92–463 as amended), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Steering Subcommittee of the Labor Advisory Committee for Trade Negotiations and Trade Policy.

Date, time and place: April 10, 1996, 10:00 am–12:00 noon, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S–3215 A/B, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Purpose: The meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues which influence U.S. trade policy. Potential U.S. negotiating objectives and bargaining positions in current and anticipated trade negotiations will be discussed. Pursuant to section 9(B) of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) it has been determined that the meeting will be concerned with matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the Government's negotiating objectives or bargaining positions. Accordingly, the meeting will be closed to the public.

For further information, contact: Fernand Lavalee, Director, Trade Advisory Group, Phone: (202) 219–4752.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 21st day of March, 1996.

Joaquin Otero,

Deputy Under Secretary, International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 96–7412 Filed 3–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–28–M

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Advisory Committee on the Elimination of Pneumoconiosis Among Coal Mine Workers; Meeting

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the date, time, place, and agenda summary for the second meeting of the Mine Safety and Health Administration's Advisory Committee on the Elimination of Pneumoconiosis Among Coal Mine Workers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, room 631, Arlington, Virginia 22203; phone 703–235–1910.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A public meeting of the advisory committee will be held as follows:

(1) April 11, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

(2) April 12, 1996, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The meeting will be held on both days at the DoubleTree Hotel—Pittsburgh (Somerset Room) located at 1000 Penn Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222; phone 412–281–3700.

The Secretary of Labor established this advisory committee (60 FR 5947) to develop recommendations for improved standards or other appropriate actions addressing: permissible exposure limits to eliminate black lung disease and silicosis; the means to control respirable coal mine dust levels; improved monitoring of respirable coal dust levels and the role of the miner in that monitoring; and the adequacy of operator sampling programs to determine the actual levels of dust concentrations to which miners are exposed. The Advisory Committee is chartered through September 30, 1996 (60 FR 55284), but must complete its deliberations by August 19, 1996.

The agenda for the second meeting will include discussions on the control of the workplace environment (worker exposure). Specific topics for discussion will include: (1) The current state of dust control technology for underground and surface coal mines and its effectiveness; (2) new developments in control technology and mining systems; (3) the hierarchy of controls and its application in underground and surface coal mines; (4) the design of mine ventilation plans for effective dust control and the means for verifying plan effectiveness; (5) the monitoring of compliance with plan requirements; (6) means of upgrading ventilation plan provisions; (7) the role of the miner, operator, and MSHA; and (8) education and training needs relative to the control of the workplace environment.

The public is invited to attend. The chairperson will provide an hour near the end of each day's meeting to allow interested persons to make comments. Official records of the meeting will be available for public inspection at the above address.

Dated: March 22, 1996.

J. Davitt McAteer,

Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 96–7384 Filed 3–22–96; 12:17 pm]

BILLING CODE 4510–43–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 35, ''Medical Use of Byproduct Material.''
2. Current OMB Approval Number 3150–0010.
3. How often the collection is required: Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as needed due to a change in programs or as events occur.
4. Who is required or asked to report: Physicians and medical institutions who are applicants for, or holders of, an NRC license authorizing the administration of byproduct material or its radiation to humans for medical use.
5. The number of annual respondents: 1,982 NRC licensees and 4,955 Agreement State licensees.
6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 376,407 hours for NRC licensees and 942,820 hours for Agreement State licensees.
7. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 35, ''Medical Use of Byproduct Material,'' contains requirements that apply to NRC licensees who are authorized to administer byproduct material or its radiation to humans for medical use. The information in the required reports and records is used by the NRC to ensure that the health and safety of the public is protected, and that the licensee possession and use of byproduct material is in compliance with license and regulatory requirements. The revision is a net increase adjustment in burden resulting from an increase in the number of affected licensees, a reevaluation of the time required to perform individual activities and the number of times those activities are performed, and an addition of burden associated with three sections, two of which are a result of rulemaking, and one which was inadvertently omitted during the last evaluation of burden.

Submit, by (insert date 60 days after publication in the Federal Register), comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access this document via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advance Copy Document Library), NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703–321–3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1–800–303–9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703–487–4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1–800–397–4209 or, within the Washington, DC area, at 202–634–3273.

Comments and questions may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 F33, Washington, DC, 20555–0001, or by telephone at (301) 415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of March, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 96–7407 Filed 3–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 72–9 (50–267)]

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Materials License SNM–2504; Public Service Company of Colorado; Fort St. Vrain Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment 2 to Materials License No. SNM–2504 held by the Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) for the receipt, possession, storage, and transfer of spent fuel at the Fort St. Vrain (FSV) independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI), located in Weld County, Colorado. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

By applications dated July 21 and December 12, 1995, PSC requested amendments to its ISFSI license to (1) incorporate organizational changes, (2) delete reference to the FSV 10 CFR Part 50 ''possession only'' license, and (3) revise the radioactive materials and possession limits to accurately reflect the materials stored at the ISFSI.

This amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

In accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2), a determination has been made that the amendment does not present a genuine issue as to whether the health and safety of the public will be significantly affected. Therefore, the publication of a notice of proposed action and an opportunity for hearing or a notice of hearing is not warranted. Notice is hereby given of the right of interested persons to request a hearing on whether the action should be rescinded or modified.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of the amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(c)(11), an environmental assessment need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendment.

Documents related to this action are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room located at the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the Local Public Document Room at the Weld Library District, Lincoln Park Branch, 919 7th Street, Greeley, Colorado 80631.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William D. Travers,

Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 96–7414 Filed 3–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket Nos. 50–266 and 50–30]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Point Beach Nuclear Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to the licenses and Technical Specifications for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin (Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–24 and DPR–27, respectively, issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, the licensee).

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would reflect the change in the name of the licensee from Wisconsin Electric Power Company to Wisconsin Energy Company.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment of the facility operating license dated October 23, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to properly reflect corporate administrative changes in the license and Technical Specifications.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is administrative in nature only and will have no effect on the operation or maintenance of the facility whatsoever. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no