we may defer the claim only if you agree to continue to care for the crop. We will then make another appraisal when you notify us of further damage or that harvest is general in the area unless you harvested the crop, in which case we will use the harvested production. If you do not continue to care for the crop, our appraisal made prior to deferring the claim will be used to determine the production to count; and

(2) All harvested production from the insurable acreage.

(d) Any citrus fruit that is not marketed as fresh fruit and, due to insurable causes, does not contain 120 or more gallons of juice per ton, will be adjusted by:

(1) Dividing the gallons of juice per ton obtained from the damaged citrus by 120; and

(2) multiplying the result by the number of tons of such citrus.

If individual records of juice content are not available, an average juice content from the nearest juice plant will be used, if available. If not available, a field appraisal will be made to determine the average juice content.

(e) Where the actuarial table provides for, and you elect, the fresh fruit option, citrus fruit that is not marketable as fresh fruit due to insurable causes will be adjusted by:

(1) Dividing the value per ton of the damaged citrus by the price of undamaged citrus fruit; and

(2) Multiplying the result by the number of tons of such citrus fruit.

The applicable price for undamaged citrus fruit will be the local market price the week before damage occurred.

(f) Any production will be considered marketed or marketable as fresh fruit unless, due solely to insured causes, such production was not marketed as fresh fruit.

(g) In the absence of acceptable records of disposition of harvested citrus fruit, the disposition and amount of production to count for the unit will be the guarantee on the unit.

(h) Any citrus fruit on the ground that is not harvested will be considered totally lost if damaged by an insured cause.

13. Written Agreements

Designated terms of this policy may be altered by written agreement. The following conditions will apply:

(a) You must apply in writing for each written agreement no later than the sales closing date, except as provided in paragraph (e) of this section.

(b) The application for written agreement must contain all terms of the contract between you and us that will be in effect if the written agreement is not approved.

(c) If approved, the written agreement will include all variable terms of the contract, including, but not limited to, crop type or variety, the guarantee, premium rate, and price election.

(d) Each written agreement will only be valid for 1 year. If the written agreement is not specifically renewed the following year, insurance coverage for subsequent crop years will be in accordance with the printed policy.

(e) An application for written agreement submitted after the sales closing date may be approved if, after a physical inspection of the acreage, it is determined that no loss has occurred and the crop is insurable in accordance with the policy and written agreement provisions.

Signed in Washington, D.C., on May 23, 1996.

Kenneth D. Ackerman,

*Manager, Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 96–13590 Filed 6–4–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–FA–P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

### 10 CFR Part 430

### Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Public Workshop on the Rulemaking Priority Setting for the Appliance Standards Rulemaking Process

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (the Department or DOE) will hold a public workshop to discuss rulemaking priority setting for the appliance standards rulemaking process. The Department is developing a straw man list prioritizing the appliance standards rulemakings for stakeholder review and comment. The Department will discuss issues that should be considered in determining the rulemaking priority and the order of priority proposed in the straw man listing. In addition, there may be other issues the participants will want to address. All persons are hereby given notice of the opportunity to attend the public workshop.

**DATES:** The public workshop will be held on Friday, June 14, 1996, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The workshop will be held at the Embassy Row Hotel, 2015 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington DC 20036, (202) 265–1600.

Copies of the straw man listing of appliance standards rulemakings and this notice may be viewed at the DOE Freedom of Information Reading Room, Forrestal Building, Room 1E–190, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–6020 between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Bryan Berringer, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Forrestal Building, Mail Station EE–43, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0121, (202) 586–0371

Douglas W. Smith, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of General Counsel, Forrestal Building, Mail Station GC–70, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0103, (202) 586–3410

Deborah E. Miller, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Forrestal Building, Mail Station EE–1, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0121, (202) 586–8888.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department has initiated a comprehensive process improvement effort to examine, through a series of stakeholder meetings and interviews, issues surrounding the appliance standards program. A workshop was held on March 19–20, 1996, to discuss the initial findings from these meetings and interviews. Discussion topics included the planning and prioritization process, data collection and analysis, and decision making criteria. A preliminary draft report of the ''Interim Results of the Appliance Rulemaking Process Improvement Effort'' dated April 26, 1996, was circulated for review.

Based on the criteria discussed in the March workshop and addressed in the preliminary draft report, the Department has developed a straw man list prioritizing the appliance standards rulemakings for stakeholder review and comment.

