

Docket No. ¹	Transporter/seller	Recipient	Date filed	Part 284 subpart	Est. max. daily quantity ²	Aff. Y/A/N ³	Rate schedule	Date commenced	Projected termination date
ST96-164	Natural Gas P/L Co. of America.	Feagan Gathering Co.	10-31-95	B	1,500	N	F	10-01-95	09-30-96
ST96-165	Florida Gas Transmission.	Cenergy, Inc	10-31-95	G-S	4,000	N	I	10-01-95	Indef.
ST96-166	Florida Gas Transmission.	Geneva County Gas District.	10-31-95	G-S	3,527	N	F	10-01-95	Indef.
ST96-167	Florida Gas Transmission.	Utilities Board of Florala.	10-31-95	G-S	500	N	F	10-01-95	Indef.
ST96-168	Florida Gas Transmission.	CNB Olympic Gas Services.	10-31-95	G-S	1,000	N	F	10-01-95	12-31-98
ST96-169	Florida Gas Transmission.	Torch Gas, L.C	10-31-95	G-S	25,000	N	I	10-01-95	Indef.
ST96-170	Florida Gas Transmission.	Crescent City Natural Gas.	10-31-95	G-S	1,170	N	F	10-01-95	Indef.

¹ Notice of transactions does not constitute a determination that filings comply with commission regulations in accordance with order No. 436 (final rule and notice requesting supplemental comments, 50 FR 42,372, 10/10/85).

² Estimated maximum daily volumes includes volumes reported by the filing company in MMBTU, MCF and DT.

³ Affiliation of reporting company to entities involved in the transaction. A "Y" indicates affiliation, an "A" indicates marketing affiliation, and a "N" indicates no affiliation.

[FR Doc. 95-28560 Filed 11-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP94-751-004, et al.]

**Transwestern Pipeline Company, et al.;
Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

November 16, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Transwestern Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP94-751-004]

Take notice that on October 13, 1995, Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern), Post Office Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188 filed an amendment (Amendment) to its original application in Docket No. CP94-751-000, as amended, which was filed pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for an order granting permission and approval to abandon certain facilities. Transwestern states that the Amendment requests that the Commission modify the abandonment authorization granted for certain of the facilities in Docket No. CP94-751-000 by the Commission's July 27, 1995, Order Approving Contested Settlement, 72 FERC ¶ 61,085, to allow such facilities to be transferred to non-jurisdictional third parties, all as more fully set forth in the amendment which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Transwestern states that its original application in Docket No. CP94-751-000, requested authorization to abandon certain compressors, treater plants, meters, dehydration units and associated facilities. According to Transwestern, it amended its application to set forth certain

corrections and to reflect the sale to third parties of certain of the facilities, the determination that certain of the facilities already had been abandoned, and the determination that gas was flowing through certain wellhead facilities.

Transwestern proposed to abandon the facilities in the original application through removal or abandonment in place because such facilities were no longer used or useful in its operations, or were uneconomical or otherwise unnecessary for continued operation of its pipeline. It is stated that the order authorized abandonment of such facilities subject to Transwestern's compliance with certain environmental conditions set forth in Appendix D to the order.

Transwestern states that, currently, certain non-jurisdictional third parties seek to acquire some of those facilities for their operations. Accordingly, Transwestern requests that the Commission modify its order to provide that such facilities for which abandonment was granted may be transferred to third parties, and, in such case, Transwestern is not required to comply with the environmental conditions of Appendix D, which would apply if Transwestern abandoned in place or removed such facilities. Transwestern contends that such third parties are the same entities identified in the order as acquiring related facilities for which abandonment authorization was granted in Docket No. CP95-70-000: Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico, Inc., Agave Energy Company, Highlands Gathering and Processing Company and Enron Oil & Gas Company.

According to Transwestern, it would be economically wasteful for Transwestern to undertake the burden and expense of disposing of such facilities only to have third parties undertake the burden and expense of replacing them. Transwestern contends that the purpose of Appendix D is to protect the environment. However, in the case of the facilities the third parties wish to acquire, Transwestern argues that it would be much more disruptive to the environment to comply with Appendix D and remove such facilities, only to have the third parties reinstall them, than to simply convey the facilities to the third parties in the first place.

