

Policing Research and Evaluation" (refer to document no. SL000122). The solicitation is available electronically via the NCJRS Bulletin Board, which can be accessed via Internet. Telnet to ncjrbbbs.aspensys.com, or gopher to ncjrs.aspensys.com 71. Those without Internet access can dial the NCJRS Bulletin Board via modem: dial 301-738-8895. Set modem at 9600 baud, 8-N-1.

Jeremy Travis,

Director, National Institute of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95-11846 Filed 5-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of a Change in Status of an Extended Benefit (EB) Period for the State of Rhode Island

This notice announces a change in benefit period eligibility under the EB Program for the State of Rhode Island.

Summary

The following change has occurred since the publication of the last notice regarding States' EB status:

- April 9, 1995—Rhode Island's 13-week insured unemployment rate for the week ending March 25, 1995 rose above 6.0 percent, causing the State to trigger "on" EB effective April 9, 1995.

Information for Claimants

The duration of benefits payable in the EB Program, and the terms and conditions on which they are payable, are governed by the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, as amended, and the operating instructions issued to the States by the U.S. Department of Labor. In the case of a State beginning an EB period, the State employment security agency will furnish a written notice of potential entitlement to each individual who has exhausted all rights to regular benefits and is potentially eligible for extended benefits (20 CFR 615.13(c)(1)).

Persons who believe they may be entitled to EB benefits, or who wish to inquire about the rights under the programs, should contact the nearest State employment service office or unemployment compensation claims office in their locality.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 8, 1995.

Doug Ross,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc. 95-11881 Filed 5-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Intent To Prepare Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed construction and operation of a sports and entertainment arena in Washington, DC.

SUMMARY: In a Notice of Intent published in the **Federal Register** on January 13, 1995 (60 FR 3273), the National Capital Planning Commission advised that in conjunction with the District of Columbia Government it was conducting an Environmental Assessment for the proposed construction and operation of a new sports and entertainment area in Washington, DC. The Notice stated that if it became apparent, either through the scoping process or during the analysis and documentation of environmental impacts, that an Environmental Impact Statement was the appropriate environmental document, a Supplemental Notice would be issued.

A Draft Environmental Assessment was published on March 31, 1995, with a comment period closing on May 1, 1995. The National Capital Planning Commission (Commission) and the District of Columbia Government now announce their intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed D.C. Arena pursuant to Section 106(2)(c) of the National Environment Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and in accordance with the Environmental Policies and Procedures implemented by the Commission.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As indicated in the January 13, 1995 Notice, all comments and responses on the scope of alternatives and potential impacts received in response to that notice as well as those received during the scoping process, the public meeting held February 13, 1995, and in response to the Draft EA will be considered in the EIS. The public is encouraged to provide additional comments once the Draft EIS is released. The Commission

anticipates that release date to be in mid-June 1995.

The EIS will analyze the environmental impacts and mitigation options associated with the construction and operation of a sports and entertainment arena that would seat approximately 20,600 persons and would be located in downtown Washington, DC. In addition, the EIS will consider alternative actions. At present, those alternatives may include (1) Construction of a new arena at the Gallery Place site which includes the following: Square 455 which is bounded by G Street NW., 6th Street NW., F Street NW. and 7th Street NW.; the 600 block of G Street NW.; and approximately the southern fifth of Square 454 which is bounded by H Street NW., 6th Street NW., G Street NW., and 7th Street NW. (2) Construction of a new arena over the air rights behind Union Station; (3) A No Action Alternative, which would result in no new construction in Washington, DC. Topics for environmental analysis will include short-term construction-related impacts; long-term effects on historic resources, visual resources, public transportation, traffic and parking, socio-economic conditions, land use, and physical-biological resources within the project area, and the cumulative impacts associated with this and other reasonably foreseeable projects.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION PLEASE

CONTACT: National Capital Planning Commission, 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 301, Washington, DC. 20576. Attention: Ms. Sandra H. Shapiro, General Counsel, Phone: (202) 724-0174.

Sandra H. Shapiro,

General Counsel, National Capital Planning Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-11898 Filed 5-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7502-02-M

District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed sports and entertainment arena; public meeting on historic issues; change of date.

SUMMARY: In a notice published on April 25, 1995 (60 FR 20288), the National Capital Planning Commission (Commission) announced that as part of the State Historic Preservation Officer's Review of the potential effects on historic properties of the proposed sports and entertainment arena, the Historic Preservation Review Board

would hold a public meeting on May 24, 1995. The purpose of that meeting was to review the Section 106 documentation which identifies affected historic properties, assesses the potential impacts, and discusses potential measures to mitigate or avoid the adverse effects, including consideration of alternative sites.

The date of that meeting has changed. The meeting will now be held on July 10, 1995 at 10:00 a.m., 441 4th Street NW. (#1 Judiciary Square), Room 220 South (Zoning Commission Hearing Room).

The documentation to be considered will be available to the Board and to the general public on or after June 9, 1995 and may be reviewed by calling the Historic Preservation Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Witherell, National Capital Planning Commission, 801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 301, Washington, DC 20576, Phone: (202) 724-0174 or Steve Raiche, D.C. Department of Consumer & Regulatory Affairs, 614 H Street NW., Room 305, Washington, DC 20001, Phone: (202) 727-7360.

Sandra H. Shapiro,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95-11897 Filed 5-12-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7502-02-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3; 50-425-OLA-3]

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; Evidentiary Hearing

Before Administrative Judges: Peter B. Bloch, Chairman, Dr. James H. Carpenter, Thomas D. Murphy.

Re: License Amendment (Transfer to Southern Nuclear)

ASLBP No. 93-671-01-OLA-3
May 9, 1995.

In the matter of: Georgia Power Company, *et al.* (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2).

An evidentiary hearing will be held in Augusta, Georgia beginning on May 22 from 1 pm to 5 pm. Thereafter, ordinary times for the hearing are from 9 am to 5 pm. The principal location of the hearing is:

Savannah Rapids Pavilion, 3300 Evans-to-Locks Road, Martinez, Georgia 30907, (706) 868-3349 or 3431.

The week of May 22-26 the proceeding will be in the Loblolly Pine Room. On May 31-June 2 and June 6-9, we will be located in the White Oak Room. On June

3, we will be in the Red Cedar Room. On June 5 we will be located at:

The Summerville Ballroom, The Partridge Inn, 2110 Walton Way, Augusta, GA 30904, (706) 737-8888.

There will be no hearing on May 29-30. Evening sessions are expected on May 24, June 1 and June 7. All dates and times are subject to revision in order to meet the needs of the proceeding.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

Peter B. Bloch,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 95-11858 Filed 5-12-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-356]

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Low Power Reactor Assembly; Notice of Proposed Issuance of Orders Authorizing Disposition of Component Parts

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an Order authorizing the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (the licensee) to partially dismantle the Low Power Reactor Assembly (LOPRA). This would return the reactor to a subcritical assembly. After transfer of all LOPRA byproduct and special nuclear material to the Illinois Advanced TRIGA Reactor (TRIGA), Facility License No. R-115, the Commission would consider an Order authorizing termination of Facility License No. R-117, for the LOPRA, in accordance with the licensee's application dated February 10, 1995.

The first of these Orders would be issued following the Commission's review and approval of the licensee's disposition plan for the LOPRA. This Order would authorize implementation of the approved plan. Following completion of the authorized activities and verification by the Commission that transfer of all radioactive material to the TRIGA license has been achieved, the Commission would issue a second Order terminating the LOPRA facility license. Prior to issuance of each Order, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

By June 14, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the subject Orders and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to

intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of a hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the action under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to