

technical data exports) involving Ecuador and Peru under the authority of the Arms Export Control Act.

This action has been taken pursuant to Sections 38 and 42 of the Arms Export and Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778, 2791) and Section 126.7 of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations in furtherance of the foreign policy of the United States.

Dated: November 6, 1995.

Thomas E. McNamara,  
Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Political-  
Military Affairs, Department of State.  
[FR Doc. 95-27957 Filed 11-9-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4710-25-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

[CGD 95-076]

#### National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP)

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of PREP area exercise schedule for 1996, 1997 and 1998, and summary of the PREP Annual Workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) and the minerals management Service (MMS), in concert with the states, the oil industry and concerned citizens, developed the Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP). This notice announces the schedule of the Area Exercises for 1996, 1997 and 1998. It also provides a summary of the annual public workshop held on June 14, 1995, in Alexandria, VA.

**DATES:** Industry members interested in leading an Industry-led Area Exercise or participating in a Government-led Area Exercise should submit their requests directly to the USCG or EPA On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) in the appropriate Area as soon as possible, but no later than 3 months before conducting the exercise. Industry representatives should indicate the date and location of the exercise in which they are interested in participating or leading. Once the OSC has chosen an industry plan holder for an Industry-led Area Exercise or as participant for the Government-led Exercise, the OSC will contact the National Scheduling Coordinating Committee (NSSC) at the address listed below.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to COMMANDANT (G-MRO-2), Room 2100, U.S. Coast Guard

Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW, Washington, DC, 20593-0001. Attn: Ms. Karen Sahatjian.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Karen Sahatjian, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection, Response Division, (G-MRO-2), (202) 267-2850. PREP Guidelines and Training Elements, previously available through Coast Guard Headquarters, are now available from the Government Printing Office, (202) 512-1800. Stock numbers and cost for each manual are: PREP GUIDELINES—050-012-00365-3 COST: \$3.75; TRAINING REFERENCE—050-012-00364-5 COST: \$8.50.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background Information

The Coast Guard, EPA, RSPA and MMS developed the National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program (PREP) to provide guidelines for compliance with the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA 90) pollution response exercise requirements (33 U.S.C. 1321(j)). OPA 90 requires periodic unannounced drills. See 33 U.S.C. 1321(j)(7). However, the working group (comprised of Coast Guard, EPA, RSPA, MMS, state representatives, and industry representatives) determined that the PREP Guidelines should also include announced drills. See 33 CFR 154.1055(a)(5) and 155.1060(d), and 40 CFR part 112. This notice addresses announced drills only. The guiding principles for PREP distinguish between internal and external exercises. Internal exercises are conducted within the plan holder's organization. External exercises extend beyond the plan holder's organization to involve other members of the response community. External exercises are separated into two categories, Area exercises, and Government-initiated unannounced exercises. These exercises are designed to evaluate the entire response mechanism in a given Area to ensure adequate pollution response preparedness. The goal of the PREP is to conduct approximately 20 Area exercises per year, with the intent of exercising most Areas of the country over a three year period. This notice sets forth the Area exercise schedule for calendar years 1996, 1997 and 1998.

The National Scheduling Coordinating Committee (NSCC) conducts an annual public workshop. In the past, this workshop focused on the upcoming schedule; however, this year, the workshop included presentations from a variety of individuals with experiences participating in or conducting Area Exercises. This notice

also provides a summary of the workshop.

#### Summary of PREP Workshop

On June 14, 1995, the Coast Guard, EPA, RSPA Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS), and MMS hosted the second annual PREP Workshop. The following provides a brief summary of the points raised by the Workshop participants. A more complete summary is available on the Coast Guard Navigation Information Service (NIS) Electronic Bulletin Board (BBS) at 703-313-5910.

The workshop was opened by speakers from the four Federal Agencies: Captain Michael Donohoe, USCG; Chris Hoidal, OPS; Ann Whalen, EPA Region 5; and Carl Anderson, MMS. The objectives of the workshop were to ensure that the program is effective for the entire response community; share industry experiences on internal exercises; and identify industry concerns regarding participation in Area exercises in an effort to increase their participation. All four Federal Agency representatives agreed that their Agencies were committed to making the exercise program work for the entire response community.

A panel of industry representatives was convened to give their perspectives of the Area exercise and share their experiences. Tiffany Rau of ARCO Marine, Inc., described ARCO's participation in a Government-led Area Exercise. She said that it was, overall, a positive experience and enabled them to become familiar with area resources and personnel available during an incident. There were negative considerations also. The planning process is very time consuming. Additionally, PREP does not allow for maximum participation of industry management during an exercise. Ms. Rau believed that this is not representative of an actual incident when the government would have minimal participation if the responsible party was responding to the oil spill. Ms. Rau also said that if a company wants to have an exercise with the government, then it is best to volunteer for a PREP Area Exercise.

Dick Wilson and Joel Larkin of Buckeye Pipeline discussed their experience with an Industry-led Area Exercise in the inland zone. Mr. Wilson said that he supports PREP because it reinforces government relations in advance of real incidents. Furthermore, this exercise allowed Buckeye to demonstrate their commitment and competence. Overall, both Mr. Wilson and Mr. Larkin felt that the exercise was meaningful for all participants. The

exercise reflected many attributes of real events including internal confusion.

Earl Espeland of TOSCO discussed his Industry-led Area Exercise in the coastal zone. Mr. Espeland said that TOSCO found that preparing for the exercise was just as beneficial as conducting one. They decided to exercise limited objectives so that they could focus on how the management response team would work together. Unlike many other scenario designs, they viewed the source of the spill as relatively unimportant, since the exercise focused on the response once oil entered the water.

During the afternoon, Mike Kurgan, USCG National Strike Force Coordination Center (NSFCC), and Carrie Bravenec, Robert J. Meyers & Associates, gave presentations on preparing an exercise from the perspective of the public and private sector (respectively).

Throughout the course of the workshop there was a considerable amount of discussion and questions asked of the federal agencies and states represented, as well as other company officials. The summary provides more information, but the following highlights the more salient issues raised.

*Scope and Size of Exercise*

There is a perception that the exercises are very large and too costly. The industry panelists all said that the cost of conducting their exercise was not excessive. There was some discussion regarding the way costs were figured. A PREP Area Exercise does not have to be a large production and very costly to meet the exercise objectives.

*Credit for Spill Management Team (SMT) Tabletop Exercises*

This issue has been raised on several different occasions. A workshop participant asked if someone else has a drill that exercises all members of his company's SMT, can he take credit? The answer was no. To further clarify, this does not mean that the plan holder can view an exercise from an audience and

then take credit for an annual SMT Tabletop exercise. The purpose of the exercise is not to exercise the team, but to exercise the plan. The focus is how the SMT works within a specific plan, not how well your spill management team works within someone else's plan. To carry out the role of the SMT, the SMT must be familiar with their client's response plan. The reason for this exercise requirement is to ensure familiarity with sources, sizes and locations of potential spill scenarios they will be responsible for managing. In addition, familiarity with the response plan ensures that the SMT knows the plan holders' organization, i.e. domestic, foreign, family-owned, corporate structure, etc. A question was raised in another context regarding participation of the plan holder of a foreign vessel and the SMT during the exercise. This question was raised because of the cost of the plan holder to travel to the United States for the exercise. Understanding the economic burden this may cause a company, the Coast Guard believes that the plan holder gains invaluable experience and knowledge when participating, in person, in the exercise. The Coast Guard suggests combining the exercise with ancillary meetings or conferences to make the exercise more economical. Where this is not possible, the plan holder may participate in the exercise via telephone. In many circumstances, this may be more realistic since it could take the plan holder as long as 30 hours to arrive at the spill site.

*Scheduling*

One of the participants said that the scheduling was too rigid and inflexible because the dates and location were already chosen before industry has an opportunity to comment on it. The schedule that is published in the spring is proposed. The Coast Guard accepts comments on the proposed schedule, and makes appropriate revisions at the annual workshop. But there was agreement within the Coast Guard that

the scheduling seemed too structured. The final schedule in this notice addresses that comment by only listing suggested quarters for calendar year 1996; listing quarters only for the exercises where volunteers have already come forward for calendar years 1997 and 1998; and listing dates or quarters for government-led exercises. It would not be fair to change the date of the exercise after companies have been planning for the exercise. For government-led exercises, NSFCC established a schedule with dates that cannot vary greatly. In all other cases, the industry can work with the appropriate agencies to schedule an exercise. We expect to generate more industry volunteers by increasing the flexibility of the schedule.

Another participant asked whether the scheduling cycle could be changed from three years to five years. The participant thought more companies would volunteer for the exercises if the schedule was extended. It does not appear that more companies would volunteer by extending the schedule. Furthermore, the Coast Guard needs to ensure that the Captains of the Ports (COTPs) are exercised frequently enough so the ACPs can be updated regularly, as needed.

*Schedule*

The following is the revised PREP schedule for Calendar Years 1996, 1997 and 1998. All of the comments received were considered by the NSCC. Where no industry plan holders have come forward to either participate or lead an exercise, the OSCs may solicit and recommend plan holders. Companies that wish to participate should contact the Coast Guard or EPA OSC, who will then forward the name to the NSCC at the address listed under **ADDRESSES**.

Dated: November 1, 1995.  
J.C. Card,  
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.

PREP SCHEDULE.—GOVERNMENT LED AREA EXERCISES

Area	Agency	Date/QTR *	Participant
<b>1996</b>			
Charleston, SC Area (MSO Charleston OSC) .....	CG	2/15-16	
Puget Sound Area (MSO Puget Sound OSC) .....	CG	4/18-19	
Buffalo, NY Area (MSO Buffalo OSC) .....	CG	6/13-14	
EPA Region VIII Area (EPA OCS) .....	EPA	8/8-9	
Philadelphia Coastal Area (MSO Philadelphia OSC) .....	CG	9/26-27	
South Florida Area (MSO Miami OSC) .....	CG	12/12-13	

PREP SCHEDULE.—GOVERNMENT LED AREA EXERCISES—Continued

Area	Agency	Date/QTR *	Participant
<b>1997</b>			
Providence, RI Area (MSO Providence OSC) .....	CG	1	
Jacksonville Area (MSO Jacksonville OSC) .....	CG	1	
Southeast Alaska Area (MSO Juneau OSC) .....	CG	2	
Detroit Area (MSO Detroit OSC) .....	CG w/RSPA	3	
EPA Oceania Region (EPA OSC) .....	EPA	3	
New Orleans Area (MSO New Orleans OSC) .....	CG w/MMS	4	
<b>1998</b>			
Guam Area (MSO Guam OSC) .....	CG	1	
San Diego Area (MSO San Diego OSC) .....	CG	2	
Savannah Area (MSO Savannah OSC) .....	CG	2	
EPA Region VIII Area (EPA OSC) .....	EPA	3	
Long Island Sound, NY Area (COTP Long Island Sound OSC) .....	CG	3	
Morgan City Area (MSO Morgan City) .....	CG	4	

PREP SCHEDULE.—INDUSTRY-LED EXERCISES

Area	Ind**	Date/QTR *	Lead
<b>1996</b>			
Virginia Coastal Area (MSO Hampton Roads OSC) .....	v	1	
Portland, OR Area (MSO Portland OSC) .....	f (mtr)	1	
Hawaii/American Samoa Area (MSO Honolulu OSC) .....	v	1	
EPA Region VI Area (EPA OSC) .....	p	2	Fina.
Buffalo, NY Area (MSO Buffalo OSC) .....	v	2	
Central Coast Area (MSO San Francisco OSC) .....	v	2	
Prince William Sound Area (MSO Valdez OSC) .....	f (mtr)	3	Chevron.
Western Alaska Area (MSO Anchorage OSC) .....	p	3	
Boston Area (MSO Boston OSC) .....	f	3	
EPA Region IX Area (EPA OSC) .....	f(nonmtr)	3	
Maine & New Hampshire Area (MSO Portland OSC) .....	v	3	
LA/LB North Area (MSO Los Angeles/Long Beach OSC) .....	v	4	
EPA Region II Area (EPA Caribbean OSC) .....	f(nonmtr)	4	
<b>1997</b>			
North Coast Area (MSO San Francisco OSC) .....	v		
Northeast North Carolina Coastal Area (MSO Hampton Roads OSC) .....	v		
Commonwealth of N. Marianas Islands Area (MSO Guam OSC) .....	v	1	Mobile Corp.
Caribbean Area (MSO San Juan OSC) .....	f(mtr)		
Florida Panhandle Area (MSO Mobile OSC) .....	v	2	Kirby Corp.
Western Lake Erie Area (MSO Toledo OSC) .....	f(mtr)		
EPA Alaska Region (EPA OSC) .....	p		
EPA Region X Area (EPA OSC) .....	f(nonmtr)		
Houston/Galveston Area (MSO Houston OSC) .....	v	3	Aramco Serv- ices Co.
New York, NY Area (COTP New York OSC) .....	v	3	OMI Corp.
EPA Region IV Area (EPA OSC) .....	p		
Detroit Area (MSO Detroit OSC) .....	v		
<b>1998</b>			
Southern Coastal NC Area (MSO Wilmington) .....	v		
San Francisco Bay & Delta Region Area (MSO San Francisco OSC) .....	f(mtr)		
Chicago Area (MSO Chicago OSC) .....	f(mtr)		
Cleveland, OH Area (MSO Cleveland OSC) .....	v		
EPA Region V Area (EPA OSC) .....	p		
EPA Region III Area (EPA OSC) .....	f		
Saulte Ste. Marie, MI Area (COPT Saulte Ste. Marie OSC) .....	f(mtr)		
South Texas Coastal Zone Area (MSO Corpus Christie OSC) .....	v		
Maryland Coastal Area (MSO Baltimore OSC) .....	v		
SW Louisiana/SE Texas Area (MSO Port Arthur OSC) .....	v		
Tampa, FL Area (MSO Tampa OSC) .....	v		
EPA Region I Area (EPA OSC) .....	p		
LA/LB South Area (MSO LA/LB OSC) .....	v		

