

between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation

Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40101, 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39-9339 (60 FR 43361, August 21, 1995), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

Fairchild Aircraft: Docket No. 95-CE-13-AD. Revises AD 95-17-09; Amendment 39-9339.

Applicability: The following model and serial number airplanes that utilize a direct current (DC) generator, certificated in any category.

Models	Serial Nos.
SA226-T, SA226-AT, SA226-TC, and SA226-T(B).	All.
SA227-AC, SA227-AT, SA227-BC, and SA227-TT.	420 through 783, and 785 through 789.
SA227-CC and SA227-DC.	784, and 790 through 883.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 2,000 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished (compliance with AD 95-17-09).

To prevent failure of the left hand (LH) and right hand (RH) essential bus when engine failure results in a blown generator current limiter, which could result in loss of airplane electrical power, accomplish the following:

- (a) Relocate the LH and RH essential bus current limiters (225 amp) to the battery bus (main bus tie) in accordance with Fairchild Aircraft Engineering Kit Drawing 27K82376, "Current Limiter Reusing Kit," as referenced in the following service bulletins (SB):

SB	Date	Models affected
226-24-034	Sept. 29, 1994	All affected SA226 models.
227-24-015	Sept. 29, 1994	SA227-AC, SA227-AT, SA227-BC, and SA227-TT.
CC7-24-002	Sept. 29, 1994	SA227-CC and SA227-DC.

(b) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Fort Worth Airplane Certification Office (ACO), FAA, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0150. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Fort Worth ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Fort Worth ACO.

(d) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to Fairchild Aircraft, P.O. Box 790490, San Antonio, Texas 78279-0490; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(e) This amendment revises AD 95-17-09, Amendment 39-9339.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 6, 1995.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-25440 Filed 10-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-CE-30-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; HB Flugtechnik GmbH Model HB-23/2400 Sailplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to HB

Flugtechnik GmbH (Flugtechnik) Model HB-23/2400 sailplanes. The proposed action would require inspecting (one time) the elevator control system for incorrect rigging and repetitively inspecting the threaded adjustable extension joints in the push rod to control lever connection for cracks, and, if cracked, replacing the threaded adjustable joints at both ends of the push rod. Cracking of the threaded adjustable extension joints and incorrect rigging of the elevator control system prompted the proposed action. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the elevator control system, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in possible loss of elevator control and loss of the sailplane.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region,

Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-CE-30-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from HB Flugtechnik GmbH, Dr. Adolf Scharfstr. 42, PF 74, A-4053 Haid, Austria, telephone 43.7229.80904. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Herman Belderok, Sailplane Program Officer, Small Airplane Directorate, Airplane Certification Service, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64105; telephone (816) 426-6932; facsimile (816) 426-2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 95-CE-30-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-CE-30-AD, Room

1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Discussion

The Austro Control GmbH (ACG), which is the airworthiness authority for Austria, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Flugtechnik Model HB-23/2400 sailplanes. The ACG advises that failure of the elevator control system has resulted in several incidents and two fatal accidents. Specifically, a fatal accident investigation revealed fatigue cracks in the threaded adjustable extension joint of the elevator control push rod, thereby causing loss of elevator control while in flight.

In addition, the ACG has received several reports of deformation marks on the push rod tubes, bent adjustable extension joints, and jamming between the elevator control lever and the elevator push rod when the pilot pushes the control lever completely forward. Damage of this nature is possibly caused by incorrect rigging or having less than specified clearances between the elevator control lever and the elevator push rod. HB Flugtechnik GmbH has issued service bulletins (SB) HB-23/17/91 and HB-23/18/91, both dated October 28, 1991, specifying the following:

- Inspecting (one time) for bending, and dents on the elevator control push rod tube and replacing the elevator control push rod tube, if damaged,
- Inspecting the clearance between the elevator control lever and the elevator control push rod, ensuring the clearance remains at least 3 mm,
- Inspecting the threaded portion of the adjustable push rod joints (located at each end of the push rod), for fatigue cracks and deformation, and if cracked or damaged, (based on the fatigue evaluation), replace the joints on both ends of the push rod.
- Repetitively inspecting, at intervals not to exceed 500 hours, the threaded portion of the adjustable push rod joints for cracks or deformation, and if cracked or damaged replacing the joints as necessary.

