

Recovery Act, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, to the extent that these statutes allow disclosure of confidential information to authorize representatives of the United States (or to "contractors" under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act). Some of these documents may contain information claimed as confidential.

EPA provides confidential information to enrollees working under the following cooperative agreements:

Cooperative agreement No.	Organization
CQ822768-01	National Council of Senior Citizens.
CQ822769-01	National Council of Senior Citizens.
CQ822770-01	National Council of Senior Citizens.
CQ822771-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ822805-01	National Council on Aging.
CQ822810-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ822828-01	National Council of Senior Citizens.
CQ822844-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ822911-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ822912-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ822985-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823043-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823144-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823596-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823655-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823905-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823934-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823952-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823893-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823973-01	American Assoc. of Retired Persons.
CQ823047-01	National Assoc. for Hispanic Elderly.
CQ823447-01	National Cau. & Ctr on Black Aged, Inc.

Among the procedures established by EPA confidentiality regulations for granting access is notification to the submitters of confidential data that SEE grantee organizations and their enrollees will have access. 40 CFR 2.301(h)(2)(iii). This notice is intended to fulfill that requirement.

The grantee organizations are required by the cooperative agreements to protect confidential information. SEE enrollees are required to sign confidentiality agreements and to adhere to the same security procedures as Federal employees.

Dated: February 14, 1995.

Joseph K. Alexander,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 95-4595 Filed 2-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-4720-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 23, 1995 Through January 27, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1994 (59 FR 16807).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J35009-UT Rating EC1, Upper Provo River Reservoirs Stabilization Project, Implementation, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Kamas Ranger District, Summit County, UT.

Summary: EPA supported alternative 4, but expressed environmental concerns about stabilization efforts that may result in the inundation of sedge meadow, mudflat and rockflat wetlands. EPA requested that the FEIS clarify which lakes could be stabilized at natural lake levels to minimize impacts to terrestrial wetlands.

ERP No. D-DOD-K11027-HI Rating EC2, Kauai Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate (ATOC) Project and Marine Mammal Research Program (MMRP), Funding, Marine Manual Research Permit and COE Section 10 Permit Issuance, Kauai, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the lack of essential information for determining potential impacts, the purpose and need for the project, potential cumulative impacts, and the lack of consensus within the scientific community regarding potential impacts. EPA stressed the importance of a complete and open reevaluation of the ATOC in light of MMRP results and suggested a

more moderate research approach which would focus on developing information and supporting technology prior to full commitment to ATOC technology. If a 10 year follow-on project is proposed EPA recommended that DOD develop a broad programmatic EIS with tiered NEPA documents for each new sound source.

ERP No. D-DOD-K11057-CA Rating EC2, California Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate (ATOC) Program and Marine Mammal Research Program (MMRP), Funding, Marine Mammal Research Permit and COE Nationwide Permits Issuance, Monterey County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the lack of essential information for determining potential impacts, the purpose and need for the project, potential cumulative impacts, and the lack of consensus within the scientific community regarding potential impacts. EPA stressed the importance of a complete and open reevaluation of the ATOC in light of MMRP results and suggested a more moderate research approach which would focus in developing information and supporting technology prior to full commitment to ATOC technology.

ERP No. D-NPS-J61094-00 Rating LO, Fishing Bridge Campsite Replacement Project, Implementation, Yellowstone National Park, Fremont County, ID; Park and Gallatin Counties, MT and Park and Teton Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA recommended that the "No Action" alternative be expanded and described in greater detail in the Final EIS.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-USA-K11051-CA Sacramento Army Depot Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Sacramento, El Dorado, Placer and Yolo Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative.

Dated: February 21, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-4612 Filed 2-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-4720-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed February 13, 1995 Through February 17, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950048, DRAFT EIS, AFS, OR, Santiam Pass Forest Health Project, Implementation, Willamette National Forest, McKenzie Ranger District, Linn County, OR, Due: April 10, 1995, Contact: John P. Allen (503) 822-3381.

EIS No. 950049, DRAFT EIS, COE, NC, Buckhorn Reservoir Expansion, Construction of a Dam to Impound Water on the Contentnea Creek, COE Section 404 Permit, City of Wilson, Wilson County, NC, Due: April 10, 1995, Contact: William Adams (910) 251-4748.

EIS No. 950050, DRAFT EIS, SCS, NB, Wahoo Creek Watershed Plan, Flood Prevention and Watershed Protection, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Saunders County, NB, Due: April 11, 1995, Contact: Ronald E. Moreland (402) 437-5300.

EIS No. 950051, DRAFT EIS, COE, LA, Amite River and Tributaries Flood Control Project, Implementation, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed, Florida Parishes, LA, Due: April 14, 1995, Contact: Bill Wilson (504) 862-2527.

