Committee feedback on the Forest Health proposal from the Klamath Province and a presentation by the Small Log Utilization Group, (2) Discussion with federal managers about the short-term and long-term response to flood impacts on Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management lands in the Province, (3) Overview of Northwest Forest Plan monitoring strategy, (4) Group information sharing

The meeting is open to the public and opportunity will be available to address the Advisory Committee during a public forum. The public forum will follow the agenda topics mentioned above and will occur in the afternoon. Time allotted for individual presentations to the committee will be limited to 3–5 minutes each. Written comments are encouraged and can be submitted prior to the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For more information regarding this meeting, contact Neal Forrester, Designated Federal Official; Willamette National Forest, 211 East Seventh Avenue; Eugene, Oregon 97401; (541) 465–6924.

Dated: March 21, 1996.

Richard C. Stem,

Deputy Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 96–7391 Filed 3–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–437–001]

Truck Trailer Axle and Brake Assemblies From Hungary; Termination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Administration/Import Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of antidumping duty investigation.

SUMMARY: On December 1, 1995, the Department received a letter from counsel to Rockwell International Corporation (''the petitioner''). The letter notified the Department that the petitioner had no further interest in the suspended investigation on truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof from Hungary and that it was, therefore, withdrawing the petition. On December 8, 1995, the Department requested parties to the proceeding to provide comments on the Department's proposal to terminate the suspended antidumping duty investigation on truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof from Hungary. The Department is now terminating this suspended investigation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 27, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Presing, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–3793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 12, 1981, the Department received a petition from counsel representing Rockwell International Corporation of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The petitioner simultaneously filed a copy of the petition with the United States International Trade Commission (the Commission). The petitition alleged that truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof were being sold in the United States at less than fair value and that the truck trailer axle industry in the United States was being materially injured by reason of the importation of this merchandise. After conducting a summary review of the petition, the Department instituted an investigation, and notice was published in the Federal Register of March 11, 1981 (46 FR 16109).

On March 30, 1981, the Commission notified us that it had determined, as required by section 733(a) of the Act, that there was a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States was materially injured by reason of the importation of the subject imports. The Commission's determination and the reasons therefore were published in the Federal Register on April 8, 1981 (46 FR 21121).

On September 30, 1981, the Department preliminarily determined that truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies were being sold in the United States at less than fair value. Notice of the preliminary affirmative antidumping determination was published in the Federal Register on September 17, 1981 (46 FR 46152).

In a letter dated October 29, 1981, counsel for the respondent Hungarian Railway Carriage and Machine Works (RÁBA) proposed to enter into a suspension agreement pursuant to section 734 of the Act and section 353.18 (19 CFR 353.18 (1994)) of the Department's regulations. On November 3, 1981, the Department provided copies of the proposed suspension agreement between RÁBA and the Department of Commerce to the petitioner for its consultation and to other parties to the proceeding for their comments. On December 1, 1981, Rockwell International Corporation (the petitioner) and Dana Corporation (a U.S. producer of the subject merchandise) submitted comments on the proposed suspension agreement. After considering all comments and consulting with the petitioner in accordance with section 734(e) of the Act, the Department determined that the criteria for suspension of an investigation pursuant to section 734(e) of the Act had been met.

On January 4, 1982, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of suspension of antidumping duty investigation on truck trailer axles from Hungary (47 FR 66). The basis for the suspension of investigation was an agreement reached between the Department and RÁBA in which RÁBA agreed to revise its prices to eliminate sales of this merchandise to the United States at less than fair value.

Between January 1982 and June 1993, the suspension agreement was administered pursuant to the terms of the agreement. On June 9, 1993, the Department received a letter from RÁBA notifying the Department that RÁBA was withdrawing from the suspension agreement. In RÁBA's letter of withdrawal, RÁBA stated that it no longer possessed any physical capacity to manufacture truck trailer axles, and that its contractual obligations to provide truck trailer axles to its sole U.S. importer of the merchandise had terminated. Further, RÁBA stated that it had no intention for the foreseeable future of reinvesting in machinery and equipment in order to be able to manufacture truck trailer axles. Therefore, RÁBA stated that there was no purpose in continuing to maintain the suspension agreement, and that RÁBA was thereby withdrawing from it.

On June 21, 1993, the petitioner objected to RÁBA's withdrawal from the suspension agreement. The petitioner alleged that BPW–RÁBA, the company formed when RÁBA sold its truck trailer axle-producing facility to the German company BPW in 1991, was a successor in interest to RÁBA with regard to the suspension agreement. Therefore, the petitioner argued that BPW–RÁBA, and not RÁBA, was the proper party to withdraw from the suspension agreement.

