

Decisions To Be Made

The Payette National Forest Supervisor will decide:

Whether to allow salvage logging.

If so, where and how to harvest.

Whether to plant after harvest.

Whether to implement the sediment reducing, recreation, and wildlife improvement projects.

What management requirements and mitigation measures are required as part of the project.

What monitoring requirements are appropriate to evaluate project implementation. And,

What Forest Plan amendment(s) are required.

Public Involvement Meetings

The Forest will hold five public scoping meetings to introduce the Proposed Actions for the three burned landscapes and to invite public comment: Riggins—February 15, 4–9 pm, City Hall. McCall—February 16, 4–9 pm, McCall Smokejumper Base. Council—February 21, 4–9 pm, Council Ranger District office. Boise—February 23, 4–9 pm, Red Lion Downtowner. Grangeville—February 26, 1–3 pm, Nez Perce National Forest Supervisor's office. In addition, Forest Service personnel will make added public presentations on request.

Agency/Public Contacts

The Forest is mailing a summary of the Proposed Action, preliminary issues, and background information on the analysis to key individuals, groups, and agencies for comment. The mailing list includes those on the Payette postfire mailing list and those generally interested in Payette National Forest NEPA projects.

Schedule

Draft Environmental Impact Statement, May 1995. Final EIS, August 1995. Implementation, September 1995.

Comments

Comments on the Proposed Action and analysis should be received in writing on or before March 10, 1995. Send comments to: Forest Supervisor, Payette National Forest, P.O. Box 1026, 106 W. Park Street, McCall, ID 83638; telephone (208) 634-0700; FAX (208) 634-0281.

The comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings

related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions [*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)]. Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the Draft Environmental Impact Statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts [*City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1002 (9th Cir., 1986); and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)]. Because of these court rulings, it is important that those interested in this Proposed Action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues raised by the Proposed Action, comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Responsible Official

David F. Alexander, Forest Supervisor, Payette National Forest, P.O. Box 1026, 106 West Park, McCall, ID 83638.

Dated: January 31, 1995.

David F. Alexander,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95-2917 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS**Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the North Carolina Advisory Committee**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and

regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the North Carolina Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 1, 1995, at the North Carolina Mutual Life Insurance Company, 411 West Chapel Hill Street, Durham, North Carolina 27701. The purpose of this meeting is: (1) to discuss the status of the Commission and Advisory Committees; (2) to hear reports on civil rights progress and/or problems in the State; (3) to discuss the transcripts of the current project on racial tensions in North Carolina.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Asa Spaulding, Jr., at 704-535-4500 or Bobby D. Doctor, Director of the Southern Regional Office, 404-730-2476 (TDD 404-730-2481). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, January 27, 1995.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 95-2891 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Agency Forms Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)**

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for clearance the following proposals for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Export Administration (BXA)

Title: International Import Certificate.

Agency Form Number: BXA-645P.

OMB Approval Number: 0694-0017.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 1,986 hours.

Number of Respondents: 7,441.

Avg Hours Per Response: 10 to 15 minutes depending on the requirement. 1 minute for recordkeeping.

Needs and Uses: The U.S. and 20 other countries have established an Import Certificate Procedure to increase the effectiveness of controls over strategic commodities. When requested

by a foreign exporter, U.S. importers must provide a certification to the U.S. government that specific commodities will be imported into the U.S. and will not be reexported except in accordance with U.S. regulations. Once such representations have been made, BXA provides a copy of the certification to both the foreign exporter and foreign government. Should a violation occur, this documentation can be used against the violator.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Don Arbuckle (202) 395-7340.

Agency: Bureau of Export Administration (BXA)

Title of Survey: Notification of Commercial Invoices That Do Not Contain A Destination Control Statement.

Agency Form Number: N/A. Requirements are found at 786.6 of Export Administration Regulations.

OMB Approval Number: 0694-0038.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 21 hours.

Number of Respondents: 40.

Avg Hours Per Response: 30 minutes for the reporting requirement and 1 minute for recordkeeping.

Needs and Uses: Commercial invoices, bills of lading, and their shipping documentation contain destination control statements that indicate the appropriate disposition of the goods or technical data. When a forwarding agent finds the documentation lacking, the agent is required to notify the exporter of the problem so that corrective action can be taken.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Don Arbuckle, (202) 395-7340.

Agency: Bureau of Export Administration (BXA).

Title: Defense Diversification Needs Assessment.

Agency Form Number: None.

OMB Approval Number: 0694-0083.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 4,200 hours.

Number of Respondents: 4,200.

Avg Hours Per Response: 1 hour.

Needs and Uses: Commerce is conducting an assessment of defense subcontractors in order to match

appropriate government resource programs to the firm's needs, that would assist them in diversifying their operations.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: One time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Don Arbuckle, (202) 395-7340.

Copies of the above information collection proposals can be obtained by calling or writing Gerald Taché, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3271, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections should be sent to Don Arbuckle, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated February 1, 1995.

Gerald Taché,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 95-2997 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-CW-F

International Trade Administration

[A-428-811]

Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From Germany; Initiation of Anticircumvention Inquiry of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of anticircumvention inquiry.

SUMMARY: On the basis of a petition filed with the Department of Commerce (the Department), we are initiating an anticircumvention inquiry to determine whether imports of certain hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from the Netherlands are circumventing the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Germany (58 FR 15324 (March 22, 1993)).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 7, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas O. Barlow or Wendy J. Frankel, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1994, Inland Steel Bar Company and USS Kobe Steel Company (hereafter, petitioners) filed a petition, pursuant to section 781(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Tariff Act) and 19 CFR 353.29 (b) and (f), requesting the Department to investigate whether imports of certain leaded steel products from the Netherlands are circumventing the antidumping duty order issued against certain hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Germany.

Petitioners allege that Thyssen AG, a German steel producer, is shipping leaded steel billets to its wholly-owned subsidiary Nedstahl BV (Nedstahl), located in the Netherlands, hot-rolling the billets into bars and rods and then exporting them from the Netherlands to the United States. Petitioners assert that Thyssen's actions warrant an affirmative determination of circumvention under the Tariff Act.

On August 29, 1994, the law firm of Sharretts, Paley, Carter & Blauvelt filed a letter of appearance on behalf of Thyssen, and an application for administrative protective order.

On December 9, 1994, petitioners submitted additional information in support of its allegation of circumvention.

Initiation of Anticircumvention Inquiry

Section 781(b) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 353.29(f) authorize the Department to include merchandise within the scope of an existing antidumping duty order if: (A) The merchandise imported into the United States is of the same class or kind as the merchandise subject to the order; (B) before importation into the United States, such imported merchandise is completed or assembled in a third country from merchandise which (i) is subject to an order, or (ii) is produced in the foreign country with respect to which such order applies; (C) the difference between the value of such merchandise imported into the United States and the value of the merchandise from the country subject to the order which was completed or assembled in the third country is small, and (D) the Department determines that action is appropriate to prevent evasion of such order.

In determining whether to include merchandise assembled or completed in a third country in an order, the Department must take into account such factors as: (a) the pattern of trade, (b) whether the manufacturer or exporter of the merchandise from the country subject to the order is related to the