for the Approval and Delegation of Federal Air Toxics Programs to State and Local Agencies, OMB No. 2060–0264 (EPA ICR No. 1643.02). (The current EPA ICR No. 1643.01 expires on July 31, 1996). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 15, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 1643.02.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are State, local, or tribal governments that voluntarily participate. No industries are included among the applicants.

Title: Extension for Application Requirements for the Approval and Delegation of Federal Air Toxics Programs to State and Local Agencies, OMB No. 2060–0264 (EPA ICR No. 1643.02).

Abstract: This collection is a voluntary application from State, local, or tribal governments that voluntarily request delegation of Federal air toxics programs or approval of State, local, or tribal air toxics programs that meet the objectives of the relevant Federal programs. Affected entities have requested such delegations and approvals in order to gain approval of their programs which they can implement at lower costs, thus providing them with a net decrease in overall program expenditures. Because the participation of the affected entities is voluntary, EPA believes there will be a net reduction in burden and costs to the affected entities.

The procedures and requirements for these delegations and approvals were codified as Subpart E of 40 CFR 63 in accordance with section 112(l)(2) of the Clean Air Act (Act), as amended in 1990. The Act calls for EPA to ''publish guidance that would be useful to the States in developing programs * * * allowing for delegation of the Administrator's authorities and responsibilities to implement and enforce emissions standards and prevention requirements.''

The approval process includes options that allow the affected entities to adjust or substitute for a Federal rule or program. The options vary in the types of changes allowed and in the level of demonstrations required for approval. Affected entities interested in utilizing this program are required to submit an application package to the reviewing agency.

All submissions are voluntary on the part of the affected entities. Therefore, the information collection requirements apply only to those entities that voluntarily submit applications. All application packages are submitted to the Administrator for approval. The information is needed to determine if the entity submitting a request has met the criteria established in the 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart E rule. The collection of information is authorized under 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Information obtained by EPA is safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, Chapter 1, Part 2, Subpart B, Confidentiality of Business Information. See 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 39999, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 28, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information, was published on March 22, 1996 (61 FR 11832–11833). There were no comments in response to the notice.

Burden Statement: The Agency has estimated the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this voluntary collection of information to average less than 2000 hours per affected entity, using reasonable upper bound estimates. ''Burden'' means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency, including the time to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 42.

Estimated Frequency: 3 times over a 3-year period.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 80,000 hours.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses: Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20503; and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1643.02 and OMB Control No. 2060–0264 in any correspondence.

Dated: June 10, 1996.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division, Integration Division.

[FR Doc. 96–15189 Filed 6–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[ER–FRL–5470–4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 3, 1996 through June 7, 1996 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960262, DRAFT EIS, NPS, WA, Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park, General Management Plan (GMP), Implementation, Skagway, Alaska and Seattle, WA, Due: July 31, 1996, Contact: Willie Russell (206) 553–7220.

EIS No. 960263, FINAL EIS, FHW, AL, Southern Bypass and Weatherly Road Extension Project, Hobbs Island Road to I–565 Interchange, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Huntsville, Madison County, AL, Due: July 15, 1996, Contact: Joe D. Wilkerson (334) 223–7370.

EIS No. 960264, DRAFT EIS, COE, FL, Brevard County Shore Protection Study, Implementation, Beach Restoration Project, Brevard County, FL, Due: July 29, 1996, Contact: Michael Dupes (904) 232–1689.

EIS No. 960265, FINAL EIS, FHW, MO, US 61 Relocation, US 61/24 Interchange north of Hannibal to the vicinity of US 61/M Intersection south of Hannibal, Funding and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Marion and Ralls Counties, MO, Due: July 15, 1996, Contact: Don Neumann (314) 636–7104.

EIS No. 960266, DRAFT EIS, SFW, SC, Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge Establishment, Preserve and Protect

the Diverse Habitat Components and Coastal River Ecosystem, Great Pee Dee and Waccamaw Rivers, Georgetown, Horry and Marion Counties, SC, Due: July 31, 1996, Contact: Charles R. Danner (800) 419–9582.

EIS No. 960267, DRAFT EIS, NPS, NH, Saint-Gaudens National Historic Site, General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Sullivan County, NH, Due: July 29, 1996, Contact: John Reber (303) 969–2418.

