

#### J. Matters Concerning IAEA Inspectors

(1) Any question, complaint or request for assistance from any IAEA inspector, while performing inspection activities in the United States, which is not resolved by personnel at the facility in question or through the RA contact, shall be referred to SISUS. The IAEA shall be provided with the names of designated officials in the NRC, DOE and PM/NE for this purpose, including 24-hour telephone number information. The designated official contacted shall advise the RA as soon as possible whenever so contacted, to determine whether any immediate action is appropriate and to obtain any necessary assistance from the appropriate RA official. If time and circumstances permit, the matter may be referred to the SISUS and, in any event, the SISUS shall be advised of the matter and its resolution.

(2) Any question, complaint or request from a facility operator concerning an action by an IAEA inspector shall be addressed to the RA. This shall be undertaken in the first instance by contacting an appropriate RA official, if present at the facility. If necessary, a designated official at RA headquarters shall be consulted. If not resolved by such consultation, the matter will then be addressed as described in Section H above.

(3) The RA shall be responsible for ensuring compliance with footnotes to Codes 3.2 of the Arrangements with respect to safety, radiation protection, and medical care of IAEA staff members carrying out functions under the Agreement.

#### K. Designation of IAEA Inspectors

Each proposal by the IAEA for designation of one or more inspectors for service in the United States which is received by the Mission shall be referred to the SISUS for consideration. If consensus cannot be reached, the matter will be referred to the SISM. State shall provide the U.S. response to each such proposal to the Mission for transmittal to the IAEA. PM/NE shall maintain the list of IAEA inspectors formally designated for service in the United States and shall provide copies of the list, and changes as they occur, to each ISC member agency. The NRC and DOE may provide copies of such lists to facility operators under their respective jurisdictions for their information.

#### L. Notification of IAEA Inspections and Visits

NRC and DOE shall consult and provide to PM/NE, and PM/NE shall provide the IAEA, the name, telex

address, and telephone number of an appropriate official and alternate to be contacted by the IAEA for advance, informal coordination and planning of any inspection or visit. This official shall coordinate preparation for each inspection or visit with any facility involved and provide timely responses directly to the IAEA. Such coordination shall be in preparation for the formal advance notification of each IAEA inspection and visit (Agreement Article 81 and Protocol Article 11(b)) which, when received by the Mission, shall be provided to State by telegram, with the NRC and DOE as information addresses. SISUS shall maintain a schedule of each planned IAEA inspection or visit and provide copies to the ISC member agencies upon request. The operator of each facility to be inspected or visited shall be so informed by the RA. The RA shall also arrange for the IAEA inspector to be accompanied by one or more RA representatives. The RA shall, to the extent possible, accommodate requests by SISUS members to be present during inspections. Should the IAEA elect to perform unannounced inspections, the RA, when notified by the facility, shall make a determination of the need to send a representative to the site as soon as practical.

#### M. Reports by the IAEA

Reports by the IAEA, in accordance with Agreement Articles 41, 64 and 88, of its inspections and other safeguards activities in the United States, when received by the Mission, shall be transmitted to State. PM/NE shall provide copies to the ISC member agencies and the Chair of the SISUS, and shall also maintain a file of such reports. The SISUS shall review these reports and determine any needed action.

#### N. Implementation Reports

SISUS, on the basis of information collected by the NRC and DOE and information obtained from the IAEA, may prepare periodic reports concerning implementation of the Agreement, including, inter alia, pertinent statistics, lists of facilities inspected, and other relevant data for the information of government agencies, the Congress and the public.

#### O. Agreement Article 22

State shall institute steps as necessary to suspend, for the duration of the Agreement, the application of IAEA safeguards in the United States under other safeguards agreements with the IAEA. State shall maintain a list of the agreements, required by Code 3.8.1 of the Subsidiary Arrangements, under which the application of such

safeguards has been suspended and shall provide this list and all subsequent changes to each ISC member agency. DOE shall prepare the reports required by Codes 3.8.2 and 3.8.3 of the Subsidiary Arrangements for delivery of these reports to State for transmission by State to the IAEA within the time limits stipulated in Codes 3.8.2 and 3.8.3 DOE shall also be responsible for the monitoring function called for in footnote 3 of Code 3.8 of the Subsidiary Arrangements and for reporting, at least annually, to State the results of such monitoring.

#### P. Role of These Procedures and Their Modification

(1) Scope. These procedures are for the purpose of interagency coordination and shall not affect the internal coordination mechanism of any agency. These procedures establish requirements solely applicable to certain agencies of the United States Government, rather than individuals, and, accordingly, are not rules within the meaning of the Administrative Procedure Act.

