Management Company, Seneca, Missouri, and thereby indirectly acquire State Bank of Seneca, Seneca, Missouri.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 22, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95–4843 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Dkt. C–2456]

Amerada Hess Corporation, et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Set Aside Order.

SUMMARY: This order reopens a 1973 consent order—which required that the Clarco Pipe Line be divested and prohibited Amerada, VGS Corporation and Clarco Pipe Line Company from acquiring assets related to the transportation or refining of crude oil produced in either Mississippi or Alabama without prior Commission approval—and sets aside the consent order pursuant to the Commission's Sunset Policy Statement, under which the Commission presumes that the public interest require setting aside competition orders in effect for more than 30 years.

DATES: Consent order issued September 18, 1973. Set aside order issued January 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Ducore, FTC/S–2115, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326–2526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Matter of Amerada Hess Corporation, et al. The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions are removed as indicated.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18)

Order Reopening Proceeding and Setting Aside Order

Commissioners: Janet D. Steiger, Chairman, Mary L. Azcuenaga, Roscoe B. Starek, III, Christine A. Varney.

On September 12, 1994, Amerada Hess Corporation (''Amerada Hess'') filed a Request to Reopen and Vacate Order (''Request'') in this matter.[1] Amerada Hess requests that the Commission set aside the 1978 consent order in this matter, pursuant to Rule 2.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 2.51, and the Commission's July 22, 1994, Statement of Policy with Respect to Duration of Competition Orders and Statement of Intention to Solicit Public Comment with Respect to Duration of Consumer Protection Orders (''Sunset Policy Statement'').[2]

Leon Hess, also a respondent in this matter, joined in Amerada Hess's Request, by letter dated September 21, 1994. Southland Oil Company, successor to respondent VGS Corporation, filed a Statement in Support of Request to Reopen and Vacate Order on October 21, 1994. In addition, on October 20, 1994, Hunt Refining Company, the purchaser of assets from respondent Clarco Pipe Line Company, filed a petition requesting, among other things, that the Commission reopen the proceeding and vacate the order as to Hunt (''Petition''). Amerada Hess's Request, Hunt's Petition and the information supplied by Leon Hess and Southland Oil Company were placed on the public record pursuant to Section 2.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 16 CFR 2.51.[3] No comments were received.

The Commission in its July 22, 1994, Sunset Policy Statement said, in relevant part, that ''effective immediately, the Commission will presume, in the context of petitions to reopen and modify existing orders, that the public interest requires setting aside orders in effect for more than twenty years.''[4]

The Commission's order in Docket No. C–2456 was issued on September 18, 1973, and has been in effect for more than twenty-one years. Consistent with the Commission's July 22, 1994, Sunset Policy Statement, the presumption is that the order should be terminated. Nothing to overcome the presumption having been presented, the Commission has determined to reopen the proceeding and set aside the order in Docket No. C–2456.

Accordingly, it is ordered that this matter be, and it hereby is, reopened;

It is further ordered that the Commission's order in Docket No. C–2456 be, and it hereby is, set aside, as of the effective date of this order.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4861 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

[1] See Amerada Hess Corp., 83 F.T.C. 487 (1973).

[2] The Sunset Policy Statement is published at 59 FR 45,286 (Sept. 1, 1994).

[3] The fifth respondent named in the order died in 1989.

[4] Sunset Policy Statement, 59 FR at 45,289.

[Dkt. C–3553]

Baby Furniture Plus Association, Inc.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, an Alabama-based buying cooperative and trade association from taking any action on behalf of its members, or encouraging them to take any action, that interferes with a juvenile product manufacturer's decision as to how or to whom to distribute its products. The consent order also prohibits the respondent from coercing—by means of actual or threatened refusals to deal—any juvenile products manufacturer to abandon or adopt—or to refrain from abandoning or adopting—any marketing method for its products.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued January 18, 1995.[1]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phoebe Morse, Boston Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 101 Merrimac St., Suite 810, Boston, MA. 02114–4719. (617) 424–5960.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Tuesday, November 1, 1994, there was published in the **Federal Register,** 59 FR 54601, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Baby Furniture Plus Association, Inc., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

[1] Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and Commissioner Azcuenaga's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H–130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20580.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4862 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

[Dkt. C–3550]

Bee-Sweet, Inc., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, a North Carolina corporation and its officer from representing that bee pollen products are effective as a cure or in mitigating certain conditions and physical ailments, and from misrepresenting the existence, contents, validity, results, conclusions, or interpretations of any test or study. In addition, the consent order requires the respondents to notify all sellers of the products, for the last 12 months, about the settlement with the Commission.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued January 17, 1995.[1]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald Waldman, FTC/New York Regional, 150 William St., Suite 1300, New York, NY 10038. (212) 264–1207.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Tuesday, November 8, 1994, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 59 FR 55665, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Bee-Sweet, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

[1] Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H–130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 52)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4863 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

[Docket No. C–3558]

Charter Medical Corporation; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent orders.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order requires, among other things, Charter Medical Corporation (Charter), a Georgia-based chain of psychiatric hospitals, to modify its agreement to purchase certain National Medical Enterprises (NME) facilities to rescind Charter's acquisitions of NME psychiatric facilities in four specified localities. In addition, the consent order requires Charter, for ten years, to secure Commission approval before acquiring or divesting psychiatric facilities in those localities.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued February 14, 1995.[1]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert W. Doyle, Jr. or Ronald B. Rowe, FTC/S–2105, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2819 or 326–2610.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Monday, November 28, 1994, there was published in the **Federal Register**, 59 FR 60804, a proposed consent agreement with analysis In the Matter of Charter Medical Corporation, for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

[1] Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H–130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18)

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4864 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

[File No. 932 3286]

Felson Builders, Inc., et al.; Proposed Consent Agreement With Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair acts and practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent agreement, accepted subject to final Commission approval, would require, among other things, three California firms and an officer to comply with the full disclosure requirements of the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, its implementing regulation, in advertising credit terms.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 1, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Klurfeld, San Francisco Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 901 Market St., Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103. (415) 744–7920.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and § 2.34 of the Commission rules of practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the following consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with § 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's rules of practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Agreement Containing Consent Order To Cease and Desist

In the Matter of: Felson Builders, Inc., a corporation; Diamond Crossing Associates, L.P., a limited partnership, dba D.C. Funding; Elmhurst Partners, L.P., a limited partnership, dba Elmhurst Funding; and Joseph L. Felson, individually and as an officer of Felson Builders, Inc. File No. 932–3286.