EIS No. 950052, DRAFT EIS, SCS, MO, IA, East Fork of the Grand River Watershed Plan, Implementation, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, Funding, Ringgold and Union Counties, IA and Harrison and Worth Counties, MO, Due: April 10, 1995, Contact: Russell C. Mills (314) 876-0901.

EIS No. 950053, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, NPS, MO, Page Avenue Extension, Bennington Place to US 40, Creve Coeur Lake Memorial Park Conservation of Land for Construction of a 10-Lane Elevated Extension of Page Avenue, Approval, St. Louis and Charles Counties, MO, Due: March 27, 1995, Contact: William W. Schenk (402) 221-3431.

EIS No. 950054, LEGISLATIVE DRAFT, AFS, ID, North Fork of the Clearwater River Drainage Kelly Creek and Cayuse Creek, Wild and Scenic River Study, Suitability or Nonsuitability for Designation or Nondesignation in the National Wild Scenic River System, Clearwater National Forest, Clearwater and Idaho Counties, ID, Due: May 25, 1995, Contact: Brian Hensley (208) 476-3775.

EIS No. 950055, FINAL EIS, UAF, OH, Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base (ANGB), Disposal and Reuse of Portons, Implementation, Franklin and Pickaway Counties, OH, Due: March 27, 1995, Contact: Lt. Terry D. Armstrong (210) 536-3907.

EIS No. 950056, DRAFT EIS, USN, CT, GA, VA, Seawolf Class Submarine Homeporting Program on the East

Coast of the United States, Site Selection, COE Section 404 Permit and Implementation, CT, VA and GA, Due: April 10, 1995, Contact: Robert Ostermueller (610) 595-0759.

Dated: February 21, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-4613 Filed 2-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[FRL-5160-9]

Fiscal Year 1995 Solicitation for Socioeconomic Projects Related to Pollution Prevention

Introduction

This Announcement describes a solicitation of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to support projects directed toward furthering the objectives of the President's Environmental Technology Initiative (ETI). The ETI is an integral part of the Administration's broad new technology policy, which is outlined in "Technology for America's Economic Growth: A New Direction to Build Economic Strength". This government-wide policy recognizes that industry is the primary creator of new technology and the main engine of sustained economic growth. The policy assigns the federal government a catalytic role in promoting the development of new technologies for use across a range of sectors including auto manufacturing, computers and electronics, iron and steel, metal finishing and plating, petroleum refining, and printing—as well as converting defense technologies to civilian applications. The ETI addresses all of the above sectors that are concerned with environmental protection.

EPA seeks proposals to conduct socioeconomic initiatives related to pollution prevention—i.e., projects focused on policy reforms, opportunities for building innovation capacity, and diffusion of innovative prevention technologies. EPA's interests in this instance are clearly distinct from conventional socioeconomic research and development. That is, they go beyond study and analysis of issues to apply existing knowledge in pioneering attempts to effect social or institutional change with respect to promoting development and implementation of innovative technology.

EPA is directing approximately \$3.5 million this fiscal year (FY) in awards under this initiative to nonprofit organizations. Proposals averaging

\$150,000 per year with a maximum duration of 2 years are sought.

Nonprofit organizations are generally defined as those organizations that qualify for such status under Section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Service tax code. Examples of nonprofit organizations include public and private universities, as well as trade associations, professional societies, research consortia, and community development corporations.

This Announcement can be accessed on the Internet at the following Gopher and World Wide Webb (WWW) addresses:

Gopher: GOPHER.EPA.GOV

WWW: HTTP://WWW.EPA.GOV

Rationale

EPA has structured its ETI project-selection process for FY95 to conform to the strategic ETI objectives contained in the Agency's Draft Technology Innovation Strategy (EPA 543-K-93-002), January 1994. This strategy has the following objectives (please refer to the draft Strategy document for more detail on these objectives):

(1) *Policy Framework:* Adapt EPA's policy, regulatory, and compliance framework to promote innovation;

(2) *Innovation Capacity:* Strengthen the capacity of technology developers and users to succeed in environmental innovation;

(3) *Diffusion:* Accelerate the diffusion of innovative technologies at home and abroad; and

(4) *Environmental and Pollution Prevention Technologies:* Strategically invest funds in the development and commercialization of promising new technologies.

This solicitation is focused on pollution prevention-related proposals that support the first three objectives. Proposals relevant to the fourth objective are being sought jointly by the National Science Foundation (NSF) and EPA through a contemporaneous solicitation. Information about the joint solicitation can be obtained from either NSF (pfirth@nsf.gov; voice 703/306-1480) or EPA (202/260-7474).

The 1990 Pollution Prevention Act declares pollution prevention to be national policy and states that "* * * pollution should be prevented or reduced at the source whenever feasible." Pollution prevention is now considered EPA's preferred choice for environmental protection, and the Agency is seeking to integrate prevention as an ethic throughout all of its activities. Pollution prevention includes equipment or technology modifications, process or procedure modifications, reformulation or redesign