we use as BIA the higher of (a) the highest rate (including the ''all others'' rate) ever applicable to the firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from either the LTFV investigation or a prior administrative review, or (b) the highest calculated rate in this review for any firm for the class or kind of merchandise from the same country of origin.

See Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 57 FR 28360, 28379 (June 24, 1992); *see also Allied-Signal Company* v. *United States, 996 F.2d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1993).* In this case, we are using first-tier BIA because CEMEX was uncooperative. The BIA rate is the highest of the rates found for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise in the same country of origin in the LTFV investigation, as amended, *i.e.,* CEMEX's rate of 61.85 percent. Thus, as a result of our review, we preliminarily determine the dumping margin for CEMEX for the period August 1, 1993, through July 31, 1994, to be 61.85 percent.

Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs and comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice.

Within 10 days of the date of publication of this notice, interested parties to this proceeding may request a disclosure and/or a hearing. The hearing, if requested, will take place no later than 44 days after publication of this notice. Persons interested in attending the hearing should ascertain with the Department the date and time of the hearing.

The Department will subsequently publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or a hearing.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service upon completion of this review.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate determined in the final results of review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not mentioned above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 59.91 percent, as explained below.

On May 25, 1993, the CIT in *Floral Trade Council* v. *United States,* 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993), and *Federal-Mogul* v. *United States,* 839 F. Supp 864 (CIT 1993), determined that once an ''all others'' rate is established for a company, it can only be changed through an administrative review. The Department has determined that in order to implement these decisions, it is appropriate to reinstate the original ''all others'' rate from the LTFV investigation (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical errors or as a result of litigation) in proceedings governed by antidumping duty orders for the purposes of establishing cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews.

Because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping duty order, the ''all others'' rate for this order will be 59.91 percent, which was the ''all others'' rate established in the final notice of the LTFV investigation by the Department (55 FR 29244, July 18, 1990).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 26, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–11939 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–570–803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China; Amendment of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: We are amending our final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on heavy forged hand tools, finished or unfinished, with or without handles (HFHTs), from the People's Republic of China published on April 4, 1996, to reflect the correction of a ministerial error made in the margin calculation in those final results. We are publishing this amendment to the final results in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c)(1995).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 14, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Prosser or Maureen Flannery of the Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers two resellers of the subject merchandise to the United States, Fujian Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corporation (FMEC) and Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation (SMC), and the period February 1, 1993 through January 31, 1994. The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results on August 16, 1995 (60 FR 42516), and the final results on April 4, 1996 (61 FR 15028).

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of HFHTs from the PRC comprising the following classes or kinds of merchandise: (1) hammers and sledges with heads over 1.5 kg (3.33 pounds) (hammers/sledges); (2) bars

over 18 inches in length, track tools and wedges (bars and wedges); (3) picks/mattocks; and (4) axes/adzes.

HFHTs include heads for drilling, hammers, sledges, axes, mauls, picks, and mattocks, which may or may not be painted, which may or may not be finished, or which may or may not be imported with handles; assorted bar products and track tools including wrecking bars, digging bars and tampers; and steel woodsplitting wedges. HFHTs are manufactured through a hot forge operation in which steel is sheared to required length, heated to forging temperature, and formed to final shape on forging equipment using dies specific to the desired product shape and size. Depending on the product, finishing operations may include shot-blasting, grinding, polishing and painting, and the insertion of handles for handled products. HFHTs are currently provided for under the following Harmonized Tariff System (HTS) subheadings: 8205.20.60, 8205.59.30, 8201.30.00, and 8201.40.60. Specifically excluded are hammers and sledges with heads 1.5 kg (3.33 pounds) in weight and under, hoes and rakes, and bars 18 inches in length and under. This review covers two exporters of HFHTs from the PRC, FMEC and SMC. The review period is February 1, 1993 through January 31, 1994.

Amended Final Results

On April 4, 1996, the respondents alleged that the Department had committed a ministerial error in calculating the final antidumping duty margin. The respondents alleged that the Department had miscalculated the wholesale price index (WPI) for India for the period April 1993 through December 1993. We have reviewed this allegation, and agree with the respondents. We have therefore amended our final results for this ministerial error.

Final Results of Review

Upon review of the allegation submitted, the Department has determined that the following margins exist for the period February 1, 1993 through January 31, 1994:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Fujian Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corporation:		
Axes/Adzes	2/1/93–1/31/94	12.90
Bars/Wedges	2/1/93–1/31/94	30.36
Hammers/Sledges	2/1/93–1/31/94	18.61
Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation:		
Bars/Wedges	2/1/93–1/31/94	45.19
Hammers/Sledges	2/1/93–1/31/94	16.49
Picks/Mattocks	2/1/93–1/31/94	68.43

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of reviews for all shipments of HFHTs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies named above which have separate rates will be the rates for those firms as stated above for the classes or kinds of merchandise listed above; (2) for picks/mattocks from FMEC and axes/adzes from SMC, which are not covered by this review, the cash deposit rates will be the rates established in the most recent review of those classes or kinds of merchandise in which those companies received separate rates—that is, the February 1, 1992 through January 31, 1993 review; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rates will be the PRC rates established in the LTFV investigation; and (4) the cash deposit rates for non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. The PRC rates established in the LTFV investigations are 45.42 percent for hammers/sledges, 31.76 percent for bars/wedges, 50.81 percent for picks/mattocks, and 15.02 percent for axes/adzes. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 353.26 of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: May 6, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–11942 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–588–836, A–570–842, A–583–824]

Notice of Antidumping Orders: Polyvinyl Alcohol From Japan, the People's Republic of China, and Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 14, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger or Erik Warga, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136 or (202) 482–0922, respectively.