

information on alternative fuels, contact the DOE National Alternative Fuels Hotline, 1-800-423-1DOE.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There is a national shortage of qualified technicians to service alternative fuel vehicles. This has spurred the need for high-quality technical training programs with uniform national standards. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, jobs for automotive technicians will increase 37% from 1990 to 2005. In order to alleviate the shortage, and pursuant to section 411 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Pub. L. 102-486), DOE entered into a cost shared cooperative agreement with the National Automotive Technician Education Foundation to develop training program standards and implement a certification process for a voluntary national program. This program is referred to as the Certification of Higher-learning in Alternative Fuels Program (CHAMP). An explanation of the certification process, including how to obtain a certification, will take place during a TeleVideo conference, "Alternative Fuel Vehicle Training: A Look At What's Coming Down The Road", scheduled for satellite broadcast Thursday, September 14, 1995, from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. (Eastern Time). It is presented by West Virginia University (WVU), the National Automotive Technician Education Foundation (NATEF), and DOE.

The broadcast will be interactive featuring a panel of leading alternative fuels industry and training experts. On the day of the conference, the toll-free number for questions by all participants nationwide for on-the-air responses by the panelists will be 1-800-265-6104.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 6, 1995.

Brian Castelli,

Acting Assistant Secretary For Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. 95-22218 Filed 9-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG95-81-000, et al.]

LG&E Power Operating Services, Inc., et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 31, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. LG&E Power Operating Services Inc.

[Docket No. EG95-81-000]

On August 18, 1995, LG&E Power Operating Services Inc. ("LPOS"), a California corporation with its principal

place of business at 12500 Fair Lakes Circle, Suite 350, Fairfax, Virginia 22033-3822, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

LPOS intends to provide operating services for electrical generating facilities that are eligible facilities. Each of the eligible facilities that LPOS will operate are qualifying facilities with the exception of one pulverized coal-fired cogeneration facility with a maximum net power production capacity of between approximately 165 MW (summer) and 167 MW (winter). All of the facilities' electric power net of the facilities' operating electric power will be purchased at wholesale by public utilities.

Comment date: September 18, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. Hudson Falls, LLC

[Docket No. EG95-85-000]

Hudson Falls, LLC ("HFLLC") (c/o Jonathan W. Gottlieb, Reid & Priest LLP, 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application on August 21, 1995 for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

HFLLC is a limited liability company organized and in good standing under the laws of the state of New York which will own an interest in a hydroelectric generating facility located on the Hudson River in Saratoga and Washington Counties, New York. The New York Public Service Commission has determined that the facility will comply with the criteria set forth in Section 365.3(b) of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: September 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

3. Adirondack Operating Services, LLC

[Docket No. EG95-86-000]

Adirondack Operating Services, LLC ("AOS") (c/o Jonathan W. Gottlieb, Reid & Priest LLP, 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application

on August 22, 1995, for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

AOS is a limited liability company organized and in good standing under the laws of the state of New York which will provide operation and maintenance services to a hydroelectric generating facility located on the Hudson River in Saratoga and Washington Counties, New York as well as other eligible facilities. The New York Public Service Commission has determined that the facility will comply with the criteria set forth in Section 365.3(b) of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: September 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. Portland General Electric Company

[Docket No. ES95-39-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Portland General Electric Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue short-term debt securities, from time to time, aggregating not in excess of \$200 million principal amount outstanding at any one time, during the period from November 1, 1995 through October 31, 1998, with final maturities not later than October 31, 1999.

Comment date: September 25, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-22229 Filed 9-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-668-000]

CNG Transmission Corporation and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed South Oakford Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

August 31, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the facilities proposed in the South Oakford Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

Summary of the Proposed Project

CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) want to abandon by removal their Jeannette Compressor Station, to add compression at their South Oakford Compressor Station, to construct storage pipelines, and to install related facilities at the South Oakford Gate and the Earhart Gate, all in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania. CNG and Texas Eastern request authorization to abandon:

- All buildings, parking lots, drive ways, equipment, piping, and 7,980 horsepower (hp) of compression at the Jeanette Compressor Station;
- A pig receiver near the Jeannette Compressor station;
- 75 feet of Line JP-40 within the Earhart Gate; and
- A 20-inch mainline gate setting for Line JP-250 at the Earhart Gate.

CNG and Texas Eastern request authorization to construct and operate:

- 10,000 hp of electric motor-driven compression at the South Oakford Compressor Station;
- A pig receiver and barrel dip at the Earhart Gate;
- 3,158 feet of 30-inch-diameter storage suction pipeline (Line JP-296) between the South Oakford Compressor Station and the South Oakford Gate;
- 3,158 feet of 20-inch-diameter storage discharge pipeline (Line JP-297) between the South Oakford Compressor Station and the South Oakford Gate; and
- Facilities to interconnect new Lines JP-296 and JP-297 to existing Lines JP-

250 and JP-40, respectively, at the South Oakford Gate.

A nonjurisdictional West Penn Power owned and operated substation (100 feet by 100 feet) would be constructed at the South Oakford Compressor Station.

CNG and Texas Eastern want to construct the proposed facilities between May and November 1996. Removal of the abandoned facilities at the Jeannette Compressor Station would begin in the spring of 1997.

The general location of the project facilities is shown in appendix 1.²

Land Requirements for Construction

Construction of the proposed facilities would disturb about 10 acres of land, all of which is on existing right-of-way or on property owned by CNG and Texas Eastern. Construction of the pipelines would be entirely within existing rights-of-way, but would disturb about 6.5 acres. All land disturbance at the South Oakford and Earhart Gates, about 1 acre total, would be within the existing gate sites and pipeline rights-of-way. The new West Penn Power substation would be enclosed within the South Oakford Compressor Station by moving the existing fence to surround the new substation. This would add about 2.5 acres to the existing 5-acre fenced site.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the

proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils
- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Endangered and threatened species
- Public safety
- Land use
- Cultural resources
- Air quality and noise
- Hazardous waste

We will also evaluate possible alternative to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified one issue that we think deserves attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by CNG and Texas Eastern: The addition of compression at the South Oakford Compressor Station may increase noise levels at nearby residences.

