Customer	Mcf/day
City of Wrens, Georgia	2,200
Armstrong World Industries, Inc.	3,500
Southeast Paper Manufacturing Co.	9,540
Calsilite Manufacturing Corporation	500
Knoxville Utilities Board	5,000
Riverwood International Corporation	1,000
	45,880

Southern states that each of the above shippers has executed a service agreement under Rate Schedule FT with a primary term of 10 years.

To provide the requested service, Southern proposes to construct, install, modify and operate pipeline and compression facilities. More specifically, Southern proposes to construct (1) approximately 4.6 miles of 30-inch South Main 2nd Loop Line in Crawford and Monroe Counties, Georgia; (2) approximately 5.1 miles of 16-inch Brunswick Loop Line in Jones and Twiggs County, Georgia; (3) approximately 5.9 miles of 30-inch South Main 3rd Loop Line in Lee County, Alabama; (4) approximately 7.3 miles of 24-inch 2nd North Main Loop Line in Pickens and Tuscaloosa Counties, Alabama; and (5) approximately 4.6 miles of 30-inch Franklinton-Gwinville 2nd Loop Line in Walthall, Lawrence, and Marion Counties, Mississippi. Southern also proposes to place back into operation and commence depreciating a 104.6-mile section of the 20-inch Wrens-Savannah Line in Jefferson, Burke, Jenkins, Screven, Effingham, and Chatham Counties, Georgia.

Southern also proposes to uprate a total of four turbine compressor engines at the Selma Compressor Station in Dallas County, Alabama and the Bay Springs Compressor Station, Jasper County, Mississippi. Southern proposes to rewheel the four Dresser-Rand compressors and to increase the 6,500 rated horsepower at an 80 degree ambient temperature at each of the four engines to 9,160 rated horsepower. Southern claims that this uprate would allow Southern to take advantage of the current physical capabilities of the engines at minimal cost.

Southern estimates a facilities cost of $36,043,000, which would be financed initially through the use of short term financing, available cash from operations or use of both alternatives and ultimately from permanent financing.

Southern also requests that the Commission issue a predetermination that rolled-in rates are appropriate for the proposed facilities. In support of that request, Southern states that the proposed facilities will be physically and operationally integrated with existing facilities that serve Southern's current customers and that the new facilities will be used for the benefit of all shippers on Southern's system. Southern states that the estimated revenues generated from the proposed facilities will exceed the estimated cost of service from the facilities by $440,000 during the first year of operation and $13,500,000 during the 10-year primary term of the related service agreements.

Southern also claims that the facilities will provide system enhancements at seven distinct locations. Southern indicates that the looping and increased compression horsepower will improve system reliability for all shippers in the market area, most particularly on the South Main Line where the majority of the expansion facilities and load are located.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before June 20, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Southern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–14024 Filed 6–4–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Western Area Power Administration

General Eligibility Criteria for the Proposed Allocation Procedures and Call for Applications, Post-2000 Resource Pool—Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, Eastern Division

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of clarification, response to comments and Call for additional applications.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to respond to comments regarding Section III, General Allocation Criteria, Paragraph E and to clarify the Post 2000 Resource Pool Allocation Procedures in order to more fully fulfill the intent of the Energy Planning and Management Program (Program). All of the comments received concerning the use of Mid-Continent Area Power Pool (MAPP) data trends to adjust applicant load data in applying Post 1985 Marketing Criteria suggested that the Western Area Power Administration (Western) not do so. Therefore, Western is proposing to not use MAPP data trends to adjust the 1994–1995 load data in determining allocations for utility and nonutility applicants. These clarifications are intended to ensure all entities are provided an opportunity to apply for an allocation of the resource pool created by the Program. Any new applications, consisting of a letter of interest and Applicant Profile Data (APD), will be considered in accordance with the January 29, 1996, Federal Register Notice (61 FR 2817), as amended herein.

DATES: All written comments must be sent to the Upper Great Plains Acting Regional Manager so that they are received 30 days from date of publication. A letter of interest and APD must be sent by certified or return receipt requested U.S. mail to the Upper Great Plains Acting Regional Manager so that it is received 30 days from date of publication

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Western, a Federal power marketing agency of the Department of Energy, published on January 29, 1996, in the Federal Register (61 FR 2817), a notice of

proposed procedures to implement subpart C–Power Marketing Initiative of the Energy Planning and Management Program Final Rule, 10 CFR part 905 (60 FR 54151). The Program, which was developed in part to implement section 114 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, became effective on November 20, 1995.