The ACG classified these service bulletins as mandatory and issued ACG AD numbers 66 and 67 in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these sailplanes in Austria.

This sailplane model is manufactured in Austria and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement between Austria and the United States. Pursuant

to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the ACG has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the ACG, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Flugtechnik HB-23/2400 sailplanes of the same type design, the proposed AD would require inspecting (one time) the elevator control system for incorrect rigging, inspecting the threaded extension joints for cracks, if cracks are found, replacing the joints, and repetitively inspecting the extension joints at intervals not to exceed 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) thereafter for cracks or deformation, and if cracked or damaged replacing the joints as necessary.

The FAA estimates that one sailplane in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 3 hours to accomplish the proposed action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts cost approximately \$70 per sailplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$250. This figure is based on the assumption that the affected owner/operator of the affected sailplane has not incorporated the proposed modification or accomplished the proposed inspections. The FAA has no way of determining the number of repetitive inspections completed.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by

contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40101, 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

HB Flugtechnik GMBH: Docket No. 95-CE-30-AD.

Applicability: Model HB-23/2400 Sailplanes (serial numbers 23001 through 23048), certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each sailplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For sailplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required initially within the next 50 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD and as indicated in the body of this AD thereafter, unless already accomplished.

To prevent failure of the elevator control system, which, if not detected and corrected, could result in possible loss of elevator control and loss of the sailplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect (one time) for bending, and dents on the elevator control push rod tube. Prior to further flight, replace the elevator control push rod tube in accordance with Flugtechnik Service Bulletin (SB) HB-23/18/91, dated October 28, 1991.

(b) Inspect the clearance between the elevator control lever and the elevator control push rod, ensuring the clearance remains at least 3 mm. If clearance is not 3 mm, prior to further flight, adjust in accordance with the maintenance manual.

(c) Inspect the threaded portion of the adjustable push rod joints (located at each

end of the push rod) for fatigue cracks and deformation, and if cracked or damaged, (based on the fatigue evaluation), prior to further flight, replace the joints on both ends of the push rod, in accordance with Flugtechnik SB HB-23/17/91, dated October 28, 1991.

(d) Repetitively inspect the threaded portion of the adjustable push rod joints, at intervals not to exceed 500 hours time-in-service (TIS) thereafter for cracks or deformation, and if cracked or damaged, prior to further flight, replace the joints as necessary, in accordance with Flugtechnik SB HB-23/17/91, dated October 28, 1991.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the sailplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1201 Walnut, Kansas City, Missouri, 64106. The request for the alternative method shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

(g) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the documents referred to herein upon request to HB Flugtechnik GmbH, Dr. Adolf Scharfstr. 42, PF 74, A-4053 Haid, Austria, telephone 43.7229.80904, or may examine these documents at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 6, 1995.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 95-25439 Filed 10-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 94-NM-238-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Jetstream ATP Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Jetstream ATP airplanes. This proposal would require inspections to detect fatigue cracking and corrosion in the gussets of the rear passenger door

and rear baggage door apertures, and replacement of the gussets, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by fatigue tests which indicated that fatigue cracking and corrosion can occur in these gussets. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent degradation of the structural integrity of the fuselage pressure vessel due to the problems associated with cracking and corrosion in the gussets of the rear passenger door and rear baggage door apertures.

DATES: Comments must be received by November 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 94-NM-238-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Jetstream Aircraft, Inc., P.O. Box 16029, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041-6029. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Backman, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-2747; fax (206) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact