

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Office of Air and Radiation**

Title: Motor Vehicle Exclusion Request (EPA ICR #0012.09; OMB #2060-0124). This ICR requests renewal of the existing clearance.

Abstract: Motor vehicle and nonroad engine manufacturers may request that the Environmental Protection Agency determine whether a particular type of vehicle is excluded from coverage under Title II of the Clean Air Act.

Manufacturers requesting EPA to make this determination must submit specifications of the vehicle, including its size, use, and top speed. The Agency will use the information to determine whether a motor vehicle or nonroad engine is excluded from the requirement to have a certificate of conformity.

Burden Statement: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Respondents: vehicle manufacturers.

Estimated number of respondents: 210.

Estimated annual burden: 210 hours.

Frequency of collection: on occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, (please refer to EPA ICR #0012.09 and OMB #2060-0124) to:

Sandy Farmer, EPA ICR #0012.09, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Agency, Information Policy Branch (2136), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.
and

Troy Hillier, OMB #2060-0124, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: June 26, 1995.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division.
[FR Doc. 95-16812 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5251-6]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 31, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For further information, or a copy of this ICR, contact Sandy Farmer at (202) 260-2740, please refer to EPA ICR #1084.04.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Office of Air and Radiation**

Title: New Source Performance Standard for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants (EPA ICR #1084.04; OMB #2060-0050).

Abstract: This ICR is for an extension of an existing information collection in support of the Clean Air Act, as described under the general NSPS at 40 CFR 60.7-60.8, and the specific NSPS, regulating emissions from nonmetallic mineral processing plants, at 40 CFR 60.674-60.676. The EPA will use the information to direct monitoring, inspection, and enforcement efforts, thereby ensuring compliance with the NSPS.

Under this ICR owners and operators of new facilities, must provide EPA, or a delegated State or local authority, with: (1) Notification of construction or reconstruction, (2) notification of anticipated and actual dates of facility start-up, and (3) notification of the date of the initial performance test of the wet scrubber and a copy of the test results, (4) notification of demonstration of the continuous monitoring system, and (5) notification that the CMS data will be used during the initial performance test.

Owners and operators of facilities that were constructed or reconstructed, or modified prior to September 1, 1983 are exempt from this New Source Performance Standard. Owners or operators of exempted facilities may replace a piece or pieces of equipment with equal or smaller size piece(s) that perform the same function (provided that they do not replace the entire production line) without falling subject to this NSPS. An exemption report, however must be submitted to the Administrator describing: (1) Size and age of existing facility and the size of the new facility, (2) a description of the control device used on the existing

facility, and a list of all facilities using the control device.

Owners and operators of all affected facilities must provide EPA, or a delegated State or local authority, with: (1) Reports, semiannually, of instances when scrubber pressure drop and liquid flow rate differ by more than 30% from the rates recorded during the most recent performance test; and (2) any physical or operational change to their facility which may increase the regulated pollutant emission rate. All facilities must maintain records on the facility operation that document: (1) The occurrence and duration of any start-ups, shutdowns, and malfunctions; (2) daily CMS readings; and (3) initial performance test conditions, measurements, and results.

Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 78 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, completing the collection of information and maintaining records.

Respondents: Facilities in fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plants.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 84.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 6,572.

Frequency of Collection: Semiannual reporting for existing facilities, with additional one-time reporting requirements for new facilities. Daily recordkeeping for all facilities.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, (please refer to EPA ICR #1084.04 and OMB #2060-0050) to:

Sandy Farmer, EPA ICR #1084.04, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch (2136), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.
and

Chris Wolz, OMB #2060-0050, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 26, 1995.

Joseph Retzer,

Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 95-16183 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-4724-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 22, 1995 Through May 26, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities AT (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K61136-00 Rating EO2, Heavenly Ski Resort Master Plan, Improvement, Expansion and Management, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Special-Use-Permit, Douglas County, NV and El Dorado and Alpine Counties, CA.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental objections to the proposed action due to potential adverse impacts to air and water quality. EPA urged consideration of a reduced development alternative that would have fewer impacts. EPA requested that the proposed project impacts be mitigated.

