

[TA-W-30,682]

BASF Corporation; Polyester Filament Department, Lowland, Tennessee; Revocation of Certification

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification for workers of the Polyester Filament Department of the subject firm on January 26, 1995. The Notice has not as yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

The Department amended an earlier certification for BASF Corporation (TA-W-30,360) to include the workers of the polyester filament department because they met all the worker group requirements for certification under the Trade Act.

Accordingly, the Department is revoking its certification under petition TA-W-30,682 effective this date because the polyester filament workers are covered under TA-W-30,360.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3638 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,652]

The Coach Factory, Carlstadt, New Jersey; Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on January 17, 1995, in response to a worker petition which was filed on January 17, 1995, on behalf of workers at The Coach Factory, Carlstadt, New Jersey.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 3rd day of February, 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3640 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30, 354; TA-W-30, 354A; Texas et al.; TA-W-30, 354B]

Delhi Gas Pipeline Company; Headquartered in Dallas, Texas and Operating in the Following States, Texas et al.; Negative Determination Regarding Application of Reconsideration

After being granted a filing extension, one of the workers with congressional support, requested administrative reconsideration of the subject petition for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice was signed on November 14, 1994 and published in the **Federal Register** on December 9, 1994 (59 FR 63822).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Investigation findings show that the workers are engaged in natural gas transportation services via pipeline. The findings show that the Delhi Gas Pipeline Company was established as a common carrier (pipeline) engaged in the transportation of natural gas for its affiliates; and as a common carrier, the subject firm does not own the natural gas shipped through its pipeline.

Access to Delhi's pipelines are open to all shippers on a nondiscriminatory basis. No single shipper can be granted unduly preferential treatment, and as such, Delhi has an "arm's length" relationship with its customers. Numerous other unaffiliated companies and individuals are shippers on this common carrier pipeline. Accordingly, Delhi provides a service. Other findings also show that sales increased in 1993 compared to 1992.

The findings show that some natural gas liquids are produced by Delhi; however, the amount of natural gas liquid revenue generated to total pipeline revenue is small.

Prices and profits are not worker group eligibility requirements for certification under the Trade Act. The Trade Act was not intended to provide TAA benefits to everyone who is in some way affected by foreign competition but only to those who produce an article and experienced a decline in sales or production and employment and an increase in imports of like or directly competitive products

which "contributed importantly" to declines in sales or production and employment.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3639 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,332]

INTERA Information Technologies, Inc., Denver, Colorado; Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On January 13, 1995, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 27, 1995 (60 FR 5438).

New findings on reconsideration show that the subject firm is engaged in operations related to the exploration and drilling for crude oil. Workers are engaged in exploration activities in the field for unaffiliated firms in the oil industry.

The findings show decreased revenues in 1994 compared to 1993 and substantial worker separations in 1994.

U.S. imports of crude oil and natural gas increased absolutely and relative to domestic shipments in the first eight months of 1994 compared to the same period in 1993.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that the workers and former workers of Intera Information Technologies, Inc., in Denver, Colorado were adversely affected by increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with crude oil.

Accordingly, in accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Intera Information Technologies, Inc., in Denver, Colorado who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 2, 1993

are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC., this 2nd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3641 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

BASF Corporation Lowland, TN; TA-W-30,360 Nylon Hosiery Department TA-W-30,360A Polyester Filament Department; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on December 7, 1994, applicable to all workers of the nylon hosiery department. The certification notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 3, 1995 (60 FR 148).

