

submitted to NSF for financial support in response to the special initiative NSF 94-152.

Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals for Global Learning and Observations to Benefit the Environment (GLOBE).

Reason for closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: January 10, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-1006 Filed 1-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Parts 20 and 61, Low-Level Waste Shipment Manifest Information and Reporting.
3. The form number if applicable: NRC Forms 540 and 540A, 541 and 541A, and 542 and 542A.
4. How often the collection is required: Quarterly reporting or less to the NRC depending upon specific license conditions. Forms are used whenever, low-level waste is shipped.
5. Who will be required or asked to report: All NRC licensed low-level waste facilities. All generators, collectors, processors of low-level waste intended for disposal at a low-level waste facility must complete the appropriate forms.
6. An estimate of the number of responses:
For the Rule: 12,068
For NRC Form 540: 8,000
For NRC Form 541: 8,000
For NRC Form 542: 600
7. An estimate of the number of hours needed annually to complete the

requirement or request: For the final rule, approximately 12,548 hours (1.04 hours per response). For the NRC Forms, approximately 53,100 hours: NRC Form 540—9,380 hours (1.17 hours per response); NRC Form 541—43,463 hours (5.43 hours per response), and NRC Form 542—260 hours (0.43 hours per response).

8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Applicable.

9. Abstract: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is amending its regulations in 10 CFR Parts 20 and 61 to improve low-level waste (LLW) shipment manifest information and reporting. The final rule and new forms will: (1) Improve the quality and uniformity of information contained on manifests that are required to control transfers of low-level radioactive waste ultimately intended for disposal at a land disposal facility; (2) establish a set of NRC forms, that serves as a national Uniform Low-Level Radioactive Waste Manifest, and captures the information needed to meet NRC, DOT, State and Compact information requirements; (3) require LLW disposal site operators to electronically store container-specific manifest information; and (4) require disposal site operators to be capable of submitting reports of stored manifest information on a computer-readable medium (e.g., magnetic disks or tapes).

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W. (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Comments and questions can be directed by mail to the OMB reviewer; Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0014; 3150-0135; 3150-0164; 3150-0165; and 3150-0166), NEOB 10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 9th day of January, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 95-1025 Filed 1-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-313]

Entergy Operations, Inc.; Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License. Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-51 issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1 located in Pope County, Arkansas.

The proposed amendment revised technical specifications to address the installation of two battery chargers on each vital 125 vdc power train in lieu of the "swing" battery charger that is currently used.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Criterion 1—Does Not Involve a Significant Increase in the Probability or Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated.

The vital 125 vc system is not an accident initiator. It serves as a power supply to systems which mitigate the effects of postulated accidents.

The proposed Technical Specification (TS) changes are consistent with those of the plant system currently addressed by the TSs. The proposed Limiting Condition for Operation maintains the minimum equipment operability requirement of one battery charger per electrical train. The Action Statement allows operation for an 8 hour period with no operable battery charger on one electrical train, maintaining the current requirements. The separate requirement for testing a "swing" battery charger has been deleted. The remaining surveillance requirement wording continues to require testing and loading of all battery chargers on their associated busses, including the

"swing" battery charger. These changes are considered to be purely administrative in nature as the requirements themselves have not been reduced.

The change to Specification 3.7.1.B is considered to be administrative in nature and results in consistency between the Limiting Condition for Operation and the associated Action Statement.

Therefore, the change does *not* involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

Criterion 2—Does Not Create the Possibility of a New or Different Kind of Accident from any Previously Evaluated.

The proposed Technical Specification (TS) changes are consistent with those of the plant system currently addressed by the TSs. The proposed Limiting Condition for Operation maintains the minimum equipment operability requirement of one battery charger per electrical train. The Action Statement allows operation for an 8 hour period with no operable battery charger on one electrical train, maintaining the current requirements. The separate requirement for testing a "swing" battery charger has been deleted. The remaining surveillance requirement wording continues to require testing and loading of all battery chargers on their associated busses, including the "swing" battery charger. These changes are considered to be purely administrative in nature as the requirements themselves have not been reduced.

The change to Specification 3.7.1.B is considered to be administrative in nature and results in consistency between the Limiting Condition for Operation and the associated Action Statement.

Therefore, this change does *not* create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

Criterion 3—Does Not Involve a Significant Reduction in the Margin of Safety.

The proposed Technical Specification (TS) changes are consistent with those of the plant system currently addressed by the TSs. The proposed Limiting Condition for Operation maintains the minimum equipment operability requirement of one battery charger per electrical train. The Action Statement allows operation for an 8 hour period with no operable battery charger on one electrical train, maintaining the current requirements. The separate requirement for testing a "swing" battery charger has been deleted. The remaining surveillance requirement wording continues to require testing and loading of all battery chargers on their associated busses, including the "swing" battery charger. These changes are considered to be purely administrative in nature as the requirements themselves have not been reduced.

The change to Specification 3.7.1.B is considered to be administrative in nature and results in consistency between the Limiting Condition for Operation and the associated Action Statement.

Therefore, this change does *not* involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three

standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazardous consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comment received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards considerations. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administrative, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By February 16, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10

CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Tomlinson Library, Arkansas Tech University, Russellville, Arkansas 72801. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific

sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to William D. Beckner: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the

General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Mr. Nicholas S. Reynolds, Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-3502, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 30, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Tomlinson Library, Arkansas Tech University, Russellville, Arkansas 72801.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of January 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Thomas W. Alexion,

Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-1023 Filed 1-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 70-36]

Combustion Engineering Hematite Facility; Closing of Local Public Document Room

Notice is hereby given that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is closing the local public document room (LPDR) for records pertaining to the Combustion Engineering (CE) Hematite Nuclear Fuel Manufacturing Facility located at the Jefferson College, Hillsboro, Missouri, effective February 1, 1995.

This LPDR was established in April 1993 during the NRC's review of CE's license renewal application. There is no longer a need for the LPDR since Special Nuclear Material License SNM-33 was renewed for a 10-year term on July 28, 1994.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of January, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Walter E. Oliu,

Acting Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-1024 Filed 1-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

National Information Infrastructure Security Issues Forum; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meetings and request for public comments.

SUMMARY: The National Information Infrastructure Security Issues Forum will conduct two public meetings to continue a dialogue between government and the private and public interest sectors on issues related to the security of information on the National Information Infrastructure (NII). Interested parties—especially beneficiaries of Aid to Families with Dependent Children and Food Stamps, and users of public information, and participants in the sophisticated communications networks which support the U.S. transportation and customs systems—are invited to submit a 1-2 page position statement and request to testify.

The meetings are sponsored by the NII Security Issues Forum of the Information Infrastructure Task Force and Mega-Project III of the U.S. Advisory Council on the NII.

DATES: Both public meetings, "Security of the Electronic Delivery of Government Information and Services" and "Security for Intelligent Transportation Systems and Trade Information," will be held simultaneously on Friday, January 27, 1995, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. in Raleigh, North Carolina.

Those wishing to testify should submit a 1-2 page position statement and request to participate by January 20, 1995. Individuals wishing to offer general comments or present questions may request to do so during the meeting. Written comments may be submitted on paper or electronically, in ASCII format, and will be accepted until February 10, 1995.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting, "Security of the Electronic Delivery of Government Information and Services," will be held in the Auditorium of the North Carolina Museum of History, 1 East Edenton Street, Raleigh, North Carolina. The public meeting, "Security