

INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF NUCLEAR WASTE SHIPMENTS—Continued

State and Part 71	Part 73
Virginia: L. Ralph Jones, Jr., Director, Technological Hazards Division, Department of Emergency Services, Commonwealth of Virginia, 310 Turner Road, Richmond, VA 23225, (804) 674-2400.	Same.
Washington: Commander Maurice C. King, Washington State Patrol, General Administration Building, P.O. Box 42613, Olympia, WA 98504-2613, (360) 586-2340.	Same.
West Virginia: Colonel Thomas L. Kirk, Superintendent, Division of Public Safety, West Virginia State Police, 725 Jefferson Road, South Charleston, WV 25309, (304) 746-2111.	Same.
Wisconsin: Leroy E. Conner, Jr., Administrator, Wisconsin Division of Emergency Government, P.O. Box 7865, Madison, WI 53707-7865, (608) 242-3232.	Same.
Wyoming: Captain L. S. Gerard, Motor Carrier Officer, Wyoming Highway Patrol, 5300 Bishop Boulevard, P.O. Box 1708, Cheyenne, WY 82003-1708, (307) 777-4317, 24 hours: (307) 777-4323.	Same.
District of Columbia: Norma J. Stewart, Program Manager, Pharmaceutical, Radiological, and Medical Devices Control Division, Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs, 614 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001, (202) 727-7218, After hours: (202) 727-6161.	Same.
Puerto Rico: Hector Russe Martinez, Chairman, Environmental Quality Board, P.O. Box 11488, San Juan, PR 00910, (809) 767-8056 or (809) 725-5140.	Same.
Guam: Fred M. Castro, Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, P.O. Box 2999, Agana, Guam 96910, (671) 646-8863/64/65.	Same.
Virgin Islands: Roy L. Schneider, Governor, Governor's Office, 21-22 Kongens Gade, St. Thomas, Virgin Islands 00802, (809) 774-0001.	Same.
American Samoa: Pati Fai'ai, Government Ecologist, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of the Governor, Pago Pago, American Samoa 96799, (684) 633-2304.	Same.

INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF NUCLEAR WASTE SHIPMENTS—Continued

State and Part 71	Part 73
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands: Nicolas M. Leon Guerrero, Director, Department of Natural Resources Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands Government, Capitol Hill, Saipan, MP 96950, (670) 322-9830 or (670) 322-9834.	Same.
¹ Jim Greene, Administrator, Disaster and Emergency Services, P.O. Box 4789, Helena, MT 59604 (406) 444-6911 ² Col. James Wilson, Director, Texas Department of Public Safety, 5805 N. Lamar Blvd., Austin, TX 78752, (512) 465-2000.	
Questions regarding this matter should be directed to Spiros Droggitis at (301) 415-2367.	
Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 21st day of June 1995.	
For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Richard L. Bangart, <i>Director, Office of State Programs.</i> [FR Doc. 95-15676 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-M	

[Docket Nos. 50-390 and 50-391]

Tennessee Valley Authority; Availability of Safety Evaluation Report Supplement Related to the Operation of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission has published the Safety Evaluation Report, Supplement 15 (NUREG-0847, Supp. 15) related to the operation of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Docket Nos. 50-390 and 50-391.

Copies of the report have been placed in the NRC's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555, and in the Local Public Document Room, Chattanooga-Hamilton Library, 1001 Broad Street, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402, for review by interested persons. Copies of the report may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Post Office Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082. GPO deposit account holders may charge orders by calling 202-512-2249 or 2171. Copies are also available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22nd day of June, 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Peter S. Tam,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate II-3, Division of Reactor Projects—II/II, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

[FR Doc. 95-16111 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304]

Commonwealth Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License No. CPR-39, and Facility Operating License No. DPR-48 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee), for operation of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 and Unit 2, respectively, located in Lake County, Illinois.

The proposed amendment would add a provision to the Technical Specifications (TSs) to allow the hot restart sequence loading test of the emergency diesel generators (EDGs) to be performed independent of the 24-hour endurance test. The TSs currently incorporate by referencing Regulatory Guide 1.108, the requirement that the tests be performed in a certain sequence, the 24-hour endurance test first, followed immediately by the hot restart sequence loading test. The proposed change consists of a footnote added to Specification 4.15.1.B.3 which states that the hot restart sequence loading test need not be performed immediately following the 24-hour endurance test, but shall be performed within 5 minutes of shutting down the EDG after it has operated for a minimum of 2 hours between 3600 and 4000 KW. In addition, statements are added to the Bases in Section 4.15 to note this change to the required testing.

