TWELVE-MONTH CONTRACT FEE SCHEDULE

Location capacity (bales)	Contract fees (dollars)
1 to 20,000	$500
20,001 to 40,000	650
40,001 to 60,000	800
60,001 to 80,000	1,000
80,001 to 100,000	1,250
100,001 to 120,000	1,500
120,001 to 140,000	1,750
140,001 to 160,000	2,000
160,001 +	[1] 2,250

[1] Plus $50.00 per 5,000 bale capacity or fraction thereof above 160,000 bales.

Signed at Washington, DC on March 16, 1995.

Bruce R Weber,

Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc 95–7049 Filed 3–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–05–P

Forest Service

Zaca Mine Project Toiyabe National Forest, Alpine County, California

AGENCY: Forest Service.

ACTION: Cancellation of notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service and Alpine County Planning Department have cancelled preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement/Report (EIS/EIR) for the Zaca Mine Project following withdrawal of the proposal by Western States Minerals Corporation. Public comments regarding this project are no longer needed. The Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS was originally published on February 8, 1995 in the **Federal Register,** Volume 60, NO. 26, pages 7518–7519.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding this notice may be directed to Maureen Joplin, Project Team Leader, Toiyabe National Forest, 1200 Franklin Way, Sparks, NV, 89431; telephone: 702–355–5394.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Western States Minerals Corporation (WSM) has withdrawn its proposed Plan of Operations (POO) for an open pit/cyanide heap leach gold/silver mine in Alpine County, California. The project would have been located approximately four miles southeast of Markleeville in sections 29, 30, 31 and 32, T1ON R21E, M.D.M. Total area of proposed disturbances was 228 acres. Forest Service and Alpine County were in the process of collecting comments from other agencies and the public when WSM withdrew its proposed plan. WSM offered the following statement: ''Western States Minerals Corporation has decided to discontinue permitting of its wholly owned Zaca Project at this time. This decision is based entirely upon economic reasons. The Company has other Projects that it will develop at this time, because they appear to be more economically viable in the present business climate. Western States Minerals Corporation fully intends to develop the Zaca Project at some future date.''

Dated: March 10, 1995.

Gary Sayer,

Deputy Forest Supervisor, Toiyabe National Forest.

[FR Doc. 95–6961 Filed 3–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

Rangeland Health; Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Box Elder, Cache, Rich, Tooele, Weber, Morgan, Summit Counties, Utah and Uinta County, Wyoming

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement on a proposal to amend the Wasatch-Cache National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan to add management direction and standards and guidelines for desired future condition of rangelands.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received in writing by April 20, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to William P. LeVere, Deputy Forest Supervisor, 8236 Federal Building, 125 South State St., Salt Lake City, Utah 84138.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Reese Pope, Planning Staff Officer, (801) 524–5188.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Wasatch-Cache National Forest is proposing to amend the Wasatch-Cache National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan to add management direction and standards and guidelines for desired future condition of rangelands. The desired future condition of four range types will be defined: Riparian, uplands, alpine, and aspen. Riparian areas will be managed for mid-to-late seral ecological conditions to maintain or restore biological, physical, and aesthetic values of riparian ecosystems. Uplands will be managed for mid-to-late seral status to maintain watershed conditions. Alpine areas will be managed for protective ground cover with a diversified vegetative cover. Management of aspen will be to maintain and improve aspen sites and associated vegetation. Specific utilization standards and stubble heights will be set to move toward desired rangeland conditions.

A scoping document has been sent to 700 individuals and organizations and local and state government agencies. Preliminary issues identified by the interdisciplinary team include effects on threatened, endangered, and sensitive species, effects on riparian areas and upland watershed conditions, effects to local economies, effects on rangeland from livestock and wildlife, effects on recreational values and visual resources and effects on range condition on important wildlife habitat. Two preliminary alternatives have been identified. The proposed action which would amend the Forest Plan with new management direction for rangelands and the No Action which would continue setting direction in individual allotment management plans.

The public is invited to submit comments or suggestions to the address above. The responsible official is William LeVere, Deputy Forest Supervisor. A draft EIS is expected to be filed in May of 1995 and the final EIS filed in August of 1995.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the **Federal Register**. It is very important that those interested in the proposed action participate at that time. To be the most helpful, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see The Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3).

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.* v. *NRDC,* 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement. *City of Angoon* v.