## PREP SCHEDULE.—INDUSTRY-LED EXERCISES—Continued

Area	Ind**	Date/QTR *	Lead
EPA Region II (EPA OSC) .....	f(nonmtr)		

\*Quarters: 1 (January–March); 2 (April–June); 3 (July–September); 4 (October–December).

Industry: v-vessel, f(mtr)-marine transportation-related facility; f(nonmtr)-nonmarine transportation-related facility; p-pipeline.

[FR Doc. 95–27867 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### RTCA, Inc., Technical Management Committee; Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for the RTCA Technical Management Committee meeting to be held December 1, 1995, starting at 9:00 a.m. The meeting will be held at RTCA, Inc., 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC, 20036.

The agenda will include: (1) Chairman's Remarks; (2) Review and Approval of Summary of the Previous Meeting; (3) Systems Management Working Group Report to the Technical Management Committee; (4) Consider and Approve: a Proposed Final Draft, Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Global Positioning System/Wide Area Augmentation System Airborne Equipment; b. Proposed Final Draft, Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Aeronautical Mobile Satellite Services (AMSS); c. Report from SC165/WG6 Concerning Feasibility of High Frequency Data Link (HFDL) MASPS; (5) Take Action on Open Items from Previous Meeting; Consider White Paper on RNP Issues and Recommendations; (6) Other Business; (7) Date and Place of Next Meeting.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington, D.C. 20036; (202) 833–9339 (phone) or (202) 833–9434 (fax). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 6, 1995.

Janice L. Peters,

*Designated Official.*

[FR Doc. 95–27925 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–13–M

#### RTCA, Inc., Joint RTCA Special Committee 180 and EUROCAE Working Group 46 Meeting; Design Assurance Guidance for Airborne Electronic Hardware

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for a joint RTCA Special Committee 180 and EUROCAE Working Group 46 meeting to be held December 5–7, 1995, starting at 8:30 a.m. on December 5. (On subsequent days, meeting begins at 8 a.m.) The meeting will be held on RTCA, Inc., 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036.

The agenda will be as follows: (1) Chairman's Introductory Remarks; (2) Review and Approval of Meeting Agenda; (3) Review and Approval of Minutes of Previous Joint Meeting; (4) Leadership Team Meeting Report; (5) Consensus Items; (6) Review Action Items; (7) Review Issue log; (8) Joint Team Status Reports; (9) Joint Team Meeting Assignments and Objectives; (10) Presentation by JT3 on Tools and Qualification; (11) Adjourn to Joint Team Sessions; (12) Joint Team Reports; (13) Other Business; (14) Agenda for Next Meeting; (15) Date and Place of Next Meeting.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington DC 20036; (202) 833–9339 (phone) or (202) 833–9434 (fax). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 6, 1995.

Janice L. Peters,

*Designated Official.*

[FR Doc. 95–27926 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–13–M

#### RTCA, Inc., Special Committee 187, Mode Select Beacon and Data Link System; Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for Special Committee 187 meeting to be held on November 30, 1995, starting at 9:00 a.m. The meeting will be held at RTCA, 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC, 20036.

The agenda will be as follows: (1) Introductory Remarks; (2) Review and Approval of the Agenda; (3) Terms of Reference; (4) Activities and Accomplishments of Special Committee 142; (5) Current Status of Mode S Standards Development in the ICAO SICAS Panel; (6) Background on the Changes Required to RTCA/DO–181A and DO–218; (7) Review of Change 2 to RTCA/DO–181A and Change 1 to RTCA/DO–218; (8) Other Business; (9) Date and Place of Next Meeting.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the RTCA Secretariat, 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036; (202) 833–9339 (phone) or (202) 833–9434 (fax). Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 6, 1995.

Janice L. Peters,

*Designated Official.*

[FR Doc. 95–27927 Filed 11–9–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–13–M

#### RTCA, Inc., Special Committee 185; Aeronautical Spectrum Planning Issues

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for Special Committee 185 meeting to be held on November 28–29, 1995, starting at 9:00 a.m. The meeting will be held at RTCA, 1140 Connecticut Avenue NW., Suite 1020, Washington, DC 20036.