

Dated: January 31, 1995.

**Timothy M. Sullivan,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-2745 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

[Notice 95-017]

**Solar System Exploration  
Subcommittee of the Space Science  
Advisory Committee**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Science Advisory Committee, Solar System Exploration Subcommittee.

**DATES:** Wednesday, March 1, 1995, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.; and Thursday, March 2, 1995, 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW, Conference Room MIC 6A, West, (March 1) Conference Room MIC 5A, West, (March 2) Washington, DC 20546.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William L. Piotrowski, Code SL, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 205 46, (202) 358-0316.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting includes the following topics:

- Overview of Solar System Exploration Division Status
- Mission Reports
- Advanced Study Reports
- Technology Report
- Complex Report
- Strategic Planning Approach
- Discussion and Formulation of Recommendations/Action Items

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: January 31, 1995.

**Timothy M. Sullivan,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-2744 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-413]

**Duke Power Company, et al.; Notice of  
Consideration of Issuance of  
Amendment to Facility Operating  
License, Proposed No Significant  
Hazards Consideration Determination,  
and Opportunity for a Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 issued to Duke Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1, located in York County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendment would change Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.1.2 to defer the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) at Catawba Unit 1 for one outage, from the end-of-cycle (EOC) 8 refueling outage (scheduled for February 1995) to EOC 9 (scheduled for June 1996). Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 50, Appendix J, requires that three ILRTs be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period at a nuclear station. "Approximately equal intervals" is defined in Catawba's TS as 40 plus or minus 10 months. The proposed one-time change would allow Catawba to extend that interval to 60 plus or minus 10 months.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The following analysis is presented, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91, to demonstrate that the proposed change will not create a Significant Hazard Consideration.

1. The proposed change will not involve a significant increase in the probability or

consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Containment leak rate testing is not an initiator of any accident; the proposed interval extension does not affect reactor operations or accident analysis, and has no radiological consequences. Therefore, this proposed change will not involve an increase in the probability or consequences of any previously evaluated accident.

2. The proposed change will not create the possibility of any new accident not previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not affect normal plant operations or configuration, nor does it affect leak rate test methods. The test history at Catawba (no ILRT [integrated leak rate test] failures) provides continued assurance of the leak tightness of the containment structure.

3. There is no significant reduction in a margin of safety.

It has been documented in draft NUREG-1493 that an increase in the ILRT interval from 1 test every 3 years to 1 test every 10 years would result in a population exposure risk in the vicinity of 5 representative plants from .02% to .14%. The proposed change included herein, an increase from 40 (plus or minus) 10 months to 60 (plus or minus) 10 months, represents a small fraction of that already very small increase in risk. Therefore, it may be concluded that no significant reduction in a margin of safety will occur.

Based on the above, no significant hazards consideration is created by the proposed change.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The

Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 8, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the

nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no

significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Herbert N. Berkow: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Mr. Albert Carr, Duke Power Company, 422 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 18, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Robert E. Martin,**

*Project Manager, Project Directorate II-3,  
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-2801 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 40-3453]

**Receipt of Application From Atlas Corp.**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Receipt of Application From Atlas Corporation to Amend Condition 55 of Source Material License No. SUA-917.

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has received, by letter dated January 24, 1995, an application from Atlas Corporation (Atlas) to amend Condition 55 of Source Material License No. SUA-917.

The license amendment application proposes to modify License Condition 55 to change the completion date for placement of the interim cover on the tailings impoundment from February 15, 1995, to October 31, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Allan T. Mullins, High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Telephone: 301-415-6693.

Atlas Corporation's application to amend Condition 55 of Source Material License SUA-917, which describes the proposed changes to the license condition and the reason for the request, is being made available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555.

The licensee and any person whose interest may be affected by the issuance of this license amendment may file a request for hearing. A request for hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**; be served on the NRC staff (Executive Director for Operations, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852); be served on the licensee (Atlas Corporation, Republic Plaza, 370 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3150, Denver, Colorado 80202); and must comply with the requirements set forth in the Commission's regulations, 10 CFR 2.105

and 2.714. The request for hearing must set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceedings and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceedings, including the reasons why the request should be granted, with particular reference to the following factors:

1. The nature of the petitioner's right under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, to be made a party to the proceedings;
2. The nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and
3. The possible effect on the petitioner's interest of any order which may be entered in the proceedings.

The request must also set forth the specific aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes a hearing.

Atlas Corporation: Receipt of Application from Atlas Corporation to Amend Condition 55 of Source Material License No. SUA-917.

Signed at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of January 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**John O. Thoma,**

*Acting Chief, High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 94-2800 Filed 2-3-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-443]

**North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation, et al; Notice of Partial Denial of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has denied partially a request by North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (licensee), for an amendment to Facility Operating License No. 50-443 issued to the licensee for operation of the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of this amendment was published in the **Federal Register** on May 25, 1994 (59 FR 27057).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request was to revise the Technical Specifications (TS) to make editorial changes and to revise certain administrative controls, and to delete the requirement for periodic review of certain procedures.

The NRC staff has concluded that the licensee's request to delete the periodic review of the specified procedures

cannot be granted. The licensee was notified of the Commission's denial of the proposed change by a letter dated January 26, 1995.

By March 8, 1995, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the denial described above. Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Thomas Dignan, Esquire, Ropes & Gray, One International Place, Boston, MA 02110-2624, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated January 14, 1994, and letter dated October 17, 1994, and (2) the Commission's letter to the licensee dated January 26, 1995.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Exeter Public Library, 47 Front Street, Exeter, NH 03833.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of January 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Phillip F. McKee,**

*Director, Project Directorate I-4, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-2799 Filed 2-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-482]

**In the Matter of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1**

**Exemption**

**I**

On June 4, 1985, the Commission issued Facility Operating License No. NPF-42 to Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) for the Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1 (WCGS). The license provides, among other things, that the licensee is subject