with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Director, Division of Project Review, Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, at the above address. Each filing must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed on the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b), and 385.2010.

Dated: November 30, 1995, Washington, DC.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–29641 Filed 12–5–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

Notice of Application Tendered for Filing With the Commission

November 30, 1995.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. Type of Application: Major License.
b. Project No. 11301–001.
c. Date filed: November 8, 1995.
d. Applicant: Fall Line Hydro Company, Inc.
e. Name of Project: Carters Reregulation Dam Project.
f. Location: On the Coosawatte River, near the town of Calhoun, Murray County, Georgia.
g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)–825(r).
h. Applicant Contact: Mr. Robert A. Davis III, Fall Line Hydro Company, Inc., P.O. Box 2143, Lawrenceville, GA 30246, (770) 995–0891.
i. FERC Contact: Michael Dees (202) 219–2807.
j. Comment Date: 60 days from the filing date in paragraph c.
k. Description of Project: The project would utilize the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Carters Reregulation Dam and reservoir and would consist of the following features: (1) a proposed intake structure; (2) a proposed powerhouse housing a three hydropower units with a total capacity of 4,500 kW; (3) a proposed 12.48 kV transmission line one half mile long; and (4) appurtenant facilities.
l. With this notice, we are initiating consultation with the Georgia State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), as required by section 106, National Historic Preservation Act, and the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 36 C.F.R. 800.4.
m. Pursuant to § 4.32(b)(7) of 18 CFR of the Commission's regulations, if any resource agency, Indian Tribe, or person believes that an additional scientific study should be conducted in order to form an adequate factual basis for a complete analysis of the application on its merit, the resource agency, Indian Tribe, or person must file a request for a study with the Commission not later than 60 days from the filing date and serve a copy of the request on the applicant.

In addition to filing under the above paragraph, requests for additional studies may be submitted on a 3½-inch diskette formatted for MS–DOS based computers. In light of our ability to translate MS–DOS based materials, the text need only be submitted in the format and version that it was generated (i.e., MS Word, Wordperfect 5.1/5.2, ASCII, etc.). It is not necessary to reformat word processor generated text to ASCII. For Macintosh users, it would be helpful to save the documents in Macintosh word processor format and then write them to files on a diskette formatted for MS–DOS machines.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–29631 Filed 12–5–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP85–221–060, et al.]

Frontier Gas Storage Company, et al. Natural Gas Certificate Filings

November 28, 1995

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Frontier Gas Storage Company

[Docket No. CP85–221–060]

Take notice that on November 21, 1995, Frontier Gas Storage Company (Frontier), c/o Reid & Priest, Market Square, 701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004, in compliance with provisions of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order in Docket No. CP82–487–000, *et al.*, submitted an executed Service Agreement under Rate Schedule LVS–1 providing for the possible sale of up to a daily quantity of 50,000 MMBtu, not to exceed 5 Bcf of Frontier's gas storage inventory on an ''as metered'' basis to Prairielands Energy Marketing, Inc., for term ending October 31, 1996.

Under Subpart (b) of Ordering Paragraph (F) of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order, Frontier is ''authorized to commence the sale of its inventory under such an executed service agreement fourteen days after filing the agreement with the Commission, and may continue making such sale unless the Commission issues an order either requiring Frontier to stop selling and setting the matter for hearing or permitting the sale to continue and establishing other procedures for resolving the matter.''

Comment date: 10 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation

[Docket No. CP96–42–000]

Take notice that on November 3, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National), 10 Lafayette Square, Buffalo, New York, 14203, filed in Docket No. CP96–42–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to partially abandon a storage service to Fitchburg Gas and Electric Company (Fitchburg) under Rate Schedule SS–1 and Yankee Gas Services Company (Yankee) under Rate Schedule SS–2. all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, National requests authorization, effective April 1, 1996, to partially abandon service to Yankee by reducing its annual SS–2 contract entitlement from 1.5 Bcf to 820,200 Mcf and to partially abandon service to Fitchburg by reducing its annual SS–1 contract entitlement from $300,000 Mcf to 60,000 Mcf.

