

Acquire Parcels 5 (delete), and Acquire Parcel 6 (delete).

Proposed class or classes of air carriers to be exempted from collecting PFC's:

FAR Part 135 on-demand air taxis, fixed-wing and rotary, and Part 121 supplemental operators.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Staff, ASW-610D, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76137-4298.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at Baton Rouge Metropolitan Airport.

Issued in Forth Worth, Texas on November 9, 1995.

Edward N. Agnew,

Acting Manager, Airports Division.

[FR Doc. 95-28479 Filed 11-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Intent to Rule on Application to Impose Only and Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport, Phoenix, Arizona

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose only, and impose and use PFC revenue from a PFC at Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, Public Law 101-508 as recodified by Title 49 U.S.C. 40117 [C(3)]) and 14 CFR, part 158. On October 27, 1995, the FAA determined that the application to use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the City of Phoenix was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 27, 1996.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following

address: Airports Division, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA., 90009. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Fred Simon, City of Phoenix, 3400 Sky Harbor Boulevard, Phoenix, Arizona, 85034. Comments from air carriers may be in the same form as provided to the City of Phoenix under section 158.23 of FAR part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. John P. Milligan, Supervisor Standards Section, Airports Division, P.O. Box 92007, WPC, Los Angeles, CA 90009, Telephone: (310) 725-3621. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose only and impose and use the revenue from a PFC at the Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-508 as recodified by Title 49 U.S.C. 40117 [C(3)]) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On October 27, 1995, the FAA determined that the application to impose only and impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the City of Phoenix was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 27, 1996.

The following is a brief overview of the application:

Level of the Proposed PFC: \$3.00.
Proposed Charge Effective Date: April 1, 1996.

Proposed Charge Expiration Date: January 31, 1998.

Total Estimated PFC Revenue: \$80,978,000.

Brief description of the proposed projects—Impose and Use:

AWP-95-01-C-PHX

Build out Terminal 4 Concourse N-4—
Total \$7,000,000

Noise Mitigation Efforts—Total
\$4,000,000

Realign Taxiway F to Eliminate Jog—
Total \$1,250,000

Combined Third Runway Project—Total
\$66,853,000

Impose only:

AWP-95-01-C-PHX

Extend North Runway West End—Total
\$1,875,000

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be

required to collect PFCs: ATCO, Air Taxi/Commercial Operators: CAC, Commuters or Small Certificated Air Carriers with less than 7,500 enplanements each annually: CRAC, Large Certificated Route Air Carriers providing non-scheduled service with less than 7,500 enplanements each annually.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application, in person at the City of Phoenix Aviation Administration Office.

Issued in Hawthorne, Calif., on November 1, 1995.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 95-28480 Filed 11-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Federal Highway Administration

Federal Transit Administration

[FHWA/FTA Docket No. 95-9]

Notification of FY 96 Reviews

AGENCIES: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: On April 28, 1994, the FHWA and the FTA Administrators jointly issued guidance to their respective regional administrators on the implementation of the Federal certification of the metropolitan planning process in transportation management area (TMA) planning areas. This notice announces the schedule of FY 1996 reviews as known at this time. The FHWA and the FTA are planning approximately 60 certification and 3 enhanced planning (EPR) reviews for FY 1996. This will complete the first full cycle of certification reviews, of the approximately 140 TMA planning processes, under ISTEA. Additional EPRs and future planning certifications will be announced through the Federal Register. Interested parties are invited to submit comments on the individual planning processes to be reviewed. **DATES:** Comments on metropolitan planning processes under review must be received within sixty (60) days of the scheduled site review in order to be considered during the certification review process. Where reviews have already been completed prior to the publication of this notice, parties

interested in commenting on these metropolitan planning processes should immediately contact Sheldon Edner (see following paragraph for phone number and address and further instructions). Where dates are to be announced, a supplemental notice announcing these dates will be issued when the specific dates are confirmed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For FHWA: Mr. Sheldon Edner, Metropolitan Planning Division (HEP-20), (202) 366-4066 (metropolitan planning) or Mr. Reid Alsop, FHWA Office of the Chief Counsel (HCC-31), (202) 366-1371. For the FTA: Ms. Deborah Burns, Metropolitan Planning Division (TPL-12), (202) 366-1637 or Mr. Scott Biehl, FTA Office of the Chief Counsel (TCC-40), (202) 366-4063. Both agencies are located at 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Office hours for FHWA are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., and for the FTA are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

ADDRESSES: Submit written, signed comments to Docket Number 95-9, Federal Highway Administration, Room 4232, HCC-10, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. All comments received will be available for examination at this address during the hours of 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must enclose a self-addressed, stamped postcard.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Sections 1024, 1025, and 3012 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act

(ISTEA), Public Law 102-240, 105 Stat. 1914, 1955, 1962, and 2098, amended 23 U.S.C. 134 and 135 and Section 8 of the Federal Transit Act (now codified at 49 U.S.C 5303, 5304, and 5305) to require a continuing, comprehensive, and coordinated transportation planning process in metropolitan areas and States. The FHWA and the FTA revised their previous metropolitan planning regulations to implement these changes and published the final regulations on October 28, 1993 (58 FR 58040).

General

Public Involvement in Certification Process

The FHWA and the FTA are soliciting public comment on the planning processes of the FY 1996 certification review sites identified below. The agencies are particularly interested in input regarding the strengths and weaknesses of the planning process in light of the requirements identified in 23 CFR part 450 subpart C. Additionally, the views of local officials and the public are welcomed regarding the use of the planning process in transportation investment decisions.

Schedule of FY 1996 Certification Reviews

The following schedule is subject to revision. Changes will be announced in the Federal Register. Parties interested in providing comments on the metropolitan transportation planning processes in the identified areas should submit them directly to FHWA/FTA Docket 95-9 identified above, clearly identifying the metropolitan area that

the comments address. Except where the certification review was completed prior to the publication of this notice, comments on metropolitan planning processes under review must be received within 60 days of the scheduled review in order to be considered during the certification review process. Where the review was completed prior to publication of this notice, interested parties wishing to make comments on a particular certification must contact Sheldon Edner within two weeks of the date of publication of this notice to assure that their comments will be considered. Where dates for a planned certification review have not been established, please contact the appropriate FHWA Division office for the dates.

The site visits are intended to provide an opportunity for the FHWA and FTA review team to solicit information from the metropolitan planning organizations (MPO), State DOTs and transit agencies regarding the implementation of the planning process. In addition, the team will be experimenting with alternative mechanisms for soliciting public and local official input. Each relevant MPO is being asked to provide public notice, through its regular public notice processes, of the review and the opportunity to provide public input to the review team. Public officials should contact the appropriate MPO to identify processes set up to solicit local government input.

The results of the certification reviews will be made public through the regular MPO public information process at a time to be set by the MPO policy board.

Region/State/TMA	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
Region 1/2:												
Connecticut:												
Bridgeport-Milford	X
Massachusetts:												
Boston	17/20
Rhode Island:												
Providence-Pawtuck												
(RI lead)	X
Providence-Pawtuck												
(MA lead)	X
New York:												
Rochester	X
New York:												
Northeast NJ	X
Syracuse	X
Region 3:												
Delaware:												
Wilmington DE-NJ-												
MD-PA	X
District of Columbia:												
DC	X
Pennsylvania:												
Harrisburg	25/26
Philadelphia												
Pittsburgh	4/29-
							5/1					

Region/State/TMA	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
Colorado:												
Colorado Springs		28/30										
Utah:												
Salt Lake City Ogden .					X							
REGION 9:												
California:												
Bakersfield							X					
Oakland-San Fran- cisco (EPA in early November; certifi- cation in early Spring)		10/30- 11/3										
Modesto						X						
Sacramento						X						
Hawaii:												
Honolulu			X									
Nevada:												
Reno							X					
Las Vegas							X					
REGION 10:												
Alaska:												
Anchorage									X			
Washington:												
Seattle				X								

NOTE: "X" indicates month of certification review; dates are specified where they are scheduled.

Guidance and Responsibility

The FHWA and the FTA published guidance on the certification of planning processes (59 FR 42873). The guidance indicated that the primary responsibility for the certification process rested with the respective regional offices of the FHWA and the FTA. The preparatory work and analysis would be conducted by the appropriate division office of the FHWA, as a prelude to a site visit by representatives of both agencies to the metropolitan planning area to be certified. During the site visit, the FHWA and FTA representatives would, in addition to meeting with representatives of the MPO, State DOTs and transit agencies serving the metropolitan planning area,

also provide an opportunity to meet with elected local officials of the principal local governments in the area and citizens. The purpose of these meetings is to afford the officials and citizens an opportunity to provide input to the certification decision in terms of the performance of the planning process.

As indicated above, the MPO and/or State DOT or transit operator may make arrangements for these meetings through their normal procedures. Other alternatives are acceptable based on arrangements between the Federal agencies and the appropriate transportation planning agencies. Officials and citizens wishing to obtain information regarding the process of providing input should contact the MPO

for the metropolitan planning areas identified above. Alternatively, the Transportation Planner or Planning and Research Engineer for the appropriate Division office of the FHWA also can provide this information. Each FHWA Division office is located in or near the capitol of each State.

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48; Pub. L. 102-240, sections 1024, 1025, 3012; 105 Stat. 1914, 1955, 1962, and 2098.

Issued on: November 9, 1995.

Rodney E. Slater,

Federal Highway Administrator.

Gordon J. Linton,

Federal Transit Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-28465 Filed 11-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