In light of petitioner's objection regarding RÁBA's standing to withdraw from the suspension agreement, the Department, in a letter dated November 8, 1993, inquired into BPW–RÁBA's interest in the suspension agreement. The Department stated in the letter that if BPW–RÁBA indicated that it was not

interested in the suspension agreement, the Department would terminate the suspension agreement and resume the suspended investigation. Conversely, if BPW–RÁBA were to indicate that it was interested in the suspension agreement, the Department could initiate a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether BPW–RÁBA should be treated as a successor in interest to RÁBA. The Department requested a response from BPW–RÁBA, indicating its interest in the continuation of the suspension agreement, no later than 60 days from the date of the letter. Absent a response from BPW–RÁBA by January 7, 1994, the Department would assume that BPW–RÁBA had no interest in the agreement and, therefore, the Department would resume the investigation.

On January 5, 1994, BPW–RÁBA notified the Department that the agreement seemed to have no relevance to it for the following reasons: 1) it had never been an exporter of truck trailer axles to the United States, although it had supplied RÁBA with certain truck trailer axle components; 2) it had stopped production of truck trailer axles for the United States in 1992; and 3) it had removed the truck trailer axle production equipment from its plant.

On May 5, 1994, the petitioner stated that they believed that BPW–RÁBA still produced axles, but that it was not currently exporting them to the United States. Rockwell stated that it would like the Department to inquire further into BPW–RÁBA's production capabilities. On September 29, 1994, the Department conducted a verification at the production facilities of BPW–RÁBA in Szombathely, Hungary. The primary purpose of the verification was to investigate BPW–RABA's claim that the agreement was no longer relevant due to BPW–RÁBA's cessation of production/exports of subject merchandise to the United States. Based on the verification, there was no evidence to support the claim that BPW–RÁBA was continuing to produce covered merchandise for the U.S. market. For further details of the verification, please see the verification report placed on the record.

On December 1, 1995, counsel to the petitioner notified the Department that Rockwell International Corporation had no further interest in the suspended investigation on truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof from Hungary and that it was, therefore, withdrawing the petition.

On December 8, 1995, the Department notified parties to the proceeding of its intent to terminate the suspended investigation pursuant to § 353.17(a)(1) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.17(a)(1)(1994)). We received comments from interested parties concerning the proposed termination on January 11, 1996.

Scope of Investigation

The merchandise covered by the investigation are those trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof (the ''product'') imported under item numbers 692.32 and 692.60 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) or under item number 8716.40 and 8716.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) of the United States. This includes any parts which may be imported under any other TSUS category to be utilized in trailer axles. These parts include, but are not limited to the beam, spindle, brake spider, camshaft, brake shoes, and separate brake assemblies when imported for use on trailer axles. The agreement did not include separate brake assemblies and other parts which are to be utilized solely in truck components other than trailer axles.

Termination of Investigation

Under § 353.17(a) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.17(a)(1994)) the Department may terminate an investigation if the petitioner withdraws the petition, after notifying all parties to the proceeding and after consultation with the International Trade Commission (ITC). Section 353.17(a) further provides that the Department may not terminate an investigation unless it concludes that the termination is in the public interest. We have notified all parties to the proceeding and have consulted with the ITC. We also conclude that termination of the investigation is in the public interest (see public interest assessment memo, March 6, 1996).

On December 1, 1995, Rockwell International Corporation notified the Department that it has no further interest in the suspended investigation on truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof from Hungary and that it was, therefore, withdrawing its petition. Based on the Department's request for comments to the proposed termination, two letters were filed on January 11, 1996. Eaton Corporation (an importer of the subject merchandise) expressed its support for the proposed termination. Dana Corporation objected to the proposed termination. In its public interest assessment regarding the termination of the suspended investigaton , the Department addresses the objections raised by Dana Corporation.

Based on information contained in the record, the Department is terminating the antidumping duty investigation on truck trailer axle-and-brake assemblies and parts thereof from Hungary. This action is taken pursuant to section 734(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1673c(a)(2)), and § 353.17(a)(2) of Commerce's regulations (19 CFR 353.17(a)(2)(1994)).

Dated: March 14, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–7346 Filed 3–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Meeting of the Advisory Council on Dependents' Education

AGENCY: Department of Defense Education Activity, Office of the Secretary of Defense, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the Advisory Council on Dependents' Education (ACDE). It also describes the functions of the Council. Notice of this meeting is required under the National Advisory Committee Act. Although the meeting is open to the public, because of space constraints, anyone wishing to attend the meeting should contact the point of contact listed below.

DATES: May 16, 1996, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and May 17, 1996, 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.

ADDRESSES: On May 16, 1996, the meeting will be held in the Secretary of Defense Conference Room (3E869) in the Pentagon. On May 17, 1996, the meeting will be held at the headquarters building of the Department of Defense Education Activity, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Room 904, Arlington, Virginia 22203–1635.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Pamela Williams, DoD Education Activity, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22203–1635; Telephone number: 703–696–4246, extension 124.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Advisory Council on Dependents' Education is established under title XIV, section 1411, of Public Law 95–561, Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978, as amended by title XII, section 1204(b) (3)–(5), of Public Law 99–145, Department of Defense Authorization Act of 1986 (20 U.S.C., chapter 25A, section 929, Advisory Council on Dependents' Education). The Council is cochaired by designees of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of