

Q: When will I receive my check?

A: In most cases, if all your information is complete, you should receive a supplemental check within 90 days of our receipt of your information.

Q: Why do you refer to this supplemental refund check as an "interim" check?

A: We may collect additional crude oil overcharge funds. If we receive additional crude oil overcharge funds, we will issue a final check to all applicants who have received refunds in the crude oil proceeding.

Q: What happens if I don't submit the verification form at this time?

A: If you choose not to submit the verification form at this time, we will not issue you an interim check. Instead, you will receive only a final check. This final check will include the amount that would have been issued in the interim check. However, we do not know when the crude oil violation collections will be completed, so we cannot estimate when we will issue the final checks.

Q: I represent an applicant who was an individual or a sole proprietor, but circumstances have now changed (e.g., death, divorce, personal bankruptcy, etc.). What should I do?

A: You should submit an explanation of the changes in circumstances with your verification form. For example, if the original applicant declared personal bankruptcy, you should state whether the bankruptcy is open or closed and the name of the trustee, if any. If the original applicant is now deceased, the survivor(s) of the decedent should state whether there is an estate and whether the estate is still open.

Q: I represent a corporation or partnership whose status has changed (e.g., dissolution, bankruptcy, sale to another company, etc.). What should I do?

A: You should submit an explanation of the changes in business status with your verification form. For example, if the applicant is a company that is no longer operating, please provide the names and percentage shares of the shareholders at the time of dissolution. If the applicant was a firm that has experienced a bankruptcy, please state whether the bankruptcy is open or closed, whether it is a Chapter 7 or 11, and the name of the trustee, if any. If the applicant was a company that has been sold to another company, please state the new owner's name and whether it was a stock or asset sale. If the applicant was a business partnership in which a partner(s) is now deceased, the surviving partner(s) should state which partners remain and the disposition of the deceased partner's share in the business.

Q: I know of another applicant who has already received a supplemental check, yet I received notice of this supplemental check only recently. Why is that?

A: In order to handle the overwhelming number of supplemental checks most effectively, we are issuing notification of the supplemental checks in stages according to the order in which the original claims were filed.

Q: I also filed an application in a refined product proceeding (e.g., Texaco, Gulf, ARCO, etc.). Will I receive a supplemental check from that refund proceeding?

A: No. Only one refund check generally is issued in refined product proceedings.

Q: Whom do I contact for more information?

A: Call (202) 586-4921 from 9 am-5 pm ET.

Send your verification form and any letters of explanation or inquiry to: Supplemental Crude Oil Refunds, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585.

Verification Form

Part I: Correct Name and Address

Yes, my name and current address are correct on the mailing label.

No, my name and current address are different from the mailing label.

The correct information is (please print or type):

Yes, there has been a change in the business or in the ownership of the business due to death, divorce, sale, bankruptcy or dissolution. Please submit an explanation with this form.

No, there has been no change in the business or in the ownership of the business due to death, divorce, sale, bankruptcy or dissolution.

Part II: IRS Form 1099 Information

1. Are you exempt from IRS 1099 reporting (if unsure, answer No)? Yes No

2. Has the IRS informed you that you are subject to backup withholding? Yes No

3. Please provide your Taxpayer

Identification Number:

Social Security Number (Individuals Only)

_____-_____-_____-

Or

Employer Identification Number (Businesses Only)

_____-_____-_____-

Part III: Signature

I verify that all of the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature

Date

Title (if applicable)

() _____

Daytime Telephone Number

Return this form and any letters of explanation to: Supplemental Crude Oil Refunds, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585.

[FR Doc. 95-7437 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 5178-2]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before April 24, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260-2740, please refer to EPA ICR #0277.10.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances

Title: Application for New or Amended Registration. (ICR No: 0277.10; OMB No: 2070-0060). This ICR requests renewal of an existing clearance.

Abstract: EPA is responsible under section 3 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) for registering pesticide products on the basis of scientific data adequate to show that, when used according to label directions, they will not pose unreasonable risk to human health, wildlife, or the environment—including endangered species.

Burden statement: The public burden is broken into 6 components: The first is reporting the activities required in the registration of a new, never-before-registered chemical; there are approximately 200 respondents in this component and they average 80 burden hours each. The second component involves about 15,000 registrants who are required to report on previously registered or "me-too" pesticides; these are less information-intensive and require an average of 8 hours per response. The third component is comprised of applicants for "reduced-risk" new active ingredients; it involves fewer than 20 applicants at 160 hours each. The fourth component involves a quarter hour each for approximately 36,000 training sessions in the Training Verification Program, which is part of the Worker Protection Standard.

The fifth component includes the respondent burden associated with the

labeling requirements for termiticide and flammability. We estimate that there will be approximately 300 respondents spending an average of 5 hours to meet these requirements.

The sixth component is the generic burden associated with future policy changes. Over the period of clearance we expect to modify reporting requirements to better serve the needs of the applicants; we have provided 50,000 hours for this contingency.

Respondents: Respondents includes applicants for: (1) pesticide registrations for new never-before-registered chemicals, (2) "reduced-risk" new active ingredients, and (3) monitoring and reporting on labeling activities. Respondents also include trainers (organizations and individuals) for monitoring and reporting on the Training Verification Program.

Estimated No. of Respondents: 38,000.
Estimated No. of Responses per Respondent: 1.4.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 199,000 hours.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, (please refer to EPA ICR #0277.10 and OMB #2070-0060) to:

Sandy Farmer, EPA ICR #0277.10, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch—2136, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460 and

Tim Hunt, OMB #2070-0060, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

Paul Lapsley,

Director, Regulatory Management Division.
[FR Doc. 95-7354 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5177-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) responses to Agency PRA clearance requests.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Farmer (202) 260-2740, please refer to the EPA ICR No.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Responses to Agency PRA Clearance Requests

OMB Approvals

EPA ICR No. 0820.06; Hazardous Waste Generator Standards; was approved 02/23/95; OMB No. 2050-0035; expires 02/28/98.

EPA ICR No. 1487.05; Cooperative Agreements and Superfund State Contracts for Superfund Response Actions; was approved 02/03/95; OMB No. 2010-0020; expires 02/28/98.

EPA ICR No. 1391.03; Information Request for State Revolving Fund Program; was approved 02/24/95; OMB No. 2040-0118; expires 02/28/98.

OMB Disapprovals

EPA ICR No. 1667.01; Public Information and Confidentiality Regulations; was disapproved 02/17/95.

EPA ICR No. 1693.01; Plant-Pesticides Subject to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act; was disapproved 01/24/95.

Dated: March 17, 1995.

Paul Lapsley,

Director, Regulatory Management Division.
[FR Doc. 95-7357 Filed 3-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[ER-FRL-4721-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared February 20, 1995 Through February 24, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1995 (59 FR 16807).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FHW-E40757-AL Rating EC2, Eastern Pleasure Island Hurricane Evacuation Route Construction, AL-182 in Orange Beach to CR-95 near CR-20 (on the mainland) and CR-95 near CR-20 to I-10, Funding and US Coast Guard Bridge and COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Baldwin County, AL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns that the projects will impact between 66.7 and 75 acres of wetlands and that the

documents do not evaluate impacts to terrestrial resources.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40140-TX Rating EC2, Grand Parkway Segment (TX-99) Improvements Project, from TX-225 to I-10, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Grant, Harris and Chambers Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposal and requested that the additional information needed in the final EIS primarily focus on project alternatives, cumulative impacts, a current air quality analysis, and environmental justice.

ERP No. D-FHW-K53007-CA Rating EC2, Alameda Railroad Corridor Consolidated Project, Construction from Downtown Los Angeles to the Badger Avenue Bridge/CA-91, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and ICC Approval, Los Angeles County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns primarily with the draft EIS's assessment of the project's potential air quality impacts and the mitigation measures described in the project documentation. EPA commended the inclusion of a worst-case emergency response plan for hazardous material incidents, and pollution prevention features to reuse/recycle waste material from existing rail lines.

ERP No. DS-FTA-K51035-CA Rating EC2, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) Transportation Improvements, San Francisco to San Francisco International Airport Extension, Updated and Additional Information, Approval, Funding, COE Section 404 and Possible FHWA Encroachment Permits Issuance, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns due to potential impacts to wetlands, endangered species, and groundwater that is a drinking water source for area residents. EPA asked that the final EIS provide more information on issues associated with the Clean Water Act Section 404 permit, including the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative; and potential groundwater impacts from project construction and operation including mitigation and monitoring for adverse impacts.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65173-OR Buzzard Project Area Timber Sale and Road Construction, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, Walla Walla Ranger District, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on