Given that abandonment already has been authorized for such facilities, Transwestern states that no other change to the order is required or proposed, in order to allow the transfer of such facilities rather than removal or abandonment in place under Appendix D. Transwestern states that it would receive no additional payment as the result of its transfer of such facilities and proposes that there would be no additional change in the accounting treatment for such facilities approved in the July 27, order.¹ Further, it is stated that such facilities would be subject to the default gathering contract applicable to the other related facilities transferred to third parties for which abandonment

¹ Transwestern states that, inasmuch as the accounting treatment for the abandoned assets is an integral part of the Settlement rates and revenues as approved in Docket No. RP95-271-000 and to the extent deemed necessary by the Commission, Transwestern requests waiver of the Commission's regulations in order to obtain the authorization requested herein with no change in the accounting treatment approved in the order.

was authorized in Docket No. CP95-70-000.

Comment date: December 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-44-000]

Take notice that on November 2, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP96-44-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a delivery point in Pecos County, Texas, which will permit it to transport and deliver gas to Transok Inc., (Transok) on an interruptible basis for West Texas Utilities Company (WTU) for delivery to the WTU Rio Pecos Power Plant, under El Paso's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

By a letter agreement dated June 26, 1995, Transok, acting as WTU's agent, and El Paso agreed that El Paso would install a new delivery point on El Paso's Puckett Line. Transok would construct the pipeline connecting El Paso's proposed delivery point to WTU's Rio Pecos Power Plant. El Paso states that WTU intends to use the gas to fuel its Rio Pecos Power Plant. On August 30, 1995, El Paso and WTU entered into a Transportation Service Agreement which provided for interruptible transportation service from any receipt point on El Paso's system to the proposed delivery point. Accordingly, El Paso is seeking authorization to construct and operate the proposed delivery point which is to be known as the Rio Pecos Power Plant Meter Station.

El Paso proposes to construct one 8" O.D. tap and valve assembly, one 8" O.D. senior orifice meter run, EFM, telecommunications equipment, and almost 80 feet of 8" O.D. pipe, all with appurtenances, at approximately milepost 29.8 on its 20" O.D. Puckett Line in Section 87, Block 11, H&GN RR Co. Survey, Pecos County, Texas. The total estimated cost of the proposed facilities, including respective overhead and contingency fees, is \$119,700. Transok, pursuant to the June 26, 1995 letter agreement, will reimburse El Paso for the costs related to the Rio Pecos Power Plant Meter Station construction.

The natural gas volumes transported to the Rio Pecos Power Plant Meter Station is estimated to be 10,950,000

Mcf annually, or an average of 30,000 Mcf per day, during the third calendar year of operation. The maximum peak day requirement during the third calendar year of service is estimated to be 35,000 Mcf.

El Paso states that the establishment of the Rio Pecos Power Plant Meter Station is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers.

Comment date: January 2, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. NE Hub Partners, L.P.

[Docket No. CP96-53-000]

Take notice that on November 7, 1995, NE Hub Partners, L.P. ("NE Hub") located at Two Riverbend at Lansdowne, 44084 Riverside Parkway, Suite 340, Leesburg, Virginia 22075, tendered for filing an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Parts 157 and 284 of the Commission's regulations requesting that the Commission (1) issue NE Hub a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to Subpart A of Part 157 to permit NE Hub to construct and operate natural gas facilities necessary to provide storage and transportation services at market-based rates; (2) issue NE Hub a blanket transportation certificate pursuant to Subpart G of Part 284 to permit NE Hub to provide storage and transportation services on behalf of others; (3) issue NE Hub a blanket construction certificate pursuant to Subpart F of Part 157 to permit NE Hub to construct, acquire, and operate additional facilities following initial construction of the facilities for which authorization under Subpart A of Part 157 is being sought in the application; and (4) issue NE Hub a blanket sales certificate pursuant to Subpart J of Part 284 to provide unbundled sales service for the limited purpose of disposing of gas in storage that shippers may fail to remove.

NE Hub further requests approval of its pro forma FERC Gas Tariff included at Exhibit P to the application. NE Hub also requests that if its request for approval of market-based rates is granted, the Commission (1) waive the requirements of section 284.8(d) of its regulations, which require that rates be designed using a straight fixed-variable rate design methodology; (2) waive the requirements of section 157.14 of its regulations to permit NE Hub to omit Exhibits K, N, and O to the application; and (3) waive the accounting and reporting requirements under Part 201

and section 260.2 of the Commission's regulations.

Further, NE Hub requests that the Commission grant confidential treatment to the cultural resources report that accompanies the application.

The storage and transportation facilities which NE Hub seeks to construct and operate will be located in Tioga County, Pennsylvania. The storage facilities will consist of underground storage caverns that will be developed from a salt bed formation located underneath an existing gas storage field that is owned and operated by CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) and North Penn Gas Company (North Penn). Each cavern to be developed by NE Hub will have approximately 2.5 to 3.0 Bcf of working gas capacity. NE Hub requests that the Commission authorize NE Hub to lease up to ten salt caverns, construct appurtenant facilities to be used to store natural gas, and construct pipeline facilities to interconnect the storage caverns with third-party pipelines (CNG, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company and possibly North Penn) that currently provide service in interstate commerce.

While NE Hub is requesting authorization to construct all ten caverns in this proceeding, it is requesting that the Commission only approve the first two caverns for natural gas storage service at this time and that the remaining caverns only be authorized for natural gas storage service after NE Hub makes certain filings in the future showing, among other things, evidence of market demand for additional natural gas storage service. NE Hub states that the first cavern will be available for service for the 1997-98 winter heating season and a second cavern will be available for the 1999-2000 winter heating season.

The storage and transportation services to be offered by NE Hub will be available on a firm and interruptible basis, based upon terms and conditions that are consistent with the requirements of Order No. 636. The proposed terms and conditions, as well as rate schedules on which services will be offered, are included in the pro forma tariff attached to the application. NE Hub requests that it be permitted to charge and collect market-based rates for these storage and transportation services.

Comment date: December 7, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. NorAm Gas Transmission Company
[Docket No. CP96-68-000]

Take notice that on November 14, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-68-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to operate facilities under NGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-384-000, *et al.*, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NGT proposes to operate an existing delivery tap for deliveries to ARKLA, a distribution division of NorAm Energy Corporation (ARKLA), for ARKLA's service to a customer other than the right-of-way grantor for whom the tap was originally installed.

Comment date: January 2, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience

and necessity. If a motion to leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28638 Filed 11-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket Nos. RP94-96-013 and RP94-213-010]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 17, 1995.

Take notice that on November 14, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective November 1, 1995:

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 31
Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 32
Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 33
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 34
Eighth Revised Sheet No. 35
Eighth Revised Sheet No. 36
Second Revised Sheet No. 37

CNG states that the purpose of its filing is to implement a voluntary rate reduction, effective November 1, 1995, to reflect the Appendix A rates set forth in the June 28, 1995 Stipulation and Agreement filed in the captioned proceedings. CNG seeks to avoid unnecessarily collecting amounts in rates that will be refunded upon approval of the Stipulation, which has been certified to the Commission and is

pending approval as an uncontested settlement. CNG states that the documentation and workpapers in support of the proposed rate reduction have been provided to the Commission, at Appendix A of the June 28 Stipulation.

CNG states that copies of this letter of transmittal and enclosures are being mailed to parties to the captioned proceeding and to CNG's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before November 27, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-28565 Filed 11-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. MB95-7-001]

Cove Point LNG Limited Partnership; Notice of Filing

November 14, 1995.

Take notice that on November 6, 1995, Cove Point LNG Limited Partnership (Cove Point) submitted revised standards of conduct under Order Nos. 497 *et seq.*¹ and Order Nos.

¹ Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), FERC Stats. & Regs. 1986-1990 ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, *order on rehearing*, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), FERC Stats. & Regs. 1986-1990 ¶ 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, *order extending sunset date*, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), FERC Stats. & Regs. 1986-1990 ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497-C, *order extending sunset date*, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); Tenneco Gas v. FERC (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F.2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497-D, *order on remand and extending sunset date*, III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, *order on rehearing and extending sunset date*, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497-F, *order denying rehearing and granting clarification*, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497-G, *order extending sunset date*, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17, 1994).