Service area	Applicant name
	Memphis Area Legal Services, Inc.
TN–5	Legal Aid Society of Middle Tennessee.
TN–6	Rural Legal Services of Tennessee, Inc.
TN–7	West Tennessee Legal Services.
TN–8	Legal Services of South Central Tennessee, Inc.
MTN	Legal Services of Upper East Tennessee, Inc.
TX–1	Legal Aid of Central Texas.
TX–2	Coastal Bend Legal Services.
TX–3	Legal Services of North Texas.
TX–4	El Paso Legal Assistance Society.
TX–5	West Texas Legal Services, Inc.
TX–6	Gulf Coast Legal Foundation.
TX–7	Laredo Legal Aid Society, Inc.
TX–8	Bexar County Legal Aid Association, Inc.
TX–9	Heart of Texas Legal Services Corporation.
TX–10	Texas Rural Legal Aid, Inc.
TX–11	East Texas Legal Services, Inc.
NTX–1	Texas Rural Legal Aid, Inc.
MTX	Texas Rural Legal Aid, Inc.
UT–1	DNA-People's Legal Services, Inc.
	Utah Legal Services, Inc.
NUT–1	Utah Legal Services, Inc.
MUT	Utah Legal Services, Inc.
VT–1	Legal Services Law Line of Vermont, Inc.
MVT	Legal Services Law Line of Vermont, Inc.
VI–1	Legal Services of the Virgin Islands.
VA–1	Legal Services of Northern Virginia, Inc.
VA–2	Charlottesville-Albemarle Legal Aid Society.
VA–3	Rappahannock Legal Services, Inc.
VA–4	Southwest Virginia Legal Aid Society, Inc.
VA–5	Peninsula Legal Aid Center, Inc.
VA–6	Central Virginia Legal Aid Society, Inc.
VA–7	Legal Aid Society of New River Valley, Inc.
VA–8	Legal Aid Society of Roanoke Valley.
VA–9	Tidewater Legal Aid Society.
VA–10	Virginia Legal Aid Society, Inc.
VA–11	Southside Virginia Legal Services, Inc.
VA–12	Blue Ridge Legal Services, Inc.
VA–13	Client Centered Legal Services of Southwest Virginia, Inc.
MVA	Peninsula Legal Aid Center, Inc.
WA–1	Northwest Justice Project.
NWA–1	Northwest Justice Project.
MWA	Northwest Justice Project.
WV–1	Appalachian Research and Defense Fund, Inc.
WV–2	Legal Aid Society of Charleston.
WV–3	West Virginia Legal Services Plan, Inc.
MWV	West Virginia Legal Services Plan, Inc.
WI–1	Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.
WI–2	Wisconsin Judicare, Inc.
WI–3	Legal Services of Northeastern Wisconsin, Inc.
WI–4	Western Wisconsin Legal Services, Inc.
NWI–1	Wisconsin Judicare, Inc.
MWI	Legal Action of Wisconsin, Inc.
WY–1	Legal Aid Services, Inc.
	Legal Services for Southeastern Wyoming, Inc.
	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.
WY–2	Legal Aid Services, Inc.
	Legal Services for Southeastern Wyoming, Inc.
	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.
WY–3	Legal Aid Services, Inc.
	Legal Services for Southeastern Wyoming, Inc.
	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.
NWY–1	Legal Aid Services, Inc.
	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.
MWY	Legal Aid Services, Inc.
	Wind River Legal Services, Inc.

These grants and contracts will be awarded under the authority conferred on LSC by the Legal Services Corporation Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2996e(a)(1)). Awards will be made so that each service area indicated is served by one of the organizations listed above, although none of the listed organizations are guaranteed an award or contract. This public notice is issued pursuant to the LSC Act (42 U.S.C. 2996f(f)), with a request for comments and recommendations concerning the potential grantees within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Grants will become effective and grant funds will be distributed on or about January 1, 1997.

Date Issued: October 24, 1996.

Merceria L. Ludgood,

Deputy Director, Office of Program Operations.

