

comments must be postmarked by May 1, 1995. Late comments will be considered to the extent practical. Written comments should be sent to the Office of Fissile Materials Disposition at the address given above. Comments can also be provided via the Office of Fissile Materials Disposition's Electronic Bulletin Board. The bulletin board can be accessed via modem by dialing (800)-783-3349. Access to the bulletin board is also available via the Internet. The telnet address is telnet fedix.fie.com; the gopher space address is gopher to gopher.fie.com; the world wide web address is url=http://web.fie.com/.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 29th day of March 1995, for the United States Department of Energy.

Tara O'Toole,

Assistant Secretary, Environment, Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 95-8361 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Environmental Management Site Specific Advisory Board, Fernald Site

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770) notice is hereby given of the following Advisory Committee meeting: Environmental Management Site Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Fernald Site.

DATES: Saturday, April 8, 1995: 8:30 a.m.-12:30 p.m. (public comment session, 11:30 p.m.-11:45 p.m.)

ADDRESSES: The Joint Information Center, 6025 Dixie Highway, Route 4, Fairfield, Ohio.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John S. Applegate, Chair of the Fernald Citizens Task Force, P.O. Box 544, Ross, Ohio 45061, or call the Fernald Citizens Task Force message line (513) 648-6478.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE and its regulators in the areas of future use, cleanup levels, waste disposition and cleanup priorities at the Fernald site.

Tentative Agenda

Saturday, April 8, 1995

8:30 a.m.—Task Force Administration (Call to order; Approval of Minutes; Chair's Remarks)

8:50 a.m.—Review of Past Resolutions; Review of New Information

9:45 a.m.—Break

10:00 a.m.—Presentation of Options

10:15 a.m.—Discussion and Draft Resolutions

11:30 p.m.—Public Comment

11:45 p.m.—Vote on Resolutions

12:00 p.m.—Review Table of Contents for Final Report

12:15 p.m.—Wrap Up

12:30 p.m.—Adjourn

A final agenda will be available at the meeting, Saturday, April 8, 1995.

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Task Force chair either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact the Task Force chair at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received 5 days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Designated Federal Official, Kenneth Morgan, Public Affairs Officer, Ohio Field Office, U.S. Department of Energy, is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Each individual wishing to make public comment will be provided a maximum of 5 minutes to present their comments. Due to programmatic issues that had to be resolved, the **Federal Register** notice is being published less than fifteen days before the date of the meeting.

Minutes: The minutes of this meeting will be available for public review and copying at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday-Friday, except Federal holidays. Minutes will also be available by writing to John S. Applegate, Chair, the Fernald Citizens Task Force, P.O. Box 544, Ross, Ohio 45061 or by calling the Task Force message line at (513) 648-6478.

Issued at Washington, DC on March 30, 1995.

Rachel M. Samuel,

Acting Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-8358 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Office of Environmental Management; Proposed Site Treatment Plans

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: Today's notice announces the availability of the Department of Energy's (DOE) Proposed Site Treatment Plans (Proposed Plans) for treating its mixed radioactive and hazardous waste

(mixed waste). As required by the Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992 (FFCA or the Act), DOE prepared Proposed Plans for 40 sites in 20 States where DOE stores or generates mixed waste. The Proposed Plans identify the proposed treatment option and related schedule for development of the option for each type of mixed waste. Each DOE site is submitting its Proposed Plan to either its State regulators, or as appropriate, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). DOE faces increasingly tight funding in the near-term, and anticipates that funding will continue to be constrained in the future. The schedules in the Proposed Plans reflect those constraints. DOE expects, that for some sites, further discussion with the State or Federal regulators concerning priorities will result in modified schedules in the approved Plans. The Proposed Plans are available at each site for review by the public. Public comments on the Proposed Plans will be considered by the appropriate regulatory agency in reviewing the plan. Additional opportunities for public involvement in the FFCA process will be offered at many sites by the DOE and State or Federal regulators.

DATES: Written comments on the Proposed Plans should be sent to the recipients identified in Table 1 by July 6, 1995. Written comments received on or before July 6, 1995, will be considered by the State/Federal regulators in reviewing the Proposed Plans.

