

implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

95-06-01 Boeing: Amendment 39-9171, Docket 94-NM-147-AD.

Applicability: Model 747-200 and -300 series airplanes equipped with General Electric CF6-80C2 series engines with Power Management Control (PMC) engine controls, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (e) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition; or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no

case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any airplane from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To ensure the integrity of the fail safe features of the thrust reverser system, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 90 days after the effective date of this AD, perform tests of the position switch module and the cone brake of the center drive unit (CDU) on each thrust reverser, and perform an inspection to detect damage to the bullnose seal on the translating sleeve on each thrust reverser, in accordance with paragraphs III.A. through III.C. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 747-78A2130, dated May 26, 1994. Repeat the tests and inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 hours time-in-service.

(b) Within 9 months after the effective date of this AD, perform inspections and functional tests of the thrust reverser control and indication system in accordance with paragraphs III.D. through III.F., III.H., and III.I. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 747-78A2130, dated May 26, 1994. Repeat these inspections and functional tests thereafter at intervals not to exceed 18 months.

(c) If any of the inspections and/or functional tests required by this AD cannot be successfully performed, or if any discrepancy is found during those inspections and/or functional tests, accomplish either paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this AD.

(1) Prior to further flight, correct the discrepancy found, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-78A2130, dated May 26, 1994. Or

(2) The airplane may be operated in accordance with the provisions and limitations specified in an operator's FAA-approved Minimum Equipment List (MEL), provided that no more than one thrust reverser on the airplane is inoperative.

(d) Within 10 days after performing each initial inspection and test required by this AD, submit a report of the inspection and/or test results, both positive and negative, to the FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), ANM-100S, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; fax (206) 227-1181. Information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and have been assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(g) The inspections, corrections of discrepancies, and tests shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-78A2130, dated May 26, 1994. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(h) This amendment becomes effective on April 13, 1995.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 3, 1995.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-5782 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-CE-05-AD; Amendment 39-9173; AD 94-18-04 R1]

Airworthiness Directives; Univair Aircraft Corporation Models Ercoupe 415-C, 415-CD, 415-D, 415-E, and 415-G, Forney F-1 and F-1A, Alon A-2 and A-2A, and Mooney M10 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment revises Airworthiness Directive (AD) 94-18-04, which currently requires the following on Univair Aircraft Corporation (Univair) Models Ercoupe 415-C, 415-CD, 415-D, 415-E, and 415-G, Forney F-1 and F-1A, Alon A-2 and A-2A, and Mooney M10 airplanes: installing inspection openings in the outer wing panels, inspecting (one-time) the wing outer panel structural components for corrosion, and repairing any corroded wing outer panel structural component. Several reports of corrosion in the outer wing panels of the affected airplanes prompted that AD. This action incorporates a revision to the service information to include procedures that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has determined are necessary for installing future outer wing panel inspection openings. The actions

specified by this AD are intended to prevent wing damage caused by a corroded wing outer panel structural component, which, if not detected and corrected, could progress to the point of structural failure.

DATES: Effective March 24, 1995.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 24, 1995.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before May 19, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 94-CE-05-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from the Univair Aircraft Corporation, 2500 Himalaya Road, Aurora, Colorado 80011; telephone (303) 375-8882; facsimile (303) 375-8888. This information may also be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-CE-05-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Roger P. Chudy, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Denver Aircraft Certification Office, 5440 Roslyn Street, suite 133, Denver, Colorado 80216; telephone (303) 286-5684; facsimile (303) 286-5689.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: AD 94-18-04, Amendment 39-9017 (59 FR 43727, September 25, 1994), currently requires the following on Univair Models Ercoupe 415-C, 415-CD, 415-D, 415-E, and 415-G, Forney F-1 and F-1A, Alon A-2 and A-2A, and Mooney M10 airplanes: installing inspection openings in the outer wing panels, inspecting (one-time) the wing outer panel structural components for corrosion, and repairing any corroded wing outer panel structural component. Accomplishment of these actions is in accordance with Univair Service Bulletin (SB) No. 29, Revision A, dated June 7, 1994.

Since the FAA issued AD 94-18-04, Univair has revised SB No. 29 to the Revision B level. This SB revision changes the dimension of one of the openings to position it symmetrically between two ribs; and clarifies the dimensioning system utilized in placement of the inspection openings. Univair SB No. 29, Revision B, also

presents a further discussion of the service difficulties encountered on the referenced subject and clarifies the intent of the preliminary inspection procedure that may be accomplished prior to the installation of the inspection openings.

After examining the circumstances and reviewing all available information related to the incidents described above, the FAA has determined that (1) the procedures presented in Univair SB No. 29, Revision B, dated January 2, 1995, should be mandatory for future inspection opening installations; and (2) AD action should be taken in order to prevent wing damage caused by a corroded wing outer panel structural component, which, if not detected and corrected, could progress to the point of structural failure.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Univair Models Ercoupe 415-C, 415-CD, 415-D, 415-E, and 415-G, Forney F-1 and F-1A, Alon A-2 and A-2A, and Mooney M10 airplanes of the same type design, this AD revises AD 94-18-04, Amendment 39-9017, to require installing inspection openings in the outer wing panels, inspecting (one-time) the wing outer panel structural components for corrosion, and repairing any corroded wing outer panel structural component. The actions are required to be accomplished in accordance with the instructions in Univair SB No. 29, Revision B, dated January 2, 1995. No further action will be required by owners/operators who have successfully accomplished these actions in accordance with either Univair SB No. 29, dated January 27, 1994, or Univair SB No. 29, Revision A, dated June 7, 1994.

Since a situation exists (the possibility of damaging the wing structure because of improper positioning of the inspection openings) that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for public prior comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting immediate flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and opportunity to comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the

Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 94-CE-05-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket (otherwise, an evaluation is not required). A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing AD 94-18-04, Amendment 39-9017 (59 FR 43727, September 25, 1994), and by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

94-18-04 R1 Univair Aircraft Corporation: Amendment 39-9173; Docket No. 94-CE-05-AD. Revises AD 94-18-04, Amendment 39-9017.

Applicability: Models Ercoupe 415-C, 415-CD, 415-D, 415-E, and 415-G, Forney F-1 and F-1A, Alon A-2 and A-2A, and Mooney M10 airplanes (all serial numbers), certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (d) of this AD to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any aircraft from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required within the next 12 calendar months after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished (See **Note 2**).

To prevent wing damage caused by a corroded wing outer panel structural component, which, if not detected and corrected, could progress to the point of structural failure, accomplish the following:

(a) Install inspection openings in the outer wing panels and inspect the wing outer panel internal structural components for corrosion

in accordance with the PROCEDURE section of Univair Service Bulletin (SB) No. 29, Revision B, dated January 2, 1995. Prior to further flight, repair any corroded wing outer panel internal structural component in accordance with the instructions contained in the above-referenced service information.

Note 2: Complying with the original version of Univair SB No. 29, dated January 27, 1994, or Univair SB No. 29, Revision A, dated June 7, 1994, is considered equivalent to the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD, and is considered "unless already accomplished" for that portion of the AD.

(b) Send the results of the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD to the Manager, Denver Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 5440 Roslyn Street, suite 133, Denver, Colorado 80216. State whether corrosion was found, the location and extent of any corrosion found, and the total hours time-in-service of the component at the time the corrosion was found. (Reporting approved by the Office of Management and Budget under OMB no. 2120-0056.)

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Denver ACO, 5440 Roslyn Street, suite 133, Denver, Colorado 80216. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Denver ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Denver ACO.

(e) The inspection and installation required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Univair Service Bulletin No. 29, Revision B, dated January 2, 1995. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from the Univair Aircraft Corporation, 2500 Himalaya Road, Aurora, Colorado 80011. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment (39-9173) revises AD 94-18-04, Amendment 39-9017.

(g) This amendment (39-9173) becomes effective on March 24, 1995.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 6, 1995.

Barry D. Clements,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-6058 Filed 3-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 94-ASO-25]

Establishment of Class E Airspace; Hampton, GA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment establishes Class E airspace at Hampton, GA. A GPS RWY 24 Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) has been developed for Clayton County-Tara Field. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface (AGL) is needed to accommodate this SIAP and for instrument flight rules (IFR) operations at the airport. The operating status of the airport will change from VFR to include IFR operations concurrent with publication of the SIAP.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, May 25, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Powderly, System Management Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5570.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**History**

On January 4, 1995, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) by establishing Class E airspace at Hampton GA (60 FR 396). This action would provide adequate Class E airspace for IFR operations at Clayton County-Tara Field.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received. Designations for Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9B dated July 18, 1994, and effective September 16, 1994. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) establishes Class E airspace at Hampton, GA, to accommodate a GPS RWY 24 SIAP and contain IFR operations at Clayton County-Tara Field. The operating status of the airport will be changed from VFR to include