Keep in mind that this is a preliminary issue. Issues may be added, subtracted, or changed based on your comments and our analysis.

Also, we have made a preliminary decision to not address the impacts of the nonjurisdictional facilities off the South Oakford Compressor Station site. We will briefly describe their location and status in the EA.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative locations), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the

¹ CNG Transmission Corporation and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

² The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St. NE., Washington, DC 20426;

- Reference Docket No. CP95-668-000;

- Send a copy of your letter to: Ms. Jennifer Goggin, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St. NE., room 7312, Washington, DC 20426; and

- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before September 28, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Ms. Goggin at the above address.

Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene as stated in the Notice of Application issued on August 15, 1995, in this proceeding is September 5, 1995. Parties seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Jennifer Goggin, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-2226.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-22115 Filed 9-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project Nos. 11550-000, et al.]

Hydroelectric Applications Walter Musa, Jr., et al.; Notice of Applications

Take notice that the following hydroelectric applications have been filed with the Commission and are available for public inspection:

1a. *Type of Application:* Preliminary Permit.

b. *Project No.:* 11550-000.

c. *Date filed:* July 3, 1995.

d. *Applicant:* Walter Musa, Jr.

e. *Name of Project:* Fly Creek.

f. *Location:* On Fly and Canyon Creeks, in Clark County Washington. Township 5N, Range 4E, Sections 4, 5, 9, 10.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 USC §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Mr. Albert Liou, Harza Engineering, Inc., 2353 130th Avenue N.E., Suite 200, P.O. Box C-96900, Bellevue, WA 98005, (206) 882-2455.

i. *FERC Contact:* Michael Spencer at (202) 219-2846.

j. *Comment Date:* November 2, 1995.

k. *Description of Project:* The proposed project would consist of: (1) a 12-foot-high dam on Fly Creek; (2) a 17,000-foot-long, 4.5-foot-diameter penstock; (4) a powerhouse containing one generating unit with a capacity of 7,050 kW and an average annual generation of 25.9 GWh and discharging into Canyon Creek; and (5) a 1.5-mile-long transmission line.

No new access road will be needed to conduct the studies. The applicant estimates that the cost of the studies to be conducted under the preliminary permit would be \$350,000.

l. *Purpose of Project:* Project power would be sold.

m. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: A5, A7, A9, A10, B, C, and D2.

2a. *Type of Application:* New License.

b. *Project No.:* 2438-007.

c. *Date Filed:* November 5, 1993.

d. *Applicant:* Seneca Falls Power Corporation.

e. *Name of Project:* Waterloo and Seneca Falls Project.

f. *Location:* On the Seneca River in Seneca, Yates, Schuyler, and Ontario Counties, New York.

g. *Filed pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Mr. Patrick Oot, President, Seneca Falls Power Corporation, 4450 Swissvale Drive, Manlius, NY 13902-5224, (315) 637-4761.

i. *FERC Contact:* Thomas Dean (202) 219-2778.

j. *Deadline Date:* See standard paragraph D10.

k. *Status of Environmental Analysis:* This application has been accepted for filing and is ready for environmental analysis at this time.

l. *Description of Project:* The existing Waterloo and Seneca Falls Project consists of two developments that are

4.2 miles apart: the Waterloo Development and the Seneca Falls Development.

Waterloo Development

The Waterloo Development consists of: (1) a 16.5-foot-high, 306-foot-long dam (including the lock structure); (2) an impoundment with a surface area of 43,200 acres (including Seneca Lake) with a proposed usable storage capacity of 4,300 acre-feet and normal water surface elevations of 446.0 feet BCD (summer) and 445.0 feet BCD (winter); (3) an intake structure; (4) a powerhouse, which has three Francis turbines rated at 2,220 horsepower (hp) with a total hydraulic capacity of 1,650 cubic feet per second (cfs) connected directly to three generators with a total proposed generating capacity of 1.780 megawatts (MW); (5) a tailrace; (6) a 20-foot-long, 34.5 kV transmission line; and (7) appurtenant facilities.

Seneca Falls Development

The Seneca Falls Development consists of: (1) a 68-foot-high, 286-foot-long dam (including the lock structure and powerhouse intake structure); (2) an impoundment with a surface area of 135 acres with a proposed usable storage capacity of 65 acre-feet and normal water elevation of 430.5 feet BCD; (3) an intake structure that is integral with the dam; (4) a powerhouse, which has four Francis turbines that would be rated at 10,600 hp when refurbished with a total proposed hydraulic capacity of 2,480 cfs connected directly to four generators (one currently inoperable) with a total proposed generating capacity of 8.5 MW; (5) a tailrace; (6) a 300-foot-long, 34.5 kV transmission line; and (7) appurtenant facilities.

m. *Purpose of Project:* Project power would be utilized by the applicant for sale to its customers.

n. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraph(s): A4 and D10.

o. *Available Location of Application:* A copy of the application, as amended and supplemented, is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, located at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Room 3104, Washington, D.C., 20426, or by calling (202) 208-1371. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at Seneca Falls Power Corporation, 4450 Swissvale Drive, Manlius, NY 13104, or by calling Tod Nash at (315) 346-6232.

3a. *Types of Applications:* Transfer of Licenses. Partial Transfer of License.

b. *Project Numbers:* P-2019 and P-2699.