reviews for these firms, and we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to assess any entries by these firms at the rate determined by the last completed administrative review on November 26, 1984 (49 FR 46454) (*See Certain Fresh Cut Flowers from Colombia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Termination of Administrative Reviews, and Notice of Intent to Revoke Order (In Part) (''Flowers from Colombia''*), 60 FR 30271 (June 8, 1995)).

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for all firms covered in this review will be those rates established in the last completed final results of review; (2) the cash deposit rate for subject merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, will be based upon the most recently published rate in a final result or determination for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; and (3) the cash deposit rate for subject merchandise exported by an exporter not covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but where the manufacturer of the merchandise has been covered by this or a prior final results or determination, will be based upon the most recently published company-specific rate for that manufacturer.

On May 25, 1993, the Court of International Trade, in *Floral Trade Council v. United States,* Slip Op. 93–79, and Federal-Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company v. United States, Slip Op. 93–83, decided that once an ''all others'' rate is established for a company, it can only be changed through an administrative review. The Department has determined that in order to implement these decisions, it is appropriate to apply the original ''all others'' rate from the LTFV investigation (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical errors or as a result of litigation) in proceedings governed by antidumping duty orders for the purposes of establishing cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews. In proceedings governed by antidumping findings, unless we are able to ascertain the ''all others'' rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the Department has determined that it is appropriate to adopt the ''new shipper'' rate established in the first final results of administrative review published by the Department (or that rate amended for correction of clerical errors or as a result of litigation) as the ''all others'' rate for the purpose of establishing cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews.

Because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping finding and we are unable to ascertain the ''all others'' rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the ''all others'' rate for purposes of this review will be 55.00 percent, a rate established in the final results of administrative review published by the Department on April 6, 1982 (47 FR 14746).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. Interested parties may request disclosure within five days of the date of publication of this notice, and may request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held as early as convenient for the parties but not later than 44 days after the date of publication or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs or other written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttal comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including its results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments.

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: September 3, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–23109 Filed 9–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–122–401]

Red Raspberries From Canada; Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request to conduct a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on red raspberries from Canada, which has a June anniversary date. In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 353.22(h)(1995), we are initiating this new shipper administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelman, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department received a timely request, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 353.22(h) of the Department's Interim Regulations (60 FR 25130, 25134 (May 11, 1995)) (Interim Regulations), for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on red raspberries from Canada, which has a June anniversary date. Antidumping Duty Order; Red Raspberries from Canada, 50 FR 26019, (June 24, 1985).

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act, and 19 CFR 353.22(h)(6), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on red raspberries from Canada. We will issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days from the date of publication of this notice and the final results within 90 days after issuance of the preliminary results, unless these time limits are extended in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed	Company
Canada: Red Raspberries, A–122–401	06/01/95–05/31/96	Berryhill Foods, Inc.

We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by the above listed companies, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.22(h)(4)(1995).

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with Section 353.34(b) of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.34(b) (1995)).

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(2)(B)) and section 353.22(h) of the Interim Regulations.

Dated: September 5, 1996.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 96–23232 Filed 9–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[A–580–601]

Certain Stainless Steel Cooking Ware From the Republic of Korea; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is terminating the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea covering the following periods: January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991; January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992; January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993; and January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy S. Wei or Zev Primor, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On January 31, 1992, Farberware, Inc. (petitioner) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea, covering the period January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991. We initiated the 1991 review on February 24, 1992 (57 FR 6314). On January 27, 1993, petitioner requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea, covering the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992. We initiated the 1992 review on March 8, 1993 (58 FR 12931). On January 31, 1994, petitioner requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea, covering the period January 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993. We initiated the 1993 review on February 17, 1994 (59 FR 7979). On January 30, 1995, petitioner requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea, covering the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994.

We initiated the 1994 review on February 15, 1995 (60 FR 8629). On August 20, 1996, Syratech Corporation (Syratech), by letter, apprised the Department that it had acquired Farberware's stainless steel cooking ware production machinery and ''certain other assets,'' including intellectual property. Syratech thereafter licensed the Farberware name to another firm for use in conjunction with the production, marketing, and sale of stainless steel cooking ware. On August 26, 1996, Syratech submitted a letter seeking withdrawal of the requests for reviews.

Section 353.22(a)(5) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department may permit a party that requests a review to withdraw its request not later than 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review. This regulation also permits the Department to extend the time limit for withdrawal of a request for review if it is reasonable to do so.

In light of the totality of circumstances, the Department has determined Syratech to be the successor in interest to Farberware for the purpose of these reviews. *See* Decision Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Jeffrey P. Bialos, August 28, 1996. Because Syratech, as the successor in interest to Farberware, the party requesting the reviews, has withdrawn its requests for reviews and has requested that the Department terminate the pending reviews, the Department has determined to terminate these reviews. While the withdrawal request was made more than 90 days after the publication of the initiation notice, the Department nevertheless finds it reasonable to extend the time period for withdrawal in the circumstances of this case. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5), we have decided to grant the withdrawal at this time. Accordingly, we are terminating these reviews.

The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate all unliquidated entries of certain stainless steel cooking ware from the Republic of Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 1991, at the cash deposit rates. We will further instruct Customs to collect a cash deposit for imports from Namil Metal Company at 1.06 percent, the rate determined in the final results of the 1990 review (59 FR 10788, March 8, 1994), and for imports from Daelim Trading Company, Ltd. at 8.10 percent, the ''all others'' rate established in the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation (52 FR 2139, January 20, 1987). The cash deposit rate for exporters or manufacturers not covered in this or any previous review will continue to be 8.10 percent, the ''all others'' rate established in the LTFV investigation.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).