ADDRESSES: Table 1 lists the recipient to which written comments should be sent on each of the Proposed Plans. Section V of Supplementary Information lists the Reading Rooms where the Proposed Plans may be reviewed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain general information on a site's Proposed Plan or for the address of a Reading Room where Proposed Plans may be viewed, contact the Center for Environmental Management Information at 1-800-7EM-DATA (1-800-736-3282).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 3021(b) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992 (FFCA or the Act), requires the DOE to prepare Site Treatment Plans for developing treatment capacities and technologies for mixed waste at each site where the DOE stores or generates mixed waste. Mixed waste is defined by the FFCA as waste containing both hazardous

waste subject to RCRA, and source, special nuclear, or by-product material subject to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. DOE must submit the Site Treatment Plans to the State or U.S. EPA, as appropriate, for approval, disapproval, or approval with modification.

The FFCAct allows for a six month period during which the regulatory agency reviews the Proposed Plan, makes it available to the public, and approves, disapproves, or modifies the Proposed Plan. Upon approval, the regulatory agency is to issue an Order requiring compliance with the Proposed Plan. Sites that are in compliance with approved Plans and Orders by October 6, 1995, are not subject to fines and penalties related to the storage prohibition of section 3004(j) of RCRA as long as they continue to comply with their Plan and Order.

After consultation with State and Federal regulators, the DOE published a **Federal Register** Notice on April 6, 1993 (58 FR 17875), which announced the DOE's plan to submit the Site Treatment Plans in three stages. In the first stage, Conceptual Site Treatment Plans describing a wide range of possible treatment alternatives for each mixed waste at each site were submitted in October 1993. Draft Site Treatment Plans (Draft Plans) narrowing the list of options to one or two identified by each site, with input from the State and Federal regulators, were submitted and announced in the **Federal Register** on August 31, 1994, (59 FR 44979). The DOE planned to submit Proposed Site Treatment Plans containing the DOE's preferred option for treatment of each mixed waste to the appropriate regulatory agency in February 1995. However, after consultation with the States and U.S. EPA, DOE announced in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 1995 (60 FR 10840) that the date for submitting the Proposed Plans was revised to no later than April 6, 1995, to allow additional time for further discussions on schedules for developing treatment capacity in light of anticipated funding limitations.

II. Proposed Site Treatment Plans

After submission of the Draft Plans in August 1994, the DOE, with input from the State and Federal regulators, evaluated the treatment options listed in the Draft Plans for the mixed waste at each site. The goal of this evaluation was to gain a better understanding of the appropriate configuration of treatment systems across the DOE complex, and to eliminate redundancies and inefficiencies among the Draft Plans. Discussions with the regulators led to

further refinement of the treatment configuration. The Proposed Plans reflect the results of this evaluation and present the DOE's proposed option for treating each site's mixed waste. The Proposed Plans follow a common format, consisting of a Background Volume and a Compliance Plan Volume. The Background Volume describes the site's treatment options, including the associated technical uncertainties and funding constraints, to the extent they are known. The Compliance Plan Volume identifies the preferred treatment option(s) and associated schedules, and broadly describes provisions for implementing and updating the Proposed Plan once it is approved. The Compliance Plan Volume is intended to contain requirements that will ultimately be enforced through a Consent or Compliance Order. In addition to identifying treatment options, DOE is also evaluating options for disposal of treatment residuals at the request of the States. The Background Volume of each Proposed Plan contains a description of the process for evaluating disposal options.

DOE will prepare a National Summary of the Proposed Site Treatment Plans that compiles the information contained in the individual site Proposed Plans and discusses the complex-wide treatment configuration. The National Summary Report will describe the process used to develop the Proposed Plans, the treatment options for each mixed waste, technology development activities, and other related topics. The National Summary Report is expected to be available to the public by the end of June 1995.

III. Activities Occurring Between Submission of the Draft Plans and Preparation of the Proposed Plans

In February 1995, between submission of the Draft Plans and preparation of the Proposed Plans, the DOE, the State and Federal regulators, and Tribal representatives met to discuss future funding of DOE's Environmental Management Program, its Site Treatment Plans, and strategies for working cooperatively to address anticipated funding limitations.