ERP No. D-BIA-J65231-MT Rating EC2, Yellowstone Pipe Line Easement, Construction and Operation, Renewal of Right-of-Way (ROW) Grant for Easement, Across the Flathead Indian Reservation, Approval of Trust and Allotted Lands and COE Section 404 Permit, Missoula, Lake and Sanders Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the proposed action route, and the No Action Alternative. EPA indicated that the Modified Existing Route Alternative (MERA) is environmentally preferable and that industry state-of-the-art leak detection equipment and valves be incorporated into the pipeline. In addition, a Product Spill Responsible Plan should be prepared and available for review with the final EIS.

ERP No. D-BLM-J02031-WY Rating EC2, Fontenelle Natural Gas Infill Drilling Projects, Implementation, Right-of-Way Grants and Permit Issuance, Sweetwater and Lincoln Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns, especially cumulative impacts on air and water quality from the proposed action and numerous other proposed and current

oil and gas actions in SW Wyoming. EPA has requested that the final EIS address this and supply additional information on monitoring and mitigation.

ERP No. D-DOE-A00168-00 Rating LO, Nuclear Weapons Nonproliferation Policy Concerning Foreign Research Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel, Implementation, United States and Abroad.

SUMMARY: EPA reviewed the proposed action and the alternatives and had no comments.

ERP No. D-DOE-D05123-PA Rating EC2, York County Energy Partners Cogeneration Facility, Funding, Construction and Operation, 250 Megawatt Coal-Fired Cogeneration Facility, Clean Coal Technology Program (CCTP), North Codorus Township, York County, PA.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on deficiencies in the health assessment and concern that the air and solid waste emissions could be further reduced. EPA proposed a stakeholders meeting to discuss new technologies to further reduce project emissions.

ERP No. DS-FHW-D40141-00 Rating EC1, Appalachian Corridor D Construction, Ohio River to I-77, Updated Information concerning the completion of Corridor D "Missing Link", from US 50 in Belpre, OH to the Interchange east of Parkersburg, WV, US Coast Guard Bridge, COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, WV and OH.

SUMMARY: While this document adequately addressed most of our previous concerns, EPA continues to have environmental concerns regarding potential stream/water quality impacts. EPA believes that the alternatives which avoid Blennerhassett Island a preferable.

FINAL EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L61199-ID, Salmon Wild and Scenic River Corridor Project, Issuance of Special-Use-Permits for three Private Camps, Salmon National Forest, Salmon County, ID.

SUMMARY: Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be environmentally satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing Agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65239-OR, East Fork Deer Creek Long-Term Ecosystem Productivity Research Study, Implementation, Willamette National Forest, Blue River Ranger District, Lane County, OR.

SUMMARY: EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA has no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS.

ERP No. F-FHW-D40266-MD, Canal Parkway Development Study, Improvement from MD-51 to the Wiley Ford Bridge in Cumberland, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant and COE Section 404 Permit, Allegany County, MD.

Summary: Review of the final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FHW-G40138-TX, US 82 Highway (East-West Freeway in the City of Lubbock) Transportation Improvements from South of Loop 289 to East of I-27 and Relocation of the Seagraves, Whiteface and Lubbock Railroad, Funding and Right-of-Way Grant, Lubbock County, TX.

Summary: EPA believes the final EIS has reasonably responded to comments. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-UAF-D11021-PA, Institute for Advanced Science and Technology (IAST) Site Selection and Construction, Funding, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.

Summary: EPA does not have any comments regarding the project. The final EIS adequately addresses our concerns on this project. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS-NRC-E06008-TN, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Updated Information Related to the Operations, Facility Operating License and NPDES Permit Issuance, Rhea County, TN.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns that this document did not adequately address two issues raised at the draft EIS stage: need for power; and pollution prevention and recommended that future NEPA documents be more inclusive of these issues.

Regulations

ERP No. R-NRC-A09823-00, 10 CFR Part 60 Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories; Proposed Rules FR 59.15180.

Summary: EPA reviewed the proposed rule and had no comments. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 27, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-16176 Filed 6-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U