CMA member companies to: (1) Identify fundamental causes of noncompliance; (2) identify common features or trends among these causes; and (3) develop innovative compliance management recommendations and potential pollution prevention opportunities to help facilities achieve and maintain compliance. The pilot project will focus on areas of noncompliance that have been addressed through a Federal civil administrative or judicial enforcement actions that were commenced and closed between fiscal years 1990–1995.

To identify the fundamental causes of noncompliance, EPA and CMA will develop a survey tool for participating CMA member companies. The survey will seek responses regarding the fundamental causes of identified noncompliance, actions taken by facilities to remedy the noncompliance, and additional recommendations for compliance activities or potential pollution prevention opportunities to continuously improve compliance. In addition, the survey may also seek information regarding CMA's Responsible Care® program and its impact on compliance. To support completion of the survey and help identify the fundamental causes of noncompliance, EPA will supply participating CMA member companies with compliance data for fiscal years 1990–1995 from closed Federal civil administrative or judicial enforcement actions specific to their facilities. Participating CMA member companies will be afforded the opportunity to review and verify compliance data provided to them. Participation by CMA member companies in this pilot project is voluntary. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA is soliciting comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: It is estimated that approximately 100 facilities may voluntarily participate on the EPA/CMA Root Cause Analysis Pilot Project. Each participant will be asked to complete the EPA/CMA-developed ''one-time'' survey. In addition, participating facilities will be provided the opportunity to review and verify EPA-supplied compliance data. EPA estimates that participating facilities may need to spend up to seven hours to research compliance files and complete the survey. Therefore, a total of 700 facility hours may be expended to provide EPA and CMA with data for use in the pilot project. This burden hour estimate translates to a cost of $308.70 per facility and a total cost to industry of $30,870. The respondent costs were calculated based on $21.00 per hour, plus 110 percent overhead. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: August 2, 1996.

Elaine Stanley,

Director, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–20367 Filed 8–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[FRL–5550–8]

Request for Nominations to the National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of request for nominations.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is inviting nominations of qualified candidates to consider for appointment to fill vacancies on its National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT). Nominations will be accepted until close of business September 6, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit nominations to: Mr. Gordon Schisler, Acting Director, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1601–F, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gordon Schisler, Designated Federal Official for NACEPT, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1601–F, Washington, D.C. 20460; telephone 202–260–9741.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NACEPT is a federal advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, PL 92463. NACEPT provides advice and recommendations to the Administrator of EPA on a broad range of environmental policy issues. The Administrator has asked NACEPT to concentrate on regulatory incentives that could be used to promote a community-based approach (CBEP) to environmental management, assess whether EPA's information systems are designed to support CBEP and various new approaches to environmental protection relative to partnerships with states and regulated entities, and identify criteria and recommend a framework that the Agency can use to measure the success of its reinvention efforts.

The following standing committees were formed in FY'96 to examine different aspects of the Agency's community-based approach to environmental protection; the Agency's regulatory reinvention efforts, and information resource requirements to support the Agency's broad environmental goals.

- The Information Impacts Committee has been tasked with reviewing Agency information strategies, and providing recommendations on how to effectively position information resources to support new, comprehensive and long-term initiatives such as the Common Sense Initiative, Performance Partnerships, and Project XL, as well as the community-based approach to environmental protection.
- The Reinvention Criteria Committee is identifying evaluation criteria the Agency can use to measure the progress and success of specific reinvention projects. The selection of the projects is coordinated with the EPA Regulatory Reinvention Team.
- The Community-Based Environmental Protection (CBEP) Committee is examining the defining

elements of sustainable economies and the opportunities for harmonizing environmental policy, economic activity, and ecosystem management; and is identifying regulatory and non-regulatory incentives that could be used to promote CBEP activities.

NACEPT comprises a representative cross-section of EPA's partners and constituents in order to gain insights and perspectives from all interested parties.

EPA is seeking nominations for representation from all sectors, including state and local planning agencies, industry, tribal organizations, environmental NGOs, and community organizations.

Nominations for membership must include a résumé and short biography describing the educational and professional qualifications of the nominee and the nominee's current business address and daytime telephone number.

Dated: August 2, 1996.

Gordon Schisler,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 96–20369 Filed 8–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[ER–FRL–5472–1]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or (202) 564–7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed July 29, 1996 Through August 02, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960353, DRAFT EIS, FHW, PA, US 202 Section 700 Corridor, Improvements from PA 63 in Montgomeryville to the PA–611 Bypass in Doylestown Township, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way, Montgomery and Bucks Counties, PA, Due: September 27, 1996, Contact: Manuel A. Marks (717) 782–3461.

EIS No. 960354, FINAL EIS, NPS, AZ, CA, Programmatic EIS—Juan Bautista de Anza National Historic Trail Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, several counties, AZ and CA, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Stanley T. Albright (415) 744–3876.