EIS No. 960268, DRAFT EIS, USN, FL, VA, USS SEAWOLF Submarine Shock Testing, Implementation, located Offshore Mayport, FL or Norfolk, VA, Due: July 29, 1996, Contact: Will Sloger (803) 820–5797.

EIS No. 960269, FINAL EIS, FTA, CA, San Francisco International Airport Extension, Transportation Improvements, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) Funding, San Mateo County, CA, Due: July 15, 1996, Contact: Robert Hom (415) 744–3116.

EIS No. 960270, DRAFT EIS, TVA, TN, Kingston Fossil Plant Alternative Coal Receiving Systems, New Rail Spur Construction near the Cities of Kingston and Harriman, Roane County, TN, Due: July 8, 1996, Contact: David W. Robinson (423) 751–2502.

The above EIS should have appeared in the May 24, 1996 Federal Register. The 45 day Comment Period is Calculated from the Intended Federal Register Date of May 24, 1996.

Dated: June 11, 1996.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–15203 Filed 6–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[ER–FRL–5470–5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 28, 1996 through May 31, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–AFS–K61141–CA Rating EC2, Snowcreek Golf Course Expansion, Construction and Operation, Special Use Permit, Inyo National Forest System Lands, Mono County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the separate environmental evaluation of the related Snowcreek ski area and Snowcreek golf course projects, the need for the golf course, water quality and quantity, and potential impacts to wildlife habitat. The final EIS should develop mitigation measures for potential cumulative impacts.

ERP No. D–AFS–K65181–CA Rating EC2, Sequoia National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Amendment ''Grazing Management'', Implementation, Kern, Tulare and Fresno Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the preferred alternative. It does not address forage utilization or an all season monitoring plan which allows for adaptive management.

ERP No. D–AFS–L65261–AK Rating EC2, Port Houghton/Cape Fanshaw Timber Harvest Sale Project, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Chatham and Stikine Areas, South of Juneau, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding project impacts on water quality and the marine environment.

ERP No. D–BLM–K67034–NV Rating EO2, Talapoosa Gold Mine Project, Construction and Operation, Plan of Operations Approval, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Silver Springs, Lyon County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to potential groundwater and post-mining pit lake impacts. EPA requested additional information on groundwater modeling, pit water quality, ecological risk assessment, geochemical characterization and waste rock disposal, seepage rates from waste rock dumps, facilities design and reclamation, and mitigation measures.

ERP No. D–DOE–L09807–WA Rating EC2, Hanford Site K Basins Management of Spent Nuclear Fuel, Storage and Disposal, Application for Approval of Construction and NPDES Permit Issuance, Columbia River, Richland, Benton County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding permitting, accidential releases, and economic analysis.

ERP No. D–OSM–E67003–TN Rating EC2, Fern Lake Petition Area for Surface Coal Mining Operations, Designation or Undesignation as Unsuitable for Coal Mining Operations, Claiborne County, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over surface mining in the Fern Lake watershed because of potential adverse impacts to water quality, aquatic life and the water supply for the City of Middlesboro.

ERP No. DS–NPS–K61126–AZ Rating LO, Tumacacori National Historical Park General Management Plan, Additional Information, Santa Cruz County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections with the new preferred alternative.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–K67031–NV. Dash Open Pit and Underground Mining Project, Implementation, Expanding existing Gold Mining Operations at the Jerritt Canyon Project, Plan of Operation Approval and COE Section 404 Permit, Humboldt Toiyabe National Forest, Independence Mountain Range, Elko County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential water quality impacts and recommended that the Forest Service conduct modeling to predict the concentrations and effects of contaminants in streams. EPA also expressed concern regarding the placement of waste rock in waters of the United States and the need for additional information on mitigation measures for this activity.

ERP No. F–BLM–K67020–AZ. Cyprus Bagdad Copper Mine, Mill Tailings and Waste Rock Storage Expansion, Plan of Operation Approval, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Yavapai County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections about the project as proposed. EPA recommended that quantitative modeling of the post-project quality of pit water be conducted prior to BLM's Record of Decision. Mitigation of and monitoring for impacts to waters of the U.S. should have been addressed in the EIS and EPA suggests that the mitigation and monitoring plan be discussed in the Record of Decision.

ERP No. F–BLM–K67032–NV. Round Mountain Mine Mill and Tailings Facility, Construction and Operation for the Smoke Valley Operation, Plan of Operations Amendment Approval, Nye County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections regarding cumulative impacts of the proposed project effectiveness of mitigation