Because of recent changes in funding projections, the schedules in the Proposed Plans have not yet been fully integrated with those of other DOE sites from a complex-wide perspective. Based on discussions concerning its Fiscal Year 1997 Budget, the DOE anticipates that funding will continue to be constrained. Accordingly, DOE anticipates that after submission of the Proposed Plans and before Proposed Plans and schedules are approved

discussions will continue with regulatory agencies and the public concerning the priority of mixed waste treatment and other activities.

IV. Sites No Longer Preparing Proposed Site Treatment Plans

DOE has prepared Proposed Plans for 40 sites in 20 States. However, because two of the Proposed Plans each address more than one site, only 37 Proposed Plans have been submitted for approval. The Idaho National Engineering Laboratory and the Argonne Laboratory-West are located on a single federally-owned reservation near Idaho Falls, Idaho, and both are addressed within the Proposed Plan submitted by the U.S. DOE Idaho Operations Office. The Oak Ridge National Laboratory, K-25 Site, and Y-12 Plant are all located within the federally-owned Oak Ridge Reservation near Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and are addressed within the Proposed Plan submitted by the U.S. DOE Oak Ridge Operations Office. Additionally, eight sites that initially developed Conceptual or Draft Site Treatment Plans have not submitted Proposed Plans for approval. These sites are: (1) General Electric, Vallecitos Nuclear Center, Vallecitos, California; (2) Sandia National Laboratory, Livermore, California; (3) Pinellas Plant, Largo, Florida; (4) Site A/Plot M Palos Forest Preserve, Cook County, Illinois; (5) Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri; (6) Middlesex Sampling Plant, Middlesex, New Jersey; (7) Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey; and (8) the Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute, Albuquerque, New Mexico. These sites are not submitting Proposed Plans for one or more of the following reasons: (1) The site is not generating or storing mixed waste at this time; (2) the site no longer has mixed waste because the waste has been consolidated at another site or has been treated; (3) the site can already treat the waste it generates on a routine basis in compliance with RCRA; or (4) it has not yet been determined through the environmental restoration process whether mixed waste subject to RCRA land disposal restrictions will be generated.

These eight sites have submitted and will update information on their mixed waste compliance to the regulatory agencies as needed. In the future, if any of these sites generate mixed waste that cannot be treated in compliance with RCRA, the site will propose a Plan for approval that meets the requirements of the Act. In addition, the Hanford Site in Richland, Washington, has signed an agreement with the State of Washington that addresses mixed waste treatment as

specified in the FFCAct. Therefore, the Hanford site is not required to prepare a Site Treatment Plan; however, the Hanford Site and its State regulators are actively participating in the FFCAct discussions.

V. Availability of Proposed Site Treatment Plans and Opportunity for Comment

The Proposed Site Treatment Plans for all DOE sites subject to the FFCAct will be available for review at the site's public reading room or at nearby locations by mid-April 1995. To review or request information on a specific Proposed Plan, contact the Center for Environmental Management Information at 1-800-7EM-DATA (1-800-736-3282). Full sets of the Proposed Plans from the 40 sites will also be available for review by mid-April 1995 at the following locations:

U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters
Reading Room, Room 1E-190, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20585, 202/586-6025
Center for Environmental Management Information, 470 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW.,

Suite 7110, Washington, D.C. 20024, 800/736-3282
Albuquerque Operations Office, National Atomic Museum, P.O. Box 5400, Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, NM 87185-5400, 505/845-6670
Hanford Site, U.S. DOE Reading Room, Washington State University, Tri-Cities, 100 Sprout Road, Room 130, Richland, WA 99352, 509/376-8583
Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, INEL Technical Library, 1776 Science Center Drive, P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2300, 208/526-1185
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, DOE Reading Room, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, 510/637-1762
Mound Plant, Miamisburg Senior Adult Center Public Reading Room, 305 Central Ave., Miamisburg, OH 45343, 513/866-8999
Nevada Test Site, Nevada Test Site Reading Room, 3084 South Highland Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89109, 702/295-3521
Oak Ridge Reservation, DOE Public Reading Room, 55 Jefferson Circle, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, 615/576-1216
Rocky Flats Plant, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Reading Room, Front Range Community College Library, 3645 West 112th Ave., Westminster, CO 80030, 303/469-4453