The Department will be holding a workshop on June 14, 1996, at the Embassy Row Hotel in Washington D.C. to discuss issues that should be considered in determining the rulemaking priority and the order of priority proposed in the straw man listing. The finalized priority listing will be published in the Department's Regulatory Agenda which will be issued in October 1996.

The workshop will be professionally facilitated to encourage discussion and comments on the topics.

Copies of the straw man listing and this notice are available in the DOE Freedom of Information Reading Room. A copy of the workshop transcript will be available in the DOE public reading room approximately 10 days after the workshop.

The straw man listing will be sent to all participants that notify the Department in advance that they will attend and to other interested parties

requesting this listing. The Department welcomes written comments on the proposed priority list. Written comments on the proposed priority list must be received by July 26, 1996. Please notify Bryan Berringer at the above listed address of your intention to attend the workshop or if you expect to provide written comments.

Issued in Washington, DC on May 28, 1996.

Christine A. Ervin,

*Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.*

[FR Doc. 96–13904 Filed 6–4–96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450–01–P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 39

**[Docket No. 96–NM–81–AD]**

**RIN 2120–AA64**

## Airworthiness Directives; Allied Signal Commercial Avionics Systems CAS–81 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance Systems (TCAS) as Installed In, But Not Limited To, Various Transport Category Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes to revise an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to various transport category airplanes equipped with Allied Signal Commercial Avionics Systems CAS–81 TCAS. That AD currently requires a revision to the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to provide the flightcrew with procedures to cycle power to the TCAS processor via the circuit breaker or power bus, and to perform a TCAS functional test to verify proper operation of the TCAS. That action was prompted by reports of failure of the audio output of the CAS–81 TCAS. The actions specified by that AD are intended to ensure that the flightcrew is advised of the potential hazard associated with failure of the audio output of the CAS–81 TCAS, and of the procedures necessary to address it. This action would add a revision of the AFM requirements that would provide an alternative method of compliance with the currently required AFM revision; and would provide for a modification to the TCAS processor, which, if accomplished, terminates the requirements of the AD.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 15, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–81–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Allied Signal Aerospace, Technical Publications, Dept. 65–70, P.O. Box 52170, Phoenix, Arizona 85072–2170. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Crew, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ACE–116A, FAA, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Small Airplane Directorate, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2–160, College Park, Georgia 30337–2748; telephone (404) 305–7335; fax (404) 305–7348.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: ''Comments to Docket Number 96–NM–81–AD.'' The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–81–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

### Discussion

On January 22, 1996, the FAA issued AD 95–26–15, amendment 39–9495 (61 FR 2699, January 29, 1996), applicable to various transport category airplanes equipped with Allied Signal Commercial Avionics Systems CAS–81 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance Systems (TCAS). That AD requires a revision to the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to provide the flightcrew with procedures to cycle power to the TCAS processor via the circuit breaker or power bus, and to perform a TCAS functional test to verify proper operation of the TCAS. That action was prompted by reports of failure of the audio output of the CAS–81 TCAS. The requirements of that AD are intended to ensure that the flightcrew is advised of the potential hazard associated with failure of the audio output of the CAS–81 TCAS, and of the procedures necessary to address it.

### Explanation of New Service Information

Since the issuance of that AD, Allied Signal has issued Service Bulletin TPA–81A–34–82, dated January 1996, which describes procedures for a modification (Unit Mod 13) of the TPA–81A TCAS processor receiver. This modification adds two 100k ohm resistors to circuitry on the voice synthesizer module (VSM) to provide a direct current (DC) return for the Op-Amp. Additionally, the modification adds four diodes to the ADV. INHIBIT #1, #2, #3, and #4 lines (advisory inhibit) at connector P3011 for isolation. This modification will eliminate audio noise and prevent a lack of AUDIO alert due to the absence of a return path to ground in an alternate current (AC) coupled filter in the VSM. The modification also will eliminate the need to isolate diodes of the advisory inhibit lines in certain configurations.

Allied Signal also issued Service Bulletin TPA–81A–34–84, dated January 1996, which describes procedures for modification of the TPA–81A TCAS processor receiver. The modification involves redesignating (rolling) the part numbers of processors modified to Unit Mod 13. This modification will prevent failure of the