Subpart C of the Program provides for the establishment of project-specific resource pools and the allocation of power from these pools to new preference customers. These proposed procedures, in conjunction with the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program Eastern Division (PSMBP—ED) Program Final Post-1985 Marketing Plan (Post-1985 Marketing Plan) (45 FR 71860) will establish the framework for allocating power from the resource pool to be established for the PSMBP—ED. The comment period on the proposed procedures and call for applications was extended to April 8, 1996, by a notice published in the Federal Register on March 8, 1996 (61 FR 9449).

Before developing final allocation procedures, Western has determined that it is appropriate to address certain comments and clarify features in the initial Federal Register notice. Western has determined that these proposed clarifications are in the best interest of the public and that they will not have the effect of excluding current applicants. Based on these clarifications, Western is providing another opportunity for comment and call for applications to entities which did not apply based upon the earlier notice. Those entities that have previously commented or applied for firm power need not resubmit or reapply.

I. Responses and Clarification of Terms

As a result of comments received during the comment period and need for clarification of terms, the proposed Post 2000 Resource Pool Allocation Procedures and Call for Applications, Post-2000 Resource Pool—PSMBP—ED in Federal Register 61 FR 2817 has been amended as follows:

1. Section II, General Eligibility Criteria, Paragraph A should read ''All qualified applicants must be preference entities in accordance with section 9(c) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939, 43 U.S.C. 485h(c), as amended and supplemented.''

2. Section II, General Eligibility Criteria, Paragraph B should read ''All qualified applicants must be located within the currently established PSMBP—ED marketing area.''

3. Section II, General Eligibility Criteria, Paragraph C should read ''All qualified applicants must not be currently receiving benefits, directly or indirectly, from a current PSMBP—ED firm power allocation. Qualified Native American applicants are not subject to this requirement.''

4. Section II, General Eligibility Criteria, Paragraph D should read ''Qualified utility and nonutility applicants must be able to use the firm power directly or be able to sell it directly to retail customers.''

5. Section II, General Eligibility Criteria, Paragraph E should read ''Qualified utility applicants that desire to purchase power from Western for resale to consumers, including municipalities, cooperatives, public utility districts, and public power districts, must have utility status by December 31, 1996. Utility status means the entity has responsibility to meet load growth, has a distribution system, and is ready, willing, and able to purchase Federal power from Western on a wholesale basis.''

6. Section III, General Allocation Criteria, Paragraph E should read ''Allocations made to qualified utility and nonutility applicants will be based on the loads experienced in the 1994 summer season and the 1994–95 winter season. Western will apply the Post-1985 Marketing Plan criteria to these loads.''

7. Section III, General Allocation Criteria, Paragraph J should read ''The maximum allocation for qualified utility and nonutility applicants shall be 5,000 kilowatts (kW).''

8. Section V, Applications for Firm Power, Paragraph B.1.b.i. should read ''Utility and nonutility applicants:''

9. Section V, Applications for Firm Power, Paragraph B.1.b.i.(1) should read ''If applicable, number and type of customers served; i.e., residential, commercial, industrial, military base, agricultural.''

ADDRESSES: All written comments and Applicant Profile Data should be directed to the following address: Mr. Gerald C. Wegner, Acting Regional Manager, Upper Great Plains Customer Service Region, Western Area Power Administration, Post Office Box 35800, Billings, MT 59107–5800.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Harris, Power Marketing Manager, Upper Great Plains Customer Service Region, Western Area Power Administration, Post Office Box 35800, Billings, MT 59107–5800, (406) 247–7394.

Issued at Golden, Colorado, May 22, 1996.

J. M. Shafer,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–14055 Filed 6–4–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–5514–9]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Vehicle Emission Control Defect Survey Questionnaire

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Vehicle Emission Control Defect Survey Questionnaire (OMB Control No. 2060–0047, approved through 5/31/96). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 0184.05.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Vehicle Emission Control Defect Survey Questionnaire (OMB Control No. 2060–0047; EPA ICR No. 0184.05) expiring 5/31/96. This ICR is requesting an extension of a currently approved collection activity.

Abstract: The Vehicle Compliance Programs Group (VCPG) of the Vehicle Programs and Compliance Division (VPCD) and the Engine Compliance Programs Group (ECPG) of the Engine Programs and Compliance Division (EPCD), Office of Mobile Sources (OMS), Office of Air and Radiation (OAR), uses this information collection to gather additional data to supplement in-use testing programs as well as provide possible evidence in support of EPA's position during an administrative hearing. When EPA orders a manufacturer to recall a certain class of motor vehicles (in accordance with CAA section 207(c)) but the manufacturer disagrees with EPA's findings, the manufacturer may request an administrative hearing. During such a hearing, EPA must make a detailed presentation of facts showing that the class of vehicles in question should indeed be recalled. Facts to be included in such a presentation consist of information on the maintenance and