



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Rock Creek Tennis Stadium
Environment Impact Statement,
Washington, DC

AGENCY: National Park Service (Interior).

ACTION: Notice to distribute the final environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality regulations and National Park Service policy, the National Park Service (NPS) announces the release of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Rock Creek Tennis Stadium. The FEIS presents the NPS preferred alternative for future management and use of the Rock Creek Tennis Stadium. The preferred alternative, Alternative 2, allows for only one professional tennis tournament a year, in addition to amateur and league tennis events. Mitigation to relieve the park and surrounding neighborhood of parking and traffic congestion will be required for every professional tennis tournament. At a minimum, mitigation will include elimination of parking on turf areas in the park, measures to prevent tournament related parking on neighborhood streets, use of remote parking and shuttle buses, and dedication of some existing paved parking areas in the park for shuttle bus loading and storage. No major physical alterations to the park for buses or parking are proposed.

ADDRESSES: For copies of the FEIS, please contact: Superintendent Rock Creek Park, 3545 Williamsburg Lane, Washington, DC 20008. Copies can also be reviewed at the Rock Creek Park Nature Center.

The responsible official is Mr. Robert G. Stanton, Regional Director, National Capitol Region, National Park Service, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW., Washington, DC 20242.

A final public record of decision will be released stating the NPS selected alternative, environmental mitigation strategies adopted, and the rationale for the decisions no sooner than 30 days from the publication of this notice.

Dated: May 18, 1995.

Terry R. Carlstrom,

Acting Regional Director, National Capital Region.

[FR Doc. 95-12624 Filed 5-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION

Investigation No. 337-TA-375

Certain Clog Style Articles of
Footwear; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 18, 1995, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of R. G. Barry Corporation, 13405 Yarmouth Road, N.W., Pickerington, Ohio 43147. A supplement to the complaint dated May 8, 1995 was filed on May 10, 1995. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain clog style articles of footwear by reason of alleged infringement of claims 1-5 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,392,532, and that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a full investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven A. Glazer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2577.

AUTHORITY: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in § 210.10 of the Commission's Final Rules of Practice and Procedure, 59 Fed. Reg. 39020, 39043 (August 1, 1994).

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 16, 1995, Ordered That —

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain clog style articles of footwear by reason of alleged infringement of claims 1-5 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,392,532, and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—R. G. Barry Corporation, 13405 Yarmouth Road, N.W., Pickerington, Ohio 43147

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Mervyn's, Inc., 25001 Industrial Blvd., P.O. Box 5020, Hayward, CA 94545-2801
S. Goldberg & Co., Inc., 20 E. Broadway, Hackensack, NJ 07601.

(c) Steven A. Glazer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 401K, Washington, D.C. 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, Janet D. Saxon, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission's Final Rules of Practice and Procedure, 59 FR 39020, 39045 (August 1, 1994). Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Final Rules, 59 FR at 39045, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this