

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of MBS. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-MBS-95-02 and should be submitted by March 17, 1995.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

EXHIBIT A.—MBS-EPN SCHEDULE OF CHARGES

Message Processing Fees	
Account Maintenance.	\$250.00/month (per account).
ON Send or Receive.	0.75/million Current Face.
DK Send or Receive.	No Charge.
Cancel Send or Receive.	No Charge.
Retransmission Request.	No Charge.
AutoLink Request	No Charge.
Access Fees	
CTCI SNA LU6.2	71.00/month (per circuit to MetroTech).
CTCI TCP/IP via Wellfleet (MBSCC).	120.00/month (per circuit to MetroTech).
CTCI TCP/IP via Cisco (MBSCC).	190.00/month (per circuit to MetroTech).
EPN Terminal Service.	No Charge (first 9.6 Kbps connection).
EPN Terminal Service.	12.75/month (each additional connection).
EPN Dial-up Terminal Service.	12.75/month (each 9.6 Kbps connection).

In addition to the above, telecommunication circuit charges from Sector (or your vendor of choice) will apply.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 95-4517 Filed 2-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 2169]

United States International Telecommunications Advisory Committee (ITAC) Standardization Sector U.S. Study Group A and U.S. ITAC-T Study Group; Meeting Notice

The Department of State announces that the United States International Telecommunications Advisory Committee (ITAC), Telecommunications Standardization Sector (ITAC-T) Study Group A and the U.S. Study Group for ITAC-T (formerly the USNC) will meet on the following dates and times at the U.S. Department of State, 2201 C Street NW, Washington, DC. 20520:

- Study Group A, March 9, 1995, 930-1230, Dean Acheson Auditorium
- ITAC-T National Group, March 9, 1995, 130-500, Dean Acheson
- Study Group A, March 27, 1995, 930-3P, Room 1107
- Study Group A, April 26, 1995, 930-2P, Room 1205
- Study Group A, May 23, 1995, 930-3P, Room 1105.

The meeting of U.S. SG A on March 9 will deal primarily with preparations for the upcoming Meetings of the two working parties of ITU-T Study Group 2, scheduled for April 3-7, Geneva, and April 20-26, Tokyo; initial preparatory activity covering ITU-T Study Groups 1 and 3, scheduled to meet in Geneva in May and June, respectively; and a debrief of the CITELEC PCC-I meeting held in Tegucigalpa, Honduras, February 20-24, 1995.

The meeting of the ITAC-T Group on the afternoon of March 9 will include a debrief of the January 23-27 Geneva meeting of the Telecommunications Standardization Advisory Group (TSAG) and the initial preparations for the September 1995 TSAG and its working party meetings.

The meetings of Study Group A scheduled for March, April and May indicated above, will continue the work to prepare U.S. Delegations of the various Study Groups meeting that are scheduled to meet in Tokyo and Geneva, as indicated. More extensive agendas will be available as necessary prior to those meetings.

Members of the General Public may attend the meetings and join in the discussions, subject to the instructions of the chair. Admittance of public members will be limited to the seating available. In this regard, entrance to the Department of State is controlled. If you are not presently named on the mailing list of the Telecommunications Standardization Sector Study Group or

Study Group A, and wish to attend please call 202-647-0201 not later than 5 days before the scheduled meetings. Enter from the "C" Street Main Lobby. A picture ID will be required for admittance.

Dated: February 9, 1995.

Earl S. Barbely,

Chairman, U.S. ITAC for Telecommunication Standardization.

[FR Doc. 95-4478 Filed 2-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-45-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Regional Liaison Outreach and Services Program (LOSP); Announcement of Request for Proposal (RFP); Correction

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: On February 3, 1995, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of the Secretary, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization published a request for proposals (RFP), Notice on the Regional Liaison Outreach and Services Program (L.O.S.P.) at 60 FR 6751). The RFP inadvertently omitted the States of Rhode Island and Vermont at 60 FR (6753). This notice makes correction to the RFP. In FR DOC 95-2494 at 60 FR 6753, 2nd Column, para 2, make the following correction: "Region 1: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont"

Dated: February 21, 1995.

Luz A. Hopewell,

Director, Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization.

[FR Doc. 95-4620 Filed 2-21-95; 2:49 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-M

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Tioga County, PA, and Steuben County, NY

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared for the proposed highway project in Tioga County, Pennsylvania and Steuben County, New York.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bradley D. Keazer, District Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 228

⁵ 17 CFR § 200.30-3(a)(12) (1994).

