

what was old at the time of the '039 invention, in order to distinguish what was new. Explain in detail how, if at all, the Mohan et al. reference should be used to aid in interpreting claim 131.

2. What are the differences, if any, between what the Mohan et al. reference discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention and the invention of claim 131, as interpreted by the ALJ?

3. What are the differences, if any, between what the Mohan et al. reference discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention and the invention of claim 131, as interpreted by respondents?

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see the Commission Opinion, *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation. The Commission notes the pending bankruptcy petition of complainant and asks the parties to address its relevance, if any, to the question of remedy.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under

a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues under review. The submissions should be concise and thoroughly referenced to the record in this investigation, including references to specific exhibits and testimony. Additionally, the parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the June 12, 1996, recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on July 31, 1996. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on August 7, 1996. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) and sections 210.45-.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.45-.51).

Copies of the public version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business

hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: July 17, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-18796 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

July 17, 1996.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Thursday, July 25, 1996.

PLACE: Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Commission will consider and act upon the following:

1. Jim Walter Resources, Inc., Docket Nos. SE 94-74, SE 94-84, and SE 94-115. (Issues include whether violations of 30 CFR §§ 75.400 & 75.1725(a) were the result of the operator's unwarrantable failure to comply with the standards.)

2. Consolidation Coal Co., Docket No. WEVA 94-19. (Issues include whether the operator qualified for the exemptions contained in 30 CFR § 75.340(b) (4) & (6) to the underground water pump standard set forth in 30 CFR § 75.340(a); whether the alleged violation resulted from the operator's unwarrantable failure; and whether the alleged violation was "serious".)

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR § 2706.150(a)(3) and § 2706.160(d).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO: Jean Ellen (202) 653-5629/(202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay/1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

Jean H. Ellen,
Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 96-18886 Filed 7-22-96; 9:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M