application of 30 CFR 75.1202–1(a) (temporary notations, revisions, and supplements) to its No. 1 Slope (I.D. No. 36–08447) located in Northumberland County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to revise and supplement mine maps annually instead of every 6 months, as required, and to update maps daily by hand notations. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

16. Performance Coal Company

[Docket No. M–95–131–C]

Performance Coal Company, P.O. Box 89, Naoma, West Virginia 25140 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002 (location of trolley wires, trolley feeder wires, high-voltage cables and transformers) to its Upper Big Branch South Mine (I.D. No. 46–08436) located in Raleigh County, West Virginia. The petitioner proposes to use high-voltage (4,160 volts) cables to power longwall equipment. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

17. Martin County Coal Corporation

[Docket No. M–95–132–C and M–95–133–C]

Martin County Coal Corporation, P.O. Box 5002, Inez, Kentucky 41224 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1103–4(a) (automatic fire sensor and warning device systems; installation; minimum requirements) to its Pegasus Mine (I.D. No. 15–17330) and its White Cabin Mine Number One (I.D. No. 15–17531), both located in Martin County, Kentucky. The petitioner requests a modification of the standard to allow that the mine not be required at all times to specifically identify the belt flight from which a sensor indicates a possible fire. The petitioner states that its present system consists of a series of enhanced safety factors of rapid and effective communications and rapid response in the event of an activation of an automatic fire warning device and safe, direct, and effective means of evacuation of underground mines. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

18. Pilgrim Mining Coal Company, Inc.

[Docket No. M–95–134–C through M–95–136–C]

Pilgrim Mining Coal Company, Inc., P.O. Box 2046, Inez, Kentucky 41224 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1103–4(a) (automatic fire sensor and warning device systems; installation; minimum requirements) to its Voyager Mine Number One (I.D. No. 15–17585); its Voyager Mine Number Two (I.D. No. 15–17639); and its Pilgrim Mine Number Three (I.D. No. 17359), all located in Martin County, Kentucky. Petitioner requests a modification of the standard to allow that the mine not be required at all times to specifically identify the belt flight from which a sensor indicates a possible fire. The petitioner states that its present system consists of a series of enhanced safety factors of rapid and effective communications and rapid response in the event of an activation of an automatic fire warning device and safe, direct, and effective means of evacuation of underground mines. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

19. National King Coal, Inc.

[Docket No. M–95–137–C]

National King Coal, Inc., 4424 County Road 120, Hesperus, Colorado 81326 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.380(d)(4)(ii) (escapeways; bituminous and lignite mines) to its King Coal Mine (I.D. No. 05–00266) located in La Plata County, Colorado. The petitioner proposes to have a secondary escapeway that passes through an 80-inch diameter metal culvert for a distance of about 20 feet running lengthwise and a row of supplemental roof support down the center, limiting the width of the secondary escapeway to about 40 inches on each side of the roof supports instead of the required 48 inches; to post signs in the secondary escapeway area leading to the metal culvert area that would read ''Caution—Close Clearance''; and to provide training for all existing and future underground employees on the existence of the narrow length of the escapeway, and the methods and practices of carrying a stretcher through a 40-inch opening. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions may furnish written comments. These comments must be filed with the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 627, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before November 6, 1995. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.

Dated: September 27, 1995.

Patricia W. Silvey,

Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 95–24743 Filed 10–4–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Cancellation of Hearing

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

ACTION: Cancellation of public hearing.

The NCUA Board had scheduled a public hearing on the appeal of NCUA's Region VI denial of a charter application for Proposed Montana Educators' Federal Credit Union for September 29, 1995 at 11:30 am. The notice for the hearing was published in the Federal Register on September 26, 1995, 60 FR 49636. The charter applicant has been granted a credit union charter by the state of Montana, rendering the appeal on the denial of the federal charter application unnecessary. The hearing is therefore cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–2428, 703–518–6304.

Dated: October 2, 1995.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 95–24760 Filed 10–4–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Availability of Draft Application Format and Content Guidance and Review Plan and Acceptance Criteria for Non-Power Reactors

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is in the process of developing for Non-Power Reactor (NPRs) a ''Format and Content for

Applications for the Licensing of Non-Power Reactors'' (F&C) and a ''Standard Review Plan and Acceptance Criteria for Applications for the Licensing of Non-Power Reactors'' (SRP). The NRC has made available a draft of Chapter 17, ''Decommissioning and Possession-Only Amendments,'' of the F&C and SRP documents for comment. This chapter completes the draft documents.

