

*Federalism Implications:* This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that the proposed rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

*Environment:* The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that, under section 2.B.2 of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, this proposal is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 117 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

#### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Section 117.493 is revised to read as follows:

#### § 117.493 Sabine River.

(a) The draw of the Southern Pacific railroad bridge, mile 19.3 near Echo, shall open on signal if at least 24 hours notice is given.

(b) The draw of the Kansas City Southern Railway bridge, mile 36.2 near Ruliff and the draw of the S12 bridge, mile 40.8, at Starks, need not be opened for the passage of vessels.

Dated: August 16, 1995.

#### R.C. North,

*Read Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,  
Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 95-21963 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[NC-71-1-6960b; FRL-5269-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans North Carolina: Approval of Revisions to the North Carolina State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On October 14, 1994, the State of North Carolina, through the North Carolina Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources, submitted revisions to the North Carolina State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision is the adoption of an amendment to an existing air quality rule that was the subject of public hearings held on March 28 and 30, 1994. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be received by October 5, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Randy Terry at the EPA Regional Office listed below.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 443, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.  
Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345

Courtland Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

North Carolina Department of Environmental, Health, and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Management, Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0535.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Randy Terry, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555, extension 4212.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 25, 1995.

**Patrick M. Tobin,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-20597 Filed 9-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[AK-8-1-6733b; FRL-5286-9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Alaska

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Oregon for the purpose of establishing a Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance Program. The SIP revision was submitted by the State to satisfy the Federal mandate of the Clean Air Act (CAA), to ensure that small businesses have access to the technical assistance and regulatory information necessary to comply with the CAA. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not