

proposed tap exceed currently certified transportation quantities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the Commission has issued this notice, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17388 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 1999-000]

### Wisconsin Public Service Corp.; Notice of Authorization for Continued Project Operation

July 11, 1995.

On June 24, 1993, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, licensee for the Wausau Project No. 1999, filed an application for a new or subsequent license pursuant to the Federal Power Act (FPA) and the Commission's regulations thereunder. Project No. 1999 is located on the Wisconsin River in Marathon County within the City of Wausau, Wisconsin.

The license for Project No. 1999 was issued for a period ending June 30, 1995. Section 15(a)(1) of the FPA, 16 U.S.C. 808(a)(1), requires the Commission, at the expiration of a license term, to issue from year to year an annual license to the then licensee under the terms and conditions of the prior license until a new license is issued, or the project is otherwise disposed of as provided in Section 15 or any other applicable section of the FPA. If the project's prior license waived the applicability of Section 15 of the FPA, then, based on Section 9(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 558(c), and as set forth at 18 CFR 16.21(a), if the licensee of such project has filed an application for a subsequent license, the licensee may continue to operate the project in accordance with the terms and conditions of the license after the minor or minor part license expires, until the Commission acts on its application. If the licensee of such a

project has not filed an application for a subsequent license, then it may be required, pursuant to 18 CFR 16.21(b), to continue project operations until the Commission issues someone else a license for the project or otherwise orders disposition of the project.

If the project is subject to Section 15 of the FPA, notice is hereby given that an annual license for Project No. 1999 is issued to Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for a period effective July 1, 1995, through June 30, 1996, or until the issuance of a new license for the project or other disposition under the FPA, whichever comes first. If issuance of a new license (or other disposition) does not take place on or before June 30, 1996, notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 18 CFR 16.18(c), an annual license under Section 15(a)(1) of the FPA is renewed automatically without further order or notice by the Commission, unless the Commission orders otherwise.

If the project is not subject to Section 15 of the FPA, notice is hereby given that Wisconsin Public Service Corporation is authorized to continue operation of the Wausau Project No. 1999 until such time as the Commission acts on its application for subsequent license.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-17387 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5259-3]

### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before August 16, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY**

**CALL:** Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260-2740, please refer to EPA ICR #1759.01.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances

**Title:** Worker Protection Standards. (ICR No: 1759.01). This is a request for the approval of burden hours for requirements under the Worker Protection Standard (WPS). This action seeks approval for requirements no longer exempt by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**Abstract:** EPA is charged under 40 CFR parts 156 and 170 for protection of agricultural workers and pesticide handlers from hazards of pesticides used on farms, in forests, in nurseries and in greenhouses. The WPS workplace practices are designed to reduce or eliminate exposure to pesticides and establish procedures for responding to exposure-related emergencies. The practices include prohibitions against applying pesticides in a way that would cause exposure to workers and others; a waiting period before workers can return to areas treated with pesticides; basic training and distribution and posting of information about pesticide hazards, as well as pesticide application information; arrangements in case of pesticide exposure; and provisions for emergency assistance.

The information burden is primarily that of third party notifications to inform agricultural workers and pesticide handlers of basic safety practices.

**Burden statement:** The public burden for this collection of information is estimated to average ¼ hour per respondent. Less than 1 percent of the total burden is recordkeeping.

**Respondents:** The potential respondents are agricultural employers, including employers in farms as well as nursery, forestry, and greenhouse establishments.

**Estimated No. of Respondents:** 1,000,000.

**Estimated No. of Responses per Respondent:** 8.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:** 2,100,000 hours.

**Frequency of Collection:** On occasion.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden (please refer to EPA ICR #1759.01) to:

Sandy Farmer, EPA ICR #1759.01, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch—2136, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460 and

Tim Hunt, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and

Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street  
NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: July 10, 1995.