Walnut Street, P.O. Box 1086, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108-1086, Telephone: 717-782-4422, or Russell E. Campbell, Project Manager, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, District 3-0, 715 Jordan Avenue, Montoursville, Pennsylvania 17754-0218, Telephone: 717-368-4380.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the New York State Department of Transportation, will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal to improve the safety and capacity on U.S. Route 15 in Tioga County, Pennsylvania, and Steuben County, New York. The approximate length of the study area is 12 miles (6 miles in each state).

In Pennsylvania, the project begins just south of the U.S. Route 15 and PA 287 intersection and continues north to the PA/NY state line. The New York section begins at the state line and continues north to the project terminus just south of Presho, where the existing two lane roadway becomes a four-lane, limited access highway.

This 12-mile section of U.S. Route 15 was programmed because of several transportation considerations. It is a direct tie between the major economic areas of Williamsport and Corning. This is the last section of U.S. Route 15 between these two cities to come under consideration for upgrade (all other sections of U.S. Route 15 are either under design, construction, or studies are being activated). The upgrade would improve access to nearby recreational areas and would sustain the existing economy of the area by providing improved access. A facility constructed to present design standards would improve safety.

A two-phased study approach will be used to identify and evaluate alternatives. The initial phase is for scoping and needs assessment. The study will then involve the development of potential alternatives through the study area. Each of the alternatives will be developed such that a means of comparison can be made along with the No-Build Alternative. Upgrade of the existing facility and new alignments may be considered.

Concurrent with the development of the alternatives, various types of data will be gathered which will describe the study area as it relates to the alternatives. The following environmental areas will be investigated for EIS preparation: Traffic, air quality, noise and vibration; surface water resources; aquatic environmental;

floodplains; groundwaters; soils and geology; wetlands; vegetation and wildlife; endangered species; agricultural lands assessment; visual; socioeconomic and land use; construction impacts; energy; municipal, industrial, and hazardous waste; historic and archaeological structures and sites; Section 4(f) evaluation; and wild and scenic rivers. The above information will be utilized to refine the alternatives or eliminate a particular alternative from further considerations because of the potential for negative socioeconomic, environmental, or engineering impacts.

The second phase will utilize the alternatives selected in the initial phase and perform a detailed analysis on each. These alternatives will be the basis for the detailed environmental and engineering studies and the Environmental Impact Statement. From this analysis a preferred alternative will be identified which meets the needs of traffic demand, and satisfies the environmental, socioeconomic, and engineering evaluations and public feedback.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal agencies as well as State and local agencies in New York and Pennsylvania, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have interest in this proposal. A series of public and agency meetings will be held throughout the development of the project. In addition, a public hearing will be held. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearing. The draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA or the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding ingovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: February 15, 1995.

Manuel A. Marks,

Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

[FR Doc. 95-4564 Filed 2-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

Federal Railroad Administration

Florida East Coast Railway Company; Public Hearing

[RS&I-AP-No. 1094]

The Florida East Coast Railway Company (FEC) has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking relief from the requirements of the Rules, Standards and Instructions, title 49 CFR, part 236, § 236.566, to the extent that FEC be permitted to operate foreign line nonequipped locomotives, in automatic train control (ATC) territory, in accordance with Centralized Traffic Control System rules as defined by FEC Operating Rules and title 49 CFR, part 236, § 236.567.

This proceeding is identified as FRA Rules, Standards and Instructions Application (RS&I-AP) Number 1094.

The FRA has issued a public notice seeking comments of interested parties and has conducted a field investigation in this matter. After examining the carrier's proposal and the available facts, the FRA has determined that a public hearing is necessary before a final decision is made on this proposal.

Accordingly, a public hearing is hereby set for 10 a.m. on Thursday, April 27, 1995, in the Saint Johns County Auditorium, located at 420 Lewis Speedway, Saint Augustine, Florida. Interested parties are invited to present oral statements at the hearing.

The hearing will be an informal one and will be conducted in accordance with Rule 25 of the FRA Rules of Practice (title 49 CFR part 211.25), by a representative designated by the FRA.

The hearing will be a nonadversary proceeding and, therefore, there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. The FRA representative will make an opening statement outlining the scope of the hearing. After all initial statements have been completed, those persons wishing to make brief rebuttal statements will be given the opportunity to do so in the same order in which they made their initial statements. Additional procedures, if necessary for the conduct of the hearing, will be announced at the hearing.