Comment date: December 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Seahawk Shoreline System

[Docket No. CP96–73–000]

Take notice that on November 17, 1995, Seahawk Shoreline System (Seahawk), having its principal offices at 200 Westlake Park Boulevard, Suite 1000, Houston, Texas 77079, filed a petition requesting that the Commission disclaim jurisdiction over certain of Seahawk's natural gas gathering facilities under Section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA).

Seahawk states that the facilities which are the subject of the petition (formerly known as the Seagull Shoreline System) are located entirely within the State of Texas and its state waters, gathering both gas and associated liquids in a two-phase flow from production platforms in the Matagorda Island Area, offshore Texas. Seahawk further states that it is currently classified as an intrastate pipeline. Seahawk states that based on its current status as an intrastate pipeline, it performs transportation under Section 311(a)(2) of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA).

Seahawk contends that the Commission and the courts have reexamined, modified and more clearly delineated the requirements for determining whether a facility qualifies for a gathering exemption from Commission jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the NGA. The result of these recent actions was the development and implementation of the ''modified primary function'' test. Seahawk avers that the facilities comprising its system meet this test and therefore, are not subject to Commission jurisdiction. Moreover, Seahawk states that disclaiming jurisdiction over its facilities is consistent with the Commission's regulatory and statutory objectives under the NGA and the NGPA.

Comment date: December 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation Columbia Gulf Transmission Company and Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96–75–000]

Take notice that on November 17, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, and Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124–1000 (jointly as the Companies), filed in Docket No. CP96–75–000 a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon exchange services which were once required for the exchange of offshore Louisiana gas, which was authorized in Docket Nos. CP76–191, CP77–649, CP77–657 and CP80–204, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, the Companies are seeking abandonment authority for the following rate schedules:

Docket No.	Order date	Company	Rate schedule
CP76–191	Jan. 4, 1978	Columbia	X–68
CP76–191	.....do	Columbia Gulf	X–48
CP76–191	.....do	Northern	X–57
CP77–657	Jan. 2, 1979	Columbia	X–81
CP77–657	.....do	Columbia Gulf	X–60
CP77–649	.....do	Northern	X–74
CP80–204	June 12, 1980	Columbia	X–95
CP80–204	.....do	Columbia Gulf	X–73
CP80–204	.....do	Northern	X–105

The Companies state that both Columbia and Northern purchased gas from Exxon Corporation (Exxon) at Block 332, Eugene Island Area, offshore Louisiana, and that Columbia Gulf received the gas for Columbia's account at an existing receipt point on Exxon's production platform at Eugene Island Block 314. The Companies state that Northern was unable to take delivery of its Eugene Island Block gas, and the exchange certificated under Docket No. CP76–191 provided for Columbia and Columbia Gulf to take delivery of Northern's gas from Exxon for delayed redelivery to Northern. The Companies state that all gas was on an Mcf-for-Mcf basis. The Companies state when Northern was unable to take the gas into its own system, repayment was effected out of Columbia's share of the gas produced from the Exxon wells.

The Companies state that the exchange certificated under Docket Nos. CP77–657 and CP77–649 provided for Northern to deliver gas to Columbia Gulf for the account of Columbia at the outlet side of Sea Robin Pipeline Company's measurement facilities near Erath, Louisiana and the outlet side of Columbia Gulf's measurement facilities at the Blue Water offshore pipeline system near Egan, Louisiana. The Companies state that Columbia delivered gas to Northern or to Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) for Northern's account at an interconnection between Columbia Gulf and Trunkline near Egan, Louisiana. The Companies state that construction of the interconnection was paid for by Northern and maintained and operated by Columbia Gulf for Northern's account. The Companies state that all exchanges of gas were on an Mcf-for-Mcf basis.