**Richard Westlund,**

*Acting Director, Regulatory Information  
Division.*

[FR Doc. 95-17478 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5259-5]

**Fuels and Fuel Additives; Grant of  
Waiver Application**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On July 13, 1994, I issued a decision denying the November 30, 1993 application of the Ethyl Corporation ("Ethyl") for a fuel additive waiver under Clean Air Act section 211(f)(4), 42 U.S.C. 7545(f)(4), to permit sale of HiTEC 3000, a fuel additive product containing methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl ("MMT"), for use in unleaded gasoline. 59 FR 42227 (August 17, 1994). In that decision, I found that Ethyl had demonstrated as required by Section 211(f)(4) that MMT would not "cause or contribute to a failure of any emission control device or system" in a vehicle to achieve compliance with the emission standards for which the vehicle has been certified. I also decided that the waiver application should nonetheless be denied because of my conclusion that there is a reasonable basis for concern regarding the potential adverse effects on public health which could result from the emissions of manganese particulates associated with MMT use.

On April 14, 1995, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a decision in *Ethyl Corporation v. EPA*, No. 94-1505 (D.C. Cir.). In that decision, the Court held that Section 211(f)(4) does not afford me the discretion to consider factors other than the mandatory "cause or contribute" determination in deciding whether to issue a fuel additive waiver for HiTEC 3000. Based on this conclusion, the Court has instructed me to "grant Ethyl's request for a waiver." Accordingly, pursuant to the mandate of the Court, effective today I am granting Ethyl's November 30, 1993 application for a fuel additive waiver for HiTEC 3000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date July 11, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph R. Sopata, Chemist, Field Operations and Support Division

(6406J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 233-9034.

Dated: July 11, 1995.

**Carol M. Browner,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-17476 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FRL-5259-4]

**Marsh Management Subcommittee;  
Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a two day meeting for the Marsh Management Subcommittee under the Ecosystem Sustainable Economies Committee of the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT). The purpose of this subcommittee is to convene a consensus process among stakeholders in coastal Louisiana related to marsh management practices.

**DATES:** The Subcommittee will meet on August 2-3, 1995. The Subcommittee will meet on August 2 at 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on August 3, 1995 from 10 a.m. to 3 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Corps of Engineers New Orleans District Office located the Foot of Prytania, 7400 Leake Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70118. The meeting is open to the public, with limited seating on a first-come-first-served basis.

Anyone wishing to make oral presentations should contact Connie Cahanap by 4 pm Monday, July 24, 1995. The request should identify the name of the individual who will make the presentation and an outline of the issues to be addressed. Due to the time constraints, oral presentations will be strictly limited to three minutes and slots are limited. Available time slots will be allocated on a first-come, first served basis to those scheduling a presentation in advance. Written comments will be accepted at any time prior to the meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Connie Cahanap, Wetlands Division, OWOW, Mail Code 4502F, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460, (202) 260-6531.

Dated: July 10, 1995.

**Robert H. Wayland III,**

*Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds.*

[FR Doc. 95-17480 Filed 7-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[OPPTS-140230; FRL-4933-3]

**TSCA Confidential Business  
Information; Revised Security Manual;  
Notice of Availability**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA has adopted revisions to its procedures for handling confidential business information (CBI) under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). These revised procedures are set forth in a new TSCA CBI security manual for Federal employees and contractors, the availability of which is announced by this notice.

**DATES:** The requirements of the manual are effective July 17, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the revised manual are available from: The National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, Telephone: (703) 487-4650 or (800) 553-NTIS.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan B. Hazen, Director, TSCA Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-545, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554-1404, TDD: (202) 554-0551, e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA is issuing this notice to announce the revised TSCA security manual for Federal employees and contractors. The revised *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual* supersedes the previous edition of the *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual*. The revised manual includes changes and refinements in existing procedures developed since the publication of the previous manual.

Several changes to the manual have been made as a result of EPA's continuing efforts to improve the procedures for handling TSCA CBI. None of these changes reduce the level of protection afforded TSCA CBI.

Changes and clarifications include: (1) The transfer to the Office of Program Management and Evaluation from the Information Management Division of the duty of investigating and addressing