assistance provisions of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984.

(4) 57 responses per year at 21 hours per response.

(5) 1,197 annual burden hours.

(6) Not applicable under section 3504 (h) of Public Law 96–511.

Public comment on this item is encouraged.

Dated: September 5, 1995.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95–22306 Filed 9–7–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

Information Collections Under Review

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been sent the following collection(s) of information proposals for review under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) and the Paperwork Reduction Reauthorization Act since the last list was published. Entries are grouped into submission categories, with each entry containing the following information:

(1) The title of the form/collection;

(2) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection.

(3) Who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract;

(4) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond;

(5) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection; and,

(6) An indication as to whether Section 3504(h) of Public Law 96–511 applies.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the OMB reviewer, Mr. Jeff Hill on (202) 395–7340 and to the Department of Justice's Clearance Officer, Mr. Robert B. Briggs, on (202) 514–4319. If you anticipate commenting on a form/collection, but find that time to prepare such comments will prevent you from prompt submission, you should notify the OMB reviewer and the Department of Justice Clearance Officer of your intent as soon as possible. Written comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection may be submitted to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Department of Justice Clearance Officer, Systems Policy Staff/Information Resources Management/Justice Management Division Suite 850, WCTR, Washington DC 20530.

New Collection

(1) Appeal Fee Waiver Request.

(2) Form: EOIR–26A. Executive Office of Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.

(3) Primary: Individuals and households. Other: None. This form will be used by individuals to apply for a waiver of the fee required to properly file an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals.

(4) 450 responses per year at 1 hour per response.

(5) 450 annual burden hours.

(6) Not applicable under section 3504 (h) of Public Law 96–511.

Public comment on this item is encouraged.

Dated: September 5, 1995.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 95–22305 Filed 9–7–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental policy and 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that on August 25, 1995, a Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Arrow Concrete Company*, Civil Action No. 5:95CV122 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia.

This Consent Decree settles claims brought pursuant to section 309(b) of the Clean Water Act (the ''Act''), 33 U.S.C. 1319(b), for civil penalties and injunctive relief for the discharge of pollutants in violation of section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311. Under the terms of the Consent Decree, Arrow Concrete Company has agreed to pay a civil penalty of $140,000, comply with interim discharge limits protective of water quality, and promptly apply for, and comply with the requirements of, National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (''NPDES'') permits for its West Virginia facilities.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Arrow Concrete Company*, Civil Action No. 95CV122, Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–5066. The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of West Virginia, 1100 Main Street, Suite 200, Wheeling, West Virginia 26003. Copies of the Consent Decrees may also be examined and obtained by mail at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005 (202–624–0892) and the offices of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. When requesting a copy by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of $6.25 (twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the ''Consent Decree Library.''

Bruce S. Gelber,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95–22264 Filed 9–7–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 U.S.C. 50.7, and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *National Cooperative Refinery Association*, Civil Action No. *94–2015 GTV*, was lodged on August 22, 1995, with the United States District Court for the District of Kansas.

The Consent Decree settles an action brought under Section 113 of the Clean Air Act (''the Act''), 42 U.S.C. 7413, and the Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (''NSPS''), 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db. The Consent Decree provides for NCRA's payment of a civil penalty to the United States in the amount of $176,312.00, and requires NCRA's continued operation and maintenance of a Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (''CEMS'') installed on two steam boilers at its McPherson, Kansas refinery, in accordance with the requirements of the NSPS, 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Db.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *National Cooperative Refinery Association*, DOJ Ref. #90–5–2–1–1835.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 500 State Avenue, suite

360, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; the Region VII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of $*2.75* (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Bruce Gelber,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95–22265 Filed 9–7–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Antitrust Division

United States v. Computer Associates International, Inc. and Legent Corporation; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in a civil antitrust case, *United States* v. *Computer Associates International, Inc. and Legent Corporation*, Civil No. 95 CV 1398.

On July 28, 1995, the United States filed a Complaint seeking to enjoin a transaction by which Computer Associates agreed to acquire Legent. Computer Associates is the world's largest independent vendor of computer software for mainframe computers and a leading producer of mainframe computer systems management software. Legent is CA's major competitor in the mainframe computer systems management software business. The Complaint alleged that the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition in the sale of VSE tape management software, VSE disk management software, VSE security software, VSE job scheduling software, VSE automated operations software, and cross-platform systems management software in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18.

With respect to the five VSE markets, the proposed Final Judgment requires Computer Associates to license Legent's VSE products to a person determined by the United States to have the capabilities and resources needed to use the licenses as a viable and effective competitor. If CA is unable to identify a viable licensee that is satisfactory to the Department of Justice, the Court may appoint a trustee to carry out the licensing. With respect to the cross-platform systems management software market, the proposed Final Judgment forbids CA for five years from taking any action to restrict any other person's access to Peer Logic's key cross-platform systems management technology, called ''PIPES.'' A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, and remedies available to private litigants.

The public is invited to comment to the Justice Department and to the Court. Comments should be addressed to John F. Greaney, Chief, Computers and Finance Section, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 555 4th Street, NW., Room 9901, Washington, DC 20001 (telephone: 202/307–6200). Comments must be received within sixty days.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection in Room 207 of the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202/514–2481), and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20001. Copies of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations.

In the matter of: United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Computer Associates, International, Inc., and Legent Corporation, Defendants. Civil Action No. 1:95CV01398. Filed: July 28, 1995.

Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the District of Columbia.

2. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on the defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

3. The defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the filing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions thereof as though the same were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

4. In the vent plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

Dated: July 27, 1995.

For Plaintiff United States of America.

Joel I. Klein,

Acting Assistant Attorney General.

Lawrence R. Fullerton,

Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney General.

Charles Biggio,

Senior Counsel for Merger Enforcement.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations.

John F. Greaney,

Chief, Computers and Finance Section.

N. Scott Sacks,

Assistant Chief, Computers and Finance Section.

Kenneth W. Gaul, Weeun Wang, Gilad Y. Ohana, Steven R. Beck, Minaksi Bhatt,

Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice.

For Defendant Computer Associates International, Inc.

Richard L. Rosen.

For Defendant Legent Corporation.

Randolph H. Elkins.

So Ordered.

United States District Judge

Disclosure Pursuant to Rule 108(k)

Pursuant to Rule 108(k) of the Local Rules of this Court, the following is a list of all individuals entitled to be notified of the entry of the foregoing Stipulation and of the entry of the proposed Final Judgment:

Richard L. Rosen, Esq., Arnold & Porter, 555 Twelfth St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004–1202

Counsel for Defendant Computer Associates International, Inc.

Michael H. Byowitz, Esq., Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, 51 West 52nd St., New York, NY 10019–6150

Counsel for Defendant Legent Corporation