Savannah River Site, Gregg-Graniteville Library, University of South Carolina-Aiken, 171 University Parkway, Aiken, SC 29801, 803/641-3465

Opportunities for public involvement in the FFCAct process will be offered at many sites. To obtain information about these opportunities contact the Center for Environmental Management Information at 1-800-7EM-DATA (1-800-736-3282). Persons interested in receiving the National Summary of the Proposed Site Treatment Plans when available, or other information on the development of the Site Treatment Plans and related activities, should contact the Center for Environmental Management Information. Information about the FFCAct may also be obtained electronically through the FFCAct Bulletin Board on the Internet at <http://eagle.haz.ornl.gov/ffcabb/ffcmain.html>.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 30, 1995.
Stephen Cowan,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Waste Management, Environmental Management.

TABLE 1.—SITES PREPARING SITE TREATMENT PLANS AND COMMENT RECIPIENTS

State	Facility/location	Reviewing agency recipient of comments
California	Energy Technology Engineering Center; Canoga Park. General Atomics; San Diego	Chet Kawashige, California Department of Toxic Substances Control, P.O. Box 806, Mail Code HQ-10, Sacramento, CA 95812-0806.
Colorado	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; Livermore. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory; Berkeley Laboratory for Energy-Related Health Research; Davis. Mare Island Naval Shipyard; Vallejo	Jacqueline Hernandez-Berardini, Director, Environmental Integration Group. Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, OE-EIG-B2, Denver, CO 80222-1530.
Connecticut	Grand Junction Project Office; Grand Junction. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site; Golden	Fred Scheuritzel, Air Monitoring and Radiation, Department of Environmental Protection, 79 Elm Street, 6th Floor, Hartford, CT 06106-5127.
Hawaii	Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory; Windsor.	Tony Terrell, U.S. EPA (H41), Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.
Idaho	Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard; Honolulu ..	Brian Monson, Bureau Chief, DEQ, 1410 North Hilton Street, Boise, ID 83706-1290.
Illinois	Argonne National Laboratory-West; Idaho Falls. Idaho National Engineering Laboratory; Idaho Falls	Richard Allen, Manager, Office of Environmental Safety, Department of Nuclear Safety, 1034 Outer Park Drive, 5th floor, Springfield, IL 62704.
Iowa	Argonne National Laboratory-East; Argonne. Ames Laboratory; Ames	Ken Herstowki, U.S. EPA (Iowa Section), Region 7, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, KS 66101.
Kentucky	Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant; Paducah.	Caroline P. Haight, Director of Division of Waste Management, 14 Rally Road—OMEGA Bldg., Frankfort, KY 40601.
Maine	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard; Kittery	Joan Serra, U.S. EPA (HRR-CNN3), Region 1, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203.
Missouri	Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project; St. Charles County. University of Missouri; Columbia	Dan Tschirgi, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176.
Nevada	Nevada Test Site; Mercury	Paul Liebendorfer, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Federal Facilities, Division of Environmental Protection, 123 W. Nye Lane, Carson City, NV 89710.
New Mexico	Los Alamos National Laboratory; Los Alamos. Sandia National Laboratory—New Mexico; Albuquerque	Jim Seubert, Environmental Specialist 525 Camino, De Los Marquez, Santa Fe, NM 87502.

TABLE 1.—SITES PREPARING SITE TREATMENT PLANS AND COMMENT RECIPIENTS—Continued

State	Facility/location	Reviewing agency recipient of comments
New York	Brookhaven National Laboratory; Upton . Colonie Interim Storage Site; Colonie Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory—Kes- selring; West Milton. Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory—Sche- nectady; Niskayuna. West Valley Demonstration Project; West Valley	Norm Drapeau, Environmental Engineer III, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, NY 12233.
Ohio	Battelle Columbus Laboratories Decom- missioning Project; Columbus. Fernald Environmental Management Project; Fernald. Mound Plant; Miamisburg	Thomas Crepeau, Manager, Data Management Section, Division of Hazardous Waste Management, Ohio EPA, P.O. Box 1049, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049.
Pennsylvania	RMI Titanium Inc.; Ashtabula	David Friedman, U.S. EPA, Region 3, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, PA 19107.
South Carolina ...	Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory; West Mifflin.	David Wilson, Jr., Assistant Bureau Chief, 8901 Farrow Road, Columbia, SC 29223.
Tennessee	Charleston Naval Shipyard; Charleston .. Savannah River Site; Aiken	Earl Leming, Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, DOE Oversight Office, 761 Emory Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37830.
Texas	K-25 Site, Y-12 Plant and Oak Ridge National Laboratory; Oak Ridge Res- ervation; Oak Ridge.	Dan Pearson, Executive Director, Natural Resource Conservation Commission, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087.
Virginia	Pantex Plant; Amarillo	David Friedman, U.S. EPA, Region 3, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, PA 19107.
Washington	Norfolk Naval Shipyard; Norfolk	Jeff Breckel, Washington-Oregon Interstate Liaison, Nuclear and Mixed Waste Management Program, Washington Department of Ecology, P.O. Box 47600, 300 Desmond Drive SE, Lacey, WA 98503.
	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard; Bremerton	

[FR Doc. 95-8359 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP95-261-000, et al.]

Questar Pipeline Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 29, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings
have been made with the Commission:

1. Questar Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-261-000]

Take notice that on March 13, 1995,
Questar Pipeline Company (Questar), 79
South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah
84111, filed in Docket No. CP95-261-
000 an application pursuant to Section
7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for
permission and approval to abandon by
removal a 3-inch meter run and
appurtenant facilities located in
Sweetwater County, Wyoming, all as
more fully set forth in the application
on file with the Commission and open
to public inspection.

Questar states that the meter run was
installed by Questar's predecessor,
Mountain Fuel Resources, Inc.
(Mountain Fuel), at the Steamboat
Mountain Meter & Regulating Station to

receive natural gas from NGC Energy
Resources, L.P. (NGC), for transportation
on Mountain Fuel's system, which was
subsequently acquired by Questar. It is
stated that the facilities were installed
under the automatic authorization
provisions of Mountain Fuel's blanket
certificate in Docket No. CP82-491-000.
Questar states that the meter run is
obsolete because it can no longer
measure the increasing volumes flowing
from the Steamboat Mountain
producing area. It is asserted that there
is an existing 6-inch meter run adjacent
to the 3-inch meter run which can
accurately measure the increasing
volumes. It is further asserted that the
proposed abandonment would have no
impact on Questar's transportation for
NGC and no impact on the average daily
design capacity or operation of
Questar's system.

Comment date: April 19, 1995, in
accordance with Standard Paragraph F
at the end of this notice.

2. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-274-000]

Take notice that on March 20, 1995,
Northwest Pipeline Corporation
(Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake
City, Utah 84158, filed in Docket No.
CP95-274-000 a request pursuant to
Sections 157.205, 157.211, and 157.216
of the Commission's Regulations under

the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205,
157.211, 157.212) for authorization to
upgrade its existing Olympia Meter
Station and to install looping facilities
under Northwest's blanket certificate
issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000
pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas
Act, all as more fully set forth in the
request that is on file with the
Commission and open to public
inspection.

Northwest proposes to partially
abandon and upgrade its Olympia Meter
Station and to construct and operate
approximately 3.73 miles of 12-inch
pipeline loop, valves and a loop tie-in
on its existing Olympia lateral at the
existing Rainier Meter Station, all in
Thurston County, Washington.
Northwest states that the upgraded
station would consist of two 6-inch
turbine meters, two 4-inch regulators,
valves and appurtenances. Northwest
explains that the loop would parallel
the existing Olympia Lateral, beginning
at the Ignacio-to-Sumas gas transmission
mainline and terminating about 2,700
feet southeast of the Fort Lewis Military
Reservation boundary. Northwest states
that these proposed facilities would
increase Northwest's delivery capacity
to Washington Natural Gas Company
(Washington Natural) at various meter
stations on the Olympia and Shelton
laterals by approximately 13,370 Dth