

position on issues raised by the proposal. Notice of the proposal is published solely to seek the views of interested persons on the issues presented by the application and does not represent a determination by the Board that the proposal meets, or is likely to meet, the standards of the BHC Act.

Any comments or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by William W. Wiles, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than January 24, 1995. Any request for a hearing on this application must, as required by § 262.3(e) of the Board's Rules of Procedure (12 CFR 262.3(e)), be accompanied by a statement of the reasons why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

This application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 4, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95-526 Filed 1-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

United Valley Bancorp, Inc., et al.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that

are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received not later than February 3, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (Michael E. Collins, Senior Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105:

1. *United Valley Bancorp, Inc.*, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of United Valley Bank, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. UVB Interim Bank, will be formed to facilitate the transaction.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (James A. Bluemle, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *First Mutual Bancorp, Inc.*, Decatur, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of First Mutual Bank, S.B., Decatur, Illinois.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (James M. Lyon, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480:

1. *Elgin Bancshares, Inc.*, Elgin, North Dakota; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Farmers State Bank, Elgin, North Dakota.

D. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth R. Binning, Director, Bank Holding Company) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *Wells Fargo & Company*, San Francisco, California; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Wells Fargo Bank (Arizona), National Association, Phoenix, Arizona, a *de novo* bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 4, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95-528 Filed 1-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Public Buildings Service; Record of Decision; New United States Courthouse-Federal Building in Santa Ana, California

The United States General Services Administration (GSA) announces its decision, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (40 CFR parts 1500-1508) and

the Regulations issued by the Council on Environmental Quality, November 29, 1978, to construct a new Federal Building-United States Courthouse (FB-CT) in Santa Ana, California. The site is bordered by 5th Street to the north, 4th Street to the south, Ross Street to the west, and Broadway to the east.

The purposes for the new FB-CT are to consolidate courts and court related agencies space in one location, to relieve substandard and overcrowded conditions at the existing federal court facilities in the City of Santa Ana, and to provide space for anticipated future growth. The proposed project is anticipated to be ready for occupancy in 1997.

The existing court activities are currently dispersed between three separate buildings. The three locations are the Federal Building at 34 Civic Center Drive, leased office space at 600 West Santa Ana Boulevard, and a leased modular structure in the Civic Center Plaza. The courts and related agencies need to be consolidated in one location for the efficiency of their operations.

In use since 1987, the modular building is a prefabricated temporary structure which is approaching the end of its useful life. Its conditions are substandard for high-volume Federal Court activities. Problems associated with the leased modular facility such as inadequate parking, lack of loading dock or delivery facilities, poor building circulation, and poor acoustics currently hinder courts day to day activities. Additionally, the modular building is located on a site leased by the Government from the County of Orange. The ground lease will expire in 1997 and is nonrenewable.

The existing Federal Building, as well as the modular building, do not meet guidelines for court facilities set forth in the "U.S. Courts Design Guide" (February 1993). Structural restrictions such as obstructing columns and inadequate ceiling heights are prevalent in these facilities.

In addition to the substandard facilities, overcrowding hinders courts day to day activities. The Central District Court of California, of which Santa Ana is a division, is the largest district in the Ninth Circuit. Between 1986 and 1991, the entire Central District Court of California experienced an average increase in case load filings of approximately 9.6 percent per year. During 1991 and 1992, the Santa Ana Divisional Office experienced an approximately 24.6 percent increase in case load filings. The federal court system located in Santa Ana currently requires approximately 25,000 additional occupiable square feet for its