[FR Doc. 96–27734 Filed 10–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7050–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–261]

Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–23, issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L or the licensee) for operation of the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (HBR), located in Darlington County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendments, requested by the licensee in a letter dated August 27, 1996, would represent a full conversion from the current Technical Specifications (TS) to a set of TS based on NUREG–1431, ''Standard Technical Specifications, Westinghouse Plants,'' Revision 1, dated April 1995. NUREG–1431 was developed through working groups composed of NRC staff members and industry representatives and has been endorsed by the staff as part of an industry-wide initiative to standardize and improve the TS. As part of this submittal, the licensee has applied the criteria contained in the Commission's Final Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors of July 22, 1993, to the current HBR TS, and, using NUREG–1431 as a basis, developed a proposed set of improved TS for HBR. The criteria in the Final Policy Statement were subsequently added to 10 CFR 50.36, ''Technical Specifications,'' in a rule change that was published in the Federal Register on July 19, 1995 (60 FR 36953) and became effective on August 18, 1995.

The licensee has categorized the proposed changes to the existing TS into four general groupings. These groupings are characterized as administrative changes, relocated changes, more restrictive changes, and less restrictive changes.

Administrative changes are those that involve restructuring, renumbering, rewording, interpretation and complex rearranging of requirements and other changes not affecting technical content or substantially revising an operational requirement. The reformatting, renumbering and rewording process reflects the attributes of NUREG–1431 and do not involve technical changes to the existing TS. The proposed changes include: (a) providing the appropriate numbers, etc., for NUREG–1431 bracketed information (information that must be supplied on a plant-specific basis, and that may change from plant to plant), (b) identifying plant-specific wording for system names, etc., and (c) changing NUREG–1431 section wording to conform to existing licensee practices. Such changes are administrative in nature and do not impact initiators of analyzed events or assumed mitigation of accident or transient events.

Relocated changes are those involving relocation of requirements and surveillances for structures, systems, components, or variables that do not meet the criteria for inclusion in TS. Relocated changes are those current TS requirements that do not satisfy or fall within any of the four criteria specified

in the Commission's policy statement and may be relocated to appropriate licensee-controlled documents.

The licensee's application of the screening criteria is described in that portion of their August 27, 1996, application titled ''Application of Selection Criteria to the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications, in Enclosure 3 of the submittal. The affected structures, systems components or variables are not assumed to be initiators of analyzed events and are not assumed to mitigate accident or transient events. The requirements and surveillances for these affected structures, systems components or variables will be relocated from the TS to administratively controlled documents such as the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), the BASES, the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR), the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), plant procedures, or other licensee-controlled documents. Changes made to these documents will be made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59 or other appropriate control mechanisms. In addition, the affected structures, systems, components or variables are addressed in existing surveillance procedures that are also subject to 10 CFR 50.59. These proposed changes will not impose or eliminate any requirements.

More restrictive changes are those involving more stringent requirements for operation of the facility. These more stringent requirements do not result in operation that will alter assumptions relative to mitigation of an accident or transient event. The more restrictive requirements will not alter the operation of process variables, structures, systems and components described in the safety analyses. For each requirement in the current HBR TS that is more restrictive than the corresponding requirement in NUREG–1431 that the licensee proposes to retain in the ITS, they have provided an explanation of why they have concluded that retaining the more restrictive requirement is desirable to ensure safe operation of the facility because of specific design features of the plant.

Less restrictive changes are those where current requirements are relaxed or eliminated, or new flexibility is provided. The more significant ''less restrictive'' requirements are justified on a case-by-case basis. When requirements have been shown to provide little or no safety benefit, their removal from the TS may be appropriate. In most cases, relaxations previously granted to individual plants on a plant-specific basis were the result of (a) generic NRC actions, (b) new NRC staff positions that have evolved from technological advancements and operating experience, or (c) resolution of the Owners Groups' comments on the improved Standard Technical Specifications. Generic relaxations contained in NUREG–1431 were reviewed by the staff and found to be acceptable because they are consistent with current licensing practices and NRC regulations. The licensee's design will be reviewed to determine if the specific design basis and licensing basis are consistent with the technical basis for the model requirements in NUREG–1431 and thus provides a basis for these revised TS or if relaxation of the requirements in the current TS is warranted based on the justification provided by the licensee.

