

The refinery complex (105,000 BPD, 242 employees) is located on a 620-acre site at 2500 E. St. Bernard Highway on the Mississippi River, St. Bernard Parish (Meraux area), Louisiana, some 7 miles southeast of New Orleans.

The refinery is used to produce fuels and petrochemical feedstocks. Fuels produced include gasoline, jet fuel, distillates, residual fuels and naphthas. Petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products include methane, ethane, propane, propylene, butane, petroleum coke, asphalt and sulfur. Some 92 percent of the crude oil (96 percent of inputs), and some feedstocks and motor fuel blendstocks are sourced abroad.

Zone procedures would exempt the refinery from Customs duty payments on the foreign products used in its exports. On domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the finished product duty rate (nonprivileged foreign status—NPF) on certain petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (duty-free) instead of the duty rates that would otherwise apply to the foreign-sourced inputs (e.g., crude oil, natural gas condensate). The duty rates on inputs range from 5.25¢/barrel to 10.5¢/barrel. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the refinery's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is [60 days from date of publication]. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to September 24, 1996).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, Hale Boggs Federal Building, 501 Magazine Street, Room 1043, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130  
Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: July 2, 1996.

Dennis Puccinelli,

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-17678 Filed 7-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## International Trade Administration

[A-421-803]

### Notice of Court Decision: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From the Netherlands

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 14, 1996, The United States Court of International Trade (the CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department) redetermination on remand of the Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands (58 FR 37199, July 9, 1993), as amended by the Antidumping Duty Order (58 FR 44172, August 19, 1993). *National Steel Corp. versus United States*, (Slip. Op. 96-97, Court No. 93-09-00616, June 14) (National Steel).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 11, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David J. Goldberger at (202) 482-4136, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 14, 1996, the CIT accepted the Department's methodology for selecting the highest non-aberrant margin to be applied to the respondent's, Hoogovens Groep B.V., unreported exporter's sales price data. The CIT also accepted the Department's methodology for calculating the cash deposit rate after the Department had revised its value-added tax adjustment methodology, in accordance with *Federal-Mogul Corp. versus United States*, 63 F.3d 1572, 1580 (Fed. Cir. 1995), under remand.

In its decision in *Timken Co. versus United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(e), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision which is not "in harmony" with a Department determination, and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's decision in National Steel on June 14, 1996, constitutes a decision "not in harmony" with the Department's final affirmative determination. This notice fulfills the publication requirements of Timken.

Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal, or, if

appealed, upon a "conclusive" court decision.

Dated: July 5, 1996.

Holly A. Kuga,

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-17677 Filed 7-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-475-811]

### Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on grain-oriented electrical steel from Italy in response to a request by the respondent, Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. ("AST"). This covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR), February 9, 1994, through July 31, 1995.

AST has withdrawn from participation in this review and failed to submit a response to Section D of the Department's questionnaire. As a result, we have preliminarily determined to use facts otherwise available for cash deposit and assessment purposes.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 11, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Decker or Robin Gray, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3793.

#### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the