$ )

45. The further notice proposed a revised fee schedule for the Cable Television ("Cable") and Cable Television Relay ("CAR") Service which specified, (1) in the CAR Service, fees ranging from $\$ 5$ to $\$ 20$ for applications for construction permits and licenses, and modifications, reinstatements, assignments, and transfers of control and (2) in the Cable Service, an annual authorization fee equal to 13 cents per cable system subscriber. Each of the fees in the proposed revised schedule is slightly more than 40 percent of its counterpart in the previous schedule. The purpose and anticipated effect of that reduction is to yield an estimated return of $\$ 1.16$ million per yearapproximately 45 percent of the anticlpated FY 1975 cost of FCC Cable and CAR regulatory activity.
46. The fee was determined in the light of estimates of the number of applicatlons that would be filed in each category during FY 1975 and the estimated total number of cable subscribers in the United States in calendar year 1974.4 The Commission has adopted a Cable and CAR Service fee schedule designed to yield

[^13]only enough revenue to offset the costs attendant upon processing applications for CAR Service construction permits, licenses, etc., and Cable television certificates of compliance.
47. Some of the comments contend that, with the possible exception of CAR station licensing, no Commission fees should be levied against cable systems, since the whole purpose and effect of the Commission cable regulatory program is not benefft to cable operators but restriction of cable operators for the benefit of the general public (and, incidentally, broadcast television licensees). It is also suggested that no fees should be charged in connection with the processing or grant of a certificate of compliance, since (a) the certificate does not provide a cable operator with a benefit analogous to the three year loan of a portion of the radio spectrum which accrues to a broadcast station licensee, and (b) the certificate of compliance is not really a license in view of the fact that a prospective cable system operator must first obtain a franchise from a State or local governmental unit.
48. In considering this contention, we note the Supreme Court's statement that, "A fee * * * is incident to a voluntary act, e.g., a request that a public agency permit an applicant to practice law or medicine * * The public agency performing those services normally may exact a fee for a grant which, presumably, bestows a benefit on the applicant, not shared by other members of society." NCTA v. U.S., 415 U.S. at 340-341. We think the Court's reasoning is equally applicable to the Commission's regulation of cable television, which confers an authority-to carry broadcast signalsnot conferred upon the public at large. The fact that the regulation attendant upon the grant of this benefit is designed to protect the public interest in its use should not obscure the presence of the very real benefit involved. Nor does the fact that the prospective cable operator must normally obtain another authorization from a State or local governmental unit affect the result. It is irrelevant to the benefit conferred by the Commission's process. It might also be noted in this connection that the Commission's rules prevent State and local authorities from imposing unduly high franchise fees upon cable television systems.
49. Some of the comments argue that no fees should be charged unless an application is granted since an applicant receives no benefit if the application is not granted. The application fees in both the Cable and CAR Services schedule are quite nominal (they range from $\$ 5$ to $\$ 20$ ). In the overwhelming percentage of cases, the application results in a grant of the license or other benefit sought (albeit only after detalled correspondence with the applicant in many cases to elicit from him amendments curing defects in his application). Thus in the overwhelming percentage of cases the fact that the charge is for the filing, rather than the grant, of an application has no practical effect, although it does facilitate the bookkeeping operations of the Commis-
sion. In any event, in those few cases where the application is not granted, the rpplication fee is scant compensation for the work performed by the Commission in considering the application. We do not believe that it is improper to impose a nominal fee for this work even though no authorization may finally be granted.

50 . It has also been argued that no annual authorlzation fee should be charged, since a cable operator is benefited by the authorlzation to operate a cable system only during the year in which the authorization is issued, and that no authorization fee, annual or otherwise, should be levied against cable systems that have obtained their authorizations to operate via temporary "grandfathering" (until 1977) pursuant to the revision of the cable rules in $1972 .{ }^{\circ}$ It is urged that since no application processing work by the Commission is involved in such authorizations, no fee should be exacted. We do not accede to this argument because the cable operator benefits from the authorization to operate conferred by our rules, whether or not he has filed an application for the particular year. Clearly, an authorlzation with an effective life of several years may be accompanied by a fee apportioned over that period. And, all systems operate under the authority of the Commission rules, including those "grandfathered" systems which need not flle a formal application for a certificate of compliance until 1977. The Commission is providing the same benefit, and is doing work in connection with that benefit, whether or not it has recelved a formal application. We do not believe that the existence of a formal application is the touchstone of the right to impose a fee under Title $\mathrm{V}^{34}$
51. In the area of cable television fees, as well as in other areas, it has been argued that the Commission's expenses resulting from hearings conducted in
${ }^{18}$ A grandfathered system, operating temporarily purauant to the general grant of authority to do so, must even within the perlod expiring March 31, 1977, submit a formal appilication if its franchise expires before that date or if it desires to add a television broadcast signal to its operations beforo that date, See $\$ 76.11$ (a) and (b) of the Commission's rules.
${ }^{18}$ The Commission could, of course, in lieu of "grandfathering." have required all cable systems to flie applications for certificates of compliance within 90 days of adoptton of the 1972 rules. The Commission could also have ceremonialized the continuing authority of cable systems to carry broadcast signals by requiring a cable operator to annually apply for a one-year renewal of hls authorization, thereby providing the document which some of the comments seem to feel is a prerequisite to imposition of a fee. However, nelther Title V nor the Supreme Court's decision in NCTA require such formallties. The fact that the Commisalon has chosen a simpler method of regulation of oable television does not foreclose it from assessing fses for the valuable benefits that are nevertheless provided by the Commission activities. The praotical result is the same, and cable operators equitably share the coets of providing the benefits which all of them receive, without regard to the essentially frrelevant consideration of whether any partioular system filea for a certificate in any partioular year.
connection with certificate of compliance applications should not be treated as part of the cost-recoverable fee base, since such hearings are conducted to determine the public interest rather than to benefit cable operators. As discussed eisewhere, it is the Commission's position that since hearings are but one phase of the process by which value is provided to cable operators, their cost is properly included in the fee base. As for the fact, also urged upon us, that only a small percentage of certificate of compliance applications result in hearings, the Commission is well aware that processing and evaluation of some applications involves considerably greater Commission activity and expense than is involved in the treatment of other such applications. However, that fact provides no Justification for treating the cost as if it were not related to the certification process, and the added ficrement to all fees is minimal.
52. In response to objections to the use of the number of subscribers as a measure of the annual authorization fee, the Commission remains of the opinion that the formula for determination of the annual authorization fee as set forth in the proposed revision of $\$ 1.1116$ provides the best practical means of assessing a fee reasonably related, in each case, to the benefit received by the cable operator from his receipt of the Commission's authorization to operate his cable system. AIthough monthly subscription rates do vary somewhat from one system to another, utilization of a system's subscriber count in determining the amount of the fee results in a fee reasonably related to earnings differences among systems. ${ }^{\text {T }}$ At the same time, it is conventent for use by the Commission in verifying the correctness of the amount paid, and avoids the need for reference to cable system income data (net or gross) which should be respected as confidential in nature. It-is apparent that the larger a cable operation is, the more its owners benefit from the cable system operating authority which they have recelved from the Commission. At the same time, the formula is designed to yield a total income not in excess of the total cost to the Commission of its activity in authorizing cable operations.

Equiparent Testina and Approval ( $\$ 1.1120$ )
53. As a result of the fact that the cost allocation to the Equipment Testing and Approval area previously was performed primarily on an application processing basis, the general revision of the cost allocation explained above has had little impact in this area. Thus, there have been relatively few changes in the amounts of

[^14]fees set out in the Appendix from the fees proposed in the original notice in this proceeding. The categories of fees for certification have been modifled somewhat for simplicity and there have been siome revisions in fees for-type approval to reflect more accurately the relative costs of performing the tests on each type of equipment based on records of man-hours expended and test equipment utilized.
54. Several parties commented on the proposed schedule in this area. Two comments argued that certification of radio frequeney devices provides no benefit to the manufacturer as its purpose is to prevent harmful interference which impacts upon the public at large. We note that the argument that the Commission's work is in the public interest has been made by broadcasters, cable television system operators, and common carrlers. In response to the argument here, we would point out that manufacturers of radio frequency devices are prohibited from marketing such devices unless they have been certified. ${ }^{23}$ Therefore, certification is the prerequisite to any sale by the manufacturer. There can be little question that the Commission's action in certifying equipment provides substantial value to the reciplent.
55. One comment indicated that the higher filing fee and a lower grant fee is not as reasonable as a lower filing fee and higher grant fee because equipment may be withdrawn at any time prior to the grant. However, a substantial portion of the costs involved in application processing is incurred whether or not a grant is made; the relatively higher filing fee covers most, but not all of, the costs involved, whether or not the equipment is withdrawn or rejected. Similarly, in regard to the combined fee for certification and acceptance, which was questioned, the costs of processing are incurred whether the equipment passes or fails. It was argued that if equipment is rejected, payment of a fee for resubmittal is not in line with the NCTA ruling, for, although the Commission's costs have increased, the benefit to the manufacturer has not. The Commission is not required to provide gratis the service of revlewing and/or testing equipment any number of times until the manufacturer perfects it. It is incorrect to say that such a service would not beneflt the manufacturer. Furthermore, as we noted in the Further Notice, it has been our experlence that the vast majority of such applications are pursued successfully.
56. It has been questioned whether separate fees should be charged for the certification of recelvers having the same chassis but different identification numbers. As our certification program does not include testing as a prerequisite to certification, there is relatively little reduction in cost in the processing of the application for certiflication of a given recefver simply because a recelver with the same chassis was previously certiffed. Furthermore, new value to the reciplent

[^15]Is furnished by each separate certification. Therefore, a lower fee is not warranted.
57. One comment pointed out the omission of the note in the certification subsection which provided: "No fee is required for certficates for use of industrial heating equipment on Form 724 in accordance with $\$ 18.116$ of the Commission's rules of this chapter." This provision was inadvertently deleted in the Further Notice, but is now included. Parties filing Forms 724 for equipment which has already received prototype certiffeation are not required to remit any further fee.
58. In response to the comparison made by one comment between the Commission's fees and the lower estimate for equipment testing recelved from a private laboratory, we can only observe that. Title $V$ does not require that in structuring our fee schedule the criterlon of the market price of analogous activities engaged in by private businesses be constdered. Additionally, the standards used by a private firm may be significantly different from those prevalling in our Inboratory.
Efeecive Date of the New Schedule or Fees
59. The new schedule of fees adopted herein and the related amendments to other sections of the Commission's rules will be effective as of March 1, 1975. Under the effective date of March 1, 1975, all spplleatlons recelved by the Commisston on or after March 1, 1975, will be subject to the revised schedule of fees. as set out below. Additionally all grants of authority made on or after March 1 will be subject to the new schedule regardless of when the application for such grant was flled.
60. Authority for the adoption of the amendments herein is contained in section $4(1)$ of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. $154(1)$, and Title V of the Independent Offices Appropilation Act of 1052,31 U.S.C. 483 a .
61. Accordingly, it is ordered, That effective March 1, 1975, Parts 1 and 13 of the Commtssion's rules and regulations are amended as set forth below.

## Adopted: January 15, 1975.

Released: January 20, 1975.
(Secs, 4, 309, 48 Stat., as amended, 1060, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154,303 )

Federal Communications Commission, ${ }^{\text {² }}$
[seal] Vincent J. Mullins, Secretary.
Parts 1 and 13 of Chapter I. Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended to read as follows:

1. The Schedule of Fees Flled With the Commission, Subpart $\mathbf{G}$ of Part 1 is amended in the following respects:

In 8.1 .1102 , the Note following paragraph (b) is deleted, paragraphs (d), (e),

[^16]and (f) are revised, paragraph ( $j$ ) is deleted.

In 81.1103 , paragraph (b) is revised and paragraph (c) is deleted.

Sections 1.1111 and 1.1113 are revised.
In 81.1115 , paragraph (a) is revised and a subparagraph (c) (10) is added.
In \$ 1.1116, paragraphs (a) and (b) are revised and paragraph (C) is deleted.

Sections 1.1117 and 1.1120 are revised.
The revised Subpart Gf of Part 1 reads as follows:
Subpart G-Schedute of Fees Filed with the Commission

See.
Bec.
1.1102

Payment of fees.
1.1104 Return or refund of fees.
1.1104 General exceptions.
1.1105 Cleneral rule (STA and walver)
1.1111 Schedtilo of foes for Radio Broadcast Services.
1.1113 Schedule of fees for Common Carrier Services.
1.1115 Schedule of fees for the Safety and Special Radio Services,
1.1116 Schedule of fees for Cable Television and Cable Televistion Relay Services.
1.1117 Schedule of fees for commercial radio operator examinations and licensing.
1.1120 Schedule of fees for equipment type approval, type acceptance and certiffeation.
AUTHority: Sec. 501, 65 Stat. 290; 31 U.8.C. 483a.

## Subpart G-Schedule of Fees Filed With the Commission <br> General. Information

### 81.1101 Authority.

Authority for this subpart is contained in Title V of the Independent Offices Appropriatlon Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. 483a) which provides that any service rendered by a Federal agency to or for any person shall be performed on a self-sustaining basis to the fullest extent possible. Titie V further provides that the head of each Federal agency is authorized by regulation to prescribe such fees as he shall determine to be fafr and equitable.

## $\$ 1.1102$ Payment of fees.

(a) Filing fees. Each application or other flling fled on or after August 1, 1970, for which a fee is prescribed in this subpart, must be accompanied by a remittance in the full amount of the flling fee. In no case will an application or other flling be accepted for flling or processed prior to payment of the full amount specified. Filings for which no remittance is recelved, or for which an insufficlent amount is recelved, shall be returned to the applicant without processing. In the case of multiple applications for which a single check is drawn to cover all fees for the applicattons, there should be attached to the remittance an accounting sheet or notice stating what fees are covered by the check or money order.
(b) Grant fees. The applicant shall observe the instruction contained in the notice of grant concerning payment of grant fees. Grant fees shall be accom-
panied by a transmittal advice Identifying the purpose of the check. The duplicate copy of the Commission's natice of grant, which will specify the amount of the fee, will suffice.
(c) All remittances should be accompanied by a letter, application, rate card, grant fee notice or other document to properly identify the purpose of the fee.
(d) Where a separate grant fee payment is prescribed in the various services, the fee will be payable within 45 days after grant by the Commission. In the broadcast services the grant fee in assignment and transfer cases must be transmitted by the new licensee immediately following consummation of the transfer or assignment., All grants, approvals, and authorizations issued by the Commission are made subject to payment and recelpt of the applicable fee within the required perlod. Fallure to make payment of the applicable fee to the Commission by the required date shall result in the grant, authorization or approval becoming null, void and ineffective after that date.
(e) Broadcast Annual License fce. The annual license fee prescribed for broadcast stations must be submitted each year on or before the anniversary date of the explration date of the station's license. The licensee shall submit the smount of the annual fee together with the station's rate card for the preceding June 1 , on which the annual fee is based. (See $\$ 1.1111(\mathrm{a})(6)$ ) ) Such fee shall be for the twelve-month perlod immediately preceding the anniversary date on which the fee is payable.
(1) A new station first becomes liable for the annual license fee at the time program test authority is granted. In the first year, the fee will cover the period from the date of grant of program test authority until the next payment (anniversary) date. (Example: If a station is in operation for seven full months prior to the next payment date, the annual license fee is seven-twelfths of the annual rate.)
(f) Cable Television Annual Authorization fee. The annual fee prescribed in $\$ 1.1116$ (b) of this chapter for cable television systems must be submitted by Aprll I of each year for the preceding calendar year. The fee will be based on the average number of subscribers as set out in $81.1116(\mathrm{~b})$.
(1) A new cable television system becomes liable for the annual authorization fee as of the date at begins to charge for service to 50 subscribers or more. In the first year of operation of the system, the fee will be computed based on the average of the number of subscribers being served on the last day of each calendar quarter of operation up to the end of the calendar year. (Example: If a cable system is in operation on the last day of three quarters prior to the end of the calendar year, the average of those three last-day figures is to be used in computing the fee required.) The fee will cover the number of full months of operation until the end of the calendar year. (Example: If a cable system is in
operation for seven full months prior to the end of the calendar year, the fee is seven-twelfths of the annual rate.)
(g) Applications and attached fees should be addressed to Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554, or to the appropriate FCC field omce and should not be marked for the attention of any individual bureau or office, Fee payments should be in the form of a check or money order payable to the Federal Communications Commission. The Commission will not be responsible for cash sent through the mails. All fees collected will be paid into the U.S. Treasury as miscellancous receipts in accordance with the provisions of Title $V$ of the Independent Offices Appropriations Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. 483 a ).
(h) Recefpts will be furnished upon request in the case of payments made in person, but no receipts will be issued for payments sent through the mails.
(i) Except as provided in $\$ \$ 1.1103$ and 1.1104 , all application flling fees will be charged irrespective of the Commission's disposition of the application. Applications returned to applicants for additional information or corrections will not require an additional fee when resubmitted, unless the additional information results in a major change in the application; the resubmission will then be treated as a new appllication requiring a new flling fee.
§ 1.1103 Return or refund of fees,
(a) The full amount of any fee submitted will be returned or refunded, as appropriate, in the following instances:
(1) Where no fee is required for the application fled.
(2) Where the application is fled by an applicant who cannot fulfill a prescribed age requirement.
(3) Upon return of an application for renewal of an operator license which is received after expiration of the grace perlod.
(4) Where the applicant is precluded from obtaining a license by the provisions of section $303(1)$ or $310(\mathrm{a})$ of the Communications Act.
(5) Where circumstances beyond the control of the applicant, arising after the application is filed, would render a grant useless.
(6) When applications (accompanied by fees) are fled where not actually required by Safety and Special Radio Services rules (e.g. change of address, pro forma change of corporate name, etc.).
(7) When construction permit hoiders and licensees make nonsubstantive correction in license grants within a perlod of 60 days from the grant.
(b) Payment in excess of an applicable fee will be refunded oniy if the overpayment exceeds $\$ 3$.

## \& 1.1104 General exceptions.

(a) No fee is required for an application filed for the sole purpose of amending an authorization or pending application (if a fee is otherwise required) so as to comply with new or additional requirements of the Commission's rules or the rules of another Federal Government
agency affecting the authorization or pending application; however, if the applicant also requests an additional modiflcation or the renewal of hts authorization, the appropriate modiffcation or renewal fee must accompany the application. Fee exemptions arising out of this general exception will be announced to the public in the orders amending the rules or in other appropriate Commission notices.
(b) No fee is required for an application filed by an allen pursuant to a reciprocal radio licensing agreement.
(c) A receiver model certificated prior to August 1, 1970, and which will continue to be distributed after August 1 . 1970, need not be recertificated and no filing or grant fee shall be required for continued distribution provided it will continue to be distributed under the same trade name and model number and with Identical circuitry.
\$1.1105 General rule (STA and waiver).
Except as otherwise provided no filing fee is required for any application or request for special temporary authority (STA) or waiver of brief duration or minor character in any service or for the grant of either an STA or a waiver of brief duration or minor character. Upon the grant of an application or request for elther an STA or a waiver of an important character, the applicant will be notifled to remit a fee in the following amount for the respective services:

\$1.1111 Schedule of feer for Radio Broadeast Services.
(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, the fees prescribed below are applicable to applications and operations in the Radio Broadcast Services:
(1) Construction permits. Application for construction permit for new station or for major changes in existing station:

|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Filing } \\ \text { fex }}}{ }$ | Grant fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHP-Top 50 markets ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 519,000 | 807, 500 |
| UHP-Top 50 markets. | 2,500 | 23,500 |
| VHY-Next 50 markets. | 4,000 | 27.000 |
| UHP-Next 50 markets. | 1,000 | 9,000 |
| VHP-Balance. | 2,000 | 13,800 |
| UHP-Balance | 500 | 4,500 |
| YM-Clies A | 200 | 1,250 |
| YM-Class B and | 400 | 2,700 |
| AM-Day- 50 kW | 1,000 | 6,759 |
| AM-Day -25 kW | 800 | 5,400 |
| AM-Day-10kW | 60 | 4, 059 |
| AM-Day- 5 kW | 400 | 2,700 |
| AM-Day-1kW | 200 | 1,350 |
| AM-Day-500 W | 100 | 675 |
| AM-Day-850 W | 50 | 340 |
| AM-Unimited 80 kW | 2,000 | 13,309 |
| AM-Unilmited 25 kW | 1, 000 | 场, 800 |
| AM-Unilmited 10 kW | 1,200 | 8,100 |
| AM-Uulhmited 5 kW | 800 | 5,400 |
| AM-Unilmited 1 kW | 400 | 2,700 |
| AM-Unlimited 500 W | 200 | 1,350 |
| AM-Usilmited 250 W | 100 | 655 |
| AM-Clasi IV. | 200 | 1,369 |

${ }^{1}$ The market sire ahall be deteruined by the ranking
of the American Revarch Buran. on the bosts of witme thme householitit (avergen nuartecthour audionce doring prime thme, all hame sfatlens).
(2) Other applications: The following
fees shall accompany each application:

|  | AM | YM | TV | Auxiliary ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applleaflon for conatructlon perinlt to replaee exptred pernit, FCC Form 321 2. | 530 | 5250 | 5250 | 250 |
| Application for moditication other than minjor change, FCC Yorm 301......... |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| (A) Application to change nintenna/transmitter sites or to liverease antenna heleht; or to change anterna puttern. |  |  |  |  |
| (B) Ail othr FCC Yorm 301 applications.................................. | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Appleation for chatige of eall sten for hrindenst station | 200 | 200 | 200 |  |
| Application for authorization in Auxillary Browdesat Bervios, FCO Form 313: |  |  |  |  |
| (A) Application for modincation of construction permit of lioms in AuxLilary Broudenst Services. |  |  |  | , |
| (B) Application for construation perruit for remote plickup moblde station. |  |  |  | 0 |
| (C) Application foe construction permit for inter-city relay; or for studio transmitter link; or for remote pickup base station. |  |  |  |  |
| ) All other Form 313 appilations, |  |  |  | 10 |
| Application for construction permil or lioense of mixiliary of aiternate main transmitter: | So | 50 | 80 | a |
| All other applications in the lircactenat wervion | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

1 With reapect to appilications for remote pickup bropleast stations authorized under Subpart D of Part 74 of this chapter, one foe witi cover the base station (if ariy) and ail the remote pickup mohile stations of a maln statiou, provided the applications therefore are filed at the same uimie.
 ter reploce a conatruction permit for a modifleation other than a major change mast be accompanied by a fee of $\$ 50$ in all mitvice.
 but not hess than sHos.
(3) Subscription Television, Application for Subscription Television Authorliantions:

Application filing fee.
(4) International Broadcasting. Con-
struction Permits:
Filing fee. $\qquad$
Grant fee
Filing Fee for Application for Seanonal Schedule:

## Per transmitter-hour requested

 (for one day)17 (5) Assignments and tranafers. Application for assignment of license or trunsifer of control-Form 314, Form 315 and Form 316 applications. (Where more than one broadand station license is involved, the total amount of fees prescribed for etth license so involved will be paid in the manner set forth below.) :

Sales or exchanges:
Applicatlon filing fee (forms 314 and 315) ................. 8200.
Application fling fee (form 316) $\qquad$
Grant fee (to be paid immediately following consummation of the assignment or transfer):

For AM rtations, and folnt nssignment or tranafor of AM-FM stations, with gross revenue of $\$ 400,000$ or less.
0.9 re of gross revenue.

For AM stations, and Joint nasignment or transfer of AM-FM stations, with gross revenue greater than $\$ 400,000$.

For all FM stations.
For televiaion stations with gross revenue of $\$ 800,000$ or less.
tlons with gross revenue greater
For televiston stations with gross revenue greater than $\$ 800,000$.

In all other cases and/or when gross revenue is Indeterminable (See Note 2)

Gifts:
Application filing fees and grant feen for assignments or transfers resulting from gifts are the same as those for sales or exchanges above, with the exception that no grant fee will be assessed. for an assignment or transfer by gift from a pernon to as spouse and/or lineal dencendant.

Nore 1: Gross revenue will be determined by taking the average of the annual gross revenue figures reported on line 19 of FCC Form 324 for the respective station (s) for the three years immediately preceding the date of the coniummation of the transter or assignment.

Nome 2: In cortaln situations gross revenue figures are not available for arseasment of a fee on that basis-for example, analgnment or transfer of an AM or FM station individually from what had been a folnt AM-FM operntion; assignment or transfer of a broadcast station license in which growe revenue has been elther nonexistent or so intermittent as to be an improper basls upon which to establish a grant fee; assignment or transfer of rellglous or other stations that do not report gross revenue. In those types of cases,
the grant fee will be assessed on the basis of consideration as indicated above.

Nors 3: In the case of tranifer of control. the transfer grant fee will be besed on the percentage of interest acquired which resulted in the transfer of control (except for those situations described in Note 4 below In which additionat soquiaitions of interest may be sablect to the grant fee). (Example: "A" acquires a $60 \%$ interest in an $\Delta M$ atation with gross revenue of $\$ 100,000$. Assumlng " $A$ " holds no other interest in this station that was acquired in the preceding two years, the grant fee is $\$ 540-\$ 100.000 \times 0.9 \% \times 60 \%$.)

Nore 4: In the case of transfer of control in which the transferee holds previously acquired interest in the subject broadcast station lfoense, the grant fee witt be based on the aequistition which resulted in transfer of control and on intereats acquired during
the two-year period immediately preceding the date of the contract for the transfer of control. In addition, a grant fee will also be assessed against any additional interest In the station acquired within two years following the date of the contract for transfer of control. Such grant fee for additionn! acquisitions within two years subsequent to transfor of control will be computed on the basis of the same gross revenue figures used in connection with the transfer of control application and such additional fee shall be submitted at the time the supplemental Ownership Report (FCC Form 323) is filed with the Commission pursuant to 11.615 (c) of this chapter. (Example: " A " acquires the following interests in an AM station with 8100,000 gross revenue: $1 / 1 / 71-10 \%$. $1 / 1 / 72-10 \%: 1 / 1 / 73-20 \%$ 2/1/74 (contract date) $-30 \%$. The transfer grant fee is \$450$\$ 100,000 \times 0.9 \% \times 50 \%$, with the $50 \%$ igure representing the interest that resulted in tranafer of control plus interest acquired In the two years immediately preceding the date of contract for the transaction which resulted in transfer of control. If "A" were to acquire any additional interest in this station prior to $2 / 1 / 76$, an additional grant fee would be incurred equivatent to the additional interest acquired times $\$ 100,000$ times $0.9 \%$.
Note 5: Grant fees are required in the case of FOC Form 316 applications only in cases in which the application is Aled pursuant to $\$ 1.540$ (b) (3) or (b) (6) of this chapter. In such cases, grant fees will be computed in the same manner as for FCC Form 315 applications.
(6).Annual License fee. Each broadcast station shall pay an annual license fee to the Commissioned based on the station's rate card as of June 1 of each year. ${ }^{3}$

For AM \& FM radio stations: The annual license fee will be a payment equal to 8.5 times the station's highest single "oneminute" spot announcement rate, but in no event shall the annual llcense fee for each AM and each FM station be less than $\$ 25$.

For televistion broadcast stations: The annual license fee will be a payment equal to 4.25 times the station's highest "30-second" spot announcement rate, but in no event shalt the annual license fee be less than $\$ 100$.
(b) Fees are not required in the following instances:
(1) Appllcations flied by tax exempt organizations for operation of stations providing noncommerolal educational broadcast servfces, whether or not such stations operate on frequencles allocated for noncommercial, educational use.
(2) Applications in the standard broadcast service requesting authority to determine power of noth-directional standard brondcast stations by direct measurement.
(3) Appitcattons for all FM or televiston translators and all FM or television translator relay stations.
(4) Applications by local government entities in connection with the licenaing or operation of a noncommerclal brondcast station.
(5) Applications for licenses to cover construction permits in the auxiliary broadcast servicet.

## § 1.1113 Schedule of fees for Common Carrier Services.

Applications flled for common carrler services shall be accompanied by the fees prescribed below:
${ }^{2}$ See $\$ 1.1102(\mathrm{e})$ for explanation of manner of payment and computation of the broadcast annual license fee.
(A) Dombstic Pubitc Land Mobile Radio sesvices 1

## Appification

Application for initial construction permit or for relocation of a base station including authority for moblle units, blanket dispatch station authority, ${ }^{z}$ and standby tranamitters without independent radiating systems "

If above includes authority for mobile units, blanket dispatch station authority or standby transmitters whout independent radiating system add per moblle unit, dispatch station or standby transmitter.
Application for Inttial construction permit or for relocation of a dispatch atatlon, auxillary test station, control station or repeater station $\qquad$ Application for other than initial construction permit, modification of construction permit or Hoense for base station, dispatch station, Buxiliary test station, control station or repeater station at an existing station location
Application for modification of authorization to increase number of moblle units, blanket dispatch stations or standby transmitters without independent radiating systems-per unit or transmitter.
Application for renewal of base statlon license.

If above includes renewal authority for mobile unita, blanket dispatch stations or standby transmitters without independent radisting systems, add per moblle unit, dispstch station or standby transmitter
Application for renewal of Heense for dispatch station, auxillary test station, control station or repeater station
Application for license, modification of license or renewal of license for individual mobile stations: *

One moblle unit per application.Each additional moblle unit por application
(See footnotes at end of tables.)
(B) Rural Radio Service

> Application
> Fee

Application for an initial construction permit or for relocation of central office, interomice or relay facilities t.-.
Appitcation for other than inittal construction permit, modification of construction permit or license for central omlice, interomice or relay faciltties 4
iltties .
Appilication for an initial construction permit or for relocation of rural subscriber faclitiles ${ }^{\text {? }}$
Applfation for other than $\operatorname{tnttin}$ oonatruction permit modification of construction permit or license for rural subscriber facllities.
Appllcation for license for operation of stations at temporary-fixed locations. Application for renewal of license of centrat omce, interomice or relay station
Application for renewal of license of rural subscriber station. $\qquad$
(C) Ponnt-ro-Ponnt Miciowave Radio

Senvicess
Application
Fee
Applications for construction permit or for modification of construction per-
ation

## Application Fee

mit to add or change point (s) of communfcation or to increase service to extsting points of comunication or for relocation of facilities et
Application for license for operation of a station at temporary-fixed locations
Application for other modifications of construction permit or modification of license ${ }^{4}$.

(See footnotes at end of tables.)
(d) Local Television Tannsmission Skivice

> Application Fee
Application for construction permit or for modification of construction permit to add or change point(s) of communication or to increase service to an existing station location or for relocation of facilities -
Application for license for operation of an STL station at temporary-fixed locations
Application for lloense for operation of a moblle television pickup station .-. Application for other modification of construction permit or modifioation of license 4
Application for renewal of license..... 75 (See footnotes at end of tables.)
(e) Multheonit Distamution Service
Appli-
cation

Application for initial construction
permit or for modification involving reloestion of station or addition or change of frequencles or increase in power
${ }^{45} 8150$
Appilation for other modification of construction permit or license.-.
Appllaation for renewal of license...
(f) Interinational Fikzo Public RadioCommunicazion Bxivices

International Fixed Public Station:
Application for an initial constructlon permit for a new station or an additional transmitter(s) at an authorlzed station
Applleation for construction permit for a replacement transmitter (s) at an authorized station (no fee will be charged for applteation for modification of license to delete transmitter (s) being replaced if the applications are flied simultaneously) ${ }^{2}$
Application for change of location of an authorized station .-.......
Application for modification of IIcense Application for renewal of licenseternational Control Station:
Application for an initial construction permitt for a new station or an additional transmitter (s) at an authorized station 4
Appllcation for construction permit for a replacement transmitter (s) at an authorized station (no fee will be charged for application for modification of license to delete transmitter being replaced if the applications are filed simultaneously)
Application for change of location of an authorized station.


Application
Fee
Application for ausignment of an suthorization or transfer of control (a separate fee is required for each call sign covered by the application) ......
All other common carrier radto applications $\qquad$


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eiting } \\ \text { feo } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grant } \\ \text { fee } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appilieation for falthol conatruetion pernilt for cgmimendeal tranamilírecelse carth stalion. 4 | 1120 | 3/ of I percent of construetion oont is siot ferth in the spplication, not to exened \$15,000. |
| Application for fultial construetion permit for a comunervial rocelve-anty or trunsportable earth statlon. | 6 |  |
| A ppilicution for moditieation of constructlon permit or lloense or for construcdion permit for alditional equipmont at an exdsting commerehal earth station. | ${ }^{60}$ | Ii of 1 persent of oonstruclien ooet an set forth in than application. |
| Applicatlon for antbority to opernte a transportable earth statlon at a fixed sita a | 180 | Nome, |
| Applleation for remewnio of ticense for a commerelal transmityrecelve earthitation. |  | s3,006. Nones. |
| Applicatlon for initial construetion pormit or modilieation of coustruetion pere mit or license for an wuxiliary station (borestght) to an earth staton or for a telemetry, tracking anit control stations | 30 | 1/2 of 1 percent of construcLion cost as set forth is the applieation. |
| Appliestion for initial construction permit per satellite ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | 100 | 3,000 . |
| Applieatlon for anthority to lounch and operste satelites, per satell | 150 | $3^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ of 1 percent of astellite oonstruction eost hat aut forth in the applleation (due 45 disys after suocessfuilsuneh anil operation)d |
| Applicatlou for renewal of an suxiliary atation to an eartl station or for a telemietry, tracking and control station. | 189 | None. |
| Appifiation for idelgnment of a commerelal tranmitiroedve earth station or satellite conatroction permit or lioense oc transfer of ooutrol of a licensee or permittee, per earih station or satellite. |  | 5 Nans, |
| Application for aselgment of a commendal recelve-only or transportable earth station construction permit of lioctise ar tranifer of aentrol of a licensee or permiltee, per earth station. |  | 5 None. |
| Application for comumunfeations common carrier for anthorfeation to own stoek In the Communleations Satelitie Corp. |  | None. |
| Any other application filed under the Communieations Batelite Aet or the Commanications Act of 183 in the Satellite Communications Borvient. |  | 5 Nons. |

(d) Commos Canitira Nonranio Arptacatiosa

Bection 214 applleation for construction or acquialtion of landline dounstie eahle or waveruide. is
Eection 214 appifeation to extahilsh or arnplement demertic fhellitien by instalt liont or sequisitiont of earrier equipment on wire, eable, wavegralde, or radio foutes. 10
Section 214 appiteation to lease channels from other carriern for domestie use is.
Beetion 24 appllication to lease satellite transpondor for domestic rase (pertransponder).
gection 214 sppileation for overseas cable eonstruction.
Rection 214 application to establiah or supptument Interniational facilitios by Installation or acquisition of carrier equipment on overseas cable or may routes (except intelitio) or to soguire such facilities on a capital bais other than ownerititp. 3
Section 214 applieation to loase channels on overseas cable or radio routes (ex-
cept aitellifes), 16
Section 214 applination to lease circults to interoonnect infernational circuits:
Cireuits outside of the U.8............................................................................
Cirenits within the $\mathrm{U}, 8$, of ferritories

Beetion 214 sppilseation to finstall earrier equipment to eatabliah tnteroational climnnels of communication at an earth station.
Soction 214 appilication to establish and provide linternational chnnnelis of comminneation vis sateilite.
Fection 214 applieation to acquire satellite channels for International use
Cable Landling Lioense.
 Thetermplippication to discontinue, rednee or impair servioe to the pabiler in All other. oftices and J'wblle Coast atations. Au other.
Interiocifing Dirnotornte appilications
Enetion 221 appliontions.
Applleations Ror eertification for priority of leamed Interelity private line service in ementebey atimations.
All other commion earrier nonradio upplications.

00
83 per ronte mile.
15
4.50 per 100 eqnivalent 4 KHz chamsel
thorlzed.niz
15 . $\$ 3.50$ per 100 equivalent 4 kHz ehamel miles artherired in a
25 Nane-
500. $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ per ronte milin (nautient: 30 per 100 a kHz ehambel miles authorized:ir
$30 \quad \$ 3.50$ per 100 equivalent 3 KHz channel mifles sur Ulorised,:"

15 Nome.
15 \$3,50 per 100 equivalint 3 kHis channel males at-
6) 35 oft percent ofernloment sofI percenis of equipment
and Instaliation cost wn eut forth in applifeation. 150 Nome.

30 \$12 per equivalent 4 kma ehannel, 1 i 120 None.

| 15 | Do. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 60 | $D 0$. |
| 30 | $D 0$. |
| 30 | $D 0$. |
| 45 | $D 0$. |
| 15 | $D 0$ |



I In this serviee each trumsmitter at a fixed location is a separate station not withatanding the Inclualon of more than one such station on a single anthorization or under a single call stron.
I When included as part of base station applicatlons, a request for blanket dispatch station authority made pursuant to the provisions of $/ 21,519(\mathrm{a})$ of this chapter does not require an individual spplication. A request for such dispateh station authority filed separately from is base station construction permit application requirea an applicstion for modification of ilicense and an appropriate fee.
${ }^{2}$ An application for a sitandby framanitter having its own Independent radiating syatam requires the same fee as a
base stations spplication.
iNo adtilional fee will bo charged for a single applleation for a license to cover a construction perimit unless there is a modification or variation of outotariding amthority fivolved. In that oveat the appropriate fee for modifeation is applicable.
This feeapplifes to any requast for dispatech station authority not mado pariuant to $\$ 21.519(a)$ of this eliapter
The feets not reguired for applications filed by governmental entities.
For appiciants who propose to multipler their radio systems and whio make the supplementary showing required by sectione 21.688 and 21.70 of this chapter in the load applcation in lien of niting a separate application under section 214 of the Act, an additional grant fee will be poyable at the rate preseribed in the schedule for section 214 applleations to extend or supplement facliftes

The filing foes mpeified in the schedule for satellita communleatlons services do not apply to initial applieations for domestic gystems constdered in condunction with that of Western Union: Publio Notice FCC $70-963$. Vowever, the grant foe will be appileable to any grant. All subsequent applications will be subject to the diling as well as the grant lens.
Tin the case of connecting circuits for International satellite clrcuits the mileage is computed th the distance from the U.8, terminal to the nearest earth station-
${ }^{10}$ Projecta undertaken pursuant
a Fensfor other than 4 kHiz or 3 kHz channels will be the appropriate multiple of fractions of the 4 kliz or 3 kH chanine fee (No snant fee is required for a video and assoclated audio channels.) Where the transmission of volce of digital data will be accomplisbed in the digital mode, a $64 \mathrm{~kb} / \mathrm{s}$ transmission chanmed is to be conisdered the equivaient of one 4 kHz analog chanmel for purposed of calcuisting the grant fee,
is Unless otherwise specined, the erant fors based on chamnel milles for Section 214 applicatlons are calculated on the basts of airilno mileage between terminal cittes (up to a marimum of 2500 miles between clites), Where domistic satnilite clarnnele are to be established between soveral cities ou a demand use basis (as opposed to a point to polnt basis), the grant foe is caleulated ou the basls of the arithmetic average of the distances bet ween each of the eitles being so intercomnected. Where the channels being establighed are one-way (rather than two-way), one half the norma grant feo will apply.
 vidual main or branch offico for which reduction of hours is authorized.
is Total operating revenues as reported for the previous enlender year on Form M, Account 300, I.dne 42
is An additlonal grant fee of IS0 Hsapplied for any application proposing fransmitter power in excess of io watts.
81.1115 Schedule of fees for the Safety and Special Radio Services.
(a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the fees set forth in the schedule below shall accompeny all formal applications for authorizations flled in the Safety and Special Radio Services:

Appilcations for all authorizations cept as noted below -.............-
Ship license that includes interim suthorization
Operstional fixed station using frequenctes above 952 MHz :

Initial license, 5 -year renewal and assignment of 1icense... Yeariy renewal for stations used in CATV nystems....... Stations using frequencies in the band $806-947 \mathrm{MHz}$ and providing service on a commerclal basts-per channel
Common carrier public const stations:

Initial license, renewal and isssignment of license
Amateur service:
Modification of license without renewal
Special call sign (in addition to other applicable fee) .....
(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the fee set forth below shall accompany the following application or requests in the Safety and Special Radio Services:

Dupilcate license.
$\qquad$
(c) Fees are not required in the following instances:
(1) Applications fled in the Police, Fire, Forestry Conservation, Highway Maintenance, Local Government and State Guard Radio Services.
(2) Applications flled by governmental entities in any of the Safety and Special Radio Services.
(3) Applications filed by the following in the Special Emergency Radio Service: 10 hospitals, disaster relief organizations, beach patrols, school buses, and nonprofit ambulance operators and rescue organizations.
(4) Applications filed in the Disaster
(7) Operational Fixed Microwave applications flled for Closed Circuit Educational Television Service.
(8) Applications for Aeronautical Radionavigation Stations, Aeronautical
2 Search and Rescue Stations, and any ap-
plications filed by the Civil Air Patrol or its component units in the Safety and Special Radio Services.
(9) Applications for license for an aircraft station to operate with only an emergency locator transmitter.
(10) Amendments to applications for authorizations in the Safety and Special Radio Services if the amended application on an original filing would not have required a higher fee than that already paid for the application being amended. If a higher fee would have been required than that already paid, the applicant will be required to pay the difference upon flling the amendment. If the fee would have been lower, no refund will be made.
§ 1.1116 Seliedule of fees for Cable Television and Cable Television Relay Services.
(a) Applications and petitions fled in the Cable Television and Cable Television Relay Services shall be accompanied by the fees prescribed below:

Application in the Cable Television Relay (CAR) Service:

For a construction permit.
For a license or renewal.
5
For a modification of construction permit or of a llcense.
For reinstatement of expired construction permit or license....... For asslgnment of license or of construction permit, or for transfer of control.
Application for certificate of compliance pursuant to $\$ 76.11$. 15
Norg 1: If muitiple applications for cortiffostes of compltance are simultaneously filed by cable television systems having a common headend and identioal ownershtp but serving or proposing to serve more than one community, the full 815 fee will be required for only one of the communities; a \$5 fee will be required for each of the other communities.
(b) An annual authorization fee shall be paid by each CATV system on or before April 1 of each year for the preceding calendar year. The fee for each system shall be equal to the number of its subscribers times 13 cents. The number of subscribers shall be determined by averaging the number of subscribers on the last day of each calendar quarter. (See \& $1.1102(f)$.)

Nore 2: Where a system offers bulk-rates to multiple-outlet subscribers, such as apartment house or motel operators, each bulkrate contract is viewed as a number of subscriptions to be calculated by dividing the total annual charge for the bulk-rate contract by the system's basio annual subscription rite for in individual household. (Thus, for example, if a cable televiston system charges an apartment house operator $\$ 1,000$ a year for a bulk-rate contract and charges Individual households a basic rate or 850 per year, the bulk-rate contract is counted as 20 Eubscriptions ( $1.0 .1,000 \div 50=20$.) Where a varlety of "annual subscription rates" for individual households exists (e.g., 850 per year, If paid in one sum, or $\$ 60$ per year, if paid on a per-month basis), the rate used in the subsoriber formula shall be the lowest annual
rate which is offered to Individual subecribers ( 850 here). Lkewise, if the bulk-rate contract is on a monthly basis, it shall be divided by the lowest monthly rate which is offered. In the preceding example, a $\$ 50$ per year charge ahould be viewed as a charge of $\$ 4.17$ per month. It is not contemplated, however, that such eatetilations should bo made with respect to extra payments for addittonal cable television outlets within the same individual household.
§ 1.1117 Schedule of fees for commercial radio operator examinations. and licensing.
(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, applications for commercial radio operator examinatlons and licensing shall be accompanied by the fees prescribed below:
(1) Applications for new operator 11cense or permit:

First-ciass, second-class, or thirdclass, efther radiotelephone or radiotelegraph
…....................
Provisional radiotelephone thirdclass operator certificate with broadcast endonsment, one-year term
Restrioted radiotelephone permit-
Restricted radiotelephone permit (allon), five-year term.
2) Application for endorsement of 11cense or permit:
(3) Application for renewal of operator iicense or permit:

First-class, second-class, or thirdclase, elther radiotelephone or radiotelegraph
Restricted ridiotelephone operator permit (allen)
replacement or
(4) Applicatton for replacement or
(5) Application for verification card
(5) Application for verifleation card
(Form 758-F)
(b) Whenever an applicant requests both an operator license or permit and an endorsement the required fee will be the fee prescribed for the license document involved only.
(c) No fee is required for applications for a replacement license or permit for a marriage-related change of nume.
(d) When an application is fled for a new license or permit and the applicant falls to appear for the required examination within 18 months, the application will be null and vold for faflure to prosecute and no refund will be made.
(e) Operator authorizations are issued by the Commission subject to payment and recelpt of the applicable fee pursuant to the requirements of $\$ 1.1102$ of this chapter. In the case of operator authorizations, when the Commission is unable to collect the preserlbed fee by a specifled date upon notification mafled to the applicant at his last known address, the authorization will become null, void and ineffective after that date.

## § 1.1120 Schedule of fees for equip- ment type approval, type acceptance ment type approval, type acceptance and certification.

Type approval, type acceptance, certification or approval of subscription television systems shall require payment of fees as prescribed below:

## (a) Centification

Item Application
(1) Application for certification of each recelver model: :
a. Televiaton broadcast recelver ... $\$ 250$
b. FM broadcast recelver (with or without other reception capsbllity)
a. Comblnation TV/FM broadonst recelver (with or without other reception capability) ....
d. All other recelvers............ equipment operating under Part $18:$ (N fee requilied for certincation for use of industrial heating equipment on Form 724 in nccordance with 18.116 of the Commlssion's Rules). $\qquad$
(2) Application for certification of

Item
Applicution
(3) Appitcation for fee
(3) Application for certification of equipment (other than recelvers) operating under Part

(See footnotes at end of tablen.)
(b) Type Accertance
(1) Application for type acceptance for each equipment type 113 t -...
(2) Application for the addition of one or more rule parts to extsting type acceptance for each equipment type as Identified by manufacturer or trade name and type number
(3) Approval of ambeoription televi-
$\qquad$ sion syitem.......................

150

150 (See footnotes at end of tables.)
$\$ 200$
(c) TYre Armoval,
(1) Appillcattons for type approval of equipment requiring tests: 11
a. Part 73:

1. Bropdeast modulation monitors-8CA or itereo.

Hems

3. Broadcast antemna phase monitors:-
b. Parts 81 and 83 :

1. Ship trunsmitters, Including ifebont transmititers...
2. Rhipar......itic alarins
3. Bhpp antomatle alarm keyen
P. Other maritime devievs
4. Part is:


If rated to operate on 1 or 2 channels.

5. Other Part 15 devices ...

1,800 .
Fart 18:

1. Medleal diathermy and Bubpari H equipment (13.50, 27.12, 40.68 MHz).

450
2. Medical dintberimy, microwave ovems and other Subpart If equipment (bis Mils and above)
3. Ultrasonie.
(2) Applications for type spproval of equifument not requiring testis i
dee
3) Applientions for approval of modifications in existing type approved equipuent:
a. Modifications which require retesting-

| \$2,400 | 8800 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,200 | 490 |
| 2,400 | 980 |
| 1,200 | 400 |
| 1,200 | 600 |
| 900 | 300 |
| 3, 000 | 1,009 |
| 750 | 209 |
| 700 | 250 |
| 450 | 150 |
| 1,200 | 400 |
| 1,800 | 500 |
| 750 | 250 |
| 450 | 150 |
| 750 | 250 |
| 300 | 300 |
| 450 | 150 |
| 750 | 280 |
| 75 | 25 |
| (18) | (19) |
| 75 | 25 |
| (अ) | (i) |

${ }^{1}$ The recelver part of a transcelver or a unit whlch Inclades a tranmmitter and reoelver shall be separntely oertiseated. The sppilication for rocelver certincation shall be filed simultaneoumly with, but under soparate cover from, thesppplleation or type soceptance.
In the case of an equipment in which one or more recelvess and transmittern are packngod as in individunt equip. Thent and identined by a ofngle typennmber, ench recelvor shall be sepanitely eirtiocated and each tranmaltter shail be separately type nocepfed. The applieatlon(s) for certification for each recelver ahall be filed simultaneoualy with, but under separate cover from, the spplicatlon(s) for type socoptance.
I Application for certification or type aconptance of equipanthis which bear diffrrent ldentifleation will be considered scparate applestions, regardles of whether such equipment may be otherwise lidentical.
i Foes for type sooeptance are not regulied in the following casea;
(a) when a roguest for type nooeptance is incladed in an appilcation for station license and covers only the Item of equipment to be authorized in that particular atation;
(b) when a requent is made by the liconsee of a station for approval of modifiestions to a pecifle item of ealating type nccepted equipinent authorived in that particular station.
a Whenever in item nablect to type spproxal Is requined
\$ Whenever an item mblect to type opproval Ls required to comply with more than one set of technical speciffantlons, veparste fees will be required for each set of technical specfications for which complianoe fs examined. For example, a combined frequency and modulation monltor will require the payment of foes applleable to esch; a frequency monitor for standard broadeast and FM broadeast will require payment of foes applleable to each. Elkewise, combiastion units of items of the same type, for dample, a combination of two radark, will require jayment of two fin
${ }^{1}$ A separste applienilion, with payment of sppropriate fees, is required for each equipment bearing different litenti. fieation, whether in trade name or model mumber, evea thoogh puch equipment may otherwise beldentical to angther, geation, whether in trace nam
However, see note 9 below.
Five filing fee mas be remitted with the spplication. The applicant msy fnclude the grant fie if he desires; otherwise the grant fee shall be remitted within the prescribed 45 days after grant of type approval, See 51.1102 of this chapter.
i $A$ sinule sppliestion is required for a combinstion under a slogle jdentification of two or more equipments whieh Bre subject to type approval, sseh ss a comblnatlot of two rndars. However, pay mest of apparate fees will be recpuired for each equi pmont which is terted. For an equipment whteh is subject to two or more sets of technical specifleations In the rulto, separate fees wili be required for each set of teits
"For a family or serins of equiprnent models baving the same radiotnequengy generator or tranamititer and so nearly Identical in denjra snd construction that tests on only one model will be required, the model tested will be subject to the fees specifed in parngraph (e)(1), and the other models in that series wis be suljoct to the fees specified in parazraph (c) (2). For example, thls Fould apply to two or more models of microwave ovens identical exoept for identifichtion, styling, and mimor electrical or mechanical changes. Kikewlse, It would apply to two or more models of marine Fadars which employ the same transmitter but with differont oombinations of acoessories. Howevor, inftial applicatlons for type approval which request nge of alternate marnetrons or other critical componenta wilil require payment of the fee indicated in paragraph (c)(1) plas the fee regufrod in paragraph (e) (3)s,
it 75 percent of the filing and grent fees spectined in (1) above for the particular clase of equipment.
2. In $\$ 13.71$, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:
813.71 Issue of duplicate or replacement licenses.
(b) The holder of any license or permit whose name is legally changed shall, within thirty days of the legal change of name, make application for a replacement document to indicate the new legal name by submitting a properly executed application accompanied by the license or
permit affected. If the authorization is in the diploma form, the application should be submitted to the office where it was issued. If the authorization is of the card form (Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit), it should be submitted to the Federal Communications Commission, Gettysburg, Pa, 17325.

Nors: Pursuant to \$1.1117 (c) of this chapter, no fee is required for application for replacement of Hicense for a marrlage-related change of name.
[FR Doc.75-2123 Flled 1-23-75;8:45 am]


## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration
MINIMUM WAGES FOR FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED CONSTRUCTION

## General Wage Determination Decisions

General Wage Determination Decisfons of the Secretary of Labor specify, in accordance with applicable law and on the basis of information available to the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and from other sources, the basic hourly wage rates and fringe beneft payments which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed in construction activity of the character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of such prevalling rates and fringe benefits have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276 a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following secretary of Labor's Order No. 24-70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determinations by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Titie 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates ( 37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders 12-71 and 15-71 (36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and Federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specifled classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities deseribed therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue construction industry wage determination frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to he pubUic interest.

General Wage Determination Decisions are effective from their date of publication in the Federal Register without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisfons of 29 CFR, Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision together with any modifications issued subsequent to its publication date shall be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR, Part 5 . The wage rates contained therein shall be the minimum paid under such contract by contractors and subcontractors on the work.

Modifications and Superredeas Decistons to General Wage Determination Decisions
Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions to General Wage Determination Decisions are based upon information obtained concerning changes in prevalling hourly wage rates and fringe benefit payments since the decisions were issued.
The determinations of prevalling rates and fringe benefits made in the Modiffications and Supersedeas Decisions have been made by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR 1.1 (including the statutes listed at 36 FR 306 following Secretary of Labor's Order No. 24-70) containing provisions for the payment of wages which are dependent upon determination by the Secretary of Labor under the Davis-Bacon Act; and pursuant to the provisions of Part 1 of Subtitle A of Title 29 of Code of Federal Regulations, Procedure for Predetermination of Wage Rates ( 37 FR 21138) and of Secretary of Labor's Orders $13-71$ and 15-71 ( 36 FR 8755, 8756). The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in foregoIng General Wage Determination Decisions, as hereby modified, and/or superseded shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and Federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged in contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.
Modifications and Supersedeas Decisions are effective from their date of pubHication in the Federal Recister without limitation as to time and are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR, Parts 1 and 5.
Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the wages determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Special Wage Standards, Division of Wage Determinations, Washington, D.C. 20210. The cause for not utilizing the rule-making procedures prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 has been set forth in the original General Wage Determination Decision.

## Modipications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The numbers of the decisions being modified and their dates of publication in the Federal Register are listed with each State.

| Georgla: $A Q-4052$ | Jan. 18, 1974 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Iutnols: |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AR-3059; } \\ 3062 \end{gathered}$ | Aug. 2, 1974 |
| Ifchigan: |  |
| AR-3054 | July 12, 107 |

## Nebraska: <br> AR-77 .................. Nov. 15, 1974 <br> AR-94 Dec. 27,1974 <br> NMM5-4002 <br> New York: <br> AR-2014 Jan. 10, 1975 AR-2017; AR-2059; AR2085 <br> Aug. 9, 1974 <br> AR-2064; AR-2071 ..... <br> AR-2072 <br> Oct. 11, 1974 <br> Nov. 1, 1974 <br> Okiahoma <br>  <br> Texas: <br> AR-82 <br> TX75-4001 Nov, 29, 1974 <br> Supersedeas Decisions to General. <br> Wage Determination Decisions

The numbers of the decisions being superseded and their dates of publication in the Federal Register are listed with each State. Supersedeas Decision numbers are in parentheses following the numbers of the decision being superseded.
Arizona:
AR-1060 (AZ75-5003) ... Dec. 27, 1974
Connecticut:
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) .. May 31, 1974
Colorado:
AR-1033 (CO75-5010);
AR-1035 (CO75-5009) Sept.27. 1974
Delaware:
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) ... May 31, 1974
Florida:
AQ-4091 (FL75-1011) -. Mar. 22, 1974
AQ-4127 (FL75-1009) ‥ June 28, 1974
AR-4240 (FL75-1010) … Sept. 27, 1974
Hawall:
AR-1052 (HI75-5002) $\ldots$ Dec. 6, 1974
Indiana:
AR-3126; AR-3127; AR3128 (IN75-2014) ....
Loulsians:
AR-78 (LA75-4033) ..... Nov. 15, 1974 Maine: AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) _- May 31, 1974

Maryland :
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) _.... May 31, 1974 Massachusetts: AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) -- Do.
Nevada:
AR-1043 (NV75-5005) .- Nov. 8, 1974
New Hampshire:
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) ... May 31, 1974 New Jersey:

AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) ... Do.
New Mexico:
AR-1061 (NM75-5004) - Dee. 27, 1974
New York:
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) _. May 31, 1974
North Carolina:
AR-4058 (NC75-1014) ... Nov. 22, 1974
Pennsylvania:
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) ... May 31, 1974
Rhode Island:
AQ-2125 (NY75-3010) ... Do.
Texas:
AQ-112 (TX75-4026) ... June 21, 1974 AR-42 (TX75-4031) … Sept. 20, 1974 AR-46 (TX75-4032): $\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{AR}-52 \\ \mathrm{AR}-53 & (\mathrm{TX} 75-4020) \text { ) }\end{array}$ AR-53 (TX75-4021): AR-54 (TX75-4022) ... AR-55 (TX75-4023)
AR-68 (TX75-4027) ; AR-69 (TX75-4024) -. AR-72 (TX75-4030) ... AR-84 (TX75-4025) -AR-90 (TX75-4029) ... AR-01 (TX $75-4028) \cdots$ Dec. 20, 1974 AR-91 (TX75-4028) .... Dec. 27, 1974 Signed at Washington, D.C., this 17 th day of January 1975.

Sept. 27, 1974
Oct. 4, 1974
Oct. 18, 1974
Oct, 18, 1974
Nov, 1,1974
Dec. 6, 1974

Ray J. Dolan,<br>Assistant Administrator,<br>Wage and Hour Division.


$\square$

| MODIFICATIONS P. 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Besic Priege Beveits Peyents |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | fures | * 5 | Pratios. | Vmertion | 4en. 7 \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decistow no. ג8-77 - Nod. 33 (39. FR 40465-Xovenber 15, 1974) Douslas and Sarpy Counties, Sebranka <br> Ghanse: <br> Glazlera $\qquad$ \#evacor sonstructors <br> Elewator constructors belper | $\begin{gathered} \$ 8.45 \\ 9.18 \\ 70518 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .40 \\ & .445 \\ & .445 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .35 \\ .29 \\ .29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35+a \\ & 35+a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .01 \\ .02 \\ .02 \end{array}$ |
| Decision no $\frac{\text { A3-94-Yod. } 11}{}$ (39 FR 46918 - Decenter 27, 1976) Douglaz and Sarpy Counctes, Nebraska |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Change: }}{\text { Glasiers }}$ | \$8.45 | . 40 | . 35 |  | . 01 |



neolfichtions P. 7

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (39 FB } 33807 \text { - Sovenber 1. 1914) } \\ & \text { Albany County, New York } \end{aligned}$ | Bow <br> Nenery <br> Reme: | Fringe Brietirs Forewers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H6* | Foulus: | nemerer | 4as 7. |
| Change: <br> Elevatar Constructors <br> Elevator Constructors", belpers <br> Elevator Constructors" belpers. <br> (probatisnary) | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9.32 \\ 6.52 \\ 4.6 e 5 \end{array}$ | $.845$ | $\begin{aligned} & .29 \\ & .29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32+b \\ & 35+b \end{aligned}$ | $.02$ |
| Uine Renstructfons <br> Linemen <br> Cable aplfer: <br> Oroundan digsing machi= op- <br> Grountein noblle tequlpaint op. <br> Grousdaan track driver <br> Croundean dynamite man <br> Plumbers, steafifters, dir cas- <br> dicion and refrigeration | 10.10 <br> 11.11 <br> 9.17 <br> 8.30 <br> 7.50 <br> 8.30 <br> 9.30 | .65 <br> .65 <br> .65 <br> .63 <br> .65 <br> .65 <br> .35 | $\begin{aligned} & 13+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 13+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & .72 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 61 \\ & 3 / 5 \% \\ & 3 / 85 \\ & 3 / 85 \\ & 3 / 85 \\ & 3 / 65 \\ & .05 \end{aligned}$ |
|  <br> (39 FR 36503 - Deteber 11, 197) <br> Moerse County, Nev Icrk <br> Change: <br> Carpentera of piledrhpefon: <br> Heary is Higheny <br> Corpenters, furlaing <br> Cemet cerors, hiery if hlgwzy <br> Elentor Constructery <br> Blewnter Conatructorn' helpers <br> Elevater Constructors' 2elpers (probesticesiy) <br> Life Cosstructice: <br> Hinesen <br> Cable splicers <br> Growinan dieging mebine op- <br> Grountren mobile equlprent op. <br> Groundann truck drlver <br> Grounden dywarite man | $\begin{gathered} \$ 8.07 \\ 9.67 \\ 8.29 \\ 10.215 \\ 7.00 \\ 5.06 \\ 10.10 \\ 11.31 \\ 9.17 \\ 8.30 \\ 7.00 \\ 8.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.45 \\ & . .5 \\ & -30 \\ & .45 \\ & .445 \\ & \\ & .65 \\ & .65 \\ & .65 \\ & .65 \\ & .65 \\ & .65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .70 \\ & .75 \\ & .58 \\ & -.89 \\ & -29 \\ & \\ & \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 14+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \\ & 15+.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35+=+t \\ & 36+a+t \\ & \frac{c}{d} \\ & d \\ & d \\ & d \\ & d \\ & d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .025 \\ & .005 \\ & .02 \\ & .02 \\ & . \\ & 3 / 85 \\ & 3 / 65 \\ & 3 / 65 \\ & 3 / 86 \\ & 3 / 85 \\ & 3 / 64 \end{aligned}$ |
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suprasedeas vectistes


 and garven
construction.

SUILDING COSSTHCCTION asersios wakpres

 Zooe I ( $0-30$ ailles froo Post Zone $11 \begin{gathered}\text { Springs }) \\ (30 \text { miles }\end{gathered}$ Millivelghts
CPMESI Miscss:
Working wish cosposition materials and color: Horking sa scaffold, swing stage or teaporary platfora
over $25^{\circ}$ or above permanent floor, roof or solicly platied opeaing; floor grinding wachines
 (F808.)
100micticeas
FAIMTESS:
Erush and roller; Tapers.
Eand Texture
Paperhagers: Steel
Spray
PLASTEESES
Proveres; P1
poonez3s; Pipericters SHFET METAL , HugEEES
 IILE SETIENS

NOTICES







c075-5009 P. 9.
Lasorcess (TINNELS): Group 1: Outside laborers

## Group 2: Minfeum tunnel labor, dry house man

 Group 3: Cable or hose :vhifley pups ogerators
Group 3: Cable or hose tenders, chuck teoders, concrete laborers, duppan,
vhriey pums operators

Group 4: Helpers on shotcrete, gennfting and sacdblasting; Eelpers, core
Group 5: Cenent finisher helper, applying of coocrete processing materials
Group 6: Callapsible form movers and setters, miners, machineaen and bif gen (steel or wood sumnel support, Incl. the placeoeot of sheering when required) and all cutting and velding that is incideotal to the njoer's work;
Tmpel Ifoer plate setters; Vibrator men, fnternal and external; Doloading. stopping and starting of Yoran Agicator Cars; Dlasond and core drills; Cesent


## (SEAFIS, BAISES, MISSILE SILOS AKD AIL USDE3GROLSND WOZX

Group it Laporers, Topnen, Bottoemen, and Cagers

> Groop 2: Cauckeeders, Conctete laborers, Whrley pups operators

Group 3: Helpers on shotcrete, guaniting and sandlasting; Helpers on cora and dianond drills; Pot tenders; Cebeat finisher belpers; doplying of con-
crete processing naterial

Group 4 : Collapsible forn sovers and setters, pinery, pachimenen and bit
grinders, alppers, powdermen and blasters, reinforcing steel setters, timbe pen (steel or wood tunnel support, incl. the placesent of sheeting when
required); All cuttiag azd weleing that is incldental to the ziner's work; requirea); plate setters; Vibrator pen, internal and external

Groug 5: Dianond and core drill; Cesent finisher (underground); Ounoite
mozzlemen; Shotcrete operators; Sandblasters and pury concrete placenent
mea
Group 6: Any employee perforaing vork under ground frou a bos'n chair,
swingiog stage, Iffe belt or block and zackle
pockes Equrpasy getuituas **
COther that for work in Tnnels, Shafts a
Croup 12 Asphale screed; Brakeonn: Drlll operator, sealler than Killian yF
end sfoflar; Eelper to heavy duty aechanie and/or Welder; Tractor operator (onder 72 BP ), with or witheut attachbents; Oller
Group 2: Air cocpressor; Ditch witch trenching machine and siallar; Equippent lubricating and service engineer; Fork Ilfz; Iaulage sotorzan; Opera-
tors of fire or pore light plants, welding machifes, compressors 360 C.F.X. or less, pons. qonerators; Fuga111 operator; Fugri11; Faps; Fort-
able screening, plant with or vithour a spray bar; Sertenfig plants - with
classiffer; Self-propelled rollera - 5 toas 6 under; Vacum vell point systea
Group 3: Aspha1t plant; Baciffller; 3ituminoas apreader or laydoum athine; Cableway signaigan; Calssons drill; (Nillsaz 2F, sigilar and larger; C.M.I. Concrete - ixer (less than 1 yd.); Coacrete placeneat pteps (under 3 In.);

 to and fncluding 5 cd , 7ds.); Machime boctor rechanfc; Motor grader (biade);
Hoad stchilisas:isu zachtoes; 2oller-self-propelled-al1 sypas ofer 5 cons;
Tile temper, ; Ireoching machine; Nelder; Winch op.., on truck; Conerete batch-
Croup 4: Concrete aixer (over 1 cm . yd.); Concrete gaver 34 E or siallar;
 nobile; Multiple tnit portable erwsher - With or without vasher; Pile Cable operated power ahovels, Eraglines claishell. and backhoes ( 5 cu . Jds. and
under); Erdraulic bachoes, I $1 / 2 \mathrm{cu}$. yds. and over); 5 pectal utilis operttor; Self-propelled hydrocrame, Iractor vith sice boom; Irock nounted igdrocrane; Scraper-single bewl under 40 es . yds.

[^17]NOTICES

c075-5010 P. 3.
LaBCRERS (3UTLDTSE CONSTAECTION) (Cont'd)
CSOLP DESCAIPIIOA FOR ALL COUSTES
Group is General Dullding Laborer
Group 2: Laborers underpinning and
taborers underpinning and shoring
$\mathrm{O}^{\circ}$ to $\mathrm{S}^{+}$below working surface
$0^{\prime}$ to $3^{+}$below working surface
$8^{\circ}$ belou vorking surfact to any
Class B: $\mathrm{g}^{\circ}$ belou vorking surface to ary depth below
working arface.
Group 3: Pover Tool Operators of all sechanical, alr, gas, ond electrical tools inclading self-propelled bang Sand Blasters
Croup Pipe Layers


|  | nor | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 9 | 92 |
|  | 88 | 808 |
|  | 93 | 37 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oig } \\ & \dot{8} \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Bevic <br> Mowntr <br> Rates | Sasic <br> Hoerly <br> Gares | Bewic <br> Hoarly <br> Benes | Fringe Enentits Pejemts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | * 67 | Fensings | vertion | Len. 7 Te |
| 200\% 1* | 2005 2* | 20kE 3* |  |  |  |  |
| 34.80 | \$5.25 | 35.70 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| 4.80 | 5.25 | 5.70 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| 5.05 | 5.53 | 5.93 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| 4.95 | 5.40 | 5.85 | . 42 | . 45 |  | .07 |
| 5.28 | 5.73 | 5.15 | . 42 | -45 |  | . 07 |
| 5.00 | 5.45 | 5.90 | -42 | -45 |  | . 07 |
| 5.12 | 5.57 | 6.02 | -42 | . 4.45 |  |  |
| 15.60 | 6.05 | 6.50 | . 42 | . 45 |  |  |

[^18]
 **zONE I: That area eocoepessed by O to 30 driving miles froe the main **20ce 2: That area encoopasised by 30 to 70 driving miles froce the main *WONE 3: That area encoepsassed by To driving niles and over froe the


| LABOFPES: (Heavy Constr, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boorle } \\ & \text { Kownty } \\ & \text { sener } \end{aligned}$ | Fioge Buenter Pepmers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * 4 | +atur | ventoo | ne. $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ |
| Group 1 | \$4.30 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 2 | 4.35 | .42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 3 | 4.93 | .42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 4 | 4.35 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Croup 5 | 4.97 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 6 | 5.08 | . 62 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| croup ? | 5.18 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group ${ }^{5}$ | 5.25 | . 42 | . 45 |  | .07 |
| Group? | 5.38 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 10 | 5.43 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| (Pipelines) |  |  |  |  |  |
| All mainline sewers; Water nains; Cas, oll or any product plpelise; Penstocks: Sisoons or drafnage lifies; Pipe plants and yards not is connection ufth alght vay constractiac. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crow 1 | 4.80 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 2 | 4.85 | . 42 | -4s |  | . 07 |
| Grow 3 | 5.02 | .42 | .45 |  | . 07 |
| Croup 4 | 5.06 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Crous 5 | 5.13 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 5-A | 5.18 | -42 | . 65 |  | . 07. |
| Greop 6 | 5.25 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . $07{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Weosess (Tumels) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grows 1 | 4.80 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Crous 2 | 5.40 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Greup 3 | 5.50 | -42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Croup 4 | 5. 58 | .42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Croup 5 | 5.65 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Croup 6 | 5.80 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| (SEMETS, RAISES, NTSSILE SLLOS <br>  TEN TUNSELS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group 1 | 3.30 | . 42 | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 2 | 3.65 | . 42 | -45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 3 | 5,75 | ,42 | .45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 4 | 5.93 | . 42 | . 55 |  | . 07 |
| Group 5 | 6.03 | $\pm 4$ | . 45 |  | . 07 |
| Group 6 | 6.05 | $\rightarrow 2$ | - 4 |  | . 03 |

c075-5010 P. E
LABCRERS (Beavy Coestructlo)
 with highay work, whethar corragated sctal or concrece piper Fence erectors; Netal Mesh; Dowel bars; The Sars and chairs in concrete povings Nursery masincl, seedingt nalching and planting of trees,
flowers; Stake chaser; Gabion baskets and Teno mattresses

Cocup 3: Hot aspbalt laborers Bakers; Box-tenders; Asphalt carb nachines;
GRCUP 4: Melti-plate culvert pipe; Air, gas and electric tools operators; Barce Marmers; Spaders; Electric hampers; hin tampers; Cutting vorches on
depolition work; Calssons B' to 12'; Cofferdans; Power operated concrece demolition work; Calssons. B' te $120^{\prime}$; Cofferdans; Fower operated concrete
bugsies;- Operators of concrete stas on pavesont (other than gang savs); Timber and chafn swes; Stresser or stretcheroan on post cension or prestressed concrete on or off jobsite; Tool roon man and cbeckers; Cenent
Iinisher belper; Sandilaster belper; Concrete processids material monitor;
 mechenical grouters; joring rachines (air dydraulic); dutcantic concrete
Canur 5: Any laborers perforaing bridge work over 40 , above the ground or
abowe a floor and working froo a bos'n chalr, swinging seage, Iffe belt or

Cnofp 6: Gunnitins and shoterete helpers; Caissons over 12 ; Cofferdans;
Timbernen; Underpining and shoring; Forn secters andor stringman on roads, Highays, strests and airport rumays; Distributor; Placing and
hooking of landing nats; Bull float (3and operated) and center expansion hooking of landing mats; Bull float (hand operated) and center expansion
machines; Saniblasters; Grade checkers if required by enployer Gsoup 7: Foudersen and blasters; Gunnite oozalesen; Shoterete operator caour 8: Pipelayer on trock pipe ines is coanection with highway work Gsope 9: Eagen drills and air track; fackhamer operators in exissobs
over 12t: Bellers and stemea; Mcensed pouderen; Diamond and core Gpoup 10 t Any work, other than on bridges, performed by laborera working
from a bostn chair, swinging stage, Iffe belt or block and cackle as a safety reguiresent
C075-5010 P. 10
Group 1: Outside Iaborers
LABORESS (TUNELES):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Group 2: Miniam tunnel labor, dry house man } \\
& \text { Group 3: Cable or hose venders, chuck teaders. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Croup 3: Cable or hose seaders, chuck ceaders, concrete laborers, dunpean, } \\
& \text { vhlriey pups operators }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Croup 4: Helpers on ahotcrete, gumaiting and sandblasting; Helpers, core } \\
& \text { and dianond drills; Pot tender }
\end{aligned}
$$

Group 5: Cesent finisher helper, applying of concrete processing anterials
Group 6: Callapsible form novers and setters, misers, machinezen and bit grinders, inppers, pouderpen and blasters, refoforcing steel zetters, tiaber-
men (steel or wood itumel support, incl. the placenent of sheeting when required) and all cutting and welding that is incidental to the miner's vork;
 Punp concrete placeseat men
(SHAFTS, RAISES, MISSILE SILOS AKB AHL UXDERCBDUTD WORS
Group I: Laborers. Topmen, Bottomen, and Cag
Group 2: Cucktenders, Concrete laborers, Wirley pups operators
Group 3: Eelpers on shotcrate, guniting and santblasting; Belpers on core
and dianoed drills; Por veaders; Cenent finfsher helpers; Applying of concrete processing macerial

[^19]
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| Sesic <br> Nowely <br> futes | Fioge Banetirs Paremers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ＊ $4 *$ | Texiess | Nenaion | Ases．Te |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8.03 \\ & 6.96 \\ & 6.12 \\ & 5.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .40 \\ & .40 \\ & .40 \\ & .40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .35 \\ & .35 \\ & .35 \\ & .35 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .05 \\ & .05 \\ & .05 \\ & .05 \end{aligned}$ |

poves sogrpics：oprances

## 叶日肖 <br> 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { covered ty railroad uniona) }
\end{aligned}
$$

over $6^{\prime}$ cat a over $24^{+}$vilth．ooperete paver 4 scrpsers
GBotp IIIt Conerete puppe，front end loster（ 2cy or less not yasd as
machise ooncrete mixer，truotors，afo ouspressoz plant（ 2 or more oocpre－
Boorn on a comasn zunifold），Iubricating engineer（mobile plant），pave－
GRour IV：Fractors operated awebper，trenching sachine（ladder at whel
vellpoist jup，apphalt distributor，water tricic irivers，potor boat op－
a inol． 5 paps within 309 fr ．radaip），aelf－propelled well point up to
bination putp，compressor is conturtion type welbige zachine．oonveyor
nixer，oscpressor（ 1 to and inclu\＄1ing 3 onapressors withim 3 cm ．ft．
FL75-1010 ק. 2

poonvorss:
a. Six paid bolidays; it through P .
b. Fnployer contributes 146 of regular hourly rate to Vacaticn Pay Crodit
for eaplcjee who has sorked in basinesa yore than 5 years. Enployer contribatea $\overline{2}$ of regalar hourly rate to Vacation Pay Credit for raployee cho has vorked in busimess less than 5 years.
e. Eight paid holidays: A throagh $F_{8}$ Wanhingtoe's Birthday, and Good caleodar days prior to the holiday and the regalar scheduled work lays imediately preceding and following the boliday.
C-Sive Year's Dayi B-Yeaposial Day; C-Independonce Dagi D-Iabor Day;


F275-1010 $2:-3$
GRoup An Cat ofanes, truck oxangs pile driver orzon, dezricic, drasline, Eiteriel diegel electrio sni sto $=$ remwitgra, notoz grader. Futporete or ainilar machine. eherfy pieker sadall. hpp:o
drill, rle 3 tack bosa tractor CROUP I: Tucest hoiat
Gooup C5: Frenching michine over 2ly winch truck, matezina boist (elevator type)

 Gnoup E: Air osepressor 125 eu. ift. or orer
Cepur Pi Yellpbist aystea and purpp, matertal holat, froet end loader other that
 machinea under 0 ockreaor, piscellmeous purps
$250^{\circ}$ boce, includfeg jib scale of top operator classification ples
t25 per honr. Tower crane oparators .25 per hour above top operator classificatien
not focloding long boce pay.
a. Six paid holidays= \& through $P$.
b. Moloyer contributes LoS of regulst hourly rate to Vacotion Pay Credit
 PatD Hotroars: $\quad \therefore$ i.

 BuILDNG AND HEAVY CONstavetios Air cooditiening pechanies Air cooditioning pechantes
Ansestos. vorkers Bollempuers
Srieklajers

Karble setters
Karble setters
Ferrazzo workers
Flastezers
Cenent =asons
Carpenters \& Soft flooz 1 syers
Slectricians
siectricians
Cable spllce
levitsp evestructars, heljers Mevator pomstriotora' helpors
(prob.)
tratomorkars:

Brush
Structural steel
bheet astal soricers
Sprintler fittera
ieldess - Sate for crart





## 18175-5002 P.6

## POUER EOUIPMEMT GPERATOAS (Coat' 4 ) (Except Piledriving and Steel Erection)

 Spreader Bowann (with screeds); Tar Pot Fireman (power agitated))

Croup 3: olleq; Fireean; Svitchran; Brakenan; Deckhand; Iar Pot Firenan;
Box Operator (bunker); Loconotive (up to and fncluding 30 tons); Foller
(5 tons and under); Screedman (except asphaltic concrete paving); Selfpropelled, automatically applied concrete curing, nachise powingi; Selif highays, alrports and canals); Tugger Holst, single drum

Groop 4: Boon Truck or Dasl Purpose "A" Frane Truck; Fork Lift or Lumber
Stacker (coastruction lob site); Materlal Holst (1 Aran); Straddle Truck: Stacker (coastruction job site); Materlal Hoist ( 1
Ross Carrier and siatlar (Jobsite); Diaky Operator

Group 5 : Concrete Nixer (up to 2 yards); Concrete Papp or Pumprete Cuns;
Ceoprators, gesolifine or diesel driven ( 100 K. W.); Lebrication and Service Engineer (molile and grease rack); Towermobile; Melding Machine (gasoline or diesel); Agri-cat (Mini Cat)

Group 6z Combination Loader and Bachboe including Fopto (vp to and incl.
$1 / 2$ yard); Concrete Batel Plints. (vet or $\delta \mathrm{ry}$ ): Concrete Saws and or


 Finishers (coserete) (large Clary, Johnson Sidvell Aridge Deck or siallar types); Noblle Crune Driver; Fortable Crushers; Power Jumbo Operator
(setting silp forms, tte. In tunnels); follers (over 5 tons); Self-
 electrif, gas, etc., lifting device for concrete forms)

Group 7: Crasher Plant; Dal Drum Mixec; Gradesetter; Hoist (2 drums); Looder (over $2 \frac{1}{2}$ cu. Fis. yp to and including 5 cu . yds.); Nechanical
Finishers or Spreader Machine, Asphale (Barber Greene and sinilar) Pinlshers or Spreader. Machine, Asphalt (Barber Greene and sinilar)
(Screedan required); Mise or Shaft HoIst; Pavenent Breaker, Truck

 Plase; Slasber Operator; Trencher (over $6^{*}$ ); Water Tanker (pulled by
Euclids, T-Fullo, DW-10, 20, 21, or sintlar); Mixernoblle (over 5 tons)


## LABCRERS

 Laborers; Coostruction Laborers; Dumpnan; Cardesers; Horticulear and Pilers; Kaintenance, regair (track and roadbeds) Group 1-a: Mason Tesderí

Group 1-b: Wigh Scaler
Group 1-c: Ounnite Operator

## Group 1-ds Fowderman

Growp 22 Asphalt Shovelers; Cenent Durpers; Choke Setter and Rigger iocluding 符cket Tender for coocrete; Driller's Helper, Chuck Teoder Outside Sipper; Outhea Chaser (Stakeman): High Pressure Nozzleart
hodraulic monitor (over loof pressure) excluding leve work; Londing and unloading, carrying and haodling of all rods and materials for use in reinforcligg concrete coastroctions Mocker (veder ground);
Sloper; All poezatic, gas and electric tools not listed fo Group Groap 3: Asphalt Ironers and Pakers; Earko and sivilar type Tamers; Magnesite Wixer whder $1 / 2$ yard; Conerete Grioder; Coacrete Pan Work, Coacrete Saw (walking or hand type); Cribbers; Cut Granive Carb Setter;
Forn Raisers; Meader Soard; Mortar Mixers (block-brick-masonry); Jackhatmer Operator; Jackson and sinilar type Conpactors; Lagging, Sheeting,
Kaling, Eracing, Trench-JackIng, Hand-guided Lasging Harner; Mageesite and Mastic Norkers (vet of dry); Nechanical Drillers not covered elseDere; Pavepent Ireakers; Pipolayers, Caulcers, Bander; Pipewrappers
Kettleneo, Potnen and men applying asphale, Lay-Kold, Creosote aod
sfnilar type paterials; Post Fole Dfggers (hand held-gas, air and
electric); Riprap, Stomepaver and Rockslinger, fncluding placing of
sacked concrete (wet or dry); Rotary Scarifferi Boto-tiller; Saedblasters; Tank Cleaners; Tree Climbers; Vibra-screed (bull float In connection with
Laborers' work); Vibrator, Barning, Welding, Signaling, and kigeing in connection with laborers' work; Coacrete Puop Machine; Joy Drill Model
ThM-2k, Gartner, Detrer DH-143 and sinflar type drills; Track Drillers, Dimond Care, Wagon Drillers and Davis Treacher T-66 or sinilar types

## (Except Riledriving and Steel Erection)


Group It
He1per

## (Except Piledriving and Steel Erection)

> Group 8; Boring Machine; Cast-in-place Pipe Laying Machine; Concrete Batch plant (Eultiple units); Conination Lovder and Hydraulle Back-
 Operator (airports and Mgiseyss); Hydraulic Backhoe (over 1/2 yard to
 Plants; Sazrnan type Dragline (under 5 yards); Self-propelled Boom type
LIfting Derice; Stationary Pipe, Wrapping, Cleaning and Bending Machine; Surface Heater and Flaner; Tumnel 3adger; Trt-batch Paver
Group 97 Boon type Backfilling Machine; Combination Mixer and Cocpressor
(gunfte). Downore loader and Adans ELegrader; Lull Hi-1if: ( $40^{\circ}$ or over); (gunfte); Do-nore Loader and Adans Elegrader; Lull Hi-1ift ( $40^{\circ}$ or over);
Rubber-tired Earthoving Eqoipoent (op to 12 cu . yds.); Wheel Trescher
Group 9-A: Dozers; Etavy Daty Repairnan or Welder; Push Cats; Scrapers;
Self-propelled Conpactor with darer; Sheep Foot; Tractors; Tractorsfwith Self-propelled Conpactor with dorer
boon, larger than D-6 and sindlar)
Group 10: Chlcago Boon; Holst ( 3 drans); Koehring Skooper; Loadez (over 5 yards up to and including 12 yards): Loconotive (over 100 tons)(single ment (up to and including 35 cu, yds., Euclids, $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{Palls}, \mathrm{TH}-10,20,21$ and sinllar) ; Saurnaa type Dragline (S yards or over); Soli Stabilizer type Earthowing Machine (single engine ulth tanden scraper); Tractor,
Compressor, Drill Combination; Tractor (tanden scraper); Tractors (D-9 or equivalent)
Croup 10-Az Cranes (sat over 25 tons); Power Shovels, Clanshells, Drag-
Iines, Backhoes, Gradealis ( a , to and including 1 cu. yd.)
Group 11: Autonatic 5 klj Form Paver (concrete or asphalt) (Gradesetter,
Screctan required); Crapes (over 25 tons); $04-10$, 20, etc. (Tanden);
Earth-boving Kichine (faltiple propulsion pover units and two or nore
scrapers) (up to and Including 35 cu . yds. struck "Mnc"); Highline Cable-

Operater ( 16 or over): Fre-stress Wire Wrapping Machine; Self-propelled
Conpactor (with nultiple propalsion power units); Single Engloe Bubber
Conpactor (with nultiple propalsion power units); Singlie Engive Rubber
Tired Earth Noring Machine (olth tandem scraper); Tanden Cats; Tower
 focloding 750 cu . yds. per hoor)
Group 12: Band Wagon (Is conjuoction vith wheel excavator); Derricks; (over. 35 cm . yds. "strock" erc): Pover Shovels add Draghifes ( 7 cu . Yds.


 Hesuat 23, 1974 in 39 FII 30759 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Martholosev, Boone, Brown, Clakl, Clay, Crowlc-1, Daviess, Dearborn, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Vernillion, Viso, Varen, Warrick, Warhingtots
 Carpenters:
Bartholocev (Canp Atterburg)
Soone, Bartholooew (Canp Atterburg)
Boone, Clay, Porntain, Hentricks, Vhite Biver) Owen (Morth of the
Wite Biver), Parice. Putoln, Widte Biver), Parike, Putsan,
Fernlllicn, Viso \& Warrsa Cesent Yascens Crawfond, Daboig, Ferry, Fcoey,
Spencer, Tandeaburgio, \& Warrick Gpeene County, Gibson, Martin 4
Deviess, Enou, Foumtain, Montgopery, Warsin and Sorthoms $\frac{1}{2}$ of Boone County
Eendriciss, Southern $\frac{1}{2}$ of Boone and Morthem $\frac{1}{2}$ of Morgun Norroe Soathern $\frac{1}{2}$ of Vorgan and
Southern $\frac{1}{2}$ of Oven Southern $\frac{1}{2}$ of Oven
Bartholuovy A Broven

Dlark, Floyd and \#nurison Clark, Floyd and Hurrison
Dearborn, Chio, Bipley and Svituerland forson, Jennines, Jacknon, Jeiferson, Jennines,
Iarence, Orase, Scott and Vashington
Vernillion, Figo, Clas, Parike, Yemillicn, 7ies, Claw, Farke,
Putran and the extrene Weatemn Part or Oven
Salliven

NOTICES
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## LISE ONSTancrices

| POWRS ROCIPTENT OPREATORSHEKVY AND HICHEAY COTSTAICTICN | Ind-1-PEO |  |  | 1 of 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bute | Trioge Sentas Popmens |  |  |  |
|  | Rament | *4* | Fratus, | veners. | ane Tin |
| GROUP 1 | \$8.10 | . 30 | . 50 |  | . 05 |
| caup 2 | 7.65 | . 30 | . 50 |  | . 05 |
| Gaver 3 | 7.22 | . 30 | . 50 |  | . 05 |
| croup 4 | 6.41 | . 30 | . 50 |  | . 05 | CUSSTPICTITOSS

 operator, Well drilling athine, Winch truck with A-frame
 Concrete spreader (pover driven), Exccavating loader (portable), Finishing machine (who supervises 2 or more greasers on a greaser and a belper),
Mechanic, Moltiple tanping machine (RB), P.C.C. Concrete belt placer, Pull Mechanic, Maltiple taaping machine (RB), P.C.C. Concrete belt placer, Pull
grader-power control, Roas carriet, Sbetpfoot roller (self-propelled), Tarper-
rultiple vibrating-asphalt, Waterbond macadam, Bituminous macadan, Brick rultiple vibrating-asphalt, Waterbound macadam,
surface, Treach machine ( 24 " and under), Welder
CaOUP 3: Assistant plant enginear, Concrete finishing nachine, Concrete aixar
(Iess than $21 \mathrm{cq} . \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.). Curb rachine, Fam tractor (Including fara tractor
(1ess than $21 \mathrm{cy}$. ft.). Curb rachine, Farm tractor (Including fara tractor
-with all attachneats oxcept backhot, and incl, high lift end loadera of 1 cu. yd. capacity and less). Firecan (on boiler), Greaser, Hoist (one drua), Feving breaker, Power broon (self-propelled). Roller (earth asd sub-base Faving breaker, Power broom (self-propelled). Roller (earth, abd aub-base
material), Slurry seal machive (RS), Tarper-sultiple vibrating-earth and
 or upright boiler. Tractaire with drilli, Tractor ( $50 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. or over), Well
point systen, Widener (Apsce or similar type)

| Cousities | Basic Hearly Rates | Ftiege Sosalits Paymests |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POUER EGOI PMENT |  | H5* | Fen+esa | Vacation | App, Th |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 58.59 \\ 8.43 \\ 8.30 \\ 6.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .30 \\ .30 \\ .30 \\ .30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .50 \\ . \\ .50 \\ .50 \\ .50 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .05 \\ & .05 \\ & .05 \\ & .05 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ggonp A: Yaster Mechanfe <br> GBYCP B: Utility Operator <br> GBOTP C: Fouer Cranes, Draglines, Per dezer, Mxer Mepali 6 Maintunzace of all 145.capatity, Ioum Trastor Operating Sooops, Zalldomer, Bollers 6 Bollers on Asphalt, Gravel, Yachine, Gravel Processing Machine, Hetherington Paver Operator, Farm Ir irench Maphimes cuttiog orter 24 ", Dr si-1lar type Machine, Concrete Spread over, Standard or Pinkey Lecomotives Backfiller, Eleavacing Machine, Fowe Faint Nachine, Pipe Cleanies Hachine Paver, Foring Nachice, Tractors (vit) Loaders, Fornless Piver, tell Point Frush Valcher, Erush Barnex, Kesh P1 or Cravier, Stump kenover, Root Rake, Operator, Chasr Cart - Self Fropelle $\$ \mathrm{k}\{\mathrm{P}$, A11 1 -Drua Hofsts wich Tower o Truck or Sicid Younted Tower Srane, Ftant Englneet, Loaders, HydraCrane, Concrece Oarb Fachine (Self Propelle CPCOP D: Mxers 145 capacity or less. w/less chan half yard becket and och Fower Subgrader, Eull Flost, Form Gr Crushers, 1-Drum Machine, Air Compres Qunnite Kachine, Air Duggeris. Truck ing Katerial, 2 or 4 Generators or Motor Pover when used for teaporary cea, Wagon Tril1, Flexplane, Convefor Ean, Fireath on Vaint Focs, Fireman Tappers, Posver Broos, Post Hole Digs (Nocor Driven), Form Tapper, Sez-a Jack, Mad Jack, Uperators to do Kint lat, Concrete Euggies notor eriven, Water Purp, Ar Valves or Stead Valv Yachine, Concrete 3 \#lacktop Oorb Ma | ks, 81 หulpme 2 or, Bo calan ale 21 or vich Chest ade, <br>  (Anch) en, 睹 , Iree tydraoos, Dr er or ssons lisech rench Att ach Fin $r$ less De Dri 102 ta 2 , to 4 Asphal Selfler, Jepaly ers, from 7 | te 0 Iract yac 2 4 Fin! lick Ingin If Ya mt, cker 5 , Bo alt <br>  ead E -hx, ver, 共 erate er, 5 - Eng Crus afe o yrau ine 3 exc ng Ha n 300 , How e, Me aller er P ants, e11e Cenent in 1 Typ , Co lands. |  | 3, Sho TorkL age nibl ruck Cr an on Garri 411. 4/or 3 Plant ssors Sol1 $r$, Well seodIn aser:, te Saw (3) ter Kis Coner <br> e Oper oflex 5 type ck. under , Irae ent Ir acity, when atera 1 Engine be Pole Opera aw, Str Ipoent <br> Lelcing $s$ withou | s, Gra <br> s, Tou <br> , Cabl <br> , Tour <br> alt, 1 <br> or afe <br> 5 Casp <br> neer, <br> cu. ft. <br> at Nac <br> 1111es <br> chine, <br> rber Gr <br> liedriv <br> Ere <br> Murer <br> r, Pock <br> rator, <br> 111ing <br> er, Fo <br> 1 for <br> spect1 <br> nders, <br> ter, <br> 25. Ms <br> aser, <br> lst <br> chline <br> skip, |  |



## CUASSIFICATIONS

 plant enginecr. Solldozer, Caissoo Deilling rachine (erane moonted), drillimg nachine. Crane of derrick vith any attachaent (incl. clamshell, draglise, ahovel, bsckhos, etc.). Dredse opperator, Driliing rachine (on
dich the drill is an Integral part), Elevating grader, Eoclid loader. which the drill is an integral part). Elevating graber, Eoclid loader.
Formeless paver. Gradol1, Oravel processing nachine, Operator of gura
 scoop,
plont (central six type). Pacer-Hetherington, piliedriver-skld crawler,
Road pacing ixem,

 sifilar type çulpment, Teornapull tanden, Tractor (booa or winch),
Tractor (push), Tresch rachine (over $24^{4}$ ). Tog boat opetator, kell drillisg nachine, Winch truck with A-frase
 Coocrete sjreader (power oriven), Freavation loader (portable), Finishing Mechanic, Moltiple tacging rachine (Ra), p, C. C. Concrete belt placet, Pall grader-gwer coptrol, Moss carrier, Sheepfoot noller (self-propelled),
Tamper-maltifle vibrating-asphalt. Wasterbound macadan, Bituminous macadan, and Jull float, Calte fachise, lieed greaser ( (bo supervises tamping machine ( 2 s ). Brick surface. Trench machine ( $24^{\prime \prime}$ and under). Welder
Gnopi 3: Assistant plant engincer, Coscrete finishing machine, Concrete


of nindr equircent). Paving breaker, Fower broon (self-propelled).
Roller (eart) and foubase caterial). Slerry seal nachine, Splike rachise
 valve. Throttle vale and cocpressir or clever Srooks type conbinaclion,
Throttle valie and fireman combination on horizontal or upright boiler, Tractaire with drill, Tractor (50 of. P. or over), Well point systen,
Uidener (Apsco or sivilar type) videner (Apsco or sinilar type)

surexemens pmotsics



##  <br> 


AKCA COVZRED BY CDENT MASAS (BuILDING CONSTNMCTIOS) zCNES:

gare 2-Alten, Seavregans, Caleasiey, Careron. Jeffergon Davis \& Vernon Parishes
 the Basist, Sc. Ta - Fany (southern portinn) 5 Terrabornt Parisbes
$\frac{0 \pi 5}{006}$ - Avovelles, Sacahoula, Concontia, Evankeline, Crant, LaSalle of Rapides Parishes
令

## Page 3




## 






| 4 $\frac{4}{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | 9 |
|  |  |
| $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | \% लับค\% |
|  | 88, 4892404 <br>  |





decison no. $\xrightarrow[\text { Li75-6033 }]{ }$


 the Loalstina-iliseisaipint, Loulsiana to the Oulf of Mexico)

 $\frac{\text { verilion Parishes (east of a live dramn Erom the peeting point ang the vestern city }}{6}$

 froon the Looisiamashrsissipal bsisier, 0 the Calf of Verico); Parts of Cataloula, Coce
 Borce to the Xatchitoches Partsh boundary) Parlahes; Parts of
 Booth froo the Ariansas-Louisiana borser throogh the elties of Arcadia b


 Mrikansas-Louisiani border through the cities of Arcalta dacent aress); Parts of

 $\frac{20 \mathrm{cec} 5}{\text { sreas) }}$
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$$
\underline{L A}
$$

Pare 12

## DECTStON NO LA75-4033

Hason renders, plasterer tenlers; Asphait rakers, asphalt anoothera
Afr jack operators, vibrator operatora; Sever pipe layers, sewer pipe wipers LABgeses - 200s 10
$\frac{\text { eqcele } 1}{\text { plasterara) - Labors, tenders (brteinasons, storisasions, cenent nasoos, carpenter, }}$ plasterers): Stelppins 后 dimantling; concrete fom vork; losisieg, unloadint, earrying
 gapye 3-plpe dopers of burners

## ASEA CONFRED EY LNORESS (SUTDTNG CDustivetion) zOSSS

St. Tarnany (as far south as Bayou Lacoobe and esst to the Hilssissipp! State Zearlington), Tansipahoa (exsept that portion sooth of a line funntns duo east frow the western houndary of Tansipahoa Parfsh which follows the northern ofty 1 firits parallelfop Mighway i 51 at a 20 ofle \&istance to Lake Pontehartrain) 5 Hashincton Parlohes




 and on a dfeect line to vonchas,



 Lacoabe, east to the Mississiopl State Line at Mearlingtoa) Parlahes
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LYORERS (HIGNAY CONSTKICTION)
La75-4333
Decisices mo


## 




| Batie | Aring bentis Porem |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antos | 4.4 | Penter. | werien | Aen tr |
| \$5,85 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 4.39 | . 15 | . 10 |  | . 05 |

## Poge 17

Aged covezd or misuz sertes hantes
















$$
\text { ARA CRERD BY Ramerers } 200 S
$$


pligurvesses



| Ereses$\begin{aligned} & \text { Bowle } \\ & \text { Kondy } \\ & \text { Erren } \end{aligned}$ | Frioge Buratas Parers- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *** | Nutiz. | Nemis | A2a $7 \times$ |
| 57.10 | . 20 |  |  |  |
| 7.30 | .20 | 25 |  |  |
| 8.52 | . 20 | 20 |  | .06 |
| ${ }_{7}^{7.335}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.65 | . 20 | . 25 |  | .03 |
| 7.10 | 35 | . 20 |  | .05 |

DECEsior so $\underline{\text { LA75-4033 }}$ $\qquad$

| Senis | Pring Etatis Pormer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buren | *s* | roulor. | venier |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.20 \\ & 6.35 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | . 01 |
| 7.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 6.545 |  |  |  | . 01 |
| 7.05 | 30 | . 20 |  |  |
| 7.95 |  |  | .50 |  |
| 8.09 |  | . 19 |  |  |
| 7.75 |  | . 30 |  |  |

AEA covesen by plasteran zears


28e 21



|  | Pace 25 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batic |  |  |  |  |
| Brose | *** | Ponim. | verater | Aen Tr . |
| 58.62 | . 25 | . 30 |  | .0s |
| 8.87 | . 8 | . 30 |  | . 0 |
| 6,30 | . 25 | . 30 |  | .05 |
| ${ }^{6.65}$ | . 23 | . 30 |  | .05 |
| 7.18 8.37 | 25 .25 | . 30 |  | .05 |

## Decticar fo. WTS-4033



Aft Compressor; Arphalt Plant Engioper; Blade Grader; Distelbutor (Bitue Sarface)



 Scooppolste or Buchet under one (1) cuhte yard eapactty; Loconocive; woll fohmt System:



Trenching :3chine; Asphalt Spreader; Irareavator and sinflar fromt-end losdiry equippent

 chonp 9 - Crane Oporator, 102 tons s over: Crane Operator, Bove 150 feet \& over; pile-


## 


 Orler
6 Mechat
Orhas-3

感

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Oller
Eirera
Cochs Gsope


| ． |  | ¢858．ç | $886896 \%$ | 88880.8 | $8.85 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 우구규ำ\％ |  | คัศ号号号品号 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  <br>  | 8．${ }^{2}$ is © © inn | テัä लून |  <br> óninuvis： |



|  | 88.9 | 88888 | 88988 | 888569 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | กัフร\％ | ชคำ\％ำ\％ำ | 욱무구국ำ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{y}{i}$ |  sirision |  |  |  |

```
LA75-4033
```



## AIEA COITRED SY ROOFETS zCoES




##    



| Benis | Frime beselse Porat. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sutus | *** | Fontor. | verote | $4 \mathrm{ar} \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |
| \$5.85 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.39 | . 15 | . 10 |  | . 05 | and coverej by tile setteis' heleza zones

2one 1 - Aneension, Nannocion, East Batoo poure, East Yelicians, Berville, Jefferiag, St, John the laperist, St. Tamany, Tanipanos, Terrebonne, Kashington, Wast Bston paure




Dectson mo La75-4033


Iafourche, Iivionstan,


| Bunic <br> Kourty <br> Runes | Fringe Bewelfs Peremera |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wav | Porstans | Kenelon | Agn. Ts |
| $\$ 5.85$ 4.39 <br> 3.30 | $\begin{aligned} & .25 \\ & .15 \end{aligned}$ | .10 |  | . 05 |







\%
57.80

ARen COVEND BY TIIL SETTEXS' ZONTS




 St, Helens, Tangipahos, Yeat Ratoo Poure of Weat Telfefana Farisheas
Page 37

| Basic | Frioge Brestre Papenss, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bres | 48* | Frosions | Noweras | 502. 7 |
| 54.87 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.95 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.20 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.35 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.50 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 6.05 |  |  |  |  | CLASS IFICATION DEPTHITTONS



> Tearsters, sck-ap $\frac{\text { TRUCS DRTVESS - 2MES } 1}{\text { Tivers } \& ~ c h a u f f e u r s ~}$
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1rifissfppl wagoos ib Koehefrg dunpaters B s sindiar dirt moving equiprent ( } \mathrm{m} \\ & \text { luding. } \mathrm{B} \text { yds.) }\end{aligned}$
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { ncluding } 8 \text { yds.) } \\ & \text { Trucks - dump } \\ & \text { Melssissippi vag }\end{aligned}$
Tace Dgivess - zene 2
Teanster, plek-us drivers
Stakr boifes (all sizes)
Trokk's traller; Dep
Mirers on truiks, up to and incluating 3 yds.
Mixars on tracks over 3 yds.
Kiveh enucks

 gent, over 8 yfa.
$\frac{\text { Gsoup } 1}{\text { belpers - Ptek-up dt }}$
 $+$
DECISBOY NO. LA75-4033




ANFA COVENED BY THCK DITVERS (HIGray constaction) zoes
Keffernon, $\frac{\text { Orloans, }}{\text { East Razon }}$ Mouse Parish East Razon Moore Parish
Possiez 5 Colds Partshes
Calcasiey Parigh Calessien Pariah
Lafamete, Ouachit
Page 39


WEiDEAS - receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to wheh welding
is fncidectal

| 5$\vdots$2$\vdots$$\vdots$82 | ธัธ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 888888888883888888 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8988898 |
|  |  |  |  |
| \# ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  <br>  |  |  |





Groop 2: Concrete Nixer, 5 kIp type; Cocveyor sod Reltane; Firesson
 unfis); Cenerator, Tump of Cotpressor Flants; Rorary brill helper



 Groop 4: Agphatt Plant Firesan; Boring Vachine: Somman or Mixer Box

 Group 5s Aaghalt or Concre's Spreading, Nechasfeil Tarping or Finlahing
Machine - Roller (all types and alfes). Soll, Cesent, Asplait - Fioisht Anshit plact Intinert, Deck Inalite; Crade Checker; Heary Dity Welder;




6775-5005 F. 5




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { POLER DOUIPNENT OPERKTORS } \quad \text { (Cont" } d \text { ) } \\
& \text { (Except Filedriving and Steel Erectioa) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Barge, Crace, Plledriver and Nacking Nachine) For } \\
& \text { Croun 7t Driller Operator: Fishing Tool Engigeer }
\end{aligned}
$$



Group 7t Driller Operat
Group $7-\mathrm{A}$ : Derricknan
Group 7-3: Motornan
Groap 7-C: Drillers Helper:
Group 1: Light Duty Driver; Warehouseean
Group 2: Bootsan; Truck Creaser; Lizht Vehicle Dispatcher
Group 3i Tireann; Warehoase Clerk
Grnup 4: Beovy Doty Deiver; Forklift Driver; Eqeipoent Parts;
Stockroon Clerk
Groep 5: Extra Heavy Duty Driver
范


SUFEREDELS DRCTSIOE


Page 4

| ; | 88.8.8.8.8.8.8. |
| :---: | :---: |
| , |  |
| 11 |  |
|  |  |
| 111 |  |

Decasion no $\quad$ Tx75-4070

ROMER EYULTEAMT OREMTORS: grove 1

CLASSIFICATICA DEFINITICES
PCWER EDUIPESE OFEATCOSS
GPCuP 1
CROUP 2 - Vellisty operator - slase srader, self-propelled; Clan shella; Cable ways; Cranss, poser



ifailar nature cooins oichin the heavy class, wen power operated






| DETRESTAL BAVTS \& uthities 6 STIE pagaswitus | Besit <br> Rovely <br> Retes | Finge Soratus Peroms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Na* | Fowions | Nectur | Ant Te |
| Aft Tool ma | \$ $\$ 2.35$ |  |  |  |  |
| Asphalc Beaternan | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Asplale zater | 3.79 |  |  |  |  |
| Batchiog Flant Scalenan | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |
| carpeater | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Carpenter Hlelper | 3.60 |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Finfsher (Paving) | 4.39 |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Finisher Eelpor (Paving) | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Coocrete Finlsher (3tructures) | 4.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Pinfsher fiolper (\$tructuras) | 5) 3.35 |  |  |  |  |
| Forn Baflder (Struetures) | 4.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Form Bullder Helper (Structures) | 2.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Form Secter (Taving ad Ourb) | 4,00 |  | $=$ |  |  |
| Forn Setter (Structures) | 4.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Tomo Secter 戒loer (Structures) | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Laberer, Comais | 2.60 |  |  |  |  |
| Laborer, Vellicy Men | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Manhole Butlder, Frick | 3.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Mectanic | 4.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Kechanie Helper | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Orler | 3.55 |  |  |  |  |
| Sarviceman | 3.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Pipelayer | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Pipelayer \#elper | 2.60 |  |  |  |  |
| Foudernat | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Seinforcing Steel Setter (Paving.) | 4.35 |  |  |  |  |
| Neinforclit Stesi Setcer(3Eructure | -) 4.00 |  |  |  |  |
| heinforcing Steel Setter Helper | 3.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 319n \#rector | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Sfign Erecter Helper | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Spreader Box Man | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Suamper | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Fower Equipreat Oparaters: Asphale Estributor | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Asphalt Poultec Machine | 4.45 |  |  |  |  |
| Broce or Sveager Operator | 2.80 |  |  |  |  |
| Bulldocer, 159 HP aod Lasa | 3.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Eulldorer, over 150 mz | 4.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete Fivien Pow shing Machlne | 3.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Crane, Clanghell, Eackhoe, Dutric Drapline, Sbovel (less tian ly Cr) | 4.25 |  |  |  |  |

