

would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. For this reason, and because a delay would significantly affect the certification of the airplane, which is imminent, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary and impracticable, and good cause exists for adopting these special conditions immediately. Therefore, these special conditions are being made effective upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 25

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. app. 1344, 1348(c), 1352, 1354(a), 1355, 1421 through 1431, 1502, 1651(b)(2), 42 U.S.C. 1857f-10, 4321 et seq.; E.O. 11514; and 49 U.S.C. 106(g).

#### The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the supplemental type certification basis for the GAC Model G-IV airplane, as modified by Duncan Aviation:

1. *Protection from Unwanted Effects of High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)*. Each electrical and electronic system that performs critical functions must be designed and installed to ensure that the operation and operational capability of these systems to perform critical functions are not adversely affected when the airplane is exposed to high intensity radiated fields external to the airplane.

2. The following definition applies with respect to this special condition: *Critical Function*. Functions whose failure would contribute to or cause a failure condition that would prevent the continued safe flight and landing of the airplane.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 13, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,  
Assistant Manager, Transport Airplane  
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service,  
ANM-101.

[FR Doc. 95-4773 Filed 2-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 94-AGL-31]

#### Modification of Class D Airspace; Cleveland, OH

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action modifies Class D airspace at Cleveland, Burke Lakefront, OH by adjusting the lower vertical limits of the Class D area up to but not including the base altitude of the overlying Class B airspace area. Associated with airspace reclassification, guidelines have been established for depicting Class D airspace areas that underlie Class B airspace areas. The intent of this action is to eliminate confusion to pilots by appropriately identifying controlled airspace areas at Cleveland, Burke Lakefront, OH.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, May 25, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Cibic, Air Traffic Division, System Management Branch, AGL-530, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, telephone (708) 294-7573.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### History

On December 23, 1994, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to modify the Class D airspace area at Cleveland, Burke Lakefront, OH (59 FR 246).

Airspace Reclassification, effective September 16, 1993, discontinued the use of the term "control zone" and replaced it with the designation "Class D" airspace. Subsequent to and associated with airspace reclassification, new guidelines have been established for depicting Class D airspace areas that underlie Class B airspace areas. The base altitude of the higher class airspace, in this case Class B airspace, supersedes the vertical limits of the Class D airspace area. Therefore, this action adjusts the lower vertical limits of the Class D area up to but not including the base of the overlying Class B airspace area. The intent of this action is to eliminate confusion to pilots by appropriately identifying the controlled airspace areas at Cleveland, Burke Lakefront Airport, OH. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received.

The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. Class D airspace designations are published in Paragraph 5000 of FAA Order 7400.9B dated July 18, 1994, and effective September 16, 1994, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

#### The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations modifies Class D airspace at Cleveland, Burke Lakefront Airport, OH to coincide with the guidelines for depicting Class D airspace areas.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. app. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 14 CFR 11.69.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 18, 1994, and effective September 16, 1994, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 General

\* \* \* \* \*

AGL OH D Cleveland, Burke Lakefront Airport, OH [Revised]

(Lat. 41°31'03"N., Long. 81°41'00"W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to but not including 3000 feet MSL within a 4.1-mile radius of Burke Lakefront Airport, excluding that airspace within the Cleveland, OH, Class B airspace area. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on February 15, 1995.

Roger Wall,

Manager, Air Traffic Division.

[FR Doc. 95-4778 Filed 2-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Economic Analysis

#### 15 CFR Part 806

[Docket No. 9050206037-5037-01]

RIN 0691-AA23

#### Direct Investment Surveys: Raising Exemption Level for Quarterly Report Form BE-577

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Economic Analysis, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule amends regulations on direct investment surveys to raise the exemption level for filing quarterly Form BE-577, Direct Transactions of U.S. Reporter With Foreign Affiliate. The BE-577 is a mandatory survey of U.S. direct investment abroad conducted by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), U.S. Department of Commerce. Under this final rule, the exemption level for the survey—the level below which reports are not required—is raised from \$15 million to \$20 million. This change will reduce the number of respondents that otherwise must report in the survey.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule will be effective March 29, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Betty L. Barker, Chief, International Investment Division (BE-50), Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; phone (202) 606-9800.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the December 12, 1994 Federal Register, 59 FR 63941, BEA published a notice of proposed rulemaking that would increase the exemption level for filing

the BE-577, Direct Transactions of U.S. Reporter With Foreign Affiliate. No comments on the proposed rule itself were received. (As noted below, one comment on changes to the survey forms that did not require rule changes was received.) Thus, this final rule is the same as the proposed rule.

The quarterly BE-577 is part of BEA's regular data collection program for U.S. direct investment abroad. The survey is mandatory and is conducted pursuant to the International Investment and Trade in Services Survey Act (Pub. L. 94-472, 90 Stat. 2059, 22 U.S.C. 3101-3108, as amended).

The exemption level is set in terms of the size of a U.S. company's foreign affiliates. Under this final rule, the exemption level for the BE-577 survey is raised from \$15 million to \$20 million. Thus, if an affiliate is owned 10 percent or more by the U.S. company and has assets, sales, or net income greater than \$20 million (positive or negative), it will have to be reported. If the affiliate does not meet these criteria, a report is not required. The last time the exemption level was raised was May 1, 1986.

Raising the exemption level lowers the number of reports that otherwise must be filed, thus reducing the reporting and processing burdens. The changes in exemption level will be implemented beginning with the reports for the first quarter of 1995.

BEA has made changes to the BE-577 survey form in addition to the raising of the exemption level. These changes, however, did not require rule changes and are not reflected in the final rule. They are a result of changes made to the related BE-10, Benchmark Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad—1994. They include the combination of two items that appeared on the 1994 BE-577 survey and the addition of other items that are on the 1994 BE-10 but were not on the 1994 BE-577. Added to the form are items, to be completed annually, on services transactions between U.S. Reporters and their foreign affiliates by type and an item, to be completed quarterly by affiliates classified in banking, on the U.S. Reporter's share of the affiliate's provision for loan losses. Also, changes in the survey instructions are being made primarily for purposes of clarification and to reflect the combination or addition of items.

In response to the notice of proposed rulemaking, one letter of comment was received. It expressed concern that the new items on services transactions would impose additional burden by requiring modification of information systems and more time to complete the survey forms. The new items must be

completed only annually, and the first time they will need to be completed will not be until the second quarter following the end of affiliates' fiscal year 1995, which in most cases will be mid-1996. This will give companies at least a year to implement program changes necessary to report this information.

#### Executive Order 12612

This final rule does not contain policies with Federalism implications sufficient to warrant preparation of a Federalism assessment under E.O. 12612.

#### Executive Order 12866

This final rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of E.O. 12866.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

The collection of information required in this final rule has been approved by OMB (OMB No. 0608-0004).

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 1.15 hours per response (form). The burden on the U.S. Reporter will vary depending on the number of forms that must be submitted in a given reporting period; this ranges from 1 to 225 forms. The estimated burden of 1.15 hours per form includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Comments from the public regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information should be addressed to: Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BE-1), U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of Commerce.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Assistant General Counsel for Legislation and Regulation, Department of Commerce, has certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Small Business Administration, under the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 606(b)), that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Because it raises the exemption level for filing the survey, it will actually reduce the reporting requirements of smaller entities.

#### List of Subjects in 15 CFR Part 806

Balance of payments, Economic statistics, Foreign investments in United States, Penalties, Reporting and