EIS No. 960355, FINAL EIS, BLM, WY, Grass Creek Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Big Horn, Washakie, Hot Springs and Park Counties, WY, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Joe Patty (307) 775–6101.

EIS No. 960356, FINAL EIS, NPS, VA, Richmond National Battlefield Park General Management Plan and Land Protection Plan, Implementation, Hanover, Henrico and Chesterfield Counties, VA, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Cynthia Macleod (804) 226–1981.

EIS No. 960357, FINAL EIS, AFS, AK, King George Timber Sale Project, Timber Harvesting and Road Construction, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Stikine Area, Etolin Island, AK, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Meg Mitchell (907) 874–2323.

EIS No. 960358, FINAL EIS, FHW, OK, Canadian River Bridge Crossing Construction, OK–37 east of Tuttle northward to OK–152 in or near Mustang, Funding, COE Section 404 and EPA NPDES Permits Issuance, Canadian and Grady Cos. OK, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Pete Lombard (817) 334–3646.

EIS No. 960359, DRAFT EIS, BLM, ID, Challis Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Upper Columbus-Salmon Clearwater Districts, Salmon River, Lemhi and Custer Counties, ID, Due: November 21, 1996, Contact: Kathe Rhodes (208) 756–5440.

EIS No. 960360, FINAL EIS, AFS, OR, Foss Perkins Analysis Area, Vegetation Management and Timber Sale, Ochoco National Forest, Snow Mountain Ranger District, Harney County, OR, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Bill Rice (541) 573–4300.

EIS No. 960361, DRAFT EIS, FHW, WI, US 12 Corridor Project, Improvement from IH90/94 at Lake Delton south to Ski Hi Road, Funding and COE Section 404 Permits, Sauk County, WI, Due: September 23, 1996, Contact: Richard Madrzak (608) 829–7500.

EIS No. 960362, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, COE, MS, Mississippi River and Tributaries Flood Control Plan, Big Sunflower River Maintenance Project, Yazoo Basin, Sunflower, Washington, Humphreys, Sharkey and Yazoo Counties, MS, Due: September 9, 1996, Contact: Marvin Cannon (601) 631–5437.

EIS No. 960363, DRAFT EIS, CGD, Atlantic Protected Living Marine Resource Initiative, Implementation, Atlantic Ocean, from Maine to Florida, Due: September 16, 1996, Contact: Commander Rooth (202) 267–1456. Under Section 1506.10(d) of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations For Implementing The Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act a 7-day Waiver of the Prescribed Period has been Granted.

EIS No. 960364, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Lewis and Clark National Forest Plan, Implementation, Oil and Gas Leasing Analysis, Upper Missouri River Basin, several counties, MT, Due: October 08, 1996, Contact: Robin Strathy (406) 791–7726.

EIS No. 960365, DRAFT EIS, USN, ID, Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC), Acoustic Research Detachment (ARD), Carderock Division (CD), Capital Improvements Plan, Implementation, in the Town of Bayview, Kootenai County, ID, Due: September 23, 1996, Contact: Peter W. Havens (360) 396–0916.

EIS No. 960366, FINAL EIS, OSM, TN, Fern Lake Petition Area for Surface Coal Mining Operations, Designation or Nondesignation as Unsuitable for Coal Mining Operations, Claiborne County, TN, Due: September 09, 1996, Contact: Sam K. Bae (202) 208–2633.

EIS No. 960367, DRAFT EIS, AFS, CA, Cavanah Multi-Resource Management Project, Implementation, Enhancing Forest Health and Productivity, Tahoe National Forest, Foresthill Ranger District, Placer County, CA, Due: September 23, 1996, Contact: John Bradford (916) 478–6254.

EIS No. 960368, DRAFT EIS, NOA, WA, Programmatic EIS—Commencement Bay Restoration Plan, Implementation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, CZMA and NPDES Applications, Puget Sound, Pierce County, WA, Due: October 08, 1996, Contact: Judy Lantor (360) 753–6056.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 960217, LEGISLATIVE DRAFT EIS, AFS, CA, Tahoe National Forest and Portions of Plumas and EL Dorado National Forests, Implementation, Twenty-Two Westside Rivers for Suitability and inclusion in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Wild and Scenic River Study, Placer, Nevada, Sierra, Plumas, EL Dorado and Yuba Counties, CA, Due: August 09, 1996, Contact: Phil Horning (916) 478–6210. Published FR 05–02–96—Review Period extended.

EIS No. 960289, DRAFT EIS, GSA, NY, US Brooklyn Court Project, Demolition of the Emanuel Celler Federal Building, Construction of a New Courthouse and Renovation/Adaptive Reuse of the General Post Office at Cadman Plaza East, Kings County, NY, Due: August 27, 1996, Contact: Peter A. Sneed (GSA) (212) 264–3581. Published FR 06–28–96—Review Period Extended.