The Department on its own motion, reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The Department is amending the certification to include the workers of the polyester filament department of BASF Corporation in Lowland, Tennessee. The polyester filament workers met all the criteria for worker group certification under the Trade Act and were issued a certification (TA-W-30,682) on January 26, 1995 which has not as yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Accordingly, the Department is revoking its certification (TA-W-30,682) for the polyester filament workers of BASF Corporation in Lowland, Tennessee.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,360 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of BASF Corporation, Polyester Filament Department and the Nylon Hosiery Department, Lowland, Tennessee who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 19, 1993, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of February 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Director, Policy, and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-3647 Filed 2-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Job Training Partnership Act Allotments; Wagner-Peyser Act Preliminary Planning Estimates; Program Year (PY) 1995

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces States' Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) allotments for Program Year (PY) 1995 (July 1, 1995-June 30, 1996) for JTPA Titles II-A, II-C, and III, and for the JTPA Title II-B Summer Youth Employment and Training Program in Calendar Year (CY) 1995; and preliminary planning estimates for public employment service activities under the Wagner-Peyser Act for PY 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For JTPA allotments, contact, Mr. Donald Kulick, Deputy Administrator, Office of Job Training Programs, Room N4459, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; Telephone: 202-219-6236. For Employment Service planning levels contact Mr. John Robinson, Director, U.S. Employment Service, Room N-4666, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; Telephone: 202-219-5257. (These are not toll-free numbers.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Labor (DOL or Department) is announcing Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) allotments for Program Year (PY) 1995 (July 1, 1995-June 30, 1996) for JTPA Titles II-A, II-C, and III, and for the Summer Youth Employment and Training Program in Calendar Year (CY) 1995 for JTPA Title II-B; and, in accord with Section 6 of the Wagner-Peyser Act, preliminary planning estimates for public employment service (ES) activities under the Wagner-Peyser Act for PY 1995. The allotments and estimates are based on the appropriations for DOL for Fiscal Year (FY) 1995.

Attached are lists of the allotments for PY 1995 for programs under JTPA Titles II-A, II-C, and III; a list of the allotments for the CY 1995 Summer Youth Employment and Training Program under Title II-B of JTPA; and a list of preliminary planning estimates for public employment service activities under the Wagner-Peyser Act. The PY 1995 allotments for Titles II-A, II-C, and III and the ES preliminary planning estimates, are based on the funds appropriated by the Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 1995, Public Law 103-333, for FY 1995.

The base allotments for Title II-B total \$867,070,000. Included in these allotments are additional 1995 summer

funds in the amount of \$184,788,000 provided by Congress in the FY 1995 appropriation act. These funds were made available for obligation on July 1, 1995. The FY 1994 and FY 1995 funds available for the CY 1995 Summer Program will be issued separately through a Notice of Obligation (NOO).

These JTPA allotments will not be updated for subsequent unemployment data. The Employment Service preliminary estimates will be updated as final allotments to reflect CY 1994 data and published in the **Federal Register** at a later date.

Title II-A Allotments

Attachment I shows the PY 1995 JTPA Title II-A Adult Training Program allotments by State. For all States, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia, the following data were used in computing the allotments:

- Data for areas of substantial unemployment (ASU) are averages for the 12-month period, July 1993 through June 1994.
- The number of excess unemployed individuals or the ASU excess (depending on which is higher) are averages for this same 12-month period.
- The economically disadvantaged adult data (age 22 to 72, excluding college students and military) are from the 1990 Census.

The allotments for the Insular Areas, including the Freely Associated States, are based on unemployment data from 1990 Census or, if not available, the most recent data available. A 90 percent relative share "hold-harmless" of the PY 1994 Title II-A allotments for these areas and a minimum allotment of \$75,000 were also applied in determining the allotments.

Title II-A funds are to be distributed among designated service delivery areas (SDAs) according to the statutory formula contained in Section 202(b) of JTPA, as amended by Title VII, Miscellaneous Provisions, of the Job Training Reform Amendments of 1992. (This Title VII provides an interim allocation methodology which applies to the PY 1995 allotments.) This is the same formula that has been used in previous program years; however, prior to PY 1993 a different definition of "economically disadvantaged" was used.

In determining any necessary hold-harmless levels for SDAs, the States of Kentucky, Minnesota, Montana, and Wisconsin shall not include any additional funds provided for Rural Concentrated Employment Programs (RCEPs).