(2) Amendment. These procedures may be amended from time to time by the ISC.

Dated: February 15, 1996.

Richard J.K. Stratford,

*Director, Office of Nuclear Energy Affairs,  
Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, United  
States Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 96-4232 Filed 2-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-25-M

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Office of the Secretary

[Dockets OST-95-676 and OST-95-677]

### Applications of Falcon Air Express, Inc., for Certificate Authority

**AGENCY:** Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Notice of order to show cause (order 96-2-34).

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Transportation is directing all interested persons to show cause why it should not issue an order finding Falcon Air Express, Inc., fit, willing, and able, and awarding it certificates of public convenience and necessity to engage in interstate and foreign charter air transportation of persons, property, and mail.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to file objections should do so no later than March 4, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Objections and answers to objections should be filed in Dockets

OST-95-676 and OST-95-677 and addressed to the Documentary Services Division (C-55, Room PL-401), U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, and should be served upon the parties listed in Attachment A to the order.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Delores King, Air Carrier Fitness Division (X-56, Room 6401), U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 366-2343.

Dated: February 20, 1996.

Charles A. Hunnicutt,

*Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 96-4250 Filed 2-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

## Federal Aviation Administration

### Proposed Information Collection; Request Submitted for Public Comment; Federal Aviation Administration Acquisition Management System

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to comment on the Federal Aviation Administration Acquisition Management System.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration is submitting for public comment the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act [44 USC Chapter 35].

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before 22 April 1996. Written comments should address the accuracy of the burden estimates and ways to minimize the burden including the use of automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology, as well as other relevant aspects of the information collection request.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on any of these collections may be mailed or delivered in duplicate to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Judith Street, Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Business Information and Consultation, Corporate Information Division, 800 Independence Avenue, Washington, D.C. SW 20591.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Judith Street at (202) 267-9895.

In addition, the proposed Acquisition Management System and executive summary are located: On the internet @: [http://www.faa.gov/asu/asu100/acq-reform/acq\\_home.htm](http://www.faa.gov/asu/asu100/acq-reform/acq_home.htm). The internet E-Mail address is 9\_Acquisition\_Reform@mail.hq.faa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA has developed the new Acquisition Management System that makes it necessary for information collection in order to comply with Public Law 104-50. FAA's new acquisition management system was developed to address the unique needs of the agency and, at a minimum, provide for more timely and cost-effective acquisitions of equipment and materials.

*Type of Review:* New.

*OMB Number:* 2120-TBD.

*Number of Respondents:* 3,338.

*Responses per respondent:* varies: 1 to 12.

*Annual responses:* 4,500.

*Average burden per response:* varies: 15 min. to 2 weeks.

*Annual burden hours:* 333,292.

*Affected Public:* Individuals, businesses, not-for-profit institutions, federal government.

*Frequency:* varies: on occasion and monthly.

*Respondent's Obligation:* varies: voluntary, required to obtain or retain benefits, mandatory.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Issued in Washington, DC., on February 22, 1996.

Steve Hopkins,

*Acting Manager, Corporate Information Division, ABC-100.*

[FR Doc. 96-4262 Filed 2-21-96; 4:27 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

### Kansas City International Airport, Kansas City, Missouri, Noise Exposure Map Notice; Receipt of Noise Compatibility Program and Request for Review

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure maps submitted by Kansas City, Missouri, for Kansas City International Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) and 14 CFR Part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements. The FAA also announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program that was submitted for Kansas City International Airport under Part 150 in conjunction with the noise exposure map, and that this program will be

approved or disapproved on or before August 7, 1996.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of the FAA's determination on the noise exposure maps and of the start of its review of the associated noise compatibility program is February 9, 1996. The public comment period ends April 9, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Moira D. Keane, Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, ACE-615B, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106 (816) 426-4731.

Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps submitted for Kansas City International Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of Part 150, effective February 9, 1996. Further, FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for that airport which will be approved or disapproved on or before August 7, 1996. This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

Under section 103 of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator may submit to the FAA noise exposure maps which meet applicable regulations and which depict noncompatible land uses as of the date of submission of such maps, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect such maps. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies, and persons using the airport.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

Kansas City, Missouri, submitted to the FAA on August 14, 1995, noise exposure maps, descriptions and other documentation which were produced during the Kansas City International Airport Master Plan and F.A.R. Part 150 Noise Compatibility Study. It was requested that the FAA review this