These administrative, relocated, more restrictive and less restrictive changes to the requirements of the current TS do not result in operations that will alter assumptions relative to mitigation of an analyzed accident or transient event.

In addition to the changes described above, the licensee proposed certain changes to the existing technical specifications that deviated from the standard technical specifications in NUREG–1431. The licensee provided a justification for differences between the proposed Improved Technical Specifications (ITS) for HBR and the Improved Standard Technical Specifications (ISTS) in NUREG–1431.

Section 3.4.12 in NUREG–1431 has the standard TS on the Low Temperature Overpressure Protection (LTOP) System. The licensee has performed a reanalysis of overpressurization events in Mode 4 (hot shutdown) and is proposing to revise the current licensing basis for this postulated event. The reanalysis is described in Enclosure 5 of the licensee's August 27, 1996 submittal along with the proposed TS.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

By November 29, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's ''Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings'' in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Hartsville Memorial Library, 147 West College Avenue, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific

sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1–(800) 248–5100 (in Missouri 1–(800) 342–6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Mark Reinhart, Acting Director, Project Directorate II–1: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and to William D. Johnson, Vice President and Senior Counsel, Carolina Power & Light Company, Post Office Box 1551, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 27, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Hartsville Memorial Library, 147 West College Avenue, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of October, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bartholomew C. Buckley,

Acting Director, Project Directorate II–1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96–27692 Filed 10–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) will hold its 88th meeting on November 12 and 13, 1996, Room T–2B3, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, December 6, 1995 (60 FR 62485).

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance. The schedule for this meeting is as follows:

Tuesday, November 12, 1996—8:30 A.M. until 6:00 P.M.

Wednesday, November 13, 1996—8:30 A.M. until 4:00 P.M.

During this meeting, the Committee plans to consider the following:

A. *Planning Session*—The ACNW will conduct a planning session during which the Committee will discuss the conduct of Committee activities, procedures and operations, and priorities for ACNW tasks. The Committee will not formulate advice for the Commission during this session.

B. *Preparation of ACNW Reports*—The Committee will discuss proposed reports, including: (1) radionuclide transport at Yucca Mountain, (2) specification of a critical group and reference biosphere to be used in the performance assessment for a nuclear waste disposal facility, (3) consideration of coupled processes (thermal-mechanical-hydrological-chemical) in the design of a high-level waste repository, (4) time of compliance in high- and low-level waste disposal, (5) comments on selected NRC Strategic Assessment and Rebaselining Decision Setting Issue papers, (6) shallow land burials licensed under the former 10 CFR 20.304 and 20.302 requirements, and (7) ACNW Priority Issues.

C. *Meeting with the Director, NRC's Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards*—The Director will discuss items of current interest related to the Division of Waste Management programs including: progress at the Yucca Mountain site, the status of the EPA work on the development of revised Yucca Mountain high-level waste disposal standards, and NRC's high-level waste regulations.

D. *Committee Activities/Future Agenda*—The Committee will consider topics proposed for future consideration by the full Committee and Working Groups. The Committee will discuss ACNW-related activities of individual members.

E. *Miscellaneous*—The Committee will discuss miscellaneous matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and organizational activities and complete discussion of matters and specific issues that were not completed during previous meetings, as time and availability of information permit.

Procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACNW meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 8, 1996 (61 FR 52814). In accordance with these procedures, oral or written statements may be presented by members of the public, electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Committee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch, Mr. Richard K. Major, as far in advance as practicable so that appropriate arrangements can be made to schedule the necessary time during the meeting for such statements. Use of still, motion picture, and television cameras during this meeting will be limited to selected portions of the meeting as determined by the ACNW Chairman. Information regarding the time to be set aside for this purpose may be obtained by contacting the Chief, Nuclear Waste Branch prior to the meeting. In view of the possibility that the schedule for ACNW meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should notify Mr. Major as to their particular needs.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements