

Par. 2. Section 301.7503-1 is amended as follows:

1. In the fourth sentence of paragraph (a), the language "Thursday, November 22, 1956 (Thanksgiving Day), the suit will be timely if filed on Friday, November 23, 1956, in the Court of Claims" is removed and the language "Thursday, November 23, 1995 (Thanksgiving Day), the suit will be timely if filed on Friday, November 24, 1995, in the Court of Federal Claims" is added in its place.

2. Paragraph (b) is revised as set forth below.

3. Paragraph (c) is removed.

The revision reads as follows:

**§ 301.7503-1 Time for performance of acts where last day falls on Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) *Legal holidays.* For the purpose of section 7503, the term *legal holiday* includes the legal holidays in the District of Columbia as found in D.C. Code Ann. 28-2701. In the case of any return, statement, or other document required to be filed, or any other act required under the authority of the internal revenue laws to be performed, at an office of the Internal Revenue Service, or any other office or agency of the United States, located outside the District of Columbia but within an internal revenue district, the term *legal holiday* includes, in addition to the legal holidays in the District of Columbia, any statewide legal holiday of the state where the act is required to be performed. If the act is performed in accordance with law at an office of the Internal Revenue Service or any other office or agency of the United States located in a territory or possession of the United States, the term *legal holiday* includes, in addition to the legal holidays in the District of Columbia, any legal holiday that is recognized throughout the territory or possession in which the office is located.

Margaret Milner Richardson,  
*Commissioner of Internal Revenue.*

[FR Doc. 95-23368 Filed 9-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

## POSTAL SERVICE

### 39 CFR Part 111

#### Classification Reform; Implementation Standards

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Corrections to second advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects an advanced notice of proposed

rulemaking published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, August 30, 1995 (60 FR 45298-45323), concerning implementation standards for classification reform.

**DATES:** Comments on the second notice must be received on or before September 29, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail or deliver written comments to the Manager, Mailing Standards, USPS Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Washington, DC 20260-2419. Copies of all written comments will be available for inspection and photocopying between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, in Room 6800 at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leo F. Raymond, (202) 268-5199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** After publication, the following elements of the material in the second notice described above were found in need of amendment:

1. Regarding First-Class Mail, Retail subclass, Presort rate category, upgradable letters (60 FR 45309, section I.B.3), and Standard Mail, Regular subclass, upgradable letters (60 FR 45312, section II.B.1), the reference to preparation of packages is incorrect. Under the option for upgradable mail, packaging of mailpieces is not required. The second sentence therefore should be amended in two places to replace "packages" with "trays."

2. Regarding First-Class Mail, Automation subclass, Carrier Route rate category (letters) (60 FR 45311, section I.C.5), and Standard Mail, Automation subclass, Carrier Route rate category (letters) (60 FR 45314, section II.C.5), the reference to line-of-travel sequence is incorrect. This requirement had been considered by the Postal Service at one time but had not been proposed for retention in this notice. Accordingly, the respective paragraphs describing line-of-travel sequencing should be deleted.

3. Regarding the "Proposed 3-Digit 'Scheme Sort' Combinations" listing (60 FR 45317-45319), the second entry in the Midwest Area for Springfield, MO, should read "Springfield, MO (B)" (60 FR 45318), and should not duplicate the preceding entry.

4. Regarding Periodicals class, Regular subclass, the numbering and lettering sequence at 60 FR 45320 is incorrect. "Basic Rate Category (421.31)" should be designated "a." and "Three- and Five-Digit Rate Category" and "Carrier Route Rate Category" should be designated respectively as "b." and "c." "Regular Subclass Discounts (421.4)" should be numbered as "4."

5. Regarding Periodicals class rates, chart III-1, "Periodicals (Regular and Publications Service subclasses)—Letters" (60 FR 45323), the entry for the 5-digit presort level in the "Publications Service rate" column should read "Publications Service." Carrier route rates apply only to the carrier route and 5-digit carrier routes presort levels.

Stanley F. Mires,

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 95-23498 Filed 9-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[MO-21-1-6443(b); FRL-5289-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Missouri submitted its Rule 10 CSR 10-6.065, entitled "Operating Permits," for Federal approval. The rule would establish a mechanism for creating federally enforceable limitations that would reduce sources' potential-to-emit such that sources could avoid major source permitting requirements. This rulemaking proposes to approve this rule as satisfying the requirements, set forth in the Federal Register of June 28, 1989, and authorizes Missouri to issue federally enforceable state operating permits addressing both criteria pollutants (regulated under section 110 of the Clean Air Act) and hazardous air pollutants (regulated under section 112). In the final rules section of the Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's State Implementation Plan revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.