Licensees should be aware that additional changes have been proposed to the decommissioning regulations (see 60 FR 37374 dated July 20, 1995). Therefore, the guidance provided in Chapter 17 is offered in the interim to facilitate the review of decommissioning activities during this period.

A copy of this chapter has been placed in the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555. Single copies of this chapter may be requested in writing from Alexander Adams, Jr., Senior Project Manager, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, MS: 0–11–B–20, Washington, DC 20555. Comments on this chapter should be sent by December 22, 1995, to the Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate at the above address.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of September 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Seymour H. Weiss,

Director, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–24765 Filed 10–4–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

[Docket Nos. 50–237, 50–249, 50–254 and 50–265]

Commonwealth Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–19, DPR–25, DPR–29, and DPR–30 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) for operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in Grundy County, Illinois, and Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Rock Island County, Illinois.

The proposed amendment would close out open items identified in the NRC staff's review of the upgrade of the Dresden and Quad Cities Technical Specifications (TS) to the standard Technical Specifications (STS) contained in NUREG–0123. The Technical Specification Upgrade Program (TSUP) is not a complete adaption of the STS. The TS upgrade focuses on (1) integrating additional information such as equipment operability requirements during shutdown conditions, (2) clarifying requirements such as limiting conditions for operation and action statements utilizing STS terminology, (3) deleting superseded requirements and modifications to the TS based on the licensee's responses to Generic Letters (GL), and (4) relocating specific items to more appropriate TS locations. The September 15, 1995, application proposed to close out the open items from TSUP Sections 1.0, 3/4.4, 3/4.10, and 5.0 only.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because:

In general, the proposed amendment represents the conversion of current requirements to a more generic format, or the addition of requirements which are based on the current safety analysis. Implementation of these changes will provide increased reliability of equipment assumed to operate in the current safety analysis, or provide continued assurance that specified parameters remain within their acceptance limits, and as such, will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of a previously evaluated accident.

Some of the proposed changes represent minor curtailments of the current requirements which are based on generic guidance or previously approved provisions for other stations. The proposed amendment for Dresden and Quad Cities Station's Technical Specifications are based on STS guidelines or later operating BWR plants' NRC accepted changes. Any deviations from STS requirements do not significantly increase the probability or consequences of any previously evaluated accidents for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations. The proposed amendment is consistent with the current safety analyses and has been previously determined to represent sufficient requirements for the assurance and reliability of equipment assumed to operate in the safety analysis, or provide continued assurance that specified parameters remain within their acceptance limits. As such, these changes will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of a previously evaluated accident.

The associated systems related to this proposed amendment are not assumed in any safety analysis to initiate any accident sequence for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations; therefore, the probability of any accident previously evaluated is not increased by the proposed amendment. In addition, the proposed surveillance requirements for the proposed amendments to these systems are generally more prescriptive than the current requirements specified within the Technical Specifications. The additional surveillance requirements improve the reliability and availability of all affected systems and therefore, reduce the consequences of any accident previously evaluated as the probability of the systems related to the TSUP open items outlined within the proposed Technical Specifications performing their intended function is increased by the additional surveillances.

Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated because:

In general, the proposed amendment represents the conversion of current requirements to a more generic format, the addition of requirements which are based on the current safety analysis, and some minor curtailments of the current requirements which are based on generic guidance or previously approved provisions for other stations. These changes do not involve revisions to the design of the station. Some of the changes may involve revision in the operation of the station; however, these provide additional restrictions which are in accordance with the current safety analysis, or are to provide for additional testing or surveillances which will not introduce new failure mechanisms beyond those already considered in the current safety analyses.

The proposed amendment for Dresden and Quad Cities Station's Technical Specification is based on STS guidelines or later operating BWR plants' NRC accepted changes. The proposed amendment has been reviewed for acceptability at the Dresden and Quad Cities Nuclear Power Stations considering similarity of system or component design versus the STS or later operating BWRs. Any deviations from STS requirements do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident previously evaluated for Dresden or Quad Cities Stations. No new modes of operation are introduced by the proposed changes.