

Middleborough Gas and Electric Department  
Princeton Municipal Light Department  
Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation

These Service Agreements specify that the Customers have signed on to and have agreed to the terms and conditions of the Companies' Power Sales and Exchanges Tariffs designated as Commonwealth's Power Sales and Exchanges Tariff (FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 3) and Cambridge's Power Sales and Exchanges Tariff (FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 5). These Tariffs, approved by FERC on April 13, 1995, and which have an effective date of March 20, 1995, will allow the Companies and the Customers to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the Companies will sell to the Customers capacity and/or energy as the parties may mutually agree.

The Companies request an effective dates as specified on each Service Agreement.

*Comment date:* October 19, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 19. Kentucky Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER95-1808-000]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Kentucky Utilities Company tendered for filing executed copies of Service Agreements for Power Services with LG&E Power Marketing, Inc., Stand Energy Corporation, and Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc.

*Comment date:* October 19, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 20. Utility-2000 Energy Corp. Utility-Traded Corp.

[Docket No. ER95-1809-000]

Take notice that Utility-2000 Energy Corp. and Utility-Trade Corp. (Utility-Trade), on September 21, 1995, submitted for filing each of its amended electric service tariffs, FERC Rate Schedule No. 1. The amendment to each Rate Schedule would authorize sales to any affiliate having a FERC rate schedule permitting sales for resale by such affiliate at rates established by agreement between the purchaser and the affiliate. Utility-2000 and Utility-Trade request an effective date of October 1, 1995, for each of their respective rate schedules.

*Comment date:* October 19, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 21. Wisconsin Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1810-000]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Wisconsin Power and Light

Company (WPL) tendered for filing a revised appendix to the existing Interconnection agreement between Madison Gas and Electric Company (MG&E) and WPL.

WPL requests that an effective date concurrent with the contract effective date be assigned. WPL states that copies of the agreement and the filing have been provided to MG&E Company and the Wisconsin Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* October 19, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 22. Public Service Electric and Gas Company

[Docket No. ER95-1812-000]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) of Newark, New Jersey tendered for filing an agreement for the sale of energy and capacity of PECO Energy Company (PECO).

PSE&G requests the Commission to waive its notice requirements to permit the Energy Sales Agreement to become effective as of September 22, 1995. Copies of the filing have been served upon PECO and the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission.

*Comment date:* October 19, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25527 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-706-000, et al.]

#### El Paso Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

October 6, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-706-000]

Take notice that on August 23, 1995, as supplemented on September 27, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP95-706-000, a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to modify, then operate the existing Llano Grama Ridge Receipt Point, located in Lea County, New Mexico, as a bidirectional receipt/delivery point, under the authorization issued in Docket No. CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. It is stated that this conversion will permit El Paso to deliver gas to, as well as continue to receive gas from, Llano, Inc. (Llano), all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso states that Llano now desires to receive gas for either redelivery to end-users or, in certain instances, redelivery into facilities of another interstate pipeline company. Accordingly, El Paso requests authorization to modify and then operate the Llano Grama Ridge Receipt Point as a bidirectional receipt/delivery point to be designated as the Llano Grama Ridge Meter Station.

El Paso states that the estimate cost of the proposed facilities is \$28,900 and that Llano has agreed to reimburse El Paso for the involved costs. It is stated that the proposed quantity to be transported on a firm basis to the Llano Grama Ridge Meter Station is estimated to be 18,250,000 Mcf annually, or an average of 50,000 Mcf daily.

El Paso states: (i) operation of the Llano Grama Ridge Meter Station in bidirectional service is not prohibited by El Paso's existing tariff; and (ii) gas volumes will be delivered pursuant to transportation arrangements between El Paso and any shipper desiring El Paso to make deliveries on a shipper's behalf at this meter station. El Paso asserts that it has sufficient capacity to deliver the requested gas volumes without detriment or disadvantage to El Paso's other customers.

*Comment date:* November 20, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 2. CNG Transmission Corporation

[Docket Nos. CP93-200-004, CP95-32-001 and CP95-245-001]

Take notice that on October 3, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26302-2450 filed amendments to applications for abandonment authority to sell certain gathering facilities in West Virginia to Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation (Cabot) in Docket No. CP93-200-000 and CP95-32-000. CNG states that Big Sandy Gas Company (Big Sandy), an affiliate of Cabot which would have been receiving and operating the gathering facilities, also filed requests for a declaratory order for non-jurisdictional status of the gathering facilities in Docket Nos. CP93-198-000 and CP95-46, respectively.

CNG states that it also filed for abandonment authority to sell certain gathering facilities in central West Virginia to Parker & Parsley Gas Processing Company (Parker & Parsley) in docket No. CP95-254-000. It is stated that Parker & Parsley also filed a request for a declaratory order for non-jurisdictional status of the gathering facilities in Docket No. CP95-244-000.

It is stated that Cabot and CNG have attempted unsuccessfully to resolve pricing issues concerning the Cabot sales, with CNG terminating letters of intent between the parties, effective October 1, 1995. CNG states that, due to a change by Parker & Parsley in its Appalachian operations, CNG has also elected to terminate the purchase and sale agreement between CNG and Parker & Parsley. However, CNG states that it has entered into a letter of intent with Eastern States Oil & Gas, Inc. (Eastern) to sell Eastern the same gathering facilities being sold to Cabot and Parker & Parsley. It is stated that a definitive Purchase and Sale Agreement will be signed in the near future.

Additionally, CNG states that Exhibit Y in the above-referenced dockets have been supplemented to reflect the accounting entries for the new purchaser and depreciation as of December 31, 1995. CNG contends that the result of the combined sale is a decrease in the stranded costs incurred by CNG in disposing of the three largest sales by \$1,500,000 through increased proceeds and with the effect of depreciation through December 31, 1995.

In response to the Commission's request to file a default contract, CNG states that Eastern is beginning its negotiations with the producers and

shippers on the facilities including the Independent Oil & Gas Association of West Virginia (IOGA). CNG states that it has agreed to sell and Eastern has agreed to purchase the facilities subject to the Commission's default contract requirements regarding rates and fuel loss. It is stated that the sale to Eastern is based on the default gathering rates which are anticipated to be in effect beginning January 1996, as provided in CNG's rate settlement before the Commission in Docket No. RP94-96-000.

CNG anticipates that a default contract can be filed with the Commission in the near future that will be acceptable to most producers and shippers which Eastern and IOGA have agreed upon. CNG understands that Big Sandy and Parker & Parsley will be filing pleadings in their respective dockets reflecting these changed circumstances and Eastern will also file in these dockets to be substituted as petitioner.

CNG also requests that the response date for filing a default contract be extended to November 1, 1995. CNG states that Big Sandy has authorized CNG to file on its behalf this response to the Commission's letter dated August 30, 1995 in Docket No. CP93-200-000 and CP93-198-000.

*Comment date:* October 27, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

## 3. Mountain Fuel Supply Company v. Prima Exploration, Inc., BTA Oil Producers, and NGC Energy Resources, Limited Partnership

[Docket No. CP95-784-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Mountain Fuel Supply Company (Mountain Fuel), 180 East First South Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 filed with the Commission in Docket CP95-784-000 a complaint against Prima Exploration, Inc. (Prima), 7800 East Union Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80237, BTA Oil Producers, (BTA) 104 South Pecos, Midland, Texas 79701, and NGC Energy Resources, Limited Partnership, 13430 Northwest Freeway, Suite 1200, Houston 77040-6095 (NER). Mountain Fuel states that its complaint is based on Prima's failure to comply with the Commission's order in Docket No. CP93-702-000<sup>1</sup> authorizing Prima to withdraw and deliver to Mountain Fuel its storage gas at the Bridger Lake Field in Summit County, Utah and Uinta County, Wyoming. Mountain Fuel also states that its complaint against BTA and NER is based on their acquisition

from Prima of the certificated storage facilities and operation of the facilities to provide certificated service to Mountain Fuel without first having obtained the necessary authorization from the Commission. Mountain Fuel further states that neither Prima, BTA nor NER have made an effort to comply with the requirements of the order in Docket No. CP93-702-000 to return Mountain Fuel's storage gas in a timely manner.

Mountain Fuel requests that the Commission issue an order (i) directing Prima, or BTA and NER, as the case may be to withdraw and deliver the balance of Mountain Fuel's gas at Bridger Lake by October, 13, 1996, (ii) ordering Prima, or BTA and NER, as the case may be, to purchase and deliver to Mountain Fuel at withdrawal rates in accordance with the 1992 letter agreement, the gas they cannot redeliver pursuant to the 1994 order, and (iii) providing Mountain Fuel any other relief the Commission deems appropriate.

*Comment date:* November 6, 1995 in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

## 4. ANR Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-788-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP95-788-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to abandon a natural gas exchange service between ANR and Union Oil Company of California (UNOCAL), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR proposes to abandon the service which was authorized by the Commission in Docket No. CP81-13-000, and carried out under the terms of an agreement dated June 27, 1980, and on file as Rate Schedule X-113 of ANR's FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2. It is stated that Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line (MichWisc), ANR's predecessor, was authorized to deliver up to 3,000 Mcf of gas per day for UNOCAL for maximum periods of 3 days per exchange transaction at a point near ANR's Creole Meter Station, located offshore Louisiana. It is stated that UNOCAL was authorized to redeliver equivalent quantities of gas to MichWisc at the same point.

It is stated that in a letter dated September 20, 1993, ANR notified UNOCAL of its intent to terminate the service. It is asserted that the purpose of the service was to facilitate the recovery

<sup>1</sup> Phillips Petroleum Company and Prima Exploration, Inc., et al., 69 FERC 61,050 (1994).

of UNOCAL's oil reserves, which are now fully depleted. It is further asserted that UNOCAL has signed ANR's letter to indicate its agreement with ANR's request for abandonment.

*Comment date:* October 27, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Columbia Gulf Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP96-4-000]

Take notice that on October 3, 1995, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company

(Columbia Gulf), P.O. Box 683, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP96-4-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to modify an existing receipt point and establish an additional delivery point to Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc., (Delta) in Madison County, Kentucky, under Columbia Gulf's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-496 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas

Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia Gulf requests authorization to make certain modifications to its existing Speedwell receipt point and establish a delivery point for firm transportation service. Columbia Gulf states that it would provide the service pursuant to its Blanket Certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-496 under existing authorized rate schedules and within certificate entitlements, as follows:

Customer	Rate schedule	Maximum daily quantity (Dth)	Estimated annual quantity (Dth)
Delta .....	Firm Transportation Service (FTS) .....	4,000	1,460,000

Columbia Gulf states that the modifications to the existing Speedwell point of receipt, which will be established as a delivery point, has been requested by Delta for additional firm transportation service to be utilized for its system supply. Columbia Gulf adds that the additional transportation service to be provided through the new point of delivery will be firm transportation service under Columbia Gulf's Rate Schedule FTS.

Columbia Gulf states that Columbia Gulf and Delta have executed an FTS-1 Service Agreement providing for an FTS Demand Service of 4,000 Dth/d. Columbia Gulf adds that it will receive 4,000 Dth/d for the account of Delta at Leach, Kentucky from Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Gas), and that Columbia Gulf will deliver by backhaul to Delta at the new point of delivery at Speedwell. Columbia Gulf states that Columbia Gas has revised its GTS service agreement with Delta to provide 4,000 Dth/d at Leach.

Columbia Gulf states there will be no impact on Columbia Gulf's existing design day and annual obligations to its customers due to the nature of the backhaul. Columbia Gulf states that Delta has agreed to reimburse Columbia Gulf 100% of the cost of the modification, which is approximately \$3,861, including gross-up for income tax purposes. Columbia Gulf adds that it will contribute approximately \$45,000 for the cost of a filter separator to be installed.

Columbia Gulf states that it will comply with all of the environmental requirements of Sections 157.206(d) of the Commission's Regulations prior to the modification of any facilities.

*Comment date:* November 20, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-5-000]

Take notice that on October 3, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), One Williams Center, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-5-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.212(a) and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212(a), and 157.216(b)) for authorization to abandon by reclaim two separate town border meter settings used in the delivery of gas to Missouri Gas Energy (MGE) and to replace them with a single dual run meter setting under WNG's blanket authorization issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG proposes to replace the MGE Cassville/Purdy and Monett single run meter settings with a dual run 6-inch orifice meter setting at the present Cassville/Purdy site in Lawrence County, Missouri. WNG asserts that the projected volume of delivery through the replacement facilities is not expected to exceed the volume currently delivered. WNG relates that the reclaim cost is estimated to be \$1,000 with a salvage value of \$0. WNG indicates that the estimated cost of construction is approximately \$118,555.