10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) specifies that the Commission may, where exigent circumstances exist, allow less than 30 days for public comment. Exigent circumstances have been found to exist for this proposed amendment. The licensee identified the emergency diesel generator testing as an issue of noncompliance with the TSs on June 12, 1995, during a review of another license amendment request. Changes to the EDG test procedure made during preparations for the dual unit outage (DUO) of fall 1993 allowed the hot restart sequence loading test to be performed independently of the 24-hour endurance run. These tests were

performed on all 5 EDGs during the DUO and repeated for the 2A and 2B EDGs during the Unit 2 refueling outage (RFO) in 1995. When this noncompliance was identified, both units were at 100% power. The licensee requested and was granted a Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) verbally on June 13, 1995. The written request for the NOED and a request for a license amendment were submitted on June 14, 1995. To restore compliance with the TSs as quickly as possible and maintain public participation in the license amendment process as much as practical, the staff is exercising the exigent provisions of 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of occurrence of any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications will change the sequence of testing of EDGs that is performed on a refueling cycle basis. The proposed changes will decouple the hot restart test from the 24 hour EDG test. The proposed testing requirements satisfy the underlying purpose of the EDG hot restart test, in that the testing as proposed will verify the ability of the EDG to complete the start up sequence from an equilibrium temperature immediately following operation at full load (continuous rating) for a period of time long enough to stabilize operating temperature. Since the proposed changes impact only surveillance requirements used to periodically verify the operability of a required safety system, and since the proposed changes provide an equivalent level of testing and eliminate redundant testing, the proposed changes will not impact the operability or availability of a required system.

Operation in accordance with the revised requirements will not increase the likelihood that a transient initiating event will occur since transients are initiated by equipment malfunction and/or catastrophic system failure. The revised requirements affect testing that is performed during refueling. Testing in accordance with the proposed requirements will not increase the probability of failure of the EDGs since the testing will provide an equivalent level of testing to verify the operability of the EDGs. In addition, failure of an EDG to start or failure of an EDG while operating is not assumed to be an initiating event of an accident considered in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR).

Based on the above, operation in accordance with the proposed requirements will not significantly increase the probability of occurrence of any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed requirements will meet the underlying purpose of the existing testing requirements. The proposed testing will ensure the ability of the EDG to start from a hot condition in the unlikely event of an accident. The proposed testing requirements will only decouple the hot restart test of the EDG from the 24 hour test of the EDG that is performed during each refueling outage. Since the proposed changes will not adversely affect the operability or availability of the EDGs, the ability of the EDGs to operate and power equipment important to safety will not be impacted and the ability to mitigate the consequences of accidents previously evaluated will not be affected. Based on the preceding discussion, the consequences of accidents previously evaluated will not significantly increase.

2. The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications do not involve the addition of any new of [or] different types of safety related equipment, nor do they involve the operation of equipment required for safe operation of the facility in a manner different from those addressed in the UFSAR. No safety related equipment or function will be altered as a result of the proposed changes. Also, the procedures that govern normal operation and recovery from an accident are not affected by the proposed changes. The proposed changes only decouple the hot restart test of the EDG from the 24 hour test of the EDG that is performed each refueling outage. Testing in accordance with the revised requirements will provide an equivalent level of confidence in the reliability of the EDG systems to complete the start up sequence from a hot condition. The proposed testing requirements satisfy the intent of Regulatory Guide 1.108 in that the testing requirements will ensure EDG operability and reliability. In addition, the proposed changes are consistent with the intent of the changes recommended by the NRC in Generic Letter 93-05 and are consistent with the requirements of NUREG-1431. Since no new failure modes or mechanisms are introduced by the proposed changes, the possibility of a new or different kind of accident is not created.

3. The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Plant safety margins are established through LCOs (Limiting Condition for Operation), limiting safety system settings, and safety limits specified in the Technical Specifications.

There will be no changes to either the physical design of the plant or to any of these settings or limits as a result of the proposed changes. The proposed testing requirements will only decouple the hot restart test of the EDG from the 24 hour test of the EDG that is performed during each refueling outage. Testing in accordance with the proposed requirements will verify the ability of the EDGs to complete the start up sequence from a hot condition as is intended by the recommended testing in Regulatory Guide 1.108. In addition, the proposed changes are consistent with the intent of the changes recommended by the NRC in Generic Letter 93-05. Since the proposed changes will not impact the availability or operability of the EDGs to perform their intended function and since no LCOs, safety limits, or safety system settings are affected by the proposed changes, there is no significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 15 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 15-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 15-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By July 31, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Comment Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the

subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a

hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Robert A. Capra, Director, Project Directorate III-2: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Michael I. Miller, Esquire; Sidley and Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60690, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 14, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of June 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Clyde Y. Shiraki,
*Project Manager, Project Directorate III-2,
 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of
 Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*
 [FR Doc. 95-16109 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #2782]

Illinois; Declaration of Disaster Loan Area (Amendment #1)

The above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended, effective June 16, 1995, to include the following counties in the State of Illinois as a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms and flooding: Alexander, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Greene, Jackson, Jersey, Mason, Monroe, Morgan, Pike, Pulaski, Randolph, Schuyler, Scott, and Union. This Declaration is further amended, effective June 15, 1995, to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on May 15, 1995 and continuing through June 15, 1995.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the following contiguous counties may be filed until the specified date at the previously designated location: Adams, Franklin, Fulton, Hancock, Johnson, Logan, Massac, McDonough, Menard, Perry, Sangamon, Tazewell, and Williamson Counties in Illinois; McCracken County in Kentucky; and Marion and Ralls Counties in Missouri.

Any counties contiguous to the above-named primary counties and not listed here have been previously declared.

All other information remains the same, i.e., the termination date for filing applications for physical damage is July 29, 1995, and for loans for economic injury the deadline is March 1, 1996. The economic injury numbers are 853300 for Illinois, 853400 for Missouri, and 854000 for Kentucky.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.)

Dated: June 23, 1995.

Bernard Kulik,

Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-1655 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Hartford District Advisory Council Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Hartford District Advisory Council will hold a public

meeting on Monday, July 17, 1995 at 8:30 a.m. at 2 Science Park, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, to discuss matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, or others present.

For further information, write or call Ms. Jo-Ann Van Vechten, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, 330 Main Street, Hartford, Connecticut, (203) 240-4670.

Dated: June 26, 1995.

Dorothy A. Overal,

Director, Office of Advisory Council.

[FR Doc. 95-16156 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Privacy Act of 1974, As Amended; Computer Matching Program (SSA/ Department of Labor (DOL))

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Computer Matching Program.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the provisions of the Privacy Act, as amended, this notice announces a computer matching program that SSA plans to conduct.

DATES: SSA will file a report of the subject matching program with the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives and the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The matching program will be effective as indicated below.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may comment on this notice by either telefax to (410) 966-5138 or writing to the Associate Commissioner for Program and Integrity Reviews, 860 Altmeyer Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235. All comments received will be available for public inspection at this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

The Associate Commissioner for Program and Integrity Reviews as shown above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. General

The Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-503) amended the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a) by establishing the conditions under which computer matching involving the Federal Government could be performed and adding certain protections for individuals applying for and receiving

Federal benefits. Section 7201 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-508) further amended the Privacy Act regarding protections for such individuals. The Privacy Act, as amended, regulates the use of computer matching by Federal agencies when records in a system of records are matched with other Federal, State or local government records.

Among other things, it requires Federal agencies involved in computer matching programs to:

- (1) Negotiate written agreements with the other agency or agencies participating in the matching programs;
- (2) Obtain the Data Integrity Boards' approval of the match agreements;
- (3) Furnish detailed reports about matching programs to Congress and OMB;
- (4) Notify applicants and beneficiaries that their records are subject to matching; and
- (5) Verify match findings before reducing, suspending, terminating or denying an individual's benefits or payments.

B. SSA Computer Matches Subject to the Privacy Act

We have taken action to ensure that all of SSA's computer matching programs comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act, as amended.

Dated: June 19, 1995.

Shirley S. Chater,

Commissioner of Social Security.

Notice of Computer Matching Program, Social Security Administration (SSA) with the Department of Labor (DOL)

A. Participating Agencies

SSA and DOL.

B. Purpose of the Matching Program

The purpose of this matching program is to establish the conditions under which DOL agrees to the disclosure of Part C Black Lung benefit data to SSA. SSA will use the match results to determine certain Social Security entitlements and benefit reductions required by the Social Security Act (the Act).

C. Authority for Conducting the Matching Program

Section 224(h)(1) of the Act.

D. Categories of Records and Individuals Covered by the Match

DOL will provide SSA with a magnetic tape file extracted from the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs Black Lung Benefits Payments File. The extracted file will contain information about all live miners, under