## suregitinas becisios

 Sulth, Donley, Cray, Hins ford. Hartleg.
Eephill, Hutchinson, Lipsoobl, Soore,



| Decision so 2 75.4081$\qquad$ incmental, pavine a GTILITIES \& STTE PKCPAMTIOA |  |  |  |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Benic <br> Mewily <br> Rentra | Friege Besabis Popmerrs |  |  |  |
|  |  | *a* | Nomen | Vecotur | Ans 7 |
| Power Equipoent Oporators (Cont' |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grane, Clanshe11, Buckhoe, Derriek, Draglife, Shovel (13) CY 5 over) \$5, 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crusher or Screenting Plast Operasor 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundacion Drill operator (Crawler <br> Moueted) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundation Drill Operator (Trock Mounted)$4.75$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roundation Drill Operacor Helper 3.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.29 |  |  |  |  |
| Motor Grader Cporator, PIne Grate 4.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor Grader OperatorRoller, Steel theel ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (art-lelx |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boller. Steel theel (Ocher-Flat |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoel or Taping)$3.30$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Serapars (17 CY and Less) ${ }^{\text {Scrapers }}$ (Over 17 Cr$) \quad 3.70$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor (Crawler Type) 150 \#1? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor (Crauler Type) ower 150 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor (Rneumatic) 80 HP \& Less 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor (Pheumatic) over 83 \#p $\quad 3.40$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travelifg Mixer 3.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenching Hachine, Litat 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagon Deill, Foring Machise or Post Hole Driller Operator | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Truck Drivers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single $k \times l \mathrm{l}$, Light | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Sinple Axie. Heavy | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Tanden Axle or Semitrailer | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Weighman (Truck Scales) | 2.65 |  |  |  |  |
| Helder | 4.80 |  |  |  |  |
| Welder Helper | 2.75 |  |  |  |  |





Page_ 2


|  |  |  | Priope Be | Forment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | Bates | * 4 * | Pearens | Vection | ase. 7 T. |
| ASOESTCS W0碓ERS | \$7.98 | . 30 | . 51 |  | .025 |
| 301123ukess | 8.00 | . 30 | . 76 |  |  |
| BRTCRUAERS-5 STOMEMASNES | 7.50 |  | -20 |  |  |
| Camestiens : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carpentera | 6.80 |  |  |  | . 02 |
| Miliverighta | 8. 80 |  |  |  | .04 |
| Pledrivermen | 7,30 |  |  |  | . 01 |
| Cement masoss | 6.91 | . 15 |  |  | .03 |
| Eluctaiclis | 7.75 | . 30 | 15 |  | 1/45 |
| ELEWATOR CONSTRECTOES | 7.89 | . 445 | . 29 | 38.4.6 | -68 |
|  | $7 \pi 5 \pi$ | .445 | . 29 | 384* | . 27 |
| ELEvKTOR constaictoss* MELPESS (2203.) | 500.52 |  |  |  |  |
| poorgpies: a-1st 6 mos. - noce; 6 mos. to 5 yes. - $2 \%$ git pver 5 yra. - 45 of basle |  |  |  |  |  |
| hourly rate, b-Fafd Holfdays: Neo Zears" Day: Meoorlal Day; Independence Day; Labor Day; Tharkagiving Day; Christeas Day |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tmutuperes | 7.95 | . 30 | . 35 |  | . 04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIE COxSTaction: |  |  | 17 |  | 1/27 |
| Cable splicer | 8.29 |  | 15 |  | 1/27 |
| Hole digger operator; Heavy equip- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ltae truck (vinch operator) | 6.50 |  | 15 |  | 1/27 |
| Jackhamer man | 6.06 |  | 15 |  | 1/25 |
| Groundaan | 5.39 |  | 15 |  | 1/27 |
| Groundina, lat year | 4.23 |  | 15 |  | 1/27 |
| Truck driver (flat bed, one \& half 5 maler) | 5.72 |  | 18 |  | 1/2T |
| PAIStEz ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRDUP 1 - \#rush | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ft.; Brash suinging stage 5 chaft vork | 6.30 |  |  |  |  |
| GROCP 3 - Structural steel 5 froo galvanized plpe, bruah; Brash working vindow jack | 6,09 |  |  |  |  |
| GROUP 4 - Bruah woinging stage os chalr work over 40 fc . | 6.60 |  |  |  |  |
| GROUP 5 - Spray, sandblasters ob pover tools froa suinging stage or chast vork | 6.65 |  |  |  |  |

 Superwder Decision \$0. Ai-69, dated October 18, 1974, in 39 , and garden type apartearts op to ant including 4 stecies). (See Geperal Vage
Determinacion Tx $75-4001$ for Inciderital Faving).


| Decision no T.75-4084 |  | Friege Senotis Protent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bet: <br> $\mathrm{Manet}_{7}$ <br> Rates | * 2 | Ferm. | Nowter | sase T. |
| Mrete Mas0ns | \$5.17 |  | .20 |  | . 06 |
| FATMTERS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brash; paperhangers; chipplrg is hand tools ssed for cleandng rollers; Tapers, bedders, rollera $9^{n \prime \prime}$ in ufdeh | 5.51 | . 24 |  |  | . 01 |
| Steel after erection, sceam cleaning, buffing with power driven tools and torchea | 5.925 | . 24 |  |  | . 08 |
| Spray, sandblasting, vaterblastiont, wink stage | , 6.215 | . 24 |  |  | . 01 |
| Anes tools | 5.73 | . 24 |  |  | . 01 |
| Stripling rachite | 6.21 | . 24 | $\infty$ |  | . 01 |
| PLASTEAER | 6.41 | . 28 |  |  | . 01 |
| PUTBES 6 STEUFITIERS | 6.75 | . 31 | .30 |  | .08 |
| Praye skilifine gekatops: |  |  |  |  |  |
| csaur 1 | 4.76 | . 30 | . 30 |  | . 03 |
| G3007 2 | 5.54 | . 30 | . 30 |  | ..03 |
| G000] 3 | 5.63 | -30 | -30 |  | . 09 |
| c300] 4 | 5.68 | 30 | . 30 |  | .00 |
| csour 5 | 5.76 | . 30 | . 30 |  | . 03 |
| Gssup $\frac{6}{}$ | 6.00 | . 30 | .39 |  | . 03 |
| gevere 7 | 6.16 | . 30 | . 30 |  | . 03 |
| csour 8 | 5.63 | . 30 | . 30 |  | . 03 |
| CRNuP 9 | 5.86 | . 30 | . 30 |  | . 03 |
| csaup 10 | 6.16 | . 30 | .30 |  | . 03 |

 batch; Ribber-cired farn type tractors and trictors under 35 H? without actacherers
Gaoup; - Alr Conpressors, Pover Plants, pupps and velding machines (an operating engineer ulli not be required for an ais corpressor under 315 esfoa, a puip under chroe inches if and when there is another operating enpineer eoployed on the job who serwices the unfts); Coocrete sixers, under 1 yand, and concocte batch planis, frifer 1
yard, gunnfte ant puopcrete nachines, mechandcal ball floats, apreading and Finfohing
 hydrolift, Hydrocranes, vioch truck. Loaiers; Elevatint, Selt, type loader, front end
 Tractors Ader 35 . 17 with actachisecks; and fam type eractor with back, or ahovel type

$$
\sim
$$





## courties: Galveston b Harris

Cunturs: Gaiveston
DATIE: Date of puliction
Sated December 5, 1974, in 39 fanily homes and

STATE: Texa:
DECTSION NOL:
TC75-4025 DECISIOs AD,



|  | Eevie <br> Nearly <br> Rones: | Fringe Lewelis Peyments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | wa | Pesolus, | Vention | 400. 9 |
| PLINEZAS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harria County | \$8.36 | . 36 | . 52 | . 50 | . 10 |
| Galvestoon County: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comeretal woek up to \$50,000 | 8,35 | . 275 | . 30 |  | .02 |
| Comerefal work $\$ 50,000$ z oper | 8.99 | . 275 | . 30 |  | , 02 |
| PCOER ERUIPMST OPE3atOrs: GROUP 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GROUP cepur col | 8.15 6.92 | .35 .35 | . 40 |  | . 0 |
| gatur 3 | 6,48 | . 35 | . 40 |  | .14 |
| crave 4 | 6.34 | . 35 | . 40 |  | . 04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gpoup 1 - Eeavy Duty Mechanfe; Blade Grader, Self-propelied; Bull Claw; Sack |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flller; Derrick - power operated (all types); Clam Shell; Drackhes; Nhovel, |  |  |  |  |  |
| pover operated; Crane, power operated (all types); Zlevating Grader, Self-propelled; Hoist, Motor-Driven, Two Drans or more, Kix Moblle; Water Well |  |  |  |  |  |
| atruction; Tug Boat Operator, Kasigned to Construetion; linch Truck; Loconocive Crane; Concrate Mixer, 14 ez . ft . or more; Fawing Mixer (all cypes); Pile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drivert Scraper, Heavy Irpe, Over 3 cu . ydia; Trenching Vachines (all sfzes); |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gradall; Eligh-Life; Poundation Bo | Driver; Scraper, Reavy Iype, |  |  |  |  |
| Kachloes, 7 or more; Pumprete Mach1ne Operstor; Turnapulls; dw-10 Carerp111ar, |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$-18 Euclid and sinflar Tractors; Asphalt Plant Hixer Operator on job; Cruaher |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operator on job; Scoopmobiles; Forklifc used on construction hoosing): Hell Foint Rump; Concrete Baceh Plant Operator; Preenatic Sollers, |  |  |  |  |  |
| housing): Nell Point Fump; Conerete Baceh Plant Operator; frectat Self-propelled; All ocher equipoent of afailar natare coning under the Heavy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipsent Class, when power operated |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Klxer, less than 14 cu . ft.i Pump; Fulsoneter; Truck Crane Driver; Gasoline or diesel Driven Welding Machines (on 3 or nore, op to 6 machines); Holst. \$1ngle Drum; Scraper, 3 cu. yds. or Less; Wagon Drill Operator; Conveyor; |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Generator, Gasoline or Diesel-driven, over 1505 watts; Rubber Tired Fars |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor with attacheests; A $11_{g h t}$ Equippent Operator may run 1 or 2105 cfa conpressors; All othar equipoent of eimilar nature coedng under the Light |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipsent Class, when power aperated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gsgup 3-P1reman |  |  |  |  |  |
| GgOUP 4-Onler |  |  |  |  |  |


SUPEREDEAS DECISTCE






1, 1974, in 39 FR 35739 .
and garden type apartsents up to and Including. 4 stories). (See Gentral Wage

SURERENEAS PDCISTCN

|  | Besia <br> Hearly <br> Steras: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * $2 *$ | Nosimes | Verito. | A0. 7. |
| Existers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brush; Tapfing of floating of sbeetrock | \$6.55 |  | . |  |  |
| Paperhangers; Chipper, burner, torch; Skeleton steelvork erected | 6.80 |  |  |  |  |
| Spray: Stean cleanirg. sand blast is other povered equippert | 7.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Sulinging stage, bowun chair, windou jack or acaffold (above 2nd floos) - 2 S ( per hour above all base rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLASTESETS | 8,20 | . 25 | . 10 |  | . 01 |
| PLIN3ERS \& STEMMFITTESS | 7.85 | . 20 | . 25 |  | . 03 |
| parse equipmen pezators: |  |  |  |  |  |
| GECOP 1 | 7.38 |  | . 40 |  |  |
| cratip 2 | 6.45 |  | . 40 |  |  |
| cacur 3 | 5.43 |  | . 40 |  |  |
| GETUP 4 | 5.33 |  | . 40 |  |  |



SEPE SEDESS DECISIOA
DECESON No $2 \times 75=6032$

andmess cussiptaticu nepinitions

|  | 5 $i$ |  | 888 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  |  |
|  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  | नৈศ\% |
|  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |


 elght ran houra of bedding cire, ctbe drywall Efinfahing rate will be GRoup 4 - Steeple Jack rate (radio B TV towers, moke stacks, chireeys and water
tovers, and simflar facilifies and flag poles atop bofldings located eloser to
the edge of the butlding than tha heipht of the pole): Toxic raterial rate
(creosoce, coal tar products or simtlar materfals injurious to the skia)

 zose 2 - Betueen 25 and 40 elles
 of whehites Falls Cfty lioits
zone 4 - Between in and 100 mites


$\qquad$ !

|  | Benle Nownty Boles | Friegre Benatis Perasers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | *6* | Pravens | Vecerive | tas. The. |
| mociezs | \$4.09 |  |  |  |  |
| SIEET MITAL WOKEETS TEKFH220 \#CakETS | 7.19 4.10 |  |  |  | . 01 |
| TILE SETTETS | 4.19 3.50 |  |  |  |  |
| TRuck diaveas | 2.25 |  |  |  |  |
| HELDEAS - recelve rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which velding is incidental. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lsict hise Drecoura: |  |  |  |  |  |
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NATIONAL UTILITY RESIDUAL FUEL OIL ALLOCATION

February, 1975 Supplier Percentages

## FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

## NATIONAL UTILITY RESIDUAL FUEL OIL ALLOCATION

## Supplier Percentage Notice for February, 1975

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 211.163 (b) (3), 211.165 and 211.166 (d) (2), the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) hereby provides notice of the volumes of residual fuel oll allocated to each utility and the percentage of such volumes required to be supplied by each supplier for delivery in February, 1975. This information is set forth in the Appendix to this notice. Adjustments of certain supplier base period percentages have been made at the request of affected utilities, pursuant to the criterla of 10 CPR 205.25 and are reffected in the Appendix.
The utility allocations were determined after review of the impact of available fuel supplies between utility and nomutility uses of residual fuel oil. In calculating the allocation level for each utility the FEA considered all of the factors enumerated in 10 CFR $211.163(\mathrm{~b})$ (3) and also the following other factors:

1. The data contained in the Federal Power Commission (FPC Forms 23 and 23A submitted by utilities:
2. Natural gas curtailments;
3. FEA's prediction that this supply level of residual fuel oll is expected to generally equate to the total demand.

The amounts shown in the Appendix are the quantities of residual fuel oil to be delivered to the utilities listed during the month of February, 1975. Some utilities will not receive any allocation for this month for various reasons including the fact that these utilities burn other fuels primarily and use residual fuel oil only for standby purposes.
The Appendix provides the names of the suppliers obligated to supply each utility and each supplier's percentage and volume of each month's allocation to a utility. The first column of the Appendix lists each utility with its suppliers. The second column sets forth the recommended FEA burn level for February. The third and fourth columns provide each supplier's respective percentage and volume share of a utility's allocated volume of residual fuel oll. The fifth column provides the total volume of restdual fuel oil for each utility from all suppliers. Following the name of certain suppllers, an additional supplier is shown in parentheses. The supplier in parentheses is presumed, on the basis of the best information available, to be the supplier of the utility's supplier. This information is provided for the conventence of such suppliers and teh FEA requests that any additions or corrections in this regard be forwarded to FEA Electrical Utilities Reports, Code 47, Washington, D.C. 20461.

FEA will consider special circumstances such as unexpected outages which may cause fuel consumption to exceed FEA burn levels in any month. Adjustments may have been made in the allocation to certain utilities to reflect necessary corrections in the delivery levels authorized in previous months. It is contemplated that corrections or adjustments to delivery levels for certain utilities may be required during the month of February to avoid undue hardship. Such corrections or adjustments may be made pursuant to Subpart B and C of Part 10 CFR 205.

FEA expects the utilities to consume supplies at or below FEA burn levels, which are based on the utilities' proposed burn levels less adjustments for projected growth exceeding historic averages.

The utility residual fuel oil allocation program is based in part on the data derived from utilities' fllings of FPC Forms 23 and 23A. Thus, the timely submission of these forms will be a necessary prerequisite to receiving future allocations.

Reports should be addressed to FEA Electrical Utilities Reports, Code 47, Washington, D.C. 20461.

Issued in Washington, D.C., January $16,1975$.

Romert E. Montgomery, Jr., General Counsel.

- 4 -

Apprandix
RESIDUAL FUEL OIL ALLOCATIONS TO UTILITIES FOR THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 1975

```
RECOMMENDED
    FEO BURN
            PCT
                BY SUPPLIER
                                (BARRELS)
```

TOTAL (BARRELS)

1. NORTHEAST POWER COORDINATING COUNCIL AREA (NPCC)

## CONNECTICUT

| NORTHEAST UTILITIES <br> AMERADA HESS CORP <br> TAD JONES CO (GULF) <br> WYATT INC (EXXON) <br> H N HARTWELL\&SON INC | 1,724,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 68.0 \\ & 21.0 \\ & 10.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,172,320 \\ 362,040 \\ 172,400 \\ 17,240 \end{array}$ | 1.724,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LNITED ILLUMINATIING CO tEXACO <br> WYATT INC (EXXON) | 721,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 87.0 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 627,270 \\ 93,730 \end{array}$ | 721,000 |
| MAINE |  |  |  |  |
| BANGOR HYDRU ELEC. CO. SPRAGUE | 16,405 | 100.0 | 16,405 | 16,405 |
| CENTRAL MAINE POWER CO. TEXACO | 2R1,000 | 100.0 | 281,000 | 281,000 |
| MAINE PUBLIC SERVICE CD. DEAD RIV.U. (SPRAFUE) | 26,240 | 100.0 | 26,240 | 26,240 |
| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  |  |
| BUSTON ERISON CO. WHTTF FUFL (TEXACO) | 1,186,000 | 46 | 545,560 | 1,186,000 |
| EXXON |  | 42.0 | 498,120 |  |
| SPRAGUE |  | 12.0 | 142,320 |  |
| BRAINTREF FLFC, LT. DEDT. - CK SMITH(GOLD.EAGLE) | 16,106 | 100.0 | 16,106 | 16,106 |
| .UTIL. ASSOC. (MONTAUP\&ALACKS TEXACO | 269.000 | 100.0 | 269,000 | 269,000 |
| ITCHBURG GAS : EL. NORTHEAST PETROLEUM | 16.000 | 100.0 | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| HOLYOKE GAS AND ELECTHTC WYATT INC (EXXON) | 24.518 | :00.0 | 24,518 | 24,518 |
| NEW ENG. ELEC | 1,545,000 |  |  | 1,545,000 |
| ASIATIC PETRO CORP |  | 60.0 | 927,000 |  |
| GOLD.EAGLE |  | 39.9 | 616,455 |  |
| PRULEASE |  | . 1 | 1,545 |  |



```
ROCHESTER GAS & ELECTRIC 154,311 154,311
    AL!IED O 29.7 45,830
    MONOCO OTL COMPANY 70.3 108,481
        RHONE ISLAND
N=WPORT ELECTRIC CORP
    4,370
    100.0
    4,370
    4,370
    CK SMITH
    2. MID-ATLANTIC. AREA COORDINATION AGREEMENT (MAAC)
                DELAWARE
DELMARVA PWR & LT 
542,000
\begin{tabular}{rr}
22.0 & 119,240 \\
5.0 & 27,100 \\
8.0 & 43,360 \\
65.0 & 352,300
\end{tabular}
DUVER, CTTY OF
    34,8:9
                    100.0
    34,819
    OISTRTCT OF COLIIMBIA
POTOMAC.ELEC. PWR. 1,232,000
79.0
973,280
    ASIATIC PETKO CORP
    STEUART PETROLEUM CO
            MARYLAND
BALTIMORE GAS & ELECTRIC
496.430
    52.7 525,119
    IMFR\triangleOA HESS CORP
    EXXGM
            NEW JFRSEY
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ATLANTIC CTIY ELECTRIC COMPA & 364,208 & & & 364,208 \\
AMERADA HESS CORP & & 60.0 & 218,525 & \\
CONOCO & & 40,0 & 145,683 & \\
PU INTEGRATFD SYSTEM & 359,031 & & & 359,031 \\
AMFRADA HESS CORP & & 94,0 & 337,489 & 17,952 \\
SWANN OIL INC & 5.0 & 3,590 & \\
SHIPLEY HUMBLE & 1,0 & 3
\end{tabular}
```

| PUBLIC SFRVICE ELECTRIC AMERADA HESS CORP EXXON | 1,192,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.0 \\ & 22.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 929,760 \\ & 262,240 \end{aligned}$ | 1,192,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIneland, City of elec. SWANN OIL INC | 34.800 | 100.0 | 34,800 | 34,800 |
| PENNSYLVANIA |  |  |  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA PWR \& LT | 346,000 |  |  | 346,000 |
| PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC CO. ARCO | 1,320,000 |  |  | 1,320,000 |
| AMERADA HESS CORP |  | 21.5 | 376,200 283,800 |  |
| GULF |  | 9.0 | 118,800 |  |
| NEW ENGLAND PETRO |  | 2.1 | 27,720 |  |
| TEXACO |  | 24.0 | 316,800 |  |
| CONOCO |  | 14.9 | 196,680 |  |
| 3. SOUTHEASTERN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL (SERC) |  |  |  |  |
| Ft.ORIDA |  |  |  |  |
| FLORIDA KEYS ELEC COOP <br> FLORIDA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| EXXON BELCHER OTL (EXXON |  | 15.0 | 222,000 |  |
| BELCHER OIL (EXXON) |  | 85.0 | 1,258,000 |  |
| FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION EXXUN $1,339,700$ <br> AMERADA HESS. CORP |  | 60.0 | A03,820 | 1,339,700 |
|  |  | 40.0 | 535,880 |  |
| FORT PIERCE; CITY OFNEW ENGLAND PETRO $\quad 53,000$ |  | 100.0 | 53,000 | 53.000 |
| GAINESVILLF, CITY OF EASTERN SEABOARD | 84.963 | 100.0 | 84,963 | 84.963 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| GULF POWER CO. BAKER SERVICE (EXXON) | 23,900 |  |  | 23,900 |
|  |  | 100.0 | 23,900 |  |

3. SOUTHEASTERN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL (SERC)

FLORIDA KEYS ELEC COOP
$1,480,000$
$1,339,700$

23,900

| 3 ACKSONVILLE ELEC. AUTH. <br> VEN FUFL INC <br> AMERADA HESS CORP <br> NEW FNGLAND PETRO | $\begin{array}{rr} 570,000 & \\ & 82.6 \\ & 8.7 \\ & 8.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 470,820 \\ 49,590 \\ 49,590 \end{array}$ | 570,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mey west utilities STD.OIL-KY | $48,000 \quad 100.0$ | 48,000 | 48,000 |
| LAKE WORTH UTIL AUTHOKITY BELCHER OIL(EXXON) | 11,470 | 11,470 | 11,470 |
| LAKELAND LTGHT \& WTR DEPT BELCHER (STD.OIL-KY) | $128,000100.0$ | 128,000 | 128,000 |
| NEW SMYRNA BEACH CRLANDO UTTLITTES COMM. NEW ENGLANT PETRO | 428,379 | 428,379 | 428,379 |
| EGRING UTILTTIES COMM. UNION OIL OF CA | 4.500100 .0 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| TALLAHASSEF, CITY OF UNION OIL OF CA | $120,122 \quad 100.0$ | 120,122 | 120.122 |
| TAMPA ELFCTKIC CO. WESTERN (STU.QIL-KY) | $68,646 \quad 100.0$ | 68,646 | 68,646 |
| RO BFACH MUNICTPAL POWFR BELCHER OII. (EXXON) | 28.363100 .0 | 28,363 | 28.363 |
| GFORGTA |  |  |  |
| GEORGI A PONER COMPANY NEW ENGLAND PETRO | $82.298 \quad 100.0$ | 82,298 | 82,298 |
| SAVANNAH ELECTRIC \& POWER CO COLUNIAL UIL (EXXON) | 201,456 100.0 | 201,456 | 201,456 |
| MISSISSIPPI |  |  |  |
| MISSISSIPPI POWER CO. ERGON(TNTL TRADING) BAKER SERVICE (EXXON) | $\begin{array}{rr}69.700 \\ & 45.0 \\ 55.0\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,365 \\ & 38,335 \end{aligned}$ | 69,700 |





THWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER 42,213
100.0

42,213

26,262

4,285

45

12,391

349

16,803

69,287
72,681
18,057
90,738
100,670

44,667


[^20]| HATONNA MUN UTIL | 12,092 |  |  | 12,092 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NORTHWESTERN REF |  | 60.0 | 7,255 |  |
| GUSTAFSON OIL CO |  | 40.0 | 4,837 |  |
| JRTHINGTUN, CITY OF |  | 5,967 |  |  |
| ALLIEU O. |  | 100.0 | 5,967 | 5,967 |

NEBRASKA

ミNTRAL NEARASKA PUBLIC 0
5,000
AIRBURY LT \& WTR $\quad 5,000$
CARTER WTR (TEXACO)
RAND ISLAND ELEC 5,253
100.0

5,000

E 1 BPTDE
ASTINGS UTILITIES DEP
3,250
100.0

5,253

CARTER WTR
100.0

3,250

INCOLN ELECTRIC SYSTEM
2,300
2,300
E.L. BRIDE CO

ERRASKA. PUBLIC POWER DISTRI
10,068
100.0

2,300

PANHANDLE COOP ASSOC
100.0

10,068
10,068

MAHA PUR PWR DIST
4,869
4,869
MILDER OIL CO
100.0

4,869

## WISCONSTN

AKE SUPERIOR OIST PWR
32,500
5,253

OOME PETROIEUM
32.500
100.0

32,500
8. EAST CENTRAL AREA RELIABILITY COORDINATION AGREEMENT (ECAR)

```
MICHIGAN
```

| LINTUN LT \& WTR CRYSTAL REFINING CO | 652 | 100.0 | 652 | 652 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ONSUMEHS POWER | 695,011 |  |  | 695,011 |
| CONSUMERS PWR-CRUDF |  | 54.0 | 375,306 |  |
| LAKESIDE RFFINTNG CO |  | 14.0 | 97,30? |  |
| OSCEDLA REFINING CO |  | 8.0 | 55,601 |  |
| TOTAL LEONARD TNE |  | 4.0 | 27,800 |  |
| MURPLEY MI.DIV.AMOCN |  | 6.0 | 41,701 |  |
| ENTERPRISE UTL CO |  | 6.0 | 41,701 |  |
| ROPON OIL (STANDARD) |  | 3.0 | 20,850 |  |
| INDUST FUEL\&ASPHALT |  | 2.0 | 13,900 |  |
| RUPP OIL COMPANY |  | 2.0 | 13,900 |  |
| GLAOTEUX HEF |  | 1.0 | 6,950 |  |


7. WESTERN'SYSTFMS COORDINATING COUNCIL (WSCC)

## ARIZONA

## YIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO. 235,379

 UNION OIL OF CALPACIFIC SOUTHWEST
SAN JUADUIN REF
BASIN FUFLS
100.0

87,600

- ALLIFD O. (NEPCO)
$\begin{array}{rr}63.0 & 148,289 \\ 16.5 & 38,838 \\ 16.5 & 38,838 \\ 4.0 & 9,415\end{array}$
ALT RIVEK PRUJECT
69,441

| TESURO | 12.4 | 8,611 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| DUUGIAS OIL CO | 2.8 | 1,944 |
| GUSTAFSON OIL CO | .9 | 625 |
| MACMTL.LAN | 17.0 | 11,805 |
| POWEQINE OIL CO | 18.1 | 12,569 |
| LITTLE AMERICA | 19.7 | 13,680 |
| SAN JUAQUIN REF | 29.1 | 20,207 |

69,441
235,379


IOUTHERN CALIF EDISON
STD.OIL=CAL
TEXACO
ARCO
EXXON
PACIFIC RESOURCES
MACMILLAN R,F.OIL CONOCO

CNLORADO

:OLORADO SPRTNGS LT \& PWR .AMAR LT \& PWR
'UB SERV COLORADO
PLATEAU INC
REF. CORP CONOCO

MONTANA
IONTANA POWER ... 0
NEVADA
$4,033,000$

0
0 104,763

| 50.1 | $2,020,533$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9.7 | 391,201 |
| 7.8 | 314,574 |
| 20.4 | 822,732 |
| 6.8 | 274,244 |
| 3.0 | 120,990 |
| 2.2 | 88,726 |

20.1
43.5
36.4
57.380
54.0 46.0

93,224
100.0

21,057 45,572 38,134

93,224
$4,033,000$

0
104,763

0
57.380

30,985 26,395

93,224

1,190

35,949

OREGON
PACIFIC POWER \& LIGHT CO
TEXAS
COMMUNITY PUR SERV
STD.OIL-TEXAS
EL PASO ELECTRTC SOUTHERN UNION TESORO

UTAH
UTAH POWFR \&I TCHT CO
BLACKLINE ASPH.SALES

## WASHINGTON

PUGET SOIIND POWER \&LIGHT CO. ROSSO INC
PACIFIC NORTHERN
HOME OIL CN
SOUTH CENTER OIL
LIL YRLAD
CASCADE
OLDS OLYMPTC
SHELL
SEATTLE DEPT OF LI
TACOMA DEPT OF PUBLIC UTILIT
10. ASCC

ALASKA
CORUOVA, TOWN OF
HAWAII
HAWATIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY
STD.OIL-CA

20,147
85,540

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
74.5 & 63,727 \\
25.5 & 21,813
\end{array}
$$

22,000

$$
100.0
$$

22,000
124.305

| 1.0 | 1,243 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 16.0 | 19,889 |
| 2.0 | 2,486 |
| 16.5 | 20,510 |
| 8.5 | 10,566 |
| 8.0 | 9,944 |
| 4.0 | 4,972 |
| 44.0 | 54,694 |

0
0

0

600,444

600,444

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HILO ELEC LT } \\ & \text { STO.OIL-CA } \end{aligned}$ | 28,268 | 100.0 | 28,268 | 28,268 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAUAI ELECTRIC STD.OIL--CA | 13,212 | 100.0 | 13,212 | 13.212 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAUI ELECTRIC } \\ & \text { STD.OIL-CA } \end{aligned}$ | 28,151 | 100.0 | 28,151 | 28,151 |
| 11. NOT OTHERWISE CLASSIFIED |  |  |  |  |
| UNK |  |  |  |  |
| GUAM PWR AUTH <br> U.S.NAVY | 85.532 | 100.0 | 85,532 | 85,53ç |
| PUERTO RTCO WATER RESUURCESCOMMONWEALTH OILPUERTO RICO SUN OILCARIABEAN GULF REF | 1,719,321 |  |  | 1,719,321 |
|  |  | 50.0 | 859,661 |  |
|  |  | 30.0 | 515,796 |  |
|  |  | 20.0 | 343,864 |  |
| ST CROTX, V.I. WTR PWK AMERADA HESS CORP | 53.339 | 100.0 | 53,339 | 53,334 |
| ST THOMAS, V.I. WTR PWR | 39.542 |  |  | $39.54 i$ |
| AMERADA HESS CORP |  | 100.0 | 39,542 |  |

## CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

(Revised as of October 1, 1974)
Title 43-Public Lands : Interior (Parts 1-999) _--------- \$3. 95
Title 45-Public Welfare (Parts 100-199) ----------------- 5.30

Title 47-Telecommunication (Part 80-End)
[A Cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1974 appears in the first issue
of the Federal Register each month under Title 1]

Order from Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Coples of the complaint \& decision and order fled with the original document.

[^1]:    [seal]
    Robert L. Oswald. Secretary.

[^2]:    This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules,

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Copies may be obtained from: Assoclation of Officlal Analytical Chemints Box 540, Benfamin Frankifn Etation Washington, DC 20044

[^4]:    © LBP-74-69, RAI-74-9, p, 638.
    ${ }^{5}$ LBP-74-76, RAI-74-10, p. 701.

[^5]:    *Tho NRC staff has questions regarding the structural integrity and the performance characteristics of the proposed permanent dewatering system, including the adequacy of the analytical methods used to determino (a) the basts for the proposed strength of the porous concrete blanket; (b) the basls for the selsmic category and the safety classiffontions proposed for the permanent dewatering system; (c) the basis for the permeabillty values proposed for the porous concrete blanket; (d) the basts for the analyals of the flow distribution within the porous concrete blanket; (e) the sffect of potentiat random oracking and locallized crushing of the porous concrete blanket, as well as potential random differential settiement, on the system performance and functionablity: ( 1 ) the analytioal modeling of the dynamic response of the manholes; ( g ) the structural Integrity of the embedded power supply to the submersible pumps; and (h) the proposed preliminary design of the porous conorete blanket.
    ${ }^{T}$ Final Environmental 8 tatement, Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, 14.1.1, Pp. 4-1, 4-2, dated April 1974.

[^6]:    *The NRC Staff, shortly, will filo an appropriate pleading with the Board which will set forth the NRC Statr'm vtews concerning what action in that forum, if any, is compelled by these changed circumstancea,

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ FCC 74-879, 39 PR 30016 (August 19, 1974), 48 FCO 2d 402.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Docket No, 14507, FCO 63-414, 28 FR 4758; FCC 63-856, 28 FR 10911 (1963); 1 FCC 2d 1349 (1965).

    PFCC 63-414, 28 FR 4758 (1963): FCC 63856, 28 PR 10911 (1963).

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ On February 26, 1974 the Commlastion edopted a further Report and Order estabIshing is new schedule of fees that was to have been effective May 1, 1974, See FCO News Report No. 9201, February 27, 1974. On March 7, 1974, this Report and Order was get aside. See FCC News Report No. 9233, March 8, 1974.
    ${ }^{5}$ Petitions for review of the 1970 scheduite were consolidated in the United States Court of Appenls for the Fifth Clrouit which, in the case of Clay Broadcasting v. United States, 464 P. 2d 1313 (1972), affirmed the Commisaion's sohedule of fees in all respects. Only the National Cable Televiston Assoclation petitioned tho Supreme Court to review the Clay Broadcasting deciston.

[^9]:    - See Order of Court of Clatms in Cannon Beach TV Co. Inc., et at. v. Unitea States (Case No. 82-74), November 15, 1974 at p. 2.

[^10]:    \%48 FCC 2d at 404.

[^11]:    - 23 F.C.C. 24891 (1970).

[^12]:    ${ }^{11}$ To suggest, for example, that the public is the sole beneflelary of hearings such as Phase I of Docket 19129, whereln the Commisston allowed AT\&T to Increase its rates to provide Bell a rate of return of $81 / 2$ percent rather than $7 \%$ percent, is to urge as proposition that is obviounly untenable,

[^13]:    ${ }^{4}$ Annual authortzation fee payments are based upon the number of cable subscribers in the calendar year immediately preceding the due date of the payment. See : 1.1102 of the Commisston's rules.

[^14]:    ${ }^{12}$ In this respect, the function of the subsertber count in determining the particular cable system's annual authorization fee payment is analogous to the function of the advertising rate card in determining a particular broadcast station's annual fee payment.

[^15]:    ${ }^{18}$ See $\ddagger 2.803$ of the Commissfon's rules.

[^16]:    IT A statement of Chairman Wiley in which the other members of the Commission Join is filed an part of the original document.

[^17]:    Group 5: Crace operator - over 30 tons; Derrick; Electric rafl trpe cower clanshells add backhoes (over 5 cs , yds.) : Guad nine and siallar puah , its
    Scraper-all tandea bowis; Scraper-single bowl Including pups: 40 ca. yd. and
    over
    

[^18]:    LABCRESS (BUILDTSG
    CONSTEUCTICS)

    Carfield and
    Pitkin Counties
    

[^19]:    group tiders, nippers, pouderman and blasters, reinforcing steel setters, tither men (steel or wood tunael support, incl. the placesent of sheeting when
    required); All curting and velding that is Incidental to the miner work; required) ; All cutting and velding that is incidental to the miner's work;
    Liver plate setters; Vibrator men, finterasl and extermal

    Group 5: Disnond and core drill: Cenent finisher (uoderground); Qunnite
    nozzleapa; Sotorete operators; Sandblasters and pap concrete placenent neanleaen;
    nen

    Croup 5: Aey eaployee performing work under ground frou a bos" $=$ chafr.
    sulogiog stage, life belt or block and tackle

[^20]:    $\frac{1}{x}$