WGN states that this change is not prohibited by an existing tariff and it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries specified without detriment or disadvantage to its other

customers. WGN further states that it has contacted MGE and MGE is agreeable to its proposed modifications. WNG relates that a copy of this filing was sent to the Missouri Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* November 20, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

7. Prima Exploration, Inc., et al., BTA Oil Producers and NGC Energy Resources, Limited Partnership

[Docket No. CP95-791-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Prima Exploration, Inc. (Prima<sup>2</sup>), 7800 East Union Avenue, Suite 605, Denver, Colorado 80237, BTA Oil Producers (BTA) and NGC Energy Resources, Limited Partnership (NGC) 13430 Northwest Freeway, Suite 1200, Houston, Texas 77040 (collectively, BTA/NER) jointly filed in Docket No. CP95-791-000 an application pursuant to Section 7 (b) and (c) of the Natural Gas Act requesting permission and approval for Prima to abandon a storage service and related facilities in Summit County, Utah and for authorization for BTA/NER to acquire the facilities and to continue to provide the storage service, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Prima states that it is engaged in providing gas storage service from the Bridger Lake Field in Summit County, Utah for Mountain Fuel Supply Company (Mountain Fuel) pursuant to a limited jurisdiction certificate issued in

<sup>2</sup>Prima is a joint venture of four independent exploration and production companies, Prima Exploration, Inc., the operator; Vegas Production Company; Gunlikson Petroleum, Inc.; and Petroco Corporation.

Docket No. CP93-702-000.<sup>3</sup> Prima relates that it wishes to transfer its interests in these facilities to BTA/NER and requests permission and approval to abandon the storage service it provides for Mountain Fuel and the related facilities by transfer to BTA/NER.

BTA/NER request authorization to continue to provide the storage service for Mountain Fuel and to acquire the related facilities. BTA/NER, noting that the certificate granted to Prima was to expire in two years, state that it has become apparent that additional time will be required for the withdrawals and redelivery of storage gas to Mountain Fuel. BTA/NER ask that the requested certificate expire in five years.

Prima indicates that the related facilities consist of a metering station, dehydrator and heater, 425 feet of 4-inch lateral pipeline and a single natural gas injection well located in Summit County, Utah. Prima also states that no gas has been injected into the facilities since April of 1984 and less than 0.5 Bcf of working gas remains in storage.

*Comment date:* October 27, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of

the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25529 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### [Docket No. CP95-782-000, et al.]

#### Florida Gas Transmission Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

October 5, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. Florida Gas Transmission Company

[Docket No. CP95-782-000]

Take notice that on September 27, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-782-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations for an order permitting and approving the abandonment of the interruptible transportation service performed under FGT's Rate Schedule X-11, all as more fully set forth in the application.

FGT relates that Rate Schedule X-11 is a transportation service between Southern Natural Gas Company (SNG) and FGT which was authorized in Docket No. CP79-472-000. FGT states

in its application that it is not abandoning any facilities nor abandoning service to any other FGT customer.

FGT has included in this filing a letter dated September 13, 1995, in which both FGT and SNG have agreed to waive the six-month notice of termination requirements set forth in Article VII of the transportation agreement dated August 2, 1979, as amended, in order that the referenced agreement can terminate effective October 1, 1995.

*Comment date:* October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### 2. National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-787-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (Applicant), 10 Lafayette Square, Buffalo, NY 14203, filed under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act a petition to amend its certificate by allowing a change in receipt/delivery points and under Section 7(b) to abandon individually certificated transportation services, all as more fully described in the petition on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests an order authorizing a change in the receipt/delivery points under SS-1 storage service agreements with Elizabethtown Gas Company and Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, and SS-2 storage service agreements with Penn Fuel Gas, Inc. and Delmarva Power and Light Company. Applicant also seeks the abandonment of transportation Rate Schedules X-29, X-31, X-32 and X-42. These service agreements will not be needed by the customers if the proposed change in receipt/delivery points is approved. Applicant states that this petition is part of a settlement agreement filed in Docket No. RP95-31-000, et al.

*Comment date:* October 26, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### 3. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-790-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP95-790-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to install new custody transfer measuring and

<sup>3</sup> Phillips Petroleum Company and Prima Exploration, Inc., et al., 69 FERC 61,050 (1994).