

**A. Goals, Objectives, and Need for Assistance.** (10 points)

Are the goals and objectives of the project presented clearly and do they reflect the type of project sought by this announcement?

**B. Project Design and Approach.** (40 points)

Is there documented access to multiple data sets describing individual experiences in the services of interest (e.g., AFDC, Medicaid, job training, child care, foster care, Head Start, counseling, and other social services)? How long is the time frame covered by each data set? How detailed are the records of service receipt (e.g., type of activity, length of activity, cost of activity)? How large and diverse is the population covered in each data set? Is there a clear and achievable plan for creating a single data set that will allow the tracking of individuals through multiple services over time? Are there interesting questions about health and human services policy and practice that will be explored after the data set is created?

**C. Organization and Staffing.** (40 points)

Does the organization demonstrate the in-house capacity to conduct the project? Does the organization demonstrate access to and experience with computerized records for multiple services? Does the organization and staff demonstrate a track record of successful use of administrative data? Do the staff have documented experience working with multiple data sets involving several types of health and human services? Are the number and type of staff positions sufficient to achieve project objectives?

How many different types of health and human services does the organization provide? For how many of these services is their individual-level data on service receipt? Does the organization have computer hardware, computer software, and computing staff able to work with large data sets? Does the organization demonstrate an ability to manage a complex data-merging project, including analysis of such data? Does the organization demonstrate connections to key audiences for dissemination?

**D. Budget and budget narrative** (10 points)

Is the proposed budget reasonable and sufficient to ensure implementation of the project? Does the organization demonstrate access to \$50,000 from non-Federal sources to match the Federal grant?

**Disposition of Applications**

1. *Approval, disapproval, or deferral.* On the basis of the review of the application, the Assistant Secretary will either (a) approve the application as a whole or in part; (b) disapprove the application; or (c) defer action on the application for such reasons as lack of funds or a need for further review.

2. *Notification of disposition.* The Assistant Secretary will notify the applicants of the disposition of their applications. If approved, a signed notification of the award will be sent to the business office named in the ASPE checklist.

**Components of a Complete Application**

A complete application consists of the following items in this order:

1. Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form 424, Revised 4-88);
2. Budget Information—Non-construction Programs (Standard Form 424A, Revised 4-88);
3. Assurances—Non-construction Programs (Standard Form 424B, Revised 4-88);
4. Table of Contents;
5. Budget Justification for Section B Budget Categories;
6. Proof of Non-profit Status, if appropriate;
7. Copy of the applicant's Approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement, if necessary;
8. Project Narrative Statement, organized in four sections addressing the following topics:
  - a. Abstract
  - b. Goals and objectives of the project
  - c. Corporate capacity and qualifications
  - d. Experience, qualifications, and use of staff
  - e. Initial Workplan
  - f. Budget and Budget Narrative
9. Any appendices or attachments;
10. Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace;
11. Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, or other Responsibility Matters;
12. Certification and, if necessary, Disclosure Regarding Lobbying;
13. Supplement to Section II—Key Personnel;
14. Application for Federal Assistance Checklist.

Dated: May 12, 1995.

**David T. Ellwood,**

*Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.*

[FR Doc. 95-12463 Filed 5-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4154-04

**Health Resources and Services Administration**

**Final Review Criteria for Grants for Geriatric Education Centers for Fiscal Year 1995**

The Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) announces the final review criteria for Grants for Geriatric Education Centers for FY 1995 under the authority of section 777(a) of the Public Health Service Act, as amended by the Health Professions Education Extension Amendments of 1992, Public Law 102-408, dated October 13, 1992.

**Purpose**

Grants may be awarded to support the development of collaborative arrangements involving several health professions schools and health care facilities. These arrangements, called Geriatric Education Centers (GECs), are established to facilitate training of health professional faculty, students, and practitioners in the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of disease, disability, and other health problems of the aged. Health professionals include allopathic physicians, osteopathic physicians, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists, pharmacists, nurses, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, chiropractors, clinical psychologists, health administrators, and allied health professionals.

Projects supported under these grants must offer training involving four or more health professions, one of which must be allopathic or osteopathic medicine. Projects must address one or more of the statutory purposes listed below:

- (a) Improve the training of health professionals in geriatrics;
- (b) Develop and disseminate curricula relating to the treatment of the health problems of elderly individuals;
- (c) Expand and strengthen instruction in methods of such treatment;
- (d) Support the training and retraining of faculty to provide such instruction;
- (e) Support continuing education of health professionals and allied health professionals who provide such treatment; and
- (f) Establish new affiliations with nursing homes, chronic and acute disease hospitals, ambulatory care centers, and senior centers in order to provide students with clinical training in geriatric medicine.

**Eligibility**

Section 777(a) of the PHS Act authorizes the award of grants to accredited health professions schools as

defined by section 799(1), or programs for the training of physician assistants as defined by section 799(3), or schools of allied health as defined in section 799(4), or schools of nursing as defined by section 853(2).

Applicants must be located in the United States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Republic of Palau, the Republic of the Marshall Islands, or the Federated States of Micronesia.

A notice was published in the **Federal Register** at 60 FR 2396 on January 9, 1995 which proposed two new review criteria for Grants for Geriatric Education Centers. No comments were received within the 30 day comment period. Therefore, the review criteria remain as proposed.

#### Review Criteria

The following review criteria are established in 42 CFR part 57, subpart OO:

- (1) The degree to which the proposed project adequately provides for the project requirements;
  - (2) The extent to which the rationale and specific objectives of the project are based upon a needs assessment of the status of geriatrics training in the institutions to be assisted and/or the geographic area to be served;
  - (3) The ability of the project to achieve the project objectives within the proposed geographic area;
  - (4) The adequacy of educational facilities and clinical training settings to accomplish objectives;
  - (5) The adequacy of organizational arrangements involving professional schools and other organizations necessary to carry out the project;
  - (6) The adequacy of the qualifications and experience in geriatrics of the project director, staff and faculty;
  - (7) The administrative and managerial ability of the applicant to carry out the proposed project in a cost-effective manner, and;
  - (8) The potential of the project to continue on a self-sustaining basis.
- In addition, the following review criteria are hereby finalized:
- (9) If applicable, the extent to which there is evidence that the institutions jointly have planned and jointly will conduct the proposed consortial activities.
  - (10) The potential of the project to recruit and/or retain minority faculty members and trainees for participation in long term and/or short term training experiences.

If additional information is needed, please contact: Lt. Commander Judith

Arndt, Geriatric Initiatives Branch, Division of Associated, Dental, and Public Health Professions, Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 8-103, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857, Telephone: (301) 443-6887.

This program, Grants for Geriatric Education Centers, is listed at 93.969 in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance. It is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs (as implemented through 45 CFR part 100). This program is not subject to the Public Health System Reporting Requirements.

Dated: May 16, 1995.

**Ciro V. Sumaya,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-12455 Filed 5-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-15-P

#### National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program; List of Petitions Received

**AGENCY:** Public Health Service, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Public Health Service (PHS) is publishing this notice of petitions received under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("the Program"), as required by section 2112(b)(2) of the PHS Act, as amended. While the Secretary of Health and Human Services is named as the respondent in all proceedings brought by the filing of petitions for compensation under the Program, the United States Court of Federal Claims is charged by statute with responsibility for considering and acting upon the petitions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about requirements for filing petitions, and the Program generally, contact the Clerk, United States Court of Federal Claims, 717 Madison Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 219-9657. For information on the Public Health Service's role in the Program, contact the Director, National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 8A35, Rockville, MD 20857, (301) 443-6593.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Program provides a system of no-fault compensation for certain individuals who have been injured by specified childhood vaccines. Subtitle 2 of title XXI of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-10 *et seq.*, provides that those seeking compensation are to file a petition with the U.S. Court of Federal Claims and to

serve a copy of the petition on the Secretary of Health and Human Services, who is named as the respondent in each proceeding. The Secretary has delegated his responsibility under the Program to PHS. The Court is directed by statute to appoint special masters who take evidence, conduct hearings as appropriate, and make initial decisions as to eligibility for, and amount of, compensation.

A petition may be filed with respect to injuries, disabilities, illnesses, conditions, and deaths resulting from vaccines described in the Vaccine Injury Table (the Table) set forth at section 2114 of the PHS Act. This Table lists for each covered childhood vaccine the conditions which will lead to compensation and, for each condition, the time period for occurrence of the first symptom or manifestation of onset or of significant aggravation after vaccine administration. Compensation may also be awarded for conditions not listed in the Table and for conditions that are manifested after the time periods specified in the Table, but only if the petitioner shows that the condition was caused by one of the listed vaccines.

Section 2112(b)(2) of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-12(b)(2), requires that the Secretary publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of each petition filed. Set forth below is a partial list of petitions received by PHS on January 31, 1991 through November 20, 1991.

Section 2112(b)(2) also provides that the special master "shall afford all interested persons an opportunity to submit relevant, written information" relating to the following:

1. The existence of evidence "that there is not a preponderance of the evidence that the illness, disability, injury, condition, or death described in the petition is due to factors unrelated to the administration of the vaccine described in the petition," and

2. Any allegation in a petition that the petitioner either:

(a) "Sustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition not set forth in the Table but which was caused by" one of the vaccines referred to in the Table, or

(b) "Sustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition set forth in the Table the first symptom or manifestation of the onset or significant aggravation of which did not occur within the time period set forth in the Table but which was caused by a vaccine" referred to in the Table.

This notice will also serve as the special master's invitation to all