

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10785 Filed 5-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Ex Parte No. 388 (Sub-No. 5)]

Intrastate Rail Rate Authority—Georgia

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of provisional recertification.

SUMMARY: The State of Georgia has filed an application for recertification. The Commission, under *State Intrastate Rail Rate Authority*, 5 I.C.C.2d 680, 685 (1989), provisionally recertifies the State of Georgia to regulate intra-state rail rates, classifications, rules, and practices. After its review, the Commission will issue a recertification decision or take other appropriate action.

DATES: This provisional recertification will be effective on May 2, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elaine Sehrt-Green (202) 927-5269 or Beryl Gordon (202) 927-5610 [TDD for hearing impaired: (202) 927-5721].

Decided: April 26, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik,
Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-10784 Filed 5-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Information Collection Under Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been sent the following collection(s) of information proposals for review under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and the Paperwork Reduction Reauthorization Act since the last list was published. Entries are grouped into submission categories, with each entry containing the following information:

- (1) The title of the form/collection;
- (2) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection;
- (3) Who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract;
- (4) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond;

(5) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection; and,

(6) An indication as to whether Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96-511 applies.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the OMB reviewer, Mr. Jeff Hill on (202) 395-7340 and to the Department of Justice's Clearance Officer, Mr. Robert B. Briggs, on (202) 514-4319. If you anticipate commenting on a form/collection, but find that time to prepare such comments will prevent you from prompt submission, you should notify the OMB reviewer and the Department of Justice Clearance Officer of your intent as soon as possible. Written comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection may be submitted to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Department of Justice Clearance Officer, Systems Policy Staff/Information Resources Management/Justice Management Division Suite 850, WCTR, Washington, DC 20530.

Reinstatement with changes, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(1) 1995 Census of State and Federal Adult Correctional Facilities.

(2) Form CJ-43. Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(3) Primary=Federal, State, local or Tribal Government. Others=None. This 1995 Census of State and Federal Correctional Facilities will provide current information on inmate population and correctional facilities throughout the country, including inmate growth and its effects on confinement space and facility building plans. The last census was conducted in 1990. Respondents are State and Federal correctional administrators.

(4) 1,400 annual respondents at 1.0 hours per response.

(5) 1,400 annual burden hours.

(6) Not applicable under Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96-511.

Public comment on this item is encouraged.

Dated: April 26, 1995.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95-10687 Filed 5-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-397]

Washington Public Power Supply System, Nuclear Project No. 2; Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-21, issued to Washington Public Power Supply System, (the licensee), for operation of the Nuclear Project No. 2, located in Benton County, Washington.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment is written in connection with the proposed core uprate for the Nuclear Project No. 2 in response to the licensee's application dated July 9, 1993, as supplemented by letters of October 9, and October 25, 1993, January 6, February 2, May 3, May 13, September 26, and October 12, 1994. The proposed action would increase the rated core power level for Nuclear Project No. 2 from the current level of 3323 Megawatts-thermal (MWt) to 3486 MWt. The Nuclear Steam Supply System (NSSS) power level would be increased accordingly. This uprate represents an authorized thermal power level increase of approximately 4.9 percent. This will require resetting of the safety relief valve setpoints to accommodate the slight operating pressure increase (less than 20 psi). Operating temperature will also increase slightly (less than 5 °F). The result of these changes will be an approximate 5 percent increase in rated steam flow. Plant instrumentation will be recalibrated to reflect the uprated power. The licensee will implement these changes during the current refueling outage, which began on April 22, 1995.

These changes will be achieved by (1) increasing the core thermal power to increase steam flow, (2) increasing reactor pressure to ensure adequate turbine control margin, (3) not increasing the current maximum core flow, and (4) operating the reactor along higher flow control lines. The increased core power will be achieved by utilizing a flatter radial power distribution while still maintaining limiting fuel bundles within their constraints.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would increase the thermal output by 163 MWt, which

corresponds to approximately 52 megawatts-electrical (MWe). This would provide additional electrical power to the grids which service the commercial and residential areas of the distribution utility.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

A slight change in the environmental impact can be expected for an increase in plant power level, but the effects were found to be minimal and did not alter the findings stated in NUREG-0812, "Final Environmental Statement Related to Operation of Nuclear Project No. 2" (FES), December 1981.

The proposed core uprating is projected to increase the rejected heat by approximately 5 percent. However, the thermal discharges from the circulating and service water systems remain bounded by the values evaluated in the FES. Thus, the 5 percent increase in rejected heat has been evaluated and determined not to significantly impact on the quality of the human environment.

The licensing basis analyses related to radiological source terms were originally performed assuming a core power of 3486 MWt which corresponds to the proposed rerate conditions. The NRC review of these calculations was documented in NUREG-0892, "Safety Evaluation Report Related to the Operation of Nuclear Project No. 2." Additional assessments by the licensee related to the rerated conditions (power level and reactor coolant temperature) and other changes related to plant operation determined there would be no significant increase in the potential radioactive releases resulting from plant operation or design basis reactor accidents. In addition, no significant increases in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure would result from the proposed changes in operating conditions. Also, he proposed increase in the NSSS power involves no significant change in the amount of any non-radiological effluents that may be released offsite compared to those evaluated and approved in the FES.

Therefore, the Commission concludes that there is no significant radiological or non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered

denial of the proposed action. Denial of the amendment would not significantly reduce the environmental impact of plant operation and would restrict operation of the Nuclear Project No. 2 to the currently licensed power level, thereby reducing operational flexibility.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Nuclear Project No. 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

By letter of September 26, 1994, Mr. Jason J. Zeller of the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council of the State of Washington informed the staff that the State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated July 9, 1993, as supplemented by letters dated October 9, and October 25, 1993, January 6, January 6, February 2, May 3, May 13, September 26, and October 12, 1994, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Richland Public Library, 955 Northgate Street, Richland, Washington 99352.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of April 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

William H. Bateman,

Director, Project Directorate IV-2, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-10886 Filed 5-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 74th meeting on May 10, 1995, in Room T-2B3 and May 11, 1995, in Room T-2B1, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for this meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, May 10, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M. and Thursday, May 11, 1995—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

During this meeting the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. *NRC staff Position on Substantially Complete Containment*—The Committee will review the NRC staff position on the meaning of substantially complete containment as used in the Commission's regulations for the disposal of high-level radioactive wastes in geologic repositories.

B. *Electronic Data Transfer*—Representatives from the U.S. Department of Energy will discuss the electronic transfer of site characterization data from the DOE to the NRC and Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses staffs.

C. *Meeting with the Director, NRC's Division of Waste Management, Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards*—The Director will provide information to the Committee on current waste management issues, which may include the progress of site characterization at the proposed Yucca Mountain site and a preview of the NRC staff's review strategy for DOE seismic hazard analysis.

D. *National Performance Review Phase 2*—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with the NRC staff on initiatives to streamline the Federal government and regulatory process.

E. *Preparation of ACNW Reports*—The Committee will discuss proposed reports on the Approach to Groundwater Travel Time at Yucca Mountain and comments on a low-level waste branch technical position on performance assessment. Additional topics will be considered as time permits.

F. *Committee Activities/Future Agenda*—The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and Working Groups. The Committee will also discuss ACNW-related activities of individual members.

G. *Miscellaneous*—The Committee will discuss miscellaneous matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and organizational activities and complete discussion of matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACNW meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 7, 1994 (59 FR 51219). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written statements may be presented by members of the public, electronic