

Heinz Walz GmbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 24838, May 10, 1995.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, could have been made available to the applicant without excessive delay within the meaning of Subsection 301.5(d)(4) of the regulations at the time the foreign article was ordered (February 8, 1995).

Reasons: Subsection 301.5 (d)(4), of the regulations provides as follows: "Excessive delivery time. Duty-free entry of the instrument shall be considered justified without regard to whether there is being manufactured in the United States an instrument of equivalent scientific value for the intended purposes if excessive delivery time for the domestic instrument would seriously impair the accomplishment of the applicant's intended purposes. ... In determining whether the difference in delivery times cited by the applicant justifies duty-free entry on the basis of excessive delivery time, the Director shall take into account (A) the normal commercial practice applicable to the production of the general category of instrument involved; (B) the efforts made by the applicant to secure delivery of the instruments (both foreign and domestic) in the shortest possible time; and (C) such other factors as the Director finds relevant under the circumstances of a particular case."

In response to a purchase order dated November 16, 1993, a domestic manufacturer quoted an instrument with a July 15, 1994 delivery schedule. The foreign manufacturer quoted delivery within 6 weeks of initial order. At the time of order (February 8, 1995), the foreign article was a standard catalog instrument, several of which had already been constructed, tested, and delivered. The instrument proposed by the domestic manufacturer was to be a standard catalog instrument requiring modification to accommodate the applicant's needs.

Problems on the part of the domestic manufacturer delayed the delivery schedule, first to January 1995, then to July 1995. As a result, the applicant declined purchase of the domestic instrument. The applicant identified important funding constraints (requiring purchase of the instrument by April 1995) which precluded purchase of the domestic instrument. Subsequently, the applicant claims that the domestic company had gone out of business.

The National Institutes of Health in its memorandum dated July 11, 1995, advised that although an acceptable domestic source had been identified, it was in the process of developing the instrument and to date had

manufactured no instrument for delivery.

Accordingly, we find that the domestic manufacturer's inability to deliver a comparable instrument within the time required by the applicant's project funding requirements amounts to "excessive delivery" within the meaning of 301.5(d)(4). A delay of 6 months or more would have seriously impaired the accomplishment of the applicant's purposes.

Frank W. Creel,
Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.
[FR Doc. 95-24600 Filed 10-2-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title, Applicable Form, and OMB Control Number: Air Force Academy Precandidate Questionnaire; USAFA Form 149; OMB Control Number 0701-0087.

Type of Request: Expedited Processing—Approval date requested: Not later than 30 days following publication in the Federal Register.

Number of Respondents: 11,250.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 11,250.
Average Burden per Response: 24 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 4,500.
Needs and Uses: The information collected hereby, is utilized in the screening process to conduct a preliminary assessment of a candidate's eligibility status, qualifications, and prospects for formal application and selection for entry into the United States Air Force Academy.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One time.
Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.
OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer

for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.
DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. William Pearce.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Pearce, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: September 27, 1995.
Patricia L. Toppings,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 95-24465 Filed 10-2-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000-04-P

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title, Applicable Form, and OMB Control Number: Unescorted Entry Authorization Certificate; Air Force Form 2586; OMB Control Number 0701-0042.

Type of Request: Expedited Processing—Approval date requested: Not later than 30 days following publication in the Federal Register.

Number of Respondents: 20,000.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 20,000.
Average Burden per Response: 3 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 1,000.
Needs and Uses: The information collected hereby, is utilized to administer the physical security program on military installations worldwide. It enables commanders to make informed decisions in allowing unescorted entry of personnel into controlled and restricted areas.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; State, local, or tribal government.
Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.
OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.
DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. William Pearce.