

45 pages and reply comments on those sections be no longer than 25 pages. We require that comments on the remaining sections of this Notice be no longer than 45 pages and reply comments on the remaining sections be no longer than 25 pages.

112. Comments and reply comments on *all* sections of this Notice must include a short and concise summary of the substantive arguments raised in the pleading. Comments and reply comments must also comply with Section 1.49 and all other applicable sections of the Commissions Rules. See 47 CFR § 1.49. However, we require here that a summary be included with all comments and reply comments, regardless of length. The summary may be paginated separately from the rest of the pleading (e.g., as "i, ii"). See 47 CFR § 1.49.

113. Parties are also asked to submit comments and reply comments on diskette. Such diskette submissions would be in addition to and not a substitute for the formal filing requirements addressed above. Parties submitting diskettes should submit them to Janice Myles of the Common Carrier Bureau, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544, Washington, D.C. 20554. Such a submission should be on a 3.5 inch diskette formatted in an IBM compatible form using MS DOS 5.0 and WordPerfect 5.1 software. The diskette should be submitted in "read only" mode. The diskette should be clearly labelled with the party's name, proceeding, type of pleading (comment or reply comments) and date of submission. The diskette should be accompanied by a cover letter.

114. Written comments by the public on the proposed and/or modified information collections are due April 19, 1996. Written comments must be submitted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on the proposed and/or modified information collections on or before 60 days after date of publication in the Federal Register. In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to [dconway@fcc.gov](mailto:dconway@fcc.gov) and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB, 725—17th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to [fain-t@al.eop.gov](mailto:fain-t@al.eop.gov).

#### E. Ordering Clauses

115. Accordingly, it is ordered that pursuant to Sections 1, 4, 10, 201–205, 214(e), 215, 218, 220 and 254 of the

Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154, 201–205, 214(e), 215, 218 and 220 a notice of proposed rulemaking is hereby adopted.

116. It is further ordered that, the Secretary shall send a copy of this notice of proposed rulemaking, including the regulatory flexibility certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration, in accordance with paragraph 603(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 601 *et seq.* (1981).

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96–8116 Filed 4–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96–65; RM–8773]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Kiowa, KS

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition by Kiowa Broadcasters requesting the allotment of Channel 252C1 to Kiowa, Kansas. Channel 252C1 can be allotted to Kiowa in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 252C1 at Kiowa are 37–01–00 and 98–29–12.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before May 21, 1996, and reply comments on or before June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Leonard Johnson, III, Kiowa Broadcasters, 218 Carriage Place Court, Decatur, Georgia 30033 (Petitioner).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 96–65, adopted March 14, 1996, and released March 29, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's

Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 96–8122 Filed 4–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Highway Administration

#### 49 CFR PART 393

[FHWA Docket No. MC–96–5]

RIN 2125–AD76

#### Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation: Television Receivers and Data Display Units

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is proposing to rescind restrictions on the locations at which television viewers or screens may be positioned within commercial motor vehicles (CMVs). Under the President's Regulatory Reinvention Initiative, the FHWA has reviewed the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) and believes the restrictions to be obsolete and redundant. The unsafe behavior that the regulation is intended to discourage is more effectively deterred through State traffic laws concerning driver inattentiveness. Further, the current regulation may have the unintended effect of discouraging the use of certain Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)-related