The Companies state that Columbia purchased gas from Exxon in Vermilion Area Block 372, offshore Louisiana and Northern purchased gas from Texasgulf, Inc., West Cameron Area Block 405, offshore Louisiana. The Companies state that the exchange certificated under Docket No. CP80–204 provided for Columbia to deliver up to 20,000 Mcf/d of its Vermilion Block 372 gas to Northern at the producer platform in Vermilion Area Block 372, and for Northern to deliver up to 20,000 Mcf/d of its West Cameron Block 405 gas via Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, to Columbia Gulf at existing facilities located on producer platforms in West Cameron Area Blocks 616/630, offshore Louisiana. The Companies state that the exchange of gas was on an equivalent Btu basis.

The Companies submit that the proposed abandonments are required by the present and future public convenience and necessity, as they will eliminate exchange services no longer needed and will permit the Companies to cancel their corresponding Volume II Rate Schedules.

Comment date: December 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96–78–000]

Take notice that on November 20, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251–1478, filed in Docket No. CP96–78–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (18 CFR 157.205, and 157.211) for authorization to construct and install a four-inch delivery tap through which Koch Gateway will make natural gas deliveries to Shell Oil Company's St. Rose Refinery, under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–430–000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to construct and install a four-inch delivery tap and meter station on its Baton Rouge-New Orleans line, Index 270, in St. Charles Parish, Louisiana. The total proposed

estimated deliveries for these facilities is 5,000 Mcf daily with a peak day estimate of 10,000 Mcf per day. Koch Gateway proposes to make natural gas deliveries under its ITS Rate Schedule. Koch Gateway further states that the service would not have an impact on its curtailment plan because the proposed service is interruptible in nature.

Koch Gateway further states that the estimated cost of the proposed facilities is $29,200. It is stated that Shell would reimburse Koch Gateway for the cost of the construction of the facilities.

Comment date: January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96–80–000]

Take notice that on November 21, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), One Williams Center, P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96–80–000, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.216(b)) for authorization to abandon, by reclaim, measuring and appurtenant facilities originally installed for the delivery of sales gas to (1) Missouri Gas Energy in Jasper County, Missouri; (2) Childress Mine and Quarry in Jasper County, Missouri; (3) Sabreliner Corp. in Newton County, Missouri; and (4) NEO Hospital in Craig County, Oklahoma, under WNG's blanket authorization issued in Docket No. CP82–479–000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states that all of the affected customers have agreed to the reclaim of the facilities. WNG further states the total estimated reclaim costs are $5,460 with an estimated salvage value of $0.

WNG states it has sent a copy of this filing to the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission.

Comment date: January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

7. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96–82–000]

Take notice that on November 22, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96–82–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216) for authorization to relocate and install new metering and appurtenant facilities for Farmland Industries, Inc. (Farmland) and to abandon by sale to Farmland the old meter and regulator settings and approximately 515 feet of 8-inch lateral pipeline all located in Douglas County, Kansas, under WNG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–479–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG states the facilities were installed in 1963 to deliver sales gas to Farmland and do not currently meet the standard design specifications established by the American National Standards Institute and the American Petroleum Institute.

WNG states that it proposes to install a dual run 8-inch meter setting and appurtenant facilities approximately 400 feet north of the existing facilities. WNG states that installing the facilities at the new location will remove them from beneath high voltage power lines, and that the new metering facilities will be in compliance with established industry standards. WNG also states that the new location will eliminate the need for WNG employees to pass through Farmland's security to access WNG's facilities.

WNG states the current volume of gas flowing through the facilities is 78.5 MMcf on a peak day and 17,000 MMcf annually. WNG states that it does not anticipate any change in volume as a result of the proposed replacement.

WNG estimates the construction cost of its proposal to be $150,660. WNG states that since the meter and regulator settings and the pipeline will be sold in place to Farmland, there is no reclaim cost associated with this project.

WNG submits that this proposal will not significantly affect a sensitive environmental area.

Comment date: January 12, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–29642 Filed 12–5–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[FRL–5340–2]

Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality (PSD) Final Determinations

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency.